Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

SIRT I inhibits hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis by promoting MI macrophage polarization via NF-KB pathway

This article was published in the following Dove Medical Press journal: OncoTargets and Therapy

Bei Zhou* Yun Yang* Cuiping Li

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Bei Zhou Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xinxiang Medical University, No 601 Jinsui Road, Hongqi District, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, China Tel +86 159 0307 1752 Email beizhouyx@163.com



Background: Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) serve as crucial modulators of the complicated interaction between cancer cells and immune microenvironment. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) has an impact on immune reactions in cancer progression. Current knowledge of the role of SIRT1 in the regulation of M1-like macrophages as well as in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is insufficient.

Methods: SIRT1 expression in HCC tissues was detected using quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) and Western blot. M1 markers were detected by qRT-PCR and flow cytometry assay. Moreover, the influence of SIRT1 on HCC cell apoptosis, migration, and invasion was studied using transwell assay, flow cytometry assay, and TUNEL assays, respectively.

Results: In this study, it was revealed that SIRT1 was upregulated in patients suffering from HCC; these patients were also shown to have elevated levels of M1-like TAM infiltration. SIRT1 was able to reinforce M1-like macrophage infiltration and inhibit HCC metastasis. Furthermore, SIRT1 enhanced NF- κ B stimulation, promoting phosphorylation of p65, I κ B, and I κ B kinase. It was further demonstrated in our study that SIRT1 had an impact on polarization of M1 through the NF- κ B pathway. NF- κ B repression downregulated M1 markers in macrophages, which excessively expressed SIRT1 and counteracted the influence of SIRT1 on migration of HCC cells.

Conclusion: Taken together, these results offer proof that SIRT1 is an essential regulator of the immune reaction that counteracts malignant HCC cell migration as well as growth, indicating that macrophage SIRT1 could serve as an innovative target to treat HCC.

Keywords: SIRT1, M1-like macrophages, hepatocellular carcinoma, cell migration, NF-κB pathway

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is characterized by its high mortality rate, accompanied by chronic inflammatory reactions in liver.¹ The step-by-step progression of HCC is characterized by alterations in the hepatic microenvironment.² To date, no drug has been approved for first-line treatment of terminal HCC, which comprises 40%–70% of all HCC cases.³ Consequently, it is important to discover and target essential pathways that strengthen treatment efficacy via stimulation of antitumor immune reactions for improved prognosis and survival in the future.

Cancers are often characterized by excessive infiltration of macrophages.^{4,5} The M1 subtype of macrophages represses tumors, while the M2 subtype promotes them.⁶ Specific tumor microenvironment signals affect the function as well as the polarization of macrophages.⁷ Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) serve as crucial modulators of the complicated interactions between tumors and the immune system.⁸

OncoTargets and Therapy 2019:12 2519-2529

© 2019 Zhou et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

2519

Treatment approaches that affect the bioactivity and/or existence of macrophages have been proved to be promising preclinically and are currently being assessed clinically.^{9,10} Stimulated macrophages are able to phagocytose malignant cells by hindering the interaction between CD47 and signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRPa), which has been contemporarily applied to cancer treatment in various clinical trials.^{11–13} Furthermore, it should be taken into account that macrophages contribute to antitumor reactions.¹⁴ It has been shown preclinically that macrophage proliferation in tumors is repressed, and TAM half-lives are shortened in comparison to resident macrophages of corresponding normal tissues.^{15,16} As a result, aggregation of TAMs in the tumor microenvironment, particularly M1 TAMs with phagocytic capability, is indispensable to TAM quantity protection and antitumor immunity in human cancers.^{17,18} Agents participating in these reactions are innovative targets to treat the disease.

As a part of sirtuin family (SIRT1–SIRT7) in mammals, SIRT1 is a conserved deacetylase relying on nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)+, which contributes to the regulation of transcriptional silencing and cell viability.^{19–22} The expression of SIRT1 was significantly increased in the HCC cell line. The tumorigenic influence of SIRT1 has been demonstrated in HCC in recent years, except for its influence on different biological reactions, such as inhibition of cell death and enhancement of proliferation, tumor stimulation, progression, and metastasis.^{23,24} It was verified that SIRT1 had an impact on tumorigenesis, metastasis, and clinical outcomes as well as resistance to chemotherapy in HCC, caused by deacetylation of tumor suppressor or oncogenic factors.^{25,26} Nevertheless, the role of SIRT1 in the regulation of M1-like macrophages and in HCC is unclear.

Materials and methods Clinical specimens

Tissue specimens were acquired from the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical College. Fresh specimens such as tumor tissues and corresponding noncancerous liver tissues were acquired from patients suffering from HCC after neoplasm excision. Fifteen HCC specimens were acquired from 2010 to 2014. Median age of the patients was 50 years (range: 17–73 years). HCC was diagnosed based on histopathology in all patients. None of the patients underwent anticancer treatment or displayed distant metastases before operation. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study. For any participant under 18 years of age, a parent or guardian provided written informed consent. This research was approved by the School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xinxiang Medical University First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical College, and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Cell cultivation and treatment

The hepatic cancer cell lines, HepG2 and RAW 264.7, HL-60 were bought from Bioresource Collection and Research Center (Shanghai, China) in 2014. Cell cultivation was performed in DMEM with 10% FBS at 37° C under conditions of 5% CO₂.

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS; 100 ng/mL) and interferongamma (IFN- γ ; 20 ng/mL) were applied for 24 hours to activate RAW 264.7 macrophages in order to harvest M1 macrophages. Conditioned medium arising from RAW 264.7 or HL-60 macrophages was used for HepG2 activation for 24 hours in order to detect cell migration, death, and invasion.

Cell transfection

Plasmids of SIRT1-pcDNA3.1 (HMGB1) and pcDNA3.1 (vector) were used for transfection. Briefly, six-well plates were seeded with RAW 264.7 or HL-60 macrophages with 4×10^5 cells/well. The cultivating medium was spiked with 4 µg of plasmid DNA and 3 µL of Turbofect reagent and then incubated for 6 hours. The transfection admixture was removed by centrifugation after 6 hours, and cells underwent another 24-hour incubation in normal medium.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

HCC cells were fixed with formalin, embedded, sliced, and stained using primary antibodies targeting CD16/32 and CCR7 via standard IHC methods. Two-minute counterstaining of nuclei was carried out using DAPI (provided by Sigma-Aldrich). Confocal microscopy (LSM510, METALaser Scanning Microscope; Carl Zeiss) was used for observation as well as image acquisition.

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

TRIzol[®] reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was utilized in order to isolate total RNA as per the manufacturer's instructions. Spectrophotometry (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was applied to quantify the isolated RNA using 260/280 nanometer absorbance. RNA specimens were preserved at -80° C. An ABI 7500 quantitative PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was applied to perform and analyze quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR). Relative mRNA expression was assessed according to the comparative cycle threshold (CT) ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) approach. GAPDH served as the internal reference for normalization. Primers used in this study are as follows: SIRT1 forward: ATGAAGCAC CAACCGTATC, reverse: CTGAATTGACCTTGACT GATG; GADPH forward: GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAA CAGCG,reverse: ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA; iNOS forward: AATGGCAACATCAGGTCGGCCATCACT, reverse: GCTGTGTGTCACAGAAGTCTCGAACTC; TNF- α forward: CATCTTCTCAAAATTCGAGTGACAA, reverse: TGGGAGTAGACAAGGTACAACCC; IL-1 β forward: TCATTGTGGCTGTGGGAGAAG, reverse: AGGCCA CAGGTATTTTGTCG; IL-6 forward: ATCCAGTTGC CTTCTTCTTGGGACTGA, reverse: TAAGCCTCCGAC TTGTGAAGTGGT.

Cell migration assessment

Transwell assays were carried out in order to investigate migration. Briefly, DMEM without serum including $1 \mu g/mL$ mitomycin C was applied to a suspension of 50,000 cells, which were later seeded in the top well of a poly-carbonate transwell containing 24 wells (MD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The bottom well contained DMEM with 10% serum. Cells on the top surface of the filters were eliminated after an incubation of 24 hours. Cells at the bottom surface were fixed, stained with 1% crystal violet, and quantified.

Cell invasion assessment

Transwell chambers with Matrigel coating were utilized in order to perform invasion assays. Cells that underwent transfection were planted in the top chamber $(1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cells}/ \text{ chamber})$ and were incubated for 24 hours under conditions of 5% CO₂ and 37°C. FBS (20%) at the bottom of the chambers served as a chemoattractant A cotton swab was used to eliminate cells that did not exhibit invasion at the top surface after incubation, while those showing invasion at the bottom surface were fixed using 100% methanol and stained with 1% crystal violet. Microscope images were used to quantify the number of cells showing invasion characteristics. Six random fields were selected for quantification in each assay.

Evaluation of cell apoptosis

Flow cytometry (FC) was used for cell-death assessment. Cells were washed twice in cold PBS, spun down for 5 minutes at 100 rpm, and resuspended in binding buffer. FITC-Annexin V and propidium iodide (PI) were added before incubating for 10 minutes at room temperature. A FACScan flow cytometer (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was used to detect fluorescence signals.

TUNEL assay

Cells on the slide were fixed with the help of 4% paraformaldehyde. An in situ cell death detection kit (provided by Roche) was used for TUNEL labeling of dead cells according to the manufacturer's instructions.

FC

Cells were incubated with anti-mouse CD16/32 antibody conjugated to PE (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) and were washed before FC was carried out as instructed (Guava Easy Cyte[™]8; EMD Millipore).

Western blotting analysis

Lysis buffer (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) was used for homogenization of cell lysates. Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was used for protein quantification. Proteins were checked for quality with a standard SDS–PAGE. Enhanced chemiluminescence and a detection reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) was utilized in order to examine immunoreactive bands, which were analyzed using an Omega 16ic chemiluminescence imaging system (Ultra-Lum, Claremont, CA, USA). Primary antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-PhosphoIKK α/β (2697; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), rabbit anti-IKK β (8943; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-Phospho-NF- κ B p65 (3033; Cell Signaling Technology), and rabbit anti-NF- κ B p65 (8242; Cell Signaling Technology).

Statistical analysis

Results are displayed as mean \pm SEM. Evaluation of differences was performed using a two-tailed, unequal-variance Student's *t*-test or ANOVA prior to Tukey's post hoc analysis. Values with *P*<0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results Expression of SIRT1 is increased in HCC tissues

In order to measure the SIRT1 protein expression levels in clinical HCC tissues, RT-PCR and Western blotting were carried out in a cohort of 15 HCC tissues and paired non-malignant tissues. As shown in Figure 1A–C, not only mRNA but also protein SIRT1 levels were elevated in HCC tissues compared to non-malignant tissues. In addition, it has been shown via IHC that the HCC tissues contained more M1 macrophages (Figure 1D). M1 markers were increased in the HCC tissues together with a decrease in M1 markers (Figure 1E and F). The above-mentioned findings indicate



Figure I SIRTI upregulation was correlated with MI-like macrophage density in HCC tissues.

Notes: (A) The expression of SIRT1 in paired non-malignant tissues and primary HCC tumor tissue was determined by qRT-PCR. (B, C) Representative immunoblots (B) and quantitative assessment of SIRT1 (C) in normal hepatic tissue (Con) as well as primary HCC tissue. (D) Immunofluorescence staining of M1 macrophage marker CD16 (red) and CCR7 (green) in normal liver tissue (Con) and primary HCC tissue. DAPI (blue) was used for nuclei staining. Scale bar, 10 μ m. (E, F) M1 markers and M2 markers were determined by qRT-PCR. Results are displayed as mean ± SEM, n=15, **P<0.01 vs normal group.

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR; Con, control; SEM, standard error of mean; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

that SIRT1 expression and the density of M1-like macrophages were increased in HCC.

SIRT I promoted MI macrophage polarization in vitro

In this study, we transiently induced SIRT1 expression to evaluate its impact on the polarization of M1 macrophages. The expression of M1 markers including IL-1 β , inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), IL-6, and TNF- α was noticeably elevated in cells that underwent transfection with SIRT1 in comparison with those that underwent vector transfection after LPS and IFN- γ treatment (Figure 2A–D). Treatment of LPS+ IFN- γ significantly upregulated M1 markers including CD16/32 as demonstrated via FC. However, the upregulation was more noticeable in cells with SIRT1 transfection compared to those with vector transfection (Figure 2E and F). The above-mentioned findings suggested that SIRT1 promoted polarization of M1 macrophages.

SIRT1 in macrophage promoted HCC cell death

To examine the role of SIRT1 in HCC cell apoptosis, FC with Annexin V/PI staining was carried out. Cells received

a supplement of conditioned medium from macrophage transfected with SIRT1 or vector. The rate of apoptosis was remarkably increased by conditioned medium generated from SIRT1-transfected macrophages when compared with that of vector-transfected macrophages (Figure 3A and B). Cell death was additionally demonstrated via a subsequent TUNEL assay. Cells with positive TUNEL staining were markedly increased in HepG2 cells by conditioned medium generated from SIRT1-transfected macrophages, when compared with that from vector-transfected macrophages (Figure 3C). The similar results were obtained from conditioned medium from human macrophage line HL-60 transfected with SIRT1 or vector (Figure 3D and E). These findings demonstrated that SIRT1 promotes apoptosis of HCC cells via modulation of polarization of M1 macrophages.

SIRTI in macrophage inhibited migration as well as invasion of HCC cells

Next, we investigated the contribution of SIRT1 to migration as well as invasion capability of HCC cells. The migration ability of HepG2 cells was tested with a migration assay utilizing transwell chambers without coating. HepG2 received a supplement of conditioned medium from macrophages



Figure 2 SIRT1 promoted M1 macrophage polarization in vitro.

Notes: RAW 264.7 macrophages were transfected with SIRT1 or vector and were subsequently activated utilizing 100 ng/mL LPS and 20 ng/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours. The expression of IL-1 β (**A**), TNF- α (**B**), IL-6 (**C**), and iNOS (**D**) were assessed by qPCR in RAW 264.7 macrophages. (**E**, **F**) FC was used to evaluate expression of the biomarker CD16/32 associated with M1. Results are displayed as mean \pm SEM, **P < 0.01 vs vector group.

Abbreviations: LPS, lipopolysaccharide; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; FC, flow cytometry; SEM, standard error of mean.

transfected with SIRT1 or vector. It was revealed via the migration assay that excessive SIRT1 expression significantly decreased the migration of cells (Figure 4A and B). Invasion capability of HepG2 cells was evaluated using an invasion assay that utilized polycarbonate transwell filters preliminarily coated with Matrigel. The number of invasive HepG2 cells that migrated to the bottom surface of the filter membrane was lower for HepG2 cells after SIRT1 transfection than that after transfection with the vector control (Figure 4C–D). These results indicated that SIRT1 promoted polarization of M1 macrophages which contributed to inhibitory effect on invasion as well as migration of HepG2 cells.

SIRT I enhanced NF-κB pathway stimulation in macrophages

Because NF- κ B pathways play an essential role in M1 macrophage polarization,²⁷ our research investigated its impact on NF- κ B stimulation. Phosphorylation of p65 as well as I κ B kinase (IKK) was noticeably increased in SIRT1-transfected macrophages compared to vector-transfected macrophages after LPS+ IFN- γ treatment (Figure 5). Furthermore, I κ B was remarkably downregulated in SIRT1-transfected macrophages compared to vector-transfected macrophages in reaction to LPS+ IFN- γ activation. The above-mentioned findings demonstrated that SIRT1 promoted macrophage NF- κ B stimulation.

Suppressive effects of SIRT I on MI macrophages and tumors were counteracted via inhibition of NF-κB pathway

Our research has shown that SIRT1 reinforced polarization of M1 microglia as well as NF- κ B stimulation. Bay-11-7082, an inhibitor specific to NF- κ B, was supplemented in macrophages to examine whether reinforced NF- κ B stimulation assisted polarization of M1 microglia. It was demonstrated via q-PCR that SIRT1-transfected macrophages displayed upregulation of genes associated with M1 compared to vector-transfected macrophages. However, the phenotype was counteracted via Bay-11-7082 (Figure 6A and B). Furthermore, conditioned medium from SIRT1-transfected macrophages significantly inhibited the migration of HepG2 cells, and this inhibitory effect was also counteracted via Bay-11-7082 (Figure 6C and D). These data indicated that SIRT1 reinforced M1 macrophage polarization and inhibited HCC cell migration via the NF- κ B pathway.



Figure 3 SIRT1 promoted HCC cell death.

Notes: HepG2 cells received a supplement of conditioned medium from RAW 264.7 macrophages (MCM), which were transfected with SIRT1 or vector. (**A**, **B**) SIRT1 promoted cell death as demonstrated by TUNEL assays. HepG2 cells received a supplement of conditioned medium from HL-60 macrophages (MCM), which were transfected with SIRT1 or vector. (**D**, **E**) Cell death as demonstrated by FC (**D**) and TUNEL assays (**E**). Results are displayed as mean ± SEM. **P<0.01 vs vector-MCM group.

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; FC, flow cytometry; MCM, macrophage-conditioned medium; SEM, standard error of mean.

Discussion

As a conserved protein deacetylase relying on NAD+, SIRT1 contributes to various cellular reactions.^{28–30} SIRT1 is able to deacetylate histones as well as emerging non-histone substrates that contribute to various signaling pathways.^{31–33} A growing

number of studies have suggested that SIRT1 serves as an essential modulator of DNA injury, inflammation, survival extension, metabolism stress, and tumor progression.^{34–36} In terms of inflammation, SIRT1 is able to deacetylate some transcription factors as well as modulate immune cell





Notes: HepG2 cells received a supplement of conditioned medium from macrophages (MCM), which were transfected with SIRT1 or vector. (**A**) Images of HepG2 cells with migration ability on the bottom surface of transwell membranes. (**B**) Number of HepG2 cells with migration ability in five random fields under a microscope from various groups. (**C**) Images revealing HepG2 cells with invasion ability on the bottom surface of transwell membranes. (**D**) HepG2 cells with invasion ability in five random fields under a microscope from various groups. Results are displayed as mean \pm SEM. **P<0.01 vs vector-MCM group.

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MCM, macrophage-conditioned medium; SEM, standard error of mean.

reactions.³⁷ As for cancer, studies have reported a seemingly contradicting impact of SIRT1 under various circumstances, ranging from an oncoprotein to a tumor suppressor.³⁸ SIRT1 has an impact on not only infiltrating immunocytes but also

cancer cells in the tumor microenvironment. Shedding light upon the activities of SIRT1 associated with cancer in both systems could offer possible avenues of treatment targeting SIRT1. In particular, Al-Bahrani et al found that an expression



Figure 5 (Continued)



Figure 5 SIRT1 reinforced NF-KB pathway stimulation in macrophages.

Notes: RAW 264.7 macrophages were transfected with SIRT1 or vector and were subsequently activated utilizing 100 ng/mL LPS and 20 ng/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours. Representative immunoblots (**A**) and quantitative assessment of phosphorylation level of IKK (**B**), p65 (**C**), and I κ B α (**D**) in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Results are displayed as mean \pm SEM. **P<0.01 vs corresponding control; #P<0.05 vs LPS+ INF- γ -treated vector groups.

Abbreviations: LPS, lipopolysaccharide; IFN, interferon; IKK, IxB kinase; SEM, standard error of mean; Con, control.



Figure 6 The repressing impact of SIRT1 on M1 macrophages as well as cancer cells was counteracted via inhibition of NF-KB signaling.

Notes: RAW 264.7 macrophages were transfected with SIRT1 or vector and were subsequently activated utilizing 100 ng/mL LPS and 20 ng/mL IFN- γ and BAY for 24 hours. Expression of L-1 β (**A**) and TNF- α (**B**) was evaluated via qPCR in RAW 264.7 macrophages. RAW 264.7 macrophages were transfected with SIRT1 or vector and were subsequently activated utilizing 100 ng/mL LPS, 20 ng/mL IFN- γ , and BAY for 24 hours. HepG2 cells were then treated with abovementioned conditioned medium from RAW 264.7 macrophages (MCM). (**C**) Images of HepG2 cells with migration ability on the bottom surface of transwell membranes. (**D**) Number of HepG2 cells with migration ability in five random fields under a microscope from various groups. Results are displayed as mean ± SEM, **P<0.01 vs vector groups; #P<0.05 vs SIRT1 groups.

Abbreviations: BAY, Bay-11-7082; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; IFN, interferon; MCM, macrophage-conditioned medium; SEM, standard error of mean; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

of SIRT1 was found in eleven of 16 (68.75%) HCC and in five of 14 (35.71%) cholangiocarcinoma cases. Western blot analysis showed the expression of SIRT1 in all cell lines studied, although a stronger signal was seen in the HCC cell line. Our research demonstrated that SIRT1 upregulation was correlated with an elevation in the density of M1-like macrophages in HCC tissues. SIRT1 reinforced polarization of M1 macrophages and inhibited metastasis of HCC.

TAMs are able to convey oncogenic signals in chronic inflammatory reactions and eliminate precancerous senescent liver cells in order to avoid HCC.³⁹ Selectively steering or reeducating macrophages to anticancer phenotypes could serve as a promising treatment strategy.⁴⁰ Furthermore, programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) could be an innovative regulating "checkpoint" of macrophages, if the macrophages display PD-1 expression in the tumor microenvironment.⁴¹ As C-C chemokine ligand 2 and colony-stimulating factor 1 have an essential impact on recruitment of macrophages to tumor tissues, targeting these ligands and/or corresponding receptors in order to eliminate the cancer-promoting capability of macrophages has attracted significant attention.42 These treatment methods allow for improved outcomes in multiple preclinical models, but adding additional targets could have a complementary effect. Targeting liver macrophages could reduce HCC in the background of chronic hepatic illness or promote novel treatments in diagnosed HCC. Despite these promising findings, any HCC treatment that targets macrophages should take into consideration the versatile activity of liver macrophages in fibrosis, chronic inflammatory reactions, and tumor progression.

IKKβ inhibition has been shown to reinforce tumorrepressing polarization of macrophages, while sustained IKKβ stimulation propels macrophages in a malignancyenhancing direction.⁴³ Nevertheless, in established fibrosarcomas, inhibition of NF- κ B stimulation assists in steering M2-like TAMs in a cancer-promoting direction. Additionally, NF- κ B restimulation is able to transform an M2 phenotype to M1.^{44,45} Above-mentioned findings indicate that NF- κ B stimulation of macrophages could have an essential impact on various cancers. It has been shown in our research that reinforced NF- κ B stimulation in macrophages with excessive SIRT1 expression propels TAM polarization to a tumor-repressing phenotype. I κ B α phosphorylation inhibition eliminates the tumor-repressing activity of macrophages with excessive SIRT1 expression in vitro.

Conclusion

This study has shown that M1 macrophages have an essential impact on HCC, and SIRT enhances this effect by regulating

polarization of macrophages to a tumor-repressing phenotype via reinforcing NF- κ B stimulation. These findings suggest that macrophage SIRT1 could serve as an innovative target to treat HCC.

Ethics approval and informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study. For any participant under 18 years of age, a parent or guardian provided written informed consent. This research was approved by the School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xinxiang Medical University First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical College, and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Xinxiang Medical University (grant number 505120).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Changjun L, Feizhou H, Dezhen P, Zhao L, Xianhai M. MiR-545-3p/ MT1M axis regulates cell proliferation, invasion and migration in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;108:347–354.
- Yue D, Sun X. Idelalisib promotes Bim-dependent apoptosis through AKT/FOXO3a in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9(10):935.
- Cao J, Dong Y, Mao F, Wang W. Dynamic three-dimensional contrastenhanced ultrasound to predict therapeutic response of radiofrequency ablation in hepatocellular carcinoma: preliminary findings. *BioMed Res Int.* 2018;2018(5):1–8.
- Qiu S-Q, Waaijer SJH, Zwager MC, de Vries EGE, van der Vegt B, Schröder CP. Tumor-associated Macrophages in breast cancer: innocent bystander or important player? *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2018;70:178–189.
- Loyher PL, Hamon P, Laviron M, et al. Combadiere C and Boissonnas A macrophages of distinct origins contribute to tumor development in the lung. *J Exp Med.* 2018;215(10):2536–2553.
- Caras I, Tucureanu C, Lerescu L, et al. Influence of tumor cell culture supernatants on macrophage functional polarization: in vitro models of macrophage-tumor environment interaction. *Tumori*. 2011;97(5): 647–654.
- Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani RM, et al. Tumor hypoxia directed multimodal nanotherapy for overcoming drug resistance in renal cell carcinoma and reprogramming macrophages. *Biomaterials*. 2018;183: 280–294.
- Lacal PM, Graziani G. Therapeutic implication of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (VEGFR-1) targeting in cancer cells and tumor microenvironment by competitive and non-competitive inhibitors. *Pharmacol Res.* 2018;136:97–107.
- Roy S, Bag AK, Dutta S, et al. Macrophage-derived neuropilin-2 exhibits novel tumor-promoting functions. *Cancer Res*. 2018;78(19):5600–5617.
- Chen P, Zhou J, Li J, Zhang Q, Zuo Q. TIPE1 suppresses osteosarcoma tumor growth by regulating macrophage infiltration. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2019;21(3):334–341.
- Cook KL, Soto-Pantoja DR. "UPRegulation" of CD47 by the endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway controls anti-tumor immune responses. *Biomark Res.* 2017;5(1):26.

- 12. Zhang X, Wang S, Nan Y, et al. Inhibition of autophagy potentiated the anti-tumor effects of VEGF and CD47 bispecific therapy in glioblastoma. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. Epub 2018 May 12.
- Sakakura K, Takahashi H, Kaira K, et al. Relationship between tumorassociated macrophage subsets and CD47 expression in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in the tumor microenvironment. *Lab Invest.* 2016;96(9):994–1003.
- Wei W, Hu-Jie C. Association of the infiltration of tumor-associated macrophages, expression of Smad7 protein and prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2018;95:22–29.
- Pilch Z, Tonecka K, Braniewska A, et al. Antitumor activity of TLR7 is potentiated by CD200R antibody leading to changes in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2018;6(8):930–940.
- Zinovkin DA, Pranjol MZI, Bilsky IA, Zmushko VA. Tumor-associated T-lymphocytes and macrophages are decreased in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma with MELF-Pattern stromal changes. *Cancer Microenviron*. 2018;11(2–3):107–114.
- Liu Q, Tong D, Liu G, et al. Metformin inhibits prostate cancer progression by targeting tumor-associated inflammatory infiltration. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24(22):5622–5634.
- Tsukamoto H, Fujieda K, Miyashita A, et al. Combined blockade of IL6 and PD-1/PD-L1 signaling abrogates mutual regulation of their immunosuppressive effects in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Res.* 2018;78(17):5011–5022.
- Salazar-González RA, Turiján-Espinoza E, Hein DW, Milán-Segovia RC, Uresti-Rivera EE, Portales-Pérez DP. Expression and genotypedependent catalytic activity of N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and its modulation by sirtuin 1. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2018;156:340–347.
- Zhang X, Lu Y, Wu Q, et al. Astaxanthin mitigates subarachnoid hemorrhage injury primarily by increasing sirtuin 1 and inhibiting the Toll-like receptor 4 signaling pathway. *FASEB J.* 2019;33(1): 722–737.
- Guo Y, Xing L, Qian C, Ding Z, Jin B. Involvement of Flavonoids from the Leaves of *Carya cathayensis* Sarg in Sirtuin 1 Expression in HUVEC Senescence. *Evid Based Complementary Alternat Med.* 2018;2018:1–8.
- 22. Hafez YM, El-Deeb OS, Atef MM. The emerging role of the epigenetic enzyme sirtuin-1 and high mobility group box 1 in patients with diabetic foot ulceration. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2018;12(6):1065–1070.
- Wang Y, Zhang L, Che X, Li W, Liu Z, Jiang J. Roles of SIRT1/FoxO1/ SREBP-1 in the development of progestin resistance in endometrial cancer. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. Epub 2018 Sep 11.
- Rifaï K, Judes G, Idrissou M, et al. SIRT1-dependent epigenetic regulation of H3 and H4 histone acetylation in human breast cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(55):30661–30678.
- 25. Ceballos MP, Decándido G, Quiroga AD, et al. Inhibition of sirtuins 1 and 2 impairs cell survival and migration and modulates the expression of P-glycoprotein and MRP3 in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. *Toxicol Lett.* 2018;289:63–74.
- Zhou J, Zhou W, Kong F, Xiao X, Kuang H, Zhu Y. microRNA-34a overexpression inhibits cell migration and invasion via regulating SIRT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncol Lett.* 2017;14(6):6950–6954.
- Gao X-R, Ge J, Li W-Y, Zhou W-C, Xu L, Geng D-Q. NF-κB/let-7f-5p/ IL-10 pathway involves in wear particle-induced osteolysis by inducing M1 macrophage polarization. *Cell Cycle*. 2018;17(17):2134–2145.
- Shi X, Wei W, Wang N. *Tremella* polysaccharides inhibit cellular apoptosis and autophagy induced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipopolysaccharide in A549 cells through sirtuin 1 activation. *Oncol Lett.* 2018; 15(6):9609–9616.

- You Z, Liu Y, Liu X. Nicotinamide N-methyltransferase enhances the progression of prostate cancer by stabilizing sirtuin 1. *Oncol Lett.* 2018;15(6):9195–9201.
- Bugyei-Twum A, Ford C, Civitarese R, et al. Sirtuin 1 activation attenuates cardiac fibrosis in a rodent pressure overload model by modifying Smad2/3 transactivation. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2018;114(12):1629–1641.
- Chun SK, Lee S, Flores-Toro J, et al. Loss of sirtuin 1 and mitofusin 2 contributes to enhanced ischemia/reperfusion injury in aged livers. *Aging Cell*. 2018;17(4):e12761.
- Kang JY, Kim JY, Kim KB, et al. KDM2B is a histone H3K79 demethylase and induces transcriptional repression via sirtuin-1-mediated chromatin silencing. *FASEB J.* 2018;32(10):5737–5750.
- Yamaç AH, Kılıç Ü. Effect of statins on sirtuin 1 and endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression in young patients with a history of premature myocardial infarction. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars.* 2018;46(3):205–215.
- Xia B, Li Y, Li R, et al. Effect of sirtuin-1 on synaptic plasticity in nucleus accumbens in a rat model of heroin addiction. *Med Sci Monit*. 2018;24:3789–3803.
- 35. Kaur I, Rawal P, Rohilla S, et al. Endothelial progenitor cells from aged subjects display decreased expression of sirtuin 1, angiogenic functions, and increased senescence. *Cell Biol Int.* Epub 2018 May 31.
- 36. Shi J-X, Huang Q. Glucagon-like peptide-1 protects mouse podocytes against high glucose-induced apoptosis, and suppresses reactive oxygen species production and proinflammatory cytokine secretion, through sirtuin 1 activation in vitro. *Mol Med Rep.* 2018;18(2):1789–1797.
- Li K, Lv G, Pan L. SIRT1 alleviates LPS induced inflammation of periodontal ligament fibroblasts via downregulation of TLR4. *Int J Biol Macromol.* 2018;119:249–254.
- Hu LY, Hou YB, Yu LH, Mi YH, Zhang JW, Wang K. Expression of SIRT1 gene in human lung cancer lines enhances their sensitivity to the anticancer effects of cisplatin. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2018; 22(14):4551–4556.
- Liu C-Q, Xu J, Zhou Z-G, et al. Expression patterns of programmed death ligand 1 correlate with different microenvironments and patient prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;119(1):80–88.
- Xiao P, Long X, Zhang L, et al. Neurotensin/IL-8 pathway orchestrates local inflammatory response and tumor invasion by inducing M2 polarization of tumor-associated macrophages and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *OncoImmunology*. 2018; 7(7):e1440166.
- Gordon SR, Maute RL, Dulken BW, et al. PD-1 expression by tumourassociated macrophages inhibits phagocytosis and tumour immunity. *Nature*. 2017;545(7655):495–499.
- 42. Li X, Yao W, Yuan Y, et al. Targeting of tumour-infiltrating macrophages via CCL2/CCR2 signalling as a therapeutic strategy against hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut.* 2017;66(1):157–167.
- Fong CHY, Bebien M, Didierlaurent A, et al. An antiinflammatory role for IKKβ through the inhibition of "classical" macrophage activation. *J Exp Med.* 2008;205(6):1269–1276.
- 44. Saccani A, Schioppa T, Porta C, et al. P50 nuclear factor-kappaB overexpression in tumor-associated macrophages inhibits M1 inflammatory responses and antitumor resistance. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66(23): 11432–11440.
- Hagemann T, Biswas SK, Lawrence T, Sica A, Lewis CE. Regulation of macrophage function in tumors: the multifaceted role of NF-kappaB. *Blood*. 2009;113(14):3139–3146.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.