

Huge Paratubal Cyst: A Case Report and a Literature Review

Brahmana Askandar Tjokropawiro 

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Medical Faculty – Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Clinical Medicine Insights: Case Reports
Volume 14: 1–4
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/11795476211037549



ABSTRACT: Paratubal cysts may mimic ovarian cysts, and most of them are diagnosed postoperatively. They originate from the mesosalpinx between the ovary and the fallopian tube. Only a few are large, and most paratubal cysts are less than 10 cm. We report a huge paratubal cyst in a 30-year-old woman, whose only preoperative complaint was abdominal distention over 4 months. Conservative surgery was performed with cyst removal while preserving the ovaries and tubes. A paratubal cyst should be included in the differential diagnosis of a large pelvic masses, especially in the reproductive age.

KEYWORDS: Paratubal cyst, pelvic mass, abdominal distention

RECEIVED: April 23, 2021. **ACCEPTED:** July 19, 2021.

TYPE: Case Report

FUNDING: The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Brahmana Askandar Tjokropawiro, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital, Medical Faculty – Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Prof. Dr. Moestopo 6-8, Surabaya, 60286, Indonesia.
Email: brahmanaaskandar@gmail.com

Introduction

Adnexal cysts may arise from ovarian and fallopian tube tissues. A paratubal cyst is a type of adnexal cyst that originates from the mesothelium in the large ligament between the fallopian tube and the ovary; it accounts for 10% of all adnexal masses.¹ Most cases of paratubal cysts are misdiagnosed as ovarian cysts and are suspected in just 1 of 15 patients before surgery.¹ Their mean size has been reported to be 7.51 cm; only 12.96% are larger than 10 cm.² Only a few cases of giant paratubal cysts (>20 cm) have been published, and all cases have had different approaches and histopathological types.^{3–8} We report a case of a giant paratubal cyst mimicking an ovarian cyst in a 30-year-old woman and provide some representative images along with a literature review. The cyst was managed with surgical removal while preserving the adnexa; the final postoperative diagnosis was that of a benign paratubal cyst.

Case Report

A 30-year-old woman visited the outpatient clinic seeking treatment for abdominal distention present since the last 4 months. She had no history of surgery. Bowel and bladder functions were normal. Menstruation was regular and without any pain. The patient was nulliparous. Computed tomography (CT) scan with contrast showed a thin walled unilocular cyst arising from the left adnexa with dimensions of 22.3 cm × 18.4 cm × 6.8 cm; no papillary projections or nodules were observed (Figure 1). The Ca 125 level was 13.64 U/mL.

Because of the large size of the cyst and the patient's preference, a laparotomy was performed. We performed a midline incision above the umbilicus, trying to exteriorize the cyst without any rupture. Intraoperative exploration showed a large, thin-walled, unilocular cyst arising from the left para-tubal tissue without any adhesions; the left tube and left ovary were normal (Figure 2). The para tubal cyst was dissected to separate it from

the normal ovary and tube (Figure 3). The para tubal cyst was removed and sent for histopathological examination (Figure 4). The left tube and left ovary were preserved (Figure 5). The uterus and right adnexa were normal. Frozen section examination confirmed by final histopathological examination revealed a benign serous cyst of size 22 cm × 17 cm × 6 cm.

Written, informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this report and its accompanying images (Table 1).

Discussion

A paratubal cyst is a cyst that originates from the mesosalpinx between the ovary and the fallopian tube and may arise from the mesothelium, mesonephric, or paramesonephric (Mullerian) tissues.⁴ The duct shrinks as the fetus starts to develop female sex organs. Occasionally, remnants of the duct can be found and may grow into a paratubal cyst. The vestiges of the paramesonephric (Mullerian) duct may also form cysts. Para ovarian cysts and paratubal cysts are the same and are used to describe cysts that arise from the tissue between the ovary and fallopian tube.^{2,5,11} Para tubal cysts have been found in 7.3% of pediatric and adolescent populations.¹² The incidence in the general population is estimated to be around 3%, with the third and fourth decades being the peak age of recurrence.⁵ The majority of paratubal cysts occur in the reproductive age, and only 6.25% of paratubal cysts occur in postmenopausal age.¹³ Most paratubal cysts are asymptomatic unless they have already enlarged significantly. The patient in our case did not have symptoms until she experienced abdominal distention over 4 months. Paratubal cysts may cause complications if they undergo torsion and rupture.

Most paratubal cysts are diagnosed intraoperatively. Only 1 in 15 patients are diagnosed preoperatively.¹ One report showed that 307 patients did not have a paratubal cyst



ultrasonographically, but finally, 298 had a paratubal cyst confirmed surgically.¹⁴ Most paratubal cysts appear as thin-walled unilocular cysts with smooth borders. On CT, benign




Figure 1. A computed tomography scan showing a thin-walled, unilocular cyst without any papillary projections or nodules.

paratubal cysts appear as thin-walled unilocular cysts with a smooth capsule containing clear fluid.^{3,8,15} On magnetic resonance imaging, benign paratubal cysts appear as a thin-walled unilocular cyst with smooth borders.⁵ Imaging of non-benign paratubal cysts may show intramural solid nodules, papillary projections, or a septum inside the cyst.^{5,16,17} A CT scan in our case showed a thin-walled unilocular cyst with smooth borders, without solid nodules, papillary projections or a septum, and histopathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of a benign paratubal cyst.

The majority of paratubal cysts are benign.⁵ However, there have been several reports where paratubal cysts were borderline or malignant.^{10,18,19} As the incidence of malignancy in paratubal cases is very low, there is no reliable data regarding the efficacy of Ca 125 levels in predicting a malignancy in patients with paratubal cysts. Age, gross appearance, size, septation, and Ca 125 levels are all weak indicators of malignancy.² Hence, frozen sections should be checked intraoperatively to confirm the malignancy status of the paratubal cyst, especially when there is a papillary projection inside the cyst. Papillary projections growing from the cyst wall are ultrasound findings that are suspicious of malignancy of paratubal cysts.²⁰ In our case, no papillary projections were observed and the frozen section




Figure 2. (A) A view from the above showing a large left paratubal cyst with a normal left ovary (red arrow) and (B) a view from below showing a left sided, smooth-surfaced, paratubal cyst with normal left tube (yellow arrow).



Figure 3. Dissection of the left paratubal cyst was performed to separate the cyst from the normal left ovary (red arrow) and left tube (yellow arrow).

result was in concordance with the final histopathological examination, which showed a benign serous cyst.

The low incidence of paratubal cysts has led to a lack of standard consensus on their management. Some paratubal cysts



Figure 4. A huge left paratubal cyst was removed.

are removed laparoscopically, while several others are removed via laparotomy.²⁻⁵ There are no standard criteria for laparoscopy or laparotomy. The size of the cyst, patient's preference, and cyst rupture concern were the reasons for deciding on a laparotomy in our case. Intraoperative management varies between cystectomy, cystectomy plus salpingectomy, and adnexectomy.^{2,3,21} The majority undergo a cystectomy for a paratubal cyst.² The patient in our case underwent paratubal cystectomy and preservation of the ovary and the fallopian tube. Paratubal cysts commonly occur in the reproductive age when fertility is still necessary; hence, conservative surgery preserving tubes and ovaries should be the first consideration. Intraoperatively, careful exploration should be performed to confirm the origin of the cyst, and misdiagnosis as a large ovarian cyst and subsequent adnexectomy should be avoided. Most para-tubal cysts are benign, and only a few are borderline.^{9,10,16,21} The most common occurrence of a paratubal borderline tumor is among the elderly.^{9,10}

This case suggests that a paratubal cyst should be included in the differential diagnosis of pelvic masses, especially in the reproductive age. A huge paratubal cyst may mimic a large



Figure 5. The left tube and left ovary were preserved.

Table 1. Large paratubal cases.

AUTHOR	AGE OF THE PATIENT (YEARS OLD)	GREATEST DIMENSION OF PARATUBAL CYST (CM)	LOCATION OF THE PARATUBAL CYST	SURGICAL PROCEDURE	HISTOPATHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION
Asare et al ³	19	27	Left adnexa	Laparotomy paratubal cystectomy	Benign cyst
Zvizdic et al ⁴	15	25	Left adnexa	Laparotomy paratubal cystectomy	Benign cyst
Marginean et al ⁷	15	17	Left adnexa	Laparoscopy paratubal cystectomy	Benign cyst
Skaff et al ⁸	31	36	Right adnexa	Laparoscopy paratubal cystectomy	Benign cyst
Mehawej et al ⁹	85	1	Right adnexa	Total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy	Serous borderline tumor
Baek ¹⁰	61	6	Left adnexa	Laparoscopic hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy	Serous borderline tumor

ovarian cyst preoperatively and intraoperatively. Careful inspection during surgery is necessary to confirm a paratubal cyst. Cystectomy should be the first consideration in the management of paratubal cysts.

Author Contributions

Data collection, manuscript writing and editing.

Ethics

In our institution, a case report does not require approval from ethic committee.

Patient's Consent

A written and informed consent was obtained from the patient informing them about the publication of case and pictures in the journal.

ORCID iD

Brahmana Askandar Tjokprawiro  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1658-3477>

REFERENCES

1. Barloon TJ, Brown BP, Abu-Yousef MM, Warnock NG. Paraovarian and paratubal cysts: preoperative diagnosis using transabdominal and transvaginal sonography. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 1996;24:117-122.
2. Durairaj A, Gandhiraman K. Complications and management of paraovarian cyst: a retrospective analysis. *J Obstet Gynaecol India*. 2019;69:180-184.
3. Asare EA, Greenberg S, Szabo S, Sato TT. Giant paratubal cyst in adolescence: case report, modified minimal access surgical technique, and literature review. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2015;28:e143-e145.
4. Zvizdic Z, Bukvic M, Murtezic S, Skenderi F, Vranic S. Giant paratubal serous cystadenoma in an adolescent female: case report and literature review. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2020;33:438-440.
5. Kiseli M, Caglar GS, Cengiz SD, Karadag D, Yilmaz MB. Clinical diagnosis and complications of paratubal cysts: review of the literature and report of uncommon presentations. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2012;285:1563-1569.
6. Leanza V, Coco L, Genovese F, et al. Laparoscopic removal of a giant paratubal cyst complicated by hydronephrosis. *G Chir*. 2013;34:323-325.
7. Marginean CO, Marginean C, Melit LE, Sasaran VS, Porutiu M, Marginean CD. An incidental diagnosis of a giant paraovarian cyst in a female teenager: a case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97:e13406.
8. Skaff B, Zoorob D, El Assaad R, Abou-Baker M. Minimally invasive excision of a giant paratubal cyst: case report and management review. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;2019:3458230.
9. Mehawej J, El Helou N, Wang L, Mhaweche-Fauceglia P. Paratubal serous borderline tumor in an 85 years old woman: a case report. *Gynecol Oncol Rep*. 2020;32:100559.
10. Baek J. Paratubal borderline serous tumor in a postmenopausal woman: a case report. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2019;32:129.
11. Savelli L, Ghi T, De Iaco P, Ceccaroni M, Venturoli S, Cacciatore B. Paraovarian/paratubal cysts: comparison of transvaginal sonographic and pathological findings to establish diagnostic criteria. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;28:330-334.
12. Muolokwu E, Sanchez J, Bercaw JL, et al. The incidence and surgical management of paratubal cysts in a pediatric and adolescent population. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2011;46:2161-2163.
13. Gupta A, Gupta P, Manaktala U, Khurana N. Clinical, radiological, and histopathological analysis of paraovarian cysts. *J Midlife Health*. 2016;7:78-82.
14. Guerriero S, Ajossa S, Piras S, Angiolucci M, Marisa O, Melis GB. Diagnosis of paraovarian cysts using transvaginal sonography combined with CA 125 determination. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;28:856-858.
15. Alpendre F, Pedrosa I, Silva R, Batista S, Tapadinhas P. Giant paratubal cyst presenting as adnexal torsion: a case report. *Case Rep Womens Health*. 2020;27:e00222.
16. Shin Y-J, Kim J-Y, Lee HJ, Park J-Y, Nam J-H. Paratubal serous borderline tumor. *J Gynecol Oncol*. 2011;22:295-298.
17. Ahn JH, Um KJ, Kim HS, et al. Long-term postoperative follow-up of a patient with a borderline serous tumor arising from a paratubal cyst: a case report and review of the literature. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*. 2019;40:1104-1107.
18. Lashin ME-B, Abdelwahab MM, Elnagar WM. Para ovarian cyst in 16 years old female; borderline ovarian tumor: a case report. *Am J Med Case Rep*. 2018;6:233-236.
19. Ryu KJ, Kim IS, Bae HS, Lee JK, Lee NW, Song JY. Paratubal cancer found at the time of laparoscopic surgery for adnexal torsion: a case report and literature review. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*. 2014;35:741-744.
20. Smorgick N, Maymon R. Assessment of adnexal masses using ultrasound: a practical review. *Int J Womens Health*. 2014;6:857-863.
21. Im HS, Kim JO, Lee SJ, Lee YS, Park EK. Borderline mucinous tumor arising in a paratubal cyst: a case report. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*. 2011;32:206-207.