SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 27 May 2016 Accepted: 30 September 2016 Published: 14 October 2016

OPEN Microsatellite Loci Analysis Reveals **Post-bottleneck Recovery of Genetic Diversity in the Tibetan** Antelope

Yurong Du¹, Xiaoyan Zou², Yongtao Xu³, Xinyi Guo³, Shuang Li¹, Xuze Zhang⁴, Mengyu Su¹, Jianbin Ma¹ & Songchang Guo²

The Tibetan antelope (chiru, Pantholops hodgsoni) is one of the most endangered mammals native to the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. The population size has rapidly declined over the last century due to illegal hunting and habitat damage. In the past 10 years, the population has reportedly been expanding due to conservation efforts. Several lines of evidence suggest that the Tibetan antelope has undergone a demographic bottleneck. However, the consequences of the bottleneck on genetic diversity and the post-bottleneck genetic recovery remain unknown. In this study, we investigate the genetic variation of 15 microsatellite loci from two Tibetan antelope populations sampled in 2003 (Pop2003) and 2013 (Pop2013). A higher level of genetic diversity (NA, 13.286; He, 0.840; PIC, 0.813; I, 2.114) was detected in Pop2013, compared to Pop2003 (NA, 12.929; He, 0.818; PIC, 0.789; I, 2.033). We observe that despite passing through the bottleneck, the Tibetan antelope retains high levels of genetic diversity. Furthermore, our results show significant or near significant increases in genetic diversity (He, PIC and I) in Pop2013 compared with Pop2003, which suggests that protection efforts did not arrive too late for the Tibetan antelope.

The Tibetan antelope or chiru (Pantholops hodgsonii) is a member of the Bovidae family (order Artiodactyla) native to the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP). It is distributed primarily throughout central Tibet, Qinghai, Xinjiang and west Sichuan in China, occupying a total area of approximately 880,000 km² at an altitude of 3700 to 5500 m¹. The Tibetan antelope was widely distributed over the QTP in the 20th century, with a population size ranging from 500,000 to 1,000,000 individuals during peak years². However, this species has suffered a severe demographic bottleneck since the 1950s. The estimation of Tibetan antelope population size reached the minimum number of 50,000 individuals in 2003³. Such a drastic reduction is primarily considered to be the result of human activity such as poaching and overgrazing. Accordingly, the Tibetan antelope is listed as "Endangered" on the IUCN Red List (http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/15967/0) and as a Category I species under the Wild Animal Protection Law of China. To protect the Tibetan antelope and restore the population, the Chinese government has set up seven Nature Reserves since 1993⁴ and has taken measures such as anti-poaching, building wildlife passageways, and strengthening publicity. In 2011, the number of Tibetan antelope was estimated to have recovered up to 200,000 (Antelope Specialist Group 2011, See ref. 3).

Nevertheless, Tibetan antelope populations are still suffering from pressure from poaching, the development of society by man, and the worsening environment as a result of global warming and grassland degradation caused by human activities. Genetic variant analysis based on SSR and mtDNA markers in the giant panda⁵, grey shrike⁶ and Sichuan snub-nosed monkey⁷ suggest that declines in population lead to the rapid loss of genetic diversity and, thus, the danger of population extinction. Although the Tibetan antelope population continues to grow, it is unclear whether the genetic diversity of this species is increasing over time. Furthermore, the recovery of endangered species from severe population bottlenecks now frequently involves human intervention, but the

¹School of Life and Geography Science, Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810008, China. ²Key Laboratory of Evolution and Adaptation of Plateau Biota, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining 810007, China. ³School of Life Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. ⁴School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qinghai University for Nationalities, Xining 810001, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.M. (email: mjb_117@163.com) or S.G. (email: quo@nwipb.cas.cn)

Locus	Primers	Core sequence	Ta°C
D1	F: AGCAAGCATTTGTCTGTCAGT	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtg	52.8
11	R: GTATGGCAGGTGGGGAAT		52.8
D4	F: TGATCCATAAAACCAGGGGA	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (TG)20	57.5
ro	R: GTGGAATGAGTCCATGCCTT		57.5
DO	F: GACATTTCAATTCTTCTGCTTTAG	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (TC)15(CA)16 (TC)128 (TGC)20	50
F 9	R: CAAGATGGCAGTGTCTTCTCA		50
D17	F: TCTTGTGATCTCTTCCAGTAGAG	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (AC)16 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtg	54
F17	R: CGTCAGGCAATGAAGGTAG		54
D24	F: CAGATCCCTGAAGAATGAGG	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (TG)9 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)15(CA)16 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (AGT)9	54.5
r 24	R: GAGGAAGAGGATGGAGCAG		
D62	F: ATTTTCACTCCCTGCACCAA	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (TG)9 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)15(CA)16 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (AGT)9	50.5
F03	R: CTCATGGGGTAAAAGGCAGA		59.5
D47	F: CCGGGGTGCAATTAGAGTAA	 (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (CT)6t(AC)12 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgt	54.5
F07	R: GACTGCAATGGGTTTGTGTG		
D72	F: CACTGCCCAAGAGAACAAGA	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (TG)9 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (TGC)20	57.5
F75	R: TTTTCTGGGGTGCAAGTTTC		
D75	F: GGGAAGGAGGTTCAAGAGGA	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (TG)9 (TG)9 (TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)5t(CA)16 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (TGC)20	61
F73	R: CCACCATAAACTTTGTTGCCA		01
D79	F: TGAATTATCCGTGTGGCAGA	(CT)7t/TC)12cotocototototototototototototototototot	61.5
F70	R: GTCCCTCCGTGTCTGTCTGT	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (CT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (TA)11 (AC)19 (CT)6t(AC)12 (TG)9 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgtgcatgtgtgtgcgtgt(GTGC)5 (AT)14 (CT)28 (AGT)9 (TGC)20	61.5
DOO	F: TGCAGTGGCCATCATGTAAT	Core sequence (AC)12(CA)14 (GT)14 (GT)10(CA)14 (TC)6cgctc(TC)9cacac(CA)14 (AC)16 (AC)16 (AC)19 (AC)19 (GT)7t(TG)12cgtgcgtgtgcatgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtg	61.5
F 90	R: ATGTGTGCAAGTCACTTCTTTAAT		61.5
DOC	F: CAGTCATTCAAGACCAAGCG	(TC)15(CA)16	40.5
F 90	R: CATTTTCACAAATTGAGCCCT	(AT)14 (TC)15(CA)16	49.5
D112	F: CTGACTTCTTTCTCCCTACGA	(CTV)20	54
P115	R: CAACCACTTTTGGATTCACAG	(CT)28	
D154	F: CAAGGGATCATTTCAATGCT	AATGCT (AGT)9	58 5
P154	R: GATACGACTGAGCGACTTGA	(AGT)9	
P160	F: AAGAGGCAGCACCGTACA	(TCC)20	52.5
P160	R: CTATGAAAGAAAGAGCCAGAGT	(TGC)20	

Table 1. Primer pairs of 15 microsatellite loci in Tibetan antelope.

.....

genetic consequences of intervention strategies remain unknown. Herein, we address this gap in knowledge by using 15 microsatellite loci to investigate temporal changes in the genetic diversity of Tibetan antelope during an 11-year period. The aim of this study was to examine the trends in this change and to what extent the populations have been restored at the genomic level. Our results provide guidance for future conservation and management strategies.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Skin tissue samples were obtained from Qinghai Forest Bureau in 2003, which confiscated Tibetan antelopes that were poached in the Hoh Xil National Nature Reserves (hereafter referred to as Pop2003, n = 47). Placental tissues were collected from the Zhuonaihu Lake area in Hoh Xil National Nature Reserve in 2013 (hereafter referred to as Pop2013, n = 47). All samples were washed three times with deionized water, sucked with 95% ethanol and stored at -80 °C. All necessary approvals for collection and experimentation were acquired for the described field study from the Forestry Department of Qinghai Province, China. All procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of the regulations of experiments on animals and were approved by the China Zoological Society.

Microsatellite loci and primers. A total of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci were screened. Seven loci (locus P1, locus P9, locus P17, locus P24, locus P113, locus P154 and locus P160) were obtained using the FIASCO method⁸, and another 8 loci (locus P6, locus P63, locus P67, locus P73, locus P75, locus P78, locus P90 and locus P96) were searched and developed from the Tibetan antelope genome using Perl script and MISA software (http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/misa.html) for extracting the microsatellite DNA sequences from genome DNA of chiru (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/wgs/?val = AGTT01#contigs). The forward microsatellite primers were labeled by FAM and synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai). The primers specific for 15 microsatellite loci are shown in Table 1.

DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using the standard SDS-Phenol method⁹ with minor modifications. The concentration and purity of genomic DNA were measured by Nano 2000C, and template DNA was diluted to $50 \text{ ng/}\mu$ L. All loci were amplified in each of the 47 samples of Pop2003 and Pop2013. The PCR amplification was conducted in a 20- μ L reaction mixture, which contained 0.1 mmol/L dNTPs, 0.2 μ mol/L each

Locus	Population	NC	NA	Но	He	PIC		I
P1	Pop2003	92	11	0.804	0.776	0.750	0.774	1.875
	Pop2013	94	11	0.851	0.818	0.790	0.774	1.968
DC	Pop2003	94	8	0.660	0.636	0.561	0.623	1.240
ro	Pop2013	94	8	0.702	0.721	0.669		1.497
PQ	Pop2003	94	14	0.830	0.840	0.818	0.827	2.165
F 9	Pop2013	94	16	0.809	0.847	0.825		2.210
P17	Pop2003	94	13	0.745	0.775	0.746	0.744	1.883
	Pop2013	90	9	0.556*	0.772	0.738		1.750
D24	Pop2003	94	12	0.894	0.836	0.811	0.850	2.068
P24	Pop2013	94	13	0.957	0.892	0.872	0.850	2.310
D62	Pop2003	94	10	0.851	0.824	0.792	0.820	1.890
P03	Pop2013	94	13	0.809	0.867	0.843	0.820	2.170
D67	Pop2003	92	12	0.739	0.817	0.785	0.785	1.947
P67	Pop2013	84	11	0.643	0.810	0.771		1.809
D72	Pop2002	94	11	0.660*	0.856	0.832	0.850	2.102
P75	Pop2013	92	11	0.196*	0.866	0.841		2.129
P75	Pop2003	94	14	0.957	0.900	0.880	0.896	2.352
	Pop2013	92	15	0.870	0.920	0.903		2.524
D70	Pop2003	90	17	0.933	0.886	0.865	0.959	2.380
P/8	Pop2013	94	16	0.809	0.863	0.840	0.858	2.248
P90	Pop2003	94	14	0.745	0.842	0.814	0.022	2.075
P90	Pop2013	92	12	0.804	0.870	0.845	0.858	2.159
DOC	Pop2003	92	12	0.717	0.765	0.741	0.722	1.898
Р96	Pop2013	94	12	0.766	0.726	0.697	0.725	1.728
P113	Pop2003	94	19	0.957	0.925	0.909	0.913	2.678
	Pop2013	94	21	0.936	0.920	0.904		2.719
D154	Pop2003	94	14	0.979*	0.767	0.739		1.911
P154	Pop2013	94	16	0.957	0.857	0.838	0.799	2.334
D1 < 0	Pop2003	94	11	0.830	0.867	0.841	0.051	2.101
P160	Pop2013	94	13	0.872	0.873	0.849	0.851	2.166
Maria	Pop2003	/	12.929	0.832	0.818	0.7	789	2.033
Mean	Pop2013	/	13.286	0.810	0.840	0.8	313	2.114

Table 2. Genetic diversity at microsatellite loci of Pop2003 and Pop2013 of Tibetan antelope. Values of Ho marked in italic and bold indicated significant deviation (P < 0.05) from HWE. Population deviated from HWE after Bonferroni correction (adjusted $\alpha = 0.05/15$) indicated by an asterisk. Mean values of genetic diversity parameters were calculated using 14 loci of SSR (except locus P73).

·

of primers, 1 Unit *Taq* DNA polymerase and 1 μ L DNA template. The PCR programme was set at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, x °C (x = the annealing temperature specified for each set of primers, (Table 1) for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. A final step of 10 min at 72 °C completed the programme. GeneScanTM-500 LIZTM was used as internal standard for Polymorphism detection on a ABI 3730 DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). GeneMarker V1.75 (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) was used for genotype interpretation.

Statistical analysis of genetic diversity parameters. Original data were analyzed and manually corrected to validate the accurate peak shape and allele size using GeneMarker V1.75. Micro-Checker was used to validate the availability of genotype data¹⁰. The transformations for genotype data formats were conducted by Convert V1.3.1¹¹ for subsequent analysis. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) test was analyzed by Genepop V4.4^{12,13}. Number of Alleles (*NA*) and Shannon index (*I*) were calculated by Microsatellite Analyzer V4.05¹⁴. We calculated observed heterozygosity (*Ho*), expected heterozygosity (*He*) and analyzed the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) by implementing Arlequin V3.5¹⁵. Polymorphism information content (*PIC*) was estimated by modified PowerStats Worksheet¹⁶. BOTTLENECK V1.2.02^{17,18} was used to validate whether the population had undergone the bottleneck effect. Under a two-phase model (TPM), we constrained the model by defining 90% of mutations as conforming to a stepwise mutation model and 10% as multi-step. Furthermore, the change in Ne was estimated under the infinite allele model according to the formula Θ (theta) = 4Ne × Mu, where Ne is the effective population size and Mu is the microsatellite mutation ratio.

Results

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. For the 15 polymorphic loci surveyed, a total of 223 alleles were observed in both Pop2003 and Pop2013, 192 alleles were found in Pop2003, and 197 alleles were found in Pop2013. Overall microsatellite variability was high (8–19 alleles per locus) in Pop2003, and *NA* varied from 8 to 21 in Pop2013 (Table 2). Among all of the 15 loci, only 8 alleles at locus P6 were detected in Pop2003 or Pop2013, while the

Population	Test	IAM	ТРМ	SMM
Pop2003	Sign test: No. of loci with heterozygosity excess (probability)	7.940 (p=0.004)	8.240 (p=0.339)	8.300 (p=0.065)
	Standardized differences test: T2 values (probability)	2.711 (p=0.003)	-0.35 (p=0.363)	-1.609 (p=0.054)
	Wilcoxon test (Probability of heterozygote excess)	0.001	0.665	0.923
	Sign test: No. of loci with heterozygosity excess (probability)	7.990 (p=0.024)	8.260 (p=0.681)	8.310 (p=0.000)
Pop2013	Standardized differences test: T2 values (probability)	2.418 (p=0.008)	-0.934 (p=0.175)	-2.343 (p=0.010)
	Wilcoxon test (Probability of heterozygote excess)	0.000	0.805	0.985

Table 3. Results (P-values) of bottleneck testing under three models. Analyses with BOTTLENECK used three microsatellite mutation models: infinite allele model (IAM), two-phase model (TPM) and stepwise mutation model (SMM).

maximum number of alleles was found at locus P113 (19 and 21 alleles in Pop2003 and Pop2013, respectively). At each locus, the frequencies differed significantly among alleles. The lowest allele frequency was 1, accounting for 1.1% of all alleles, but the highest frequency was 46, accounting for 48.9% of all alleles. HWE test showed significant deviations from HWE at locus P17, locus P67, locus P73 and locus P154 (P < 0.001). However, significant deviations from HWE were confirmed only at locus P73 and locus P154 in Pop2003 and locus P17 and locus P73 in Pop2013 after Bonferroni correction (adjusted $\alpha = 0.05/15$).

Linkage disequilibrium test. At locus P73, significant LD was observed in both Pop2003 and Pop2013 (P < 0.05). We therefore excluded this locus in subsequent analysis. Over 14 microsatellite loci, microsatellite loci pairs P1/P9, P9/P78 and P24/P96 were in LD (P < 0.05). However, no LD was detected between these locus pairs after Bonferroni corrections (adjusted $\alpha = 0.05/14$). In Pop2013, there was significant LD between 55 locus pairs (between locus P1 and P9, P24, P75, P78, P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P6 and P9, P75, P113, P160; locus P9 and P24, P75, P78, P90, P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P17 and P24, P75, P113; locus P24 and P63, P67, P75, P78, P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P63 and P67, P75, P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P67 and P75, P113, P154; locus P75 and P78, P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P75 and P78 and P96, P113, P154, P160; locus P154 and P160). However, only 22 locus pairs were found to be in significant LD after applying Bonferroni corrections for multiple tests (Table S1). Our results suggested that these microsatellite loci were relatively independent in the Tibetan antelope.

Genetic diversity. Microsatellite data of 14 microsatellite loci revealed abundant genetic diversity in both Pop2013 and Pop2003 (Table 1). In Pop2003, the mean number of alleles (*MNA*) was 12.92 (range 8–19), the average *Ho* was 0.832 (range 0.660–0.979), and the average *He* was 0.810 (range 0.636–0.925). In Pop2013, the average of *NA*, *Ho* and *He* across 14 loci was 13.133, 0.810 and 0.840, respectively; *NA* varied from 8 to 21, *Ho* varied from 0.556 to 0.957 and *He* varied from 0.721 to 0.920. The *PIC* at each microsatellite locus was always larger than 0.5 (range 0.561 to 0.909), a threshold value considered to be highly informative. Shannon information index ranged from 1.240 to 2.678, which also indicated a high genetic diversity.

Bottleneck effect. The infinite allele model (IAM), TPM and stepwise mutation model (SMM) were applied when BOTTLENECK was used to test for population bottlenecks in this study. Populations exhibiting a significant heterozygosity excess would be considered to have experienced a recent genetic bottleneck. Under the TPM, the results displayed no genetic bottleneck effect in either Pop2003 or Pop2013 (Table 3). Furthermore, the Wilcoxon test, which is considered to be more reliable than the sign test and standardized differences test, showed no significant results for population bottleneck under the SMM (Pop2003: P = 0.923; Pop2013: P = 0.985). The sign test, standardized differences test and Wilcoxon test all showed a significant heterozygosity excess in either Pop2003 or Pop2013 under the IAM (P < 0.05). However, this was not necessarily indicative of true heterozygosity excess, as the IAM is thought to be a less appropriate model for microsatellites than the SMM¹⁹. These results thus point to the population resilience of the Tibetan antelope.

Recovery of genetic variation. A tendency toward recovery of genetic diversity was observed in the Tibetan antelope. Pop2013, compared with Pop2003, exhibited higher values in *He*, *PIC*, *I* and Θ at 9 out of 14 loci (locus P1, P6, P9, P24, P63, P75, P90, P154, P160). In comparison with Pop2003, paired t-test demonstrated that Pop2013 had significantly higher values of average *He* (0.840 Vs 0.818, *P* < 0.05) and *PIC* (0.813 Vs 0.789, *P* < 0.05) but differences in average *MNA* (13.133 Vs 12.929, *P* > 0.05) and *Ho* (2.144 Vs 2.033, *P* > 0.05) were not found (Table 2). There was also a near significant rise (P = 0.060) in *I*, indicating genetic recovery in the Tibetan antelope. Furthermore, the average Θ increased from 4.581 (Pop2003) to 5.305 (Pop2013) over an 11-year period (Table 4), showing that the population size had increased significantly over approximately a decade (*P* < 0.05).

Locus	Pop2003	Pop2013	Mean	s.d.
P1	3.467	4.484	3.976	0.719
P6	1.744	2.586	2.165	0.595
Р9	5.262	5.553	5.408	0.206
P17	3.438	3.387	3.412	0.036
P24	5.088	8.261	6.674	2.244
P63	4.691	6.536	5.614	1.304
P67	4.479	4.250	4.365	0.162
P75	8.957	11.533	10.245	1.822
P78	7.783	6.285	7.034	1.059
P90	5.344	6.681	6.012	0.945
P96	3.250	2.649	2.949	0.425
P113	12.326	11.489	11.907	0.592
P154	3.298	5.971	4.635	1.890
P160	6.497	6.847	6.672	0.247
Mean	4.503	5.235	4.869	0.518

Table 4. Estimation of theta (θ) in Pop2003 and Pop2013 of Tibetan antelope.

Species	Researcher	MNA	He
Banteng Bos javanicus	40	2.42	0.47
Wild gaur B. gaurus	41	2.2	0.091-0.835
Yak B. grunniens	42	11.69	0.616
Drzawalekie gozalla Dracatra trzawalskii	43	5.98	0.780
rizewaiski's gazene riocupiu pizewaiskii	44	5.85	0.552
American Bison Bison bison	45	3.56-5.00	0.522-0.652
Dorcas gazelle Gazella Dorcas	46	2.2-7.0	0.466-0.727
African wild ass Equus africanus	47	5.06	0.59
Huemul Hippocamelus bisulcus	48	5.25	0.461
Chim, Dauthalana hadarani Dan 2002/Dan 2012	20	9.4	0.838
Child Funnoiops nougsont Pop2005/Pop2015	This study	42 11.69 43 5.98 44 5.85 45 3.56-5.00 46 2.2-7.0 47 5.06 48 5.25 20 9.4 This study 12.800/13.133	0.821/0.841

Table 5. Summary of genetic diversity parameters of microsatellite data of several Bovidae species.

Discussions

Genetic diversity. We examined 15 microsatellite loci in this study to assess the genetic variation in the Tibetan antelope. Our data show rich genetic diversity in Pop2003 and Pop2013 with high values in *MNA*, *He* and *PIC*. Although the results are not directly comparable because different microsatellite loci were used, comparisons of the Tibetan antelope to other Bovidae species suggest that genetic diversity in the Tibetan antelope ranks highest (Table 5), with values of 12.929/13.286 and 0.818/0.840 for *MNA* and *He* in Pop2003 and Pop2013, respectively. Compared to the domestic yak, which is herded in the QTP and the adjacent Asian highlands with a population of more than 14 million, the Tibetan antelope exhibits higher genetic variation (Table 5). These results are in agreement with a previous genetic analysis²⁰ and our previous study²¹, in which significant heterogeneity in the frequencies of mtDNA control region haplotypes was observed.

Bottleneck signature detection. Bottleneck detection is critical for interpreting the historical demography of populations and is informative for establishing conservation strategies for endangered animals. Simulations inferred from mtDNA D-loop fragment show that the Tibetan antelope experienced a severe historical demographic decline since approximately five thousand years before present (B.P.)²¹. Although the Wilcoxon test detected no significant recent population bottleneck signature in the Tibetan antelope under the TPM and SMM via BOTTLENECK in the present study, a recent well-documented decline in the population size of the Tibetan antelope has occurred over the last century, with population size decreasing from a maximum of approximately 500,000–1,000,000 to a minimum of 50,000^{2.3}. Heavy illegal poaching associated with a profitable fur trade could account for this severe demographic reduction. The bottleneck was not detected using the Wilcoxon test for heterozygous excess probably because the number of loci analyzed was small^{17,18} or due to an insufficient sample size¹⁷.

Slow post-bottleneck recovery of genetic diversity. Demographic bottlenecks can result in a loss of genetic variation^{22–26} due to the bottleneck effect and subsequent genetic drift, as has already been observed in African elephants²⁷, black-footed ferrets²⁸ and Arctic foxes²⁹. Rather than rapid genetic loss, the results presented

here suggest a slow post-bottleneck recovery of genetic diversity (in terms of both allele numbers and heterozygosity) in the Pop2013 population in comparison to the Pop2003 population, with values from 12.800 to 13.133 and from 0.821 to 0.841 for *MNA* and *He*, respectively.

Studies have indicated that factors such as dispersive capabilities^{30–34} and effective population size^{35–37} may affect the change in genetic variation. High dispersal potential due to migration of females in most populations each year to summer calving grounds^{38,39} is assumed to promote frequent gene flow. Substantial gene flow was detected in our earlier investigation by examining mtDNA fragments²¹. Therefore, recovery of genetic variation via gene flow is expected, especially within the large populations. Moreover, starting in the 1990s, the Chinese government established seven Nature Reserves and constructed corridors for facilitating the migration of Tibetan antelope, both of which have likely facilitated gene flow among populations and reduced genetic loss in post-bottleneck populations.

We conclude that ample genetic diversity may still exist in the Tibetan antelope. Furthermore, the Tibetan antelope has shown a slight increase in genetic variation during the past 11-year period. In this sense, the results of the present study suggest that protection efforts did not arrive too late for the Tibetan antelope and provide molecular evidence for the effectiveness of conservation strategies.

References

- 1. Schaller, G. B. Wildlife of the Tibetan steppe. (University of Chicago Press, 1998).
- 2. Xia, L. & Yang, Q. S. Migration and protection of Tibetan antelope. Bulletin of Biology 50, 12-15 (2015).
- Leclerc, C., Bellard, C., Luque, G. M. & Courchamp, F. Overcoming extinction: understanding processes of recovery of the Tibetan antelope. *Ecosphere* 6, doi: Artn 171 10.1890/Es15-00049.1 (2015).
- 4. Wu, X. M. & Zhang, H. F. Resources regarding populations of Tibetan Antelope (Pantholops hodgsoni) ant the status of its protection. *Chinese Journal of Nature* **33**, 143–148 (2011).
- Zhang, B. et al. Genetic viability and population history of the giant panda, putting an end to the "evolutionary dead end"? Molecular biology and evolution 24, 1801–1810, doi: 10.1093/molbev/msm099 (2007).
- Kvist, L. *et al.* Population decline is accompanied by loss of genetic diversity in the Lesser Grey Shrike Lanius minor. *Ibis* 153, 98–109, doi: 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01091.x (2011).
- Chang, Z. F. et al. Human influence on the population decline and loss of genetic diversity in a small and isolated population of Sichuan snub-nosed monkeys (Rhinopithecus roxellana). Genetica 140, 105–114, doi: 10.1007/s10709-012-9662-9 (2012).
- Zane, L., Bargelloni, L. & Patarnello, T. Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review. *Molecular ecology* 11, 1–16, doi: DOI 10.1046/j.0962-1083.2001.01418.x (2002).
- 9. Sambrook, J. & Russell, D. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 2001).
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D. P. & Shipley, P. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 535–538 (2004).
- Glaubitz, J. C. CONVERT: A user-friendly program to reformat diploid genotypic data for commonly used population genetic software packages. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 309–310, doi: DOI 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00597.x (2004).
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. Genepop (Version-1.2)-Population-Genetics Software for Exact Tests and Ecumenicism. Journal of Heredity 86, 248–249 (1995).
- 13. Rousset, F. genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular ecology resources* 8, 103–106 (2008).
- Dieringer, D. & Schlotterer, C. MICROSATELLITE ANALYSER (MSA): a platform independent analysis tool for large microsatellite data sets. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3, 167–169, doi: 10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00351.x (2003).
- Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H. E. L. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 10, 564–567, doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x (2010).
- 16. Tereba, A. Tools for analysis of population statistics. Profiles in DNA 2, 14-16 (1999).
- 17. Cornuet, J. M. & Luikart, G. Description and power analysis of two tests for detecting recent population bottlenecks from allele frequency data. *Genetics* 144, 2001–2014 (1996).
- Piry, S., Luikart, G. & Cornuet, J.-M. BOTTLENECK: a program for detecting recent effective population size reductions from allele data frequencies. *Journal of Heredity* 90, 502–503 (1999).
- Shriver, M. D., Jin, L., Chakraborty, R. & Boerwinkle, E. VNTR allele frequency distributions under the stepwise mutation model: a computer simulation approach. *Genetics* 134, 983–993 (1993).
- Zhou, H., Li, D. Q., Zhang, Y. G., Yang, T. & Liu, Y. Genetic diversity of microsatellite DNA loci of Tibetan antelope (Chiru, Pantholops hodgsonii) in Hoh Xil National Nature Reserve, Qinghai, China. J Genet Genomics 34, 600–607, doi: 10.1016/S1673-8527(07)60068-X (2007).
- Du, Y. R. *et al.* Demographic history of the Tibetan antelope Pantholops hodgsoni (chiru). J Syst Evol 48, 490–496, doi: 10.1111/j.1759-6831.2010.00095.x (2010).
- Bouzat, J. L., Paige, K. N. & Lewin, H. A. The ghost of genetic diversity past: historical DNA analysis of the greater prairie chicken. The American Naturalist 152, 1–6 (1998).
- Chakraborty, R. & Nei, M. Bottleneck effects on average heterozygosity and genetic distance with the stepwise mutation model. *Evolution*, 347–356 (1977).
- Lacy, R. C. Loss of genetic diversity from managed populations: interacting effects of drift, mutation, immigration, selection, and population subdivision. *Conservation Biology* 1, 143–158 (1987).
- Nei, M., Maruyama, T. & Chakraborty, R. Bottleneck Effect and Genetic-Variability in Populations. *Evolution* 29, 1–10, doi: Doi 10.2307/2407137 (1975).
- 26. Wright, S. Evolution and the genetics of populations: Vol. 2. The theory of gene frequencies. (1969).
- Whitehouse, A. M. & Harley, E. H. Post-bottleneck genetic diversity of elephant populations in South Africa, revealed using microsatellite analysis. *Molecular ecology* 10, 2139–2149, doi: DOI 10.1046/j.0962-1083.2001.01356.x (2001).
- Wisely, S. M., Buskirk, S. W., Fleming, M. A., McDonald, D. B. & Ostrander, E. A. Genetic diversity and fitness in black-footed ferrets before and during a bottleneck. *Journal of Heredity* 93, 231–237, doi: DOI 10.1093/jhered/93.4.231 (2002).
- Ploshnitsa, A. I., Goltsman, M. E., Happ, G. M., Macdonald, D. W. & Kennedy, L. J. Historical and modern neutral genetic variability in Mednyi Arctic foxes passed through a severe bottleneck. J Zool 289, 68–76, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.2012.00964.x (2013).
- Wenink, P. W., Groen, A. F., Roelke-Parker, M. E. & Prins, H. H. T. African buffalo maintain high genetic diversity in the major histocompatibility complex in spite of historically known population bottlenecks. *Molecular ecology* 7, 1315–1322, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00463.x (1998).
- 31. Congiu, L. et al. Managing polyploidy in ex situ conservation genetics: the case of the critically endangered Adriatic sturgeon (Acipenser naccarii). PloS one 6, e18249, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018249 (2011).

- McEachern, M. B., Van Vuren, D. H., Floyd, C. H., May, B. & Eadie, J. M. Bottlenecks and rescue effects in a fluctuating population of golden-mantled ground squirrels (Spermophilus lateralis). *Conserv Genet* 12, 285–296, doi: 10.1007/s10592-010-0139-z (2011).
- Vandewoestijne, S., Schtickzelle, N. & Baguette, M. Positive correlation between genetic diversity and fitness in a large, wellconnected metapopulation. *Bmc Biol* 6, doi: Artn 46 10.1186/1741-7007-6-46 (2008).
- Vila, C. et al. Rescue of a severely bottlenecked wolf (Canis lupus) population by a single immigrant. Proceedings. Biological sciences/ The Royal Society 270, 91–97, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2184 (2003).
- Allendorf, F. W. & Phelps, S. R. Loss of Genetic-Variation in a Hatchery Stock of Cutthroat Trout. T Am Fish Soc 109, 537–543, doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1980)109<537:Logvia>2.0.Co;2 (1980).
- 36. Frankham, R. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. *Conservation Biology* **10**, 1500–1508, doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x (1996).
- Reed, D. H. & Frankham, R. Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. *Conservation Biology* 17, 230–237, doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01236.x (2003).
- Bleisch, W. V. *et al.* Surveys at a Tibetan antelope Pantholops hodgsonii calving ground adjacent to the Arjinshan Nature Reserve, Xinjiang, China: decline and recovery of a population. *Oryx* 43, 191–196, doi: 10.1017/S0030605308001506 (2009).
- Buzzard, P. J., Wong, H. M. & Zhang, H. B. Population increase at a calving ground of the Endangered Tibetan antelope Pantholops hodgsonii in Xinjiang, China. Oryx 46, 266–268, doi: 10.1017/S0030605311001657 (2012).
- 40. Nijman, I. J. *et al.* Hybridization of banteng (Bos javanicus) and zebu (Bos indicus) revealed by mitochondrial DNA, satellite DNA, AFLP and microsatellites. *Heredity* **90**, 10–16, doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800174 (2003).
- Nguyen, T. T. et al. Genomic conservation of cattle microsatellite loci in wild gaur (Bos gaurus) and current genetic status of this species in Vietnam. BMC genetics 8, doi: Artn 77 10.1186/1471-2156-8-77 (2007).
- Zhang, G. X. et al. Analysis of genetic diversity and population structure of Chinese yak breeds (Bos grunniens) using microsatellite markers. J Genet Genomics 35, 233–238, doi: Doi 10.1016/S1673-8527(08)60032-6 (2008).
- 43. Hong, Y., Li, D., Yi, T., Zhang, Y. & Liu, Y. Screening Microsatellite Primers and their Application for Individual Identification in Faecal DNA from Procapra praprzewalskii. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* **9**, 015 (2008).
- 44. Yang, J. & Jiang, Z. G. Genetic diversity, population genetic structure and demographic history of Przewalski's gazelle (Procapra przewalskii): implications for conservation. Conserv Genet 12, 1457–1468, doi: 10.1007/s10592-011-0244-7 (2011).
- Hedrick, P. W. Conservation genetics and North American bison (Bison bison). The Journal of heredity 100, 411–420, doi: 10.1093/ jhered/esp024 (2009).
- Godinho, R. et al. Conservation genetics of the endangered Dorcas gazelle (Gazella dorcas spp.) in Northwestern Africa. Conserv Genet 13, 1003–1015, doi: 10.1007/s10592-012-0348-8 (2012).
- Rosenborn, S., Costa, V., Steck, B., Moehlman, P. & Beja-Pereira, A. Cross-species genetic markers: a useful tool to study the world's most threatened wild equid-Equus africanus. *Eur J Wildlife Res* 58, 609–613, doi: 10.1007/s10344-011-0578-y (2012).
- Marin, J. C. et al. Cross-amplification of nonspecific microsatellites markers: a useful tool to study endangered/vulnerable species of southern Andes deer. Genetics and Molecular Research 13, 3193–3200, doi: 10.4238/2014.April.25.4 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Promoting Innovation Ability Program on Science & Technology in Qinghai province of China (2013-Z-751 and 2013-Z-750) and the CAS "Light of West China" Program. We are also grateful to Dr. Qi-En Yang for his helpful reading of the manuscript and constructive comments.

Author Contributions

S.G. and J.M. conceived and designed the research; X.Z., Y.X., X.G., S.L., X.Z. and M.S. collected the materials and performed the experiments; S.G. and Y.D. analyzed the data; and S.G., J.B.M. and Y.D. wrote the paper. All authors contributed to revision and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Du, Y. *et al.* Microsatellite Loci Analysis Reveals Post-bottleneck Recovery of Genetic Diversity in the Tibetan Antelope. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 35501; doi: 10.1038/srep35501 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016