

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



ScienceDirect



Autophagy in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection

Di Chen¹ and Hong Zhang^{1,2}

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) orchestrates host factors to remodel endomembrane compartments for various steps of the infection cycle. SARS-CoV-2 also intimately intersects with the catabolic autophagy pathway during infection. In response to virus infection, autophagy acts as an innate defensive system by delivering viral components/particles to lysosomes for degradation. Autophagy also elicits antiviral immune responses. SARS-CoV-2, like other positive-stranded RNA viruses, has evolved various mechanisms to escape autophagic destruction and to hijack the autophagic machinery for its own benefit. In this review, we will focus on how the interplay between SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins and autophagy promotes viral replication and transmission. We will also discuss the pathogenic effects of SARS-CoV-2-elicited autophagy dysregulation and pharmacological interventions targeting autophagy for COVID-19 treatment.

Addresses

¹National Laboratory of Biomacromolecules, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

² College of Life Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Corresponding author: Hong Zhang (hongzhang@ibp.ac.cn)

Current Opinion in Physiology 2022, 29:100596

This review comes from a themed issue on Autophagy

Edited by Anne Gjøen Simonsen and Noboru Mizushima

For complete overview of the section, please refer to the article collection, "Autophagy"

Available online 27th September 2022

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2022.100596

2468-8673/© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

As a catabolic process essential for cellular homeostasis maintenance, autophagy sequestrates a portion of the cytosolic constituents and delivers them to lysosomes for degradation [1]. In response to different stresses such as nutrient deprivation, oxidative effects, organelle damage, and pathogen invasion, cargos are either nonselectively or selectively engulfed by double-membraned autophagosomes, which eventually fuse with lysosomes to become degradative autolysosomes to digest and recycle sequestrated materials [1-3]. Autophagy requires the concerted actions of specific autophagy proteins and factors involved in endocytic trafficking. Upon autophagy induction in mammalian cells, the FIP200-ATG13-ULK1 Atg1 complex is firstly assembled on the ER, followed by targeting of the class-III phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate kinase (PtdIns3-kinase) complex I (VPS34-VPS15-BECN1/ Beclin1-ATG14) to generate phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PtdIns3P)-enriched ER subdomains, called omegasomes [4]. Omegasomes recruit downstream autophagy proteins for nucleation and initiation of isolation membranes (IMs). IMs are labeled by ubiquitin-like Atg8/LC3 family proteins that are conjugated to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), a process catalyzed by the E1-like enzyme ATG7, the E2-like enzyme ATG3, and the E3-like enzyme AT-G12-ATG5 [5]. IMs expand and close to form doublemembrane autophagosomes, which then mature by fusing with early endosomes, late endosomes, and lysosomes to become single-membraned amphisomes. These eventually form degradative autolysosomes [1]. Fusion of autophagosomes/amphisomes with late endosomes/lysosomes requires Rab7, tethering factors such as the homotypic fusion and protein sorting (HOPS) complex and EPG5, and the STX17-SNAP29-VAMP8 SNARE complex [1]. Maturation is also facilitated by autophagosome-localized PtdIns3P the class-III PI3K complex generated by Π (VPS34-VPS15-BECN1-UVRAG) [6]. Autophagic cargos are degraded by lysosomal hydrolases and then recycled [6,7].

Coronaviruses are a group of spherical, enveloped viruses with an ~30-kb, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome [8]. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the cause of the global COVID-19 pandemic, belongs to the betacoronavirus genus along with mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus [8,9]. The genomic RNA of SARS-CoV-2 encodes four viral structural proteins, spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N), and also 16 nonstructural proteins (NSP 1-16) and at least 9 accessory proteins (ORF proteins) [10,11]. Coronavirus replication and release involve extensive endomembrane remodeling, trafficking, and fusion [12]. After engaging with the cell surface-localized receptor (e.g. ACE2 for SARS-CoV-2), coronaviruses enter host cells through endocytosis-mediated internalization or direct fusion with the plasma membrane (PM) (Figure 1) [13,14]. ER-derived double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) serve as replication





The interplay between SARS-CoV-2 and autophagy. SARS-CoV-2 enters host cells through direct fusion with the cell membrane or endocytosis. Surface-localized TMPRSS2 or late endosomal/lysosomal cathepsin B/cathepsin L recognizes and cleaves a specific site on the S2 subunit of S protein (S2 cleavage), which leads to membrane fusion and viral genome release. ER-derived DMVs serve as ROs to provide viral RNA replication platforms. The molecular pore embedded in DMVs exports nascent viral RNA for translation or assembly. Virions are assembled at the ERGIC or SMVs (not shown) and released through lysosomal exocytosis. A series of autophagy proteins are essential for SARS-CoV-2 infection, including VPS34 complexes, VMP1, TMEM41B, LC3, TMEM106B, RAB7, and VPS39. After translation and processing, SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins manipulate multiple steps of the autophagy pathway to benefit viral replication and egress. NSP6, ORF8, and NSP13 promote, while NSP15 inhibits autophagy initiation/autophagosome formation; NSP6 and E inhibit autophagosome maturation; ORF7a, ORF3a, NSP6, and M inhibit formation of autolysosomes. Specifically, ORF8 mediates autophagic degradation of MHC-I, and NSP13 mediates autophagic degradation of TBK1. ORF3a inhibits the fusion between amphisomes/ autophagosomes through sequestration of the HOPS component VPS39. ORF3a, ORF7a, and NSP6 lead to lysosome deacidification. ORF3a also promotes lysosomal exocytosis-mediated viral egress. Proteins encoded by autophagy genes that are required for SARS-CoV-2 infection are listed in black. SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins involved in autophagy inhibition and autophagy induction are in red and green, respectively. LC3-I, unlipidated LC3; LC3-II, lipidated LC3.

organelles (ROs) for coronaviral RNA synthesis (Figure 1) [15,16••]. The virions are assembled at the ER–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) or single-membrane vesicles (SMVs) and released through the secretory pathway and/or the lysosome exocytic pathway [17–19]. In virus-infected cells, autophagy provides an innate defensive mechanism by selectively degrading virions or viral proteins/genomes, and by activating the immune system for virus clearance [20,21]. On the other hand, viruses hijack the autophagic machinery to facilitate critical processes such as replication and egress [22,23]. In this review, we will briefly summarize the 'proviral' and 'antiviral' roles of autophagy in positive-stranded RNA virus infection, then we will focus on the latest progress in understanding the intersection of SARS-CoV-2 and autophagy for remodeling of endomembrane compartments for viral replication and egress. Potential therapeutic interventions targeting autophagy in SARS-CoV-2 infection will also be discussed.

Dual functions of autophagy during positivestranded RNA virus infection

Positive-stranded RNA virus infection can induce autophagy via multiple mechanisms. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) such as TLR3, TLR7, TLR8, and TLR10 sense viral constituents and induce autophagy [24,25]. TLR signaling triggers the recruitment of BECN1 and TRAF6 through the TLR downstream adaptor MyD88/ TRIF to activate autophagosome biogenesis [24]. Viral proteins and/or genomes can also activate autophagy by eliciting cellular stresses such as ER stress and oxidative stress [26,27]. Autophagy defends cells against viral infection by delivering viruses/viral proteins to lysosomes for degradation [3,21]. Autophagy also activates innate and adaptive immune responses. For example, during virus infection, autophagy contributes to major histocompatibility complex class I and II (MHC-I and MHC-II) antigen presentation by facilitating viral antigen processing and transport [28,29]. Autophagy also regulates cytokine production, inflammation, and cell survival to defend against virus invasion [20,30-32].

Positive-stranded RNA viruses have evolved diverse mechanisms to subvert autophagosomes and/or amphisomes for replication and extracellular release. Some viruses such as dengue virus, Zika virus, hepatitis-C virus (HCV), and Chikungunya virus exploit DMVs with hallmarks of autophagosomes as ROs to anchor viral RNA replication complexes [23]. Poliovirus and enteroviruses also use non-lytic secretory autophagy for egress [23]. The autophagosomes formed in cells infected with positivestranded RNA viruses are able to fuse with late endosomes, but cannot further fuse with lysosomes [33–37]. Inhibiting the assembly of STX17–SNAP29–VAMP8 complexes appears to be a mechanism widely employed by viruses to block autolysosome formation. For example, the viral proteinase 3C of coxsackievirus B3 and enterovirus 68 mediates cleavage of SNAP29 to inhibit autophagosome–lysosome fusion [33,36].

Coronavirus infection also promotes autophagosome formation but blocks fusion of autophagosomes/amphisomes with lysosomes [38–41]. Coronaviruses induce the formation of ER-derived DMVs to provide platforms for genome replication and to protect viral RNAs from degradation and immune defenses (Figure 1) [42]. Despite the morphological similarities between DMVs and autophagosomes, the intact autophagic machinery is not required for DMV formation and viral replication in coronavirus-infected cells. LC3, an Atg8 family member, is enriched in viral RNA-containing DMVs during MHV infection [43]. However, the PE-conjugated form of LC3, which labels autophagic structures, fails to label DMVs. The DMVs in MHV-infected cells are labeled with the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) components EDEM1 and OS-9, indicating that the machinery mediating the formation of the unlipidated LC3-coated EDEMosomes, which deliver the short-lived ERAD regulators to endosomes/lysosomes, is involved in forming DMVs [43]. LC3 participates in DMV formation or genome replication of MHV and SARS-CoV [43,44]. Depleting LC3 inhibits DMV formation as well as MHV infection [43]. Consistent with the idea that conjugation of LC3 with PE is not involved in DMV formation, the autophagic genes required for LC3-PE conjugation, such as ATG7, ATG5, and ATG16L, are dispensable for coronavirus infection. The replication of MHV is not affected in Atg7-knockout (KO) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [43], and Atg5-deleted bone marrowderived macrophages or MEFs [45]. Depletion of ATG5 or ATG16L also has no effect on SARS-CoV-2 infection in Huh7-Lunet/T7 cells and in Vero E6 cells [41,46]. KO of Atg5 in MEF cells expressing human ACE2 (MEF-hACE2) has no effect on SARS-CoV infection [47]. SARS-CoV-2 replication was, however, reported to be slightly decreased in Atg5 KO MEF-hACE2 cells but dramatically blocked by Atg3 depletion [48]. In addition to autophagy, the LC3/Atg8 conjugation system acts in multiple vesicle trafficking and secretion processes [49]. The differential involvement of the LC3/Atg8 conjugation system in autophagy-independent processes under different experimental settings may account for the discrepancies. Therefore, the autophagic structures play distinct roles during the infection of different positivestranded RNA viruses.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 hijacks the autophagic machinery for endomembrane remodeling

Genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screens and proteomics have identified a subset of genes involved in autophagy as essential for SARS-CoV-2 infection [50–55]. The ER-

localized transmembrane autophagy proteins VMP1 and TMEM41B are required for autophagosome formation (Figure 1) [55,56]. During autophagosome biogenesis, VMP1 regulates the establishment and disassociation of ER–IM contacts, while TMEM41B interacts with VMP1 [56,57]. Depletion of VMP1 or TMEM41B significantly inhibits infection by SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses at post-entry steps [52–54,58]. TMEM41B contributes to viral replication complex formation by mobilizing ER lipids for endomembrane remodeling [59,60].

Autophagy genes involved in PtdIns3P synthesis were also identified in the screens [50,51]. Depletion of the PtdIns3-kinase complex-I and complex-II components VPS34/PIK3C3, ATG14, BECN1, and UVRAG dramatically prevents SARS-CoV-2 infection [41,51,55]. Treatment with VPS34 inhibitors, including 3-methyladenine (3-MA), Wortmannin, and SAR405, effectively inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication in multiple cell lines, lung tissues from hACE2 transgenic mice, and xenografted human lung tissues [38,41,51]. The expression level of the VPS34-VPS15-BECN1 complex is gradually increased along with SARS-CoV-2 infection up to 72 hours post infection (hpi), while the ATG14 expression level is temporarily increased [41]. Thus, a subset of genes essential for autophagosome formation is also involved in the endomembrane remodeling required for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Lysosome function is essential for degrading autophagic cargoes and also for lysosomal regeneration from autolysosomes [1,61]. RAB7 is a late-endosome/lysosomelocalized small GTPase essential for endosomal maturation, lysosome function, and autophagy [62]. Depleting RAB7 or inhibiting RAB7 activity inhibits SARS-CoV-2 and MHV infection [18••,55]. TMEM106B is a lysosomal membrane protein involved in acidification, transportation, and exocytosis of lysosomes [63]. TMEM106B depletion impairs autophagosome–lysosome fusion [64], leading to accumulation of autophagosomes as well as accelerating frontotemporal lobar

degeneration pathologies in a progranulin (GRN)-deficient mouse model [65,66]. The coronaviruses SARS-CoV-2 and MHV exploit the late endosome/lysosome exocytic pathway for egress (Figure 1) [18••]. SARS-CoV-2 or MHV virions are delivered into or assembled at RAB7/LAMP1-labeled single-membrane structures [18••]. During lysosomal exocytosis, the BORC–ARL8b complex mediates the retrograde transport of late endosomes/lysosomes to the PM. and the STX4-SNAP23-VAMP7 SNARE complex mediates fusion with the PM [67]. SARS-CoV-2 infection facilitates recruitment of the SNARE complex and significantly elevates lysosomal exocytosis [18••,40•]. SARS-CoV-2 infection also causes lysosomal deacidification, which may contribute to the enhanced lysosomal exocytosis [18••,39]. The nature of these LAMP1-labeled single-membrane structures in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells has vet to be determined. SARS-CoV-2infected cells accumulate amphisome-like structures, in which viral particles are detected $[39 \bullet \bullet]$. It is likely that SARS-CoV-2 induces promiscuous fusion of intracellular vesicles, resulting in formation of hybrid structures that mediate virus egress. Lysosomal exocytosis requires TRPML-mediated lysosomal Ca^{2+} release [67]. The TRPML inhibitor berbamine inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection [68]. In addition to the large, SMVs that contain multiple viral particles, numerous small vesicles that usually contain a single viral particle are also observed at 10 hpi in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells [69]. These small vesicles also traffic to and fuse with the PM [69]. Thus, multiple pathways may be employed for viral release.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 viral proteins manipulate the autophagy pathway

The SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins modulate autophagy at multiple steps to benefit virus production (Table 1). SARS-CoV-2 infection effectively blocks autolysosome formation and concurrently causes accumulation of numerous autophagosomes/amphisomes [39••,70]. Mechanistically, late endosome/lysosome-localized ORF3a of SARS-CoV-2 interacts with and sequestrates the

The role of SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins in the autophagy pathway.		
NSP6	Inhibits cis-Golgi- and endosome-derived HyPAS formation and autophagosome biogenesis.	[72–75]
	Inhibits lysosomal acidification and acidic autolysosome formation.	
	 IBV/MHV/SARS-CoV NSP6 promotes autophagosome formation but inhibits autophagosome maturation. 	
ORF3a	Inhibits acidic autolysosome formation through sequestration of the HOPS component VPS39.	[39••,4-
	Inhibits PI3K complex-II assembly through interaction with and sequestration of UVRAG.	0•,48]
	Inhibits lysosomal acidification and promotion of lysosomal exocytosis.	
ORF8	Promotes autophagic degradation of MHC-I.	[81]
NSP13	Promotes autophagic degradation of TBK1.	[82]
ORF7a	Inhibits lysosomal acidification and acidic autolysosome formation.	[78]
NSP15	Inhibits autophagy initiation.	[78]
М	Inhibits autolysosome formation.	[39••,78]
E	Inhibits autophagosome maturation and autolysosome formation.	[78]

Table 1

HOPS component VPS39 to prevent the assembly of STX17-SNAP29-VAMP8 SNARE complexes that are required for fusion between autophagosomes/amphisomes and lysosomes [39.,70]. Expression of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a also leads to deacidification of lysosomes and significantly elevates lysosomal exocytosis (Table 1) [18••,39]. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a promotes SARS-CoV-2 infection and MHV egress [40•,48]. Interestingly, SARS-CoV ORF3a, which displays 72.4% amino acid identity with SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, fails to inhibit autophagy or promote lysosomal exocytosis [39••,40•]. Ser171 and Trp193 in SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a are essential for sequestrating VPS39, promoting lysosomal exocytosis and blocking autophagy [40•]. Mutating the corresponding residues (i.e. E171S and R193W) endows SARS-CoV ORF3a with these functions [40•]. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, but not SARS-CoV ORF3a, interacts with UVRAG, whose sequestration inhibits PtdIns3-kinase complex-II assembly for autophagosome maturation [48]. Compared with SARS-CoV, the presence of a Furin cleavage site (PRRAR) in the S protein and also the ability of ORF3a to enhance lysosomal exocytosis endows SARS-CoV-2 with more efficient virus entry and release, contributing to its increased infectivity and pathogenicity $[40\bullet,71]$.

Autophagosomes in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells are smaller than those in starved cells [39..]. Resembling SARS-CoV-2 infection, expression of NSP6 from infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), MHV, and SARS-CoV inhibits autophagic flux and causes accumulation of smaller autophagosomes than the ones formed under starvation conditions [39...,72–74]. NSP6 expression as well as IBV infection induces the formation but restricts the expansion of autophagosomes [73,74]. SARS-CoV-2 NSP6 inhibits the formation of hybrid pre-autophagosomal structures (HyPAS), which are derived from cis-Golgi and endosomal membranes essential for autophagosome biogenesis [75]. NSP6 expression, similar to SARS-CoV-2 infection, induces inflammatory cell death, known as pyroptosis, which is associated with blocked autophagy and lysosomal deacidification [72]. SARS-CoV-2 NSP6 also inactivates a lysosomal ATPase proton-pump component, ATP6AP1, to impair lysosomal acidification, and thus blocks autophagosome maturation (Table 1) [72]. It will be interesting to determine whether the NSP6 mutations found in the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant affect its role in autophagy [76,77]. ORF7a, E, M, and NSP15 of SARS-CoV-2 have also been shown to impair autophagy (Table 1). ORF7a prevents autophagic degradation by reducing lysosomal acidification, while NSP15 inhibits autophagy initiation [78]. Expression of M also inhibits the formation of acidified autolysosomes [39••,78]. Lysosomal neutralization has been shown to promote their exocytosis [79,80]. Therefore, multiple SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins cause lysosomal deacidification to block autophagy while facilitating exocytosis for viral release.

SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins also promote autophagic degradation of host factors essential for immune responses. SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 promotes autophagic degradation of MHC-I to downregulate viral antigen presentation [81]. NSP13 recruits TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) to p62 and promotes its autophagic degradation, thereby inhibiting type-I interferon (IFN-I) production [82]. Depletion of BECN1 restores MHC-I expression in ORF8-expressing cells and IFN-B production in NSP13-expressing cells [81,82]. Therefore, autophagy activity may be temporally modulated during SARS-CoV-2 infection. At the early stage of infection. selective autophagy is activated to remove host factors, thus allowing the virus to escape immune surveillance. At late stages, viral proteins, which have been abundantly translated, inhibit autophagy by reducing the autophagosome size (e.g. NSP6) and blocking formation of degradative autolysosomes (e.g. ORF3a, NSP6, and ORF7a). Formation of deacidified lysosomes/hybrid structures promotes exocytosis-mediated viral egress.

Potential interventions targeting autophagy

SARS-CoV-2 infection elicits cytokine storm syndrome, an important contributor to severe COVID-19 cases [83]. Autophagy stagnation also triggers massive proinflammatory cytokine production [84]. Depletion of core autophagy genes such as *BECN1* and *ATG7*, or treatment with autophagy inhibitors, dramatically promotes cytokine secretion [84,85]. The abnormal cytokine response upon SARS-CoV-2 infection probably involves autophagy dysregulation. Autophagy is required for recovery from neuroinflammation [86]. COVID-19 patients show meningoencephalitis with ectopic cytokine production in the cerebrospinal fluid [87,88]. Dysfunctional autophagy may be responsible for the vulnerability of neuronal cells during SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Therapeutic approaches targeting autophagy have been shown to prevent SARS-CoV-2 replication and egress, and also have the potential to alleviate the exaggerated inflammation caused by cytokine storms in COVID-19 patients. Using computational approaches, anti-SARS-CoV-2 compounds were designed and verified by cell culture virus infection assays [89]. Remarkably, most of these compounds are viral entry inhibitors and/or autophagy modulators [89], which suggests that autophagy modulators can play antiviral roles at post-entry steps. Many pharmacological autophagy modulators have also been identified as potential therapies for COVID-19. Some of them are FDA-approved drugs, while others are undergoing clinical trials. Chloroquine (CO) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), which are well-known inhibitors of autolysosome formation, effectively prevent infection by HIV, HCV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 [90]. By increasing the pH of intracellular acidic organelles, CQ and HCQ affect multiple steps in the SARS-CoV-2 life cycle and exhibit contrasting antiviral activities in different cell lines, which could be due to their differential functions in viral entry and release [90,91]. Various VPS34 inhibitors, which inhibit autophagy initiation, prevent SARS-CoV-2 replication and show promise for COVID-19 treatment [47]. Autophagy activators, such as vitamin D3, mitigate uncontrolled inflammatory responses and have potential for combination drug therapy [85]. As the existing antiviral drugs targeting the autophagy pathway often have nonspecific effects, such as lysosomal dysfunction induced by CQ/HCQ treatment, caution is needed in their clinical application.

Conclusions and perspectives

During SARS-CoV-2 infection, the endomembrane system is extensively remodeled to generate DMVs for viral replication and multiple nondegradative lysosomes for viral egress. Autophagy also intersects with the endomembrane compartment at multiple sites. Not surprisingly, there is intricate interplay between SARS-CoV-2 infection and autophagy. SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins enhance autophagic degradation of pivotal immune proteins, enabling the virus to escape surveillance. Viral proteins also interfere with lysosomal acidification and function, thus impairing the fusion of autophagic vesicles with lysosomes in order to hijack the end-stage autophagic structures for viral egress. Future studies must investigate how autophagy activity is differentially modulated at different stages of viral infection and how autophagy induction and autophagosome maturation are coordinately controlled by viral proteins. The mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins interact with ER-associated autophagy proteins (such as VMP1, TMEM41B, and the VPS34 complex) for DMV generation also requires investigation. Given the important role of autophagy in cytokine production and inflammation, it will be important to determine the impact of autophagy on the abnormally elevated cytokine levels in SARS-CoV-2 patients.

Elucidating the interplay between SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins and the autophagic machinery helps us to develop new intervention strategies. The main focus for COVID-19 treatment has been blocking SARS-CoV-2 entry, such as by inhibiting ACE2 binding and inactivating cell surface-localized proteases that cleave S proteins. The emergence of the Omicron variant shows that the receptor and spike proteins can mutate to enhance viral entry while evading neutralizing antibodies. The Omicron variant enters host cells largely via endocytosis rather than by transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2)-mediated membrane fusion due to mutations in the spike protein [92]. Just two different amino acids are responsible for the differential function of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a and SARS-CoV ORF3a in autophagosome maturation and lysosomal exocytosis. It will

be interesting to investigate whether mutations in different SARS-CoV-2 variants also modulate the autophagy pathway and its effect on viral infectivity. The autophagic machinery is generally hijacked to facilitate DMV generation and lysosomal egress, which are essential for coronavirus infection. Therefore, therapeutic approaches to precisely manipulate autophagy at different stages of virus infection are promising for combating COVID-19 and yet-to-emerge coronaviruses.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Isabel Hanson for editing work. This article was supported by the following grants to H.Z: National Natural Science Foundation of China (82188101, 92054301, and 31790403) and Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (Gant 2021YFA1300800).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest.
- Zhao YG, Codogno P, Zhang H: Machinery, regulation and pathophysiological implications of autophagosome maturation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2021, 22:733-750.
- 2. Morishita H, Mizushima N: Diverse cellular roles of autophagy. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2019, 35:453-475.
- Sharma V, Verma S, Seranova E, Sarkar S, Kumar D: Selective autophagy and xenophagy in infection and disease. Front Cell Dev Biol (147) 2018, 6:1-17.
- Axe EL, Walker SA, Manifava M, Chandra P, Roderick HL, Habermann A, Griffiths G, Ktistakis NT: Autophagosome formation from membrane compartments enriched in phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate and dynamically connected to the endoplasmic reticulum. J Cell Biol 2008, 182:685-701.
- 5. Mizushima N: The ATG conjugation systems in autophagy. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2020, 63:1-10.
- 6. Zhao YG, Zhang H: Autophagosome maturation: an epic journey from the ER to lysosomes. J Cell Biol 2019, 218:757-770.
- 7. Levine B, Kroemer G: Biological functions of autophagy genes: a disease perspective. *Cell* 2019, **176**:11-42.
- Jelinek HF, Mousa M, Alefishat E, Osman W, Spence I, Bu D, Feng SF, Byrd J, Magni PA, Sahibzada S, et al.: Evolution, ecology, and zoonotic transmission of betacoronaviruses: a review. Front Vet Sci (64414) 2021, 8:1-18.
- Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses: The species severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol 2020, 5:536-544.
- Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D: Emerging coronaviruses: genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. J Med Virol 2020, 92:418-423.
- Naqvi AAT, Fatima K, Mohammad T, Fatima U, Singh IK, Singh A, Atif SM, Hariprasad G, Hasan GM, Hassan MI: Insights into SARS-CoV-2 genome, structure, evolution, pathogenesis and therapies: structural genomics approach. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2020, 1866:165878.
- V'Kovski P, Kratzel A, Steiner S, Stalder H, Thiel V: Coronavirus biology and replication: implications for SARS-CoV-2. Nat Rev Microbiol 2021, 19:155-170.

- Bayati A, Kumar R, Francis V, McPherson PS: SARS-CoV-2 infects cells after viral entry via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. J Biol Chem 2021, 296:100306.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A, et al.: SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell* 2020, 181:271-280.e278.
- Snijder EJ, Limpens R, de Wilde AH, de Jong AWM, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Maier HJ, Faas F, Koster AJ, Bárcena M: A unifying structural and functional model of the coronavirus replication organelle: tracking down RNA synthesis. *PLoS Biol* 2020, 18:e3000715.
- Wolff G, Limpens R, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Laugks U, Zheng S,
 de Jong AWM, Koning RI, Agard DA, Grünewald K, Koster AJ, et al.: A molecular pore spans the double membrane of the

coronavirus replication organelle. *Science* 2020, **369**:1395-1398. This study reported the architecture of MHV/SARS-CoV-2-induced DMVs, determined by cryo–electron microscopy. The molecular pores, which mainly consist of the coronavirus transmembrane protein nsp3, are embedded in DMV membranes.

- Mendonça L, Howe A, Gilchrist JB, Sheng Y, Sun D, Knight ML, Zanetti-Domingues LC, Bateman B, Krebs AS, Chen L, et al.: Correlative multi-scale cryo-imaging unveils SARS-CoV-2 assembly and egress. Nat Commun 2021, 12:4629.
- Ghosh S, Dellibovi-Ragheb TA, Kerviel A, Pak E, Qiu Q, Fisher M,
 Takvorian PM, Bleck C, Hsu VW, Fehr AR: β-Coronaviruses use
- Takvorian PM, Bleck C, Hsu VW, Fehr AR: β-Coronaviruses use lysosomes for egress instead of the biosynthetic secretory pathway. Cell 2020, 183:1520-1535. e1514.

This study identified that MHV and SARS-CoV-2 exploit the lysosomal exocytosis pathway for egress. Assembled virions are detected in RAB7/LAMP1-labeled single-membrane structures and transported to the cell membrane for release.

- Scherer KM, Mascheroni L, Carnell GW, Wunderlich LC, Makarchuk S, Brockhoff M, Mela I, Fernandez-Villegas A, Barysevich M, Stewart H: SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein adheres to replication organelles before viral assembly at the Golgi/ERGIC and lysosome-mediated egress. Sci Adv 2022, 8:eabl4895.
- 20. Levine B, Mizushima N, Virgin HW: Autophagy in immunity and inflammation. *Nature* 2011, 469:323-335.
- 21. Deretic V, Saitoh T, Akira S: Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 2013, 13:722-737.
- Bird SW, Maynard ND, Covert MW, Kirkegaard K: Nonlytic viral spread enhanced by autophagy components. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014, 111:13081-13086.
- 23. Wong HH, Sanyal S: Manipulation of autophagy by (+) RNA viruses. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2020, 101:3-11.24.
- Into T, Inomata M, Takayama E, Takigawa T: Autophagy in regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. *Cell Signal* 2012, 24:1150-1162.
- Zhu Y, Deng J, Nan M-L, Zhang J, Okekunle A, Li J-Y, Yu X-Q, Wang P-H: The interplay between pattern recognition receptors and autophagy in inflammation. Adv Exp Med Biol 2019, 1209:79-108.
- 26. Viret C, Rozières A, Faure M: Autophagy during early virus-host cell interactions. J Mol Biol 2018, 430:1696-1713.
- Mao J, Lin E, He L, Yu J, Tan P, Zhou Y: Autophagy and viral infection. In Autophagy Regulation of Innate Immunity. Edited by Cui J. 2019:55-78.
- Valečka J, Almeida CR, Su B, Pierre P, Gatti E: Autophagy and MHC-restricted antigen presentation. *Mol Immunol* 2018, 99:163-170.
- 29. Crotzer VL, Blum JS: Autophagy and its role in MHC-mediated antigen presentation. J Immunol 2009, 182:3335-3341.
- **30.** Germic N, Frangez Z, Yousefi S, Simon H-U: **Regulation of the innate immune system by autophagy: monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells and antigen presentation**. *Cell Death Differ* 2019, **26**:715-727.

- Choi Y, Bowman JW, Jung JU: Autophagy during viral infection – a double-edged sword. Nat Rev Microbiol 2018, 16:341-354.
- 32. Samimi N, Farjam M, Klionsky DJ, Rezaei N: The role of autophagy in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in different cell types. *Autophagy* (7) 2021, 18:1728-1731.
- Corona AK, Saulsbery HM, Velazquez AFC, Jackson WT: Enteroviruses remodel autophagic trafficking through regulation of host SNARE proteins to promote virus replication and cell exit. Cell Rep 2018, 22:3304-3314.
- Jackson WT, Giddings TH Jr, Taylor MP, Mulinyawe S, Rabinovitch M, Kopito RR, Kirkegaard K: Subversion of cellular autophagosomal machinery by RNA viruses. *PLoS Biol* 2005, 3:e156.
- Kemball CC, Alirezaei M, Flynn CT, Wood MR, Harkins S, Kiosses WB, Whitton JL: Coxsackievirus infection induces autophagylike vesicles and megaphagosomes in pancreatic acinar cells in vivo. J Virol 2010, 84:12110-12124.
- Mohamud Y, Shi J, Qu J, Poon T, Xue YC, Deng H, Zhang J, Luo H: Enteroviral infection inhibits autophagic flux via disruption of the SNARE complex to enhance viral replication. *Cell Rep* 2018, 22:3292-3303.
- Wong J, Zhang J, Si X, Gao G, Mao I, McManus BM, Luo H: <u>Autophagosome supports coxsackievirus B3 replication in</u> host cells. J Virol 2008, 82:9143-9153.
- Hui X, Zhang L, Cao L, Huang K, Zhao Y, Zhang Y, Chen X, Lin X, Chen M, Jin M: SARS-CoV-2 promote autophagy to suppress type I interferon response. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2021, 6:180.
- Miao G, Zhao H, Li Y, Ji M, Chen Y, Shi Y, Bi Y, Wang P, Zhang H:
 ORF3a of the COVID-19 virus SARS-CoV-2 blocks HOPS complex-mediated assembly of the SNARE complex required for autohysocome formation Dev Cell 2021 58:427-442 e425

for autolysosome formation. Dev Cell 2021, 56:427-442.e425. This study discovered that SARS-CoV-2 has evolved a novel mechanism to inhibit autolysosome formation. Late endosome/lysosomelocalized ORF3a of SARS-CoV-2 sequestrates the HOPS component VPS39 to block the assembly of the SNARE complex required for fusion between autophagosomes/amphisomes and lysosomes.

Chen D, Zheng Q, Sun L, Ji M, Li Y, Deng H, Zhang H: ORF3a of
 SARS-CoV-2 promotes lysosomal exocytosis-mediated viral egress. Dev Cell 2021, 56:3250-3263. e3255.

This study revealed that SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, but not SARS-CoV ORF3a, facilitates lysosomal exocytosis-mediated viral egress. Residues 171 and 193 are identified as key determinants for the different function of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a and SARS-CoV ORF3a in lysosomal exocytosis and autophagy inhibition.

41. Shang C, Zhuang X, Zhang H, Li Y, Zhu Y, Lu J, Ge C, Cong J, Li T,
Li N: Inhibition of autophagy suppresses SARS-CoV-2 replication and ameliorates pneumonia in hACE2 transgenic mice and xenografted human lung tissues. J Virol 2021, 95:e01537-01521.

In this study, the authors described autophagic events during SARS-CoV-2 infection in various cell lines and animal models. SARS-CoV-2 induces autophagosome formation by inhibiting the Akt-mTOR pathway and activating the ULK1 complex/VPS34 complex, but blocks autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Depletion of VPS34 and ATG14, but not ATG5, prevents SARS-CoV-2 replication.

- Wolff G, Melia CE, Snijder EJ, Bárcena M: Double-membrane vesicles as platforms for viral replication. *Trends Microbiol* 2020, 28:1022-1033.
- 43. Reggiori F, Monastyrska I, Verheije MH, Calì T, Ulasli M, Bianchi S,
 Bernasconi R, de Haan CA, Molinari M: Coronaviruses hijack the LC3-I-positive EDEMosomes, ER-derived vesicles exporting short-lived ERAD regulators, for replication. *Cell Host Microbe* 2010, 7:500-508.

This study revealed that MHV-induced DMVs colocalize with ERAD regulators EDEM1 and OS9, as well as nonlipidated LC3 (LC3-I). The results suggest that LC3-I-positive EDEMosomes, formed under control of the ERAD machinery, are also involved in DMV formation.

 Prentice E, McAuliffe J, Lu X, Subbarao K, Denison MR: Identification and characterization of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replicase proteins. *J Virol* 2004, 78:9977-9986.

- **45.** Zhao Z, Thackray LB, Miller BC, Lynn TM, Becker MM, Ward E, Mizushima N, Denison MR, Virgin I, Herbert W: **Coronavirus replication does not require the autophagy gene ATG5**. *Autophagy* 2007, **3**:581-585.
- Twu WI, Lee JY, Kim H, Prasad V, Cerikan B, Haselmann U, Tabata K, Bartenschlager R: Contribution of autophagy machinery factors to HCV and SARS-CoV-2 replication organelle formation. Cell Rep 2021, 37:110049.
- Schneider M, Ackermann K, Stuart M, Wex C, Protzer U, Schätzl HM, Gilch S: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication is severely impaired by MG132 due to proteasomeindependent inhibition of M-calpain. J Virol 2012, 86:10112-10122.
- Qu Y, Wang X, Zhu Y, Wang W, Wang Y, Hu G, Liu C, Li J, Ren S, Xiao MZ: ORF3a-mediated incomplete autophagy facilitates severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 replication. Front Cell Dev Biol (716208) 2021, 9:1-11.
- Nieto-Torres JL, Leidal AM, Debnath J, Hansen M: Beyond autophagy: the expanding roles of ATG8 proteins. Trends Biochem Sci 2021, 46:673-686.
- Stukalov A, Girault V, Grass V, Karayel O, Bergant V, Urban C, Haas DA, Huang Y, Oubraham L, Wang A: Multilevel proteomics reveals host perturbations by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Nature 2021, 594:246-252.
- Wang R, Simoneau CR, Kulsuptrakul J, Bouhaddou M, Travisano KA, Hayashi JM, Carlson-Stevermer J, Zengel JR, Richards CM, Fozouni P: Genetic screens identify host factors for SARS-CoV-2 and common cold coronaviruses. *Cell* 2021, 184:106-119. e114.
- Baggen J, Persoons L, Vanstreels E, Jansen S, Van Looveren D, Boeckx B, Geudens V, De Man J, Jochmans D, Wauters J: Genome-wide CRISPR screening identifies TMEM106B as a proviral host factor for SARS-CoV-2. Nat Genet 2021, 53:435-444.
- Schneider WM, Luna JM, Hoffmann H-H, Sánchez-Rivera FJ, Leal AA, Ashbrook AW, Le Pen J, Ricardo-Lax I, Michailidis E, Peace A: Genome-scale identification of SARS-CoV-2 and pancoronavirus host factor networks. *Cell* 2021, 184:120-132. e114.
- Hoffmann H-H, Schneider WM, Rozen-Gagnon K, Miles LA, Schuster F, Razooky B, Jacobson E, Wu X, Yi S, Rudin CM: TMEM41B is a pan-flavivirus host factor. *Cell* 2021, 184:133-148. e120.
- 55. Daniloski Z, Jordan TX, Wessels H-H, Hoagland DA, Kasela S,
 Legut M, Maniatis S, Mimitou EP, Lu L, Geller E: Identification of
- Legut M, Maniatis S, Mimitou EP, Lu L, Geller E: Identification of required host factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in human cells. *Cell* 2021, 184:92-105. e116.

In Refs. [51–55], by using genome-wide CRISPR loss-of-function screens, the authors identified a series of host factors that are essential for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

- Morita K, Hama Y, Mizushima N: TMEM41B functions with VMP1 in autophagosome formation. Autophagy 2019, 15:922-923.
- 57. Zhao YG, Chen Y, Miao G, Zhao H, Qu W, Li D, Wang Z, Liu N, Li L, Chen S: The ER-localized transmembrane protein EPG-3/VMP1 regulates SERCA activity to control ER-isolation membrane contacts for autophagosome formation. *Mol Cell* 2017, 67:974-989. e976.
- Kratzel A, Kelly JN, Brueggemann Y, Portmann J, V'kovski P, Todt D, Ebert N, Steinmann E, Dijkman R, Zimmer G, et al.: A genomewide CRISPR screen identifies interactors of the autophagy pathway as conserved coronavirus targets. PLoS biology (12) 2021, 19:1-35.
- Trimarco JD, Heaton BE, Chaparian RR, Burke KN, Binder RA, Gray GC, Smith CM, Menachery VD, Heaton NS: TMEM41B is a host factor required for the replication of diverse coronaviruses including SARS-CoV-2. PLoS Pathog 2021, 17:e1009599.
- Sun L, Zhao C, Fu Z, Fu Y, Su Z, Li Y, Zhou Y, Tan Y, Li J, Xiang Y: Genome-scale CRISPR screen identifies TMEM41B as a multifunction host factor required for coronavirus replication. *PLoS Pathog* 2021, 17:e1010113.

- Yu L, McPhee CK, Zheng L, Mardones GA, Rong Y, Peng J, Mi N, Zhao Y, Liu Z, Wan F: Termination of autophagy and reformation of lysosomes regulated by mTOR. *Nature* 2010, 465:942-946.
- Hyttinen JM, Niittykoski M, Salminen A, Kaarniranta K: Maturation of autophagosomes and endosomes: a key role for Rab7. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 2013, 1833:503-510.
- Feng T, Lacrampe A, Hu F: Physiological and pathological functions of TMEM106B: a gene associated with brain aging and multiple brain disorders. Acta Neuropathol 2021, 141:327-339.
- Lüningschrör P, Werner G, Stroobants S, Kakuta S, Dombert B, Sinske D, Wanner R, Lüllmann-Rauch R, Wefers B, Wurst W: The FTLD risk factor TMEM106B regulates the transport of lysosomes at the axon initial segment of motoneurons. *Cell Rep* 2020, 30:3506-3519. e3506.
- Zhou X, Brooks M, Jiang P, Koga S, Zuberi AR, Baker MC, Parsons TM, Castanedes-Casey M, Phillips V, Librero AL: Loss of Tmem106b exacerbates FTLD pathologies and causes motor deficits in progranulin-deficient mice. *EMBO Rep* 2020, 21:e50197.
- 66. Feng T, Mai S, Roscoe JM, Sheng RR, Ullah M, Zhang J, Katz II, Yu H, Xiong W, Hu F: Loss of TMEM 106B and PGRN leads to severe lysosomal abnormalities and neurodegeneration in mice. *EMBO Rep* 2020, 21:e50219.
- Tancini B, Buratta S, Delo F, Sagini K, Chiaradia E, Pellegrino RM, Emiliani C, Urbanelli L: Lysosomal exocytosis: The extracellular role of an intracellular organelle. *Membranes* 2020, 10:406.
- Huang L, Yuen TT-T, Ye Z, Liu S, Zhang G, Chu H, Yue J: Berbamine inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection by compromising TRPMLs-mediated endolysosomal trafficking of ACE2. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2021, 6:1-3.
- Eymieux S, Uzbekov R, Rouillé Y, Blanchard E, Hourioux C, Dubuisson J, Belouzard S, Roingeard P: Secretory vesicles are the principal means of SARS-CoV-2 Egress. *Cells* 2021, 10:2047.
- Zhang Y, Sun H, Pei R, Mao B, Zhao Z, Li H, Lin Y, Lu K: The SARS-CoV-2 protein ORF3a inhibits fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. Cell Discov 2021, 7:1-12.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pöhlmann S: A multibasic cleavage site in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 is essential for infection of human lung cells. Mol Cell 2020, 78:779-784.e775.
- Sun X, Liu Y, Huang Z, Xu W, Hu W, Yi L, Liu Z, Chan H, Zeng J, Liu X: SARS-CoV-2 non-structural protein 6 triggers NLRP3dependent pyroptosis by targeting ATP6AP1. Cell Death Differ 2022, 29:1240-1254.
- 73. Cottam EM, Maier HJ, Manifava M, Vaux LC, Chandra-Schoenfelder P, Gerner W, Britton P, Ktistakis NT, Wileman T: Coronavirus nsp6 proteins generate autophagosomes from the endoplasmic reticulum via an omegasome intermediate. Autophagy 2011, 7:1335-1347.
- 74. Cottam EM, Whelband MC, Wileman T: Coronavirus NSP6
 restricts autophagosome expansion. Autophagy 2014, 10:1426-1441.

Refs. [73,74] showed that NSP6 of IBV, SARS-CoV, and MHV promotes autophagosome formation but restricts autophagosome expansion.

- 75. Kumar S, Javed R, Mudd M, Pallikkuth S, Lidke KA, Jain A, Tangavelou K, Gudmundsson SR, Ye C, Rusten TE: Mammalian hybrid pre-autophagosomal structure HyPAS generates autophagosomes. *Cell* 2021, 184:5950-5969. e5922.
- Abbas Q, Kusakin A, Sharrouf K, Jyakhwo S, Komissarov AS: Follow-up investigation and detailed mutational characterization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant lineages (BA. 1, BA. 2, BA. 3 and BA. 1.1). *bioRxiv* 2022,.
- 77. Bignon E, Marazzi M, Grandemange S, Monari A: Autophagy and evasion of immune system by SARS-CoV-2. Structural features of the non-structural protein 6 from wild type and Omicron viral strains interacting with a model lipid bilayer. *Chemical Science* (20) 2022, 13:6098-6105.
- Hayn M, Hirschenberger M, Koepke L, Nchioua R, Straub JH, Klute S, Hunszinger V, Zech F, Prelli Bozzo C, Aftab W, et al.: Systematic

functional analysis of SARS-CoV-2 proteins uncovers viral innate immune antagonists and remaining vulnerabilities. *Cell Rep* 2021, **35**:109126.

- Carnell M, Zech T, Calaminus SD, Ura S, Hagedorn M, Johnston SA, May RC, Soldati T, Machesky LM, Insall RH: Actin polymerization driven by WASH causes V-ATPase retrieval and vesicle neutralization before exocytosis. J Cell Biol 2011, 193:831-839.
- Miao Y, Li G, Zhang X, Xu H, Abraham SN: A TRP channel senses lysosome neutralization by pathogens to trigger their expulsion. *Cell* 2015, 161:1306-1319.
- 81. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Li Y, Huang F, Luo B, Yuan Y, Xia B, Ma X, Yang
 T, Yu F, et al.: The ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 mediates immune evasion through down-regulating MHC-I. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (23) 2021, 118:1-12.

This study uncovered that SARS-CoV-2 infection or expression of its ORF8 leads to autophagic degradation of MHC-I.

- Sui C, Xiao T, Zhang S, Zeng H, Zheng Y, Liu B, Xu G, Gao C, Zhang Z: SARS-CoV-2 NSP13 inhibits Type I IFN production by degradation of TBK1 via p62-dependent selective autophagy. J Immunol 2022, 208:753-761.
- Hafezi B, Chan L, Knapp JP, Karimi N, Alizadeh K, Mehrani Y, Bridle BW, Karimi K: Cytokine storm syndrome in SARS-CoV-2 infections: a functional role of mast cells. *Cells* 2021, 10:1761.
- 84. Ge Y, Huang M, Yao Y-M: Autophagy and proinflammatory cytokines: interactions and clinical implications. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2018, 43:38-46.

- García-Pérez BE, González-Rojas JA, Salazar MI, Torres-Torres C, Castrejón-Jiménez NS: Taming the autophagy as a strategy for treating COVID-19. Cells 2020, 9:2679.
- Berglund R, Guerreiro-Cacais AO, Adzemovic MZ, Zeitelhofer M, Lund H, Ewing E, Ruhrmann S, Nutma E, Parsa R, Thessen-Hedreul M: Microglial autophagy-associated phagocytosis is essential for recovery from neuroinflammation. Sci Immunol 2020, 5:eabb5077.
- Lakshmana MK: SARS-CoV-2-induced autophagy dysregulation may cause neuronal dysfunction in COVID-19. Neural Regen Res 2022, 17:1255.
- Lv P, Peng F, Zhang Y, Li N, Sun L, Wang Y, Hou P, Huang T, Wang X, Zhang L: COVID-19-associated meningoencephalitis: a care report and literature review. *Exp Ther Med* 2021, 21:1.
- Huang R, Xu M, Zhu H, Chen CZ, Zhu W, Lee EM, He S, Zhang L, Zhao J, Shamim K, et al.: Biological activity-based modeling identifies antiviral leads against SARS-CoV-2. Nat Biotechnol 2021, 39:747-753.
- Rolain J-M, Colson P, Raoult D: Recycling of chloroquine and its hydroxyl analogue to face bacterial, fungal and viral infections in the 21st century. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007, 30:297-308.
- Hoffmann M, Mösbauer K, Hofmann-Winkler H, Kaul A, Kleine-Weber H, Krüger N, Gassen NC, Müller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S: Chloroquine does not inhibit infection of human lung cells with SARS-CoV-2. Nature 2020, 585:588-590.
- Meng B, Abdullahi A, Ferreira I, Goonawardane N, Saito A, Kimura I, Yamasoba D, Gerber PP, Fatihi S, Rathore S, et al.: Altered TMPRSS2 usage by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron impacts infectivity and fusogenicity. Nature 2022, 603:706-714.