Hospital-Based Palliative and End-of-Life Care in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review

American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Medicine® 2022, Vol. 39(9) 1105–1120 © The Author(s) 2021

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10499091211057049 journals.sagepub.com/home/ajh

Michael Connolly, PhD, MSc, BA, RGN, RNT^{1,2}, Mary Bell, PhD (Nursing), BNS, RGN, RM, RNT², Fiona Lawler, BA, MSocSc, HDipLIS², Fiona Timmins, PhD, MSc, BA (Open), BNS, FFNRSCI RNT, RGN³, and Mary Ryder, PhD, RANP, RNP⁴

Abstract

Aim: To identify the nature of the evidence reporting hospital-based palliative and end-of-life care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has seen an increase in the numbers of seriously ill people being cared for across all health services worldwide. Due to the rapid progression of severe symptoms, the majority of staff working in hospitals and other healthcare centres were providing end-of-life care. Little is known about the level of hospital-based palliative care service provided during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly during surges in admission rates with an increased number of deaths accruing. Methods: A scoping review was conducted to search and select potential studies. The scoping review was guided by the framework of Arskey and O'Malley and advanced by the use of the methodology of the Joanna Briggs Institute. Results: Eighteen studies published between March 2020 and July 2021 were identified. Three broad categories included overall management strategy and logistics, symptom prevalence and management of patients with COVID-19 and end-of-life care needs within the current pandemic. Conclusions: This review highlights increased awareness and knowledge of palliative and end-of-life care provided in hospitals. The review also highlights the response of hospital-based palliative care teams to an evolving crisis, within the context of developed health systems under sustained and overwhelming pressure. Implications: Newly established clinical links and referral pathways developed during the initial COVID-19 surge between hospital-based palliative care and other healthcare teams, be continued and further enhanced. Understanding of the role of specialist palliative care providers in supporting palliative and end-of-life care within the hospital setting needs further investigation.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has seen a significant increase in the numbers of seriously ill people being cared for across all health services worldwide. Given the rapid progression of severe symptoms associated with a diagnosis of COVID-19, end-of-life care became the everyday work of the majority of staff working in hospitals and other health care centres during this time.

Palliative care is provided across a range of settings including hospital, community and in designated palliative care units or hospices. To date, hospital-based palliative care services provide a consultation service to assist in the management of complex symptoms and provide end-of-life care, and in some countries provide a link between hospital and the community. Little is known about the level of hospitalbased palliative care service provision in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly when surges in admissions and deaths occurred. While it is reasonable to assume that palliative care services would be called upon to assist with complex symptom management and end-of-life care for those suffering with COVID-19, the benefit of specialised palliative care has not been visible within such an extreme environment to date or reported on.

Aim of the Review

The aim of this scoping review was to evaluate the evidence about the use of palliative and end-of-life care during the

¹Clinical Nursing, School of Nursing, Midwifery & Health Systems, University College Dublin, Ireland

²Our Lady's Hospice & Care Services, Dublin, Ireland

³Nursing and Head of School, School of Nursing, Midwifery & Health Systems, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

Corresponding Author:

Michael Connolly, UCD Health Sciences Centre, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

Email: michael.connolly@ucd.ie

⁴School of Nursing, Midwifery & Health Systems, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

PCC category	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Population	Hospital-based palliative care COVID-19 pandemic patients, staff and relatives	Non–hospital-based palliative care in non-hospital COVID-19 pandemic
Concept	Palliative care	Not hospital based
	End-of-life care	COVID-19 pandemic not mentioned
Context	COVID-19 pandemic Hospital based	Palliative care not mentioned

Table I. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.

COVID-19 pandemic in order to consider the gaps in knowledge and issues that require further investigation.

Methods

A scoping review was conducted to identify and map the available evidence as well as to consider what future research may be needed.^{1,2} While this scoping study was conducted iteratively, Arksey and O'Malley³ methodological framework enhanced by Levac et al⁴ and formally adopted and developed further by the Joanna Briggs Institute⁵ was applied and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)-ScR standards were used.⁶

Stage 1- Identifying the Research Question

The research question was to scope the nature of the evidence concerning hospital-based palliative and end-of-life care in the COVID-19 pandemic. Key stakeholders from general hospital/tertiary care services helped to inform the research question and search strategy.

Stage 2-Identifying the Relevant Studies

In collaboration with our specialist librarian and key stakeholders, a comprehensive search strategy was developed. Given the novel emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic, the focus of the literature search was restricted from March 2020 to July 2021.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were refined iteratively among the team and after performing the search. The recommended Population, Concept and Context pneumonic for scoping reviews was used to structure the eligibility criteria^{5,7} (Table 1). The focus was on hospital-based palliative care during the COVID-19 pandemic. There were no restrictions to design or control. However, the search was limited to articles published in English. The inclusion criteria were further amended to specifically include the term hospital-based palliative care. Exclusion criteria included all papers that addressed non–hospitalbased palliative care in a non-hospital setting during the COVID-19 pandemic and any papers that discussed related sub elements of palliative care with no reference to the COVID-19 pandemic. Database searches were undertaken in PUBMED, PsychINFO via ProQuest and CINAHL Complete. The search terms were related to hospital-based palliative care and COVID-19 and were searched as keywords as well as subject headings. For example, for hospital based, search terms used included acute setting, acute ward, inpatient, ward setting, acute care and ward. While the purpose of the searches was to identify the breadth of literature,⁸ as a consequence of limited researcher resource and time restrictions, other research techniques such as exploring the grey literature or reference checking of identified articles was not conducted.

The specialist librarian in the team stored the retrieved records on EndNote X9. Subsequently, Covidence, a webbased literature review software platform, was used to assist the screening and selection of records.

Stage 3-Study Selection

MC and MB screened all the titles and abstracts and undertook full-text review of the potentially relevant studies against the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements were discussed and resolved by consensus. The results are reported in the PRISMA flowchart⁹ (Figure 1).

Stage 4- Charting the Data

MB undertook data extraction and initially drafted a charting table to reflect the relevant characteristics of the data. Charting the data became an iterative process, given the ongoing review and discussion with MC. It was further refined, reviewed and synthesised in accordance with Joanna Briggs Institute guidelines.⁵ Finally, the following agreed characteristics of the data were charted including author, year, country, journal, aim, population, methodology and key findings (Table 2).

Stage 5-Collating, Summarising and Reporting the Results

The analysis of the extracted data incorporated 2 steps: the first being a descriptive numerical summary of the relevant



Figure I. PRISMA statement.⁹

characteristics of the data and the second was a descriptive content analysis that captured the overall main categories of literature that addressed the research question for this scoping study.

Results

Selection of Studies

The search initially yielded 145 articles (Figure 1). With 27 duplicates removed and when title and abstracts were

reviewed another 87 were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. The remaining 31 studies were assessed for full-text eligibility resulting in a further 13 being excluded as these were not specific to hospital-based palliative care. The remaining 18 underwent full-text review and were included in the study.

Description of Studies

Overall, this review process gleaned a broad variety of articles that focussed on different aspects of data capturing palliative

Table 2. Data Ex	traction.					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Alderman et al,2020 ¹⁰	ž	Palliative Medicine	To assess the utility of the effectiveness of standardised end-of-life care treatment algorithms in dying patients with COVID-19	61 patients	Audit-data extraction from end-of-life care plan	 Patients dying from COVID-19 experience similar end-of-life problems to other groups of patients COVID-19 patients respond to standard interventions for these end- of-life problems Cumulative number of patients experiencing shortness of breath, agitation and audible respiratory secretions increased over the last 72 hours of life-most patients symptom free at death
Anneser, 2020 ¹¹	Germany	Palliative and Supportive Care	To identify the challenges and difficulties while caring for COVID-19 positive palliative patients in a non-ICU setting	l patient	Case study	 Patient expressed distress at having no visitors Patient was profoundly lonely
Arya et al, 2020 ¹²	Canada	CMAJ	To review the challenges involved in providing palliative care in a pandemic	NA	Discussion	 In a pandemic, patient autonomy for end-of-life choices may be severely restricted due to public health guidelines and resource Suggested Triage tool for referral to specialist PC
Chidiac et al,2020 ¹³	ž	Palliative Medicine	To evaluate the impact of COVID-19 on symptoms, clinical characteristics and outcomes for patients referred to a hospital-based palliative care service in a district general hospital	Compared 60 patients with COVID to 61 patients without COVID	Retrospective service evaluation. Data were extracted from the electronic patient records	 Lower comorbidity scores, poorer performance status + shorter time from referral to death for patients with COVID-19 Breathlessness, drowsiness, agitation, fever and use of s/c infusions had higher prevalence during COVID-19 BAME patients referred later to PC during COVID-19 Women from ethnic minority group referred later Many patients referred for symptom control instead of terminal care-Need education on recognising dying for HCP

(continued)

Table 2. (continu	(pər					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Cook et al,2020 ¹⁴	Canada	Annals of Internal Medicine	To understand clinician perspectives on adaptations to end-of-life care for dying patients and their families during the pandemic	45 dying patients, 45 relatives, 45 clinicians	Mixed methods embedded study	 Clinicians gathered 236 final wishes from patients and family-234 wishes were implemented Clinicians: bigger effort to learn about pts; conducted acts of compassion; took on advocacy roles as the family not there due to restrictions; set up new ways of connecting with pts and relatives; prevent unmarked deaths Clinicians reported moral distress with changing visiting policies The need for clinicians to be aware of what they say and how they say it IPC policies have implications for professional well-being-need to have feasible, context specific supports for frontline staff
Fausto et al,2020 ¹⁵	NSA	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	To implement a palliative care response plan for a multihospital health care system that incorporates conventional capacity, contingency capacity and crisis capacity	A /A	Development of a strategy document	 Document that outlines the high quality PC needed under conventional capacity, contingency capacity and crisis capacity contingency capacity and crisis capacity capacity and crisis capacity of specialist PC staff Bedeployment of specialist PC staff Considerations Redeployment of specialist PC staff Use PPE only when absolutely necessary PC support outside routine hours Routine PC consultation triaged and postponed where possible Early goals of care are essential 24 hr Phone support In crisis capacity create an End-of-life care unit
						(continued)

Table 2. (continu	ied)					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Fiorentino et al, 2020 ¹⁶	C SA	Palliative Medicine	To evaluate whether preadmission Palliative Performance Scale predicts mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19	334 patients	Retrospective observational cohort study of patients admitted with COVID-19	 Frailty was independently predictive of mortality in pts admitted with COVID-19 Low PPS found in older, mainly black with more comorbidities Age and Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) did not independently predict mortality Hospitalised pts = overall 31% mortality, 81% mortality for intubated pts If clinicians understand mortality risk, can discuss prognosis and give appropriate PC to pts
Fox et al,2021	Australia	Qualitative Social work	To discuss bereavement support and the facilitation of viewings as clinical areas in which hospital social work has been observed adapting practice creatively throughout the pandemic	N/A Social workers and their role	Discussion	 Change in practice by social workers during the pandemic to assist the bereavement process Bereavement support Facilitation of viewings of deceased patients
Gelfman et al,2020 ¹⁸	R	Journal of Palliative Medicine	To describe the rapid expansion and creation of a new speciality care services across a health system to meet demands of the COVID-19 surge in New York city	1019 patients	Discussion	 Rapid expansion and creation of a new speciality PC service to address the pandemic demands Increased staffing 24 hour telephone service Extended PC service to ED, ICU and hospital medical units New tailored communication programme COVID-specific electronic medical record template PCU structural adaptation
						(continued)

1110

Table 2. (continu	ued)					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Hetherington et al,2020 ¹⁹	ž	Palliative Medicine	To characterise the symptom profile, symptom management requirements and outcomes of hospitalised COVID-19 positive patients referred for palliative care and to contextualise PC demands from COVID-19 against a typical caseload	186 patients	Service evaluation based on a retrospective cohort review of patient records	 186 pts referred to hospital PC with COVID-19 Most common comorbidities: Hypertension (31.2%); Diabetes Mellitus (28%); COPD (26.9%) No referrals for PC from ICU Dyspnoea and agitation most prevalent symptoms COVID Pts referred to PC have shorter dying phase-2 days vs 5 days for pts with no COVID-higher death rate Symptoms can be controlled in most cases with standard doses of opioids and benzodiazepines 75% on SC infusions=effective in 78% of pts
Lopez et al, 2021 ²⁰	NSA	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	To describe the characteristics, consultation demands, patients' needs and outcomes of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 who received a palliative care evaluation	376 patients	Retrospective chart review	 Overall new consults significantly in ICU Median age = 78 75% referrals to PC for goals of care, advanced care planning 9.6% for symptoms 7.1% had documented ACDs 69.7% became DNR Of all deaths, 55.5% in ICU and 87.2% were <65 Minority pts had disproportionate death rate Overall consultation mortality significantly increased compared to pre-COVID
						(continued)

Connolly et al.

Table 2. (contin	ued)					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Lovell et al, 2020 ²¹	ž	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	To describe the symptom burden, management response to treatment and outcomes for patients with COVID-19 referred to the palliative care teams in 2 large NHS hospital trusts	101 patients 76 admitted with covid 25 existing inpatients developed COVID	Descriptive Data extracted from case notes = Retrospective chart review?	 Patients spent a median (IQR] of 2 days under PC team and received 3 contacts whost prevalent symptoms included: Breathlessness (n = 76), agitation (n = 43), drowsiness (n = 36), pain (n = 23) 58 prescribed CSCI a 95 received ward based care and 6 in high dependency or ICU Death (n = 75) Discharged back to team, home or hospice (n = 13) Continued to receive inpatient PC (n = 13) Infusions assessed as partially effective for 40/58, while 13 pts died before review
Moriyama et al 2021 ²²	ASU .	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	To describe a palliative care population at 1 New York hospital system during the initial pandemic surge	678 patients =pre- COVID group	Cross-sectional, observational study.	 PC service volume surged from 678 (4% of total admissions) pre-Covid to 1071 (10% of total admissions during initial outbreak PC teams completed 59% (n = 1081) increase in admissions compared to pre-Covid admissions 64.9% (n = 695) of total PC pts tested positive for COVID
				Compares pre-Covid group (Jan 4th-Feb 28th, 2020) to during Covid group (Mar 5th-30th April)	All data were extracted from charts	 Patients with COVID had greater prevalence of prevalence of Obesity Diabetes CU admissions (58.9% vs 33.9%; P<.01) In-hospital mortality (57.4% vs 13.1%; P<.01) Increased odds of mortality in PC patients (odds ratio = 3.21,95% CI = 2.43.4.24) and those admitted to ICU (odds ratio = 1.45, 95%CI = 1.11-1.9) Patients with COVID had lower rates of the stage organ disease End-stage organ disease Cancers

(continued)

Table 2. (contin	(pen					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Mumoli et al,2020 ²³	لtaل عام	International Journal of Infectious Disease	To describe the role of palliative care in the management of patients admitted with COVID-19	412 patients	Descriptive study recorded data regarding age, gender, length of stay, type of discharge	 412 pts admitted to ward with COVID Mean age = 69 23.3% (n = 96) died in hospital 4.6% (n = 19) came from nursing homes Palliative Care Physician directly involved with 25.5% (n = 105) and conducted 236 consultations included: symptoms (54%) and end-of-life management (12%) Prevalent symptom: Restlessness/ agitation (41%), emotional issues (26%) such as anxiety, fear and demoralisation Dyspnoea in 20% of cases Patients discharged alive or transferred to other facilities-median hospital stay = 12 days Average days in PC = 2.26 Palliative Care physician developed shared operational procedures in the following areas Criteria for when COVID pts to start PC treatment Shared decision-making process with other physicians Managed anxiety and stress regarding intolerance to respiratory devices days in PC = 2.26 Palliative Care physician developed shared operational procedures in the following areas Criteria for when COVID pts to start PC treatment Shared decision-making process with other physicians Managed anxiety and stress regarding intolerance to respiratory devices days in PC = 2.26 Palliative Care physicians Criteria for when COVID pts to start PC treatment Shared decision-making process with other physicians Managed anxiety and stress regarding intolerance to respiratory devices days in PC = 2.26 Palliative Care physicians Managed anxiety and stress regarding intolerance to respiratory devices Administer de alliative sedation at end of life Communication with patients and families Chose medications and how to administer them Protected the self-determination of patients Defined 6 criteria for referral to PC MDT +PC met daily to discuss each patient with COVID
						(continued)

Key findings	 Provides evidence based recommendations and table of resources to assuage poor bereavement outcomes before and after a patient's death for relatives and support staff For relatives For relatives For relatives For relatives For relatives For staff Provide scellent symptom management +emotion+spiritual support Provide scellent symptom management +emotion+spiritual support Provide scellent symptom Provide scellent symptom For staff Provide scellent symptom Provide scellent solation or restricted access Significant patient and family Poing in an ICU Severe breathlessness Provide scellent solation or restricted access Significant patient and family Poing in an ICU Poing in an ICU Poing in an ICU Severe breathlessness Pigent isolation or restricted access Significant patient and family Poing in an ICU Poing in an ICU<	
Methodology	Discussion paper with recommendations of the organisational and systemi approaches needed to mitigate the impact on sta	
Population	N/A Staff	
Aim	To review bereavement risk factors in COVID-19 and provide evidence-based recommendations for clinicians on how to support bereaved relatives	
Journal	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	
Country	ž	
Author, Year	Selman et al, 2021 ²⁴	

Table 2. (continued)

Table 2. (contin	ued)					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Sheehan et al, 2020 ²⁵	ASU U	BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care	To examine the utilisation rates of palliative care consultation in critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia admitted to 2 ICUs in New York city	I51 ICU patients with confirmed COVID- 19 pneumonia	Retrospective cohort study, data collected from the electronic health records	 Underutilisation of PC services in ICU PC delivery-shared decision-making, ACP, symptom management mainly conducted using telemedicine Identify patients at risk of poorer outcomes that would benefit from earlier PC consultation Of 33% (n = 59) received inpatient PC consultation, 16 received a one-time telemedicine consultation, 39 received continued telemedicine and follow-up +MDT involvement and 4 patients initially received one-time telemedicine 56.29% (n = 85/151) died-57.65% (n = 49/85) received PC during hospitalisation Those who died and received PC were: older, higher CCI and needed mechanical ventilation Of patients who died and did not receive PC were: younger, received no invasive ventilation support Propensity matching: PC consultation was associated with similar length of stay to patients who did not receive PC services
Turner et al, 2020 ²⁶	Š	Journal of Pain and Symptom Management	To present a case series from audit data collected from an acute hospital trust specifically looking at the palliative care patients with COVID-19 received in a ward setting	36 patients	An observational retrospective review using patients records	 Increased frailty and co-morbidities featured heavily with cohort of patients in this study Median age 81 Dying from COVID-19 is different Shorter average dying phase-38.25 hours vs 74 hours 3 different phenotypes of dying Fulminant COVID-19 Longer illness and slower death Long illness, stability and rapid death

(continued)

Table 2. (continue	ed)					
Author, Year	Country	Journal	Aim	Population	Methodology	Key findings
Xu et al, ²⁷ 2021	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Journal of Palliative Medicine	To describe the dynamic palliative care needs during a public hospital's COVID-19 surge, including a process to utilise nonpalliative care trained volunteers to meet the increased demand for inpatient palliative care consults	12 volunteers joined PC team and saw 276 patients	Discussion paper	 Model to address surge 12 volunteers including 6 psychiatrists. 1 paediatrician, 5 internal medicine and 1 nurse practitioner One day training-shadowed a PC team member and given a manual PC team saw all patients with multiple organ failure Psychiatrists quickly used own skills in communication to support family and staff PC specialits focussed on more complex symptom needs of particular patients PC team grew by 35% Changes to PC team structure to address surge Majority of consults were for goals of care and family support PC team supported frontline ICU staff who were working out of their comfort zone

and end-of-life care during the COVID-19 pandemic within hospitals. It was noteworthy that 14 out of the 18 articles were published in dedicated palliative care journals. Of the 18 articles, 7 were from USA, 6 from UK, 2 from Canada and 1 each from Australia, Germany and Italy. This result highlights an absence of papers in English from South America, Asia, or Africa where some countries had some of the highest rates of COVID-19 and consequent deaths.²⁸

The predominant methodological approach adopted was retrospective patient chart review or care plan analysis reported in 9 articles.^{10,13,19-23,25,26} Of 6 discussion articles identified, 2 examined bereavement risk^{17,24} 1 presented the challenges experienced in delivering palliative services during a pandemic¹² and 3 discussed the implementation of a strategy or plan that was developed in their hospital to address the impact of the surge in palliative care patients.^{15,18,27} One article presented a patient case study of the challenges and difficulties that were identified in a non-ICU setting.¹¹ Fiorentino et al. (2020)¹⁶ conducted a retrospective observational study using the Palliative Performance Scale (PPS) to predict mortality in 334 hospitalised patients with COVID-19. Cook et al. (2021)¹⁴ used mixed methodology to investigate clinicians' perspectives on the adaptation to end-of-life care for dying patients and their families during the pandemic.

The sample sizes used in the 9 chart reviews ranged from 36^{26} to 1071 patients.²² Two of these studies compared palliative care services needs of 2 groups of patients. Chidiac et al. $(2020)^{13}$ compared 60 patients with COVID-19 and another 61 without, to evaluate the impact of COVID-19 on symptoms, clinical characteristics and outcomes for patients in a hospitalbased palliative care service. Moriyama et al. $(2021)^{22}$ compared 678 patients, the pre-COVID group, to 1071, the COVID-19 group regarding their demographics, comorbidities and rates of mortality.

Following an analysis of the extracted data, 3 broad categories were identified reflecting the predominant perspectives of addressing palliative and end-of-life care needs during a pandemic within hospital settings. These included overall management strategy and logistics, symptom prevalence and management of patients with COVID-19 and end-of-life care needs/consultation demands within the current pandemic.

Overall Management Strategy and Logistics

The overall strategies and logistics adopted to address the surge in demand for palliative care was reported by 3 articles from the USA and 1 from Canada.^{12,15,18,27} Each outlined a strategic plan that was adopted and focussed primarily on how to increase the numbers of palliative care staff as well as optimising the use of existing palliative care specialists. Some novel ways of addressing this problem were reported. For

example, Xu et al. (2021)²⁷ described how 12 volunteer clinicians (11 physicians and 1 nurse practitioner) each shadowed a palliative care team member for 1 day, given a manual with communication guidance, received targeted training on advanced care planning and was then embedded within a team led by a palliative care provider. Consequently, it emerged that 6 of these physicians were psychiatrists and could quickly use their own communication skills to support family and staff. What was also apparent from these papers was the necessity for very quick training of staff not specialised in palliative care that worked in key areas such as ED or ICU to enhance their frontline skills via new tailored communication trainings.¹⁸ Fausto et al. (2020)¹⁵ reported that all teams in ED, ICU and acute care services were provided with clinician discussion tools and access to consultation with the specialist palliative care team for assistance with complex communication. These frontline staff were provided with resources that included an informed assent strategy for discussing do-not-resuscitate orders as well as resources for COVID-19 ready communication skills. Arya et al. (2020) presented 2 short scripts capturing the suggested language that clinicians should use when providing support to a patient

or family member who was denied access to intensive care due to resource scarcity and when discussing treatment when a patient is unlikely to survive a critical illness but could include life-sustaining therapies if needed.

Besides redeployment of non-specialist staff into the palliative care team, cognisance of the most efficient and effective use of specialist palliative care clinicians were also evident. A triage tool for referral to specialist palliative care was adopted as part of the mass casualty critical care framework by Arya et al. (2020),¹² while Fausto et al. (2020)¹⁵ described how routine palliative care consultations were triaged and postponed when possible. A palliative care specialist was also embedded in the ED and ICU to address and screen high volumes of patients, to coach staff and to assist with goals of care.¹⁵ Xu et al. (2021)²⁷ reported how specialist palliative care teams expanded their role and saw every patient with multi-organ failure in ICU and took over the communication with families. They also acknowledged that due to the urgency of the situation and the swift nature of the implementation of their interventions to address the COVID-19, outcomes could not be effectively measured at that time.²⁷

New services were also developed to address the many challenges that arose due to surges in COVID-19 admission. A 24-hour/afterhours telephone service was established to provide additional palliative care capacity to primary care teams in relation to symptom guidance and coaching¹⁵ and to patient's families.¹⁸ Specific end-of-life care units for patients dying with COVID-19 staffed by palliative care clinicians and advanced practice specialists were created¹⁵ or modified¹⁸ to maximise patient comfort and staff safety.

Symptom Prevalence and Management of Patients With COVID-19

Five articles reported on symptom prevalence and descriptive statistics of patients admitted to hospital during with COVID-19.^{13,16,19,21,23} Dyspnoea and agitation were the 2 most prevalent symptom reported by 3 studies.^{13,19,21} By contrast, an Italian study reported that 41% of patients' predominant symptom was restlessness/agitation, 26% was emotional issues but only 20% presented with dyspnoea as the most prevalent symptom.²³

Comparisons were made between referral patterns for those admitted with COVID-19 and those referred to specialist palliative eservices pre-COVID-19. There were some notable observations. The time from referral to palliative care to death was shorter^{13,19,21,23}; specifically, 2 days for patients with COVID vs 5 days for those without. Fiorentino et al. (2020)¹⁶ used the PPS and found that frailty was an independent predictor of mortality. In addition, patients with COVID-19 had a lower PPS found in older patients and predominantly black patients with comorbidities. The most common comorbidities for patients with COVID-19 were hypertension, diabetes mellitus and COPD.¹⁹ However, age and the Charlson Comorbidity Index did not predict mortality in the Fiorentino et al.'s (2020)¹⁶ study.

End-Of-Life Care Needs/Consultation

Both Lopez et al. $(2021)^{20}$ and Sheehan et al. $(2020)^{25}$ examined the utilisation rates and characteristics of consultations to palliative care during the initial wave of the pandemic. Not surprisingly, new consults increased significantly. Three quarters (75%) of referrals to palliative care were for goals of care and advanced care planning while less than 10% were for symptom management.²⁰ Both of these studies showed that less than ten percent had documented Advance Care Directives despite the sample population age being older. It is noteworthy that Chidiac et al. $(2020)^{13}$ reported that 40% (n = 24) of patients who were referred to palliative care for symptom control were evidently in the dying phase of COVID-19 illness. In addition, Sheehan et al.²⁵ study focussed on patients in the ICU setting and found that there was an underutilisation of palliative care services.

PC consultations varied in how they were managed. Sheehan et al. $(2020)^{25}$ indicated that 39% (n = 59) received inpatient PC consultation, 16 received a one-time telemedicine consultation, 39 received continued telemedicine and follow-up and MDT involvement and 4 patients initially received one-time telemedicine followed by continued telemedicine.

It is worth noting that 1 study found that patients dying from COVID-19 experience similar end-of-life care needs and problems to other groups of patients as well as responding to standard interventions for those end-of-life problems.¹⁰

Identified Impact

It is clear that the infection, prevention and control restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic led to a number of adverse impacts to patients and staff in a number of articles. A German case study reported how 1 patient expressed distress at having no visitors and was profoundly lonely.¹¹ In order to respond to the impact of visiting restrictions, clinicians in a Canadian study took on advocacy roles for patients.¹⁴ For example, these clinicians ascertained the final wishes of patients and their families and implemented them, setup new ways of connecting patients with their relatives and actively tried to ensure that no patient death was unmarked. Social workers in Australia assisted the bereavement process for families by changing their practice using memory making as a tool to support families through letters, photos and hand-drawn pictures and music.¹⁷ Cook et al. (2021)¹⁴ also reported how the burden on clinicians associated with visitor restrictions led to clinicians reporting moral distress. Indeed, Selman et al. (2020)²⁴ identified several risk factors for poor bereavement outcomes for relatives including dying in the ICU, severe breathlessness, patient isolation and significant patient and family emotional distress. The impact of these deaths on staff led to self-reported moral distress/injury, depression, anxiety and post-traumatic distress.

Uniquely, Turner et al. (2020)²⁶ from a chart review observed that there were 3 different ways of dying from COVID-19 labelled as fulminant COVID-19, longer illness and slower death or long illness, stability and rapid death. However, many of these studies reported a shorter average dying phase for those with COVID-19 of about 38.25 hours compared to 74 hours with no COVID-19.

Discussion

Eighteen studies were identified for inclusion which used a variety of methods to detail varying different experiences of hospital-based palliative teams' response to COVID-19.

The studies articulated a comprehensive response to COVID-19 provided by hospital-based palliative care teams, including interventions to manage symptoms, providing supportive care to patients, families and staff and a novel solution to providing palliative and end-of-life care by appropriately triaging those with worsening COVID-19 symptoms. This process of triage saw palliative and end-of-life care being centrally located in the planning and provision of care to patients with COVID-19.

While palliative and end-of-life care have received attention in the past, this review clearly articulates the immediate and ongoing response of hospital-based palliative care to a continually emerging health care emergency which resulted in a significant increase of patients needing specialist palliative and end-of-life care. It also highlights the role that hospital-based palliative care played in supporting staff caring for the dying, including staff who have not experienced this type of care provision before, while also recognising the large numbers of dying patients being cared for. It is evident from this review that palliative care became more visible during surges in admissions due to COVID-19.

This review highlights the response of hospital-based palliative care in the context of a pandemic. It demonstrates the myriad ways in which hospital-based palliative care responded to the pandemic, for example, symptom management advice, communication with patients and families about change in focus of care and end-of-life care planning. This review adhered to the relevant reporting framework and was rigorous in its methodology.

The majority of studies included were small single centred with small population samples. Only 6 of the studies had a sample population greater than 100. While a comprehensive research strategy was conducted, the studies included are all English language, so the experience of hospital-based palliative care not reported in English could not have been included.

Conclusion

This review has highlighted the increased awareness and knowledge of palliative and end-of-life care provided in hospitals. The COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent increased admission to hospitals has led to increased referral rates to these services, which in turn has the potential to improve patients and family care and assist with greater interaction and consultation with specialist palliative care in the future. The review has also highlighted the rapid response of hospitalbased palliative care teams to an evolving crisis, within the context of developed health systems under sustained and overwhelming pressure.

Implications for Practice

It is imperative that newly established clinical links and referral pathways developed during the initial COVID-19 surge between hospital-based palliative care and other health care teams be continued and further enhanced to ensure that patients' palliative and end of life remains a focus for those with life-limiting illnesses and be included as part of their planned care.

Understanding of the role of specialist palliative care providers in supporting palliative and end-of-life care within the hospital setting needs investigation.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Michael Connolly in https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6602-1803

References

- 1. Armstrong R, Hall BJ, Doyle J, Waters E. 'Scoping the scope' of a cochrane review. *J Public Health*. 2011;33(1):147-150.
- Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18(1):143.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
- 4. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci.* 2010;5:69.
- Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco AC, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews (2020 version). In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI; 2020. https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-20-12
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169(7): 467-473.
- Peters M, Marnie C, Tricco A, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBI evidence synthesis*. 2020; 18:2119-2126.
- Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Inf Libr J*. 2009;26(2):91-108.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2021;134:103-112.
- Alderman B, Webber K, Davies A. An audit of end-of-life symptom control in patients with corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) dying in a hospital in the United Kingdom. *Palliat Med.* 2020;34(9):1249-1255.
- 11. Anneser J. Dying patients with COVID-19: What should Hospital Palliative Care Teams (HPCTs) be prepared for?. *Palliat Support Care*. 2020;18(4):382-384.
- Arya A, Buchman S, Gagnon B, Downar J. Pandemic palliative care: Beyond ventilators and saving lives. *CMAJ*. 2020;192(15): E400-E404.
- Chidiac C, Feuer D, Flatley M, Rodgerson A, Grayson K, Preston N. The need for early referral to palliative care especially for Black, Asian and minority ethnic groups in a COVID-19 pandemic: Findings from a service evaluation. *Palliat Med*. 2020;34(9):1241-1248.

- Cook DJ, Takaoka A, Hoad N, Swinton M, Clarke FJ, Rudkowski JC, et al. Clinician perspectives on caring for dying patients during the pandemic: A mixed-methods study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2021;174(4):493-500.
- Fausto J, Hirano L, Lam D, Sibley J, Nielsen EL, Treece PD, et al. Creating a palliative care inpatient response plan for COVID-19-The UW medicine experience. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020;60(1):e21-e26.
- Fiorentino M, Pentakota SR, Mosenthal AC, Glass NE. The Palliative Performance Scale predicts mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Palliat Med.* 2020;34(9):1228-1234.
- Fox M, McIlveen J, Murphy E. Death, dying and bereavement care during COVID-19: Creativity in hospital social work practice. *Qual Soc Work*. 2021;20(1-2):131-137.
- Gelfman LP, Morrison RS, Moreno J, Chai E. Palliative care as essential to a hospital system's pandemic preparedness planning: How to get ready for the next wave. *J Palliat Med.* 2021;24(5):656-658.
- Hetherington L, Johnston B, Kotronoulas G, Finlay F, Keeley P, McKeown A. COVID-19 and Hospital Palliative Care - A service evaluation exploring the symptoms and outcomes of 186 patients and the impact of the pandemic on specialist Hospital Palliative Care. *Palliat Med.* 2020;34(9):1256-1262.
- Lopez S, Finuf KD, Marziliano A, Sinvani L, Burns EA. Palliative care consultation in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: A retrospective study of characteristics, outcomes, and unmet needs. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2021;62(2):267-276.
- Lovell N, Maddocks M, Etkind SN, Taylor K, Carey I, Vora V, et al. Characteristics, symptom management, and outcomes of 101 patients with COVID-19 referred for hospital

palliative care. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020;60(1): e77-e81.

- Moriyama D, Scherer JS, Sullivan R, Lowy J, Berger JT. The Impact of COVID-19 surge on clinical palliative care: A descriptive study from a new york hospital system. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2021;61(3):e1-e5.
- Mumoli N, Florian C, Cei M, Evangelista I, Colombo A, Razionale G, et al. Palliative care in a COVID-19 Internal Medicine ward: A preliminary report. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021;105:141-143.
- Selman LE, Chao D, Sowden R, Marshall S, Chamberlain C, Koffman J. Bereavement support on the frontline of COVID-19: Recommendations for hospital clinicians. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020;60(2):e81-e86.
- Sheehan J, Ho KS, Poon J, Sarosky K, Fung JY. Palliative care in critically ill COVID-19 patients: The early New York City experience. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2020. doi:10.1136/ bmjspcare-2020-002677.
- Turner J, Eliot Hodgson L, Leckie T, Eade L, Ford-Dunn S. A dual-center observational review of hospital-based palliative care in patients dying with COVID-19. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020;60(2):e75-e78.
- Xu Y, Zhang LK, Smeltz RL, Cohen SE. A vital layer of support: One safety net hospital's palliative care response to the pandemic. *J Palliat Med.* 2021;24(10):1474-1480.
- OECD. The Territorial Impact of COVID-19: Managing the Crisis across Levels of Government. OECD; 2020. https://www. oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/the-territorial-impact-ofcovid-19-managing-the-crisis-across-levels-of-governmentd3e314e1/. Accessed July 20, 2021.