RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Association of Localized Hypersensitivity and In-Stent Neoatherosclerosis with the Very Late Drug-Eluting Stent Thrombosis

Kyohei Yamaji<sup>1</sup>\*, Shunsuke Kubo<sup>2</sup>, Katsumi Inoue<sup>3</sup>, Kazushige Kadota<sup>2</sup>, Shoichi Kuramitsu<sup>1</sup>, Shinichi Shirai<sup>1</sup>, Kenji Ando<sup>1</sup>, Masakiyo Nobuyoshi<sup>1</sup>, Kazuaki Mitsudo<sup>2</sup>, Takeshi Kimura<sup>4</sup>

1. Division of Cardiology, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan, 2. Department of Cardiology, Kurashiki Central Hospital, Kurashiki, Japan, 3. Division of Laboratory Medicine, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan, 4. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

\*kyohei@yamaji.info

## Abstract

**Background:** Localized hypersensitivity reaction, delayed arterial healing, and neoatherosclerosis inside the stent have been suggested as the underlying pathologic mechanisms of very late stent thrombosis (VLST) of drug-eluting stent (DES). The present study sought to explore the prevalence of inflammatory cell infiltrates and evidence for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques in the aspirated thrombi in patients with DES VLST.

Methods and Results: From April 2004 to September 2012, 48 patients with stent thrombosis (ST) of DES underwent thrombus aspiration with retrieved material sufficient for the histopathologic analysis; early ST (EST, within 30 days): N=17, late ST (LST, between 31 and 365 days): N=7, and very late ST (VLST, >1 year): N=24. Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with VLST (8.2+5.7%) as compared with those with EST (4.3+3.0%) and LST  $(5.5\pm3.8\%)$  (P=0.03). Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in 12 VLST patients with angiographic peri-stent contrast staining (PSS) and/or incomplete stent apposition (ISA) by intravascular ultrasound than in 12 VLST patients without PSS or ISA (10.6+6.1% versus 5.8+4.1%, P=0.03). Evidences for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques in the aspirated thrombi were observed only in 3 (13%) out of 24 patients with DES VLST. **Conclusions:** Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with DES VLST as compared with those with EST and LST. Evidences for fragments of atherosclerotic plagues were relatively uncommon in patients with DES VLST.



### G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Yamaji K, Kubo S, Inoue K, Kadota K, Kuramitsu S, et al. (2014) Association of Localized Hypersensitivity and In-Stent Neoatherosclerosis with the Very Late Drug-Eluting Stent Thrombosis. PLoS ONE 9(11): e113870. doi:10. 1371/iournal.pone.0113870

Editor: Giuseppe Andò, University of Messina, Italy

Received: September 8, 2014

Accepted: November 1, 2014

Published: November 25, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Yamaji et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All data are available from the Kokura Memorial Hospital Ethics Committee and Kurashiki Central Hospital Ethics Committee for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Requests to access data at Kokura Memorial Hospital should be sent to author Kyohei Yamaji. Requests to access data at Kurashiki Central Hospital should be sent to author Shunsuke Kubo.

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Introduction

Very late stent thrombosis (VLST) was a rare but life-threatening complication, [1-3] occurring at the rates of 0.2–0.6%/year without attenuation up to at least 5 years after the implantation of the first-generation drug-eluting stents (DES) as compared with 0.05%/year after bare-metal stent (BMS). [4-8] Several studies have suggested possible pathologic mechanisms for this late adverse event. Localized hypersensitivity reaction with extensive vasculitis consisting predominantly of lymphocytes and eosinophils was observed in a patient suffering from VLST. [9] Incomplete stent apposition (ISA) with positive remodeling by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) was highly prevalent in patients with DES VLST, [10-13] and appeared to be associated with higher fraction of eosinophil in the aspirated thrombi. [14] An autopsy case with sirolimus-eluting stent (SES) thrombosis demonstrated abnormal angiographic finding called peri-stent contrast staining (PSS) with a histopathologic evidence of chronic inflammation and hypersensitivity vasculitis. [15] PSS characterized by ISA or multiple cavities between and outside the strut, was associated with subsequent target-lesion revascularization and VLST. [16–18] Delayed arterial healing manifested by persistent fibrin deposition and incomplete reendothelialization could be another underlying mechanism of VLST. [19,20] The majority of stents with delayed arterial healing were those deployed for off-label indications, and underlying mechanisms for VLST in those patients were localized hypersensitivity with SES and malapposition secondary to excessive fibrin deposition with paclitaxel-eluting stents (PES). [21] In a postmortem study, neoatherosclerosis inside the stent occurred significantly earlier in DES lesions as compared with BMS lesions, and was suggested to be related to VLST. [22] Therefore, localized hypersensitivity reaction, delayed arterial healing, and neoatherosclerosis inside the stent have been suggested as underlying pathologic mechanisms of DES VLST.

In an attempt to further explore the mechanisms of VLST, we conducted a retrospective pathologic analyses of aspirated thrombi at the time of DES thrombosis from 2 Japanese centers, evaluating inflammatory cell infiltrates and evidence for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques.

#### Methods

#### **Patient Population**

From April 2004 to September 2012, we identified 105 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for angiographically confirmed definite stent thrombosis (ST) of DES from the databases at Kokura Memorial Hospital (N=39) and Kurashiki Central Hospital (N=66). Thrombus aspiration using manual aspiration catheters was performed at the time of PCI in 75 patients. The amount of aspirated thrombi was sufficient for the histopathologic analysis in 48 patients, who constituted the current study population. Regarding the timing after the index DES implantation, there were 17 patients with early ST (EST, within 30



Figure 1. Study flow chart of patients with drug-eluting stent thrombosis who underwent thrombus aspiration with retrieved material sufficient for the histopathologic analysis. DES = drug-eluting stents, EST = early stent thrombosis, LST = late stent thrombosis, and VLST = very late stent thrombosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.g001

days), 7 patients with late ST (LST, between 31 and 365 days), and 24 patients with very late ST (VLST, >1 year) (Figure 1). Median durations between the index DES implantation procedure and ST were 5 (interquartile range [IQR]: 3–13) days for EST, 61 (IQR: 45–286) days for LST, and 1349 (IQR: 1032–1886) days for VLST. The types of the thrombosed stents included SES (Cypher, Cordis, Johnson & Johnson) in 29 patients, PES (Taxus, Boston Scientific) in 8 patients, zotarolimus-eluting stents (ZES, Endeavor, Medtronic) in 4 patients, everolimus-eluting stents (EES, Xience, Abbott vascular, or Promus, Boston Scientific) in 3 patients, and biolimus-eluting stents (BES, Nobori, Terumo, or Biomatrix, Biosensors) in 4 patients.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of both Kokura Memorial Hospital and Kurashiki Central Hospital. Because of retrospective enrollment, written informed consents from the patients were waived. All data provided by each center were anonymized before the analysis.

#### Definitions

Clinical information was obtained from the medical records in each center. Detailed definitions of clinical variables were described in the previous report. [23] In brief, diabetes mellitus was diagnosed when a patient was treated with insulin or oral hypoglycemic drugs, or when casual levels of plasma glucose were

PLOS ONE

higher than 200 mg/dl, fasting levels of plasma glucose were higher than 126 mg/ dl or HbA1c was higher than 6.5% in patients without treatment with insulin or oral hypoglycemic drugs. Chronic renal failure was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rates less than 30 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Current smoking included current smokers and ever smokers who quit smoking within 1 month. Definite ST was defined as angiographic evidence of thrombus in association with the symptoms and signs of acute coronary syndrome according to the Academic Research Consortium definition. [24]

#### Thrombus Aspiration

All patients received 200 mg of aspirin and either 300 mg of clopidogrel or 200 mg of ticlopidine before PCI, unless maintenance doses of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) were administered before the onset of ST. An intra-arterial bolus of heparin (10000 IU) and an intracoronary bolus of nitroglycerin (250  $\mu$ g) were administered before coronary angiography. Coronary angiography was performed using 6 French or 7 French guiding catheters. Then a 0.014-inch guidewire was passed through the occluded coronary segment, followed by thrombus aspiration using manual aspiration catheters such as Thrombuster (Kaneka Corporation, Japan), Rebirth Pro (Goodman Corporation, Japan), and Eliminate (Terumo Corporation, Japan). The choice of the aspiration devices was left to the discretion of the operators.

#### Histopathological Analysis

The aspirated thrombi were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin blocks of the thrombi were sectioned using a rotary microtome and stained with luna and hematoxylin and eosin. An experienced pathologist (Inoue K) performed the histopathologic analysis in a blinded manner regarding the timing of ST onset. Using Luna stain, we selected up to five (mean  $3.9 \pm 0.91$ ;  $\geq 4$  in 39 patients;  $\leq 3$  in 9 patients) high-power fields (0.145 mm<sup>2</sup>/field) for evaluating inflammatory cell infiltrates. The number of eosinophils and total white blood cells per mm<sup>2</sup> were counted to calculate eosinophilc fraction in the aspirated thrombus. In consistent with the previous study, evidences for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques were regarded as present when at least one of the following pathologic findings such as a thin fibrous cap, foamy macrophages, or cholesterol crystals was identified using hematoxylin and eosin stain. [23]

#### Angiographic Analysis

Angiographic analysis was conducted by the 2 investigators (Yamaji K and Kubo S). All the study patients were confirmed to fulfill the definition of definite ST. According to the institutional protocols, patients in Kokura Memorial Hospital were encouraged to undergo follow-up angiography at 6 and 12 months, while those in Kurashiki Central Hospital at 8 and 24 months, respectively. We evaluated stent fracture and PSS outside the stent at the time of follow-up

angiography or at the time of ST after thrombus aspiration and/or balloon angioplasty. Stent fracture was defined as complete or partial separation of stent segments observed by plain fluoroscopy without contrast injection. [25,26] PSS was defined as contrast staining outside the stent contour extending to 20% of the stent diameter, measured by quantitative coronary angiography. Morphologic classifications of PSS included mono-focal, multi-focal, segmental irregularcontour, and segmental smooth-contour as previously described. [16] We evaluated late acquired PSS in the current analysis, which was defined as that observed at follow-up or at the time of ST, but not at post-stenting.

#### Intravascular Ultrasound Imaging and Analysis

IVUS images were acquired using commercially available imaging systems (ViewIT, Terumo; Galaxy and iLab, Boston Scientific) at the time of ST. According to the American College of Cardiology clinical expert consensus document on IVUS, 2 experienced physicians (Yamaji K and Kuramitsu S) carefully performed the qualitative assessment of IVUS images for ISA, presence of plaque rupture either inside or outside the stent, and stent fracture in a blinded manner regarding the timing of ST and hospitals. [27] ISA was defined as one or more stent struts separated from the vessel wall either with evidence of blood speckles behind the strut in a vessel segment not associated with any side branches or with occlusive thrombus between stent struts and the vessel wall. [28] In addition to the angiographic definition of stent fracture, stent fracture was also defined as complete or partial separation of stent segments observed by IVUS. [25,26]

#### Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentages. Frequency analysis was performed with the chi-square test except for ordinal data. Ordinal data with more than 2 groups, such as Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) flow grade, was analyzed with Kruskal-Wallis test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean values  $\pm$  SD or median values with IQR, and the differences were assessed by the unpaired t test. When comparing more than 2 groups, variables were assessed by using one way analysis of variance. All statistical analyses were performed with JMP 9.03 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All reported probability values were 2-sided, and probability values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

#### Results

#### Patient and Lesion Characteristics

Mean age of the study patients at the time of ST was  $67 \pm 10$  years, and 40 patients (83%) were male. There was no significant difference in baseline patient and lesion characteristics among patients with EST, LST, and VLST, except for the

type of DES (<u>Table 1</u> and <u>2</u>). All patients with VLST had first-generation DES thrombosis, while 8 patients (47%) of EST and 5 patients (71%) of LST had first-generation DES thrombosis. In patients with VLST, status of antiplatelet therapy at the time of thrombosis included DAPT in 12 patients (50%), aspirin only in 8 patients (33%), thienoprydine only in 3 patients (13%), and no antiplatelet therapy in 5 patients (21%), while 13 out of 17 EST patients (76%) and 3 out of 7 LST patients (43%) received DAPT at the time of thrombosis.

#### Angiographic and IVUS Findings

PSS was not observed in patients with EST and LST. In patients with VLST, PSS was found in 5 patients at the time of follow-up angiography (3 out of 19 patients) and/or at the time of ST (3 out of 24 patients) (Table 3). PSS morphology was mono-focal in 1 patient, multi-focal in 2 patients, and segmental irregularcontour in 2 patients. Of 24 patients with VLST, 15 patients (63%) underwent IVUS at the time of ST. We performed IVUS immediately after crossing the guidewire in 3 patients; after thrombus aspiration in 10 patients. We excluded two patients from the IVUS analysis: a patient who underwent IVUS after thrombus aspiration and balloon dilatation and that after additional stenting for the distal portion of the index stent. ISA was regarded as present in 9 patients with VLST (69%). Among them, clearly malapposed strut with evidence of blood speckles was observed in 5 patients (38%) and occlusive thrombus around the struts was present in 4 patients (31%). Finally, either PSS and/or ISA was identified in 12 (50%) out of 24 patients with VLST (Table 3). Stent fracture was identified in 5 (21%) patients with VLST (by both plain fluoroscopy and IVUS in 1 patient and only by IVUS in 4 patients). Among 12 patients with either PSS and/or ISA, 4 patients had stent fracture, while stent fracture was identified in 1 out of 12 patients without PSS or ISA. There was no evidence of plaque rupture in any patient who underwent IVUS. Of 24 patients with VLST, 8 patients underwent the index DES implantation for the restenotic lesions. There was no significant association for the presence of either PSS and/or ISA and the index DES implantation either for the de novo lesion or restenotic lesions (8 out of 16 patients [50%] with de novo lesions versus 4 out f 8 patients [50%] with restenotic lesions, P > 0.99).

#### Histopathologic Findings of Aspirated Thrombi

Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with VLST ( $8.2\pm5.7\%$ ) as compared with those with EST ( $4.3\pm3.0\%$ ) and LST ( $5.5\pm3.8\%$ ) (P=0.03, <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Figure 2</u>). In a total of 12 VLST patients with PSS and/or ISA, eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi were significantly higher than those in 12 VLST patients without PSS or ISA ( $10.6\pm6.1\%$  versus  $5.8\pm4.1\%$ , P=0.03). A representative case demonstrating prominent eosinophilic infiltration and PSS was shown in <u>Figure 3</u>. Evidence for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques, including thin fibrous cap, foamy macrophages, or

Table 1. Patient Characteristics at Time of Stent Thrombosis According to the Timing of Stent Thrombosis.

|  | EST (N=17)      | LST (N=7)       | VLST (N=24)      | P value |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Days between the index procedure and ST  | 8.6±8.8         | 151±127         | 1445±548         |         |
| mean, interquartile range                | 5 (3–12.5)      | 61 (45–286)     | 1349 (1032–1886) |         |
| Age, years                               | 67±9            | $65\pm9$        | $68\pm11$        | 0.83    |
| Male gender                              | 16 (94)         | 5 (71)          | 19 (79)          | 0.30    |
| Hypertension                             | 13 (77)         | 6 (86)          | 16 (67)          | 0.56    |
| Diabetes mellitus                        | 11 (65)         | 5 (71)          | 12 (50)          | 0.48    |
| Oral glucose lowering agents             | 5 (29)          | 0 (0)           | 5 (21)           | 0.27    |
| Insulin                                  | 4 (24)          | 1 (14)          | 5 (21)           | 0.88    |
| Current smoking                          | 2 (12)          | 2 (29)          | 5 (21)           | 0.59    |
| Lipid profile                            |                 |                 |                  |         |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl                 | $160\pm25.5$    | $174\pm32.0$    | 169±47.0         | 0.70    |
| Triglyceride, mg/dl                      | $94.8 \pm 46.4$ | $131 \pm 104$   | $98.4 \pm 51.6$  | 0.39    |
| HDL, mg/dl                               | $50.8 \pm 14.0$ | $47.4 \pm 11.3$ | $41.9 \pm 12.6$  | 0.10    |
| LDL, mg/dl                               | 91.3±21.3       | 98.0±21.7       | $101\!\pm\!33.4$ | 0.59    |
| Chronic renal failure                    | 2 (12)          | 2 (29)          | 6 (25)           | 0.51    |
| Hemodialysis                             | 1 (5.9)         | 1 (14)          | 2 (8.3)          | 0.80    |
| Left ventricular dysfunction (LVEF <40%) | 3 (18)          | 1 (14)          | 2 (8.3)          | 0.67    |
| Antiplatelet therapy                     |                 |                 |                  | 0.01    |
| Dual antiplatelet therapy                | 13 (76)         | 3 (43)          | 12 (50)          |         |
| Aspirin only                             | 3 (18)          | 0 (0)           | 8 (33)           |         |
| Thienopyridines only                     | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 3 (13)           |         |
| None                                     | 1 (5.9)         | 4 (57)          | 5 (21)           |         |
| ACE-I/ARB                                | 10 (59)         | 4 (57)          | 10 (42)          | 0.51    |
| Beta blocker                             | 7 (41)          | 3 (43)          | 8 (33)           | 0.83    |
| Statin                                   | 12 (71)         | 3 (43)          | 11 (46)          | 0.24    |
| TVR before stent thrombosis              | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 6 (25)           | 0.03    |

Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SD, median value with interquartile range, or number (%).

EST = early stent thrombosis, LST = late stent thrombosis, VLST = very late stent thrombosis, ST = stent thrombosis, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, ACE-I = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker, and TVR = target vessel revascularization.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.t001

cholesterol crystals, was identified in 7 patients (15%). There was no significant difference in the prevalence of fragments of atherosclerotic plaques among patients with EST (N=3 [18%]), LST (N=1 [14%]), and VLST (N=3 [13%]) (P=0.9) (Table 4). Three VLST patients with an evidence for fragments of atherosclerotic plaque had relatively low eosinophil fraction (8.8%, 1.9%, and 1.9%) in the aspirated thrombus (Figure 4). Among the 5 patients with stent fracture, 3 patients had high eosinophil fraction (15.0  $\pm$  3.9%) associated with PSS and/or ISA, 1 patient had low eosinophil fraction (1.9%) with ISA, and 1 patient had low eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombil fraction the eosinophil fraction (2.5%) without PSS or ISA. There was no significant difference in the eosinophil fraction for the de novo lesions and those for the restenotic lesions. (7.7  $\pm$  5.9% versus 9.4  $\pm$  5.3%, P=0.49).



| Table | 2. | Lesion | Characteristi | cs at the I | Index Pro | ocedure an | d Time o | of Stent | Thrombosis | According | to the | Timing of | Stent | Thrombosis |
|-------|----|--------|---------------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|------------|
|       |    |        |               |             |           |            |          |          |            |           |        |           |       |            |

| At the index procedure                         | EST (N=17) | LST (N=7) | VLST (N=24) | P value |
|--|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| DES type                                       |            |           |             | <0.001  |
| First generation DES                           | 8 (47)     | 5 (71)    | 24 (100)    |         |
| sirolimus-eluting stent                        | 6 (35)     | 2 (28)    | 21 (87)     |         |
| paclitaxel-eluting stent                       | 2 (12)     | 3 (43)    | 3 (13)      |         |
| Second generation DES                          | 9 (53)     | 2 (29)    | 0 (0)       |         |
| everolimus-eluting stent                       | 1 (5.9)    | 2 (29)    | 0 (0)       |         |
| zotarolimus-eluting stent                      | 4 (24)     | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)       |         |
| biolimus-eluting stent                         | 4 (24)     | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)       |         |
| Lesion location                                |            |           |             | 0.39    |
| Left anterior descending coronary artery       | 6 (35)     | 5 (71)    | 11 (46)     |         |
| Right coronary artery                          | 5 (29)     | 1 (14)    | 9 (38)      |         |
| Left circumflex coronary artery                | 4 (24)     | 0 (0)     | 3 (13)      |         |
| Left main coronary artery                      | 2 (12)     | 1 (14)    | 0 (0)       |         |
| Saphenous vein graft                           | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 1 (4)       |         |
| Bifurcation                                    | 8 (47)     | 2 (29)    | 9 (38)      | 0.67    |
| Chronic total occlusion                        | 3 (18)     | 1 (14)    | 2 (8.3)     | 0.67    |
| Calcification                                  | 5 (29)     | 2 (29)    | 3 (13)      | 0.36    |
| Ostium   | 7 (41)     | 1 (14)    | 6 (25)      | 0.34    |
| Restenotic lesion                              | 4 (24)     | 1 (14)    | 9 (38)      | 0.40    |
| BMS restenosis                                 | 2 (12)     | 1 (14)    | 6 (25)      | 0.53    |
| DES restenosis                                 | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 2 (8)       | 0.35    |
| Balloon angioplasty restenosis                 | 2 (12)     | 0 (0)     | 1 (4)       | 0.47    |
| Culprit lesion for ACS                         | 6 (35)     | 3 (43)    | 7 (29)      | 0.90    |
| ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction     | 4 (24)     | 2 (29)    | 3 (13)      |         |
| Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction | 1 (6)      | 0 (0)     | 1 (4)       |         |
| Unstable angina pectoris                       | 1 (6)      | 1 (14)    | 3 (13)      |         |
| Multiple stents use                            | 7 (41)     | 3 (43)    | 6 (25)      | 0.47    |
| At stent thrombosis                            |            |           |             |         |
| TIMI flow grade (pre)                          |            |           |             | 0.31    |
| 3  | 2 (12)     | 1 (14)    | 0 (0)       |         |
| 2  | 0 (0)      | 1 (14)    | 2 (8.3)     |         |
| 1  | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 2 (8.3)     |         |
| 0  | 15 (88)    | 5 (71)    | 20 (83)     |         |
| TIMI flow grade (post)                         |            |           |             | 0.35    |
| 3  | 17 (100)   | 7 (100)   | 22 (92)     |         |
| 2  | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 2 (8.3)     |         |
| 1  | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)       |         |
| 0  | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)       |         |

Values are expressed as number (%). EST = early stent thrombosis, LST = late stent thrombosis, VLST = very late stent thrombosis, DES = drug-eluting stents, BMS = bare-metal stents, ACS = acute coronary syndrome, and TIMI = thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.t002

|              | Foa-<br>Foa-<br>my<br>Fib- Chole- cro-<br>rous sterol pha-<br>cap crystal ge |                   | z<br>z<br>z   | z<br>z<br>z  | z<br>z<br>z  | z<br>z<br>z     | z<br>z<br>z                   | z<br>z<br>z                   | ≻<br>≻         | z<br>z<br>z     | z<br>z<br>z   | z<br>z<br>z      | ۲<br>۲       | z<br>z<br>z      |
|--------------|--|-------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
|              | Area<br>(mm <sup>2</sup> )   |                   | 0.725         | 0.58         | 0.58         | 0.725           | 0.725                         | 0.58                          | 0.58           | 0.58            | 0.58          | 0.725            | 0.58         | 0.58             |
|              | Total<br>WBC<br>(per<br>mm <sup>2</sup> )                                    |                   | 906           | 795          | 953          | 517             | 1586                          | 597                           | 890            | 390             | 1243          | 341              | 3443         | 3538             |
|              | Eosino-<br>phils<br>mm²,<br>%)   |                   | 175<br>(19.3) | 60 (7.6)     | 10 (1.1)     | 86 (16.5)       | 307<br>(19.4)                 | 83 (13.9)                     | 17 (1.9)       | 47 (11.9)       | 135<br>(10.8) | 40 (11.7)        | 302 (8.8)    | 171 (4.8)        |
|              | Frac-<br>ture  |                   | ≻             | z            | z            | z               | z                             | ≻                             | ≻              | z               | z             | ≻                | z            | z                |
|              | plaque   |                   | z             | z            | z            | ı               | z                             | 1                             | z              | ı               | z             | z                | z            | z                |
| Ę            | SUV  | ISA               | ≻             | ≻            | ≻            |                 | ~                             |                               | ≻              |                 | ≻             | ≻                | ≻            | ≻                |
| it appositio | PSS<br>morph-<br>ology   |                   |               |              |              | Multi-<br>focal | Segmen-<br>tal irre-<br>gular | Segmen-<br>tal irre-<br>gular | Mono-<br>focal | Multi-<br>focal |               |                  |              |                  |
| ete sten     | At ST  |                   | z             | z            | z            | z               | ≻                             | ≻                             | ≻              | ≻               | z             | z                | z            | z                |
| comple       | PSS  | Bef-<br>ore<br>ST |               | z            | z            | ≻               | ≻                             | ≻                             | z              | z               | z             | ī                | z            |                  |
| ing or in    | ISR<br>lesion  |                   | z             | ≻            | ≻            | ≻               | z                             | z                             | z              | z               | z             | ≻                | z            | z                |
| ast stair    | Num-<br>ber<br>of<br>stents  |                   | -             | -            | -            | -               | ი                             | <del></del>                   | ю              | <del>~</del>    | 2             | -                | ю            | 0                |
| nt contr     | DES<br>type  |                   | PES           | SES          | PES          | SES             | SES                           | SES                           | SES            | SES             | SES           | SES              | SES          | SES              |
| r peri-ste   | Target<br>lesion<br>artery   |                   | RCA           | RCA          | RCA          | SVG             | LAD                           | LAD                           | RCA            | LAD             | RCA           | RCA              | LAD          | ГСХ              |
| s with eithe | Antipla-<br>telet<br>therapy<br>status<br>at ST                              |                   | Aspirin       | DAPT         | None         | DAPT            | Aspirin                       | None                          | DAPT           | Aspirin         | Aspirin       | Clopido-<br>grel | DAPT         | Clopido-<br>grel |
| T patients   | Indica-<br>tion<br>for<br>the<br>index<br>proce-<br>dure                     |                   | Stable<br>AP  | Stable<br>AP | Stable<br>AP | STEMI           | Stable<br>AP                  | Stable<br>AP                  | Stable<br>AP   | Stable<br>AP    | Stable<br>AP  | Stable<br>AP     | Stable<br>AP | Stable<br>AP     |
| Is in VLS    | Time<br>to ST<br>(days)  |                   | 575           | 807          | 809          | 827             | 1033                          | 1114                          | 1317           | 1344            | 1929          | 2132             | 2258         | 2691             |
| . Finding    | ) Sex  |                   | Male          | Male         | Male         | Male            | Male                          | Fem-<br>ale                   | Male           | Fem-<br>ale     | Male          | Fem-<br>ale      | Male         | Male             |
| Table 3      | Age<br>at ST<br>(years   |                   | 82            | 52           | 79           | 81              | 63                            | 67                            | 51             | 55              | 68            | 74               | 20           | 71               |

PLOS ONE

doi:10.1371/joumal.pone.0113870.t003



#### Table 4. Pathologic Findings in the Aspirated Thrombi According to the Timing of Stent Thrombosis.

|                                      | EST (N=17)      | LST (N=7)         | VLST (N=24)   | P value |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Fragments of atherosclerotic plaques | 3 (18)          | 1 (14)            | 3 (13)        | 0.90    |
| Foamy macrophage                     | 3 (18)          | 1 (14)            | 3 (13)        | 0.90    |
| Cholesterol crystal                  | 2 (12)          | 1 (14)            | 3 (13)        | 0.99    |
| Thin fibrous cap                     | 1 (6)           | 0 (0)             | 1 (4)         | 0.83    |
| Eosinophil fraction                  | $4.3 \pm 3.0\%$ | $5.5\!\pm\!3.8\%$ | 8.2±5.7%      | 0.03    |
| Eosinophils per mm <sup>2</sup>      | $29.6 \pm 22.7$ | $49.5\!\pm\!55.7$ | 81.1±90.8     | 0.07    |
| Total WBCs per mm <sup>2</sup>       | $714\pm 276$    | $681 \pm 619$     | $901 \pm 854$ | 0.37    |

Values are expressed as number (%).

EST = early stent thrombosis, LST = late stent thrombosis, VLST = very late stent thrombosis, ST = stent thrombosis, and WBC = white blood cell.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.t004



Figure 2. Bubble plots for eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi and days between stent implantation and thrombosis in patients with and without PSS or ISA. Bubble size shows total white blood cell counts per  $mm^2$  in the aspirated thrombi. EST = early stent thrombosis, LST = late stent thrombosis, VLST = very late stent thrombosis, PSS = peri-stent contrast staining, and ISA = incomplete stent apposition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.g002



Figure 3. A representative case of very late stent thrombosis with eosinophilic infiltrates in the aspirated thrombus retrieved from lesions with peri-stent contrast staining and incomplete stent apposition. A, B Pre and post coronary angiography at the index sirolimus-eluting stents implantation (modified T stenting) demonstrated bifurcation lesion at the left anterior descending coronary artery. C, peristent contrast staining (arrows) was found by follow up angiography 813 days after the index procedure. D, very late stent thrombosis occurred at 1033 days after the index procedure. E, Intravascular ultrasound demonstrated intra-stent thrombus and incomplete stent apposition with positive arterial remodeling (asterisk). F, The histopathological image of the aspirated thrombus showed inflammatory infiltrate with eosinophils (19.4% out of total white blood cells) (Luna stain).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.g003





Figure 4. A representative case of very late stent thrombosis with fragments of atherosclerotic plaques retrieved from lesions with peri-stent contrast staining and incomplete stent apposition. A, B pre and post coronary angiography for 3 sirolimus-eluting stents implantation in the right coronary artery. C, very late stent thrombosis occurred at 1317 days after the index procedure. Peri-stent contrast staining (arrows) was found in the proximal and distal bifurcation lesions in the right coronary artery. Radiolucency (arrow head) suggesting the presence of thrombus was found in the distal bifurcation lesion. D, Intravascular ultrasound demonstrated intra-stent thrombus and incomplete stent apposition with positive arterial remodeling (asterisk). E, cholesterol crystals with foamy macrophages were observed in the aspirated thrombus (1.9% out of total white blood cells) (Luna stain).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113870.g004

#### Discussion

The main findings of the present study were as follows; (1) Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with DES VLST as compared with those with EST and LST; (2) Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi in patients with DES VLST was significantly higher in patients with PSS and/or ISA as compared with those without PSS or ISA; and (3) Evidences for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques were relatively uncommon in patients with DES VLST.

IVUS studies after SES implantation revealed a higher incidence of ISA with DES compared with BMS (8.7% versus 0.0%, P<0.05). [28] From the several IVUS studies, the prevalence of ISA at the time of VLST was high (52%–74%). [10,12–14] In the present study, we found PSS and/or ISA in 12 (50%) out of 24 lesions of VLST. The prevalence of PSS and ISA were consistent with the previous reports. The RESTART (Registry of Stent Thrombosis for review And Re-evaluaTion) was a Japanese large registry of SES-associated ST, [29] and the angiographic substudy demonstrated that PSS was present in 34.1% of patients with SES-associated VLST. [30] Recently, Imai et al. reported that PSS was found within 12 months after SES implantation in 194 lesions (2.5%) out of 7838 lesions, and cumulative incidence of VLST at 4 years was significantly higher in lesions with PSS (5.3%) as compared with those without PSS (0.7%, P<0.001). [17] Therefore, ISA and PSS might represent abnormal vessel wall responses to DES, which predisposed to VLST. However, the pathophysiologic mechanisms of ISA and PSS formation leading to VLST have not been yet adequately clarified.

Cook et al. reported that the number of eosinophils in the aspirated thrombi at the time of DES VLST correlated with the extent of stent malapposition in relatively small number of patients (10 patients [11 lesions]). [14] In the current study evaluating larger number of patients with DES VLST, eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with VLST as compared with those with EST and LST. Furthermore, eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi in patients with DES VLST was significantly higher in patients with PSS and/or ISA as compared with those without PSS or ISA. Higher eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi might be attributable to localized hypersensitivity vasculitis. Indeed, previous human pathologic studies suggested that hypersensitivity vasculitis in response to SES caused intimal damage leading to vascular surface defect with PSS and/or ISA, [9,15] and long-lasting severe hypersensitivity vasculitis might lead to further medial disruption and destruction of vessel wall with aneurysmal dilatation. [31] Localized hypersensitivity vasculitis was a major underlying mechanisms of VLST in patients with positive remodeling and mal-apposition of the stent struts. In contrast, there was a postmortem case report demonstrating late ISA by focal positive vessel remodeling caused by medial necrosis without hypersensitivity reaction. [32] Medial necrosis with medial smooth muscle cell depletion could also be a possible mechanism for positive remodeling and mal-apposition of the stent struts.

The mechanisms of DES VLST could be multi-factorial. In a human postmortem pathologic study, neoatherosclerosis was a frequent finding in the DEStreated lesions and occurred earlier than in the BMS-treated lesions. [22] Therefore, disruption of neoatherosclerosis inside the stents was suggested to be one of the important underlying mechanisms of DES VLST. In the previous single-center study, we evaluated the evidence for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques, such as foamy macrophages, cholesterol crystals, and thin fibrous cap, in the aspirated thrombi in patients with BMS thrombosis. [23] Fragment of atherosclerosis was observed in 13 out of 42 patients [31%] with BMS VLST in the previous study, while it was observed only in 3 out of 24 patients [13%] with DES VLST in the current study. Although the time intervals between the index procedure and VLST was significantly shorter in patients with DES VLST as compared with those with BMS VLST  $(5.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ years versus } 4.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ years})$ , it was surprising that the prevalence of fragment of atherosclerosis was lower in patients with DES VLST as compared with BMS VLST, given the high prevalence of in-stent neoatherosclerosis reported in the DES-treated lesions. [22] Further human pathologic and/or imaging studies are important to investigate the possible role of in-stent neoatherosclerosis as one of the mechanisms of DES VLST.

Although it has not been fully clarified whether DAPT beyond 1 year could decrease the incidences of VLST, [33] 12 out of 24 patients (50%) underwent DAPT at the time of VLST in this study. From the long-term follow-up of j-Cypher registry, which was a nationwide Japanese registry of patients with SES implantation, 43.9% of patients underwent DAPT at 5 years. [4] Physicians might prolong the duration of DAPT after the observation of abnormal findings at the scheduled follow-up angiography, especially in patients with the first generation DES.

#### Study Limitations

The present study has several limitations. (1) The number of patients was small to fully elucidate the prevalence of inflammatory cell infiltrates and evidence of atherosclerosis in the aspirated thrombi in patients with DES VLST. (2) It was not certain whether the eosinophils in the aspirated thrombi were actually derived from the stented vessel wall. As in the previous study, [23] absence of fragments of atherosclerotic plaque does not necessarily mean absence of neoatherosclerosis inside the stents because thrombus aspiration could not fully retrieve the components of the arterial wall. (3) All cases of VLST in the current study occurred only with first generation DES. This study could not provide information on the newer generation DES thrombosis. First-generation DES is no longer used in the clinical arena. However, there are millions of patients who had already received first-generation DES. (4) We did not perform IVUS for all patients, and no patient underwent optical coherence tomography. IVUS does not have enough resolution to provide data on neoatheroscleosis, plaque rupture or thickness of a thin-cap fibroatheroma. Furthermore, even though it has enough

resolution to measure vessel, stent and lumen area, we could not fully assess those measurements due to mural thrombi. We could not fully discriminate progressive in-stent restenosis with superimposed thrombus from stent thrombosis. (5) The proportion of patients who underwent index DES deployment for the restenotic lesions was relatively high in the current analysis. The risk of thrombosis of two stent layers could be higher than one stent layer. Even though there was no significant difference in the eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi between patients who underwent index DES implantation for the de novo lesions and those for the restenotic lesions, overlapping stent could be associated with late changes around the stent struts. However, the number of patients in this analysis was small for the subgroup analysis to elucidate the association of restenotic lesions and underlying mechanisms of stent thromobosis.

#### Conclusions

Eosinophil fraction in the aspirated thrombi was significantly higher in patients with DES VLST as compared with those with EST and LST. Evidences for fragments of atherosclerotic plaques were relatively uncommon in patients with DES VLST.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to Misako Teramoto, Sayo Tanaka, Kazumi Shiota, Hiromi Kouda, and Hironori Kajitani for assistance in pathological assessment.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: KY S. Kubo KI KK TK. Performed the experiments: KY S. Kubo S. Kuramitsu. Analyzed the data: KY. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SS KA MN KM. Wrote the paper: KY S. Kubo KI KK TK.

#### References

- McFadden EP, Stabile E, Regar E, Cheneau E, Ong ATL, et al. (2004) Late thrombosis in drug-eluting coronary stents after discontinuation of antiplatelet therapy. Lancet 364: 1519–1521. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(04)17275-9.
- 2. Kuchulakanti PK, Chu WW, Torguson R, Ohlmann P, Rha S, et al. (2006) Correlates and long-term outcomes of angiographically proven stent thrombosis with sirolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting stents. Circulation 113: 1108–1113. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.600155.
- **3. Van Werkum JW, Heestermans AACM, de Korte FI, Kelder JC, Suttorp M-J, et al**. (2009) Long-term clinical outcome after a first angiographically confirmed coronary stent thrombosis: an analysis of 431 cases. Circulation 119: 828–834. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.799403.
- 4. Kimura T, Morimoto T, Nakagawa Y, Kawai K, Miyazaki S, et al. (2012) Very late stent thrombosis and late target lesion revascularization after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: five-year outcome of the j-Cypher Registry. Circulation 125: 584–591. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.046599.

- Wenaweser P, Daemen J, Zwahlen M, van Domburg R, Jüni P, et al. (2008) Incidence and correlates of drug-eluting stent thrombosis in routine clinical practice. 4-year results from a large 2-institutional cohort study. J Am Coll Cardiol 52: 1134–1140. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.07.006.
- Daemen J, Wenaweser P, Tsuchida K, Abrecht L, Vaina S, et al. (2007) Early and late coronary stent thrombosis of sirolimus-eluting and paclitaxel-eluting stents in routine clinical practice: data from a large two-institutional cohort study. Lancet 369: 667–678. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60314-6.
- Natsuaki M, Morimoto T, Furukawa Y, Nakagawa Y, Kadota K, et al. (2014) Late Adverse Events After Implantation of Sirolimus-Eluting Stent and Bare-Metal Stent: Long-Term (5–7 Years) Follow-Up of the Coronary Revascularization Demonstrating Outcome Study-Kyoto Registry Cohort-2. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 7: 168–179. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.113.000987.
- Doyle B, Rihal CS, O'Sullivan CJ, Lennon RJ, Wiste HJ, et al. (2007) Outcomes of stent thrombosis and restenosis during extended follow-up of patients treated with bare-metal coronary stents. Circulation 116: 2391–2398. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.707331.
- Virmani R, Guagliumi G, Farb A, Musumeci G, Grieco N, et al. (2004) Localized hypersensitivity and late coronary thrombosis secondary to a sirolimus-eluting stent: should we be cautious? Circulation 109: 701–705. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000116202.41966.D4.
- Cook S, Wenaweser P, Togni M, Billinger M, Morger C, et al. (2007) Incomplete stent apposition and very late stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent implantation. Circulation 115: 2426–2434. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.658237.
- Lee CW, Kang S-J, Park D-W, Lee S-H, Kim Y-H, et al. (2010) Intravascular ultrasound findings in patients with very late stent thrombosis after either drug-eluting or bare-metal stent implantation. J Am Coll Cardiol 55: 1936–1942. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.10.077.
- Pesarini G, Dandale R, Rigamonti A, Pighi M, Zivelonghi C, et al. (2013) Late and very late coronary stent thrombosis: Intravascular ultrasound findings and associations with antiplatelet therapy. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 82: 1056–1065. doi: 10.1002/ccd.24938.
- 13. Kosonen P, Vikman S, Jensen LO, Lassen JF, Harnek J, et al. (2013) Intravascular ultrasound assessed incomplete stent apposition and stent fracture in stent thrombosis after bare metal versus drug-eluting stent treatment the Nordic Intravascular Ultrasound Study (NIVUS). Int J Cardiol 168: 1010–1016. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.10.033.
- Cook S, Ladich E, Nakazawa G, Eshtehardi P, Neidhart M, et al. (2009) Correlation of intravascular ultrasound findings with histopathological analysis of thrombus aspirates in patients with very late drugeluting stent thrombosis. Circulation 120: 391–399. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.854398.
- 15. Kon H, Sakai H, Otsubo M, Takano H, Okamoto K, et al. (2011) Contrast staining outside the sirolimus-eluting stent leading to coronary aneurysm formation: a case of very late stent thrombosis associated with hypersensitivity reaction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 4: e1–3. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCINTERVENTIONS.110.958090.
- Imai M, Kadota K, Goto T, Fujii S, Yamamoto H, et al. (2011) Incidence, risk factors, and clinical sequelae of angiographic peri-stent contrast staining after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. Circulation 123: 2382–2391. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.003459.
- Imai M, Kimura T, Morimoto T, Saito N, Shiomi H, et al. (2014) Impact of angiographic peri-stent contrast staining (PSS) on late adverse events after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: an observation from the multicenter j-Cypher registry PSS substudy. Cardiovasc Interv Ther 29: 226–236. doi: 10.1007/ s12928-014-0248-6.
- Tada T, Kadota K, Hosogi S, Kubo S, Ozaki M, et al. (2012) Optical coherence tomography findings in lesions after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation with peri-stent contrast staining. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 5: 649–656. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.112.968487.
- Joner M, Finn A V, Farb A, Mont EK, Kolodgie FD, et al. (2006) Pathology of drug-eluting stents in humans: delayed healing and late thrombotic risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 48: 193–202. doi: 10.1016/ j.jacc.2006.03.042.
- Finn A V, Nakazawa G, Joner M, Kolodgie FD, Mont EK, et al. (2007) Vascular responses to drug eluting stents: importance of delayed healing. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 27: 1500–1510. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.144220.
- Nakazawa G, Finn A V, Vorpahl M, Ladich ER, Kolodgie FD, et al. (2011) Coronary responses and differential mechanisms of late stent thrombosis attributed to first-generation sirolimus- and paclitaxeleluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol 57: 390–398. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.066.

- 22. Nakazawa G, Otsuka F, Nakano M, Vorpahl M, Yazdani SK, et al. (2011) The pathology of neoatherosclerosis in human coronary implants bare-metal and drug-eluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol 57: 1314–1322. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.01.011.
- 23. Yamaji K, Inoue K, Nakahashi T, Noguchi M, Domei T, et al. (2012) Bare metal stent thrombosis and in-stent neoatherosclerosis. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 5: 47–54. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCINTERVENTIONS.111.964965.
- Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, et al. (2007) Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation 115: 2344–2351. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.685313.
- Umeda H, Gochi T, Iwase M, Izawa H, Shimizu T, et al. (2009) Frequency, predictors and outcome of stent fracture after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. Int J Cardiol 133: 321–326. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijcard.2007.12.067.
- Doi H, Maehara A, Mintz GS, Tsujita K, Kubo T, et al. (2009) Classification and potential mechanisms of intravascular ultrasound patterns of stent fracture. Am J Cardiol 103: 818–823. doi: 10.1016/ j.amicard.2008.11.051.
- 27. Mintz GS, Nissen SE, Anderson WD, Bailey SR, Erbel R, et al. (2001) American College of Cardiology Clinical Expert Consensus Document on Standards for Acquisition, Measurement and Reporting of Intravascular Ultrasound Studies (IVUS). A report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Do. J Am Coll Cardiol 37: 1478–1492.
- Ako J, Morino Y, Honda Y, Hassan A, Sonoda S, et al. (2005) Late incomplete stent apposition after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: a serial intravascular ultrasound analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 46: 1002–1005. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.05.068.
- 29. Kimura T, Morimoto T, Kozuma K, Honda Y, Kume T, et al. (2010) Comparisons of baseline demographics, clinical presentation, and long-term outcome among patients with early, late, and very late stent thrombosis of sirolimus-eluting stents: Observations from the Registry of Stent Thrombosis for Review and Reevaluation. Circulation 122: 52–61. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.903955.
- Kozuma K, Kimura T, Suzuki N, Miyazawa A, Waseda K, et al. (2013) Peri-stent contrast staining and very late stent thrombosis after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: an observation from the RESTART (REgistry of Stent Thrombosis for review And Re-evaluaTion) angiographic substudy. EuroIntervention 9: 831–840. doi: 10.4244/EIJV9I7A137.
- Sonoda S, Okazaki M, Iwataki M, Kashiyama K, Muraoka Y, et al. (2012) Intravascular ultrasound and histopathological observations in a patient with very late sirolimus-eluting stent thrombosis. J Cardiol Cases 6: e126–e129. doi: 10.1016/j.jccase.2012.04.007.
- Inoue K, Mitsudo K, Nobuyoshi M (2008) Medial necrosis due to sirolimus-eluting stent implantation in human coronary artery. J Cardiol 51: 60–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcc.2007.08.001.
- Park S-J, Park D-W, Kim Y-H, Kang S-J, Lee S-W, et al. (2010) Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents. N Engl J Med 362: 1374–1382. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1001266.