

# Indigenous Cancer Research: Reflections on Roles and Responsibilities

Nina Scott, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Hayley Bennett, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Bridgette Masters-Awatere, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Diana Sarfati, PhD<sup>4</sup>; Polly Atatoa-Carr, MPH<sup>3</sup>; and Ricci Harris, MPH<sup>4</sup>

#### INTRODUCTION

When asked for a commentary on the roles and responsibilities of non-Indigenous researchers in Indigenous cancer research, several potential non-Indigenous coauthors were contacted. The majority wanted to "run for the hills," signifying that many researchers feel uncomfortable, out of their depth, and fearful regarding methodologies and principles for safe and effective research with and for Indigenous peoples.

Most health research is relevant for Indigenous peoples, and most researchers are non-Indigenous. Thus, if researchers don't engage with Indigenous research principles, health research can and does result in significant harm for Indigenous peoples. Nonengagement may also prevent potential positive outcomes for Indigenous communities (eg, health gain, workforce and community development) and impinge on Indigenous rights to health and development.

In this commentary, we outline our reflections and observations on the roles and responsibilities of non-Indigenous cancer researchers and identify some of the principles that guide researchers in Aotearoa (Aotearoa is the name given by the Indigenous Māori for New Zealand). We do not attempt to provide an indepth analysis or comprehensive best practice summary, but hope to encourage more discussion in this critical and evolving area.

## THE AOTEAROA CONTEXT

Aotearoa New Zealand is a country of just under 5 million people, with Māori (Māori are the Indigenous people of Aotearoa) comprising 15.2% of the population.<sup>4</sup> European colonization led to dispossession of land and resources, Indigenous marginalization and discrimination, and the privileging of non-Indigenous ways of knowing and doing (in this commentary, we refer to non-Indigenous New Zealanders of primarily European descent as non-Māori or Pākehā; given the colonial context of Aotearoa, with power and privilege afforded to Pākehā, we are not referring to New Zealanders from the Pacific Islands, Asia, or recent migrants or refugees who are also non-Maori).<sup>2,5,6</sup> This has resulted in persistent inequities in the distribution of resources and the determinants of

health (including housing, employment, and income) for Māori. <sup>5,6</sup> Additionally, health systems advantage non-Māori and create barriers to health care and poorer quality of care for Māori. <sup>6-14</sup> The result is higher morbidity and mortality for Māori compared with non-Māori across most diseases, including cancer. <sup>7,15-17</sup> Māori are more likely to be diagnosed with cancer and nearly twice as likely to die as a result of cancer. <sup>18</sup> Survival inequities for Māori persist, even after adjusting for factors like age, cancer grade, stage, and comorbidity. <sup>18,19</sup>

There are some positive examples of equity being addressed in Aotearoa,<sup>20</sup> but government agencies (and others) continue to resource programs that grow the equity gap and withhold or remove resources that could improve Māori health and development.<sup>13,14</sup> Studies have shown health services to be a significant contributor to cancer inequities for Māori.<sup>19,21-25</sup>

# EQUITY RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL HEALTH RESEARCHERS AND PRACTITIONERS

Te Tiriti o Waitangi (Te Tiriti; the Treaty of Waitangi) was signed in 1840 by Māori and the British Crown (now represented by the New Zealand Government). As Te Tiriti partners, the Government has obligations to ensure equitable health outcomes for Māori. Te Tiriti also supports Māori rights to self-determination. Although Te Tiriti is Aotearoa specific, other documents like the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) apply to Indigenous peoples worldwide. Both the UNDRIP and Te Tiriti provide guidance on the Indigenous right to health, equity, and self-determination, as well as "necessary steps" for states (or government) to ensure that Indigenous people realize these rights. Some of these necessary steps for researchers are outlined in this commentary.

### **HEALTH RESEARCH APPROACHES IN AOTEAROA**

Health research in Aotearoa encompasses a spectrum of engagement with Māori health and equity responsibilities. <sup>27,28</sup> At one end is Kaupapa Māori research (KMR): it is Māori led, centers on Māori, and views Māori as the norm. <sup>1,29-32</sup> The critical elements of KMR theory include an analysis of power structures, whereby colonization, racism, and the non-neutrality of systems (including health) are examined. <sup>32</sup> Hei

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on October 14, 2019 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/ go on January 13, 2020: DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JG0.19. 00124

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (a)



Āhuru Mōwai–National Māori Cancer Leadership Aotearoa recommend the detection, prevention, and eradication of racism within cancer control at all levels. In research, this includes recruiting staff with antiracism experience, examining research staffing and funding by ethnicity, and training staff in cultural safety. Examples of such research in Aotearoa include cancer support research within Māori health organizations<sup>33</sup> and work by Māori researchers to correct the Māori undercount in national cancer statistics, providing accurate Māori cancer epidemiology.<sup>34,35</sup>

In the middle of the spectrum is research that incorporates a degree of equity responsiveness, where Māori and non-Māori are involved (to varying degrees) in governance and decision making, as well as in research roles. An example is the University of Otago Wellington Cancer and Chronic Conditions Research team.<sup>36,37</sup>

At the other end of the spectrum is research that is not designed or conducted to meet equity and Māori health responsibilities. This research includes an absent (or superficial) consideration of Māori health or even research that is overtly victim blaming<sup>38</sup> and/or increases inequities.

# PRINCIPLES FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE RESEARCH IN THE AOTEAROA CONTEXT

Māori academics have described the importance of decolonized and Kaupapa Māori theory-informed or aligned practice for Indigenous and non-Indigenous researchers. This practice considers that research must benefit Māori, for example, through research governance, focus, methodology, Māori capacity building, and ultimately, findings, dissemination, and implementation. The research process and outcomes should be aimed at positive transformation. Smith et al<sup>39</sup> have suggested that the following questions be asked:

- Who has helped define the research problem?
- For whom is this study worthy and relevant? Who says so?
- Which cultural group will be the one to gain new knowledge from this study?
- To whom is the research accountable?
- Who will gain most from the study?

If Māori are not governing research, there should be partnership agreements about decision making and what happens when there are disagreements.<sup>40</sup> Discussion about recruitment of Māori participants, collection and analysis of Māori data, and interpretation of findings are essential. These partnerships require quality relationships between coinvestigators, students, advisors, partners, governors, and communities, which are maintained over time.<sup>1,32,41</sup>

Research should include principles of Indigenous data sovereignty,<sup>42</sup> collect high-quality ethnicity data,<sup>43</sup> and where possible, Māori data analysis should be to the same depth and breadth as non-Māori data analysis (eg, equal explanatory power in quantitative studies).<sup>44</sup> Research methods (qualitative and/or quantitative) should enable

maximum Māori health and development gain and ensure Māori perspectives and realities are heard. The interpretation should be non-victim-blaming and reject cultural deficit theories. Framing should include critical analysis of structural determinants of health, racism, privilege, and power.<sup>1,32</sup>

Redistribution of power from non-Indigenous to Indigenous research bodies and researchers is central to a decolonizing approach and in line with Indigenous rights to self-determination. This approach recognizes that research academies are commonly viewed as colonial structures, where non-Indigenous voices have been dominant in research and Indigenous voices have been silenced. It is important for non-Indigenous researchers to support Indigenous capacity and Indigenous research leadership, including stepping aside and handing over leadership.

Cultural safety principles apply to research organizations and practitioners. Cultural safety involves understanding one's own culture, values, beliefs, and biases; understanding colonization, racism, white privilege, and power imbalances; and a commitment to continuous critical self-reflection and learning. Culturally safe organizations reflect on where power lies in the organization, analyze equity at all levels, and make resourced and monitored plans to achieve equity.

Reflections from non-Māori with expert experience working in Indigenous research include these key observations<sup>47-49</sup>:

- Understand your position (relative power) in relation to Māori researchers and communities. Keep a mindset of humble "unknowing" rather than "expert."
- Be able to say who you are and where you come from and how you will determine Māori research needs, support Māori control over the research, center on Māori knowledge, and guard Māori information.
- Recognize that quality relationships are built on time and trust and require constant reflection. Relationships involve meeting obligations as well as receiving benefits.
- Honor a responsibility to Māori workforce development.
- Listen. You will be judged on what you do as well as what you say.
- Be prepared to decentralize Western European epistemology to make way for other ways of knowing and doing.
- Be prepared to guide and support, and not control.

Alongside these insights, many tools and frameworks can assist research with and for Indigenous people.<sup>27,28,50</sup>

## **OUR CHALLENGES, SUCCESSES, AND OBSERVATIONS**

There are examples of research in Aotearoa, where these principles outlined above for safe and effective research are demonstrated. <sup>36,37,51,52</sup> However, a number of researchers and institutions in Aotearoa remain poorly engaged, as evidenced by research proposals reviewed by the authors over the years. Many proposals, including those in cancer research, have the potential to increase inequities. Often,

the equity gap will be increased simply because the research will create more benefit for non-Indigenous than Indigenous groups.

The Health Research Council of New Zealand sets aside some funding for Māori-led research and has an expectation that all research contributes to improving Māori health. However, until the recent introduction of Maori health advancement criteria for non–Māori-led research, Māori health did not contribute to the scoring that determined whether a proposal was fundable.<sup>53</sup> The overall proportion of research funding in Aotearoa put toward improving Māori cancer outcomes is difficult to estimate, but data for the last 5 years from one funder suggests less than 5%.<sup>54</sup>

This highlights the importance of monitoring and evaluating research institutions, proposals, funding, and practitioners to ensure principles for safe and effective research with and for Māori are enacted. All health research in Aotearoa must meet ethical standards, including reflecting on Te Tiriti principles, promoting Māori well-being, and ensuring Māori participation in research and ethical review. Work to strengthen the ethical standards to ensure greater focus on improving Māori health is ongoing. <sup>50,55</sup>

It is critical to consider power, privilege, and partnership in the Indigenous, non-Indigenous research space. Partnerships are good, but are not always equal; therefore, privileging the Indigenous partner is important. From our experience, it can be risky for Indigenous researchers in a non-Indigenous research team. Māori researchers may need to challenge supervisors and colleagues, for example, about racist or victim-blaming research design and interpretation. They may also experience an unfair burden of responsibility and expectation of being an expert in all things Māori or "representing" all Māori.

Resourcing quality power-sharing relationships needs to be built into timelines and budgets. Researchers must also take time to regularly critically reflect on power sharing arrangements within their own research partnerships throughout their career. This includes checking in with

Indigenous partners; if the Indigenous partners think the relationship isn't equal, it isn't. Indigenous research mentors, advisory groups, elders, and community advisors are good safety and support mechanisms.

It is critical to consider what research questions/foci will maximize benefit for Indigenous groups, for example, determinants of health, risk/protective factors, and cancers that have high levels of inequity and/or high levels of mortality and morbidity for Indigenous peoples. If the creation of inequities is inevitable (more benefit for non-Indigenous than Indigenous) it is important to think of ways to enhance Indigenous well-being and development in other ways. For example, in the new national bowel cancer screening program in Aotearoa, equity interventions for Māori have been considered and include mechanisms for increasing Maori participation, adding health gain for Māori along the screening pathway (eg, smoking cessation), and considering lowering the eligibility age range for Māori.<sup>57</sup>

Gaining maximum equity and Indigenous well-being starts well before dissemination of research. An Indigenous integrated knowledge translation plan, outlined in the He Pikinga Waiora Māori Implementation Framework, encourages engagement with potential end users to codesign knowledge sharing plans at the start of the research process.<sup>58</sup>

In conclusion, non-Indigenous researchers who are decolonizing, focused on achieving health gain and equity for Indigenous people, and do not appropriate or dominate Indigenous research autonomy are essential. Working in this way will strengthen researchers and increase the likelihood that research will have a positive impact.

We recognize that research in Aotearoa, particularly cancer research, has gained important Indigenous responsiveness over the last 20 years, but there is still much more progress to be made. We hope this commentary promotes additional discussion, debate, and impetus for researchers in Aotearoa and worldwide to contribute to the realization of the right to the highest attainable standard of health<sup>3</sup> for Indigenous peoples.

## **AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup>Waikato District Health Board, Hamilton, New Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Rotorua, New Zealand

<sup>3</sup>University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup>University of Otago Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

#### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Nina Scott, MPH, Waikato District Health Board, Pembroke St, Hamilton, Waikato, New Zealand; e-mail: Nina.Scott@waikatodhb.health.nz.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

 $\textbf{Conception and design:} \ \ \textbf{Nina Scott}, \ \ \textbf{Bridgette Masters-Awatere}, \ \ \textbf{Diana}$ 

Sarfati, Polly Atatoa-Carr, Ricci Harris

Administrative support: Bridgette Masters-Awatere

Provision of study materials or patients: Bridgette Masters-Awatere

Collection and assembly of data: Hayley Bennett, Bridgette Masters-Awatere

Data analysis and interpretation: Hayley Bennett, Bridgette Masters-Awatere

Manuscript writing: All authors
Final approval of manuscript: All authors
Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

# AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's

JCO Global Oncology 145

conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs. org/jgo/site/misc/authors.html.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

No potential conflicts of interest were reported.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We acknowledge Elana Taipapaki Curtis, Ngāti Rongomai, Ngāti Pikiao, Te Arawa, MBChB, Public Health Physician and Associate Professor, Te Kupenga Hauora Māori, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, NZ.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Curtis E: Indigenous positioning in health research: The importance of Kaupapa Māori theory-informed practice. AlterNative 12:396-410, 2016
- 2. Smith L: Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples. London, United Kingdom, Zed Books, 1999
- 3. United Nations: United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/DRIPS en.pdf
- 4. Stats NZ: National population estimates: At June 30, 2018. https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/national-population-estimates-at-30-june-2018
- 5. Durie M: Whaiora: Māori Health Development (ed 2). Auckland, New Zealand, Oxford University Press, 1998
- 6. University of Otago Wellington: Hauora: Māori standards of health IV: A study of the years 2000-2005. https://www.otago.ac.nz/wellington/departments/publichealth/research/erupomare/research/otago019494.html
- 7. Ministry of Health: Annual Data Explorer: Aotearoa/New Zealand Health Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2018. https://minhealthnz/nz-health-survey-2016-17-annual-data-explorer/explore-indicators
- Ministry of Health: Tatau Kahukura: M\u00e4ori health chart book 2015, 3rd edition. https://www.health.govt.nz/publication/tatau-kahukura-maori-health-chart-book-2015-3rd-edition
- 9. Crengle S, Lay-Yee R, Davis P, et al: A comparison of Māori and non-Māori patient visits to doctors. https://www.health.govt.nz/publication/comparison-maori-and-non-maori-patient-visits-doctors
- 10. Rumball-Smith J, Sarfati D, Hider P, et al: Ethnic disparities in the quality of hospital care in New Zealand, as measured by 30-day rate of unplanned readmission/death. Int J Qual Health Care 25:248-254, 2013
- 11. Te Karu L, Bryant L, Harwood M, et al: Pounamu: Achieving health equity in Aotearoa New Zealand: The contribution of medicines optimisation. J Prim Health Care 10:11-15, 2018
- 12. Houkamau C: What you can't see can hurt you. How do stereotyping, implicit bias and stereotype threat affect Māori health? MAI Journal 5:124-136, 2016 http://www.journal.mai.ac.nz/sites/default/files/MAIJrnl\_5\_2\_\_Houkamau\_Final.pdf
- 13. Came H, McCreaner T, Manson L, et al: Upholding Te Tiriti, ending institutional and Crown inaction on health equity. NZMJ 132:61-66, 2019
- 14. Te Oho Rata o Aotearoa (Te ORA) Māori Medical Practitioners Association: Te Whakarapopota, Te Whakakaupapa: A brief summary of the Wai2499 Claim in the Health Services and Outcomes Kaupapa Inquiry Wai2575. http://www.teora.maori.nz/sites/default/files/2499\_he\_whakarapopoto\_hui-a-tau\_2019.pdf
- 15. Ministry of Health: Health and independence report 2017. https://www.health.govt.nz/publication/health-and-independence-report-2017
- 16. Ministry of Health: Health loss in New Zealand: A report from the New Zealand Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors Study 2006–2016. http://www.moh.govt.nz/notebook/nbbooks.nsf/0/F85C39E4495B9684CC257BD3006F6299/\$file/health-loss-in-new-zealand-final.pdf
- 17. Walsh M, Grey C: The contribution of avoidable mortality to the life expectancy gap in Māori and Pacific populations in Aotearoa/New Zealand a decomposition analysis. NZMJ 2019,132:46-60, 2019 https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2019/vol-132-no-1492-29-march-2019/7845
- 18. Robson B, Purdie G, Cormack D: Unequal impact: Māori and non-Māori cancer statistics 1996-2001; and Unequal Impact II: Māori and Non-Māori Cancer Statistics by Deprivation and Rural-Urban Status, 2002–2006. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2006 and 2010. https://www.health.govt.nz/publication/unequal-impact-maori-and-non-maori-cancer-statistics-1996-2001
- 19. Sarfati D: The uneven playing field: Ethnic inequalities in cancer outcomes in NZ. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9-PeWsHL2H8
- 20. Willing E: Understanding the implementation of New Zealand's immunisation health target for two year olds. https://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/2292/23807/whole.pdf?sequence=6
- 21. Hill S, Sarfati D, Blakely T, et al: Ethnicity and management of colon cancer in New Zealand: Do indigenous patients get a worse deal? Cancer 116:3205-3214, 2010
- 22. Stevens W, Stevens G, Kolbe J, et al: Ethnic differences in the management of lung cancer in New Zealand. J Thorac Oncol 3:237-244, 2008
- 23. Lawrenson R, Seneviratne S, Scott N, et al: Breast cancer inequities between Māori and non-Māori women in Aotearoa/New Zealand. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 25:225-230, 2016
- 24. McLeod M, Harris R, Purdie G, et al: Improving survival disparities in cervical cancer between Maori and non-Maori women in New Zealand: A national retrospective cohort study. ANZ J Public Health 34:193-199, 2010
- 25. Signal V, Sarfati D, Cunningham R, et al: Indigenous inequities in the presentation and management of stomach cancer in New Zealand: A country with universal health care coverage. Gastric Cancer 18:571-579, 2015
- 26. Berghan G, Came H, Coupe N, et al: Te Tiriti o Waitangi-based practice in health promotion. https://trc.org.nz/sites/trc.org.nz/files/ToW%20practice%20in%20HP%20online.pdf
- 27. Health Research Council of New Zealand: Guidelines for researchers on health research involving Māori. 2010. Version 2. Auckland, New Zealand, Health Research Council of New Zealand
- 28. National Ethics Advisory Committee: Āhuatanga ū ki te tika me te pono mō te Rangahau Māori: Māori research ethics: An overview. https://neac.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/neac-maori-research-ethics-an-overview-2012.pdf
- 29. Reid P, Paine S, Curtis E, et al: Achieving health equity in Aotearoa: Strengthening responsiveness to Māori in health research. NZMJ 130: 96-101, 2017
- 30. Cunningham C: A framework for addressing Māori knowledge in research, science and technology. Pac Health Dialog 7:62-69, 2000
- 31. Te Puni Kokiri: Evaluation for Maori: Guidelines for government agencies. http://files.meetup.com/19339742/1999\_05\_01\_RP\_Evaluation\_for\_Maori\_guidelines\_for\_government\_age.pdf
- 32. Pihama L: Kaupapa Māori theory: Transforming theory in Aotearoa. He Pukenga Korero 9(2):5-14, 2010
- 33. Ellison-Loschmann L: Cancer support programmes for Maori whanau. Designing, implementing and evaluating a sustainable supportive cancer care programme for Maori whanau. Trial Registration on Australia New Zealand Clinical Trials Register (ANZCTR), 2017 https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?id=372299

- 34. Curtis E, Wright C, Wall M: The epidemiology of breast cancer in Maori women in Aotearoa New Zealand: Implications for ethnicity data analysis. N Z Med J 118:U1298, 2005 http://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/118-1209/1298
- 35. Harris R, Purdie G, Robson B, et al: Estimating Māori hospitalisation and cancer registrations, in Robson B, Harris R (eds): Hauora: Māori Standards of Health IV. A study of the Years 2000–2005. Wellington, New Zealand, Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare, 2007 https://www.otago.ac.nz/wellington/departments/publichealth/research/erupomare/research/otago019494.html
- University of Otago Wellington: Improving cancer care and outcomes for Maori in New Zealand. https://www.otago.ac.nz/wellington/departments/publichealth/research/cancercontrol/OTAGO660412
- 37. Dew K, Cunningham C, Davies C, et al: Māori-Centred Research and Ethnomethodology: Cancer Inequities and Research by and for Indigenous Peoples. SAGE Research Methods Cases. London, United Kingdom, Sage, 2017
- 38. Lamb DS, Bupha-Intr O, Bethwaite P, et al: Prostate cancer--are ethnic minorities disadvantaged? Anticancer Res 28:3891-3895, 2008
- 39. Smith LT. Te Rāpunga i te Ao Mārama: The search for the world of light, in: Hohepa M, Smith G (eds) The Issue of Research and Māori. Monograph no. 9. Auckland, New Zealand, Research Unit for Māori Education, University of Auckland, 1992, pp 1-13
- 40. Masters-Awatere B, Nikora L: Indigenous programmes and evaluation: An excluded worldview. Evaluation Matters-He Take To Te Aromatawai 2:40-66, 2017
- 41. Oetzel J, Scott N, Hudson M, et al: Implementation framework for chronic disease intervention effectiveness in Māori and other indigenous communities. Global Health 13:69, 2017
- 42. Rauranga TM: Māori Data Sovereignty Network. https://www.temanararaunga.maori.nz/
- 43. Hei Ähuru Mōwai National Māori Cancer Leadership Group: New Zealand's revised ethnicity data protocols must not become a shelved document: A challenge from Hei Āhuru Mōwai. NZMJ 131: 104-106, 2018 https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2018/vol-131-no-1470-23-february-2018/7505
- 44. Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare. Mana Whakamārama Equal Explanatory Power: Māori and non-Māori sample size in national health surveys. Wellington, New Zealand, Ministry of Health, 2002. https://www.fmhs.auckland.ac.nz/assets/fmhs/Te%20Kupenga%20Hauora%20M%C4%81ori/docs/Equal explanatory power.pdf
- 45. Ramsden I: Cultural safety/Kawa Whakaruruhau ten years on: A personal overview. Nurs Prax N Z 15:4-12, 2000
- 46. Bennett H: Review of the NZ College of Public Health Medicine Cultural Competency Framework. Wellington, New Zealand, NZ College of Public Health Medicine, 2018
- 47. Jones A: Dangerous liaisons: Pākahā, kaupapa Māori and educational research. N Z J Educ Stud 47:100-112, 2012
- 48. Glynn T: Me nohotahi, mahitahi, haeretahi tātou: Collaborative partnerships between indigenous and non-indigenous researchers (Māori and Pākehā), in Berryman M, SooHoo S, Nevin A (eds): Culturally Responsive Methodologies. Bingley, United Kingdom, Emerald, 2013, pp 35–52
- 49. Black R, Huygens I. Pakeha culture and psychology, in Evans I, Rucklidge JH, O'Driscoll M (eds) Professional Practice of Psychology in Aotearoa New Zealand. New Zealand Psychological Society, Wellington, New Zealand, 2007, pp 49-66
- 50. National Ethics Advisory Committee: Strengthening Māori research ethics through NEAC's ethical guidelines for health and disability research. https://neac.health.govt.nz/strengthening-m%C4%81ori-research-ethics-through-neac%E2%80%99s-ethical-guidelines-health-and-disability-research
- 51. Guilford P, Hopkins J, Harraway J, et al: E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. Nature 392:402-405, 1998
- 52. Hihiko TP: Vision Mātauranga Capability Fund. https://www.mbie.govt.nz/science-and-technology/science-and-innovation/funding-information-and-opportunities/investment-funds/vmcf/
- 53. Health Research Council of New Zealand: Māori Health Advancement Guidelines. Auckland, New Zealand, Health Research Council of New Zealand, 2019
- 54. Cancer Research Trust New Zealand: Funded projects. https://www.cancerresearchtrustnz.org.nz/funded-projects
- 55. New Zealand Government Ministry of Health, Health and Disability Ethics Committees: Ethical standards for health and disability research. https://ethics.health.govt.nz/ethical-standards-health-and-disability-research
- 56. Chin MH, King PT, Jones RG, et al: Lessons for achieving health equity comparing Aotearoa/New Zealand and the United States. Health Policy 122:837-853, 2018
- 57. Ministry of Health: National Bowel Screening Programme: Consideration of the potential equity impacts for Māori of the age range for screening. https://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/pages/nbsp-considering-potential-equity-impacts-for-maori.pdf
- 58. Healthier Lives: He Pikinga Waiora. https://healthierlives.co.nz/research/making-health-interventions-work-for-maori-communities/

JCO Global Oncology 147