

## Draft Genome Sequence of MKD8, a Conjugal Recipient *Mycobacterium smegmatis* Strain

## Todd A. Gray, a,c Michael J. Palumbo, b Keith M. Derbyshirea,c

Division of Genetics, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, USA<sup>a</sup>; Division of Translational Medicine, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, USA<sup>b</sup>; Department of Biomedical Sciences, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, New York, USA<sup>c</sup>

We report an annotated draft genome sequence of the *Mycobacterium smegmatis* strain MKD8. This strain acts as a recipient during conjugation with the reference *M. smegmatis* strain mc<sup>2</sup>155. While the genomes of the two strains are colinear and have similar sizes, extensive genome-wide sequence variation suggests rich diversity within the *M. smegmatis* clade.

Received 28 February 2013 Accepted 15 March 2013 Published 25 April 2013

Citation Gray TA, Palumbo MJ, Derbyshire KM. 2013. Draft genome sequence of MKD8, a conjugal recipient *Mycobacterium smegmatis* strain. Genome Announc. 1(2):e00148-13. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00148-13.

Copyright © 2013 Gray et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license.

Address correspondence to Keith M. Derbyshire, keith.derbyshire@wadsworth.org, or Todd A. Gray, gray@wadsworth.org.

ycobacteria are a biologically diverse group of bacteria that range from obligate pathogens, including Mycobacterium tuberculosis, to free-living saprophytes, such as Mycobacterium smegmatis. M. smegmatis is the model organism for all mycobacterial research because it is nonpathogenic, relatively fastgrowing, genetically facile, and well suited for high-throughput analyses (1, 2). Natural isolates of *M. smegmatis* participate in a novel form of conjugation, termed distributive conjugal transfer, in which chromosomal DNA segments are transferred from a donor strain to a recipient strain (3, 4) and generate transconjugant progeny with highly mosaic genomes (T. A. Gray, J. Krywy, J. Harold, M. J. Palumbo, and K. M. Derbyshire, submitted for publication). Our standard experimental conjugation system pairs the widely used laboratory strain M. smegmatis mc<sup>2</sup>155 (a donor) with an independent strain, M. smegmatis MKD8 (a recipient). MKD8 is a spontaneous streptomycin-resistant subclone of M. smegmatis mc2874 (lysA ept [5]), derived from the original isolate, M. smegmatis PM5 (6). Determining the chromosomal sequence of this strain is necessary to accurately analyze the mosaic transconjugant genomes, identify those genes that distinguish donor and recipient functions, and begin to explore the rich genetic diversity of M. smegmatis strains.

The genomic sequence of *M. smegmatis* MKD8 was compiled from the data from three approaches: an initial 454 library, an Illumina ~250-bp paired-end library, and a second 454 approach yielding a ~3-kb paired-end library to join some of the repeatseparated contigs. Aggregate reads were assembled *de novo* using Celera Assembler with the Best Overlap Graph (CABOG) (7) and Velvet (8). The two scaffolds were separated by the two rRNA loci present in *M. smegmatis*. The assembled draft genome was annotated using the Annotation Engine service (http://ae.igs.umaryland .edu/cgi/index.cgi) and visualized using the Manatee genome curation and browsing tool (http://manatee.sourceforge.net).

The MKD8 genome is 7,092,137 bp long, with an overall G+C content of 67.3%, similar to that of mc<sup>2</sup>155 (6,988,209 bp; GenBank accession no. NC\_008596). Whole-genome comparisons by Mauve (9) showed an overall colinearity between the two strains but significant sequence divergence (1.6% overall single-

nucleotide polymorphism [SNP] frequency and 649 indels of >19 bp). One notable difference is that MKD8 lacks the 55.2-kb genome duplication present in mc<sup>2</sup>155 (*msmeg1002* to *msmeg1058*). Subsets of these SNPs and indels are likely responsible for the many phenotypic differences displayed by these two strains (e.g., in donor and recipient activities, colony morphology, phage susceptibility, biofilm formation, and streptomycin resistance).

Conjugation in mycobacteria generates progeny that are genetic mosaics of the parental strains. Since multiple independent strains of *M. smegmatis* are conjugationally active (3, 10), mosaic transconjugant genomes could be generated with genes that are lost, acquired, replaced, or blended. Such mosaic transconjugant genotypes might combine phenotypes, or potentially create new phenotypes, to promote the colonization of different environmental niches or hosts. Therefore, transconjugants generated today may become the extant mycobacteria of tomorrow. Establishing the reference genomes of conjugationally active mycobacteria is essential for recognizing the products of conjugation, estimating the gene flow through the community, and identifying the genetic basis of the phenotypes that drive the evolution of mycobacteria. The determination of the MKD8 sequence is an important first step in that direction.

**Nucleotide sequence accession number.** The assembled and annotated draft genome sequence of *M. smegmatis* MKD8 has been deposited in GenBank under the accession no. CM001762.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the Institute for Genome Sciences at the University of Maryland for assistance in genome annotation and analyses and to the Wadsworth Bioinformatics Core.

We are also grateful to the Wadsworth Center and NIH grants AI42308 and R56AI80694 for funding.

## REFERENCES

- Shiloh MU, DiGiuseppe Champion PA. 2009. To catch a killer. What can mycobacterial models teach us about *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* pathogenesis? Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 13:86–92.
- 2. Snapper SB, Melton RE, Mustafa S, Kieser T, Jacobs WR, Jr. 1990.

Isolation and characterization of efficient plasmid transformation mutants of *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. Mol. Microbiol. 4:1911–1919.

- Parsons LM, Jankowski CS, Derbyshire KM. 1998. Conjugal transfer of chromosomal DNA in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. Mol. Microbiol. 28: 571–582.
- Wang J, Karnati PK, Takacs CM, Kowalski JC, Derbyshire KM. 2005. Chromosomal DNA transfer in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* is mechanistically different from classical Hfr chromosomal DNA transfer. Mol. Microbiol. 58:280–288.
- Pavelka MS, Jr, Jacobs WR, Jr.. 1996. Biosynthesis of diaminopimelate, the precursor of lysine and a component of peptidoglycan, is an essential function of *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. J. Bacteriol. 178:6496–6507.
- 6. Tokunaga T, Mizuguchi Y, Suga K. 1973. Genetic recombination in mycobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 113:1104–1111.
- Miller JR, Delcher AL, Koren S, Venter E, Walenz BP, Brownley A, Johnson J, Li K, Mobarry C, Sutton G. 2008. Aggressive assembly of pyrosequencing reads with mates. Bioinformatics 24:2818–2824.
- Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for *de novo* short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 18:821–829.
- 9. Darling AC, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res. 14:1394–1403.
- Mizuguchi Y, Suga K, Tokunaga T. 1976. Multiple mating types of Mycobacterium smegmatis. Jpn. J. Microbiol. 20:435–443.