

## **Biosynthesis of Chiral Amino Alcohols** via an Engineered Amine Dehydrogenase in *E. coli*

Feifei Tong<sup>1,2†</sup>, Zongmin Qin<sup>2†</sup>, Hongyue Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Yingying Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Junkuan Li<sup>2,3</sup>, Hui Ming<sup>2,4</sup>, Ge Qu<sup>2,5</sup>, Yazhong Xiao<sup>1\*</sup> and Zhoutong Sun<sup>2,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, China, <sup>2</sup>Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, <sup>5</sup>National Technology Innovation Center of Synthetic Biology, Tianjin, China

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Hua Ling, National University of Singapore, Singapore

#### Reviewed by:

Wen Shan Yew, National University of Singapore, Singapore Lei Shao, Shanghai University of Medicine and Health Sciences, China Shuke Wu, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Yazhong Xiao yzxiao@ahu.edu.cn Zhoutong Sun sunzht@tib.cas.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Synthetic Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 17 September 2021 Accepted: 15 November 2021 Published: 05 January 2022

#### Citation:

Tong F, Qin Z, Wang H, Jiang Y, Li J, Ming H, Qu G, Xiao Y and Sun Z (2022) Biosynthesis of Chiral Amino Alcohols via an Engineered Amine Dehydrogenase in E. coli. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 9:778584. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.778584 Chiral amino alcohols are prevalent synthons in pharmaceuticals and synthetic bioactive compounds. The efficient synthesis of chiral amino alcohols using ammonia as the sole amino donor under mild conditions is highly desired and challenging in organic chemistry and biotechnology. Our previous work explored a panel of engineered amine dehydrogenases (AmDHs) derived from amino acid dehydrogenase (AADH), enabling the one-step synthesis of chiral amino alcohols via the asymmetric reductive amination of a-hydroxy ketones. Although the AmDH-directed asymmetric reduction is in a high stereoselective manner, the activity is yet fully excavated. Herein, an engineered AmDH derived from a leucine dehydrogenase from Sporosarcina psychrophila (SpAmDH) was recruited as the starting enzyme, and the combinatorial active-site saturation test/iterative saturation mutagenesis (CAST/ISM) strategy was applied to improve the activity. After three rounds of mutagenesis in an iterative fashion, the best variant wh84 was obtained and proved to be effective in the asymmetric reductive amination of 1-hydroxy-2-butanone with 4-fold improvements in  $k_{cat}/K_m$  and total turnover number (TTN) values compared to those of the starting enzyme, while maintaining high enantioselectivity (ee >99%) and thermostability ( $T_{50}^{15}$  >53°C). In preparative-scale reaction, the conversion of 100 and 200 mM 1-hydroxy-2-butanone catalyzed by wh84 was up to 91-99%. Insights into the source of an enhanced activity were gained by the computational analysis. Our work expands the catalytic repertoire and toolbox of AmDHs.

Keywords: biosynthesis, protein engineering, directed evolution, amine dehydrogenase, chiral amino alcohol

## INTRODUCTION

As essential structural moieties, chiral  $\alpha$ -amino alcohols are widely applied to produce synthetic and natural bioactive molecules (Ager et al., 1996; Erlanson et al., 2011). For instance, many pharmaceuticals consist of  $\alpha$ -amino alcohols that serve as chiral building blocks (**Supplementary Scheme S1**). Direct asymmetric reductive amination of ketones with free ammonia to produce chiral amino alcohols is a highly aspirational transformation (Abrahamson et al., 2013; Pushpanath et al., 2017). In the traditional chemical synthesis, it mainly relies on stoichiometric amounts of chemical reducing agents or organometallic catalysts, which are limited by low stereoselectivities, the formation of the alcohol as side product, and the requirement of extreme reaction conditions

Tong et al.



(Larrow et al., 1996; Breuer et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2010; Nugent and El-Shazly, 2010; Karjalainen and Koskinen, 2012; Xie et al., 2020; Hollmann et al., 2021). Alternatively, enzymes as catalysts are increasingly explored as essential tools in asymmetric reductive aminations (Reetz, 2011; Abrahamson et al., 2013; Schrittwieser et al., 2015; Chen and Arnold, 2020; Winkler et al., 2021). In recent years, a number of enzymes have been identified that are capable of catalyzing the asymmetric reductive amination of ketones (Wu et al., 2021), including lipases (Francalanci et al., 1987), acylases (Wang et al., 2016), transaminases (Wu et al., 2017), and imine reductases (Matzel et al., 2017). Moreover, native amine dehydrogenases (AmDHs) have been identified that they can directly utilize ammonia as a sole amino source in reductive amination but with insufficient enantioselectivity (Itoh et al., 2000; Mayol et al., 2019).

Apart from the native AmDHs, the Bommarius group at Georgia Tech has engineered two natural amino acid dehydrogenases (AADHs), including a leucine dehydrogenase from Bacillus stearothermophilus (Abrahamson et al., 2012) and a phenylalanine dehydrogenase from Bacillus badius (Abrahamson et al., 2013). After altering two determinant residues of carboxylate recognition, the natural AADHs were transformed to AmDHs, thereby eliminating the activity toward ketone acids while affording new activity toward ketones. Taking this advantage, more natural AADHs from diverse organisms have been explored and engineered to AmDHs based on the introduction of two-point mutations, which were then harnessed in the asymmetric production of chiral amines (Au et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2015; Franklin et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). In addition, the engineered AmDHs derived from AADHs were also utilized in the preparation of chiral amino alcohols, which are usually with very high enantioselectivity (>99% ee), making these enzymes of potential value in biocatalysis (Chen et al., 2019). Our previous work has characterized and engineered five novel AmDHs from natural AADHs by genome mining, these newly identified AmDHs provided reductive amination of a broad range of prochiral aand  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketones in a high stereoselective manner (Wang et al., 2020). As an example, the engineered AmDH derived from the leucine dehydrogenase from Sporosarcina psychrophila (SpAmDH), enabled the reduction of 1-hydroxybutan-2-one (1a) to (S)-2-aminobutan-1-ol ((S)-1b, Scheme 1) with >99% selectivity, while the conversion is modest (~60%) at a substrate concentration of 50 mM (Wang et al., 2020).

In this work, we sought to optimize the activity of SpAmDH in the biosynthesis of (S)-1b, which is an important intermediate desired in the preparation of antitubercular drugs ethambutol (Pablos-Méndez et al., 1998; **Supplementary Scheme S1**). When aiming at the improvement of activity and/or selectivity, the combinatorial active-site saturation test (CAST) combined with iterative saturation mutagenesis (ISM) has been emerged as a powerful means in protein engineering (Reetz et al., 2005; Yanai et al., 2013; Qu et al., 2020; Li D. et al., 2021; Li J. et al., 2021; Qu et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021). Taking the advantage of CAST/ ISM, three robust variants of *Sp*AmDH with improved activity and high stereoselectivity were obtained, and their potential as biocatalysts in the preparative scale reactions was explored. Computational docking simulations were also performed to rationalize the elevated activity of *Sp*AmDH variants.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Chemicals and Reagents**

Hydroxy ketones, chiral amino alcohols, and other chemical reagents were purchased from Bidepharm (Shanghai, China), Energy Chemical (Shanghai, China), Kaiwei Chemical (Shanghai, China), Acmec (Shanghai, China), Kaiwei Chemical (Shanghai, China), Acmec (Shanghai, China), Arkpharm (Chicago, United States), Accela (Shanghai, China), Aladdin (Shanghai, China), Macklin (Shanghai, China), Heowns (Tianjin, China), and CINC (Shanghai, China). PrimeSTAR DNA polymerase and restriction enzyme Dpn I were ordered from TAKARA and NEB, respectively. The primer synthesis and sequencing were carried out by GENEWIZ. A plasmid preparation kit was purchased from TIANGEN Biotech. All other chemical reagents can be obtained through commercialization, unless otherwise noted.

#### **Site-Directed Mutagenesis**

Mutagenesis was constructed by using the overlap PCR and megaprimer approach (Tyagi et al., 2004) with high-fidelity master mix polymerase. Reaction mixtures (50 µl) typically contained  $ddH_2O$  (22 µl), 2× high-fidelity master mix polymerase (25 µl), template DNA (1 µl, 50 ng), forward primer (1  $\mu$ l, 0.2  $\mu$ M), and reverse primer (1  $\mu$ l, 0.2  $\mu$ M). The PCR conditions for short fragment were as follows: 98°C, 2 min (98°C, 10 s; 55°C, 15 s; 72°C, 30 s) 30 cycles; 72°C, 3 min. For mega-PCR (Tyagi et al., 2004), 1st PCR product (2 µl, 800 ng) was used as primer, and the PCR conditions as below: 98°C, 2 min (98°C, 10 s; 60°C, 15 s; 72°C, 3.5 min) 30 cycles, 72°C, 5 min. The PCR products were treated with restriction endonuclease Dpn I for 3 h and then electroporated into E. coli BL21 (DE3). After culturing for 12 h, the colonies on the plate were washed with ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and the plasmids were extracted and sequenced.

The primers used for constructing single-site saturation mutagenesis and combinatory saturation libraries were listed in **Supplementary Tables S1, S2**, respectively.

## Screening of Saturation Mutagenesis Libraries

Clones from the plate were transferred to 96-well deep-well culture plates containing 300 µl of LB medium (50 µg/ml kanamycin), shaking at 37°C and 800 rpm for 10 h. Then 120 µl of the aforementioned culture broth was transferred to a 96-well glycerol plate, 60 µl of glycerol (60%, v/v) was added, and stored at -80°C. At the same time, 800 µl of the TB medium was added to the 96-well deep-well culture plates, IPTG (0.2 mM) and kanamycin (50 µg/ml) were added, and the culture was shaken at 30°C, 800 rpm for 12 h for protein expression. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm, 4°C for 10 min. The cells were washed and resuspended with 400 µl of potassium phosphate buffer (PBK, 50 mM, pH 7.4). DNase I (6 U/ml) and lysozyme (1 mg/ml) were added to the culture and were shaken at 30°C for 1 h to lysis cells. After low-temperature centrifugation (4°C, 4,000 rpm, for 30 min), the supernatant was used for enzyme activity determination. The reductive amination reaction was performed in NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (1 M, pH 8.5) containing 200 µl supernatant, 10 mM substrate 1a, 1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup>, 100 mM glucose, and 2 mg/ml GDH at 30°C, 800 rpm for 24 h. After that, 1 µl of 1M para-methoxy-2-amino benzamidoxime (PMA) was added to 99 µl of the reaction solution, and fluorescence was measured using an excitation wavelength of 380 nm and emission wavelength of 520 nm for substrate consumption (Mei et al., 2020).

#### **Active Assay**

For single point saturation mutation library rescreening, the best clones from 96-well glycerol plates were cultivated, expressed in a shake flask and used for biotransformation. Reductive amination reactions were performed in a reaction mixture (0.5 ml) containing 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (pH 8.5), 1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup>, 100 mM glucose, 2 mg/ml GDH cell-free extract (CFE), 6 U/ml DNase I, 20 mM 1a, and 20 mg/ml mutant CFE in 2 ml Eppendorf tubes at 30°C, 1,000 rpm for 24 h in a thermostatic metal bath. For the combinatorial saturation mutation library screening, using the same procedure as before, except for that, the concentration of 1a and the wet cell of mutant were 40 mM and 0.1 g/ml, respectively. After the reaction was over, the aforementioned reaction solution was boiled for 5 min and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min to remove the precipitate. The supernatant was measured by HPLC to detect the conversion of 1a. The conversions of hydroxy ketones substrates (1a-8a) to chiral amino alcohol products (1b-8b) were measured with Marfey's reagent (1-fluoro-2, 4pre-column dinitrophenyl-5-L-alanine amide) for derivatization. The reaction mixture was mixed with 100 µl sample, 30 µl of Marfey's reagent (14 mM), 80 µl NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1 M), and 200 µl DMSO at 80°C, 1,000 rpm for 10 min. Finally, 10 µl HCl (4 M) was added to stop the reaction. Detection conditions were given as follows: Zorbax SB-C18

column (4.6 × 150 mm, 5 µm), detection wavelength: 340 nm, temperature: 25°C, flow rate: 1 ml/min, loading volume: 10 µl, mobile phase buffer A: ddH<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid), buffer B: methanol (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid), gradient program: 40% B, hold for 6 min, increase B to 60% in 9 min, hold for 3 min, decrease B to 40% in 2 min, and hold for 5 min. More details are listed in **Supplementary Table S3**.

### **Protein Expression and Purification**

E. coli BL21(DE3) glycerol bacteria containing SpAmDH gene were cultivated in 5 ml LB liquid medium (50 µg/ml kanamycin) for 10 h. The previous culture was transferred to the TB medium (100 ml) (50 µg/ml kanamycin) and was cultured at 37°C, 220 rpm. The culture was induced by the addition of IPTG (0.1 mM) when OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.8 and was then allowed to grow for an additional 12 h at 20°C. The cells expressing AmDHs were harvested, sonicated, and centrifuged (4°C, 12,000 rpm) for 60 min to remove the precipitate. The supernatant with soluble His-tagged protein was filtered using a 0.45-µm filter membrane and was verified by SDS-PAGE analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). The column (HisTrap FF, 5 ml) was washed with A buffer (50 mM PBK containing 300 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) before and after the supernatant was loaded. The proteins were eluted with B buffer containing a high concentration of salt (50 mM PBK containing 300 mM NaCl and 500 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). The eluates were ultrafiltered (4°C, 3,500 rpm) with an ultrafiltration tube (10,000 Da) to concentrate and replace the buffer (25 mM PBK, 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, pH 8.0). The protein concentration was confirmed by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm using a Nano-300 micro-spectrophotometer.

### Total Turnover Numbers Assay for Conversion of Substrate 1a to 1b Using SpAmDH Variants

The asymmetric reductive amination reactions were performed with 0.32-0.64 mg/ml purified enzyme,  $1 \text{ M NH}_4\text{Cl/NH}_3\text{·H}_2\text{O}$ buffer (pH 8.5),  $1 \text{ mM NAD}^+$ , 100 mM glucose, 2 mg/ml GDH CFE, and 40 mM 1a. The reaction mixture was proceeded at  $30^\circ\text{C}$ , 1,000 rpm for 24 h. The product was then detected by HPLC. TTN was defined as the molar number of the product yield divided by the catalyst concentration (Qu et al., 2019).

# Determination of Kinetic Parameters and Thermostability

The kinetic parameters were obtained by measuring the initial velocities of NADH consumption (the initial rate of change in absorbance at 340 nm) in the enzymatic reaction and fitting the curve according to the Michaelis–Menten equation (**Supplementary Figure S2**). The activity assay was performed in a mixture containing 0.2 mM NADH, 1–30 mM 1-hydroxy-2-butanone, 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 8.5), and the purified enzyme (1 mg/ml). The reaction was initiated by the addition of the enzyme and was monitored for 5 min at 30°C. The activity was determined by measuring NADH oxidation from a decrease

TABLE	1	Key	residues	selected	for	engineering	SpAmDH	based	on	published	data

Entry	SpAmDH site		References			
		Beneficial position	Comment	-		
1	L40	L40	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
2	G41	G41	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
3	G42	G42	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
4	T43	T41	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from the substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
5	M65	M65	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
6	K68	K66	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Carboxylate recognition	Ye et al. (2015)	
7	K68	K68	<i>Lf</i> LeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Chen et al. (2019)	
8	N69	M67	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
9	1111	W114	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
10	T112	T115	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
11	A113	A113	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
12	A113	A113	<i>Lf</i> LeuDH	Enlarging the active-site	Chen et al. (2019)	
13	E114	E114	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
14	D115	D115	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Essential to the catalytic mechanism	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
15	V116	V116	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
16	T134	T134	<i>Lf</i> LeuDH	Enlarging the active-site	Chen et al. (2019)	
17	P146	S149	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
18	T150	T153	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
19	A187	A187	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
20	N261	N261	<i>Lf</i> LeuDH	Responsible for altering the substrate specificity	Chen et al. (2019)	
21	N262	N262	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Interact with the carboxyl group of the natural substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
22	N287	N288	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
23	S288	A289	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
24	G290	G291	<i>R</i> sPheDH	Within 6Å from substrate	Ye et al. (2015)	
25	V291	V291	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
26	1292	1292	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Altering the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
27	V294	V294	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Lining the binding pocket	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
28	E297	E297	<b>B</b> sLeuDH	Changing the substrate specificity	Abrahamson et al. (2012)	
29	L61	-	_	Lining the binding pocket	This study	
30	L239	-	_	Lining the binding pocket	This study	
31	A295	-	-	Lining the binding pocket	This study	

in the absorbance at 340 nm ( $\epsilon = 6,220 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and then Origin was used to perform the nonlinear fitting of the Michaelis–Menten equation. One unit (1 U) of activity is defined as the amount of enzyme required to consume 1  $\mu$ M NADH in 1 minute. For thermostability, 1 mg/ml pure enzyme solution was incubated at different temperatures (30–70°C) for 15 min, followed by measuring the residual activity in 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 8.5) containing 0.2 mM NADH, 10 mM substrate 1a at 50°C for 2 min. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

#### Preparative-Scale Reactions Using SpAmDH Mutants

The *Sp*AmDH mutants wh18 and wh84 were inoculated in the 5 ml LB medium (50 µg/ml kanamycin) for 10 h (37°C, 220 rpm). The aforementioned culture was inoculated into the 1,000 ml TB medium (50 µg/ml kanamycin) and cultured at 37°C, 220 rpm until the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.8–0.9. IPTG was then added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM, and the culture was allowed to grow for additional 16 h at 20°C, 220 rpm. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 20 min (4°C, 4,000 rpm) and washed once with phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4). Subsequently, 0.1 g/ml wet cells, 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (pH 8.5), 1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup>, 100 mM glucose, 2 mg/ml GDH CFE, 6 U/ml DNase I, 1 mg/ml

lysozyme, and 1a (100, 200 mM) were mixed for 10 ml in a Erlenmeyer flask. The reaction was performed at 30°C, 220 rpm for 24 h in a shaker with triple replica. Then 200  $\mu$ l samples were taken at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 h and then prepared and analyzed by HPLC. The 100 mM scaled-up reaction of 1a catalyzed by wh84 was terminated by adding 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to pH <2 and then centrifuged at an rpm of 4,000 for 20 min at 4°C, to collect the supernatant. The product ((S)-2a) was purified via an ion exchange method with Dowex<sup>®</sup> 50WX8 ion exchange resin (Chen et al., 2015). The column was prepared by washing with 100 ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O and 50 ml 5% w/v H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Then, the acidified reaction supernatant was loaded into the column at a low flow rate, washed with ddH2O until pH ~7.0, eluted with 9% w/v NH<sub>4</sub>OH (100 ml), and dried via rotary evaporation to harvest the final product (S)-2a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  3.61 (dd, J = 11.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.51-3.33 (m 1H), 3.06-2.82 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.33 (m, 2H), and 0.98-0.81 (m, 3H).

#### Model Generation and Substrate Docking

The structure of the mutant wh84 (K68S/N261L/I111F/V294C/ E114V) was generated by PyMol program (http://www.pymol. org) using the crystallographic structure of *SpL*euDH (PDB ID: 3VPX, Zhao et al., 2012) as a template. The initial structure of wh84 was relaxed/repacked before docking using Rosetta relax program (Conway et al., 2014). The generated pose with the



lowest Rosetta\_total\_score was selected as input file for docking. The cofactor NADH, substrate 1a, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were prepared in Schrödinger Maestro software (Schrödinger, 2015). Thereafter, NADH and 1a were stepwise docked into the active site of wh84 by using Rosetta docking program (Combs et al., 2013). Thereafter, 1a was docked to the protein–NADH complex using the same procedure as NADH, only by replacing the input files. After that, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was docked to the protein–NADH-1a complex by using AutoDock Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010). For docking NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, a total of 16 poses were generated by Vina, and only the pose with proper interactions with D115 and 1a was kept.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Knowledge-Based and Structural Guided Engineering of SpLeuDH

Wild-type (WT) leucine dehydrogenase from *Sporosarcina psychrophila* (*Sp*LeuDH, Accession No.: WP\_067209859) has been successfully engineered to an amine dehydrogenase (*Sp*AmDH) by implanting the double mutations K68S/N261L in our recent work (Wang et al., 2020). Based on the crystallographic structure of *Sp*LeuDH (PDB ID: 3VPX, Zhao

et al., 2012), this *Sp*AmDH variant (K68S/N261L, dubbed wh18) showed excellent stereoselectivity (>99% ee) in the transformation of 1a to 1b, while the catalytic efficiency was modest when it was applied in large-scale production (Wang et al., 2020). Thus, the CAST/ISM strategy was employed with the aim of enhancing the activity of *Sp*AmDH. To identify the hot spot positions that may manipulate the activity, the beneficial mutations reported in other engineering studies toward AmDHs were collected (**Table 1**). We anticipated that targeting these residues at the equivalent positions in *Sp*AmDH may give rise to more proficient variants. Moreover, three additional positions L61, L239, and A295 were also selected as they are situated at the substrate binding pocket (**Table 1**; **Figure 1**).

The 27 positions were then performed site-directed saturation mutagenesis using wh18 as the template, each site was substituted by the other 19 amino acids with NNK codon degeneracy (32 codons). To satisfy the 95% library coverage, 96 clones were screened for each position by using *para*-methoxy-2-amino benzamidoxime (PMA) as colorimetric probe (Mei et al., 2020). After the initial assaying, the improved variants (hits) were then picked up for further evaluation using the reaction conditions shown in **Scheme 1**. As a result, several active variants showing pronounced degrees of conversion for substrate 1a were



TABLE 2   Specific activity of SpAmDH mutants toward substrate 1a.					
Code	Mutants	Specific activity (U/mg) <sup>a</sup>			
wh18	K68S/N261L	0.118 ± 0.005			
wh27	K68S/N261L/I111F	0.177 ± 0.03			
wh43	K68S/N261L/T134F	$0.07 \pm 0.005$			
wh50	K68S/N261L/L239F	0.15 ± 0.017			
wh53	K68S/N261L/V291C	$0.167 \pm 0.005$			
wh59	K68S/N261L/A295T	$0.054 \pm 0.007$			

<sup>a</sup>Reaction was performed in 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (pH 8.5) containing 0.2 mM NADH, 1 mg/ml purified enzyme and 10 mM substrate 1a, at 30°C for 5 min.

obtained (**Figure 2**). Five of them including wh27, wh43, wh50, wh53, and wh59 showed more than 10% increment on the formation of (*S*)-1b compared to the starting enzyme wh18 (**Figure 2**).

### Combinatorial Engineering of SpAmDH Using Reduced Amino Acid Alphabets

After purification, the five variants were then assayed for the specific activity, three of which including wh27, wh50, and wh53 displayed a higher specific activity than that of wh18 (**Table 2**). In order to investigate the potential epistatic interactions operating between the individual point mutations shown in **Table 2**, ten additional double mutants (wh61–wh70, **Supplementary Table S4**) were constructed and tested using the whole-cell lysate

system. As a result, the variant wh43 showed the highest conversion of 85%, while none of the ten combinatorial mutants can exceed the conversion of 80%. It suggests that no additive effects among the five single mutations I111F, T134F, L239F, V291C, and A295T were in pairs, and the triple to quintuple mutants were therefore not constructed further.

In parallel, we noted that the three mutants with improved activity, shown in Table 2, are all composed of the substitutions of phenylalanine and cysteine (e.g., I111F, L239F, and V291C), indicating that hydrophobic interaction and steric hindrance may play key roles in tailoring the activity. Therefore, phenylalanine and cysteine were chosen as building blocks for the further saturation mutagenesis to determine the interactions among the positions in adjacent to residues 111, 239, and 291. As such, two focused libraries A and B were constructed using F and C as reduced amino acid alphabets based on the double-code saturation mutagenesis (DCSM) concept (Sun et al., 2016): library A using wh53 as template with residues M65, S68, N69, and S288 involved, while library B applying wh27 as template with residues L40, A113, T134, and V294 arrested. As a result, two improved mutants wh76 (K68S/N261L/V291C/ S68C/N69C) and wh81 (K68S/N261L/I111F/V294C) were obtained from libraries A and B, respectively. The variants wh76 and wh81 gave a conversion of 87 and 90% in the transformation of 1a, respectively, with >99% ee.



TABLE 3 | Thermostability and kinetic parameters of purified SpAmDH mutants for substrate 1a.

Code	Thermostabiliy <i>T<sub>50</sub><sup>15</sup></i> (°C) <sup>a</sup>	Mutations	К <sub>т</sub> (mM) <sup>ь</sup>	<i>k</i> <sub>cat</sub> (s <sup>−1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}~({\rm s}^{-1}{\rm mM})^{-1b}$	Specific activity (U/mg) <sup>b</sup>	
wh18	51	K68S/N261L	9.45 ± 1.44	0.83 ± 0.05	0.088	0.118 ± 0.005	
wh27	50	K68S/N261L/I111F	18.52 ± 1.42	1.74 ± 0.07	0.094	0.177 ± 0.030	
wh81 wh84	51 53	K68S/N261L/I111F/V294C K68S/N261L/I111F/E114V/V294C	21.5 ± 3.37 17.53 ± 1.11	3.14 ± 0.27 6.07 ± 0.19	0.146 0.346	0.362 ± 0.019 0.884 ± 0.044	

<sup>a</sup>For determining thermostability, purified SpAmDH enzymes (1 mg/ml) were incubated at different temperatures (30–70°C) for 15 min, followed by measuring the residual activity toward substrate 1a.

<sup>b</sup>For assaying kinetic parameters, the reaction was performed in 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (pH 8.5) containing 0.2 mM NADH and 0.5–30 mM substrate 1a, at optimum temperatures (50°C) for 2 min. Michaelis–Menten plots are shown in **Supplementary Figure S2**.



**FIGURE 4** | Preparative-scale of the asymmetric amination reactions of substrate 1a 100 mM (**A**) and 200 mM (**B**) catalyzed by wh18 and wh84 ( $\square$ : wh18,  $\triangle$ : wh84). Reaction system: 0.1 g/ml wet cell, 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (pH 8.5), 1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup>, 100 mM glucose, 2 mg/ml GDH CFE, 6 U/mL DNase I, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, and 1a (100 and 200 mM) were mixed in Erlenmeyer flask. The reaction was performed at 30°C, 220 rpm for 24 h. The samples taken at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 h were prepared and analyzed by HPLC.

### Third Round of Mutagenesis Toward SpAmDH, Characterization, and Preparative-Scale Reduction

The variant wh81 was further used as template for the third round of mutagenesis. In this scenario, an important single mutation

E114V was considered because it has been reported that this residue can function on the ammonia activation, and thereby affecting the enzyme activity (Abrahamson et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2018; Patil et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2021). To our delight, the resultant quintuple variant wh84 (K68S/N261L/I111F/V294C/



E114V) showed 99% conversion and >99% ee toward 1a. Taken together, after three rounds of mutagenesis, the conversion of 1a directed by the *Sp*AmDH mutants was successfully elevated from 46 to 99% (**Supplementary Figure S3**; **Figure 3A**). Thereafter, the best mutants in each round of mutagenesis were then purified and characterized by total turnover numbers (TTN), thermostability, and enzyme kinetics. Likewise, wh27, wh81, and wh84 increased the TTN stepwise for substrate 1a (**Figure 3B**). In particular, wh84 increased the TTN up to 32108, which is 3.2-fold in contrast to that of wh18.

To evaluate the enzyme robustness of the engineered variants, thermostability was assessed by measuring  $T_{50}^{15}$ , the temperature at which 50% of the enzyme activity is lost following a heat treatment for 15 min. Intriguingly, all of the three variants constructed based on wh18 showed comparable  $T_{50}^{15}$  values, reflecting no trade-off between the thermostability and the improved activity (**Table 3**). Kinetic studies showed that wh84 has the highest catalytic efficiency ( $k_{cat}/K_m$ ) (0.346 s<sup>-1</sup>mM<sup>-1</sup>) among all the mutants, a more than 3.9-fold increase to the starting template wh18.

Preparative-scale amination reactions were performed using whole-cell lysates as catalysts for the selected variants in 10 ml of reaction volume, with 100 mM substrate 1a. The mutant wh84 achieved an excellent conversion (>99%), with the high enantioselectivity of >99% ee within 18 h, while wh18 used as a control only showed ca. 80% conversion (**Figure 4A**). (S)-2b was subsequently isolated as pure form (164 mg, 62% yield) in the reaction system catalyzed by wh84. To further examine the

catalytic potential of wh84, the concentration of 1a was raised up to 200 mM. Interestingly, wh84 enabled 91% conversion (Figure 4B).

## Gaining Insight on the Improved Activity of SpAmDH

In order to shed light on the improved activity of the SpAmDH variant wh84, computational docking analyses were performed to gain insights into the relationship between reshaping of the active site and the effect on activity. The homology structure of wh84 was directly constructed based on the X-ray structure of SpLeuDH (PDB ID: 3VPX, Zhao et al., 2012) by introducing the corresponding mutations in PyMol program (http://www. pymol.org). After relaxing the initial structure of wh84, cofactor NADH, substrate 1a, and ammonium ion (NH4<sup>+</sup>) were docked into the active site one after another to generate the protein-NADH-1a-NH4<sup>+</sup> quaternary complex. It is of interest to note that the catalytic sites K80 and D115 form hydrogen bond interactions with the substrate carbonyl group and the ammonium ion, while the substitutions I111F, E114V, and V294C contribute to hydrophobic interactions with the substrate carbon chain (Figure 5). These strengthened interactions may benefit the substrate recognition and orientation, thereby promoting the activity and maintaining the stereoselectivity. Moreover, on the basis of the proposed mechanism of the engineered AmDHs and their parent AADHs (Sharma et al., 2017), this preferred binding orientation of 1a



with respect to NADH determines the Re face of the C=O bond undergoes nucleophilic attack and profits the (S)-configure product, which is consistent with the experimental results.

#### Substrate Scope Analysis Toward Other Prochiral Hydroxy ketones

With the aim to explore the catalytic potential of the engineered variants toward other prochiral hydroxy ketones, seven structurally different substrates were assayed using wh18, wh27, wh81, and wh84 as catalysts (**Figure 6**). For the four aliphatic compounds 2a–4a and cyclic ketone 8a, the variants showed high stereoselectivity (>99%), and interestingly, the conversions were elevated from wh18 to wh84 in all the four cases (**Supplementary Figures S4–S6, S10**). For example, the conversion of 3a was improved from 43% (wh18) to 97% (wh84). However, in the scenario of the aromatic substrates, the four variants displayed relatively lower activity in the asymmetric reduction of 5a–7a (**Figure 6**), while the stereoselectivities were still inherently maintained (>99%, **Supplementary Figures S7–S9**).

## CONCLUSION

Reductive amination of carbonyl compounds employing AmDHs is an attractive route for the biosynthesis of chiral amino alcohols. It provides several advantages, including lower costs (the amino donor (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) is inexpensive, and water is the main byproduct), elimination of heavy metals, and high stereoselectivity. As such, AmDHs have been reported in the selective synthesis of chiral compounds used as pharmaceutical intermediates. However, the limited catalytic efficiency can be a major obstacle to its industrial application. This work reports the engineering of SpAmDH with the aim to improve the activity. After three rounds of CAST/ISM-guided mutagenesis, mutant wh84 was obtained with the best performance toward substrate 1a, resulting in an excellent TTN (32108) and a  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$  value of 0.346 s<sup>-1</sup> mM<sup>-1</sup>, amounting to 3.2-fold and 3.9-fold improvements relative to the starting enzyme, respectively, while maintaining the high enantioselectivity (>99% ee). In the 100 mM preparative reaction, the conversion of 1a catalyzed by wh84 was up to 99% with a 62% yield, which is comparable with the recent work that

employed an engineered AmDH from *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* as catalyst (Chen et al., 2019).

Overall, this work paves the way toward engineering AmDHs with increased activity and also expands the biocatalytic toolbox for asymmetric reductive aminations, and should prove useful insights for further development of other AmDHs as catalysts in the biosynthesis of enantiopure amino alcohols.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YX, ZS, and GQ designed and planned the study. FT, ZQ, HW, YJ, HM, and JL performed the experiments. GQ wrote the

#### REFERENCES

- Abrahamson, M. J., Vázquez-Figueroa, E., Woodall, N. B., Moore, J. C., and Bommarius, A. S. (2012). Development of an Amine Dehydrogenase for Synthesis of Chiral Amines. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 51, 3969–3972. doi:10.1002/anie.201107813
- Abrahamson, M. J., Wong, J. W., and Bommarius, A. S. (2013). The Evolution of an Amine Dehydrogenase Biocatalyst for the Asymmetric Production of Chiral Amines. Adv. Synth. Catal. 355, 1780–1786. doi:10.1002/adsc.201201030
- Ager, D. J., Prakash, I., and Schaad, D. R. (1996). 1,2-Amino Alcohols and Their Heterocyclic Derivatives as Chiral Auxiliaries in Asymmetric Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* 96, 835–876. doi:10.1021/cr9500038
- Au, S. K., Bommarius, B. R., and Bommarius, A. S. (2014). Biphasic Reaction System Allows for Conversion of Hydrophobic Substrates by Amine Dehydrogenases. ACS Catal. 4, 4021–4026. doi:10.1021/cs4012167
- Breuer, M., Ditrich, K., Habicher, T., Hauer, B., Kesseler, M., Stürmer, R., et al. (2004). Industrial Methods for the Production of Optically Active Intermediates. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 788–824. doi:10.1002/anie.200300599
- Chen, F.-F., Cosgrove, S. C., Birmingham, W. R., Mangas-Sanchez, J., Citoler, J., Thompson, M. P., et al. (2019). Enantioselective Synthesis of Chiral Vicinal Amino Alcohols Using Amine Dehydrogenases. ACS Catal. 9, 11813–11818. doi:10.1021/acscatal.9b03889
- Chen, F.-F., Liu, Y.-Y., Zheng, G.-W., and Xu, J.-H. (2015). Asymmetric Amination of Secondary Alcohols by Using a Redox-Neutral Two-Enzyme Cascade. *ChemCatChem* 7, 3838–3841. doi:10.1002/cctc.201500785
- Chen, F.-F., Zheng, G.-W., Liu, L., Li, H., Chen, Q., Li, F.-L., et al. (2018). Reshaping the Active Pocket of Amine Dehydrogenases for Asymmetric Synthesis of Bulky Aliphatic Amines. ACS Catal. 8, 2622–2628. doi:10.1021/acscatal.7b04135

Chen, K., and Arnold, F. H. (2020). Engineering New Catalytic Activities in Enzymes. Nat. Catal. 3, 203–213. doi:10.1038/s41929-019-0385-5

- Combs, S. A., Deluca, S. L., Deluca, S. H., Lemmon, G. H., Nannemann, D. P., Nguyen, E. D., et al. (2013). Small-molecule Ligand Docking into Comparative Models with Rosetta. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 1277–1298. doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.074
- Conway, P., Tyka, M. D., DiMaio, F., Konerding, D. E., and Baker, D. (2014). Relaxation of Backbone Bond Geometry Improves Protein Energy Landscape Modeling. *Protein Sci.* 23, 47–55. doi:10.1002/pro.2389
- Erlanson, D. A., Arndt, J. W., Cancilla, M. T., Cao, K., Elling, R. A., English, N., et al. (2011). Discovery of a Potent and Highly Selective PDK1 Inhibitor via Fragment-Based Drug Discovery. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21, 3078–3083. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.03.032

manuscript. All authors were involved in the discussions, planning the experiments, and editing manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2019YFA0906400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31870779), and the Tianjin Synthetic Biotechnology Innovation Capacity Improvement Project (TSBICIP-CXRC-009, TSBICIP-KJGG-003). GQ also thanks financial supports from the Youth Innovation Promotion Association (2021175), CAS.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2021.778584/full#supplementary-material

- Francalanci, F., Cesti, P., Cabri, W., Bianchi, D., Martinengo, T., and Foa, M. (1987). Lipase-Catalyzed Resolution of Chiral 2-amino 1-alcohols. J. Org. Chem. 52, 5079–5082. doi:10.1021/jo00232a002
- Franklin, R. D., Mount, C. J., Bommarius, B. R., and Bommarius, A. S. (2020). Separate Sets of Mutations Enhance Activity and Substrate Scope of Amine Dehydrogenase. *ChemCatChem* 12, 2436–2439. doi:10.1002/cctc.201902364
- Hollmann, F., Opperman, D. J., and Paul, C. E. (2021). Biocatalytic Reduction Reactions From a Chemist's Perspective. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 5644–5665. doi:10.1002/anie.20180034310.1002/anie.202001876
- Itoh, N., Yachi, C., and Kudome, T. (2000). Determining a Novel NAD+dependent Amine Dehydrogenase with a Broad Substrate Range from Streptomyces Virginiae IFO 12827: Purification and Characterization. J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzymatic 10, 281–290. doi:10.1016/S1381-1177(00)00111-9
- Karjalainen, O. K., and Koskinen, A. M. P. (2012). Diastereoselective Synthesis of Vicinal Amino Alcohols. Org. Biomol. Chem. 10, 4311–4326. doi:10.1039/ C2OB25357G
- Larrow, J. F., Schaus, S. E., and Jacobsen, E. N. (1996). Kinetic Resolution of Terminal Epoxides via Highly Regioselective and Enantioselective Ring Opening with TMSN3. An Efficient, Catalytic Route to 1,2-Amino Alcohols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 7420–7421. doi:10.1021/ja961708+
- Lee, S., Jeon, H., Giri, P., Lee, U.-J., Jung, H., Lim, S., et al. (2021). The Reductive Amination of Carbonyl Compounds Using Native Amine Dehydrogenase from *Laribacter hongkongensis. Biotechnol. Bioproc. E.* 26, 384–391. doi:10.1007/ s12257-021-0113-2
- Li, D., Chen, X., Chen, Z., Lin, X., Xu, J., and Wu, Q. (2021). Directed Evolution of Lipase A from *Bacillus Subtilis* for the Preparation of Enantiocomplementary Sec-Alcohols. Green. Synth. Catal. 2, 290–294. doi:10.1016/j.gresc.2021.07.003
- Li, J., Qu, G., Shang, N., Chen, P., Men, Y., Liu, W., et al. (2021). Near-Perfect Control of the Regioselective Glucosylation Enabled by Rational Design of Glycosyltransferases. *Green. Synth. Catal.* 2, 45–53. doi:10.1016/ j.gresc.2021.01.005
- Liu, L., Wang, D.-H., Chen, F.-F., Zhang, Z.-J., Chen, Q., Xu, J.-H., et al. (2020). Development of an Engineered Thermostable Amine Dehydrogenase for the Synthesis of Structurally Diverse Chiral Amines. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 10, 2353–2358. doi:10.1039/D0CY00071J
- Ma, S. K., Gruber, J., Davis, C., Newman, L., Gray, D., Wang, A., et al. (2010). A Green-by-Design Biocatalytic Process for Atorvastatin Intermediate. *Green. Chem.* 12, 81–86. doi:10.1039/B919115C
- Matzel, P., Gand, M., and Höhne, M. (2017). One-step Asymmetric Synthesis of (*R*)- and (*S*)-rasagiline by Reductive Amination Applying Imine Reductases. *Green. Chem.* 19, 385–389. doi:10.1039/c6gc03023h

- Mayol, O., Bastard, K., Beloti, L., Frese, A., Turkenburg, J. P., Petit, J.-L., et al. (2019). A Family of Native Amine Dehydrogenases for the Asymmetric Reductive Amination of Ketones. *Nat. Catal.* 2, 324–333. doi:10.1038/ s41929-019-0249-z
- Mei, Z., Zhang, K., Qu, G., Li, J.-K., Liu, B., Ma, J.-A., et al. (2020). High-throughput Fluorescence Assay for Ketone Detection and its Applications in Enzyme Mining and Protein Engineering. ACS Omega 5, 13588–13594. doi:10.1021/ acsomega.0c00245
- Nugent, T. C., and El-Shazly, M. (2010). Chiral Amine Synthesis Recent Developments and Trends for Enamide Reduction, Reductive Amination, and Imine Reduction. Adv. Synth. Catal. 352, 753–819. doi:10.1002/ adsc.200900719
- Pablos-Méndez, A., Raviglione, M. C., Laszlo, A., Binkin, N., Rieder, H. L., Bustreo, F., et al. (1998). Global Surveillance for Antituberculosis-Drug Resistance, 1994-1997. N. Engl. J. Med. 338, 1641–1649. doi:10.1056/ nejm199806043382301
- Patil, M. D., Grogan, G., Bommarius, A., and Yun, H. (2018). Oxidoreductase-Catalyzed Synthesis of Chiral Amines. ACS Catal. 8, 10985–11015. doi:10.1021/ acscatal.8b02924
- Pushpanath, A., Siirola, E., Bornadel, A., Woodlock, D., and Schell, U. (2017). Understanding and Overcoming the Limitations of *Bacillus badius* and *Caldalkalibacillus thermarum* Amine Dehydrogenases for Biocatalytic Reductive Amination. ACS Catal. 7, 3204–3209. doi:10.1021/acscatal.7b00516
- Qu, G., Li, A., Acevedo-Rocha, C. G., Sun, Z., and Reetz, M. T. (2020). The Crucial Role of Methodology Development in Directed Evolution of Selective Enzymes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 59, 13204–13231. doi:10.1002/anie.201901491
- Qu, G., Liu, B., Jiang, Y., Nie, Y., Yu, H., and Sun, Z. (2019). Laboratory Evolution of an Alcohol Dehydrogenase Towards Enantioselective Reduction of Difficultto-Reduce Ketones. *Bioresour. Bioproc.* 6, 18. doi:10.1186/s40643-019-0253-9
- Qu, G., Sun, Z., and Reetz, M. T. (2021). "Iterative Saturation Mutagenesis for Semi-rational Enzyme Design," in *Protein Engineering: Tools and Applications*. Editor H. Zhao (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Press), 105–132. doi:10.1002/ 9783527815128.ch5
- Reetz, M. T., Bocola, M., Carballeira, J. D., Zha, D., and Vogel, A. (2005). Expanding the Range of Substrate Acceptance of Enzymes: Combinatorial Active-Site Saturation Test. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 44, 4192–4196. doi:10.1002/ anie.200500767
- Reetz, M. T. (2011). Laboratory Evolution of Stereoselective Enzymes: a Prolific Source of Catalysts for Asymmetric Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50, 138–174. doi:10.1002/anie.201000826
- Schrittwieser, J. H., Velikogne, S., and Kroutil, W. (2015). Biocatalytic Imine Reduction and Reductive Amination of Ketones. Adv. Synth. Catal. 357, 1655–1685. doi:10.1002/adsc.201500213
- Schrödinger (2015). Schrödinger Suite 2015-1. New York: LLC.
- Sharma, M., Mangas-Sanchez, J., Turner, N. J., and Grogan, G. (2017). NAD(P)Hdependent Dehydrogenases for the Asymmetric Reductive Amination of Ketones: Structure, Mechanism, Evolution and Application. Adv. Synth. Catal. 359, 2011–2025. doi:10.1002/adsc.201700356
- Sun, Z., Lonsdale, R., Li, G., and Reetz, M. T. (2016). Comparing Different Strategies in Directed Evolution of Enzyme Stereoselectivity: Single- Versus Double-Code Saturation Mutagenesis. *ChemBioChem* 17, 1865–1872. doi:10.1002/cbic.201600296
- Trott, O., and Olson, A. J. (2009). AutoDock Vina: Improving the Speed and Accuracy of Docking with a New Scoring Function, Efficient Optimization, and Multithreading. J. Comput. Chem. 31, 455–461. doi:10.1002/jcc.21334

- Tyagi, R., Lai, R., and Duggleby, R. G. (2004). A New Approach to 'megaprimer' Polymerase Chain Reaction Mutagenesis Without an Intermediate Gel Purification Step. BMC Biotechnol. 4, 2. doi:10.1186/1472-6750-4-2
- Wang, H., Qu, G., Li, J.-K., Ma, J.-A., Guo, J., Miao, Y., et al. (2020). Data Mining of Amine Dehydrogenases for the Synthesis of Enantiopure Amino Alcohols. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 10, 5945–5952. doi:10.1039/D0CY01373K
- Wang, J., Liu, N., Cheng, X., and Chen, L. (2016). Efficient Continuous Kinetic Resolution of Racemic 2-Aminobutanol Over Immobilized Penicillin G Acylase. Synth. Commun. 46, 956–962. doi:10.1080/00397911.2016.1181763
- Winkler, C. K., Schrittwieser, J. H., and Kroutil, W. (2021). Power of Biocatalysis for Organic Synthesis. ACS Cent. Sci. 7, 55–71. doi:10.1021/acscentsci.0c01496
- Wu, H.-L., Zhang, J.-D., Zhang, C.-F., Fan, X.-J., Chang, H.-H., and Wei, W.-L. (2017). Characterization of Four New Distinct ω-Transaminases from Pseudomonas Putida NBRC 14164 for Kinetic Resolution of Racemic Amines and Amino Alcohols. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 181, 972–985. doi:10.1007/s12010-016-2263-9
- Wu, S., Snajdrova, R., Moore, J. C., Baldenius, K., and Bornscheuer, U. T. (2021). Biocatalysis: Enzymatic Synthesis for Industrial Applications. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60, 88–119. doi:10.1002/anie.202006648
- Xie, C., Song, J., Hua, M., Hu, Y., Huang, X., Wu, H., et al. (2020). Ambienttemperature Synthesis of Primary Amines via Reductive Amination of Carbonyl Compounds. ACS Catal. 10, 7763–7772. doi:10.1021/ acscatal.0c01872
- Yanai, N., Sindoro, M., Yan, J., and Granick, S. (2013). Electric Field-Induced Assembly of Monodisperse Polyhedral Metal-Organic Framework Crystals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 34–37. doi:10.1021/ja309361d
- Ye, L. J., Toh, H. H., Yang, Y., Adams, J. P., Snajdrova, R., and Li, Z. (2015). Engineering of Amine Dehydrogenase for Asymmetric Reductive Amination of Ketone by Evolving *Rhodococcus* Phenylalanine Dehydrogenase. ACS Catal. 5, 1119–1122. doi:10.1021/cs501906r
- Zhao, Y., Wakamatsu, T., Doi, K., Sakuraba, H., and Ohshima, T. (2012). A Psychrophilic Leucine Dehydrogenase from *Sporosarcina psychrophila*: Purification, Characterization, Gene Sequencing and Crystal Structure Analysis. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 83, 65–72. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2012.06.018
- Zheng, W., Yu, H., Fang, S., Chen, K., Wang, Z., Cheng, X., et al. (2021). Directed Evolution of L-Threonine Aldolase for the Diastereoselective Synthesis of  $\beta$ -Hydroxy- $\alpha$ -Amino Acids. ACS Catal. 11, 3198–3205. doi:10.1021/acscatal.0c04949

**Conflict of Interest:** This work has been included in patent applications by the Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Tong, Qin, Wang, Jiang, Li, Ming, Qu, Xiao and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.