

Response to: Comment on 'Circulating cell-free miRNAs as biomarker for triple-negative breast cancer'

Vivian Y Shin¹ and Ava Kwong*,1,2,3

 1 Department of Surgery, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR; 2 The Hong Kong Hereditary Breast Cancer Family Registry, Hong Kong, SAR and ³Department of Surgery, Hong Kong Sanatorium & Hospital, Hong Kong, SAR

Without a standardised method in the selection of diagnostic biomarkers, commonly seen in most of the preclinical studies, the use of statistical methods tends to vary between different groups based on the specific aims of the studies. Multivariate regression analysis is a rational way and not an uncommon methodology to evaluate the usefulness of miRNA signature as biomarkers, provided that the risk prediction of selected miRNAs has been taken into account. In one study, five miRNAs including up- and downregulated miRNAs were included in the panel of markers for prediction of recurrence and metastasis in prostate cancer (Nam et al, 2015). In a recent study on early detection of breast cancer, the authors compared the ROC analysis between individual miRNA and 3-miRNA signature (miR-199a, miR-29c and miR-424); the AUC of 3-miRNA signature was similar to that of miR-199a in terms of sensitivity and specificity (0.905 vs 0.883) (Zhang et al, 2015). If some of the selected miRNAs in the signature were associated with tumour stage while others not, it is likely that this may create a collinearity in the overall analysis of the miRNA signature (Xiong et al, 2014). In our case, however, even when miR-16 and miR-21 were differentially expressed between TNBC and non-TNBC, they are independent of tumour stage in the cohort, and combining the three miRNAs did not show a huge improvement, which was expected (Shin et al, 2015). Coherent with other studies, the miRNA panel did not necessarily yield a significant improvement on AUC as compared with single miRNA (Murray et al, 2015; Krishnan et al, 2015). Thus, improvement on biomarker analysis methodologies is awaited to tackle the heterogeneity in biomarker studies (Ray et al, 2010).

In lieu of a single marker, we believe a panel of signature would be a reliable representation for disease discrimination; a standardised analysis of data normalisation and also weighing of individual miRNA in the panel need to be considered. Using blood-based miRNA markers for diagnosis and prognosis will require validation in large-scale prospective and translational studies prior to clinical use.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Krishnan P, Ghosh S, Wang B, Li D, Narasimhan A, Berendt R, Graham K, Mackey JR, Kovalchuk O, Damaraju S (2015) Next generation sequencing profiling identifies miR-574-3p and miR-660-5p as potential novel prognostic markers for breast cancer. BMC Genomics 16: 735.

Murray MJ, Raby KL, Saini HK, Bailey S, Wool SV, Tunnacliffe JM, Enright AJ, Nicholson JC, Coleman N (2015) Solid tumors of childhood display specific serum microRNA profiles. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 24: 350-360.

Nam RK, Amemiya Y, Benatar T, Wallis CJ, Stojcic-Bendavid J, Bacopulos S, Sherman C, Sugar L, Naeim M, Yang W, Zhang A, Klotz LH, Narod SA, Seth A (2015) Identification and validation of a five microRNA signature predictive of prostate cancer recurrence and metastasis: a cohort study. J Cancer 6: 1160–1171. Ray P, Le Manach Y, Riou B, Houle TT (2010) Statistical evaluation of a biomarker.

Anesthesiology 112: 1023-1040.

Shin VY, Siu JM, Cheuk I, Ng EK, Kwong A (2015) Circulating cell-free miRNAs as

biomarker for triple-negative breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* **112**(11): 1751–1759. Xiong J, Bing Z, Su Y, Deng D, Peng X (2014) An integrated mRNA and microRNA expression signature for glioblastoma multiforme prognosis. *PLoS* One 9: e98419.

Zhang L, Xu Y, Jin X, Wang Z, Wu Y, Zhao D, Chen G, Li D, Wang X, Cao H, Xie Y, Liang Z (2015) A circulating miRNA signature as a diagnostic biomarker for non-invasive early detection of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat; e-pub ahead of print 17 October 2015; doi:10.1007/s10549-015-3591-0.



© 2016 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 - 0920/16



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

