#### **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

WILEY

# Priority plan for invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic

Norman C. Wang MD, MS | Sandeep K. Jain MD | N.A. Mark Estes III MD | William W. Barrington MD | Raveen Bazaz MD | Aditya Bhonsale MD, MHS | Krishna Kancharla MBBS | Alaa A. Shalaby MD, MSc | Andrew H. Voigt MD | Samir Saba MD |

Heart and Vascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

#### Correspondence:

Norman C. Wang, MD, MS, Heart and Vascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, 200 Lothrop Street, South Tower, 3rd Floor, Room E352.9, Pittsburgh, PA 15213.

Email: wangnc@upmc.edu

#### Kevword:

cardiac electrophysiology, coronavirus, COVID-19, guidelines, pandemic

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

In late December 2019, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention initiated an investigation of patients with a respiratory illness of unknown etiology in Wuhan.<sup>1</sup> The causative pathogen was a new coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and the disease was designated coronavirus disease 2019, or COVID-19. Two salient features were an overall case-fatality rate of 2.3% and fast geographical dissemination.<sup>2</sup> It was stated, "COVID-19 rapidly spread from a single city to the entire country in just 30 days." The initial cluster was theorized to be due to zoonotic transmission from a seafood and wet animal wholesale market. Subsequent person-toperson transmission is believed to occur in a similar fashion to SARS-CoV-1, which caused the SARS outbreak in 2003.<sup>3,4</sup> This involves contact with infected respiratory droplets, aerosols, and fomites.

In the United States of America, patient zero was a 35-year-old man who presented to an urgent care clinic in the State of Washington on 19 January 2020 after visiting Wuhan.<sup>5</sup> Given the trajectory of cases and the impending pandemic, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) suggested on 29 February that inpatient facilities should "Reschedule elective surgeries as necessary." On 13 March, the American College of Surgeons recommended that every

"hospital, health system, and surgeon should thoughtfully review all scheduled elective procedures with a plan to minimize, postpone, or cancel electively scheduled operations, endoscopies, or other invasive procedures until we have passed the predicted inflection point in the exposure graph and can be confident that our health care infrastructure can support a potentially rapid and overwhelming uptick in critical patient care needs." Vice Admiral Jerome M. Adams, MD, the Surgeon General, concurred. On 18 March, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued "guidance to limit non-essential adult elective surgery and medical and surgical procedures" and referenced the Elective Surgery Acuity Scale.

On 20 March, a Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) COVID-19 Task Force message agreed with "CDC recommendations to postpone elective EP procedures." It continued, "Elective procedures may include, but are not limited to, ablation in clinically stable patients, device upgrades, most primary prevention implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) implants, left atrial appendage closure device implants, and implantable loop recorders." On 31 March, a guidance paper was published by the HRS COVID-19 Task Force, the American College of Cardiology (ACC) Electrophysiology Council, and the American Heart Association (AHA) Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee. <sup>10</sup> It divided invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures into three tiers: (a)

Abbreviations: ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CMS, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; HRS, Heart Rhythm Society; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; PPE, personal protective equipment; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

urgent/nonelective, (b) semi-urgent, and (c) nonurgent/elective. The purpose of this manuscript is to provide a priority plan for invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic that is consistent with, yet simplified in comparison to, prior recommendations. <sup>6-10</sup>

## 2 | SAFETY AND RESOURCE UTILIZATION

The primary population-level intervention to combat COVID-19 is social distancing. Slowing dissemination of SARS-CoV-2 has been challenging as an estimated 80% of infected individuals are asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic yet may still shed the virus. SARS-CoV-2 can survive on surfaces, particularly plastic and stainless steel, for up to 72 hours. Lack of widespread availability of accurate testing has complicated numerical estimates of cases. Minimizing exposure time to high-risk environments is essential. Family members and healthcare workers in close contact with infected individuals are high-risk groups. The 3 at-risk groups when considering invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures are patients, the general population, and hospital personnel.

For patients without COVID-19, the potential benefit of a procedure must be balanced with additional interactions with hospital personnel and time in the hospital. Older age and co-existing medical conditions are associated with increased mortality risk and should be taken into consideration.<sup>2</sup> Undiagnosed asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic hospital personnel with COVID-19 may still be working. While a goal of a procedure may be to shorten hospitalization time or to decrease the risk of rehospitalization, complications may markedly increase hospital stay and, consequently, infection risk. Acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 may also increase exposure to the general population after discharge.

Personnel within the cardiac electrophysiology laboratory should consult with their in-hospital infection prevention and control section for institution-specific considerations. The minimum number of personnel should be involved with patients with known or suspected COVID-19, and trainee participation is discouraged. All workers should be trained and fitted for personal protective equipment (PPE). N95 masks or powered air-purifying respirators are recommended for COVID-19 cases. PPE utilization must account for limited supplies that may be needed later for worst-case scenarios. Increasing availability of SARS-CoV-2 testing should allow more accurate stratification of PPE needs in the procedure planning phase. A major potential consequence of exposure to the cardiac electrophysiology team is that quarantine would make them temporarily unavailable.

Hospitals in geographical regions with a high prevalence of COVID-19, or "hot zones," have reported shortages in PPE, intensive care unit beds, and mechanical ventilators. In these locations, the threshold to consider invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures may increase even higher. Certain procedures may strain personnel and equipment from various sections. For example, catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation may involve anesthesia and cardiac imaging. Procedurally related adverse events that require intensive care unit

monitoring and mechanical ventilation may jeopardize resources needed to treat patients with COVID-19, particularly if a local surge develops. As organizations have called for stoppage to elective procedures, <sup>6-10</sup> this may result in liability.

## 3 | PRIORITY PLAN

The overarching principle is that all invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures that can be reasonably postponed without compromising patient safety should be, until further guidance is available. It is unclear how long postponement of elective procedures will continue to be advised. Reasonable noninvasive options that allow for expedited discharge are preferred.

Challenges for cardiac electrophysiology, like many medical and surgical specialties, are present when differentiating elective versus nonelective procedures. However, guidelines and consensus statements have been issued by ACC, AHA, and HRS. These have standardized systems that categorize therapies by "Classification of Recommendation." The following priority plan, presented in the Figure 1, builds upon these documents.

The first consideration, "Guideline or Consensus Statement Classification of Recommendation," allows for the assessment of a multitude of procedures already systematically studied by several guideline and consensus statement committees. It avoids the need for an exhaustive list of procedures and clinical scenarios. The second consideration, "Anticipated Short-term Morbidity or Mortality Benefit," allows for tailoring to the unique clinical characteristics and presentations of each patient. A 30- to 90-day definition of "short-term" may be adjusted based on individual scenarios and projected time frames to reschedule procedures. Procedures and scenarios with class IIb recommendations, by definition, have weak support, so there are no circumstances to expect high short-term benefit. This priority plan allows for adoption by other countries and regions with their own guidelines and consensus statements that use a similar "Classification of Recommendation" system. 12 It may also be used or adapted for future pandemics.

Pacemaker and ICD generator changes are not addressed well in guidelines. Devices within the elective replacement interval should be considered emergent/urgent or equivocal, depending on the indication and the estimated remaining battery life. Same-day discharges for new implants may be encouraged to lower inpatient time and resource utilization.

Hospitalization status may have some bearing on determining potential benefit, particularly if an arrhythmia incited the index hospitalization and may recur in the near future. Hospitalization *per se* is not a justification for recommending expedited therapy as some may be safely discharged at low risk.

Legal issues should be considered since CMS recommendations were issued.<sup>8</sup> Hospitals were investigated and fined by the United States Department of Justice nearly one decade ago, in part due to violating CMS policies for the primary prevention ICD. Gross violations to the "no elective procedures" recommendation during the

Guideline or Consensus Statement Classification of Recommendation	Anticipated Short-term Morbidity or Mortality Benefit	Priority Category
CLASS I	High	I. Emergent/Urgent
	Intermediate	II. Equivocal
	Low or None	III. Elective
CLASS IIa	High	I. Emergent/Urgent
	Intermediate	II. Equivocal
	Low or None	III. Elective
CLASS IIb	Intermediate	II. Equivocal
	Low or None	III. Elective

FIGURE 1 Priority plan for invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures. Class I: benefit >> risk. Class IIa: benefit >> risk. Class IIb: benefit > risk

COVID-19 pandemic could conceivably trigger investigations. Proactively documenting the rationale for nonelective procedures is worth considering.

## 3.1 | Priority I. Emergent/urgent

Procedures and scenarios in this category have class I or IIa recommendations with patients at high short-term risk for mortality or major morbidity. Examples include catheter ablation for medically refractory monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in non-ischemic cardiomyopathy; catheter ablation for Wolff-Parkinson-White Syndrome associated with rapid atrial fibrillation and syncope; permanent pacemaker for symptomatic complete heart block; and lead extraction for an infected cardiovascular implantable electronic device in the setting of persistent bacteremia. A secondary prevention ICD has a class I recommendation and is preferred before discharge. However, a temporary wearable cardioverter-defibrillator may be a reasonable option to explore given unique circumstances that may arise from the COVID-19 pandemic.

# 3.2 | Priority II. Equivocal

Equivocal procedures and scenarios should ideally be postponed. There may be rare circumstances where a compelling reason exists if there is an intermediate chance for short-term benefit due to a substantial non-mortality risk, such as rehospitalization. Preventing rehospitalization is a reasonable goal to decrease risk of infection via social distancing. These should likely only be considered where the prevalence of COVID-19 is low and hospitals do not have shortages

of intensive care unit beds and mechanical ventilators. Structured follow-up for patients with procedures put on hold is essential.

Catheter ablation for antiarrhythmic-refractory persistent rapid atrial fibrillation with heart failure and recent recurrent hospitalizations, particularly possible tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy, is a situation that may be considered nonelective. Conversely, catheter ablation for amiodarone-refractory ischemic ventricular tachycardia with mild-to-moderate symptoms that terminated with antitachycardia pacing has a class I recommendation, 11 but may be elective.

## 3.3 | Priority III. Elective

The potential benefits for procedures and scenarios in this category are long-term. Some may have medical options that are reasonable alternatives. The risk for short-term morbidity or mortality is low. Such situations include left atrial appendage occlusion (although recent stroke or major bleed may elevate the priority to equivocal); catheter ablation for symptomatic idiopathic premature ventricular complexes; catheter ablation for rate-controlled but symptomatic atrial fibrillation or flutter; most primary prevention ICDs; and permanent pacemaker for symptomatic chronotropic incompetence without syncope or near-syncope.

#### 4 | CONCLUSIONS

The priority plan for invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures presented here is a flexible yet organized method to facilitate triage during the COVID-19 pandemic. Procedure priority should be decided proactively and with social distancing in mind. Uncertainty or

disagreement should be adjudicated by a formal institution-specific process. This simplified approach could also aid in preparations for emergencies that may strain medical resources in the future and in other countries. It should assist in navigating current recommendations by CDC, CMS, and HRS/ACC/AHA to temporarily delay elective procedures towards the goal of flattening the COVID-19 pandemic curve

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Dr. Wang serves as a consultant for Abbott. Dr. Jain serves as a consultant for Medtronic, receives research support from Medtronic, and is a research investigator for Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Medtronic. Dr. Estes serves as a consultant for Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Medtronic. Dr. Saba receives research support from Abbott and Boston Scientific. The remaining authors have nothing to disclose. University of Pittsburgh Medical Center receives institutional cardiac electrophysiology fellowship support from Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Medtronic.

## PROPOSED TWEET

Priority plan for invasive cardiac EP procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic. #EPeeps #COVID-19.

#### ORCID

Norman C. Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8388-2146
Sandeep K. Jain http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5815-370X
Samir Saba http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4669-803X

## REFERENCES

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:727-733.
- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020;323:1239-1242.
- van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1564-1567.
- Cheng VCC, Chan JFW, To KKW, Yuen KY. Clinical management and infection control of SARS: lessons learned. Antiviral Res. 2013;100: 407-419.

- Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:929-936.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): interim guidance for healthcare facilities. 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/healthcare-facilities/guidance-hcf. html. Accessed 21 March 2020.
- American College of Surgeons. COVID-19: recommendations for management of elective surgical procedures. 2020. https://www.facs. org/about-acs/covid-19/information-for-surgeons/elective-surgery. Accessed 21 March 2020.
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. CMS releases recommendations on adult elective surgeries, non-essential medical, surgical, and dental procedures during COVID-19 response. 2020. https://www. cms.gov/newsroom/press-releases/cms-releases-recommendations-adultelective-surgeries-non-essential-medical-surgical-and-dental. Accessed 21 March 2020.
- Heart Rhythm Society. HRS COVID-19 task force message. 2020. https://www.hrsonline.org/COVID19-Challenges-Solutions/Message01. Accessed 21 March 2020.
- Lakkireddy DR, Chung MK, Gopinathannair R, et al. Guidance for cardiac electrophysiology during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic from the Heart Rhythm Society COVID-19 Task Force; Electrophysiology Section of the American College of Cardiology; and the Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047063
- 11. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;2018(72): 1677-1679
- Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death. Eur Heart J. 2015;2015(36): 2793-2867.

How to cite this article: Wang NC, Jain SK, Estes NAM 3rd, et al. Priority plan for invasive cardiac electrophysiology procedures during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2020;31:1255–1258. https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.14478