

# Target nursing care on anxiety and depression in patients with gallbladder cancer during perioperative period

Shuang Liu, MM<sup>a</sup>, Li Zhang, MB<sup>b</sup>, Xiu-e Guan, MB<sup>c</sup>, Lei Zhang, MB<sup>d</sup>, Rui Wang, MB<sup>e,\*</sup> 

## Abstract

**Background:** This study retrospectively investigated the effects of target nursing care (TNC) on anxiety and depression in patients with gallbladder cancer (GBC) during the perioperative period.

**Methods:** This retrospective study analyzed the data of 80 patients with GBC during perioperative period. These records were divided into an intervention group (n = 40) or a control group (n = 40). All 80 patient records in both groups were administered routine nursing care (RNC). The patients in the intervention group also underwent TNC. The primary outcomes were depression (measured using the Hamilton Depression Scale, HAMD) and anxiety (assessed using the Hamilton Anxiety Scale, HAMA). The secondary outcomes were quality of life (assessed using the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey, SF-36) and adverse events. We collected and analyzed the outcome data before and after treatment.

**Results:** After treatment, patients in the intervention group showed more promising effects on depression (HAMD,  $P < .01$ ) and anxiety (HAMA,  $P < .01$ ) than those in the control group did. However, there were no significant differences in the quality of life before and after treatment. No TNC- or RNC-associated adverse events were reported in patient records.

**Conclusion:** This study found that TNC was more effective than RNC in relieving depression and anxiety. Future studies should be conducted to validate the present findings.

**Abbreviations:** GBC = gallbladder cancer, HAMA = Hamilton Anxiety Scale, HAMD = Hamilton Depression Scale, HQNC = high-quality nursing care, PPP = perioperative period, RNC = routine nursing care, SF-36 = The 36-Item Short Form Health Survey, TNI = target nursing care

**Keywords:** anxiety, depression, gallbladder cancer, routine nursing care, target nursing care

## 1. Introduction

Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is the most common distinct subset of biliary tract cancer worldwide.<sup>[1–3]</sup> It is a rare but highly lethal malignant disease with a worldwide incidence of 2 per 100,000 persons.<sup>[4–7]</sup> In addition, its incidence is relatively high, with a significant geographic variation.<sup>[8–13]</sup> The most frequent risk factors associated with GBC are cholelithiasis,<sup>[14]</sup> sex, age, obesity,<sup>[15]</sup> occupation,<sup>[16,17]</sup> chronic gallbladder inflammation,<sup>[18]</sup> and genetic predisposition.<sup>[19,20]</sup>

Previous studies have reported that complete surgical resection is one of the most effective modalities for patients with GBC.<sup>[21–23]</sup> Currently, it is still the only curative modality for GBC, with 5-year survival rates ranging from 0% to 12%, and

a median survival time of 6.4 months.<sup>[24,25]</sup> However, approximately 66% of patients with GBC are processed to the recurrent disease within 2 years of resection.<sup>[26]</sup> Despite its promising effects, most patients with GBC during PPP often experience psychological disorders, such as depression and anxiety.<sup>[27–29]</sup> Fortunately, a variety of studies have reported that high-quality nursing care (HQNC) can benefit patients with GCB during PPP suffering from depression and anxiety.<sup>[27–29]</sup>

In this study, we compared TNC with RNC for the management of GCB during PPP in this study. We defined routine nursing care (RNC) as the combination of medication care, diet care, health advice for admission, preoperative and postoperative care, and instructions for the surgical approach. Based on RNC management, TNC also included psychotherapy and progressive

The authors have no funding or conflicts of interest to disclose.

The author warrants that this Work contains no libelous or unlawful statements and does not infringe on the rights of others. If excerpts (eg, text, figures, tables, illustrations, or audio/video files) from copyrighted works are included, a written release will be secured by the authors prior to submission, and credit to the original publication will be properly acknowledged.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

<sup>a</sup> Cardiac Intensive Care Unit, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China, <sup>b</sup> Department of Scientific Research, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China, <sup>c</sup> Department of Oncology, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China, <sup>d</sup> Dialysis Unit, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China, <sup>e</sup> First Ward of General Surgery Department, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China.

\*Correspondence: Rui Wang, MB, First Ward of General Surgery Department, Hongqi Hospital Affiliated to Mudanjiang Medical University, No.5 Tongxiang Street, Aimin District, Mudanjiang, 157011, China (e-mail: shoushea06866@21cn.com).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Liu S, Zhang L, Guan X-E, Zhang L, Wang R. Target nursing care on anxiety and depression in patients with gallbladder cancer during perioperative period. *Medicine* 2022;101:31(e29883).

Received: 14 May 2022 / Received in final form: 6 June 2022 / Accepted: 8 June 2022

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000029883>

muscle relaxation therapy. However, there are insufficient data to support the effects of TNC for the treatment of depression and anxiety in patients during PPP. Thus, this retrospective study investigated the effects of TNC compared to RNC for the treatment of GCB patients during PPP with depression and anxiety.

## 2. Methods and Materials

### 2.1. Study design

This retrospective study included 80 eligible patients with GBC during PPP suffering from depression and anxiety. All patient records were obtained at the Affiliated Hongqi Hospital of Mudanjiang University between August 2018 and July 2020. We divided those patients into intervention and control groups according to the different treatment schedules they received, with 40 participants in each group. We collected and analyzed data before and after routine nursing care (RNC) or TNC. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient. However, ethical approval was waived in this retrospective study because we analyzed data from previous patient records.

### 2.2. Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criteria were pathologically diagnosed GBC, surgical resection, aged 20–75 years, presence of depression and/or anxiety before study management, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status  $\leq 2$ , and GBC stage  $\leq 2$  (as assessed by Tumor-node-metastases staging system). However, exclusion criteria were anxiety and depression during or after the study period of TNC and RNC, lactation and pregnancy, other investigation drugs, presence of distant disease, and insufficient information and clinical data of patient records. Additionally, this study also excluded patient records of those who underwent medication for depression or anxiety within 4 weeks before this study, or those who were accompanied by RNC or CNC management period.

### 2.3. Management approach

All patients in both the intervention and control groups were administered RNC throughout PPP. This included medication care, diet care, health advice for admission, preoperative and postoperative care, and instructions for the surgical approach. Except for RNC, patient records in the intervention group also received TNC. It comprises psychotherapy and progressive muscle relaxation therapy. For psychotherapy, patients were instructed through presentation and face-to-face treatment to relieve their nervousness, anxiety, and depression. In addition, these patients underwent progressive muscle relaxation training during PPP.

### 2.4. Outcome measurements

The primary outcome was depression and anxiety. Depression was measured using the Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD). Anxiety was evaluated using the Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA). The secondary outcomes included quality of life and adverse events. Quality of life was assessed using the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36). It has 8 subscales and has been transformed into a range of 0 to 100. A higher score signifies a better quality of life or better health. All outcome data were analyzed before and after treatment.

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

SPSS software (SPSS 17.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was utilized to analyze the data collected from the patient records. Continuous data were analyzed using the Student's *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test, and discontinuous data were analyzed using the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test. Statistical significance was set at  $P < .05$  (2-side).

## 3. Results

The general information and demographic characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in age, sex, race, ECOG performance, presenting features, cancer stage, chemotherapy, chemotherapy-associated adverse events, and co-morbidity between the 2 groups (Table 1).

Before treatment, there were no significant differences in depression (HAMD,  $P = .54$ ; Table 2) and anxiety (HAMA,  $P = .56$ ; Table 3). However, there were significant differences in depression (HAMD,  $P < .01$ ; Table 2) and anxiety (HAMA,  $P < .01$ ; Table 3) after treatment between the 2 groups.

Before treatment, there were no significant differences in the quality of life (physical function,  $P = .57$ ; physical role,  $P = .82$ ; body pain,  $P = .56$ ; general health,  $P = .41$ ; vitality,  $P = .23$ ; social function,  $P = .66$ ; emotional role,  $P = .39$ ; and mental health,  $P = .33$ ; Table 4) between the 2 groups. After treatment, there were no significant differences in (physical function,  $P = 0.11$ ; physical role,  $P = 0.29$ ; body pain,  $P = .57$ ; general health,  $P = .17$ ; vitality,  $P = .29$ ; social function,  $P = .21$ ; emotional role,  $P = .09$ ; and mental health,  $P = .25$ ; Table 5) between the 2 groups.

In terms of safety, no patient records reported any TNC or RNC-associated adverse events in this study.

## 4. Discussion

Globally, GBC is a rare, but aggressive malignancy of the biliary tract.<sup>[1–5]</sup> Epidemiological studies reported that its incidence and prevalence increase annually.<sup>[30–32]</sup> Thus, the management of this fatal disease is urgent. Surgical resection is the most effective treatment for GBC. However, patients with GBC during PPP

**Table 1**  
Comparison of patient characteristics between the 2 groups.

| Characteristics             | Intervention group<br>(n = 40) | Control group<br>(n = 40) | P   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| Age (y)                     | 55.4 (8.2)                     | 57.1 (8.8)                | .37 |
| Gender                      |                                |                           |     |
| Male                        | 16 (40.0)                      | 21 (52.5)                 | .26 |
| Female                      | 24 (60.0)                      | 19 (47.5)                 | –   |
| Race (Han ethnicity)        | 40 (100.0)                     | 40 (100.0)                | –   |
| ECOG performance            |                                |                           |     |
| 0                           | 5 (12.5)                       | 4 (10.0)                  | .72 |
| 1                           | 22 (55.0)                      | 25 (62.5)                 | .50 |
| 2                           | 13 (32.5)                      | 11 (27.5)                 | .63 |
| Stage                       |                                |                           |     |
| I                           | 28 (70.0)                      | 25 (62.5)                 | .48 |
| II                          | 12 (30.0)                      | 15 (37.5)                 | –   |
| Presenting features         |                                |                           |     |
| Pain                        | 40 (100.0)                     | 40 (100.0)                | –   |
| Vomiting                    | 7 (17.5)                       | 9 (22.5)                  | .58 |
| Weight loss                 | 9 (22.5)                       | 10 (25.0)                 | .79 |
| Co-morbidities              |                                |                           |     |
| Type 2 diabetes             | 6 (15.0)                       | 8 (20.0)                  | .56 |
| Hypertension                | 7 (17.5)                       | 5 (12.5)                  | .53 |
| Hypothyroidism              | 10 (25.9)                      | 12 (30.0)                 | .62 |
| Chemotherapy                |                                |                           |     |
| Oxaliplatin                 | 11 (27.5)                      | 15 (37.5)                 | .34 |
| Fluorouracil                | 16 (40.0)                      | 12 (30.0)                 | .35 |
| Carboplatin                 | 14 (35.0)                      | 12 (30.0)                 | .63 |
| Cisplatin                   | 17 (42.5)                      | 18 (45.0)                 | .82 |
| Chemotherapy-associated AEs |                                |                           |     |
| Nausea/vomiting             | 21 (52.5)                      | 24 (60.0)                 | .50 |
| Diarrhea                    | 14 (35.0)                      | 12 (30.0)                 | .63 |
| Neutropenia                 | 9 (22.5)                       | 11 (27.5)                 | .61 |
| Leukopenia                  | 8 (20.0)                       | 7 (17.5)                  | .77 |
| Rash                        | 4 (10.0)                       | 6 (15.0)                  | .50 |

Data are present as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or number (%).

AEs = adverse events, ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

**Table 2****Comparison of depression between the 2 groups.**

| HAMD   | Intervention group<br>(n = 40) | Control group<br>(n = 40) | P    |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Pretreatment   | 23.5 (3.8)                     | 24.0 (3.5)                | .54  |
| Posttreatment  | 7.9 (3.3)                      | 10.2 (4.1)                | <.01 |
| Change from pretreatment Difference between 2 groups | -15.6 (-17.8, -12.9)           | -13.8 (-16.3, -10.6)      | <.01 |

Data are present as mean (range).  
HAMD = Hamilton Depression Scale.

**Table 3****Comparison of anxiety between the 2 groups.**

| HAMA   | Intervention group<br>(n = 40) | Control group<br>(n = 40) | P    |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Pretreatment   | 21.9 (4.0)                     | 22.4 (3.7)                | .56  |
| Posttreatment  | 8.2 (3.1)                      | 10.6 (3.5)                | <.01 |
| Change from pretreatment Difference between 2 groups | -13.7 (-15.4, -11.0)           | -11.7 (-13.6, -9.2)       | <.01 |

Data are present as mean (range).  
HAMA = Hamilton Anxiety Scale.

**Table 4****Comparison of SF-36 pretreatment between the 2 groups.**

| SF-36             | Intervention group<br>(n = 40) | Control group<br>(n = 40) | P   |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| Physical function | 63.5 (5.7)                     | 62.8 (5.2)                | .57 |
| Physical role     | 32.6 (6.2)                     | 32.9 (5.5)                | .82 |
| Body pain         | 33.9 (5.9)                     | 34.7 (6.4)                | .56 |
| General health    | 38.5 (4.0)                     | 37.8 (3.6)                | .41 |
| Vitality          | 40.1 (4.7)                     | 41.4 (5.0)                | .23 |
| Social function   | 42.8 (5.5)                     | 43.3 (4.7)                | .66 |
| Emotional role    | 36.7 (5.2)                     | 35.6 (6.1)                | .39 |
| Mental health     | 38.8 (3.9)                     | 37.9 (4.4)                | .33 |

Data are present as mean ± standard deviation.  
SF-36 = The 36-Item Short Form Health Survey.

**Table 5****Comparison of SF-36 post-treatment between 2 groups.**

| SF-36             | Intervention group<br>(n = 40) | Control group<br>(n = 40) | P   |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| Physical function | 79.5 (4.3)                     | 77.8 (5.1)                | .11 |
| Physical role     | 70.0 (5.6)                     | 68.6 (6.2)                | .29 |
| Body pain         | 68.5 (4.5)                     | 69.1 (5.0)                | .57 |
| General health    | 61.6 (6.2)                     | 59.8 (5.4)                | .17 |
| Vitality          | 62.3 (6.5)                     | 60.7 (7.1)                | .29 |
| Social function   | 75.2 (5.8)                     | 73.6 (5.6)                | .21 |
| Emotional role    | 80.1 (4.4)                     | 78.3 (5.2)                | .09 |
| Mental health     | 76.2 (5.2)                     | 74.9 (4.9)                | .25 |

Data are present as mean ± standard deviation.  
SF-36, The 36-Item Short Form Health Survey.

often experience psychological disorders, such as depression and anxiety.

Previous studies have reported that HQNC can relieve depression and anxiety in patients with GBC during PPP.<sup>[27–29]</sup> One study assessed the effects of HQNC (health guidance after

admission, psychotherapy, physical and mental care, and nursing care before and after surgery) for depression and anxiety in patients with GBC during PPP.<sup>[27]</sup> The other study explored the effects of HQNC (admission guidance, health education, psychological care, and nursing care before and after surgery) for depression and anxiety relief in patients with GBC during PPP.<sup>[28]</sup> Another study evaluated the effects of HQNC (health education, music therapy, and whole-body muscle relaxation training) on anxiety and depression decrease in perioperative patients with GBC.<sup>[29]</sup> The results of all 3 studies exerted better effects of HQNC than RNC for the treatment of patients with GBC during PPP.<sup>[27–29]</sup> The present study investigated the effects and safety of TNC (consisting of RNC, psychotherapy, and progressive muscle relaxation therapy) for depression and anxiety in patients with GBC during PPP. The results of previous studies are consistent with this study.

In this retrospective study, we analyzed the data of 80 patients with GBC during PPP. Our results showed that patients in the intervention group achieved better outcomes in depression and anxiety than those in the control group, indicating that TNC is more effective than RNC for the treatment of depression and anxiety in patients with GBC during PPP. However, there were no significant differences in quality of life and TNC- or RNC-associated adverse events.

This retrospective study has several limitations. First, compared to the prospective study, this retrospective study only harvested and analyzed data from patient records. Second, all data were collected from one center of the Affiliated Hongqi Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical University. Third, this study only analyzed data during PPP. Future studies should investigate its effects on long-term follow-up.

## 5. Conclusion

This study has shown that TNC may be a more effective modality than RNC for relieving depression and anxiety in patients with GBC during PPP. Future studies are needed to validate the current results.

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: Shuang Liu.

Data curation: Rui Wang.

Formal analysis: Li Zhang.

Investigation: Rui Wang.

Methodology: Lei Zhang.

Supervision: Rui Wang.

Validation: Xie-E Guang.

Writing – original draft: Shuang Liu.

Writing – review & editing: Rui Wang.

## References

- [1] Vega EA, Mellado S, Salehi O, et al. Treatment of resectable gallbladder cancer. *Cancers* (Basel). 2022;14:1413.
- [2] Alkhayyat M, Abou Saleh M, Qapaja T, et al. Epidemiology of gallbladder cancer in the United States: a population-based study. *Chin Clin Oncol*. 2021;10:25.
- [3] Ren T, Li Y, Zhang X, et al. Protocol for a gallbladder cancer registry study in China: the Chinese Research Group of Gallbladder Cancer (CRGGC) study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11:e038634.
- [4] Papageorge MV, de Geus SWL, Woods AP, et al. Undertreatment of gallbladder cancer: a nationwide analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2021;28:2949–57.
- [5] Hueman MT, Vollmer CM Jr., Pawlik TM. Evolving treatment strategies for gallbladder cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2009;16:2101–15.
- [6] Wi Y, Woo H, Won YJ, et al. Trends in gallbladder cancer incidence and survival in Korea. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2018;50:1444–51.
- [7] Stinton LM, Shaffer EA. Epidemiology of gallbladder disease: cholelithiasis and cancer. *Gut Liver*. 2012;6:172–87.

- [8] Jung KW, Won YJ, Hong S, et al. Prediction of cancer incidence and mortality in Korea, 2020. *Cancer Res Treat.* 2020;52:351–8.
- [9] Khan ZA, Khan MU, Brand M. Gallbladder cancer in Africa: a higher than expected rate in a “low-risk” population. *Surgery.* 2022;171:855–8.
- [10] Feo CF, Ginesu GC, Fancellu A, et al. Current management of incidental gallbladder cancer: A review. *Int J Surg.* 2022;98:106234.
- [11] Lazcano-Ponce EC, Miquel JF, Munoz N, et al. Epidemiology and molecular pathology of gallbladder cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2001;51:349–64.
- [12] Are C, Ahmad H, Ravipati A, et al. Global epidemiological trends and variations in the burden of gallbladder cancer. *J Surg Oncol.* 2017;115:580–90.
- [13] Randi G, Malvezzi M, Levi F, et al. Epidemiology of biliary tract cancers: an update. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20:146–59.
- [14] Tatli F, Ozgönül A, Yucel Y, et al. Incidental gallbladder cancer at cholecystectomy. *Ann Ital Chir.* 2017;6:399–402.
- [15] Huang J, Patel HK, Boakye D, et al. Worldwide distribution, associated factors, and trends of gallbladder cancer: a global country-level analysis. *Cancer Lett.* 2021;521:238–51.
- [16] Shen H, Song H, Wang L, et al. Clinical feature of gallbladder cancer in Northwestern China: a report of 2 379 cases from 17 institutions. *Chin J Surg.* 2015;53:747–51.
- [17] Nagasaki T, Komatsu H, Shibata Y, et al. A rare case of gallbladder cancer with giardiasis. *Nihon Shokakibyō Gakkai Zasshi.* 2011;108:275–9.
- [18] Fonseca CM, Báez BP, Csendes JA, et al. Prevalence of cholelithiasis and gallbladder cancer among Aymara individuals. *Rev Med Chil.* 2020;148:1398–405.
- [19] Malik MA, Malik SA, Haq MG, et al. Genetic susceptibility of DCC gene in gallbladder cancer in Kashmir and meta-analysis. *Nutr Cancer.* 2022;74:947–55.
- [20] Vinay J, Mishra D, Meher D, et al. Genetic association of MMP14 promoter variants and their functional significance in gallbladder cancer pathogenesis. *J Hum Genet.* 2021;66:947–56.
- [21] Han S, Yoon YS, Han HS, et al. Laparoscopic bile duct resection with lymph node dissection for gallbladder cancer diagnosed after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Oncol.* 2020;35:475.
- [22] Byun Y, Choi YJ, Kang JS, et al. Robotic extended cholecystectomy in gallbladder cancer. *Surg Endosc.* 2020;34:3256–61.
- [23] Justo I, Marcacuzco A, Nutu OA, et al. A retrospective analysis of patients with gallbladder cancer: surgical treatment and survival according to tumor stage. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2018;110:485–92.
- [24] Flemming J, Zhang-Salomons J, Nanji S, et al. Increased incidence but improved median overall survival for biliary tract cancers diagnosed in Ontario from 1994 through 2012: a population-based study. *Cancer.* 2016;122:2534–43.
- [25] Torre LA, Siegel R, Islami F, et al. Worldwide burden of and trends in mortality from gallbladder and other biliary tract cancers. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16:427–37.
- [26] Jarnagin WR, Ruo L, Little SA, et al. Patterns of initial disease recurrence after resection of gallbladder carcinoma and hilar cholangiocarcinoma: implications for adjuvant therapeutic strategies. *Cancer.* 2003;98:1689–700.
- [27] Zhao WY, Li PF. Application of high-quality nursing care in perioperative nursing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for gallbladder cancer. *Guizhou Med.* 2019;12:1981–3.
- [28] Tan WJ, Tian BY, Yang QL. Application of high-quality nursing care in perioperative nursing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for Gallbladder Carcinoma. *Chin Geriatr Med.* 2017;3:90–1.
- [29] Liu XY, Wu SQ, Song CY. Application of high-quality nursing care in perioperative nursing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for gallbladder cancer. *Pract Med Chin.* 2014;30:201–3.
- [30] Saito E, Niino M. Age-specific incidence rates of gallbladder cancer in the world. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2021;51:312–3.
- [31] Zhang M, Wu C, Zuo B, et al. Trends of gallbladder cancer incidence, mortality, and diagnostic approach in urban Shanghai between 1973 and 2009. *Tumori.* 2020;106:392–9.
- [32] Kallianpur AA, Gupta N, Vinod N, et al. Management of incidentally detected gallbladder carcinomas in a high prevalence area of gallbladder cancer. *Trop Gastroenterol.* 2014;35:39–43.