Factors That Affect Prevalence of Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth in Chronic Pancreatitis: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Meta-Regression

Bara El Kurdi, MD¹, Sumbal Babar, MBBS¹, Mahmoud El Iskandarani, MD¹, Adam Bataineh, MD², Markus M. Lerch, MD³, Mark Young, MD⁴ and Vijay P. Singh, MBBS⁵

OBJECTIVES:	Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) can complicate chronic pancreatitis (CP) and interfere with management. Its predisposing factors in CP and treatment response are unknown. In this review, we evaluated factors affecting disease burden.
METHODS:	A computerized search of PubMed and EMBASE databases from inception through May 2019 was done for studies correlating SIBO with CP. Studies were screened, and relevant data were extracted and analyzed. Pooled prevalence, odds ratio (OR), and meta-regression were performed using the random effects model as classically described by Borenstein et al. (2009). SIBO's relation to diabetes mellitus (DM), pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI), narcotic use, and proton-pump inhibitor use was investigated. Treatment response was pooled across studies. <i>P</i> value < 0.05 was considered significant.
RESULTS:	In 13 studies containing 518 patients with CP, SIBO prevalence was 38.6% (95% confidence interval [CI] 25.5–53.5). OR for SIBO in CP vs controls was 5.58 (95% CI 2.26–13.75). Meta-regression showed that PEI and the diagnostic test used were able to explain 54% and 43% of the variance in SIBO prevalence across studies, respectively. DM and PEI were associated with increased SIBO in CP with OR (2.1, 95% CI 1.2–3.5) and OR (2.5, 95% CI 1.3–4.8), respectively. Symptomatic improvement was reported in 76% of patients after SIBO treatment.
DISCUSSION:	SIBO complicates 38% of CP with OR of 5.58 indicating a predisposition for this condition. PEI correlates with SIBO in CP and might play a role in pathophysiology. DM and PEI are associated with increased SIBO in CP. Treatment of SIBO may lead to symptomatic improvement.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL accompanies this paper at http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93

Clinical and Translational Gastroenterology 2019;10:e00072. https://doi.org/10.14309/ctg.0000000000000072

INTRODUCTION

Chronic pancreatitis (CP) is an inflammatory disorder involving injury and scarring of the pancreatic exocrine gland, which can also affect endocrine components. It has a global incidence of 10 per 100,000 population (1). CP results in a variety of signs and symptoms, including abdominal pain, weight loss, bloating, steatorrhea, malabsorption, and diarrhea. CP complications include pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI), postpancreatitis diabetes mellitus (DM), and pancreatic cancer. Management of CP is challenging with multiple modalities targeting symptoms and malnutrition through pain management, pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT), and, in severe cases, surgery including total pancreatectomy. Despite advancements in CP treatment, 43% of patients do not respond to conventional therapy (2).

Recent evidence suggests that pancreatic exocrine dysfunction is a major determinant of intestinal microbiota (3). Changes in the gut microbial composition have been linked to a wide array of disorders spanning infectious (4), autoimmune (5), functional (6), neoplastic (7), and gastrointestinal (GI) pathologies. Multiple reports have linked CP to microbial dysbiosis, mainly small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO). SIBO has classically been

¹Internal Medicine Department, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee, USA; ²Internal Medicine Department, East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ³Department of Medicine, Greifswald University Medicine, Greifswald, Germany; ⁴Gastroenterology Division, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee, USA; ⁵Gastroenterology Division, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA. **Correspondence:** Bara El Kurdi, MD. E-mail: Baraabayern@gmail.com.

Received May 1, 2019; accepted July 18, 2019; published online September 11, 2019

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The American College of Gastroenterology

linked to blind-loop-producing GI surgeries; the resulting combination of altered anatomy, altered motility, hypochlorhydria, and exposure to colonic contents creates a favorable environment for bacterial proliferation (8). SIBO is associated with inflammatory bowel disease (9,10), neurological disorders (11,12), celiac disease (13), and irritable bowel syndrome (14). SIBO symptoms include abdominal pain, bloating, diarrhea, and malabsorption, which overlap with CP and make the diagnosis and management of SIBO in CP all the more difficult. Although Capurso et al. (15) showed in 2016 that SIBO affects 36% of patients with CP, we noted a high level of heterogeneity in the reported SIBO prevalence. In addition, their review lacked an analysis of SIBO's response to treatment or of risk factors for SIBO in CP. Moreover, we found that 3 additional studies (16-18) had been published on this subject since then. Therefore, we performed a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis employing rigorous statistical analysis using meta-regression models to explain heterogeneity, determine factors affecting disease prevalence, and response to treatment of SIBO in CP.

METHODS

Eligibility criteria

The primary criterion for eligibility was the availability of data on SIBO incidence in clinically diagnosed CP/PEI. Although confounding factors such as alcohol and narcotic use were often reported, because of sparsity of data, studies that did not statistically account for confounders were included. No restrictions were applied on study design, including CP definition adopted by investigators. Adequate description of diagnostic technique of CP (clinical history, imaging and functional studies) and PEI (stool elastase/fat excretion) was required. Adequate description of SIBO diagnosis was required. Neither time period nor language had effect on eligibility. Conference abstracts were considered ineligible.

Search technique

A computerized search of the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases from inception to May 2019 was performed. Search terms were as follows: chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency, breath tests, small intestine, bacterial infections, bacterial overgrowth, lactulose hydrogen, glucose hydrogen, and jejunal aspirate. Duplicates were excluded. Abstracts of remaining articles were reviewed. Unrelated articles were excluded. The remaining articles were reviewed in detail for eligibility criteria.

Data extraction

For each study, data were extracted on year published; study design; number of patients/controls; participant age and sex; etiology of CP; method of CP diagnosis; prevalence of DM, alcohol, proton-pump inhibitor (PPI), and narcotic use; surgical history; PERT; control group characteristics; SIBO diagnostic test description, including substrate dose, test duration, sampling intervals, and positive test criteria; SIBO treatment; and treatment outcomes. Finally, SIBO prevalence in both CP and controls was extracted. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for cross-sectional and case-control studies. Cutoff of 6 or higher was considered good quality, whereas <6 was considered poor quality.

Statistical analysis

Pooled prevalence of SIBO in multiple subsets of patients with CP was calculated. Random effects model was used to calculate pooled prevalence estimates with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² measure and the Cochran Q-statistic. Odds ratio (OR) of SIBO in CP compared with controls was calculated based on events/total ratios of both groups. The following stratified analyses were conducted to address sources of heterogeneity and determine factors affecting SIBO prevalence in CP: (i) PEI, (ii) DM, (iii) PERT use, (iv) alcoholic CP, (v) mean age, (vi) SIBO diagnostic method, (vii) surgical history, (viii) study quality per NOS, (ix) PPI use, and (x) narcotic use. Meta-regression was performed as classically described (19) using (i)-(iii) (above) as covariates in logistic regression models aimed at uncovering correlations between such covariates and SIBO prevalence, which might explain heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed for using the Begg and Mazumdar test. Statistical analysis was performed using the software programs Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 3.3.070 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ) and Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.3.5 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark).

RESULTS

Our search identified 2,236 references. Initial screening included review of titles to exclude duplicates, and subsequently, abstracts were screened for relevance. A total of 2,192 studies were excluded as duplicates, conference abstracts, or unrelated to the review subject. This resulted in 44 studies that were reviewed in detail, 13 of which met our eligibility criteria. Only 8 studies



Figure 1. Flow diagram of reference allocation. CP, chronic pancreatitis; SIBO, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

REVIEW ARTICLE

compared SIBO event rates in CP vs controls and were included in the comparative analysis (Figure 1).

Study breakdown

The studies were conducted in 11 countries; 6 European, 2 Asian, 1 South American, and 2 North American. They were published between 1985 and 2019. Twelve studies were published in English, 1 in Russian (20), and 1 in Spanish (21). Ten case-control and 3 cross-sectional studies examining 518 patients with CP and 372 controls were included. Study characteristics are depicted in Table 1. Three studies included CP patients with a history of gastroduodenal or pancreatic surgery (gastrectomy, vagotomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy, and Puestow procedure) (21–23), and 2 of those also included surgical patients in the control group (22,23). Eight studies used glucose hydrogen breath test (GHBT), 4 used lactulose hydrogen BT (LHBT), and 1 used jejunal aspirate culture. Three studies combined breath methane with LHBT/ GHBT (16,24,25). Test techniques are listed in Table 2. CP diagnostic method is listed in Table S2 (see Supplementary Digital

Table 1. Study characteristics

Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93). The individual studies found no association between patient-age, sex, or PEI and SIBO prevalence. Only 2 studies (16,17) found DM and PERT to be more prevalent in SIBO patients. Nine of 13 studies were of high quality on the NOS, and the remaining 4 were considered of poor quality (Table S1, see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93). Sensitivity analysis excluding poor-quality studies did not significantly alter analysis results.

Prevalence of SIBO in CP

The studies reported prevalence in a range from 0%–93%. Pooled prevalence of SIBO in CP across the 13 included studies was 38.6% (95% CI 25.5%–53.5%) with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2 = 87\%$) (Figure 2a) compared with 9.9% (95% CI 4.9%–19%) in controls. The studies were subgrouped based on the inclusion of subjects with a GI surgical history. Pooled prevalence was 34.6% (95% CI 20.7%–51.8%) in nonsurgical studies and 54.2% (95% CI 23.3%–82.2%) in surgical ones (Figure 2b). When subgrouping based on the diagnostic test

				Sex									
Study	Year	Country	Patients (n)	(male %)	Age (yrs)	Alcoholic CP (%)	Narcotic use (%)	PEI	DM (%)	Previous surgery (%)	PERT (%)	Controls (n)	Control characteristics
Lee et al. (16) ^a	2019	United States	98	50	μ 51.9	NR	33.7	25.5	44.9	0	58.2	None	_
Ní Chonchubhair et al. (17) ^a	2018	Ireland	35	67	μ 51.7	45.3	33	100	45.7	0	86	31	Healthy
Kim et al. (24) ^a	2015	South Korea	36	77.8	μ 52.3	78.9	0	NR	66.6	0	52	49	Healthy
Therrien et al. (18) ^a	2015	Canada	31	71	μ 53.8	41.9	NR	NR	12.9	0	51.6	40	Healthy
Kumar et al. (27) ^a	2014	India	68	70.6	μ 33.6	32.3	58.8	31.7	35	NR	66.2	74	Healthy
Signoretti et al. (31) ^a	2014	Italy	43	55.8	μ 54	39.5	NR	39.5	41.8	0	62.8	43	Unspecific GI Sx
Grigor'eva et al. (20)	2010	Russia	102	34.3	μ 55	NR	NR	70.6	NR	0	NR	None	_
Mancilla et al. (21) ^a	2008	Chile	14	78.5	μ 49	50	NR	100	28.5	21.4	64	14	Healthy
Madsen et al. (25)	2003	Denmark	11	100	M 46	100	54.5	100	45	0	90	11	Healthy
Trespi and Ferrieri (23) ^a	1999	Italy	35	74.2	μ 53	54.3	NR	100	14.3	31.4	100	61	Gastric resection
Casellas et al. (22) ^a	1998	Spain	15	73.3	μ 51	73.3	NR	100	66.6	66	100	39	Immunodeficient/ Gastroduodenal surgery
Bang Jørgensen et al. (26)	1991	Denmark	10	NR	NR	NR	NR	100	NR	0	0	10	Healthy
Lembcke et al. (59)	1985	Germany	20	NR	NR	90	NR	95	40	0	NR	None	—

μ, mean; CP, chronic pancreatitis; DM, diabetes mellitus; GI, gastrointestinal; M, median; NR, not reported; PEI, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency; PERT, pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy; Sx, symptoms.

^aIncluded in comparative analysis.

Study	Year	Test	Substrate dose	Test duration (min)	Sample intervals (min)	Cutoff for the diagnosis of SIBO
Lee et al. (16)	2019	GHBT/CH ₄	50 g	120	20	$H_2 \ge 12$ ppm compared to baseline
Ní Chonchubhair et al. (17)	2018	GHBT	50 g	120	20	$H_2 \ge 12$ ppm compared to baseline twice
Kim et al. (24)	2015	LHBT/CH ₄	10 g	180	15	$H_2 \ge 20 \text{ ppm or } CH_4 \ge 10 \text{ ppm compared}$ to baseline or $H_2 \ge 20 \text{ pmm or } CH_4 \ge 10 \text{ ppm}$
Therrien et al. (18)	2015	LHBT	10 g	180	15	$H_2 > 20$ ppm compared to baseline or 2 peaks > 13 ppm, one of which before 90 min or 2 tests with baseline >20 ppm
Kumar et al (27)	2014	GHBT	100 g	180	15	$H_2 \ge 12$ ppm compared to baseline
Signoretti et al. (31)	2014	GHBT	50 g	120	20	$H_2 \ge 12 \text{ ppm}$ compared to baseline or >20 at baseline
Grigor'eva et al. (20)	2010	LHBT	NR	NR	NR	$H_2 \ge 20$ ppm compared to baseline
Mancilla et al. (21)	2008	LHBT	25 mg	180	10	$H_2 > 20$ ppm compared to baseline or > 22 in 60 min
Madsen et al. (25)	2003	GHBT/CH ₄	NR	360	15	$H_2 \ge 12$ ppm compared to baseline
Trespi and Ferrieri (23)	1999	GHBT	50 g	180	30	$H_2 > 20$ ppm compared to baseline
Casellas et al. (22)	1998	GHBT	50 g	180	15	$H_2 > 10$ ppm compared to baseline
Bang Jørgensen et al. (26)	1991	Culture of jejunal aspirate	—	—	_	>10 ⁵ CFU
Lembcke et al. (59)	1985	GHBT/14C-CGBT	50 g	NR	NR	$H_2 > 20$ ppm compared to baseline

CFU, colony-forming unit; CGBT, cholylglycine breath test; GHBT, glucose hydrogen breath test, LHBT, lactulose hydrogen breath test; NR, not reported; SIBO, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

used, pooled prevalence in 8 studies using GHBT was 26.7% (95% CI 18.0%–37.7%) compared with 65.3% (95% CI 38.1%–85.1%) in the 4 studies using LHBT (Figure 2c). The one study using jejunal aspirate cultures showed a prevalence of 50% (26). To further understand the sources of heterogeneity between studies, we performed a meta-regression analysis taking into account covariates such as surgical history of subjects, number of patients with PEI, DM, PERT, alcoholic etiology of CP, age, and diagnostic test used. Only "number of patients with PEI" and "diagnostic test used" were able to explain the observed variance. Meta-regression models of these 2 covariates were able to explain 55% and 43% of the variance in prevalence between the studies, respectively (Figure 3a,b).

A sensitivity analysis excluding studies with surgical patients was performed; 8 studies remained and showed a significant correlation of SIBO prevalence with number of patients with PEI. This model was able to explain 71% of variance (Figure 3c).

Factors affecting SIBO in CP

Eight studies evaluated the effect of DM on SIBO in CP and found it was associated with increased SIBO with OR (2.1, 95% CI 1.2–3.5) (Figure 4a). After stratification by the diagnostic test used, DM was found to be associated with increased SIBO in patients tested with GHBT only and not in those tested with LHBT. Four studies evaluated the effect of PEI on SIBO in CP, and it was associated with increased SIBO with OR (2.5, 95% CI 1.3–4.8) (Figure 4b). Further analysis of studies evaluating the effects of PPI, narcotic use, and PERT did not yield significant increase in SIBO (Figure S2A-C, see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93).

SIBO in CP compared with controls

Eight studies compared the event rate of SIBO in CP with controls and were included in this analysis. The OR for a positive test in CP vs controls was 5.58 (95% CI 2.26–13.75) (I² = 60%) (Figure 5a). Subgroup analysis showed an OR of 16.6 (95% CI 1.33–206.69) (I² = 81%) for SIBO in CP in 3 studies using LHBT, compared with 3.2 (95% CI 1.38–7.42) (I² = 30%) in 5 studies using GHBT (Figure 5b,c). Upon subgrouping based on surgical history, 3 studies using patients with a surgical history showed an OR of 10.86 (95% CI 0.90–131.72) (I² = 79%), whereas 5 studies excluding patients with a surgical history yielded an OR of 4.61 (95% CI 1.67–12.73) (I² = 50%) (Figure 5d,e).

Publication bias was assessed for using 2 funnel plots (Figure S1, see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/ CTG/A93) and the Begg and Mazumdar test. No publication bias was found.

Clinical presentation and response to treatment

All studies except that by Madsen et al. (25) reported symptoms in the SIBO group refractory to PERT. Five studies reported a trial of antibiotics, 3 studies used rifaximin (17,23,27), Casellas et al. (22)

. Pooled I	Year			atistics for						Event rate ar	nd 95% CI	_
		Event	Lowe	r Up	per							_
		rate	lim	it l	imit	Z-Value		alue	L		r	1
fadsen	2003	0.042	0.00		.425	-2.170		0.030				
lí Chonchubhair	2018	0.143	0.06		.300	-3.709		0.000				
umar ignoretti	2014 2014	0.147 0.209	0.08		.252 .356	-5.134 -3.546		0.000 0.000				
respi	1999	0.343	0.20		.512	-1.827		0.068			ļ	
herrien	2015	0.387	0.23		.565	-1.246		0.213			\vdash	
Casellas	1998	0.400	0.19		.652	-0.769		0.442			<u> </u>	
embcke	1985	0.400	0.21	4 0	.620	-0.888		0.374			<u> </u>	
.ee	2019	0.408	0.31	6 0	.508	-1.808		0.071			ł	
Cim	2015	0.472	0.31		.633	-0.333		0.739			<u> </u>	
orgensen	1991	0.500	0.22		.775	0.000		1.000				
Grigoreva	2010	0.794	0.70		.862	5.513		0.000			_	
fancilla	2008	0.929	0.63		.990	2.472		0.013				
		0.386	0.25	5 0	.535	-1.510		0.131				I
Q=94.0 df(Q)	Heteroger =12 p=0.000	neity: I ² =87.2 %	Tau ² =0.97						0.00	0.	50	1.0
andom effects an	alysis											
b. Pooled	Drove	lonco	hasad	l on su	raica	hist	ory of	ovon	inad	nationto		
D. 1 00100	11100	nence	Daset	i on su	i gica	mst	01 y 01	CAAII	meu			
Group by Surgical Hx.	Study n	ame	Year			s for each	study			Event r	ate and 95%	CI
				Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value				
Negative	Madsen	2	2003	0.042	0.003	0.425	-2.170	0.030				
Negative	Kim		2015	0.472	0.317	0.633	-0.333	0.739				
Negative	Jorgense	en	1991	0.500	0.225	0.775	0.000	1.000			+	
Negative	Grigore	va	2010	0.794	0.705	0.862	5.513	0.000			-	-
Negative	Ní Chor	chubhair	2018	0.143	0.061	0.300	-3.709	0.000		-		
Negative	Kumar		2014	0.147	0.081	0.252	-5.134	0.000	-	-		
Negative	Signore	tti	2014	0.209	0.113	0.356	-3.546	0.000				
Negative	Therrier	1	2015	0.387	0.235	0.565	-1.246	0.213			+	
Negative	Lembck	e	1985	0.400	0.214	0.620	-0.888	0.374			+	
Negative	Lee		2019	0.408	0.316	0.508	-1.808	0.071			-	
Negative	$I^2 = 89.$	5%		0.346	0.207	0.518	-1.757	0.079	_		-	
Positive	Mancill	a	2008	0.929	0.630	0.990	2.472	0.013		_		-
Positive	Trespi		1999	0.343	0.206	0.512	-1.827	0.068			1	
Positive	Casellas		1998	0.400	0.192	0.652	-0.769	0.442	_			
Positive	$I^2 = 76.6$			0.542	0.233	0.822	0.242	0.809	_			
Q=94.0 df	Hetero (Q)=12 p=0.	ogeneity: 000 I ² =87.1	2 % Tau ² =	0.97					0.00	(0.50	1.
Random effects a												
	inalysis											
	D											
	Preva	lence l	pased	on the	ulagii	ostic i	est us	eu				
C. Pooled	Preva Study n		Dased Year		Statisti	cs for eac		eu		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled				On the Event rate	0		n study	p-Value		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test		ame		Event	Statisti Lower	cs for eac Upper	n study		-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test	Study n Madsen	ame	Year	Event rate	Statisti Lower limit	cs for eac Upper limit	n study Z-Value	p-Value	-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar	ame achubhair	Year 2003 2018 2014	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081	cs for eac Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000	-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test SHBT SHBT SHBT SHBT SHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signore	ame achubhair	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113	cs for eac Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.000	-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group.by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi	ame achubhair tti	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206	cs for eac Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.068		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas	ame achubhair tti	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192	cs for eac Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442	-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck	ame achubhair tti	2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214	cs for each Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.652 0.620	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374	-	Exent rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study_n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee	ame achubhair tti e	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.408	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316	cs for each limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.620 0.508	2-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071	-	Event rate a	nd 95%.CI	
C. Pooled Group by Dx. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ni Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee $f^2 = 68$.	ame nchubhair tti e 7%	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985 2019	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.408 0.267	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.180	cs for each limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.620 0.508 0.377	2-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -1.808 -3.916	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000	-	Event rate a	nd 95%.CI	
C. Pooled Group by Ox. test GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study_n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee	ame achubhair tti e 7% en	2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.408 0.267 0.500	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.180 0.225	cs. for eacl Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.508 0.377 0.775	2-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -3.916 0.000	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000 1.000	-	Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Strest GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ní Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee $f^2 = 68$. Jorgenso	ame nchubhair tti e 7%	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985 2019	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.408 0.267	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.180	cs for each limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.620 0.508 0.377	2-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -1.808 -3.916	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	
C. Pooled Group by Strest GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ni Chor Kumar Signoret Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee $f^2 = 68.$ Jorgenso $f^2 = 0\%$	ame nchubhair tti e 7%	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985 2019	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.408 0.267 0.500 0.500	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.225 0.225	cs. for eacl Upper limit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.508 0.377 0.775 0.775	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -3.916 0.000 0.000	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000 1 .000	-	Event rate a		-
C. Pooled Group by Strest GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT GHBT	Study n Madsen Ni Chor Kumar Signore Trespi Casellas Lembck Lee $I^2 = 68.$ Jorgensu $I^2 = 0\%$ Therrier	ame achubhair tti e e 7% 2%	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985 2019 1991 2015	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.408 0.267 0.500 0.500 0.387	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.225 0.225 0.235	cs for eacl Upmit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.620 0.508 0.377 0.775 0.775 0.775 0.565	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -3.916 0.000 0.000 -1.246	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000 1.000 0.213		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	-
	Study nMadsenNi ChorKumarSignoreiTrespiCasellasLembek $F = 68$.Jorgenss $F = 0\%$ TherrierKim	ame ichubhair tti e 7% en 7% i i va a	Year 2003 2018 2014 2014 1999 1998 1985 2019 1991 2015 2015	Event rate 0.042 0.143 0.147 0.209 0.343 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.387 0.472	Statisti Lower limit 0.003 0.061 0.081 0.113 0.206 0.192 0.214 0.316 0.225 0.225 0.235 0.317	cs. for eacl Upmit 0.425 0.300 0.252 0.356 0.512 0.652 0.652 0.508 0.377 0.775 0.775 0.565 0.653	Z-Value -2.170 -3.709 -5.134 -3.546 -1.827 -0.769 -0.888 -1.808 -1.808 -3.916 0.000 0.000 -1.246 -0.333	p-Value 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.068 0.442 0.374 0.071 0.000 1.000 1.000 0.213 0.739		Event rate a	nd 95% CI	

Figure 2. PP of SIBO in CP data: (a) Shows PP across the 12 included studies. (b) Shows PP in studies subgrouped by inclusion of patients with a surgical history concomitant with CP. As indicated by overlap in CI, there was no significant difference between PP in studies which included CP patients with a surgical history and those which excluded surgical patients. (c) Shows PP in studies subgrouped by the diagnostic test used. As indicated by nonoverlap in CI, the use of LHBT results in a significantly higher PP of SIBO in CP than GHBT (*P < 0.05). CI, confidence interval; CP, chronic pancreatitis; GHBT, glucose hydrogen breath test; LHBT, lactulose hydrogen breath test; PP, pooled prevalence; SIBO, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

REVIEW ARTICLE



Figure 3. Meta-regression results for PP analysis: (a) Meta-regression exploring the relationship between the SIBO event rate in CP and number of patients with PEI. As indicated in the accompanying table, correlation was not statistically significant. Despite that, the regression model was able to explain 54% (R²) of between-study variance in PP. (b) Meta-regression exploring the relationship between the SIBO event rate and the diagnostic test used. As indicated in the accompanying table, the correlation was statistically significant. The logistic regression model was able to explain 43% (R²) of between-study variance in PP. (c) Meta-regression exploring the relationship between the SIBO event rate and the diagnostic test used. As indicated in the accompanying table, the correlation was statistically significant. The logistic regression model was able to explain 43% (R²) of between-study variance in PP. (c) Meta-regression exploring the relationship between the SIBO event rate in CP and number of patients with PEI after exclusion of studies with surgical patients. As indicated in the accompanying table, the correlation was statistically significant and able to explain 71% (R²) of between-study variance in PP. R² calculation: (a) To compute the total variance (of all studies about the grand mean), we run the regression with no covariates. (b) To compute the variance not explained by the model (of all studies about the regression line), we run the regression with the covariates. (c) The difference between these values gives us the variance explained by the model. CP, chronic pancreatitis; GHBT, glucose hydrogen breath test; LHBT, lactulose hydrogen breath test; PEI, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency; PP, pooled prevalence; SIBO, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

REVIEW ARTICLI



Figure 4. Factors affecting SIBO in CP: (a) DM was associated with increased SIBO in CP. (b) PEI was associated with increased SIBO in CP. CI, confidence interval; CP, chronic pancreatitis; DM, diabetes mellitus; GHBT, glucose hydrogen breath test; LHBT, lactulose hydrogen breath test; PEI, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency; SIBO, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

used doxycycline followed by metronidazole, and Lee et al. (16) used an unspecified antibiotic regimen (Table 3). We pooled the data from these studies to show that 86% of patients treated with rifaximin showed symptomatic improvement compared with 40% of those treated with doxycyline and metronidazole (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

This meta-analysis provides the latest evidence on the association between SIBO and CP. Although SIBO prevalence was highly heterogeneous, it was more likely to be present in patients with CP than controls with an OR of 5.58 (95% CI 2.26–13.75). The type of the diagnostic test used significantly affected SIBO prevalence with LHBT, showing a higher prevalence than GHBT. Studies including patients with a surgical history had higher SIBO prevalence, although this was not statistically significant. We found DM and PEI to be associated with significant increases in SIBO in CP. In addition, we note that PEI correlates with SIBO prevalence and explains 54%–71% of the heterogeneity noted (Figure 3a–c). We found symptomatic improvement in 86% of patients treated with rifaximin compared with 40% of those treated with doxycycline and metronidazole (Figure 6), **REVIEW ARTICLE**



Figure 5. (a–e) Results of comparative analysis: All 8 studies included in the comparative analysis are listed in all figures for reference. Excluded studies were removed from forest plots. Cl, confidence interval; CP, chronic pancreatitis; GHBT, glucose hydrogen breath test; LHBT, lactulose hydrogen breath test; OR, odds ratio.

Study	Year	Symptoms	Treatment	Clinical improvement after Rx
Lee et al. (16)	2019	Yes	Not specified	Yes (pain, diarrhea, bloating, constipation, and weight loss)
Ní Chonchubhair et al. (17)	2018	Yes	Rifaximin 400 mg t.i.d. for 10 d	Yes (reduction in: flatulence, abdominal distension, abdominal pain, diarrhea, constipation, weight loss, fatigue, and body aches)
Kim et al. (24)	2016	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Therrien et al. (18)	2015	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Kumar et al (27)	2014	Yes	Rifaximin 400 mg t.i.d. for 14 d	Yes (reduction in diarrhea)
Signoretti et al. (31)	2014	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Grigor'eva et al. (20)	2010	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Mancilla et al. (21)	2008	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Madsen et al. (25)	2003	No	Not reported	Not reported
Trespi and Ferrieri (23)	1999	Yes	Rifaximin 400 mg t.i.d. for 1 wk per mo for 3 mo	Yes (reduction in diarrhea and fecal fat)
Casellas et al. (22)	1998	Yes	Doxycycline 100 mg b.i.d. for 2 wk, then metronidazole 250 mg t.i.d. for 2 more wk	Yes (reduction in diarrhea)
Bang Jørgensen et al. (26)	1991	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Lembcke et al. (59)	1985	Yes	Not reported	Not reported
Rx, treatment.				

 Table 3. Treatment and response

suggesting an alternative treatment strategy for patients with CP unresponsive to PERT.

increasing the likelihood of SIBO. The increased consumption of alcohol and narcotics in patients with CP was also suggested (18). In this review, 6 studies reported on alcoholic CP's relationship to SIBO (16–18,21,23,31), and only Ní Chonchubhair et al. (17)

The etiology of SIBO in CP is unclear. CP-associated intestinal dysmotility can lead to small bowel stasis (28–30), thereby

Group by Abx	Study name		Statisti	ics for each	study		Even	t rate and 95% CI	
AUX		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value			
Doxycyline & Metronidazole	Casellas 1998	0.400	0.100	0.800	-0.444	0.657			_
Doxycyline & Metronidazole	$I^2 = 0\%$	0.400	0.100	0.800	-0.444	0.657			-
Not specified	Lee 2019	0.788	0.617	0.895	3.082	0.002			
Not specified	$I^2 = 0\%$	0.788	0.617	0.895	3.082	0.002			
Rifaximin	Ní Chonchubhair 2018	0.917	0.378	0.995	1.623	0.105			
Rifaximin	Kumar 2014	0.667	0.154	0.957	0.566	0.571	-		
Rifaximin	Trespi 1999	0.944	0.495	0.997	1.947	0.052			
Rifaximin	$I^2 = 0\%$	0.860	0.566	0.967	2.294	0.022			
Overall		0.761	0.618	0.863	3.347	0.001			
Q=5.2 df(Q)=	Heterogeneity: =4 p=0.26 I ² =23.6 % Tau ² =0.28						0.00	0.50	1.00

Figure 6. Response to treatment based on the type of antibiotic used. Treatment with rifaximin showed a higher response than doxycycline and metronidazole. Subgrouping by antibiotic regimen was able to eliminate heterogeneity in treatment response as evidenced by drop of I² from 38.3% to 0% in all subgroups. CI, confidence interval.

found it to have higher SIBO rates than nonalcohol CP. Narcotic use, investigated in 3 studies (16,17,27), showed no relation to SIBO prevalence (Figure S2C, see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93). In addition, the use of PPI is common in CP and might be a risk factor for SIBO, which was evaluated by 3 studies (16,17,31) in this review showing no significant relation to SIBO prevalence (Figure S2B, see Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A93). DM is associated with SIBO (32) and can affect up to 83% of patients with CP (33). We found it was associated with increased SIBO in CP (Figure 5a). Whether DM is reflective of pancreatic endocrine dysfunction leading to increased risk of SIBO is yet to be verified. Although the decline in pancreatic trypsin can disrupt the activation of defensin, hindering pancreatic antibacterial activity (34,35), the inhibition of pancreatic proteolytic enzymes does not impair this antibacterial activity (34). In addition, in canine models of PEI, PERT did not prevent intestinal microbial disruption (36). However, substituting cathelicidin-related antimicrobial peptide in mice deficient in acinar Orai1 protected them from fatal bacterial overgrowth (37). This suggests a direct effect of antimicrobials secreted by pancreatic acini and independent of digestive enzymes, which is consistent with recent findings by Frost et al. (3) showing exocrine pancreatic function to be a significant determinant of gut microbial load and diversity. In this review, we found PEI to be associated with increased SIBO in CP. We also found PEI to correlate well with SIBO prevalence, suggesting that antimicrobial pancreatic secretions might play a role in the pathogenesis of SIBO in CP. These results raise the question of whether direct endocrine/exocrine pancreatic gland destruction in CP is more important for SIBO development than external factors such as PPI, narcotics, or PERT.

All but one of the studies in this review used BTs to diagnose SIBO. LHBT showed significantly higher prevalence of SIBO in CP than GHBT (Figure 2c). This might be the result of accelerated intestinal transit delivering lactulose to colonic bacteria earlier than expected (18,38). Although GHBT is inherently more reliable as glucose is fully absorbed in the small intestine, glucose malabsorption rather than SIBO can result in positive GHBT (39-41). Despite attempts to standardize BT (42), they are still confounded by multiple technical issues, including the size of carbohydrate load, the osmotic and transit-accelerating effects of a highly concentrated substrate solution, test duration, and the adherence to dietary and other restrictions imposed by the test (42). Jejunal aspirate culture has long been the gold standard for SIBO diagnosis (43). It was used in one study (26) in our review. However, it also has its setbacks because of inaccessibility to the distal small bowel, potential for contamination, and false negatives for obligate anaerobes (44-46). In addition, when comparing culture to polymerase chain reaction analysis of jejunal aspirates, only 24.4% of jejunal bacteria are able to grow on standard media, raising questions about the ability of jejunal aspirate cultures to accurately reflect the intestinal bacterial load (41).

This degree of unreliability of current diagnostic techniques is reflected in the considerable heterogeneity among studies in this review. Accounting for differing results among diagnostic methods was able to explain 55% of the variance in SIBO prevalence in CP. Recent innovation using a swallowed capsule was able to measure in real time the concentrations of intraluminal gases such as H_2 , CO_2 , O_2 , and CH_4 . This technology provides much higher sensitivity than BT (47). Its clinical utility in SIBO diagnosis is yet to be verified. Therefore, although GHBT might provide practical and more reliable testing than LHBT, the diagnosis of SIBO in CP remains an elusive enterprise. Bacterial metabolomics and nucleic acid amplification techniques offer hope for more reliable testing in the near future.

CP is associated with malnutrition due to malabsorption of Dxylose (48,49), glucose, folate (50), and neutral amino acids (51), as well as maldigestion due to PEI. Despite adequate doses of PERT, 43% of patients remain symptomatic (2). SIBO may result in malnutrition because of increased consumption of carbohydrates, proteins, and vitamins, as well as malabsorption of micronutrients resulting in lasting nutritional consequences (28,52,53). Signoretti et al. (31) reported on the association of SIBO in CP with nutritional status and found patients with CP complicated by SIBO to have significantly higher levels of folate, which is likely related to increased intestinal bacterial production of the compound (54). However, they had lower levels of vitamin D, although that only trended toward significance with a P value of 0.08. Lee et al. (16) found patients with SIBO in CP to have a significantly lower serum albumin level. They also found zinc deficiency, a known complication of CP (55,56), to be significantly more common in SIBO. Frost et al. (3) and Gubergrits et al. (28) found CP/PEI to alter intestinal bacterial composition, shifting the balance among species favoring some over others. These findings suggest that selective bacterial proliferation could be more important than mere numbers (8) when considering SIBO manifestations in CP. In addition, Ní Chonchubhair et al. (17) found patients with SIBO and CP to have more weight loss than their SIBO-negative counterparts. These results suggest SIBO might play a considerable role in malnourished CP patients.

Five studies in this review assessed response to SIBO treatment (Table 3). Our analysis showed a better response to rifaximin than doxycycline and metronidazole, suggesting rifaximin treatment might be of benefit to patients with CP unresponsive to PERT. Moreover, this response supports that SIBO is not merely associated with CP but also contributes to the clinical syndrome. Further studies to prove normalization of tests after antibiotic treatment would further support this role.

Celiac disease is associated with CP/PEI (57) and SIBO (13). In this review, only 2 studies (16,18) reported on celiac disease in their patient population. Whether this is a strong confounding factor potentially explaining the refractory symptoms and malabsorption seen in CP remains unknown. Löhr et al. (58) summarized PEI treatment guidelines to recommend testing for celiac disease after failure of PERT at double the recommended dose and adequate acid suppression to control symptoms.

Although this subject has previously been presented in a systematic review by Capurso et al. (15), in this review, we provide the broadest and latest evidence on the prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment of SIBO in CP by including data from 4 additional studies and expanding on the analysis to factor in modifiers of SIBO prevalence in CP. Our rigorous analytic techniques using meta-regression were able to explain the heterogeneity among studies providing valuable insight into the possible role of PEI in SIBO in CP and diagnostic discrepancies. Moreover, we provide the first analysis in the literature into the outcomes of SIBO treatment in CP.

The limitations of our study include the retrospective nature of the included literature, which incurs associational data. Suboptimal design and quality of available evidence manifested in failure of most studies to address confounders such as concomitant celiac disease and the unreliability of LHBT. We also noted heterogeneity in diagnostic testing and control group characteristics. These limitations have likely affected our conclusions; new research must take them into consideration.

In summary, under current diagnostic guidelines, SIBO complicates 38.6% (95% CI 25.5%–53.5%) of CP with an OR of 5.58 (95% CI 2.26%–13.75), indicating a predisposition for this condition. Although the etiology of SIBO in CP remains unknown, emerging evidence suggests a role for pancreatic exocrine dysfunction and DM as manifested by the association of PEI/DM with increased SIBO in CP. Discrepancies between diagnostic methods for SIBO are clear and pose a diagnostic dilemma. However, as the search and optimization of diagnostic testing continues, GHBT remains the test of choice. Our study shows antibiotic treatment of SIBO is associated with improved clinical outcomes (Table 3, Figure 6), suggesting an alternative strategy to treatment of patients with CP unresponsive to PERT.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Guarantor of the article: Bara El Kurdi, MD. Specific author contributions: Conception and design: B.E.K., M.M.L, and V.P.S. Screening of the literature: all authors. Data analysis: B.E.K, S.B., M.E.I., A.B., and V.P.S. Writing the manuscript: B.E.K. and V.P.S. Critical review of the manuscript: all authors. Financial support: The following grants were used to cover publication fees: DK092460 and DK119646 from the NIDDK, at the NIH, and PR151612 from the department of Army for V.P.S. Potential competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Xiao AY, Tan MLY, Wu LM, et al. Global incidence and mortality of pancreatic diseases: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression of population-based cohort studies. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;1:45–55.
- 2. Domínguez-Muñoz JE, Iglesias-García J, Iglesias-Rey M, et al. Optimising the therapy of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency by the association of a proton pump inhibitor to enteric coated pancreatic extracts. Gut 2006; 55:1056–7.
- Frost F, Kacprowski T, Rühlemann M, et al. Impaired exocrine pancreatic function associates with changes in intestinal microbiota composition and diversity. Gastroenterology 2019;156:1010–5.
- 4. Abt MC, McKenney PT, Pamer EG. *Clostridium difficile* colitis: Pathogenesis and host defence. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14:609–20.
- Kostic AD, Xavier RJ, Gevers D. The microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease: Current status and the future ahead. Gastroenterology 2014;146: 1489–99.
- Kennedy PJ, Cryan JF, Dinan TG, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome: A microbiome-gut-brain axis disorder? World J Gastroenterol 2014;20: 14105–25.
- 7. Hold GL. Gastrointestinal microbiota and colon cancer. Dig Dis 2016;34: 244–50.
- 8. Quigley EMM. The spectrum of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO). Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2019;21:3.
- 9. Greco A, Caviglia GP, Brignolo P, et al. Glucose breath test and Crohn's disease: Diagnosis of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and evaluation of therapeutic response. Scand J Gastroenterol 2015;50:1376–81.
- Ricci JER Jr, Chebli LA, Ribeiro TCDR, et al. Small-intestinal bacterial overgrowth is associated with concurrent intestinal inflammation but not with systemic inflammation in Crohn's disease patients. J Clin Gastroenterol 2018;52:530–6.
- Tan AH, Mahadeva S, Thalha AM, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2014;20: 535–40.
- Zhang Y, Liu G, Duan Y, et al. Prevalence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in multiple sclerosis: A case-control study from China. J Neuroimmunol 2016;301:83–7.

- Losurdo G, Marra A, Shahini E, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and celiac disease: A systematic review with pooled-data analysis. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017;29.
- Chen B, Kim JJ, Zhang Y, et al. Prevalence and predictors of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol 2018;53:807–18.
- Capurso G, Signoretti M, Archibugi L, et al. Systematic review and metaanalysis: Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in chronic pancreatitis. United European Gastroenterol J 2016;4:697–705.
- 16. Lee AA, Baker JR, Wamsteker EJ, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth is common in chronic pancreatitis and associates with diabetes, chronic pancreatitis severity, low zinc levels, and opiate use. Am J Gastroenterol 2019;1. (http://Insights.ovid.com/crossref? an=00000434-900000000-99691). Accessed June 2, 2019.
- Ní Chonchubhair HM, Bashir Y, Dobson M, et al. The prevalence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in non-surgical patients with chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI). Pancreatology 2018;18:379–85.
- Therrien A, Bouchard S, Sidani S, et al. Prevalence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth among chronic pancreatitis patients: A case-control study. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;2016:7424831.
- Bouin M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, et al. Introduction to Meta-Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester, UK, 2009.
- Grigor'eva IuV, Iakovenko ÉP, Volosheňnikova TV, et al. The clinical manifestations and duodenal mucosa in the patients with chronic pancreatitis and bacterial overgrowth in the small intestine. Eksp Klin Gastroenterol 2010;29–34.
- Mancilla AC, Madrid SAM, Hurtado HC, et al. Small intestine bacterial overgrowth in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Rev Med Chil 2008;136: 976–80.
- 22. Casellas F, Guarner L, Vaquero E, et al. Hydrogen breath test with glucose in exocrine pancreatic insufficiency. Pancreas 1998;16:481–6.
- Trespi E, Ferrieri A. Intestinal bacterial overgrowth during chronic pancreatitis. Curr Med Res Opin 1999;15:47–52.
- 24. Kim DB, Paik CN, Sung HJ, et al. Breath hydrogen and methane are associated with intestinal symptoms in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2015;15:514–8.
- Madsen JL, Graff J, Philipsen EK, et al. Bile acid malabsorption or disturbed intestinal permeability in patients treated with enzyme substitution for exocrine pancreatic insufficiency is not caused by bacterial overgrowth. Pancreas 2003;26:130–3.
- Bang Jørgensen B, Thorsgaard Pedersen N, Worning H. Short report: Lipid and vitamin B12 malassimilation in pancreatic insufficiency. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1991;5:207–10.
- 27. Kumar K, Ghoshal UC, Srivastava D, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth is common both among patients with alcoholic and idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2014;14:280–3.
- Gubergrits NB, Linevskiy YV, Lukashevich GM, et al. Morphological and functional alterations of small intestine in chronic pancreatitis. JOP 2012; 13:519–28.
- Spiller RC, Trotman IF, Higgins BE, et al. The ileal brake—inhibition of jejunal motility after ileal fat perfusion in man. Gut 1984;25:365–74.
- Nordgaard I, Rumessen JJ, Gudmand-Høyer E. Assimilation of wheat starch in patients with chronic pancreatitis: Positive effect of enzyme replacement. Scand J Gastroenterol 1992;27:412–6.
- Signoretti M, Stigliano S, Valente R, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in patients with chronic pancreatitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2014;48(Suppl 1):S52–55.
- Rana SV, Malik A, Bhadada SK, et al. Malabsorption, orocecal transit time and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in type 2 diabetic patients: A connection. Indian J Clin Biochem 2017;32:84–9.
- 33. Malka D, Hammel P, Sauvanet A, et al. Risk factors for diabetes mellitus in chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2000;119:1324–32.
- 34. Rubinstein E, Mark Z, Haspel J, et al. Antibacterial activity of the pancreatic fluid. Gastroenterology 1985;88:927–32.
- Bassi C, Fontana R, Vesentini S, et al. Antibacterial and mezlocillinenhancing activity of pure human pancreatic fluid. Int J Pancreatol 1991; 10:293–7.
- 36. Isaiah A, Parambeth JC, Steiner JM, et al. The fecal microbiome of dogs with exocrine pancreatic insufficiency. Anaerobe 2017;45:50–8.
- 37. Ahuja M, Schwartz DM, Tandon M, et al. Orai1-Mediated antimicrobial secretion from pancreatic acini shapes the gut microbiome and regulates gut innate immunity. Cell Metab 2017;25:635–46.

- 38. Yu D, Cheeseman F, Vanner S. Combined oro-caecal scintigraphy and lactulose hydrogen breath testing demonstrate that breath testing detects oro-caecal transit, not small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in patients with IBS. Gut 2011;60:334–40.
- 39. Sellin JH, Hart R. Glucose malabsorption associated with rapid intestinal transit. Am J Gastroenterol 1992;87:584–9.
- 40. Berean KJ, Ha N, Ou JZ, et al. The safety and sensitivity of a telemetric capsule to monitor gastrointestinal hydrogen production in vivo in healthy subjects: A pilot trial comparison to concurrent breath analysis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018;48:646–54.
- 41. Sundin OH, Mendoza-Ladd A, Morales E, et al. Does a glucose-based hydrogen and methane breath test detect bacterial overgrowth in the jejunum? Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018;30:e13350.
- 42. Gasbarrini A, Corazza GR, Gasbarrini G, et al. Methodology and indications of H2-breath testing in gastrointestinal diseases: The Rome Consensus Conference. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2009;29(Suppl 1):1–49.
- 43. Isaacs PE, Kim YS. The contaminated small bowel syndrome. Am J Med 1979;67:1049–57.
- Ford AC, Spiegel BMR, Talley NJ, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in irritable bowel syndrome: Systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;7:1279–86.
- Sachdeva S, Rawat AK, Reddy RS, et al. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) in irritable bowel syndrome: Frequency and predictors. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;26(Suppl 3):135–8.
- 46. Puri M, Lin HC, Enayati P, et al. Methane, a gas produced by enteric bacteria, slows intestinal transit and augments small intestinal contractile activity. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2006;290: G1089–95.
- Ou JZ, Yao CK, Rotbart A, et al. Human intestinal gas measurement systems: In vitro fermentation and gas capsules. Trends Biotechnol 2015; 33:208–13.
- Bank S, Marks IN, Novis BH. Small bowel structure and function in pancreatic steatorrhea. Mt Sinai J Med 1975;42:552–64.
- 49. Helman CA, Barbezat GO, Bank S. Jejunal monosaccharide, water, and electrolyte transport in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Gut 1978;19: 46–9.

- Mössner J, Koch W, Kestel W, et al. Intestinal absorption of folic acid, glucose, sodium and water in chronic pancreatitis. Z Gastroenterol 1986; 24:212–7.
- Schneider PJ, Kilby A, Rassam UB, et al. Small intestinal absorption of amino acids and a dipeptide in pancreatic insufficiency. Gut 1983;24:818–24.
- 52. Di Stefano M, Veneto G, Malservisi S, et al. Small intestine bacterial overgrowth and metabolic bone disease. Dig Dis Sci 2001;46:1077–82.
- Corazza P-O, Johansson C, Mellström D, et al. Bone mineral density in patients with small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. Hepatogastroenterology 2003;50:1415–8.
- Camilo E, Zimmerman J, Mason JB, et al. Folate synthesized by bacteria in the human upper small intestine is assimilated by the host. Gastroenterology 1996;110:991–8.
- 55. Vujasinovic M, Hedström A, Maisonneuve P, et al. Zinc deficiency in patients with chronic pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2019;25:600-7.
- Girish BN, Rajesh G, Vaidyanathan K, et al. Zinc status in chronic pancreatitis and its relationship with exocrine and endocrine insufficiency. JOP 2009;10:651–6.
- Balakrishnan MJ. Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency and pancreatitis associated with celiac disease. Pancreapedia: The Exocrine Pancreas Knowledge Base 2018 (reviews/exocrine-pancreatic-insufficiency-andpancreatitis-associated-with-celiac-disease). Accessed January 31, 2019.
- Löhr JM, Oliver MR, Frulloni L. Synopsis of recent guidelines on pancreatic exocrine insufficiency. United European Gastroenterol J 2013; 1:79–83.
- Lembcke B, Kraus B, Lankisch PG. Small intestinal function in chronic relapsing pancreatitis. Hepatogastroenterology 1985;32:149–51.

Open Access This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.