



Immunogenicity of a third dose of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine in patients with CLL: effects on treatment selection

Panagiotis T. Diamantopoulos¹ · Christina-Nefeli Kontandreopoulou¹ · Christos Stafylidis¹ · Dimitra Vlachopoulou¹ · Nefeli Giannakopoulou¹ · Maria Vardaka² · Anthi Mpouhla³ · Eleni Variami¹ · Athanasios Galanopoulos² · Vassiliki Pappa³ · Mina Psychogiou¹ · Angelos Hatzakis⁴ · Nora-Athina Viniou¹

Received: 6 May 2022 / Accepted: 6 October 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) show suboptimal responses to the vaccines against SARS-CoV-2; it has been shown though that a booster dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine may lead to a significant increase in the seroconversion rates of immunocompromised patients. We conducted a prospective, non-interventional study to evaluate the immunogenicity of a third dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine in adult patients with CLL. Sera were tested before the first, after the second, and before and after the third dose for anti-SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) spike protein IgG (anti-RBD). Thirty-nine patients with CLL were included in the study. The seroconversion rate increased from 28.2% before the third dose to 64.1% after the third dose and was higher in treatment-naïve patients (72.7% versus 47.1% in actively treated patients, $p=0.042$). All but one patient achieving a seroconversion after the second dose retained after the third, while eight patients not achieving a seroconversion after the second dose (38.1%), did so after the third. Moreover, patients actively treated with venetoclax had a higher seroconversion rate than those treated with ibrutinib (87.5% versus 14.3%, $p=0.001$). This study confirms the beneficial effect of a third dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine on the seroconversion rate in patients with CLL. Our results also strongly suggest that the use of venetoclax is correlated with higher immunogenicity/seroconversion rates than that of ibrutinib, a finding that has been reported by another study. A treatment strategy change during the pandemic favoring the use of venetoclax may be suggested based on our results, although these results should be validated in larger studies.

Keywords Chronic lymphocytic leukemia · BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine · Booster/3rd dose · Venetoclax · Ibrutinib

Introduction

Patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) are vulnerable to severe infection for the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), with high mortality rates [1–5]. Given the immune deregulation inherent to CLL but also aggravated by the treatment, response of patients with CLL to COVID-19 vaccines has been shown to be suboptimal [6–11]. Hence, strategies optimizing response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination is crucial in these patients. Among the available strategies, a booster dose of the vaccine has been proven effective in immunocompetent individuals since trials have shown that a third dose of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 mRNA vaccine increases the antibody levels against the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 [12–15].

Additionally, in studies of solid-organ (mainly kidney) transplant recipients, following a third mRNA vaccine

✉ Panagiotis T. Diamantopoulos
pandiamantopoulos@gmail.com

¹ Hematology Unit, First Department of Internal Medicine, Laikon General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

² Department of Clinical Hematology, 'G. Gennimatas' District General Hospital, Athens, Greece

³ Haematology Division, Second Department of Internal Medicine, Attikon General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴ Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

dose, seroconversion has been observed in rates ranging from 30 to 49% of previously seronegative patients that received the standard two-dose regimen [16–19], while similarly designed studies have provided conflicting results in patients with CLL [20, 21].

In an in-press study from our group, we showed that patients with CLL have suboptimal response to the BNT162b2 vaccine [11]. In the present study, we assessed the immunogenicity of a third dose of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine in patients with CLL.

Methods

Patients

This is an extension of a previous study on the immunogenicity and safety of two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with CLL [11]. Adult patients with CLL from three tertiary hospitals in Athens, Greece, vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 with three doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine participated in the present study after providing a written informed consent. All patients had participated in the first phase of the study with the first two doses of the vaccine. Exclusion criteria included known human immunodeficiency virus infection, vaccination with other anti-SARS-CoV2 vaccines, and inability to provide written informed consent. All patients were approached by the treating physicians and enrolled in a consecutive manner. The study started on October 12, 2021, and its duration was 6 months. At baseline, the epidemiological, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the patients as well as treatment data were recorded as follows. Age and disease stage at the time of vaccination, disease duration, complete blood count parameters (hemoglobin level, lymphocyte, neutrophil, monocyte, and platelet count), and gamma-globulin levels were recorded and analyzed. Moreover, data on the treatment of the patients (treatment lines, previous treatment with anti-CD20 antibodies, fludarabine, ibrutinib, or venetoclax, active treatment, and treatment regimen at the time of vaccination) were also collected and analyzed.

Vaccination

Patients were vaccinated with the third 30-mcg dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine administered intramuscularly in the deltoid muscle, according to the national program for vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. The time interval between the second and the third dose of the vaccine was also recorded.

Study procedures

This is a prospective non-interventional study designed to assess immunogenicity against SARS-CoV-2 at baseline (i.e., within 5 days before the third dose of the vaccine) and within 12–21 days after the third dose of the vaccine. Blood samples were collected at the predefined time points and sera were obtained after centrifugation and stored at -80°C .

Sera were tested for anti-SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain (RBD) spike protein IgG (anti-RBD), using the Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), a two-step chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay for the qualitative/quantitative detection of IgG antibodies against the RBD of the S1 subunit of the spike protein in human serum and plasma on the Architect i system. The details of the method and its clinical sensitivity and specificity have been provided elsewhere [11, 22, 23]. The assay threshold of 50 AU/mL was set as the seroconversion cutoff in the present study.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all three participating centers (Laikon General Hospital, Athens, Greece, 01/22/2021, Attikon Hospital, Athens, Greece 02/24/2021, G. Gennimatas General Hospital, Athens, Greece, 02/18/2021).

Statistical analysis

The IBM SPSS statistics, version 26 (IBM Corporation, North Castle, NY, USA), was used for the statistical analysis of the results. The Pearson chi-square test was used to test for associations between categorical variables, and the Fisher's exact test was used instead for associations with less than five values in each category. The independent-samples Mann–Whitney U test was used for testing between a categorical variable with two levels and not normally distributed continuous variables, and the Kruskal–Wallis H test for categorical variables with more than two levels. The level of significance for all statistical tests was set at a probability value lower than 5% (2-sided $p < 0.05$).

Results

Among 61 patients with CLL participating in the first study, thirty-nine patients vaccinated with the third dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine were included in the present analysis. The remaining 22 patients either refused to get vaccinated with the third dose ($N=5$) or were vaccinated with another vaccine ($N=11$) or were not vaccinated with the third dose during the predefined 6-month duration of the study ($N=6$). All patients had measurements of the antibody

titers before the first and after the second dose of the vaccine, as well as before and after the third dose of the vaccine. The main epidemiologic, clinical, laboratory, and treatment characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1.

Pre-3rd dose samples were obtained within a median time of 2 (range, 0–5) days before the third dose of the vaccine, while post-3rd dose samples were obtained within a median time of 14 (range, 12–19) days after the third dose of the vaccine.

The median time between the second and third dose of the vaccine was 5.6 (range, 2.0–7.7) months.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

Characteristic	Result
Number of patients, <i>N</i> (%)	39 (100)
Gender (male/female), <i>N</i> (%)	17/22 (43.6/56.4)
Age (years), median (range)	73.0 (41.0–88.0)
RAI stage, <i>N</i> (%)	
0	7
1	8
2	9
3	11
4	4
Previous treatment, <i>N</i> (%)	23 (59.0)
Previous treatment lines, <i>N</i> (%)	
0	16 (41.1)
1	8 (20.5)
2	6 (15.4)
3	3 (7.7)
≥ 4	6 (15.4)
Previous treatment lines, <i>N</i> (%)	
≤ 2	30 (76.9)
> 2	9 (23.1)
Number of previous treatments, median (range)	1 (0–5)
Previous anti-CD20, <i>N</i> (%)	11 (28.2)
Time from last dose of anti-CD20 to:	
First vaccine dose	33.1 (6.7–89.9)
Third vaccine dose	38.5 (12.0–95.0)
Previous fludarabine, <i>N</i> (%)	1 (2.6)
Previous ibrutinib, <i>N</i> (%)	2 (5.1)
Previous venetoclax, <i>N</i> (%)	0 (0.0)
Actively treated, <i>N</i> (%)	17 (43.6)
Type of treatment, <i>N</i> (%)	
Chlorambucil	2 (11.8)
Ibrutinib	7 (41.2)
Venetoclax	8 (47.1)
Hemoglobin level (g/dL), median (range)	13.4 (9.1–16.3)
Lymphocyte count ($\times 10^9/L$), median (range)	8.62 (0.57–240.89)
Platelet count, ($\times 10^9/L$), median (range)	163 (36–327)
Gamma globulin level (g/dL), median (range)	7.4 (1.6–29.28)

Immunogenicity/seroconversion results

The median post-2nd dose antibody titer was 28.6 AU/mL (0.0–40,000) with 18 patients (46.2%) achieving seroconversion, while the median pre-3rd dose antibody titer was 10.5 AU/mL (0.0–7869.2) with the seroconversion rate declining to 28.2% (11 patients). Out of 18 patients who achieved seroconversion with the first two doses of the vaccine, 10 (55.5%) retained this status before the 3rd dose, while out of 21 patients not seroconverted after the first two doses, seroconversion was detected in only one before the third dose, implying a natural infection during the time interval between the two samples collections, although there was no report of COVID19 in any of the patients.

The median post-3rd dose antibody titer was 390.5 AU/mL (1.1–39,264.7) with 25 patients (64.1%) achieving seroconversion (Fig. 1, Table 2). The seroconversion rate was not correlated with any of the studied baseline characteristics of the patients (age, gender, RAI stage, hemoglobin and gamma-globulin level, lymphocyte and platelet count), while treatment-naïve patients had a higher seroconversion rate (16/22, 72.7%) than actively treated patients (8/17, 47.1%), $p=0.042$.

Among 21 patients not achieving seroconversion with the first two doses of the vaccine, 8 (38.1%) achieved seroconversion after the third dose, while only one patient, who achieved seroconversion with the first two doses, lost it after the third. This was a 65-year-old treatment-naïve female with worsening hypogammaglobulinemia.

In patients who achieved seroconversion after the 2nd dose of the vaccine and retained it after the 3rd dose ($N=17$), there was a non-statistically significant increase in the antibody titer (10,593.6 AU/mL versus 6981.0 AU/mL, $p=0.109$). Since the study was not designed to record COVID-19, but only immunogenicity results, there was no active monitoring for COVID-19 in the study population. The median follow-up of the patients since the first sample collection was 7.3 months (range 3.8–11.1).

Among 17 actively treated patients, seroconversion was achieved in 8 (44.4%). Fifteen out of 17 actively treated patients were treated with either ibrutinib ($N=7$; median duration of treatment, 16.5 months; range 5–45 months) or venetoclax monotherapy ($N=8$; median duration of treatment 29, months; range 15–29 months). Among those patients, there was a statistically significant difference of seroconversion after the 3rd dose (1/7 ibrutinib treated patients versus 7/8 venetoclax treated patients achieved seroconversion, $p=0.005$), with a corresponding statistically significant difference in the antibody titers between the two groups (10.7 AU/mL versus 14,989.2 AU/mL respectively, $p=0.001$, Fig. 2, Table 2). Ibrutinib- and venetoclax-treated patients did not differ in terms of RAI stage ($p=0.813$), hemoglobin level ($p=0.980$), lymphocyte




Fig. 1 Immunogenicity (**A**) and seroconversion rate (**B**) after the second, before and after the third dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in 39 patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia

Table 2 Immunogenicity and seroconversion results

Characteristic	Pre-1st dose	Post-2nd dose	Pre-3rd dose	Post-3rd dose
Antibody titer (AU/mL), median (range)	0.0 (0.0–557.5)	39.3 (0.0–40,000)	22.8 (0.0–7,869.2)	1734.1 (1.1–39,263.6)
Seroconversion rate, <i>N</i> (%)	1 (2.6)	18 (46.2)	11 (28.2)	25 (64.1)
Seroconversion rate in ibrutinib treated patients, <i>N</i> (%)	0/5 (0.0)	1/5 (20.0)	0/7 (0.0)	1/7 (14.3)
Seroconversion rate in venetoclax treated patients, <i>N</i> (%)	0/8 (0.0)	5/8 (62.5)	3/8 (37.5)	7/8 (87.5)

($p=0.132$) or platelet count ($p=0.315$), gamma globulin levels ($p=0.315$), or treatment duration ($p=0.592$).

Discussion

Administering a booster dose of a vaccine is a common practice, after initial immunization [24–27], to provide a re-exposure to the immunizing antigen and consequently increase immunity against the antigen. The need for a booster dose of the vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 was evidenced by studies on the kinetics of the antibodies against the spike protein of the virus, showing that they declined after several months from the first exposure to the antigen [28–31]. Thus, a booster dose for all available vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 became a priority for immunocompetent and immunocompromised hosts. The well-established results of the booster dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in the general population [32–34] have been challenged in patients with immunosuppression, such as malignancies, transplantation, or hematologic diseases, and several studies have tried to assess the immunogenicity and efficacy of the third dose of the vaccine in these populations.

In September 2021, encouraging results from a study in 160 kidney transplant recipients and 20 patients with CLL vaccinated with a third dose of an mRNA COVID-19 vaccine showed that the antibody levels were moderately

increased; nevertheless, this increase might not be clinically significant since few patients reached a threshold associated with vaccine effectiveness [35]. Another study showed that 23.8% of 172 patients with CLL who failed to respond to the first two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine responded to a third dose [20]. On the contrary, in another study in patients with several hematologic malignancies, none of the 15 evaluated patients with CLL responded to the third dose of the vaccine [21].

In our study, 38.1% of patients not achieving seroconversion after the second dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine achieved seroconversion after the third dose. Moreover, all but one patient (94.4%), who achieved seroconversion after the second dose, retained it after the booster dose, with a non-statistically significant trend for higher antibody titers. These results along with previously published studies justify the administration of a booster dose in patients with CLL and probably encourage the administration of a fourth dose in these patients.

In accordance with previous studies, treatment-naïve patients had higher seroconversion rates than actively treated patients [20, 36, 37]. A clinically significant result in our study was the noticeable difference in the seroconversion rate among patients under treatment with ibrutinib and venetoclax, favoring the latter. This result was also highlighted in the results of the first phase of




Fig. 2 Titres of the antibody against the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia treated with ibrutinib and venetoclax monotherapy after the third dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2

the study after the first two doses of the vaccine, but in the present study is even more pronounced and in accordance with the results of a recent study that showed that patients under venetoclax monotherapy achieved significantly higher response rates than those treated with Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi) or BTKi in combination with anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies or venetoclax [38]. This finding, until otherwise proven, may justify a temporary shift in the treatment paradigm for patients with CLL, favoring the administration of venetoclax over BTKi, during the pandemic, given that the data is really strong, but also taking into consideration the small number of patients included in the analysis.

The strengths of the present study are the inclusion of a homogeneous population of patients with CLL vaccinated with only one type of anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, as well as the analysis of patients treated with ibrutinib and venetoclax monotherapy that yielded a decision-making result that may affect treatment selection in patients with CLL. The main limitation of this study is the small patient sample that did not permit further statistical analyses. The small patient sample may also explain the lack of correlation of seroconversion rate with the baseline characteristics of the patients.

Finally, an inherent limitation of the study is the lack of detection of cellular immune responses in the studied population. It has been reported by several authors that the impaired humoral response in patients with CLL vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 may be counteracted by an effective cellular response. Thus, it has been shown that even though serological response is severely

impaired in patients with CLL especially when they are treated with rituximab or ibrutinib, a significant proportion of the patients may develop sufficient cell-mediated immunity [39]. Moreover, although early cellular immune responses rely mostly on CD8+ T cells and these cells are significantly increased after vaccination, they still remain lower than normal, and this may play a role in the reduced memory response and the need for booster doses, especially in immunocompromised hosts such as patients with CLL [40]. On the other hand, there are reports of unaffected numbers of specific CD8+ T cells against SARS-CoV-2 after vaccination in patients with CLL [41].

In conclusion, patients with CLL may benefit from a booster dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, since more than a third of patients not achieving seroconversion with the first two doses, seroconverted after the third. Moreover, venetoclax monotherapy seems to be a valuable treatment choice for patients with CLL during the pandemic for yet another reason, apart from its efficacy and favorable safety profile; the high rates of seroconversion after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Mr. Zisis Moschidis and Mr. Evangelos Kokolexis for conducting the experiments, Ms. Lina Malakou, Mr. Konstantinos Theodorakopoulos, Ms. Aphroditis Lazarakou, and Mr. Georgios Kyriakakis for sample handling.

Funding Open access funding provided by HEAL-Link Greece This work was supported by SYN-ENOSIS (protocol number 55/13–10-2020) and donations from SB Bioanalytica SA, Viatrix Hellas, and the Hellenic Scientific Society for the Study of AIDS, Sexually Transmitted and Emerging Diseases.

Data availability Data on the experiments and patient data are available upon reasonable request. For original data, please contact pandiamantopoulos@gmail.com.

Declarations

Ethical approval All experiments were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all three participating centers (Laikon General Hospital, Athens, Greece, 01/22/2021, Attikon Hospital, Athens, Greece 02/24/2021, G. Gennimatas General Hospital, Athens, Greece, 02/18/2021).

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Parry H, McIlroy G, Bruton R, Damery S, Tyson G, Logan N et al (2022) Impaired neutralisation of SARS-CoV-2 delta variant in vaccinated patients with B cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *J Hematol Oncol* 15(1):3
- Muntañola A, Villacampa G, Hernández-Rivas J, Alonso R, Mirás F, Osorio S et al (2020) Clinical characteristics and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection in admitted patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia from a single European country. *Exp Hematol Oncol* 9(1):37
- Scarfò L, Chatzikonstantinou T, Rigolin GM, Quaresmini G, Motta M, Vitale C et al (2020) COVID-19 severity and mortality in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a joint study by ERIC, the European Research Initiative on CLL, and CLL Campus. *Leukemia* 34(9):2354–2363
- Jin XH, Zheng KI, Pan KH, Xie YP, Zheng MH (2020) COVID-19 in a patient with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Lancet Haematol* 7(4):e351–e352
- Martín-Moro F, Marquet J, Piris M, Michael BM, Sáez AJ, Corona M et al (2020) Survival study of hospitalised patients with concurrent COVID-19 and haematological malignancies. *Br J Haematol* 190(1):e16–e20
- Mellinghoff SC, Robrecht S, Mayer L, Weskamm LM, Dahlke C, Gruell H et al (2022) SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular response following COVID-19 vaccination in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 36(2):562–565
- Benjamini O, Rokach L, Itchaki G, Braester A, Shvidel L, Goldschmidt N et al (2022) Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Haematologica* 107(3):625–634
- Molica S, Giannarelli D, Lentini M, Zappala D, Mannella A, Loiacono D et al (2021) Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a serologic and cellular study. *Chemotherapy* 67(2):91–95
- Fox TA, Kirkwood AA, Enfield L, O'Reilly M, Arulogun S, D'Sa S et al (2021) Low seropositivity and suboptimal neutralisation rates in patients fully vaccinated against COVID-19 with B-cell malignancies. *Br J Haematol* 195(5):706–709
- Shen Y, Freeman JA, Holland J, Solterbeck A, Naidu K, Soosapilla A et al (2021) COVID-19 vaccine failure in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia and monoclonal B-lymphocytosis; humoral and cellular immunity. *Br J Haematol* 197(1):41–51
- Diamantopoulos P, Stafilidis SC, Vlachopoulou D, Kontandreopoulou C-N, Giannakopoulou N, Vardaka M et al (2022) Safety and immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. A prospective study. *Ther Adv Hematol* 13:20406207221090150
- Gruell H, Vanshylla K, Tober-Lau P, Hillus D, Schommers P, Lehmann C et al (2022) mRNA booster immunization elicits potent neutralizing serum activity against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *Nat Med* 28(3):477–480
- Chenchula S, Karunakaran P, Sharma S, Chavan M (2022) Current evidence on efficacy of COVID-19 booster dose vaccination against the Omicron variant: a systematic review. *J Med Virol* 94(7):2969–2976
- Butt AA, Talisa VB, Yan P, Shaikh OS, Omer SB, Mayr FB (2022) Vaccine effectiveness of three vs. two doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in a high risk national population. *Clin Infect Dis* 75(1):e579–e584
- Romero-Ibarguengoitia ME, Rivera-Salinas D, Hernández-Ruiz YG, Armendariz-Vázquez AG, González-Cantú A, Barco-Flores IA et al (2022) Effect of the third dose of BNT162b2 vaccine on quantitative SARS-CoV-2 spike 1–2 IgG antibody titers in healthcare personnel. *PLoS One* 17(3):e0263942
- Werbel WA, Boyarsky BJ, Ou MT, Massie AB, Tobian AAR, Garonzik-Wang JM et al (2021) Safety and immunogenicity of a third dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients: a case series. *Ann Intern Med* 174(9):1330–1332
- Kamar N, Abravanel F, Marion O, Couat C, Izopet J, Del Bello A (2021) Three doses of an mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in solid-organ transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med* 385(7):661–662
- Benotmane I, Gautier G, Perrin P, Olagne J, Cognard N, Fafi-Kremer S et al (2021) Antibody response after a third dose of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients with minimal serologic response to 2 doses. *JAMA* 326(11):1063–1065
- Charmetant X, Espi M, Benotmane I, Barateau V, Heibel F, Buron F et al (2022) Infection or a third dose of mRNA vaccine elicit neutralizing antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 in kidney transplant recipients. *Sci Transl Med* 14(636):eabl6141
- Herishanu Y, Rahav G, Levi S, Braester A, Itchaki G, Bairey O et al (2022) Efficacy of a third BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine dose in patients with CLL who failed standard 2-dose vaccination. *Blood* 139(5):678–685
- Šušol O, Hájková B, Zelená H, Hájek R (2022) Third dose of COVID-19 vaccine restores immune response in patients with haematological malignancies after loss of protective antibody titres. *Br J Haematol* 197(3):302–305
- Bryan A, Pepper G, Wener MH, Fink SL, Morishima C, Chaudhary A et al (2020) Performance characteristics of the Abbott architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay and seroprevalence in Boise, Idaho. *J Clin Microbiol* 58(8):e00941–20
- Available from: <https://www.corelaboratory.abbott/int/en/offerings/segments/infectious-disease/sars-cov-2->. Accessed 6 Aug 2022
- (2011) Meningococcal conjugate vaccines policy update: booster dose recommendations. *Pediatrics*. 128(6):1213–1218
- Palgen JL, Feraoun Y, Dzangué-Tchoupou G, Joly C, Martinon F, Le Grand R et al (2021) Optimize Prime/boost vaccine strategies: trained immunity as a new player in the game. *Front Immunol* 12:612747
- Gilca V, Sauvageau C, Boulianne N, De Serres G, Crajden M, Ouakki M et al (2015) The effect of a booster dose of quadrivalent or bivalent HPV vaccine when administered to girls previously vaccinated with two doses of quadrivalent HPV vaccine. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 11(3):732–738
- Knuf M, Habermehl P, Faber J, Bock HL, Sänger R, Bogaerts H et al (2006) Assessment of nine candidate DTP-vaccines with reduced amount of antigen and/or without adjuvant as a fourth (booster-) dose in the second year of life. *Vaccine* 24(27–28):5627–5636
- Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, Yang B, Katzelnick LC, Rattigan SM et al (2020) A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses: kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with severity. *Nat Commun* 11(1):4704
- Bläckberg A, Fernström N, Sarbrant E, Rasmussen M, Sunnerhagen T (2021) Antibody kinetics and clinical course of COVID-19 a prospective observational study. *PLoS One* 16(3):e0248918
- Collier AY, Yu J, McMahan K, Liu J, Chandrashekar A, Maron JS et al (2021) Differential kinetics of immune responses elicited by covid-19 vaccines. *N Engl J Med* 385(21):2010–2012
- Barouch DH, Stephenson KE, Sadoff J, Yu J, Chang A, Gebre M et al (2021) Durable humoral and cellular immune responses 8 months after Ad26.COV2.S vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 385(10):951–3
- Spitzer A, Angel Y, Marudi O, Zeltser D, Saiag E, Goldshmidt H et al (2022) Association of a third dose of BNT162b2 vaccine with incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among health care workers in Israel. *JAMA* 327(4):341–349
- Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bodenheimer O, Freedman L, Kalkstein N et al (2021) Protection of BNT162b2 vaccine booster against Covid-19 in Israel. *N Engl J Med* 385(15):1393–1400
- Tartof SY, Slezak JM, Puzniak L, Hong V, Frankland TB, Ackerson BK et al (2022) Effectiveness of a third dose of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in a large US health system: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Am* 9:100198

35. Marlet J, Gatault P, Maakaroun Z, Longuet H, Stefic K, Handala L et al (2021) Antibody responses after a third dose of COVID-19 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients and patients treated for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Vaccines (Basel)* 9(10):1055
36. Roeker LE, Knorr DA, Thompson MC, Nivar M, Lebowitz S, Peters N et al (2021) COVID-19 vaccine efficacy in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 35(9):2703–2705
37. Parry H, McIlroy G, Bruton R, Ali M, Stephens C, Damery S et al (2021) Antibody responses after first and second Covid-19 vaccination in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Blood Cancer J* 11(7):136
38. Bagacean C, Letestu R, Al-Nawakil C, Brichler S, Lévy V, Sritharan N et al (2022) Humoral response to mRNA anti-COVID-19 vaccines BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood Adv* 6(1):207–211
39. Bacova B, Kohutova Z, Zubata I, Gaherova L, Kucera P, Heizer T et al (2022) Cellular and humoral immune response to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in patients treated with either Ibrutinib or Rituximab. *Clin Exp Med* 1–9
40. Rodríguez-Mora S, Corona M, Torres M, Casado-Fernández G, García-Pérez J, Ramos-Martín F et al (2022) Early cellular and humoral responses developed in oncohematological patients after vaccination with one dose against COVID-19. *J Clin Med* 11(10):2803
41. Zaleska J, Kwasnik P, Paziewska M, Purkot J, Szabelak A, Jurek M et al (2022) Response to anti-SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in multiple myeloma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients. *Int J Cancer*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34209>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.