BRIEF REPORT

WILEY

Effect of empagliflozin on cardiorenal outcomes and mortality according to body mass index: A subgroup analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial with a focus on Asia

Qiuhe Ji MD¹ | Linong Ji MD² | Yiming Mu MD³ | Jiajun Zhao MD⁴ | Bernard Zinman MD⁵ | Christoph Wanner MD⁶ | Jyothis T. George MBBS⁷ | Isabella Zwiener PhD⁸ | Kohjiro Ueki MD⁹ | Koutaro Yokote MD¹⁰ | Wataru Ogawa MD¹¹ | Odd Erik Johansen MD¹²

¹Department of Endocrinology, Shaanxi Aerospace Hospital, Xi'an, China

²Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China

⁴Shandong Provincial Hospital, Shandong University, Jinan, China

⁵Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁶Department of Medicine, Wuerzburg University Clinic, Wuerzburg, Germany

⁷Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany

⁸Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co KG, Ingelheim, Germany

⁹Department of Molecular Diabetic Medicine, Diabetes Research Center, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁰Department of Endocrinology, Hematology, and Gerontology, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba, Japan

¹¹Division of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

¹²Boehringer Ingelheim Norway KS, Asker, Norway

Correspondence

Odd Erik Johansen, MD, Boehringer Ingelheim Norway KS, Hagaløkkveien 26, Asker, PO Box 405, 1373 Asker, Norway. Email: odd_erikj@hotmail.com

Funding information Boehringer Ingelheim & Eli Lilly and Company Diabetes Alliance

Abstract

Aim: To investigate whether the cardiorenal benefits of the sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitor empagliflozin are affected by body mass index (BMI) in type 2 diabetes patients with established cardiovascular (CV) disease, including Asians.

Methods: In this exploratory analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, we used Cox regression to evaluate the effects of empagliflozin on all-cause mortality, hospitalization for heart failure (HHF) or CV death, and incident or worsening nephropathy by baseline BMI category.

Results: Of the 7020 participants (1517 Asians [21.6%]), 934 (13.3%), 2465 (35.1%) and 3621 (51.6%) had a BMI of less than 25, 25 to less than 30, and 30 kg/m² or higher, respectively. Overall, hazard ratios for empagliflozin versus placebo for all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death, and incident or worsening nephropathy were 0.68 (95% CI 0.57, 0.82), 0.66 (0.55, 0.79) and 0.61 (0.53, 0.70), respectively, and were consistent across BMI categories (P values for interaction between treatment and BMI were .6772, .3087 and .6265, respectively).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2021 The Authors. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

³Department of Endocrinology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

Results were similar in Asians using these BMI categories and categories of less than 24, 24 to less than 28, and 28 kg/m² or higher.

Conclusion: Empagliflozin reduced cardiorenal and mortality risk regardless of BMI at baseline, including in Asians with a lower BMI.

KEYWORDS

cardiovascular disease, clinical trial, diabetic nephropathy, empagliflozin, weight control

1 | INTRODUCTION

Obesity is one of the main factors fuelling the type 2 diabetes (T2D) pandemic. Epidemiology studies show a J- or U-shaped relationship between body mass index (BMI) and mortality, whereby individuals at the lower end of the BMI distribution, as well as those who are overweight/obese, have an increased risk of mortality.^{1,2} Although BMI-based cut-off points for overweight and obesity differ between Caucasian and Asian populations (as Asians tend to have a more adverse cardiometabolic adipose profile at any given BMI than Caucasians^{3,4}), this relationship is also observed in Asians.⁵

Because of the relationship of obesity to T2D incidence and outcomes, the effect of glucose-lowering drugs on body weight is an important consideration for selecting treatment to manage hyperglycaemia. Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors are one of the few classes of oral glucose-lowering drugs that have been shown to consistently reduce body weight. They were also the first class of glucose-lowering drugs found to reduce the risk of cardiovascular (CV) events and mortality. In the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial in T2D patients, the SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin reduced the risk of CV death by 38%, hospitalization for heart failure (HHF) by 35%, three-point major adverse CV events (CV death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke) by 14%, and all-cause mortality by 32%.⁶ Empagliflozin also reduced the risk of incident or worsening nephropathy by 39%.⁷ However, it has not been extensively studied if the beneficial effect of empagliflozin on clinical outcomes is modified by BMI, in particular when extending to the lower and higher ranges.

Consequently, we explored the potential association between BMI and cardiorenal outcomes and mortality with empagliflozin in EMPA-REG OUTCOME. In addition to the analysis of the overall trial population, we also investigated the subgroup of Asian participants using both international and Asian-specific BMI cut-off points.

2 | METHODS

The randomized, double-blind EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial was conducted in 42 countries including the following 11 in Asia: Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Taiwan and Thailand (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01131676).⁶ In brief, 7020 people aged 18 years or older with T2D, established CV disease, HbA1c levels of 7.0%-10.0%, BMI of 45 kg/m² or less, and an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 30 mL/min/1.73 m² or higher (as per the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease [MDRD] formula) received empagliflozin (10 or 25 mg) or placebo once-daily. Investigators were encouraged to adjust background glucose-lowering therapy if necessary to meet local standards of care (except during the initial 12 weeks), and to meet local standards of care for other CV risk factors. This eventdriven trial was designed to continue until 691 or more patients had experienced three-point major adverse CV events. The other prespecified clinical outcomes included all-cause mortality, the composite of HHF or CV death (excluding fatal stroke), and incident or worsening nephropathy (a composite of progression to macroalbuminuria, doubling of serum creatinine level accompanied by eGFR ≤ 45 mL/ min/1.73 m², initiation of renal replacement therapy, or death from renal disease). Macroalbuminuria was defined as an urinary albumin-tocreatinine ratio of more than 300 mg/g. All CV events and deaths were adjudicated by independent committees. At trial completion, participants had been followed for a median of 3.1 years.

In this post hoc analysis, we categorized participants by their BMI at baseline using the World Health Organization (WHO) categories of less than 25, 25 to less than 30 (overweight), and 30 kg/m² or higher (obese).⁸ We used Cox regression models to analyse the effects of empagliflozin versus placebo on all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death (excluding fatal stroke), and incident or worsening nephropathy across these baseline BMI categories in the treated set (all randomized patients who received ≥1 dose of study drug). The Cox models included terms for age, sex, baseline HbA1c category, baseline eGFR category, geographical region, treatment, baseline BMI category and treatment-bybaseline-BMI-category interaction. In addition, we evaluated the same clinical outcomes in the subgroup of Asian participants using BMI categories of less than 24, 24 to less than 28 (overweight), and 28 kg/m² or higher (obese), as these cut-off points are used in some Asian countries.9-12 Separate analyses were conducted for participants who selfidentified as being of Asian race and those living in the Asian region. The Cox models for these analyses included the same terms as for the main models except that analyses were restricted to Asian participants (once for Asians by race, once for Asian by region) and the analyses by Asian region lacked the term for geographical region.

We also evaluated changes in body weight, systolic blood pressure (SBP) and HbA1c according to baseline BMI using mixed models for repeated measures (MMRM), as described in the supporting information (Appendix S1).

All analyses were post hoc and exploratory with a nominal twosided significance level of .05 without adjustment for multiple comparisons. Adverse events were analysed descriptively.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Baseline characteristics

At baseline, 934 (13.3%) of the 7020 participants had a BMI of less than 25 kg/m², 2465 (35.1%) had a BMI of 25 to less than 30 kg/m², and 3621 (51.6%) had a BMI of 30 kg/m² or higher. A total of 1517 participants (21.6%) were of Asian race while 1347 (19.2%) resided in Asian countries.

Baseline characteristics by WHO BMI categories in all participants are shown in Table S1. Those with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m² were slightly older, more commonly from Asia, and had a longer duration of diabetes than those with a BMI of 25 to less than 30, or of 30 kg/m² or higher. More participants with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m² had a history of stroke compared with those with a BMI of 30 kg/m² or higher, but fewer had a history of myocardial infarction. More participants with a BMI of 30 kg/m² or higher had received a coronary artery bypass graft compared with those with lower BMI, and the prevalence of heart failure was also greatest in the highest BMI category. Similar trends were observed in participants of Asian race by both WHO BMI categories (Table S2) and Asia-specific categories (Table S3).

3.2 | Cardiometabolic risk factors

Compared with placebo, empagliflozin consistently reduced HbA1c, SBP and body weight in all participants, including in those of Asian race, regardless of baseline WHO BMI category (Figures S1–S6). However, empagliflozin-elicited reductions in body weight seemed to be more pronounced in participants with a higher baseline BMI.

3.3 | Clinical outcomes

In the overall trial population, empagliflozin reduced all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death (excluding fatal stroke), and incident/worsening nephropathy in participants across WHO BMI categories, with benefits being consistent between those with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m², 25 to less than 30 kg/m², or 30 kg/m² or higher (P > .05 for interaction between treatment effect and baseline BMI category; Figure 1). Similar, consistent risk reductions for all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death, and incident/worsening nephropathy, occurred with empagliflozin versus placebo in the subgroup of participants of Asian race (Figure 1).

	Empagliflozin		Placebo		HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	Interaction
	n/N (%)	Rate [†]	n/N (%)	Rate [†]	- HK (95% CI)		<i>P</i> value
All-cause mortality							
All participants	269/4687 (5.7)	19.4	194/2333 (8.3)	28.6	0.68 (0.57, 0.82)	H H	
BMI <25 kg/m ²	43/633 (6.8)	22.5	29/301 (9.6)	33.4	0.70 (0.44, 1.13)		.6772
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	94/1646(5.7)	19.3	75/819 (9.2)	31.1	0.61 (0.45, 0.83)	⊢−● −−	.0772
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	132/2408 (5.5)	18.7	90/1213(7.4)	25.6	0.73 (0.56, 0.96)	⊢ ●	
Asian race	41/1006(4.1)	13.2	32/511 (6.3)	20.7	0.64 (0.40, 1.01)	—	
BMI <25 kg/m ²	17/358 (4.7)	15.5	17/184 (9.2)	31.5	0.49 (0.25, 0.97)		.2900
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	22/462 (4.8)	15.5	12/235 (5.1)	16.6	0.84 (0.41, 1.70)		.2900
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	2/186 (1.1)	3.4	3/92 (3.3)	10.6	Not calculated [‡]	i	
HHF or CV death§							
All participants	265/4687 (5.7)	19.7	198/2333 (8.5)	30.1	0.66 (0.55, 0.79)	Here in the second s	
BMI <25 kg/m ²	27/633 (4.3)	14.5	26/301 (8.6)	30.5	0.49 (0.29, 0.84)		0007
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	81/1646(4.9)	17.0	67/819 (8.2)	28.4	0.59 (0.43, 0.82)	⊢ e i → i	.3087
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	157/2408 (6.5)	23.0	105/1213 (8.7)	31.3	0.74 (0.58, 0.94)	L L	
Asian race	39/1006 (3.9)	12.8	35/511 (6.8)	22.9	0.57 (0.36, 0.89)		
BMI <25 kg/m ²	12/358 (3.4)	11.1	14/184 (7.6)	26.3	0.41 (0.19, 0.90)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.7333
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	18/462 (3.9)	12.9	14/235 (6.0)	19.6	0.61 (0.30, 1.23)		.7555
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	9/186 (4.8)	16.0	7/92 (7.6)	25.1	0.61 (0.23, 1.65)	· · · · · ·	
Incident or worsening neph	nropathy ^{††}						
All participants	525/4124 (12.7)	47.8	388/2061 (18.8)	76.0	0.61 (0.53, 0.70)		
BMI <25 kg/m ²	85/550 (15.5)	57.4	52/259 (20.1)	80.8	0.69 (0.49, 0.98)		.6265
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	176/1459 (12.1)	45.0	131/726(18.0)	70.0	0.63 (0.50, 0.78)		
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	264/2115 (12.5)	47.2	205/1076 (19.1)	79.2	0.58 (0.48, 0.69)	He I I	
Asian race	134/865 (15.5)	54.8	97/444 (21.8)	82.6	0.64 (0.49, 0.83)		
BMI <25 kg/m ²	52/312 (16.7)	59.4	35/155 (22.6)	88.9	0.59 (0.38, 0.91)		0705
BMI 25 to <30 kg/m ²	55/397 (13.9)	49.3	44/205 (21.5)	78.2	0.59 (0.40, 0.88)		.8735
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	27/156 (17.3)	59.9	18/84 (21.4)	82.8	0.70 (0.39, 1.28)		

Favours empagliflozin Favours placebo

FIGURE 1 Effect of empagliflozin on all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death, and incident/worsening nephropathy by baseline BMI category (WHO) in all participants and participants of Asian race. Data from Cox regression models as described in the Methods section. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HHF, hospitalization for heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; WHO, World Health Organization. [†]Incidence rate per 1000 patient-years. [‡]HR not calculated as <14 events. [§]Excluding fatal stroke. ^{††}Progression to macroalbuminuria, doubling of serum creatinine accompanied by eGFR ≤ 45 mL/min/1.73 m², initiation of renal replacement therapy, or death from renal disease

Among participants of Asian race or in those resident in Asia, empagliflozin also reduced the risk of all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death (excluding fatal stroke), and incident/worsening nephropathy consistently across Asia-specific BMI categories of less than 24, 24 to less than 28, or of 28 kg/m² or higher (Figure 2).

3.4 | Adverse events

Overall, the incidence of adverse events was generally no greater in participants with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m² compared with those with a BMI of 25 to less than 30, or of 30 kg/m² or higher. Moreover, the proportion of participants with adverse events was generally similar between empagliflozin and placebo across WHO BMI categories for serious events, hypoglycaemia (including severe episodes), urinary tract infections and volume depletion. However, genital infections were consistently more frequent with empagliflozin compared with placebo (Table S4). Similar trends were observed for participants of Asian race (Table S5).

4 | DISCUSSION

This subgroup analysis of EMPA-REG OUTCOME suggests that neither the beneficial effects of empagliflozin on clinical outcomes nor its safety profile were affected by higher or lower categories of BMI, either in the overall trial cohort or in the Asian subgroup.

Because of the J- or U-shaped association between BMI and mortality, it was of interest to explore the effects of empagliflozin on cardiorenal outcomes and mortality in participants with low (<25 kg/m²) and high BMI (≥30 kg/m²). In particular, high BMI in T2D patients is associated with poor metabolic health, as well as sodium/fluid retention and heart failure, all of which are alleviated by SGLT2 inhibition.¹³ On the other hand, there may be some concern for patients with low BMI receiving a weight-reducing drug, albeit one with only mild-tomoderate weight-loss effects. Despite these theoretical considerations, empagliflozin consistently, and with a similar magnitude of effect, reduced the risk of all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death, and new or worsening nephropathy regardless of BMI category at baseline in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial cohort. Almost half of these

	Empagliflozin		Placebo				Interaction
	n/N (%)	Rate [†]	n/N (%)	Rate [†]	- HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	P value
All-cause mortality							
All participants	269/4687 (5.7)	19.4	194/2333 (8.3)	28.6	0.68 (0.57, 0.82)	H O H (
Asian race							
BMI <24 kg/m ²	12/256 (4.7)	15.2	14/125 (11.2)	39.4	0.37 (0.17, 0.80)	—	2427
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	20/422 (4.7)	15.5	11/227 (4.8)	15.5	0.97 (0.46, 2.03)	► •	.2127
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	9/328 (2.7)	8.8	7/159 (4.4)	14.4	0.58 (0.22, 1.56)	•	
Asian region							
BMI <24 kg/m ²	11/240 (4.6)	14.9	14/120 (11.7)	41.3	0.34 (0.16, 0.76)		0700
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	17/383 (4.4)	14.5	11/197 (5.6)	17.8	0.84 (0.39, 1.80)	⊢	.2793
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	8/274 (2.9)	9.3	7/133 (5.3)	17.3	0.52 (0.19, 1.44)		
HHF or CV death [‡]							
All participants	265/4687 (5.7)	19.7	198/2333 (8.5)	30.1	0.66 (0.55, 0.79)	H H H	
Asian race						1	
BMI <24 kg/m ²	7/256 (2.7)	9.1	12/125 (9.6)	34.5	0.24 (0.10, 0.62)		1000
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	17/422 (4.0)	13.3	13/227 (5.7)	18.6	0.69 (0.33, 1.42)		.1662
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	15/328 (4.6)	15.1	10/159 (6.3)	20.9	0.70 (0.31, 1.57)		
Asian region							
BMI <24 kg/m ²	7/240 (2.9)	9.7	12/120 (10.0)	36.2	0.25 (0.10, 0.64)		
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	14/383 (3.7)	12.0	12/197 (6.1)	19.6	0.63 (0.29, 1.36)		.1491
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	13/274 (4.7)	15.5	7/133 (5.3)	17.4	0.88 (0.35, 2.21)		
Incident or worsening neph	ropathy§						
All participants	525/4124 (12.7)	47.8	388/2061 (18.8)	76.0	0.61 (0.53, 0.70)	•	
Asian race	. ,					T	
BMI <24 kg/m ²	34/221 (15.4)	54.5	26/103 (25.2)	105.9	0.46 (0.27, 0.76)	—	
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	51/367 (13.9)	49.2	37/200 (18.5)	66.0	0.70 (0.46, 1.08)	L	.4335
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	49/277 (17.7)	62.5	34/141 (24.1)	92.3	0.64 (0.41, 0.99)		
Asian region							
BMI <24 kg/m ²	32/207 (15.5)	54.7	26/98 (26.5)	110.5	0.43 (0.26, 0.73)		0007
BMI 24 to <28 kg/m ²	47/333 (14.1)	49.3	32/172 (18.6)	65.7	0.73 (0.46, 1.14)	► • • • •	.2008
BMI ≥28 kg/m ²	44/231 (19.0)	66.8	25/118 (21.2)	79.0	0.79 (0.48, 1.30)	⊢ i • i	
					0.12	25 0.25 0.5 1	2

Favours empagliflozin Favours placebo

FIGURE 2 Effect of empagliflozin on all-cause mortality, HHF or CV death, and incident/worsening nephropathy in Asian participants by baseline BMI category <24, 24 to <28, or \geq 28 kg/m². Data from Cox regression models as described in the Methods section. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HHF, hospitalization for heart failure; HR, hazard ratio. [†]Incidence rate per 1000 patient-years. [‡]Excluding fatal stroke. [§]Progression to macroalbuminuria, doubling of serum creatinine accompanied by eGFR ≤ 45 mL/min/1.73 m², initiation of renal replacement therapy, or death from renal disease

participants had a BMI of less than 30 kg/m², and risk reductions also occurred in participants with low BMI, despite additional weight loss.

BMI-independent risk reduction for cardiorenal events and mortality with empagliflozin also occurred in Asian participants in EMPA-REG OUTCOME, regardless of whether BMI was classified using WHO- or Asia-specific categories. The latter analyses showed that approximately two-thirds of participants of Asian race had a BMI of less than 28 kg/m². These observations have high clinical relevance, as Asian T2D patients generally have lower BMI than their Western counterparts, which probably reflects differences in adiposity phenotype and other pathophysiological variables.^{3,14,15}

The consistent effect of empagliflozin across BMI categories suggests that the mechanism by which this SGLT2 inhibitor reduces cardiorenal events and mortality involves BMI-independent factors. Empagliflozin also reduced HbA1c, SBP and body weight across BMI categories, although weight reductions were greater in participants with high baseline BMI, consistent with its effects in other reports.^{16,17}

The incidence of adverse events overall was generally no greater in participants with low BMI (<25 kg/m²) than in those in the higher BMI categories, and the expected pattern associated with empagliflozin and other SGLT2 inhibitors—in particular, an increased incidence of genital infections—was observed in both the overall trial cohort and the Asian subgroup.

The strength of this analysis is that its findings are derived from rigorously adjudicated clinical outcomes in a large, robustly conducted, modern CV outcomes trial that included 934 participants with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m² and 1517 patients of Asian race. It is, however, subject to the usual limitations of subgroup analyses, notably the possibility of type 1 error arising from many statistical comparisons without adjustment for multiplicity, as well as type 2 errors resulting from reduced statistical power. That said, the subgroup analyses were consistent with the overall findings, and the results are also consistent with a post hoc analysis of the CANVAS trial that found that the cardiorenal risk reduction with the SGLT2 inhibitor canagliflozin was unaffected by BMI.¹⁸ Additional limitations included the paucity of Asians with a BMI of less than 20 kg/m², and the lack of delineation of South, East and Southeast Asians, who are heterogenous groups.

In conclusion, risk reductions for cardiorenal events and mortality with empagliflozin were not modified by BMI in the large trial cohort from EMPA-REG OUTCOME, including in the subgroup of Asian participants. These findings should be informative for physicians managing cardiorenal risk in T2D patients, particularly those with low BMI, which is more common in Asia than in Western countries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Medical writing assistance, supported financially by Boehringer Ingelheim, was provided by Giles Brooke, PhD, CMPP, of Elevate Scientific Solutions, during the preparation of this manuscript. The EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial was funded by the Boehringer Ingelheim & Eli Lilly and Company Diabetes Alliance.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Q.J. has received travel support as an advisory board member from Merck & Co., Inc. for the STRATEGY study, has attended advisory boards and been a speaker of Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Merck Sharp & Dohme China, Sanofi Aventis, Huadong Pharmaceuticals Company, and Medtronic, and has received research grants from Novo Nordisk, Merck Sharp & Dohme China, and AstraZeneca. L.J. has nothing to declare. Y.M. has received lecture fees from Novo Nordisk, Eli Lilly and Boehringer Ingelheim. J.Z. has nothing to declare. B.Z. has received research grants awarded to his institution from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca and Novo Nordisk, and honoraria from Janssen, Sanofi, Eli Lilly and Company, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novo Nordisk and Merck Sharp & Dohme. C.W. has received fees for advisory services to Boehringer Ingelheim and MSD as well as honoraria for lecturing from AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Sanofi. I.Z. is an employee of Boehringer Ingelheim. K.U. has received lecture fees from Astellas, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Kissei, Kowa, Kvowa Kirin, Mitsubishi Tanabe, MSD, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Ono, Sanofi, Sanwa Kagaku, Shionogi, Sumitomo Dainippon, Taisho Toyama and Takeda; and grants from Astellas, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Kyowa Kirin, Mitsubishi Tanabe, MSD, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Ono, Sanofi, Sumitomo Dainippon, Taisho Toyama, and Takeda. K.Y. has received honoraria (e.g. lecture fees) from MSD. Mitsubishi-Tanabe. Novonordisk. Kowa. Takeda. Astellas. Dainippon-Sumitomo. Ono. Astra-Zeneca. Novartis. Nippon Boehringer-Ingelheim, Nippon Eli-Lilly, Taisho, Sanofi, Jansen, Astellas-Amgen, Daiichi-Sankyo, Kyowa-Kirin and Pfizer; clinical research funding from Taisho and Astellas; scholarship grants from Takeda, Shionogi, Dainippon-Sumitomo, Nippon Boehringer-Ingelheim. Baver. Astellas. Teiiin. Mitsubishi-Tanabe. Ono. Novonordisk, Daiichi-Sankyo, MSD, Pfizer, Taisho, Japan Eli-Lilly, Teijin and Kowa; and courses endowed by MSD. W.O. has received endowment, research funding and honorarium from Astellas Pharma, Abbott Japan and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation; endowment and honorarium from Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Novartis Pharma, MSD, Sanofi K.K, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co. and Takeda Pharmaceutical Co.; endowment and grants from Eli Lilly Japan; endowment from Novo Nordisk Pharma Ltd., Ono Pharmaceutical Co. and Daiichi Sankyo Co.; and research funding from Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., AstraZeneca and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG. J.T.G. and O.E.J. were employees of Boehringer Ingelheim at the time of this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B.Z., C.W. and O.E.J. participated in the design of the study, conduct of the study, acquisition and interpretation of data, and preparation of the manuscript. Q.J., L.J., Y.M., J.Z., J.T.G., K.U., K.Y. and W.O. participated in interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript. I.Z. participated in design of the study, statistical analysis and interpretation of data, and preparation of the manuscript. O.E.J. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Q.J. and L.J. are co-first authors. W.O. and O.E.J. are co-senior authors. All the authors were fully responsible for all content and editorial decisions, were involved at all stages of manuscript development, have approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons. com/publon/10.1111/dom.14415.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The sponsor of the clinical trials (Boehringer Ingelheim) is committed to responsible sharing of clinical study reports, related clinical documents, and patient-level clinical study data. Researchers are invited to submit inquiries via the following website (https://trials.boehringeringelheim.com/).

ORCID

 Qiuhe Ji ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4008-2974

 Yiming Mu ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3344-3540

 Bernard Zinman ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0041-1876

 Christoph Wanner ID https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9507-5301

 Koutaro Yokote ID https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3033-4813

 Wataru Ogawa ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0432-4366

 Odd Erik Johansen ID https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2470-0530

REFERENCES

- Tobias DK, Pan A, Jackson CL, et al. Body-mass index and mortality among adults with incident type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2014;370: 233-244.
- Edqvist J, Rawshani A, Adiels M, et al. BMI and mortality in patients with new-onset type 2 diabetes: a comparison with age- and sexmatched control subjects from the general population. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41:485-493.
- Ma RC, Chan JC. Type 2 diabetes in east Asians: similarities and differences with populations in Europe and the United States. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2013;1281:64-91.
- 4. Gujral UP, Pradeepa R, Weber MB, Narayan KM, Mohan V. Type 2 diabetes in south Asians: similarities and differences with white Caucasian and other populations. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2013;1281: 51-63.
- Lee EY, Lee YH, Yi SW, Shin SA, Yi JJ. BMI and all-cause mortality in normoglycemia, impaired fasting glucose, newly diagnosed diabetes, and prevalent diabetes: a cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40:1026-1033.
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373: 2117-2128.

- 7. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al. Empagliflozin and progression of kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:323-334.
- 8. World Health Organization. *Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic*. Report of a WHO Consultation (WHO Technical Report Series 894). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2000:1-253.
- 9. Zhou B, Cooperative Meta-Analysis Group of Working Group on Obesity in China. Prospective study for cut-off points of body mass index in Chinese adults. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi*. 2002;23:431-434.
- 10. Zhou BF. Effect of body mass index on all-cause mortality and incidence of cardiovascular diseases-report for meta-analysis of prospective studies open optimal cut-off points of body mass index in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci.* 2002;15:245-252.
- 11. Zhou BF, Cooperative meta-analysis Group of the Working Group on obesity in China. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults-study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci.* 2002;15:83-96.
- 12. Gu D, He J, Duan X, et al. Body weight and mortality among men and women in China. JAMA. 2006;295:776-783.
- 13. Chilton RJ. Effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on the cardiovascular and renal complications of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22:16-29.
- Hills AP, Arena R, Khunti K, et al. Epidemiology and determinants of type 2 diabetes in South Asia. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2018;6:966-978.
- 15. Chan JC, Malik V, Jia W, et al. Diabetes in Asia: epidemiology, risk factors, and pathophysiology. JAMA. 2009;301:2129-2140.
- Inzucchi SE, Davies MJ, Khunti K, et al. Empagliflozin treatment effects across categories of baseline HbA1c, body weight and blood pressure as an add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2021;23:425-433.
- Neeland IJ, McGuire DK, Chilton R, et al. Empagliflozin reduces body weight and indices of adipose distribution in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* 2016;13:119-126.
- Ohkuma T, Van Gaal L, Shaw W, et al. Clinical outcomes with canagliflozin according to baseline body mass index: results from post hoc analyses of the CANVAS program. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020; 22:530-539.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Ji Q, Ji L, Mu Y, et al. Effect of empagliflozin on cardiorenal outcomes and mortality according to body mass index: A subgroup analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial with a focus on Asia. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2021;23:1886–1891. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14415