Comparison of Subtypes of *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolates from Naturally Contaminated Watershed Samples with and without a Selective Secondary Enrichment

Lisa Gorski^{*}, Samarpita Walker, Anita S. Liang, Kimberly M. Nguyen, Jessica Govoni, Diana Carychao, Michael B. Cooley, Robert E. Mandrell

Produce Safety and Microbiology Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Albany, California, United States of America

Abstract

Two enrichment methods for *Listeria monocytogenes* using Immuno Magnetic Separation (IMS) were tested to determine if they selected the same subtypes of isolates. Both methods used a non-selective primary enrichment and one included subculture in Fraser Broth, while the other involved direct plating of IMS beads. Sixty-two naturally contaminated watershed samples from the Central California Coast were used as a source of *L. monocytogenes*, and subtype diversity was measured by serotype and Multiple Number Variable Tandem Repeat Analysis (MLVA). Three different serotypes were detected from both methods with serotype 4b strains making up 87% of the isolates, serotype 1/2a making up 8%, and serotype 1/2b making up 5%. The data suggest that serotype 1/2a strains were more likely to be isolated from the Fraser Broth culture methods. Forty-three MLVA types were detected only from one culture method or the other, while 19 types were detected from both culture methods. The most common MLVA type-12 was detected in 33 of the 62 water samples, and represented 31% of the isolates from both culture methods. This limited study provides evidence that using both enrichment culture methods allowed for detection of a greater diversity of isolates among the samples than the use of one method alone, and that a wide diversity of *L. monocytogenes* strains exist in this watershed.

Citation: Gorski L, Walker S, Liang AS, Nguyen KM, Govoni J, et al. (2014) Comparison of Subtypes of *Listeria monocytogenes* Isolates from Naturally Contaminated Watershed Samples with and without a Selective Secondary Enrichment. PLoS ONE 9(3): e92467. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467

Editor: Igor Mokrousov, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, Russian Federation

Received February 3, 2014; Accepted February 21, 2014; Published March 20, 2014

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: This work was funded through funds from Agricultural Research Service CRIS project 5325-42000-046-00D, FDA grant #224-11-2044, and USDA-NIFA grant project agreement 5325-42000-047-05R. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: lisa.gorski@ars.usda.gov

Introduction

Listeria monocytogenes is a gram-positive facultatively intracellular, foodborne pathogen that survives in nature as a saprophyte. Between 2009–2011 there were 1651 cases of listeriosis in the United States with a fatality rate of 21%, with the majority of cases in elderly and immunocompromised individuals, and 14% of the cases pregnancy-related. In the environment *L. monocytogenes* survives in agricultural niches, wildlife, soil, and water [1–5]. Soft cheeses and ready-to-eat meats are commonly associated with outbreaks, but in recent years two high profile outbreaks have been linked to raw produce [6,7].

Several methods are used for the enrichment and isolation of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Many of these methods utilize selective compounds such as dyes and antibiotics to favor *L. monocytogenes* over competing microbiota. Studies indicate that these enrichment methods show bias in the types of *Listeria* spp. and/or *L. monocytogenes* strains that are isolated as a result of different strains outcompeting others in selective enrichment cultures [8–11]. For this reason non-selective media are sometimes used for part or all of the enrichment process. Immunomagnetic separation (IMS)

using magnetic beads containing antibodies specific for the target organism is a convenient tool for non-selective enrichments [12,13]. Nevertheless, there is always a question with enrichment culture whether the isolates represent an accurate reflection of what is present in an environment. This can be a problem particularly in traceback investigations. Our goal to detect strains of *L. monocytogenes* present in a produce production environment watershed stimulated comparing methods of enrichment and the characteristics of *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated by different media. A primary objective was to determine if the enrichment media affected the types of *L. monocytogenes* that were isolated.

We used a non-selective enrichment broth followed by IMS for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from Moore swab samples taken from a naturally contaminated watershed on the Central California coast, and compared a secondary selective enrichment with direct plating onto selective and indicator medium. We wanted to determine if the isolates obtained from the same samples via selective and non-selective media were the same subtypes. Serotype analysis is one of the most important subtyping methods used for *L. monocytogenes*, but it provides limited discrimination. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis is widely used for subtyping, but it is time consuming [14]. Multilocus Tandem Variable Repeat Analysis (MLVA) is a faster throughput method that measures the number of tandem repeats present in a number of different loci on the chromosome, and several MLVA methods for L. monocytogenes have been developed in the last few years [15–17]. The isolates in the present study were subtyped by serotype analysis and MLVA.

Materials and Methods

Sampling and Enrichment

Moore swabs (cut cotton gauze tied to a string) were deployed in lakes, rivers, streams, and ponds in Monterey County for up to 24 hours as described previously [18]. Since all of the sampling sites were on public lands there were no specific permissions required for sampling. None of the studies involved endangered or protected species, and all sampling occurred in an approximate 20 mile radius of Salinas, CA. Swabs were transported to the lab on the day of collection, and were rinsed with 0.5 L of sterile water. One hundred ml of rinsate was measured into a 500-ml Whirl-pak bag to be used for L. monocytogenes enrichment. Twentyfive ml of Buffered Listeria Enrichment Broth Base (BLEB, Difco, Becton-Dickinson-BBL, Franklin Lakes, NJ; 5X manufacturer's instructions) made at a 5X concentration were added to the 100 ml of rinsate, and the bags were incubated on a rotating shaker at 150 rpm at 30°C for 18 hours, and then held at 4°C until the following morning (usually 1-4 hours). Anti-Listeria immunomagnetic beads (Dynal, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) were added to the enrichment cultures (20 µl of beads into 1 ml of culture) and the cell-bead suspensions were incubated, rinsed, and collected in a bead retriever using manufacturer's instructions (Dynal). Beads were collected into 130 µl of Phosphate Buffered Saline with Tween (PBS-Tween: 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20).

After bead collection 30 µl were deposited onto a Brilliance Listeria Agar plate (Oxoid, Remel, Lenexa, KS) and streaked to obtain isolated colonies, and the plates incubated at 37°C for 2 days. The remaining 100 µl of bead suspension were inoculated into 5 ml of Fraser broth (Difco) supplemented with Ferric Ammonium Citrate (0.5 g/L), and incubated at 37°C for two days. Thirty microliters of black Fraser broth cultures were inoculated onto Brilliance Listeria agar plates, and streaked for isolated colonies. Plates were incubated for 2 days at 37°C. Fraser broth cultures that failed to turn black were discarded. Up to three colonies that were blue with a zone of clearing on Brilliance plates were picked, deposited onto Trypticase Soy Agar plates (TSA, Difco), and grown overnight at 37°C. Isolates were further cleaned by culturing on Modified Oxford Agar (MOX, Difco), which was incubated at 37°C. Isolated bluish-white colonies with a zone of black precipitate on MOX were streaked onto TSA for further analysis. Hemolysis was tested by depositing isolates onto TSA containing 5% sheeps blood (Remel), and incubating up to 5 days at 37°C. Clearing underneath colonies could be discerned by holding the plates up to a light to determine transparency.

Confirmation of L. monocytogenes and Serotyping

Isolates were screened by PCR for the hlyA gene using the primers and protocol of Norton et al. [19] using One Taq Hot Start (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and the PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Phenix Research Products, Candler, NC). PCR template was made by picking a piece of colony with a sterile, disposable needle and depositing it into a PCR tube that contained 50 µl of 1X PCR buffer and 50 µl of 1% Triton X-100. These tubes were then incubated in a thermocycler for 15 min at 100°C, and after they had cooled, 5 µl of the cell suspension was used as PCR template. Isolates that had a 858 bp amplicon were considered tentatively positive and were serotyped for O-antigen by an ELISA serotyping method [20]. Any isolate that was not clearly designated as serotype 1/2, 3, or 4 by O-typing sera were discarded from further analysis, as this encompasses 12 of the 13 known L. monocytogenes serotypes except for the extremely rare serotype 7. ELISA serotyping using O-antigen antisera is sufficient to determine any serotype 4 isolate. Isolates that were O-serotype 1/2 or 3 were further screened for H-antigen using the multiplex PCR serotyping method of Doumith et al. [21].

Determination of MLVA Profiles

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genome Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Cultures were grown in TSB overnight at 37°C, and 1.3 ml was centrifuged for 5 minutes and the pellets resuspended in TE (Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA) containing 10 mg/ml lysozyme, and the suspensions incubated at 37°C for 30-45 minutes. The suspension was pelleted by centrifuging at 13,000 rpm for 5 min and the pellet then lysed and treated following manufacturer's instructions for genomic DNA purification.

MLVA analysis was conducted by the method of Sperry et al. [15,22] with some modifications. The primers sequences (Table 1) were the same as used in the original Sperry et al. and the addendum to that paper that corrected the sequence of Lm-23 reverse primer [15,22]; however, different dyes were incorporated into the primers because different equipment (detailed below) was

PCR	VNTR locus	Forward primer (5' \rightarrow 3') ^a	Reverse primer (5' \rightarrow 3')
R1	Lm-2	(6-FAM)-CGTATTGTGCGCCAGAAGTA	CAGCAACGCAACAACAACAG
	Lm-8	(NED)-ACGCGCAATACTATAAAGGGTGTC	AGAAAAAGCGGAAGCAGATAAGAA
	Lm-10	(VIC)-CAGATATCGATACGATTGAC	CAGTTAGTATTTCCAACGTC
	Lm-11	(NED)-GAATAAAATGCTAGATGTGG	CCGATTCAAAAATAGTAAAC
R2	Lm-3	(6-FAM)-CAAACCGAGATGGTGTAGCA	TGGTTTTGATGGATCAACTGG
	Lm-15	(NED)-GGACTTAACGAATACAAAAG	GCTGTTACAAGTAAAACTGG
	Lm-23	(VIC)-TACGCCAGTTCCTCCGTTAG	TTGAAAGCTGGAGATGTTA
	Lm-32	(VIC)-AAAGCTTTGCCAGTGCAAGT	TTGTGACTTGGCACTTCTGG

Table 1. Primers and Dyes used for MLVA analysis.

^aDye is shown in parentheses before primer sequence. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467.t001

Name	Reaction	Dye Type ^a	Offset	Length	Min value	Max value	Tolerance
Lm-2	R1	6-FAM	287	6	11	20	3
Lm-8	R1	NED	185	15	3	4	7
Lm-10	R1	VIC	283	12	3	6	6
Lm-11	R1	NED	102	12	1	6	6
Lm-3	R2	6-FAM	197	6	1	6	4
Lm-15	R2	NED	316	12	1	7	6
Lm-23	R2	VIC	72	9	15	42	3
Lm-32	R2	VIC	80	6	10	21	3
^a 6-FAM: blue, VIC: g doi:10.1371/iournal.r	reen, NED: yellow. 20ne.0092467.t002						

used in the current study. Primers and dyes were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Multiplex PCR was done as described [15] with separate R1 and R2 reactions using the Type-it Microsatellite PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The resulting PCR products were diluted 1:60 in sterile water, and fragments were sized by mixing 1 µl of diluted PCR product, 18.5 µl of deionized formamide, and 0.5 µl of GeneScan 600 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems). Fragments were separated using an Applied Biosystems 3130xl Genetic Analyzer that was calibrated with Matrix Standard Kit Dye Set D (Applied Biosystems); and the fragments sizes were determined with GeneMarker software v. 2.4 (Soft Genetics, State College, PA). Fragment sizes, peak heights, and dye color were imported into BioNumerics v. 6.6 (Applied Maths, Austin, TX). Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) parameters were inputted into BioNumerics as reported [15], but offsets were adjusted because of equipment and reagent differences from those previously reported (K. Sperry, personal communication). Offsets were calculated by determining the MLVA profiles of L. monocytogenes strains F6854, F6900, J0161, G3984, F2365, L4738, and EGDe, and adjusting the offsets until all of the VNTR loci agreed with previously published values [15]. BioNumerics parameters used to assign the copy number of VNTR loci are shown in Table 2. The minimum value for the Lm-32 locus was adjusted to 10 from 13. Null alleles were coded as negative. A categorical similarity matrix was generated in BioNumerics using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA). BioNumerics assigned a value of -2 to loci for which there was no peak. A categorical tree was constructed and MLVA types were assigned arbitrarily based on the similarity matrices. Each of the isolates of an MLVA type has 100% similarity to each other in the number of VNTR copies. A minimal spanning tree for categorical data to compare Fraser Broth and non-Fraser broth isolates was constructed using UPGMA and the default categorical coefficient to calculate the distance matrix. Simpson's index of diversity was calculated as described [23].

Results

Isolation and Serotypes of L. monocytogenes

There were 206 Moore swab samples collected from 30 different locations among rivers, lakes, and streams at 8 different sampling dates between January and March 2012. Sixty-two of those samples from 24 different locations yielded L. monocytogenes isolates from both direct plating of IMS beads (D-isolates) and Fraser Broth-enriched IMS beads (F-isolates). The 304 individual isolates resulting from those 62 Moore swab samples were compared to determine if the 2 culturing methods were enriching the same molecular subtypes. Characteristics of each individual isolate are given in Supporting Table S1. A summary of the samples and the characteristics of the isolates obtained from them are shown in Table 3. Three isolates from each Brilliance plate were selected, but not every isolate was confirmed as L. monocytogenes. The 62 Moore swab samples gave a total of 304 individual isolates with 157 D isolates, and 147 F isolates. All but 14 isolates showed lytic activity on blood agar plates. Table 4 summarizes the serotypes recovered from the 304 individual isolates. Only 3 serotypes were detected among the isolates with 87% belonging to serotype 4b, and 8% and 5% belonging to serotypes 1/2a and 1/2b, respectively (Table 4 and Supporting Table S1). The discriminatory index based on serotyping was higher from Fraser broth than from Direct plating, indicating that a greater diversity of serotypes were found from the Fraser Broth culturing, but in all cases with serotyping this index was less than

Table 2. Parameters for L. monocytogenes VNTR Loci.

 Table 3. Characterization of isolates from samples.

Sample ID	No. of D isolates	D serotypes (MLVA types) ^a	No. of F isolates	F serotypes (MLVA types) ^a
131	1	4b (9)	3	1/2a (49)
132	3	4b (56), 1/2a (57)	1	1/2a (56)
136	3	4b (12)	3	4b (12)
137	2	4b (6)	3	4b (5,6)
138	2	4b (9, 12)	3	4b (9,12)
139	3	4b (12)	3	1/2a (54)
143	3	4b (6)	3	4b (6)
157	1	4b (55)	3	1/2a (60), 4b (55)
158	3	4b (27, 59)	2	1/2a (52, 59)
162	2	1/2b (1)	1	1/2b (1)
164	3	4b (12, 36)	3	1/2a (54), 1/2b (12), 4b (12)
177	3	4b (17)	2	4b (3, 17)
191	3	4b (4, 19, 43)	3	4b (19)
192	3	4b (12, 13, 17)	3	4b (12, 27)
195	3	4b (22, 23)	1	4b (17)
200	2	4b (17)	2	4b (17, 30)
202	3	4b (2, 19)	3	4b (12, 15, 26)
208	2	4b (18)	3	4b (3,12)
213	3	1/2a (42)	3	1/2a (42, 45)
218	2	4b (12, 14)	3	4b (11,12)
219	3	1/2b (48), 4b (9)	3	4b (9)
220	3	1/2a (50), 4b (50)	3	4b (12, 54)
224	2	4b (17, 36)	1	4b (17)
226	1	4b (6)	2	4b (5, 6)
229	3	4b (12, 36)	3	4b (9,12 37)
240	2	4b (9, 12)	3	4b (27)
242	3	4b (12, 19, 26)	2	4b (12)
243	3	4b (17)	3	4b (12)
245	3	4b (17)	3	4b (11, 12)
246	3	4b (12, 35)	2	4b (12)
250	3	4b (12, 50)	3	4b (14, 16, 17)
251	3	4b (12)	3	4b (16, 17)
259	3	4b (10, 12)	3	4b (17, 19)
262	3	4b (19)	3	4b (19)
266	3	4b (12)	3	4b (12)
267	3	4b (14)	4	4b (14)
269	2	4b (12)	3	4b (12)
270	3	4b (12)	3	4b (12, 34)
278	3	4b (36, 40)	3	4b (36, 38)
288	1	4b (6)	2	4b (6, 62)
290	3	4b (19)	1	4b (6)
291	3	4b (12)	1	1/2b (41)
292	3	4b (12, 28, 53)	3	1/2b (12, 33)
293	3	4b (9)	2	4b (53)
295	3	4b (12, 29)	3	4b (31, 32, 39)
297	3	4b (35, 36)	1	4b (12)
298	3	1/2a (46), 1/2b (3), 4b (47)	1	4b (36)
300	2	4b (9, 21)	1	4b (61)
		·· ·		• •

Sample ID	No. of D isolates	D serotypes (MLVA types) ^a	No. of F isolates	F serotypes (MLVA types) ^a
305	1	1/2b (17)	2	4b (6,12)
309	2	4b (20, 24)	2	4b (25)
313	2	4b (17)	2	4b (17)
314	2	1/2a (44), 4b (58)	3	1/2a (58), 4b (58)
315	1	4b (19)	2	1/2a (51), 4b (19)
316	2	4b (6)	2	4b (6, 19)
319	3	4b (12)	2	4b (12)
321	1	4b (9)	1	4b (9)
323	2	4b (12)	2	4b (12)
324	3	4b (12, 36)	1	4b (12)
326	3	4b (6, 12, 36)	3	4b (7,12)
327	3	4b (7, 12, 36)	1	4b (54)
331	3	4b (12, 27)	2	4b (12)

Table 3. Cont.

^aNumber in parentheses refers to the assigned MLVA type number. doi:10.1371/iournal.pone.0092467.t003

0.3, which was not very discriminatory (Table 4). However, 11.6% of the isolates obtained through the Fraser broth culturing method were of serotype 1/2a, as compared to 5.1% from the Direct plating method. In contrast 90% of the isolates from the Direct plating method were of serotype 4b, as compared to 83% from Fraser broth. These data suggest that while serotyping is not very discriminatory in measuring the diversity in an environment in general, multiple culturing methods allow for a greater assortment of serotypes to be isolated. Multiple serotypes were detected from 15 Moore swab samples; however, for 14 of those samples the additional serotypes were detected only from one of the culturing methods. These multiple serotypes would not have been identified if only one culturing method were used.

MLVA Typing

Sixty-two different MLVA types were detected among the 304 isolates. The evolutionary relationships between the MLVA types and the numbers of isolates in each type are shown in the categorical comparison tree in Figure 1. MLVA type-12 was detected most frequently with 95 isolates from 33 Moore swab samples at 16 different locations and 8 different sampling dates. MLVA type-17 was the second most common with 25 isolates from 13 Moore swab samples at 9 different locations and 6 different sampling dates. Four of the 14 non-hemolytic isolates were located in the clade in Figure 1 encompassing MLVA subtypes 30, 31, 32, 33, 39; three non-hemolytic isolates were of MLVA type-12; and the remaining were scattered (1 each of types 3, 20, 21, 36, 40, 61, and 62). Some of the MLVA types contained more than one serotype. MLVA types 3, 12, and 17 contained isolates of 1/2b and 4b; while MLVA types 50, 54, 56, 58, and 59 contained isolates of 1/2a and 4b. The MLVA type of each isolate is shown in Table 3 in parentheses after the serotype.

A minimum spanning tree of all of the isolates and their MLVA types was constructed and differentiated by D and F isolates (Figure 2). The tree shows that 19 MLVA types, including the largest groupings, were detected from both types of enrichments. The diversity indices for the methods based on MLVA are shown in Table 4. The index for the individual methods and both together were similar and ranged from 0.875–0.887, indicating that both methods reflected a wide diversity of MLVA types in the

region. However, using both culturing methods allowed more MLVA types to be detected. Fifty-one Moore swab samples contained more than one MLVA type. Figure 2 illustrates that 43 MLVA types were found only among either D isolates (22 types) or F isolates (21 types), and 30 MLVA types were detected only once (17 among D isolates, 13 among F isolates).

We were interested in the ability of the different culture methods to isolate the same MLVA types from different Moore swab samples, which would be important in assessing ecology in a region. Of the 304 isolates 236 belonged to MLVA types that were detected from more than one Moore swab sample (Table 5). Most of these MLVA types were isolated from both D and F methods; however, types 5, 11, 16, 54, and 61 were isolated only with Fraser broth, and type-50 isolates were recovered only through direct plating.

Discussion

Bacteria in nature and in foods often are stressed [24,25]. When placed from these environments into a selective enrichment culture their growth can be inhibited due to antibiotics or other selective compounds, so non-selective primary enrichment broths are used commonly [2,13,26]. Several studies have reported that using more than one type of enrichment method increases the likelihood of finding the target organism and/or revealing greater diversity [2,27-29]. We used two culture methods, both based on IMS, which facilitated including a non-selective primary enrichment broth. It is likely that no culturing method is completely free of bias, and any study of this sort is limited by the media chosen for culturing. We selected three colonies for analysis from each Brilliance plate, but this provides only a small snapshot of the potential diversity present on a plate. It is possible that different and/or additional serotypes and MLVA types would have been detected if twice as many or more colonies would have been selected. The number of colonies selected is a statistical sampling and the more selected, the better the analysis. Within the logistical realities of our study three colonies was able to be managed. We chose BLEB without added supplements because it is a rich, buffered medium favoring the growth of L. monocytogenes. In some common L. monocytogenes enrichment protocols an initial 4 hour

VNTR_vals	VNTR_\	/als	0	-		2	с	2			
0, 0, 0, 0, 0	-a-2	8-m-	Ę	Ę	е 9	Ę	- 1-2		MLVA type	No. isolates	serotypes
	- 16 0	3.0	- 5 0	- 5.0	20	- 50	- 34 0	- 20.0	1	3	1/2b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	-2.0	20.0	21.0	2	1	4b
	15.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	-2.0	23.0	17.0	3	4	1/2b. 4b
	15.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	27.0	17.0	4	1	4b
H _	15.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	-2.0	21.0	11.0	5	2	4b
	15.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	-2.0	31.0	11.0	6	- 19	4b
	15.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	31.0	11.0	30	1	4b
	13.0	-2.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0	31	1	4b
	13.0	-2.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	36.0	17.0	30	1	4b
	13.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	36.0	17.0	32	1	4b
	13.0	-2.0	5.0	-2.0	1.0	3.0	-2.0	17.0	33	1	45 1/2h
	13.0	-2.0	5.0	5.0	-2.0	3.0	29.0	17.0	34	2	1/20 4b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	35.0	17.0	35	2	40 4b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	33.0	17.0	36	13	40 4b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	36.0	17.0	37	1	40 4b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	-2.0	3.0	36.0	17.0	39	1	40 4b
	12.0	3.0	5.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	36.0	17.0	40	1	40 4b
	-2.0	3.0	-2.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0	40	1	1/2h
	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0		י ז	1/20 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	29.0	21.0	22	2	40 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	29.0	21.0	23	1	40 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	-2.0	21.0	24	י ז	40 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	5.0	23.0	21.0	20	2	40 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	22.0	20.0	20	2	40 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	16.0	10	2	4D 4b
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0	13	1	4D
	15.0	3.0	6.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0	19	20	4D
	15.0	-2.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	18.0	10	1	4D
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	18.0	12	95	1/2D, 4D
	15.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	18.0	9	10	40
	15.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	11.0	20	1	4D
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	23.0	17.0	27	9	4D
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	24.0	17.0	28	1	4D
	15.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	23.0	17.0	29	1	4D
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	-2.0	32.0	18.0	14	9	40
	15.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	-2.0	-2.0	32.0	18.0	15	1	4D
	15.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	-2.0	-2.0	32.0	18.0	21	1	4D
	15.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	1.0	-2.0	32.0	18.0	16	2	4D
	15.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	18.0	17	25	1/20, 40
	15.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	1.0	3.0	32.0	17.0	18	2	4D
	15.0	3.0	7.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	29.0	17.0	1	3	4D
	15.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	32.0	15.0	8	1	1/20
	16.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	5.0	2.0	34.0	13.0	42	1	1/2a
	16.0	3.0	8.0	-2.0	5.0	2.0	34.0	13.0	43	1	1/2a
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	-2.0	5.0	2.0	34.0	13.0	44	1	1/2a
	16.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	6.0	2.0	34.0	13.0	40	1	1/2a
	18.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	4.0	2.0	-2.0	13.0	40	1	1/28
	19.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	4.0	2.0	-2.0	13.0	47	2	40 1/25
	16.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	32.0	13.0	40	2	1/20
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	28.0	13.0	49	2	1/2a
	16.0	3.0	8.0	4.0	-2.0	3.0	29.0	13.0	55	3	40 1/2a 4b
	16.0	3.0	8.0	4.0	-2.0	2.0	29.0	13.0	54	<i>'</i>	1/2a, 4b
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	4.0	-2.0	2.0	29.0	13.0	50	4	1/2a, 40
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	4.0	-2.0	3.0	29.0	13.0	51	1	1/20
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	4.0	-2.0	2.0	33.0	13.0	52	2	1/2a 1b
	16.0	3.0	8.0	4.0	-2.0	3.0	28.0	10.0	55	2	40
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	4.0	-2.0	3.0	28.0	10.0	50	3	1/2a, 4D
	16.0	3.0	8.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	29.0	10.0	57	1	1/2a 45
	16.0	3.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	3.0	31.0	10.0	58	4	1/2a, 4b
	16.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	-2.0	3.0	31.0	10.0	59	2	1/2a, 4D
	16.0	3.0	5.0	-2.0	-2.0	3.0	37.0	10.0	60	2	1/2a
	13.0	-2.0	5.0	-2.0	-2.0	3.0	28.0	10.0	61	2	4D
L	12.0	-2.0	5.0	-2.0	9.0	5.0	31.0	11.0	62	1	4D

Figure 1. Categorical tree of MLVA types based on differences in VNTR copy number, and the numbers of isolates of each type and the serotypes represented in them. BioNumerics assigns a value of -2 to loci yielding no product. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467.g001



Figure 2. Minimum spanning tree with categorical data of all 62 MLVA types containing all 304 isolates. Each circle is labeled with the MLVA type it represents. The size of the circle and number of divisions represent the number of isolates of that type. The blue and yellow segments

represent isolates obtained by Direct plating or with Fraser broth, respectively. Heavy short connecting lines indicate a difference in a single locus; thinner, longer lines connect nodes with 2 and 3 locus differences; dotted lines connect nodes with 4 locus differences; a gray line connects nodes with 5 locus differences.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467.g002

incubation in BLEB without antimicrobial supplements is used to aid in the recovery of stressed cells before the addition of antibiotics and dyes [13]. The primary enrichment temperature of 30°C is also conducive to L. monocytogenes and hinders some competing enterics, and some soil and water bacteria.

The strategy takes advantage of IMS beads to concentrate the L. monocytogenes strains for easier identification by direct plating and after selective enrichment. The IMS step was essential for detection of L. monocytogenes via direct plating onto Brilliance plates. Instances where the BLEB enrichment culture was plated directly onto Brilliance (or other Listeria selective media) without the intermediate IMS step yielded no Listeria-like colonies (data not shown). A classic technique, which does not use IMS or a secondary enrichment, is to concentrate an aliquot of enrichment culture by centrifugation before directly plating onto selective agar. For water samples several hundred milliliters can be filtered, and the filters placed on selective agar [30]. Moore swabs were used in the current study so that large amounts of water were not collected. Brilliance *Listeria* agar was used to select for *Listeria* spp. and differentiates pathogenic from non-pathogenic Listeria spp. via the clearing of lecithin due to the action phosphotidylcholine phospholipase C activity encoded by the virulence gene plcB [31]. We experimented with other non-colorimetric agars such as Oxford Agar, Modified Oxford Agar, and PALCAM medium, but this resulted in many false positives (data not shown). Studies have reported that while IMS increases the chances for Listeria detection, it does not necessarily increase the ratio of recovery for L. monocytogenes over other Listeria spp [32]. Therefore, using a colorimetric agar decreases the workload by allowing the focus to be placed on colonies that display qualities unique to virulent Listeria spp. (e.g., L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii), rather than a noncolorimetric agar where colonies from all Listeria spp. look similar [33,34]. The data revealed that using both direct plating of IMS beads and plating following a Fraser Broth subculture of the IMS beads revealed greater diversity among the isolates than would have resulted with one method alone. Loncarevic et al [35] reported a greater variety of strains of L. monocytogenes after direct plating of food samples compared to plating samples from selective enrichment of those foods, suggesting variable fitness of strains in selective culture.

To assess the ecology of L. monocytogenes it is important to determine if the two methods were enriching the same subtypes. Since serotype is not discriminating enough to measure this, MLVA was used for molecular subtyping of isolates. The MLVA protocol measured tandem repeats in 8 different loci. We altered the parameters for the Lm-32 locus to have a minimum number of 10 tandem repeats because we detected a population of isolates with strong peaks consistent with a minimum less than the previously reported 13 [15]. For the 304 isolates in this study we detected 3 different serotypes and 62 different MLVA types. A previous study of urban and natural environments in New York reported a large diversity of Listeria subtypes as measured by sigB (Sigma B) allelic types among 80 isolates of L. monocytogenes [5]. The more common MLVA types in the present study were detected well from both enrichment types (Figure 2). This information is critical for determining if the methods are measuring accurately the subtype diversity in the environment. Regardless, the use of two methods will reveal a greater diversity than either one alone. An example of the value of the direct plating strategy is illustrated in Figure 2 showing that strains of MLVA types 30-33, 39, and 61, which represent 10 locus differences between MLVA types 36 and 59 strains, were isolated only by direct plating. Several isolates in the present study were missing one or more of the alleles, and this might reflect absence of the allele or SNP differences causing poor primer binding. Different MVLA protocols have been described recently that may provide better discrimination in future studies [17].

Three serotypes were detected by the two methods, but the majority of the isolates were serotype 4b. While this serotype is more often implicated in human illness, surveys of agricultural environments and food processing facilities for L. monocytogenes result in serotype 1/2a strains isolated much more frequently than serotype 4b [36,37]. Our results suggested that culturing with Fraser broth allowed for detection of more serotype 1/2a strains than Direct plating of the IMS beads. Previous studies indicate that serotype 1/2a strains might outcompete serotype 4b strains in some types of selective enrichment culture [8], but another study using BLEB with some of the supplements present in Fraser Broth reported no bias based on the serotype difference [11]. In a study of Canadian surface waters where direct-plating of water onto selective medium and enrichment methods were compared different serotype groupings were isolated based on the culture method used [30]. The results of an earlier Canadian watershed study reported that >50% of the isolates from 314 water samples over 5 months were of serotype 1/2a and 3a, and 32% of the isolates were serotype 4 strains [1]. This study utilized selective primary and secondary enrichments before plating on a selective and differential medium. The number of serotype 4b isolates

Table 4. Numbers of isolates of each serotype and the Diversity index for serotyping and for MLVA types in the samples based on the enrichment methods.

Method	Serotype 1/2a	Serotype 1/2b	Serotype 4b	Simpson's Index of Diversity (Serotypes)	Number of MLVA Types	Simpson's Index of Diversity (MLVA Types)	
Direct plating	8	7	142	0.179	41	0.875	
Fraser broth	17	7	123	0.286	40	0.887	
Total	25	14	265	0.232	62	0.881	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467.t004

Table 5. MLVA types detected from more than one water sample and enrichment type from which they were isolated.

MLVA type	Number of isolates (n=304)	Number of samples (n = 62)	Plating type
12	95	33	Both
17	25	13	Both
6	20	8	Both
19	20	8	Both
9	16	8	Both
36	13	9	Both
14	9	4	Both
27	9	4	Both
54	7	4	F only
3	4	4	Both
50	4	2	D only
7	3	2	Both
53	3	2	Both
5	2	2	F only
11	2	2	F only
16	2	2	F only
61	2	2	F only

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092467.t005

observed in the present study is inconsistent with these results, and suggest that serotype 4b was the predominant serotype in these watershed samples and/or that serotype 4b strains were less likely to be isolated than 1/2a or 3a strains by the selective methods used in the Canadian study.

While the majority of isolates were of serotype 4b, they belonged to many MLVA types, indicating a wide diversity of genetic subtypes within serotypes and in the watershed. This could indicate microevolution of *L. monocytogenes* occurring in the watershed environment or multiple incidents of contamination from other point sources (e.g. livestock or wildlife) representing diverse genetic subtypes. Other studies have isolated a more diverse set of *L. monocytogenes* subtypes from direct plating as compared to standard, selective enrichment culture [35].

There are few studies on the ecology of *L. monocytogenes* in watersheds near agricultural environments. We determined the types of isolates obtained through two types of enrichment in a preliminary study of *L. monocytogenes* in an agricultural watershed. There is a concern that wildlife may carry pathogens from contaminated watersheds to fields, and/or sections of agricultural land flooded after heavy rains may be contaminated. The present study indicates that there is much more information to be obtained about the ecology of *L. monocytogenes* in this leafy greens production

References

- Lyautey E, Lapen DR, Wilkes G, McCleary K, Pagotto F, et al. (2007) Distribution and characteristics of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from surface waters of the South Nation River Watershed, Ontario, Canada. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 5401–5410.
- Szymczak B, Szymczak M, Swawicki W, Dabrowski W (2013) Anthropgenic impact on the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in soil, fruits, and vegetables. Folia Microbiologica 58: doi: 10.1007/s12223-12013-10260-12228.
- Frances N, Hornby H, Hunter PR (1991) The isolation of *Listeria* species from fresh-water sites in Cheshire and North Wales. Epidemiol Infect 107: 235–238.
- Perry C, Donnelly CW (1990) Incidence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in silage and its subsequent control by specific and nonspecific antagonism. J Food Prot 53: 642– 647.

watershed environment. The enrichment methods tested in this study can provide in subsequent studies a diverse collection of isolates to aid in determining the incidence and ecology of the pathogen outside of the host. Surveys of similar environments in other regions could assist in determining the predominant subtypes and whether subtypes differ by location.

Supporting Information

Table S1Characteristics of isolates from Watershedsamples.

 (\mathbf{XLSX})

Acknowledgments

The authors thank K. Sperry, S. Kathariou, and E. Trees for information and advice.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LG DC MBC REM. Performed the experiments: LG SW ASL KMN JG DC MBC. Analyzed the data: LG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: REM. Wrote the paper: LG.

- Sauders BD, Overdevest J, Fortes E, Windham K, Schukken Y, et al. (2012) Diversity of *Listeria* species in urban and natural environments. Appl Environ Microbiol 78: 4420–4433.
- FDA (2012) Final update on multistate outbreak of listeriosis linked to whole cantaloupes.
- CDC (2013) Vital signs: Listeria illnesses, deaths, and outbreaks United States, 2009–2011. Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep 62: 448–452.
- Bruhn JB, Vogel BF, Gram L (2005) Bias in the Listeria monocytogenes enrichment procedure: lineage 2 strains outcompete lineage 1 strains in University of Vermont selective enrichments. Appl Env Microibol 71: 961–967.
- Curiale MS, Lewus C (1994) Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in samples containing *Listeria innocua*. J Food Prot 57: 1048–1051.
- Petran R-L, Swanson KMJ (1993) Simultaneous growth of Listeria monocytogenes and Listeria innocua. J Food Prot 56: 616–618.

- Gorski L, Flaherty D, Mandrell RE (2006) Competitive fitness of *Listeria* monocytogenes serotype 1/2a and 4b strains in mixed cultures with and without food in the U. S. Food and Drug Administration enrichment protocol. Appl Environ Microbiol 72: 776–783.
- Benoit PW, Donahue DW (2003) Methods for rapid separation and concentration of bacteria in food that bypass time-consuming cultural enrichment. J Food Prot 66: 1935–1948.
- Hitchins AD (2003) Detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods. In Bacterial Analytical Manual Online. Bacterial Analytical Manual Online. Available: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~ebam/bam-10.html ed: U. S. Food and Drug Administration.
- Graves LM, Swaminathan B (2001) PulseNet standardized protocol for subtyping *Listeria monocytogenes* by macrorestriction and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Intl J Food Microbiol 65: 55–62.
- Sperry KEV, Kathariou S, Edwards JS, Wolf LA (2008) Multiple-locus variablenumber tandem-repeat analysis as a tool for subtyping *Listeria monocytogenes* strains. J Clin Microbiol 46: 1435–1450.
- Murphy M, Corcoran D, Buckley JF, O'Mahony M, Whyte P, et al. (2007) Development and application of multiple-locus variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) to subtype a collection of *Listeria monocytogenes*. 115: 187– 194.
- Saleh-Lakha S, Allen VG, Li J, Pagotto F, Odumeru J, et al. (2013) Subtyping of a large collection of historical *Listeria monocytogenes* strains from Ontario, Canada, using an improved Multiple-Locus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis (MLVA). Appl Environ Microbiol: doi:10.1128/AEM.00759-00713.
- Barrett TJ, Blake PA, Morris GK, Puhr ND, Bradford HB, et al. (1980) Use of Moore swabs for isolating *Vibrio cholerae* from sewage. J Clin Microbiol 11: 385– 388.
- Norton DM, McCamey MA, Gall KL, Scarlett JM, Boor KJ, et al. (2001) Molecular studies on the ecology of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the smoked fish processing industry. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 198–205.
- Palumbo JD, Borucki MK, Mandrell RE, Gorski L (2003) Serotyping of Listeria monocytogenes by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and identification of mixed-serotype cultures by colony immunoblotting. J Clin Microbiol 41: 564– 571.
- Doumith M, Buchrieser C, Glaser P, Jacquet C, Martin P (2004) Differentiation of the major *Listeria monocytogenes* serovars by multiplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol 42: 3819–3822.
- Sperry KEV, Kathariou S, Edwards JS, Wolf LA (2009) Author's correction: Multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis as a tool for subtyping *Listeria monocytogenes* strains. J Clin Microbiol 47: 1984.
- Hunter PR, Gaston MA (1988) Numerical index of the discriminatory ability of typing systems: an application of Simpson's index of diversity. J Clin Microbiol 26: 2465–2466.

- Ngutter C, Donnelly C (2003) Nitrite-induced injury of *Listeria monocytogenes* and the effect of selective versus nonselective recovery procedures on its isolation from frankfurters. J Food Prot 66: 2252–2257.
- Osborne CM, Bremer PJ (2002) Development of a technique to quantify the effectiveness of enrichment regimes in recovering "stressed" *Listeria* cells. J Food Prot 65: 1122–1128.
- Silk TM, Roth TMT, Donnelly CW (2002) Comparison of growth kinetics for healthy and heat-injured *Listeria monocytogenes* in eight enrichment broths. J Food Prot 65: 1333–1337.
- Ryser ET, Arimi SM, Bunkuki MM-C, Donnelly CW (1996) Recovery of different *Listeria* ribotypes from naturally contaminated, raw refrigerated meat and poultry products with two primary enrichment media. Appl Environ Microbiol 62: 1781–1787.
- Pritchard TJ, Donnelly CW (1999) Combined secondary enrichment of primary enrichment broths increases *Listeria* detection. J Food Prot 62: 532–535.
- Duarte G, Vaz-Velho M, Capell C, Gibbs P (1999) Efficiency of four secondary enrichment protocols in differentiation and isolation of *Listeria* spp. and *Listeria monocytogenes* from smoked fish processing chains. Intl J Food Microbiol 52: 163– 168.
- Lyautey E, Hartmann A, Lapen DR, Topp E (2012) A comparison of enrichment and direct-plating methods for isolation of *Listeria monocytogenes* from surface water. Can J Microbiol 58: 1405–1410.
- Willis C, Baalham T, Greenwood M, Presland F (2006) Evaulation of new chromogenic agar for the detection of *Listeria* in food. J Appl Microbiol 101: 711–717.
- Uyttendaele M, Van Hoorde I, Debevere J (2000) The use of immuno-magnetic separation (IMS) as a tool in a sample preparation method for direct detection of *L. monocytogenes* in cheese. Intl J Food Microbiol 54: 205–212.
- Wadud S, Leon-Velarde CG, Larson N, Odumeru JA (2010) Evaluation of immunomagnetic separation in combination with ALOA *Listeria* chromogenic agar for the isolation and identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-cat foods. J Microbiol Meth 81: 153–159.
- Bauwens L, Vercammen F, Hertsens A (2003) Detection of pathogenic *Listeria* spp. in zoo animal faeces: use of immunomagnetic separation and a chromogenic isolation medium. Vet Microbiol 91: 115–123.
- Loncarevic S, Tham W, Danielsson-Tham M-L (1996) The clones of *Listeria* monocytogenes detected in food depend on the method used. Lett Appl Microbiol 22: 381–384.
- Kathariou S (2002) Listeria monocytogenes virulence and pathogenicity, a food safety perspective. J Food Prot 65: 1811–1829.
- Gelbícová T, Karpísková R (2012) Outdoor environment as a source of Listeria monocytogenes in food chain. Czech J Food Sci 30: 83–88.