# Photosensitive Alternative Splicing of the Circadian Clock Gene *timeless* Is Population Specific in a Cold-Adapted Fly, *Drosophila montana*

Riikka Tapanainen,\* Darren J. Parker,\*<sup>,†</sup> and Maaria Kankare\*<sup>,1</sup>

\*University of Jyväskylä, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland and <sup>†</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Biophore, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland ORCID IDs: 0000-0003-4027-7779 (D.J.P.); 0000-0003-1541-9050 (M.K.)

ABSTRACT To function properly, organisms must adjust their physiology, behavior and metabolism in response to a suite of varying environmental conditions. One of the central regulators of these changes is organisms' internal circadian clock, and recent evidence has suggested that the clock genes are also important in the regulation of seasonal adjustments. In particular, thermosensitive splicing of the core clock gene timeless in a cosmopolitan fly, Drosophila melanogaster, has implicated this gene to be involved in thermal adaptation. To further investigate this link we examined the splicing of timeless in a northern malt fly species, Drosophila montana, which can withstand much colder climatic conditions than its southern relative. We studied northern and southern populations from two different continents (North America and Europe) to find out whether and how the splicing of this gene varies in response to different temperatures and day lengths. Interestingly, we found that the expression of timeless splice variants was sensitive to differences in light conditions, and while the flies of all study populations showed a change in the usage of splice variants in constant light compared to LD 22:2, the direction of the shift varied between populations. Overall, our findings suggest that the splicing of timeless in northern Drosophila montana flies is photosensitive, rather than thermosensitive and highlights the value of studying multiple species and populations in order to gain perspective on the generality of gene function changes in different kinds of environmental conditions.

# **KEYWORDS**

Alternative splicing Drosophila montana light-dark cycle timeless temperature

Adaptation to environmental changes *e.g.*, in temperature and day length, is crucial for the survival of most organisms. While insects' responses to cold temperatures can be highly variable, they usually involve changes in reproduction or diapause strategies and metabolism, as well as in gene expression linked with these traits (*e.g.*, Thieringer *et al.* 1998; Hoffmann *et al.* 2003; Vesala *et al.* 2012a, b; Parker *et al.* 

2015). However, gene expression studies typically overlook changes at the transcript level, such as differential variant usage resulting from alternative splicing (AS). Because of the overwhelming prevalence of AS forms across the genome of many Eukaryotes and across different functional classes of genes (Irimia *et al.* 2007), it is very likely that the alternatively spliced variants of central genes influence the way in which organisms adjust to environmental change.

To maximize their fitness, organisms have to adjust their physiology and behavior in response to a suite of varying environmental conditions. Central to the regulation of these changes is an organism's internal circadian clock (Takahashi and Zatz 1982; Boothroyd *et al.* 2007; Zheng and Sehgal 2008), and recent evidence has suggested that clock genes are important also in the regulation of seasonal adjustments (Montelli *et al.* 2015; Denlinger *et al.* 2017). Regulation of the circadian clock itself has been well described in *Drosophila melanogaster*, where both the temperature and the photoperiod have been found to alter the expression of two core clock genes, *timeless (tim)* and *period (per)* in fly heads (Boothroyd *et al.* 2007). In light-entrainment, the expression of



Copyright © 2018 Tapanainen *et al.* 

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200050

Manuscript received January 17, 2018; accepted for publication February 16, 2018; published Early Online February 22, 2018.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental Material is available online at <a href="https://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.118.200050/-/DC1">www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.118.200050/-/DC1</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Maaria Kankare. University of Jyväskylä, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, Survontie 9, Finland, Phone

<sup>+378408054332,</sup> E-mail: maaria.kankare@jyu.fi

these genes is tightly coupled, but the coupling breaks down in temperature-entrainment, which leads to an advance in the expression of per and delay in the expression of tim, but induces no differences at protein level (Boothroyd et al. 2007). There are several potential explanations on how the equal accumulation of PER and TIM proteins could be maintained regardless of the different expression levels. First, there could be a shift in the timing of the expression of both genes (Boothroyd et al. 2007) or the advance in the expression of per could be caused by thermosensitive splicing of this gene, which would then effect the expression levels of tim (Majercak et al. 1999; Collins et al. 2004). Finally, splicing occurring in both of these genes could explain the difference in their expression levels (Boothroyd et al. 2007). Studies on D. melanogaster have shown that tim has two different transcript variants that are thermosensitively spliced (Boothroyd et al. 2007; Montelli et al. 2015): tim<sup>spliced</sup> and tim<sup>unspliced</sup>. The latter one is a longer transcript, in which the last intron is retained causing a premature stop codon and resulting in the production of a truncated protein (the amino acids encoded by the last exon are missing (Boothroyd et al. 2007)). This truncated protein lacks part of the cytoplasmic localization domain, which may contribute to fine-tuning of PER and TIM protein oscillations with the daily thermal cycle (Boothroyd et al. 2007). It is also known that the longer variant (timunspliced) in D. melanogaster flies has higher expression levels at low temperature (18°) than at high temperature (25°) (Boothroyd et al. 2007). Montelli et al. (2015) also investigated different splicing variants of tim in natural conditions throughout the seasons. They found that timspliced expression is increasing at higher temperatures and also that the total amount of tim mRNA expressed is influenced by seasonal and even daily changes of temperature and day length in the wild (Montelli et al. 2015).

Interestingly, in a northern, highly cold tolerant *D. montana* fly, peak expression levels of *tim* and *per* genes have been found to be similar to each other in several conditions from continuous light in early summer to the shorter day lengths and cooler temperatures in late summer, typical to northern environments (Kauranen *et al.* 2016). Consequently, both the photoperiod and the daily temperature cycles are important cues for seasonal changes in the circadian rhythm of *D. montana* (Kauranen *et al.* 2016). This offers a good possibility to the study fundamentals of expression level differences, including patterns of AS, in *tim* and to get some insights on their role in adaptation to seasonally varying environmental conditions. In the current study, we collected information from the splicing variants of *tim* in *D. montana* flies from two temperature and photoperiodic conditions. We also

examined the effects of these conditions on the expression levels of *tim* variants in northern and southern populations from two continents. Alternative splicing is an important genetic mechanism that may also help species to adapt to different environments (*e.g.*, Jakšić and Schlötterer 2016) and information on specific clock genes and their splicing patterns could be of the utmost importance to understand these patterns.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

# Fly strains and rearing

We used isofemale strains of D. montana established from the progenies of mated females collected in the wild from two extremes of a latitudinal cline in the USA (Fairbanks, Alaska; 64°55'N and Azalea, Oregon; 42°48'N) and in Finland (Pyhätunturi; 67°06'N and Lahti; 60°59'N) (Figure 1, Supplementary material, Table S1 in File S1). The flies were collected using malt traps near small rivers and streams and reared in 250 ml bottles containing malt medium (Lakovaara 1969) in constant light (LL 24:0) and at 19° since their establishment. For the current experiment, ten randomly chosen females and ten males from each of the studied strains were moved into new 250 ml malt medium bottles and transferred into a maintenance chamber with two temperature and two lighting conditions (i.e., we had 4 replicate bottles from each strain). After the flies had mated and laid eggs, the founder flies were removed from the bottles to ensure that the flies (progeny) used in this study had been reared in the correct conditions from egg to adult. Half of the flies were reared in constant light (LL) and the other half in day length of 22 hr of light and two hours of darkness (LD 22:2). Both of these light regimes prevent the flies of all our study populations from entering diapause, which could cause differences in the expression level of many circadian clock genes (Salminen et al. 2015). In both lighting conditions, the flies were further divided into two temperature groups: 19° and 16°. The higher temperature was the same where the original isofemale strains had been maintained since their establishment and the lower temperature used was 16°. Both of these temperatures resemble those in flies' natural sites during the summer months except the temperatures from southern Azalea which would be too high for northern flies and already cause thermal acclimation (See Supplementary Table S8 in File S1). We collected two females randomly from each isofemale strain and used three strains for constant light and two strains for LD 22:2 from each population (Supplementary Table S1 in File S1). Flies were collected at the same time of the day at 1.00 PM (13:00) from



Figure 1 D. montana fly populations used in the study. Fly collection sites in Fairbanks (Alaska, northern population) and Azalea (Oregon, southern population) in North America, with geographical distance between the collection sites more than 4000 km. Finnish flies originated from Pyhätunturi (northern population) and Lahti (southern population) with a distance between the sites approximately 700 km.

both light dark-cycles at the age of 2-3 weeks (*i.e.*, when they were sexually mature) quickly frozen with liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-84^{\circ}$  prior to RNA extraction. The dark period of 2 hr in LD 22:2 was always at 00.00-02.00 AM.

# RNA extractions, cDNA synthesis and molecular cloning of the different transcripts

RNA was extracted from whole female flies with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research) with DNAse treatment, and the purity of the RNA was measured with a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific) using ND-1000 V3.8.1 software. RNA concentration of each sample was measured with Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit. cDNA synthesis was carried out with SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using equal amounts (100 ng) of RNA. The 3' end of the gene (from exon 13 until beginning of 3'UTR region, Figure 2) was amplified from the cDNA using PCR and tim\_ex13\_1F and tim\_3'UTR\_Rb primers (Supplementary Table S2 in File S1) and following protocol: 95° for 1 min, 95° for 15 s, 55° for 15 s, 72° for 20 s, 20° for 2 min. Molecular cloning was used to investigate the presence of different transcripts in different samples. The cloning was carried out using a CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (Fermentas, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using manufacturer's instructions and LuriaBroth ampicillin plates. PCR products were ligated into the vector (pJET1.2/blunt Cloning vector) and transformed into E. coli Zymo 5 alfa (Zymo Research, T3007) cells. Plates were incubated at 37° overnight (14-16 hr) and 70 colonies from each sample were picked from the plates. From 1680 collected colonies, 228 were randomly chosen to be amplified with PCR using pJET1.2 F and R primers (Supplementary Table S2 in File S1) and run in agarose gels to check which of them had the expected transcript lengths (about 500 and 1000 bp). A total of 19 products with expected lengths were then chosen randomly to be sequenced with ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using pJET1.2 F and R primers. Sequenced products were analyzed using Sequence Analysis software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then aligned using PRANK (v.100802, Löytynoja and Goldman 2005) with default options.

# Quantitative real time PCR (qPCR)

Differences between the expression levels of the two splice variants of *timeless* were measured with qPCR using the above-mentioned cDNA samples and tim\_intron\_13\_2FR, tim\_exon\_14F and tim\_3'UTR\_Rb primers (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S2 in File S1) with Bio-Rad's CFX96 Real-Time System C1000 Touch thermal cycler. qPCR protocol used was: 95° for 3 min, 95° for 10 s, 55° for 10 s, 72° for 30 s, 95° for 10 s

and finally melting curve analysis. The gene expression levels were calculated with normalized expression method ( $\Delta\Delta$ (Ct)) (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) using real efficiency values for the primers (Supplementary Table S3 in File S1) and CFX Manager v. 3.1 (BioRad). *Ribosomal protein L23* (*RpL32*) and *18S Ribosomal RNA* (*18S*), which showed equal expression levels in all samples, were used as control genes. Each run included 3 technical replicates for each sample, and the final threshold value (Cq) was defined as a mean of the technical replicates that produced good quality data. The primers used in qPCR bind either to intron 13 or to exon 14 and the 3'UTR region (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S1 in File S1). The primer pair that binds only to intron 13 tracks the amount of expression of the longer variant (intron 13 included, *Dmon-tim<sup>unspliced</sup>* see Figure 2), whereas the primer pair that binds to exon 14 and in 3'UTR tracks the expression levels of both variants, as both of them include exon 14 at the transcriptional level (*Dmon-tim<sup>unspliced</sup>* and *Dmon-tim<sup>spliced</sup>*, Figure 2).

# Statistical analyses

The expression values collected from the qPCR runs were used to calculate the ratio of expression levels between the intron-containing long transcript (*Dmon-tim<sup>unspliced</sup>*) and both transcripts containing the exon region (hereby referred to as the long/both variant(s) ratio), for each sample. A type III 3-way ANOVA implemented in R (version 3.1.2) (R Core Team 2016) using the car package (Fox and Weisberg 2011) was used to trace the effects of temperature and lighting treatments and population, as well as possible interactions between them, on the long/ both variant(s) ratio in our samples. The assumptions of ANOVA were met, as the data were normally distributed (normality of the dependent variable (long/both variant(s) ratio) was checked with Shapiro–Wilk test (Royston 1995) and the samples were independent. After ANOVA, Tukey's HSD test (Tukey's honest significant difference) (Miller 1981) was used to examine pairwise differences between the groups.

#### Data availability

All generated sequences have been deposited into GenBank under the following accession numbers: MG279509 - MG279527.

Supplementary Material includes Tables S1–S8 and Figures S1 and S2 in File S1.

File S2 contains the full alignment of the sequenced areas of *timeless* gene.

# RESULTS

#### Different tim splicing variants in D. montana.

Sequencing of the cDNA collected from two different temperature and light-dark (LD) treatments identified two different splicing variants,



**Figure 2** *timeless* gene in *D. montana*. A) Schematic presentation of *timeless* gene in *D. montana* showing exons 1-12 (gray), exon 13 (blue), exon 14 (green), UTR (yellow), and introns (black). Note that introns are not in scale. B) Schematic presentation of the two splice variants, *Dmon-tim<sup>spliced</sup>* and *Dmon-tim<sup>unspliced</sup>*. In the first variant the intron between

exons 13 and 14 has been spliced out, whereas in the second variant it has been retained. R1 and R2 show the regions of the transcripts amplified in the qPCR (see methods for details).

# A 440 450 460 TGGTCGCCCGATCGCCCGATCGCCCGATCGCCCTGAA TGGTCGCCCGATCGCCCGATCG----CCCTGAA TGGTCGCCCGATCG----CCCTGAA

B 900 910 910 920 GAAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGACACTAA GAAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGA - - CACTAA GAAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGA - - - - CACTAA GAAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGA - - - - - CACTAA

Figure 3 Repeat variation in *timeless* intron 13 and 3'UTR. Sequence alignment produced by PRANK showing the length differences in the *timeless* gene, caused by repeat regions. Numbers indicate base position in full alignment provided in Supplementary Data S1. A) Repeat region of CCCGATCG in the middle of intron 13 and B) dinucleotide repeat of GA in the 3'UTR region.

*Dmon-tim*<sup>spliced</sup> and *Dmon-tim*<sup>unspliced</sup>, with the product lengths of 284 and 800 nucleotides (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S2 in File S1). The length difference was caused by the retention of the intron between exons 13 and 14 in the longer transcript. Before sequencing, the variants were cloned to separate the long and short transcripts from each other and run on agarose gel electrophoresis to identify their length in each picked colony. The difference between the product lengths was 516 bp, which caused both the PCR and cloning to be biased toward the shorter transcript (less than 1/10 of the colonies had the longer product).

In addition to the two expected splice variants, we also found a few variants with unexpected sizes. Up to 16 nucleotide differences in the lengths of these variant were caused by insertions/deletions of the "CCCGATCG" repeat region in the middle of the intron 13 (Figure 3A). Moreover, at the 3'UTR, a dinucleotide region of GA repeated 6-9 times (Figure 3B) was found from all four fly populations from Finland and USA (for the full alignment see File S2). We did not find any correlation between the number of repeats and particular population, *i.e.*, none of the repeat types were restricted to a single population.

# Gene expression levels of different tim variants

Expression level analysis involved two primer pairs, the first of which binds to intron 13 and the second one to exon 14 and 3'UTR region (Supplementary Figure S1 in File S1). The first pair of primers gave information on the expression level of the longer splice variant (Dmon-timunspliced), including the intron sequence, and the second pair on the expression levels of both products (as both variants include the exon 14). These expression levels were then used to calculate the long/both variant(s) ratio in different fly samples. An ANOVA comparing these ratios between all populations and treatments detected three statistically significant factors, including the effect of population (P < 0.001), light treatment (P < 0.05) and the interaction between them (P < 0.001), while temperature did not have a significant effect (P >0.90) (Table 1). Interestingly, significant interaction between population and light treatment seems to be driven by the fact that in the USA populations (Fairbanks and Azalea) the long/both variant(s) ratio is higher in constant light (LL) than in LD 22:2, while in the Finnish populations (Lahti and Pyhätunturi) the situation is the opposite (Figure 4).

Since a number of the higher-order terms in the full ANOVA model were non-significant, a reduced model was produced by sequentially dropping the non-significant highest-order term with the highest p-value. Following this procedure the reduced model consisted of the following terms: temperature, light treatment, population, and the interaction between population and light treatment (Supplementary Table S4 in File S1). Results from the reduced model were similar to the results obtained from the full model, with population (P < 0.001), light treatment (P < 0.05) and interaction between of population and light treatment (P < 0.001) showing significant effects. Moreover, examination of pairwise differences between the treatment groups for temperature, light and population revealed several significant differences, most of which were between the two Finnish populations (Supplementary Table S5 in File S1, for statistically significant results of pairwise comparisons see Supplementary Table S6 in File S1 and for all pairwise comparisons see Supplementary Table S7 in File S1).

# DISCUSSION

Alternative splicing of genes is an important genetic mechanism, as it provides an additional source of variation for selection at the genetic

■ Table 1 The ANOVA analysis comparing long/both variant(s) ratios of *timeless* among all the populations and treatments. The effect of temperature, population and light treatment assessed by ANOVA (full model). Abbreviations: Temp = temperature, Pop = population and Light = light treatment. Significant p-values are bolded. Total number of replicates = 42

Treatment	Sum of Sq	Df	F value	ω <sup>2</sup>	Р
(Intercept)	0.2798	1	7.9440	0.078	0.0091**
Temp	0.0003	1	0.0079	-0.011	0.9300
Pop	0.5772	3	5.4623	0.150	0.0048**
Light	0.1777	1	5.0458	0.045	0.0334*
Temp*pop	0.0639	3	0.6044	-0.013	0.6181
Temp*light	0.0252	1	0.7150	-0.003	0.4055
Pop*light	0.9642	3	9.1245	0.273	0.0003***
Temp*pop*light	0.1105	3	1.0460	0.002	0.3888
Residuals	0.9158	26			

Significance levels: \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001.



**Figure 4** Comparison of long/both variant(s) normalized expression ratio of *timeless* in different populations from different light-dark cycles and temperatures. Conditions are given in the form: population, temperature (°C), and light dark cycle separated by an underscore. Populations are abbreviated as: Az = Azalea, Fa = Fairbanks, La = Lahti and Py = Pyhätunturi. Blue boxes indicates the LD of 22:2 and white boxes constant light (LL).

level, which may be crucial for the species' adaptation into seasonally changing environments. In many insect species the circadian clock, which regulates many changes in physiological and behavioral traits, is entrained by rhythmic changes in light and temperature cues (Montelli et al. 2015; Denlinger et al. 2017). Here, we investigated the splicing patterns of a core circadian clock gene, timeless (tim), in northern and southern D. montana populations from two different continents (Europe and North America). Overall, our findings suggest that the splicing of tim in northern D. montana flies is photosensitive, rather than thermosensitive contrary to the situation in the widespread cosmopolitan species, D. melanogaster (Boothroyd et al. 2007; Montelli et al. 2015). Interestingly, we also found that a change in the photoperiod induced a shift in the relative abundances of tim variants on both continents, but in different directions. Moreover, in Finnish populations the level of splicing was similar in constant light but not in LD 22:2, while in North America it showed different patterns in both photoperiods. This highlights how idiosyncratic genetic changes can be even within a single species and the value of using multiple species and populations in these type of studies.

The circadian clock is the main time-keeping mechanism in a wide range of organisms. When investigating the splicing of the core circadian clock genes, like *tim*, it is important to take into account that the role and the function of the clock can vary between the species. Importantly, the northern D. montana flies are known to be able to maintain their free-running locomotor activity rhythm in constant light but not in constant darkness, opposite to the behavior of more southern species like D. melanogaster (Kauranen et al. 2012) and the same pattern was also found from two other northern D. virilis group fly species D. ezoana and D. littoralis (Menegazzi et al. 2017). This is suggested to be an adaptation to the long (even continuous) days during the flies' mating season in early summer in the northern latitudes (Kauranen et al. 2012). Kauranen et al. (2016) also investigated locomotor activity of D. montana flies in different light-dark cycles for two weeks and found out that in LL (19°) the flies free run with period of 23 hr and in LD 22:2 (19/13°) the flies entrained rhythms were very close to 24 hr. The authors also resolved that the mean locomotor activity did not change between the groups of flies collected from the above mentioned

light dark cycles (Kauranen *et al.* 2016). Moreover, *D. montana* lacks the morning activity peak typical to *D. melanogaster* (Kauranen *et al.* 2012) as do also *D. ezoana* and *D. littoralis* (Menegazzi *et al.* 2017). All of these differences could induce the differences detected in the splicing patterns of *tim* in above-mentioned species, but the splicing frequency of the *tim* can also be influenced by the expression of the other core circadian clock genes, including *per*. In *D. montana*, the expression levels of *tim* and *per* coincide in the same light-dark cycles and temperatures (Kauranen *et al.* 2016), while in *D. melanogaster* in light-entrainment, the expression of these genes is tightly coupled, but the coupling breaks down in temperature-entrainment leading to an advance in the expression of *per* and delay in the expression of *tim* (Boothroyd *et al.* 2007).

In natural conditions, flies need to respond to variation in light and temperature at both daily and seasonal scales. Among the photoperiods used in this study, constant light (24:0) represents situation in early summer at high latitudes (Supplementary Table S8 in File S1) and in this lighting condition the circadian rhythms of the flies are free-running but the flies still retain their rhythmicity (Kauranen et al. 2016). The second photoperiod, light-dark cycle of LD 22:2, represents mid-summer at high latitudes (Supplementary Table S8 in File S1), and here the circadian rhythms are entrained by the two hours dark period. These photoperiods prevent both the northern and the southern flies from entering diapause, which is important for the scope of the present study (Salminen et al. 2015). Although a difference of two hours between the light cycles may appear small, it in fact may represent a large difference in the environmental conditions for different populations of northerndistributed fly such as D. montana. For example, day length increases by 4.8 hr in northern Pyhätunturi (Finland) and by 2.5 hr in Fairbanks (USA) populations from May to June, while in the southern Lahti (Finland) and Azalea (USA) populations the change is only 1.7 and 1.0 hr, respectively (Supplementary Table S8 in File S1). Hence, for the more northern populations a 2 hr difference in LD equates to a short time period (i.e., only 2 weeks) during midsummer while for more southern species it means a longer time period (up to 2 months) when other environmental conditions such as temperature may have changed greatly. Unexpectedly, the direction of a change induced by the photoperiod (constant light vs. LD 22:2) on *tim* splicing differed between the USA and Finnish populations. In the USA populations the expression of the longer variant (*Dmon*-tim<sup>unspliced</sup>) was higher in constant light (LL) than in LD of 22:2, while in the Finnish populations the pattern was the opposite. One thing that may have affected our results is that, although Finnish flies from both of the used populations and USA flies from northern Fairbanks experience very long day lengths during the summer in the wild, constant light is natural only for the Pyhätunturi population in June (see Supplementary Table S8 in File S1). The effect of very long and unnatural light conditions in the splicing of *tim* is not known but it might explain the observed differences between North American and Finnish populations, as 15 hr of light is the longest photoperiod that the southern Azalea flies from USA ever experience in natural conditions while in Lahti (Finland) it is almost 19 hr of light (Supplementary Table S8 in File S1).

In addition to the thermosensitive variants at the 3' end of the timeless discussed above, D. melanogaster flies have two other tim variants called *ls-tim* and *s-tim*. Among these variants *ls-tim* has two translation starting points, which create long and short variants differing by 23 amino acids in the first exon, whereas s-tim only codes for the short product (Sandrelli et al. 2007; Tauber et al. 2007). s-tim appears to be the ancestral variant present in northern Europe, while ls-tim is a newer variant that has spread through Europe (Tauber et al. 2007). ls-tim makes the circadian clock in D. melanogaster less light-sensitive, which is thought to be favorable in colder climates and in environments with more variable photoperiods (Pittendrigh et al. 1991; Pegoraro et al. 2017). Montelli et al. (2015) investigated the affinity of 4 different TIM protein isoforms (combination of S-TIM/L-TIM and TIMspliced/ TIM<sup>unspliced</sup>) and found that the light response may be stronger for s-tim flies under colder conditions. Interestingly, the earlier translation start site and *ls-tim* variant has not been found in *D. montana*, so these northern flies appear to only have s-tim variants and with different 3'end splicing variants they seem to be strongly photosensitive and well adapted to northern environmental conditions. We also want to point out that in this study we investigated the alternative splicing patterns of tim using whole flies, while studies with D. melanogaster have been mainly using the heads of the flies (Sandrelli et al. 2007; Montelli et al. 2015), which could indeed cause some differences in tim expression patterns between the species. However, although the expression of *tim* is known to primarily occur in the head of the flies (Myers et al. 1996), it is also expressed in other tissues like digestive system, fat body and ovaries of the flies (Graveley et al. 2011) and currently very little is known about the expression or splicing patterns of tim or other circadian clock genes in D. montana in specific tissues like head (but see Kauranen et al. 2016). Consequently, to get an overall idea of the splicing patterns occurring in different populations of D. montana from several different environmental conditions, we used whole flies and aim to move to more specific tissues in future studies.

Interestingly, we also found length differences in the longer variant from all four fly populations caused by insertions/deletions of the "CCCGATCG" repeat region in the middle of the intron 13 as well as from a dinucleotide region of GA repeated 6-9 times 3'UTR region. There was no correlation between the number of repeats and particular population, *i.e.*, none of the repeat types were restricted to a single population. The function of these repeat regions and the reason for the variability of the repeat length is currently unknown, though they might have some regulatory functions and affect *e.g.*, the expression levels of the *tim*. This could be investigated in detail in future studies as the other core circadian clock gene, *per*, is known to have thermosensitive splicing specifically in the 3'UTR region in *D. melanogaster* (Majercak *et al.* 1999).

# CONCLUSIONS

In this study we investigated the alternative splicing of an important core circadian clock gene timeless in several Drosophila montana populations in response to different temperatures and day lengths. We found that the expression of different splice variants of tim were not thermosensitive, but photosensitive, in contrast to earlier studies in D. melanogaster. We also found significant differences between the populations on two continents, as the flies from the North America (especially the southern population) expressed the longer, unspliced transcript more in constant light than in specific light-dark cycle, while flies from the Finnish populations showed the opposite expression pattern. Consequently, our results suggest that the splicing patterns of tim in different D. montana populations from different geographical origins are different, highlighting the importance of using multiple populations in order to gain perspective on the generality of gene function changes in different kinds of environmental conditions. Obviously, more studies with bigger temperature differences, a wide range of photoperiods and even more widely distributed populations are needed to better understand the presence of different variants of tim and their possible effects on the circadian clock rhythms of these flies in tough northern environments. Moreover, functional genetic studies with e.g., RNAi and CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing systems enable to experientially manipulate the expression of different tim variants in multiple genetic backgrounds to determine if different splicing patterns are able to produce similar phenotypic effects in different photoperiods.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kalevi Trontti for the guidance in the molecular cloning, Tapio Envall for the help with the expression analysis calculations and Anneli Hoikkala for critical reading of the manuscript, valuable comments and original preparing of the Supplementary Table S8 in File S1. The project was supported by the grants from Academy of Finland to MK (projects 268214 and 272927).

Author contributions: M.K. designed the study, R.T. conducted the experiments and collected the data, R.T, M.K. and D.J.P. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Boothroyd, C. E., H. Wijnen, F. Naef, L. Saez, and M. W. Young, 2007 Integration of light and temperature in the regulation of circadian gene expression in Drosophila. PLoS Genet. 3(4): e54. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030054
- Collins, B. H., E. Rosato, and C. P. Kyriacou, 2004 Seasonal behavior in Drosophila melanogaster requires the photoreceptors, the circadian clock, and phospholipase C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101(7): 1945–1950. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308240100
- Denlinger, D. L., D. A. Hahn, C. Merlin, C. M. Holzapfel, and W. E. Bradshaw, 2017 Keeping time without a spine: what can the insect clock teach us about seasonal adaptation? Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 372(1734). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0257
- Fox, J., and S. Weisberg, 2011 An R Companion to Applied Regression, Ed. 2nd. Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA., URL http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/ jfox/Books/Companion.
- Graveley, B. R., A. N. Brooks, J. W. Carlson, M. O. Duff, J. M. Landolin et al., 2011 The developmental transcriptome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nature 471(7339): 473–479. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09715
- Hoffmann, A. A., J. G. Sørensen, and V. Loeschcke, 2003 Adaptation of Drosophila to temperature extremes: bringing together quantitative and molecular approaches. J. Therm. Biol. 28(3): 175–216. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0306-4565(02)00057-8

Irimia, M., J. L. Rukov, D. Penny, and S. W. Roy, 2007 Functional and evolutionary analysis of alternatively spliced genes is consistent with an early eukaryotic origin of alternative splicing. BMC Evol. Biol. 7(1): 188. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-188

Jakšić, A. M., and C. Schlötterer, 2016 The Interplay of Temperature and Genotype on Patterns of Alternative Splicing in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 204(1): 315–325. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.192310

Kauranen, H., O. Ala-Honkola, M. Kankare, and A. Hoikkala,
2016 Circadian clock of *Drosophila montana* is adapted to high variation in summer day lengths and temperatures prevailing at high latitudes.
J. Insect Physiol. 89: 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2016.03.005

Kauranen, H., P. Menegazzi, R. Costa, C. Helfrich-Förster, A. Kankainen et al., 2012 Flies in the north: Locomotor behavior and clock neuron organization of *Drosophila montana*. J. Biol. Rhythms 27(5): 377–387. https://doi.org/10.1177/0748730412455916

Lakovaara, S., 1969 Malt as a culture medium for Drosophila species. Drosoph. Inf. Serv. 44: 128.

Livak, K. J., and T. D. Schmittgen, 2001 Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. Methods 25(4): 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Löytynoja, A., and N. Goldman, 2005 An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with insertions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102(30): 10557–10562. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409137102

Majercak, J., D. Sidote, P. E. Hardin, and I. Edery, 1999 How a circadian clock adapts to seasonal decreases in temperature and day length. Neuron 24(1): 219–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80834-X

Menegazzi, P., E. Dalla Benetta, M. Beauchamp, M. Schlichting, I. Steffan-Dewenter *et al.*, 2017 Adaptation of circadian neuronal network to photoperiod in high-latitude European drosophilids. Curr. Biol. 27(6): 833–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.036

Miller, R. G., 1981 Normal univariate techniques, pp. 37–108 in *Simultaneous Statistical Inference*. Springer, New York.

Montelli, S., G. Mazzotta, S. Vanin, L. Caccin, S. Corrà et al., 2015 period and timeless mRNA splicing profiles under natural conditions in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Biol. Rhythms 30(3): 217–227. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0748730415583575

Myers, M. P., K. Wager-Smith, A. Rothenfluh-Hilfiker, and M. W. Young, 1996 Light-induced degradation of TIMELESS and entrainment of the Drosophila circadian clock. Science 271(5256): 1736–1740. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.271.5256.1736

Parker, D., L. Vesala, M. Ritchie, A. Laiho, A. Hoikkala et al., 2015 How consistent are the transcriptome changes associated with cold acclimation in two species of the *Drosophila virilis* group? Heredity 115(1): 13–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.6 Pegoraro, M., V. Zonato, E. R. Tyler, G. Fedele, C. P. Kyriacou et al., 2017 Geographical analysis of diapause inducibility in European Drosophila melanogaster populations. J. Insect Physiol. 98: 238–244. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.01.015

Pittendrigh, C. S., W. T. Kyner, and T. Takamura, 1991 The amplitude of circadian oscillations: temperature dependence, latitudinal clines, and the photoperiodic time measurement. J. Biol. Rhythms 6(4): 299–313. https:// doi.org/10.1177/074873049100600402

R Core Team, 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: https:// www.R-project.org/

Royston, P., 1995 Remark AS R94: A remark on algorithm AS 181: The W-test for normality. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat. 44: 547–551.

Salminen, T. S., L. Vesala, A. Laiho, M. Merisalo, A. Hoikkala *et al.*, 2015 Seasonal gene expression kinetics between diapause phases in *Drosophila virilis* group species and overwintering differences between diapausing and non-diapausing females. Sci. Rep. 5(1): 11197. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep11197

Sandrelli, F., E. Tauber, M. Pegoraro, G. Mazzotta, P. Cisotto et al., 2007 A molecular basis for natural selection at the timeless locus in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 316(5833): 1898–1900. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138426

Takahashi, J. S., and M. Zatz, 1982 Regulation of circadian rhythmicity. Science 217(4565): 1104–1111. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6287576

Tauber, E., M. Zordan, F. Sandrelli, M. Pegoraro, N. Osterwalder et al., 2007 Natural selection favors a newly derived timeless allele in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 316(5833): 1895–1898. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1138412

Thieringer, H. A., P. G. Jones, and M. Inouye, 1998 Cold shock and adaptation. BioEssays 20(1): 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199801)20:1<49::AID-BIES8>3.0.CO;2-N

Vesala, L., T. Salminen, M. Kankare, and A. Hoikkala, 2012a Photoperiodic regulation of cold tolerance and expression levels of *regucalcin* gene in *Drosophila montana*. J. Insect Physiol. 58(5): 704–709. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.02.004

Vesala, L., T. Salminen, A. Laiho, A. Hoikkala, and M. Kankare, 2012b Cold tolerance and cold-induced modulation of gene expression in two *Dro-sophila virilis* group species with different distributions. Insect Mol. Biol. 21(1): 107–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2011.01119.x

Zheng, X., and A. Sehgal, 2008 Probing the relative importance of molecular oscillations in the circadian clock. Genetics 178(3): 1147–1155. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.088658

Communicating Editor: H. Salz