

Review

Prenatal Amino Acid Supplementation to Improve Fetal Growth: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Fieke Terstappen ^{1,2,*,†}, Angela J. C. Tol ^{3,†}, Hendrik Gremmels ⁴, Kimberley E. Wever ⁵, Nina D. Paauw ², Jaap A. Joles ⁴, Eline M. van der Beek ^{3,6} and A. Titia Lely ²

- ¹ Department for Developmental Origins of Disease (DDOD), Wilhelmina Children's Hospital University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ² Department of Obstetrics, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands; N.D.Paauw-2@umcutrecht.nl (N.D.P.); A.T.Lely@umcutrecht.nl (A.T.L.)
- ³ Department of Pediatrics, University Medical Center Groningen, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands; a.j.c.tol@umcg.nl (A.J.C.T.); Eline.VANDERBEEK@danone.com (E.M.v.d.B.)
- ⁴ Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands; H.Gremmels@umcutrecht.nl (H.G.); J.A.Joles@umcutrecht.nl (J.A.J.)
- ⁵ Systematic Review Center for Laboratory Animal Experimentation (SYRCLE), Department for Health Evidence, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Kim.Wever@radboudumc.nl
- ⁶ Danone Nutricia Research, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands
- * Correspondence: F.Terstappen@umcutrecht.nl; Tel.: +31-887577251
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 22 July 2020; Accepted: 19 August 2020; Published: 21 August 2020



Abstract: Aberrant fetal growth remains a leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality and is associated with a risk of developing non-communicable diseases later in life. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis combining human and animal studies to assess whether prenatal amino acid (AA) supplementation could be a promising approach to promote healthy fetal growth. PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane libraries were searched to identify studies orally supplementing the following AA groups during gestation: (1) arginine family, (2) branched chain (BCAA), and (3) methyl donors. The primary outcome was fetal/birth weight. Twenty-two human and 89 animal studies were included in the systematic review. The arginine family and, especially, arginine itself were studied the most. Our meta-analysis showed beneficial effects of arginine and (*N*-Carbamyl) glutamate (NCG) but not aspartic acid and citrulline on fetal/birth weight. However, no effects were reported when an isonitrogenous control diet was included. BCAA and methyl donor supplementation did not affect fetal/birth weight. Arginine family supplementation, in particular arginine and NCG, improves fetal growth in complicated pregnancies. BCAA and methyl donor supplementation do not seem to be as promising in targeting fetal growth. Well-controlled research in complicated pregnancies is needed before ruling out AA supplements or preferring arginine above other AAs.

Keywords: amino acids; arginine; birth weight; branched chain amino acid; fetal growth restriction; meta-analysis; methyl donor; pregnancy

1. Introduction

Divergence in fetal growth—both under- and overgrowth—remains a leading cause of perinatal mortality and morbidity [1,2]. Fetal growth divergence has been associated with the development of non-communicable diseases later in life, including cardio-metabolic disorders [3–8].



Normal fetal growth requires adequate amino acid (AA) supply during all trimesters, which depends on the placental capacity to transfer AAs from the maternal to fetal side [9]. Several factors influence this transfer capacity, such as maternal plasma AA concentrations, utero-placental blood flow, and placental surface area [10].

A disruption in fetal supply of AAs might contribute to fetal under- or overgrowth. The major cause of fetal growth restriction (FGR) is placental insufficiency, which often co-occurs with hypertensive disorder during pregnancy. Decreased utero-placental blood flow could result in reduced placental transfer of AAs and consequently FGR. Lower circulating levels of AAs of the arginine family and branched chain AAs (BCAA) and reduced expression or activity of placental AA transporters are indeed observed in FGR pregnancies [11–16]. On the other hand, fetal overgrowth is observed in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) in which increased circulating AA levels interact with insulin sensitivity, and increased maternal glucose stimulates nutrient transport over the placenta [17–20].

Oral supplementation of AAs during pregnancy could be an effective—and relatively safe—therapeutic or prophylactic solution to improving perinatal and long-term health. The arginine family, BCAAs, and methyl donors form three interesting supplementation groups by virtue of their influence on fetal growth. The arginine family plays a key role in placental growth and development through nitric oxide (NO) and polyamine syntheses and through the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway [9,12,21–23]. Arginine also stimulates creatine production and skeletal muscle protein synthesis [24]. BCAAs possess strong insulinogenic and anabolic effects, and these essential AAs mediate lean body mass growth through mTOR [12,25]. Methyl donors stimulate fatty acid catabolism [26]. Their ability to donate methyl groups facilitates genetic and epigenetic regulation of placental and fetal programming [27]. The effect of AA supplementation during pregnancy has been studied in both humans and animals, but a clear overview of the resulting effects is currently lacking.

This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluates the effect of oral supplementation of the three AA groups on fetal growth in complicated and normal-growth pregnancies. Considering the altered circulating levels of the specific AAs, the activity of placental transporters, and the hypothesized mechanism of action, we speculate that AAs from the arginine family or BCAAs normalize fetal undergrowth, while methyl donors normalize fetal overgrowth. By including different AAs in animal and human studies in one meta-analysis, we aim to identify the most effective AA (group) and other modifiable factors (e.g., dose). This will contribute towards future study designs aimed at developing an AA-based supplementation strategy to prevent fetal growth divergence and its sequels.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Protocol

This systematic review was conducted according to a prespecified protocol registered at PROSPERO for animal studies (CRD42018098779; based on [28]) and human studies (CRD42018095995) (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO). The sparse amendments to the review protocol that were made post hoc are reported in the Appendix. This review is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines [29]. No language or publication date restrictions were applied.

2.2. Data Sources and Search Strategy

On 25th July 2018, we searched Pubmed, Embase (via OVID), and the Cochrane Library database to identify animal and human studies reporting on prenatal supplementation of 14 AAs falling within the following three groups: (1) arginine family: arginine, citrulline, glutamate, glutamine, asparagine, aspartate, proline, and ornithine; (2) BCAA: leucine, iso-leucine, and valine; and (3) methyl donors: cysteine, methionine, and the AA derivate choline. Search strings are provided (Tables A1–A3).

2.3. Study Selection: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Two independent investigators (F.T. and A.T.) screened articles for inclusion using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria in Early Review Organizing Software (EROS, version 2.0)), as described in detail in the Appendix. To be eligible for inclusion, studies needed to (1) to be performed in mammals with a normal-growth pregnancy or a complicated pregnancy resulting in fetal growth divergence: FGR, pre-eclampsia (PE), pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), GDM/diabetes mellitus, or prematurity; (2) to study the effects of oral supplementation with one of the 14 AAs for more than one day (at lib) or at least twice (as bolus) during pregnancy; and (3) to report one of the following outcomes: fetal/birth weight; maternal blood pressure (BP); maternal glucose or insulin levels; gestational weight gain; or development of pregnancy complications in human risk population including FGR, PE, PIH, GDM, prematurity, and neonates born small or large for gestational age (SGA or LGA). For human studies, we only included randomized controlled trials. The complete list of exclusion criteria is reported in the Appendix.

2.4. Data Extraction

Data were extracted in duplicate by F.T. and A.T. We extracted data on study characteristics, such as supplementation strategy and the (gestational) day of measurement, and for each outcome mean, SD, and number of subjects per experimental group were noted (see Appendix for more details).

2.5. Assessment of Risk of Bias and Study Quality

Risk of bias was assessed in duplicate by F.T. and A.T. using the risk of bias tools from SYRCLE for animal studies [30] and from the Cochrane Collaboration (Review Manager 5.3.5, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) for human controlled trials. Adjustments to the tools are described in Appendix. Studies were not excluded based on poor study quality.

2.6. Meta-Analysis

Meta-analyses were performed separately for normal-growth versus complicated pregnancies versus pregnancies at-risk of complications. All animal species were pooled together, and the analyses were performed for each AA group for each outcome only when more than five studies could be included. Fetal/birth weight (primary outcome) was compared between groups as a ratio of means (ROM) and, in humans, additionally presented as a mean difference (MD). Maternal BP, blood glucose or insulin levels, and gestational weight gain were presented as an MD, and development of pregnancy complication was presented as an odds ratio (OR). Pooled effect size estimates are presented with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Data were analyzed using random- or mixed effects models, using nesting if multiple cohorts from one study were included [31].

Meta-regression analyses were performed to study effects of modifiable factors in the complicated pregnancy group only, and were only performed if at least two studies per category were present. When studies reported data on multiple cohorts (e.g., multiple dose), then these were included in the meta-analysis as independent comparisons. Meta-regression was performed on species, type of pregnancy complications, administration timing (full pregnancy vs. partly), and scheme (continuous vs. interval), intervention type (prevention or treatment), and control diet (isonitrogenous vs. not isonitrogenous in arginine family). For BP analysis, we used mean arterial pressure and, when not available, systolic BP. For the dose–response curves, a metabolic weight conversion was applied by a linear scaling exponent of 0.75 to correct for interspecies pharmacokinetic conversion [31].

A two-sided *p*-value below 0.05 was considered significant. Potential publication bias was visually examined in funnel plots and tested by Egger's regression when over twenty studies reported an outcome. Heterogeneity (I^2) among studies > 50% was considered significant. An influential case analysis was performed by examining residuals, weights, and Cook's distances of model fits. A sensitivity analysis was executed by removing influential cases and by shifting cut-outs for

meta-regression of MD or ROM. R software (v. 3.5.3, The R Core team, Auckland, New Zealand) and the Metafor package were used for all statistical analyses [32].

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection and Overall Study Characteristics

The search resulted in 17.329 hits. The majority of exclusions during the full-text screen was based on no in vivo studies on pregnant animals or humans followed by no supplementation of the amino acids of interest and resulted in 501 studies for full-text screening, of which we included 111 studies in our systematic review (Figure A1). We included 5 mouse, 40 rat, 4 guinea pig, 1 rabbit, 9 sheep, 23 pig, 7 cow, and 22 human studies. None of the included studies reported on asparagine or ornithine supplementation. Table A4 summarizes which outcome was reported per study and total data-extraction per outcome is reported in Tables A5–A9.

3.2. Overview Performed Meta-Analyses

We performed meta-analyses on fetal/birth weight following supplementation with AA in the arginine family, BCAA, and methyl donors. Regarding maternal BP and development of SGA, the arginine family was the only AA group for which a meta-analysis could be performed. A meta-analysis on the development of other pregnancy complications was not possible. Data for gestational weight gain were not pooled because, (1) without individual participant data, we had to estimate the mean weight gain and SD for studies that did report gestational weight at two different time points during pregnancy per group; (2) studies reported gestational weight gain over different gestational time periods, which did not consistently match the supplementation periods; and (3) gestational periods are very different between species. Too few studies reported on glucose levels to pool these data. No data on insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) were found. Results on glucose and gestational weight gain are described in the Appendix.

3.3. Arginine Family

3.3.1. Effect of Prenatal AA in Arginine Family on Fetal Growth

Study Characteristics

Data were extracted on fetal growth in response to prenatal supplementation of arginine family AA from 47 animal studies (1 mouse [33], 18 rat [34–51], 6 sheep [52–57], 20 pig [58–77], and 12 human studies [78–89] (Table A5). Most studies were supplemented with arginine (n = 47) [33–37,44–64,69–89], followed by glutamate (n = 10) [40,41,54–57,63,65,66,77], citrulline (n = 3) [38,39,44], glutamine (n = 2) [42,67], proline (n = 1) [68], and aspartic acid (n = 1) [43]; 7 studies had two treatments arms, 6 studies with arginine (N-Carbamyl) glutamate (NCG) [54–57,63,77] and 1 study with arginine and citrulline [44]. The human studies, all supplementing arginine, were performed in Poland (n = 4) [84–87], Italy (n = 2) [79,83], Norway (n = 1) [89], France (n = 1) [80], Mexico (n = 1) [78], USA (n = 1) [82], China (n = 1) [81], and India (n = 1) [88].

Meta-Analyses

Supplementation of prenatal AA from the arginine family increases birth weight by 6% (1.06 (1.02; 1.11)) in complicated pregnancies (Figure 1). No effect was observed in normal-growth pregnancies (1.01 (0.98; 1.05)) or the risk population (1.07 (0.93; 1.22)). In animal studies only, no differences were observed in normal pregnancies and arginine increased birth weight by 8% (1.08 (1.03; 1.13)) in complicated pregnancies. There were no at-risk studies conducted in animals. In human studies only, no differences were observed in normal-growth pregnancies and an increase in at-risk pregnancies (ROM 1.08 (1.02; 1.13)) or MD 219 g (65; 374)) and complicated pregnancies (ROM 1.07 (1.03; 1.11) or MD 162 g (69; 255)).

Author (Year)

Amino Acio

Specie

Pregnancy





Figure 1. Meta-analysis on prenatal supplementation of amino acids from the arginine family on fetal/birth weight (BW): While there was no effect of prenatal supplementation of amino acids from the arginine family in normal-growth pregnancies, it increased the birth weight ratio in a risk population and in complicated pregnancies. The data is ordered within each amino acid from smallest to largest animal. Data represent pooled estimates expressed as a ratio of means (ROM) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. Residual I² is shown. Some studies had multiple cohorts and are distinguishable in this figure by the following: * supplementation during full pregnancy in this upper line compared to partial in the next line; † this upper line is female offspring compared to the next line(s); § in this upper line, the daily dose is lower compared to the next line(s); || in this upper line, primigravid animals were used compared to the next two lines of multigravida animals; and in the last two lines, the dose differed with the first one being the highest dose. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity; NCG, *N*-(Carbamyl) glutamate; PE, preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension.

Within complicated pregnancies, arginine and NCG appeared to be the most effective AAs in the arginine family (Figure 2A). The largest increase was noted in sheep (Figure 2B), in which supplementation consisted of either arginine or NCG. For humans, the effect was also significant (increase of 9%). The effect was comparable between different (induced) pregnancy complications (Figure 2C). AAs from the arginine family appeared to be more effective when supplemented during only one phase of pregnancy, but only two studies supplemented AAs during full pregnancy (Figure 2D). The administration scheme (continuous vs. interval) was not influential (Figure 2E). We observed no effect of a preventive approach versus a therapeutic approach (Figure 2F). Note that, while we did

not see clear differences between isonitrogenous vs. non-isonitrogenous control diets, most studies (including all human studies) failed to use isonitrogenous control diets (Figure 2G). Interpretation of the significance of each meta-regression remained unchanged after *p*-value correction for the 7 modifiers (p = 0.05/7 = 0.007). A dose–response relation for birth weight was absent with an effective daily dose already reached at the lowest tested dose (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Meta-regression of arginine family on fetal/birth weight (BW) in complicated pregnancies: Meta-regression in complicated pregnancies on (**A**) amino acids (AA), (**B**) species, (**C**) pregnancy complication, (**D**) administration duration, (**E**) administration scheme, (**F**) Intervention type (prevention vs. treatment), and (**G**) isonitrogenous vs. non-isonitrogenous in control arms. NCG and arginine are the most effective AAs, and the largest effect is observed in sheep models of pregnancy complication. Data represent pooled estimates expressed as ratio of means (ROM) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. Residual I² is shown, and in grey is the residual I² after removal of the outlier Sharky et al. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity; PE, preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension.



Figure 3. Dose–response curve of prenatal supplementation of the arginine family on fetal/birth weight in complicated pregnancies: Daily dose is expressed as mg per kg metabolic body weight. Open dots indicate human studies, and closed dots indicate animal studies. There is no dose–response relation between prenatal supplementation of amino acids from the arginine family and birth weight ratio ($p_{slope} = 0.81$). An increase of 10% was already reached at the lowest dose.

The sensitivity analysis identified the rat study by Sharkey et al. [51] as a sensitive case (Figure A2). Removing this study resulted in an increase in body weight by 9% (5; 12) in complicated pregnancy and a reduction of I^2 from 93% to 77%. Visual inspection of the funnel plot suggested publication bias (Figure A3). However, Eggers regression did not confirm this (p = 0.26 for all studies and p = 0.29 for studies in complicated pregnancies).

3.3.2. Effect of Prenatal AA in Arginine Family on Maternal Blood Pressure

Study Characteristics

The effect on BP was reported in ten rat [34,35,45,48-51,90-92] and six human [78,80,83,89,93,94] studies following supplementation of either arginine (n = 15) [34,35,45,48-51,78,80,83,89-93] or citrulline (n = 1 [94] (Table A6). The human studies were performed in France [80], Italy [83], Norway [89], Poland [93], USA [94], and Mexico [78].

Meta-Analyses

While prenatal supplementation with AAs from the arginine family did not affect BP in normal-growth pregnancies or the risk population, it reduced BPs, with 25 mmHg (-34; -17) in complicated pregnancies (Figure A4). However, this reduction was completely driven by animal studies. In human studies only, no significant BP reduction was observed in either normal-growth (-8 (-21; 5)), at-risk (-5 (-14; 5)), or complicated (-2 (-10; 6)) pregnancies. The BP difference was comparable for the type of BP (mean arterial pressure or systolic BP; data not shown; p = NS) [7,31]. Meta-regression showed high interspecies difference in the ten rat and three human study cohorts including pregnancy complications, thus we did not consider further meta-regression analysis rational (Figure A5). In contrast to birth weight outcome, higher doses did result in larger BP differences (Figure A6). Sensitivity analysis did not reveal specific influential cases (Figure A7).

3.3.3. Effect of Prenatal AA in Arginine Family on Prevention of Pregnancy Complications in Risk Populations

Study Characteristics

Prevention of pregnancy complications in human risk populations was mostly studied after arginine supplementation (n = 8) [78,79,83,85–87,95,96] Table A7). All studies reported on the prevalence of SGA. Neri et al. [83] assessed different cut-offs for SGA and showed that, with the same treatment strategy, the risk of developing SGA was lower when a lower cut-off for birth weight was used. This means that especially the more severe FGR was prevented. Only the cut-off of <p10 was included in our meta-analysis. Some of the cohorts also reported lower risk of preterm birth (n = 3) [78,79,83] and PE (n = 2) [78,79] and no effect on GDM risk (n = 1) [78], but there were too few studies to pool data for individual pregnancy complications. The human studies supplementing arginine were performed in Poland (n = 4) [85–87,95], Mexico (n = 2) [83,96], and Italy (n = 2) [79,83].

Meta-Analyses

The odds ratio for developing SGA in a risk population between prenatal supplementation of arginine and placebo was 0.45 ((0.27; 0.75); p = 0.002) (Figure 4). The treatment strategies were similar in these studies (interval, partly, and non-isonitrogenous control diet). Therefore, further meta-regression analysis could not be performed. Based on the sparse data-points, mostly centered around the dose of 0.04 mg/kg, there does not appear to be a clear dose–response relationship (Figure A8).

Author (Year)	Country		OR SG	A	OR [95% CI]
Sieroszewski (2004 Facchinetti (2007)) Poland Italy				0.15 [0.06, 0.39]
Camarena Pulido (2	016) Mexico		·		0.31 [0.03, 3.05]
Rytlewski (2008)	Poland			' -	0.71 [0.21, 2.41]
Rytiewski (2006) Valdivia-silva (2009) Data (2007)	Poland Mexico				0.37 [0.12, 1.11] 0.31 [0.11, 0.90]
Dera (2007)	Poland				1.16 [0.44, 3.02]
RE Model	Arginine: N = 352 Placebo: N = 277	p = 0.002	•		0.45 [0.27, 0.75] ² = 38 %
			<u>г т і</u>		
			0.05 1	5 20	
			Odds Ratio (I	og scale)	

Figure 4. Meta-analysis on the prenatal supplementation of arginine on the development of small for gestational age (SGA) in a human risk population: The odd ratio (OR) for developing SGA in a risk population was 0.45 following arginine supplementation during pregnancy compared to placebo (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.27; 0.75) using a random effect model. Residual I² for heterogeneity is shown.

3.4. BCAA

3.4.1. Effect of Prenatal BCAA on Fetal Growth

Study Characteristics

Most studies reporting on fetal growth after BCAA supplementation were performed in rats (n = 7) [43,97–102], with a few in mice (n = 1) [103] and pigs (n = 2) [104,105]; no human studies were found (Table A5). Leucine was the most investigated BCAA (n = 9) [43,97–103,105], followed by valine (n = 4) [43,97,98,104], and isoleucine (n = 3) [43,98,99]. The studies performed by Brunner [43], Matsueda [97], and Mori [98] used all three BCAAs.

Meta-Analyses

Prenatal BCAA supplementation did not improve fetal/birth weight in normal-growth (0.98 (0.95; 1.01)) or complicated pregnancy (1.05 (0.98; 1.13), p = 0.24, $I^2 = 69\%$; Figure A9). We were unable to perform meta-regression because Brunner et al. [43] was the only study performed in pregnancy complications (phenylketonuria (PKU)-induced FGR). Brunner et al. [43] tested different dosages and showed that the highest tested dose of leucine and isoleucine were more effective in pregnancy complications. The dose–response curve showed that higher doses of leucine resulted in exponentially higher birth weight in all pregnancies (Figure A10). This effect was less clear for valine or for isoleucine.

Sensitivity analysis showed that Viana et al. [103], the only mouse study, was an influential case (Figure A11); removing this study had no significant effect on the pooled effect estimate (0.97 (0.95–0.99); p < 0.01), but did reduce I² to 30%.

3.5. Methyl Donors

3.5.1. Effect of Prenatal Methyl Donors on Fetal Growth

Study Characteristics

We included 30 animal studies (mice n = 3 [106–108]; rats n = 14 [43,97,98,109–119]; guinea pigs n = 4 [120–123]; rabbits = 1 [124]; sheep n = 3 [125–127]; and cows n = 5 [128–132]) and 6 human studies [133–138] reporting on fetal growth in response to prenatal methyl donor supplementation. In 16 of these studies, methionine was used [43,97,98,115–119,123–126,128–131] while 11 studies supplemented cysteine [106–108,113,114,120–122,133,134,137] and nine used choline [109–112,127,132,135,136,138].

Interestingly, considering our hypothesis, only one study used an overgrowth population [113] and only one used an at-risk-of-overgrowth population [132]. The human studies supplementing cysteine were performed in Egypt (n = 2) [133,137] and The Netherlands (n = 1) [134]. Studies supplementing choline were performed in USA (n = 2) [135,136] and South Africa (n = 1) [138].

Meta-Analyses

Overall, methyl donor supplementation during normal-growth (0.97 (0.92; 1.02)), risk population (0.98 (0.83; 1.15)), or complicated pregnancy (0.98 (0.93; 1.04)) did not alter birth weight (p = 0.46; $I^2 = 96\%$; Figure 5). The two Egyptian studies were the only human studies showing an improvement in birth weight. The dose-response curve showed that higher (excess) doses of methionine and cysteine resulted in a larger reduction of birth weight as was also visible in the forest plot for prenatal methionine in normal-growth pregnancies (Figure A12). Meta-regression showed a lack of effect for all three methyl donors in complicated pregnancies (Figure A13A). Methyl donor supplementation in the two overgrowth (risk) animal studies induced by excess energy and high fat diet failed to influence birth weight [113,132]. However, methyl donors appeared to increase birth weight especially in human pregnancies complicated by PE (Figure A13B,C). Meta-regression did not identify a more effective treatment strategy (Figure A13D–F). Interpretation of the significance of each meta-regression remained unchanged when the *p*-value was corrected for the 6 modifiers (p = 0.05/7 = 0.008). There was no clear publication bias visible in the funnel plot (Figure A14), which was supported by Eggers regression (p = 0.67). Sensitivity analysis showed that Mori et al. [98] was an influential case (Figure A15). Removing this study had no significant effect on the pooled effect estimate (0.99 (0.95; 1.02), p = 0.19, I^2 = 91%) in normal-growth pregnancies. We speculate that the difference in effect in this study is caused by the high dose of methyl donor.



Figure 5. Meta-analysis on prenatal supplementation of methyl donors on fetal/birth weight (BW): Prenatal supplementation of methyl donors did not affect birth weight in normal-growth, risk populations or complicated pregnancies. Data are ordered within each amino acid (AA) from smallest to largest animal. Data represent pooled estimates expressed as a ratio of means (ROM) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. Residual I² is shown. Only two studies included (risk of) overgrowth as their study population (bold). Some studies had multiple cohorts split up by sex indicated by *, in which the upper line represents male offspring compared to the next line which represents female offspring. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity; NAC, *N*-acetyl Cysteine; PE, preeclampsia; DM, diabetes mellitus.

3.5.2. Effect of Prenatal Methyl Donors on Prevention of Pregnancy Complications in Risk Population

Study Characteristics

Prevention of pregnancy complications in a human risk population was studied using choline (n = 2, USA and South Africa) [135,138] and cysteine (n = 2, Egypt and The Netherlands) [134,137] (Table A7). The included cohorts reported on the prevalence of SGA (n = 4) [134,135,137,138], LGA (n = 1) [135], preterm birth (n = 2) [135,137], PIH (n = 2) [135,138], PE (n = 1) [138], and GDM (n = 2) [135,138]. Three out of four methyl donor studies appeared to reduce the risk of developing SGA [134,137,138], while choline supplementation seemed to worsen GDM and LGA incidence [135,138]. However, there were not enough study cohorts to perform a meta-analysis. The two studies on PIH and the two studies on prematurity showed conflicting results.

3.6. Study Quality and Risk of Bias Assessment

The items to determine the risk of bias in animal studies were poorly reported and mostly unclear (Figure A16 and Table A10). The reporting of key indicators of study quality was poor. Especially blinding at any level of the experiment (3%) and power calculations (0%) were hardly reported. The item on experimental unit was important to detect potential statistical errors in the data analysis. In 51% of the studies, it was unclear whether respectively the mothers, or the individual offspring were used as a statistical unit. For risks of bias, a high risk of bias was most often observed for attrition bias (53%), followed by selection bias based on group similarity at baseline (38%). Nearly all studies had an unclear risk of bias for items concerning blinding and randomization (98–100%), because blinding and randomization were either not mentioned at all, or because the methodology used was not described. In human studies, attrition bias constituted the highest risk of bias as well. In addition, the methods used to achieve randomization and blinding were frequently unclear, as was the risk of potential conflict of interest (Figure A17). Only one study had a low risk of bias on all parameters, and the worst score included 3 high risk, 3 unclear risk, and 1 low risk item (Figure A18).

4. Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis are unique in providing an elaborate overview of prenatal AA supplementation on fetal growth and related pregnancy complications in both humans and animals. Almost all studies focused on the effect of supplementation to target fetal undergrowth. Although 12 of the 14 searched AAs were included, arginine was by far the most studied for all outcome parameters.

4.1. Fetal Undergrowth

None of the three AA supplementation groups affected fetal growth in normal-growth pregnancies. Specifically, the arginine family improved fetal growth by 6% in complicated pregnancies. BCAA and methyl donors did not indicate an effect on fetal undergrowth; however, these data were sparse with, for example, only one BCAA study performed in growth-restricted pregnancies and no human studies at all. Within the competent arginine family, arginine and NCG were identified as the most potent, but due to co-linearity in sheep studies, and potential confounding by total nitrogen intake, we cannot conclude this with certainty. The beneficial effect of prenatal arginine supplementation on fetal growth was also reflected by the reduced risk of SGA development in the at-risk human population.

Our observed reduction of BP in hypertensive disorders during pregnancy could prolong pregnancy, thereby improving fetal growth. While there was a strong dose–response curve observed when data across species were combined, no effect or even a potential worsening of BP was observed after supplementation with arginine in women with PE and/or FGR. Arginine might therefore be indicated at low doses to prevent FGR but not as maternal indication to directly treat hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.

The effects evaluated in the present studies might be related to the ability of the placenta to secure adequate essential AA supply towards the fetus, assuming that maternal protein (and nitrogen) intake is of adequate quantity and quality. This would plead for a combined intervention with multiple AAs. Beneficial effects of arginine family supplementation might be mediated through the NO pathway [22]. However, at this stage, we cannot rule out that the effects partially result from arginine stimulating placental nutrient transport or (fetal) protein synthesis through the mTOR pathway [24,139]. This would align with the mTOR-mediated alleviation of FGR observed after leucine supplementation [140].

4.2. Fetal Overgrowth

We hypothesized that methyl donors could potentially normalize overgrowth. Unfortunately, only two studies used methyl donor supplementation (and one used arginine) in overgrowth (risk) pregnancies, leaving the answer to the research question inconclusive. Methionine at (very) high doses reduced fetal growth in normal-growth pregnancies. This is potentially due to reduced maternal food intake and a reduction in ovarian steroidogenic pathway activity that could be rescued by administration of exogenous estrone and progesterone [98,116,119]. However, even in rats administered estrone and progesterone, fetal weight was still reduced compared to pair-fed controls, so additional mechanisms may be involved [116,119]. Several human studies have also reported side effects of methionine at extremely high levels [141].

Very little research was performed in diabetic pregnancies regarding the possible effect of AA supplementation on glucose and insulin levels. However, oral administration of choline prior to and during pregnancy in mouse models of maternal obesity has been reported to reduce fetal overgrowth [26,142], which is a common complication in diabetic pregnancy.

4.3. Strengths and Limitations

The major strength of this meta-analysis involves integration of data across species. This relatively novel but increasingly used methodology has been shown to be of great value to improve translation from animal studies to humans in several fields since (1) they provide insight on the safety of interventions because of the larger range of dosages, (2) they aid in determining factors influencing the effect size, (3) they reveal biases thus leading to less misinterpretation, and (4) they clarify differences in design between animal and human studies [143,144]. For instance, we previously showed that a large RCT might not have not observed benefits of a treatment due to underdosage [31]. In this integrated meta-analysis, we additionally combined different groups of AAs that act through different pathways, but included only oral supplementation, and different dosages, all to get one step closer to the bedside. This was a valuable approach for the arginine family, but the relative scarcity of studies performed in complicated pregnancy settings compared to normal-growth ones for the BCAAs and methyl donors limited our ability to draw conclusions about which AAs would be most efficient.

Higher heterogeneity in this integrated type of meta-analysis compared to clinical meta-analyses is inevitable due to the inclusion of different experimental designs. Of note, the aim of a meta-analysis of animal studies alone or combined with human studies is not to pinpoint the effect estimated to directly drive clinical practice. Rather, their goal is to investigate factors influencing treatment efficacy, by determining sources of heterogeneity. As such, high heterogeneity provides the chance to explore its source, and the results generate new hypotheses on how to improve efficacy of the intervention or design of future (human) studies. However, the relatively high heterogeneity in our meta-analysis could not always be fully explained by the performed meta-regression. Socio-economic status taken as a surrogate for baseline nutritional status could influence in particular the results of human supplementation, but included studies were performed either in countries with a similar socio-economic status/ethnics division or that did not have a different impact on effect size. Furthermore, animal models represent a part of a complex syndrome and could influence the results, with our main concern regarding studies supplementing with arginine in compromised animal models by a

manipulated NO-pathway However, we could not identify an effect on birth weight or blood pressure when excluding studies using L-NAME-induced animal models.

Our risk of bias tool revealed that most human and animal studies failed to report on quality items or risk of bias items. The unclear risk of bias must be taken into account when interpreting the results (of the individual studies and of our meta-analysis). As we did not exclude any studies based on their risk of bias score, this may have contributed to the high heterogeneity (although it was unclear to what extent, as they were not reported). One study [51] could be considered an influential case in our meta-analysis, since removal of this study would result in a significant drop in I² value in both the overall meta-analysis and meta-regressions on the effect of arginine family supplementation and birth weight. However, we could not find any reason for the apparent atypical result found in this study (and have therefore not excluded this study from analysis).

Furthermore, fetal/birth weight is an interesting direct pregnancy outcome, but it does not necessarily correlate with other important obstetric, neonatal, and developmental programming outcomes related to improved long-term health. Hence, BCAA or methyl donors could have no effect on birth weight while still having beneficial or adverse developmental programming effects [145,146]. This was beyond the scope of our meta-analysis.

4.4. Perspectives

Overall, this systematic review gives a broad overview of the reported effects of oral prenatal AA supplementation on fetal growth and related pregnancy outcomes. We conclude that none of the AA groups had any adverse effects on fetal growth at low doses. Supplementation with AAs from the arginine family improved birth weight in complicated pregnancies, and reduced risk of SGA development in a human risk population. However, the potency on maternal BP was less clear and the arginine family might not be indicated as maternal treatment for hypertensive disorders of pregnancies. Based on this systematic review and meta-analysis, we formed recommendations for future research, which are summarized in Table 1. We plead for better and well-controlled study designs by using the most suitable study population and animal models, isonitrogenous control diets, and similar baseline nutritional state. In addition, the risk of bias could be reduced by a preplanned protocol describing the intended outcomes, and blinding and randomization methods. Supplementation of BCAA and methyl donors requires more research in animal studies to subsequently determine their potential on fetal growth, blood glucose, and HOMA-IR in models of pregnancies complicated by GDM or fetal overgrowth. The optimal combination of several AAs complemented with potential co-factors should be determined in future research. However, the beneficial effects that this review presents encourages a human RCT on supplementation of arginine family members, with an isonitrogenous control diet, to treat and prevent fetal growth restriction.

Type of AA	Recommendation
Arginine family	• Large well-controlled RCTs with arginine or NCG as the most potential AA within the arginine family in pregnancies with fetal growth restriction and risk populations
BCAA	• Fetal growth effect in animal models of pregnancy complications, especially linked to fetal undergrowth
Methyl donors	• Effect on fetal growth, blood glucose, and HOMA-IR in animal models of pregnancies complicated by GDM or fetal overgrowth
General	 Well-defined phenotypes of the target population and animal models for specific pregnancy outcomes Studies to the optimal combination of (low doses of) several AAs, potentially with other co-factors depending on severity of growth deviation Studies should always include isonitrogenous control diets

Table 1. Recommendations for future research	Table 1.	Recommendations	for future	research.
--	----------	------------------------	------------	-----------

AA, amino acid; BCAA, branched chain amino acids; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; NCG, *N*-Carbamylglutamate; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.T., A.J.C.T., H.G., K.E.W., N.D.P., J.A.J., E.M.v.d.B., and A.T.L.; methodology, F.T., A.J.C.T., H.G., and K.E.W.; validation, F.T. and A.J.C.T.; formal analysis, H.G. and A.J.C.T.; investigation, F.T. and A.J.C.T.; data curation, F.T. and A.J.C.T.; writing—original draft preparation, F.T. and A.J.C.T.; writing—review and editing, all authors.; visualization, A.J.C.T. and H.G.; supervision, E.M.v.d.B. and A.T.L.; project administration, F.T.; funding acquisition, F.T., N.D.P., and A.T.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by ZonMw MKMD Synthesis of Evidence (114024115 and 114024131) and the Dutch Kidney Foundation (15O141).

Acknowledgments: We thank Alice Tillema for her help with the search string, and Dongdong Xia for his help with Chinese translation of the study published by Zhang et al. (2007).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript: nor in the decision to publish the results.

Appendix A

Appendix A.1. Expanded Methods

Appendix A.1.1. Study Selection: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The screening of hits was conducted by two independent investigators, first based on their title and abstract and, subsequently, eligible articles were screened for final inclusion based on their full-text. A third investigator was consulted when consensus was not reached.

Appendix A.1.2. Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded in cases of combined intervention (e.g., supplementation with two or more AAs in the treatment arm), other administration routes than oral, intervention not during pregnancy, pre-conceptional administration, supplementation other than the 14 specified AAs, no control treatment group present, non-mammals, no outcome of interest as previously mentioned, irretrievable full-text or meeting abstract irretrievable, or if the research articles on the studies did not contain unique primary data.

Appendix A.1.3. Data-Extraction

Data was extracted on study characteristics, including species, strain, animal model, pregnancy complication, and maternal weight. Pregnancies complicated by placental insufficiency were labelled as one or a combination of the following: fetal growth restriction (FGR), preeclampsia (PE), or pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH). Regarding supplementation strategy, we extracted data on the dose in grams per kg body weight per day, the duration of supplementation, the timing during pregnancy (partly or full), the administration scheme (continuous versus interval), the intervention type (prevention or treatment), and whether an isonitrogenous control diet was provided. Maternal weight was used to calculate the dose in grams per kg body weight per day, and maternal weight was estimated when not provided. For birth/fetal weight, the number of offspring and sex was also extracted. For maternal BP, the method and type of measurement were extracted. We also extracted whether BP measurements were performed under stressful condition; in humans, whether it concerned a 24 h or office BP measurement; and in animals, whether BP was measured under restrained or unrestrained conditions.

When data was only presented graphically, we used a graph digitizer to extract the data (http://arohatgi.info/WebPlotDigitizer/). We contacted corresponding authors once per email in case of missing data. SEM and pooled SEM were converted to SDs. The Hozo formula was used to estimate the mean and SD when the median was reported [147].

Appendix A.1.4. Amendments to Protocol

The following amendments to the review protocol were made post hoc: dose–response curves, meta-regression in type of pregnancy complication, and isonitrogenous versus non-isonitrogenous

control diet in arginine family. We also changed the categories early, mid, late, and full gestational to partly vs. full gestational, because most studies reported overlapping parts during pregnancy (e.g., early-mid) which resulted in multiple categories with only one study per category. Also, pregnancy "trimesters" and the stage of development were difficult to compare between species. We extracted data on basal protein intake, but we could not perform our initial planned meta-regression. Since the cut-off of when basal protein intake is too low differs per species, and the individual intake and maternal weight (gain) were not reported, we could not convert the extracted data into a unit of measurement that we could pool.

Appendix A.1.5. Adjustments Made to the Risk of Bias Tools

To the SYRCLE tool, we added the reporting item whether the correct experimental unit was used. For the item of comparable baseline characteristics, we assessed (1) whether induction of the animal model occurred at the same gestational age, (2) whether the age or weight of pregnant animal was similar (<10% difference), and (3) whether parity (virgin or multiple pregnancies) was similar between groups. Other risks of bias within the Cochrane tool entailed a statement of no conflict of interest.

Appendix A.2. Expanded Results

We also searched each paper for data on protein intake and body composition. Body composition was never mentioned; therefore, meta-regression was not possible. Below, we describe, in the few studies where these data were available, the effect of prenatal supplementation of AA from the arginine family, BCAA, and methyl donors on maternal weight gain and blood glucose levels.

Appendix A.2.1. Effect of Prenatal AA in Arginine Family on Maternal Weight Gain and Blood Glucose Levels

Gestational weight gain was reported in 23 animal studies (1 mouse [33], 10 rat [36,37,43-46,49,90,91,148], 3 sheep [53-55], and 9 pig/swine [58,59,66,67,69,74-76,149]) and only 1 human study (Poland) [95] (Table A8). Again, the most studied amino acid was arginine (n = 19) [33,36,37,44-46,49,53-55,58,59,69,74-76,90,91,95], but also glutamate (n = 5) [54,55,66,148,149], citrulline (n = 1) [44], and glutamine (n = 1) [67] were studied. Thirteen studies were conducted in normal-growth pregnancies only [33,37,46,58,59,66,67,69,74-76,148,149], seven were conducted in complicated pregnancies only [36,44,45,49,53-55], three studies had both normal-growth and complicated pregnancy arms [43,90,91], and one study was conducted in a risk population [95]. Of the 10 studies including complicated pregnancies, only 4 showed an increase in gestational weight gain [36,54,55]. As none of these studies included any normal-growth pregnancies, it is not possible to see whether the increase meant a normalization of gestational weight gain. The other 6 studies reported no significant effects on gestational weight gain. One of the two studies in at-risk cohorts showed a positive effect as well [49].

None of the studies reported HOMA-IR levels, and only seven animal studies reported blood glucose levels: two rat [45,49], one sheep [57], and three pig studies [67,74,77] (Table A9). All studies supplemented with arginine and two studies had an extra cohort with NCG supplementation [57,77]. None of the studies in normal-growth pregnancies (n = 3), complicated (n = 2), or at-risk pregnancies (n = 1) reported significant effects of arginine supplementation on maternal blood glucose levels.

Appendix A.2.2. Effect of Prenatal BCAA on Maternal Weight Gain and Glucose Levels

Maternal weight gain was reported in four rat studies; of these, Brunner [43], Matsueda [97], and Mori [98] tested in different treatment arms the effects of valine, leucine, and isoleucine and Ventrucci [101] studied only leucine supplementation (Table A8). Almost all studies included normal-growth pregnancies in which no effect was observed. The one study including pregnancies complicated by FGR found some effects in high-dose groups: a reduction in gestational weight gain following valine, an increase following leucine, and no significant effects of isoleucine [43]. Glucose

levels could only be extracted from one study, using leucine supplementation in normal-growth pregnant rats [150]. In this study, leucine supplementation increased maternal blood glucose levels. As for the arginine family and maternal weight gain, we were unable to pool the data.

Appendix A.2.3. Effect of Prenatal Methyl Donors on Maternal Weight Gain and Glucose Levels

We included 9 animal studies on maternal weight gain, which were performed in mice (n = 1) [108], rats (n = 6) [43,97,98,109,112,114], sheep (n = 1) [125], and cows (n = 1) [151], and 3 human studies [135,136,138] (Table A8). Most studies used choline (n = 6) [109,112,135,136,138,151], but also methionine (n = 4) [43,97,98,125] and cysteine (n = 2) [108,114] were investigated. Nine studies used normal-growth pregnancies [97,98,108,114,125,135,136,151], one of which also included a complicated pregnancy arm [43]. Two studies used complicated pregnancies [109,112], and one study was conducted in an at-risk population [138]. None of the studies in complicated or at-risk pregnancies reported an effect.

We included four animal studies reporting on maternal blood glucose level in response to methyl donor supplementation [107,113,132,152] (Table A9). Maternal blood glucose levels remained in the same range following choline supplementation in two cow studies including normal-growth, FGR, or overgrowth risk [132,152]. However, the two cysteine studies reported significant increases in maternal blood glucose levels in a streptozotocin-induced pre-existent diabetes mellitus (DM) type 1 mice model [107] and an overgrowth risk rat model using high fat diet [113].

Appendix B



Figure A1. Flow chart of the study selection process: Our search strategy retrieved 17,329 unique hits, of which we included 111 studies reporting on amino acid (AA) supplementation in our systematic review. Of these, 63 studies reported on arginine supplementation, 11 reported on branched-chain amino acids (BCAA) supplementation, and 38 reported on methyl donor supplementation. We pooled data on the effect of arginine supplementation on birth weight (BW) in 57 studies, on maternal blood pressure (BP) in 15 studies, and on small for gestational (SGA) development in risk populations in 8 studies. We were able to pool data on the effect of BCAA on BW in 10 studies and of methyl donor supplementation in 36 studies. Adapted from PRISMA [29].



Figure A2. Influential case analysis of studies reporting on arginine family supplementation and fetal/birth weight: A sensitivity analysis revealed Sharkey et al. as an influential case [51].



Figure A3. Funnel plot for amino acids of the arginine family and fetal/birth weight in all studies and in studies with only pregnancy complications: These funnel plots and Egger's regression did not indicate publication bias in all studies or studies in complicated pregnancies. Black dots are the included studies. Sharkey et al., as an influential case, was highlighted by the colour red [51].

Author (Year)	Amino Acid	Species	Pregnancy	BP diff.	MD [95% CI]
Alexander (2004)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PE	⊢	-19.00 [-24.54, -13.46]
Alexander (2004)	Arginine	Rat	Normal growth	⊢ −■−−1	-12.00 [-19.07, -4.93]
Helmbrecht (1996)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PE	⊢ − • −−1	-27.70 [-37.47, -17.93]
Helmbrecht (1996)	Arginine	Rat	Normal growth		2.50 [-9.73, 14.73]
Schooley (2002)	Arginine	Rat	FGR	⊢− ■−−1	-49.00 [-57.32, -40.68]
Schooley (2002)	Arginine	Rat	Normal growth	— •—	-27.00 [-35.32, -18.68]
Altun (2008)	Arginine	Rat	PE	⊢ − •−−+	-33.00 [-44.18, -21.82]
Bursztyn (2003)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PIH	⊢	-42.00 [-55.54, -28.46]
Podjarny (2001)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PIH	⊢ ∎1	-21.00 [-28.41, -13.59]
Podjarny (2001)	Arginine	Rat	Normal growth	⊢ ∎	4.00 [-1.85, 9.85]
Podjarny (2001)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PE	H H -1	-40.00 [-44.16, -35.84]
Podjarny (1997)	Arginine	Rat	PE	⊢ •−1	-16.00 [-23.96, -8.04]
Podjarny (1993)	Arginine	Rat	Normal growth	⊢ ∎1	-1.40 [-6.31, 3.51]
Podjarny (1993)	Arginine	Rat	PE	⊢ •−	-45.50 [-55.94, -35.06]
Sharkey (2001)	Arginine	Rat	FGR/PIH	▲ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-46.00 [-66.83, -25.17]
Camarena Pulido (2016)	Arginine	Human	Risk	⊢ •−+	-8.00 [-13.23, -2.77]
Rytlewski (2005)	Arginine	Human	FGR/PE	1 = 1	-8.90 [-10.33, -7.47]
Staff (2004)	Arginine	Human	PE	⊢•⊶	-1.00 [-5.54, 3.54]
Neri (2010)	Arginine	Human	Risk	⊢ −+→	-1.80 [-6.68, 3.08]
Winer (2009)	Arginine	Human	FGR	→	9.00 [-2.07, 20.07]
Powers (2015)	Citrulline	Human	Normal growth	⊢ •−1	-8.00 [-12.33, -3.67]
All Studies	n = 21	N = 583	p = 2e-05	J²= 96.48 %	-16.41 [-23.95, -8.87]
Normal Growth	n = 6	N = 112	p = 0.28		-7.02 [-19.72, 5.68]
Risk	n = 2	N = 175	p = 0.66	² = 97 %	-4.89 [-26.54, 16.76]
Complicated Pregnancy	n = 13	N = 296	p = 1.3e-08		-25.48 [-34.27, -16.69]
				-40 -20 -10 0 10 20	40
				Mean Difference	

Figure A4. Meta-analysis on prenatal supplementation of arginine on maternal blood pressure: Blood pressure was unaffected in normal-growth pregnancies following arginine supplementation, but was reduced in the risk population and complicated pregnancies. The data is ordered within each amino acid (AA) from smallest to largest animal. Blood pressure difference (BP diff) data represent pooled estimates expressed as mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. Residual is shown. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity; PE, preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension.



Figure A5. Species meta-regression of amino acids (AA) in arginine family on maternal blood pressure (BP) in pregnancy complications: Meta-regression revealed large interspecies differences, although only two human study cohorts versus seven rat study cohorts reported on the effect of prenatal supplementation of AA of the arginine family in complicated pregnancies. Data represent pooled estimates expressed as a mean difference (MD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. I², heterogeneity.



Figure A6. Dose–response curve of prenatal supplementation of arginine family on blood pressure (BP) in complicated pregnancies: Higher doses of arginine result in lower maternal blood pressure in complicated pregnancies ($p_{slope} = 0.0031$). However, this dose–response relation is influenced by an interspecies difference as the higher doses are tested in animal studies and the lowest doses are tested in human studies. Animal models for pregnancy complication included adriamycin nephropathy-induced preeclampsia (A), spontaneous hypertension and heart failure (F), hyperinsulinemic-induced PIH/FGR (H), L-NAME-induced fetal growth restriction/preeclampsia (L), magnesium deficiency-induced fetal growth restriction (M), reduced uterine perfusion pressure-induced fetal growth restriction/preeclampsia (S). Daily dose is expressed as mg per kg metabolic body weight. Open dots indicate human studies, and closed dots indicate animal studies. The black line is drawn for all studies, the yellow line is for animal studies only, and no line is drawn for human studies since only 3 studies were available.



Figure A7. Sensitivity analysis of studies reporting on arginine family supplementation and maternal blood pressure: The sensitivity analysis revealed no clear outlier in studies reporting on arginine family supplementation on maternal blood pressure.



Figure A8. Dose–response curve on prenatal supplementation of arginine on the development of small for gestational age (SGA): The available data points do not show a dose–response relation between odd ratio (OR) of development of SGA and daily arginine dose ($p_{slope} = 0.73$) in a human risk population. Almost all studies clustered at the lower end of the dose spectrum.

Author (year)	Amino Acid	Species	Pregnancy	BW ratio	ROM [95% CI]
Brunner (1978) [*] Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Cruz (2016) Cruz (2014) Ventrucci (2001) Matsueda (1982) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2004) Mawatari (2018) Wang (2018) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Brunner (1978) Matsueda (1982) Moti (1999) Xu (2017)	Isoleucine Isoleucine Isoleucine Isoleucine Isoleucine Leucine Valine Valine Valine Valine Valine Valine Valine	Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat Rat	FGR FGR Normal growth Normal growth Normal growth Normal growth FGR FGR Normal growth Normal growth		$\begin{array}{c} 0.98 & [0.82, \ 1.18] \\ 1.13 & [0.98, \ 1.31] \\ 1.01 & [0.91, \ 1.12] \\ 0.96 & [0.88, \ 1.05] \\ 0.93 & [0.82, \ 1.04] \\ 0.98 & [0.91, \ 1.06] \\ 1.17 & [1.10, \ 1.25] \\ 0.97 & [0.81, \ 1.16] \\ 0.97 & [0.87, \ 1.07] \\ 0.97 & [0.87, \ 1.07] \\ 0.99 & [0.91, \ 1.08] \\ 0.91 & [0.85, \ 0.97] \\ 0.92 & [0.83, \ 1.04] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.07, \ 7.44] \\ 1.36 & [1.03, \ 7.44] \\$
All Studies			I ² = 68.4 %	•	0.99 [0.96, 1.01]
Normal Growth Compl. Pregnancy	n = 29 n = 6	N = 432 N = 67	² = 68.6 %	p = 0.2 p = 0.43	% 0.98 [0.95, 1.01] § 1.05 [0.98, 1.13]
				0.75 1 1.5	
				Ratio of Means (log scale)	

Figure A9. Meta-analysis on prenatal supplementation of branched chain amino acid on fetal/birth weight (BW): Prenatal branched chain amino acid supplementation did not affect birth weight, neither in normal-growth pregnancies nor in complicated pregnancies. Data are ordered within each amino acid (AA) from smallest to largest animal. Data represent pooled estimates expressed as a ratio of means (ROM) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. Residual I² is shown. Some studies had multiple cohorts and are distinguishable in this figure by the following: * in this upper line, the daily dose is lower compared the next line(s) in increasing order; † the upper two lines are in the lower dose compared to the next two lines, and per dose, the outcomes are separately reported for males first and then females. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity.



Figure A10. Dose–response curve on prenatal supplementation of branched chain amino acid (BCAA) on fetal/birth weight in all pregnancies: There was a dose–response effect in which the highest doses resulted in a larger improvement of birth weight ($p_{slope} = 0.006$). Only animal studies were included. Daily dose is expressed as mg per kg metabolic body weight.



Figure A11. Sensitivity analysis of studies reporting on branched-chain amino acids supplementation and fetal/birth weight: The sensitivity analysis revealed Viana et al. [103] as an influential case.



Daily Dose (mg/kg met BW)

Figure A12. Dose–response curve on prenatal supplementation of methyl donors on fetal/birth weight in all pregnancies: There was a dose–response relationship between birth weight ratio and daily dose of methyl donors ($p_{slope} = 0.0002$). Excessive doses of methionine and cysteine resulted in lower birth weight (methionine $p_{slope} = 1.09 * 10-5$; cysteine $p_{slope} = 0.16$). Daily dose is expressed as mg per kg metabolic body weight. Open dots indicate human studies, and closed dots indicate animal studies.



Figure A13. Meta-regression of methyl donors on birth weight (BW): Meta-regression on (**A**) Amino acid (AA), (**B**) species, (**C**) pregnancy complication, (**D**) administration duration, (**E**) administration scheme, and (**F**) intervention type (prevention vs. treatment). No specific methyl donor was identified to be the most optimal. Methyl donor supplementation increased birth weight in human and preeclamptic studies (similar studies). Data represent pooled estimates expressed as a ratio of means (ROM) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random effect model. FGR, fetal growth restriction; I², heterogeneity; PE, preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension.



Figure A14. Funnel plot for methyl donors and fetal/birth weight in all studies: The funnel plot and Eggers regression do not indicate publication bias in studies reporting the effect of methyl donor supplementation on fetal or birth weight. Dots are the included studies.



Figure A15. Sensitivity analysis of studies reporting on methyl donor supplementation and fetal/birth weight: The sensitivity analysis revealed Mori et al. [98] as a potential influential case.



Figure A16. Reporting quality and risk of bias in animal studies: Reporting of key indicators of study quality and risk of bias in animal studies was assessed for all items, but especially blinding, randomization, and sample size calculation scored as unreported, unclear, or high risk.



Figure A17. Risk of bias in human studies: Risk of bias assessment in human studies appeared to be very unclear for most items.



Figure A18. Quality assessment of the included human studies by the Cochrane tool. Quality assessment using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. – = high risk of bias; + = low risk of bias; ? = unclear risk of bias.

Table A1. Search terms in Pubmed.

("Arginine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Arginine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Leucine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/deficiency [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Valine/administrations" in and losage" [Mesh] OR "Valine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Valine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Valine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Valine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Valine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Valine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Valine/therapy" [Mesh] OR " "Cysteine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Cysteine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/administration and dosage [Mesh] OR "Methionine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Methionine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Glutamic Acid/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Glutamine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Citrulline/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/herapy" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/durinstration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Asparagine/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Aspartic Acid/therapy" [Mesh] OR "Proline/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Proline/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Proline/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Proline/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Proline/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Proline/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Proline/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Ornithine/therapeutic use" 14 amino acids AND [Mesh] OR "Choline/administration and dosage" [Mesh] OR "Choline/adverse effects" [Mesh] OR "Choline/deficiency" [Mesh] OR "Choline/drug effects" [Mesh] OR "Choline/pharmacology" [Mesh] OR administration "Choline/physiology" [Mesh] OR "Choline/therapeutic use" [Mesh] OR "Choline/therapy" [Mesh]) OR (("Arginine" [Mesh] OR Arginine [tiab] OR L-Arginine [tiab] OR "Leucine" [Mesh] OR "Isoleucine" [Mesh] OR Leucine [tiab] OR L-Leucine [tiab] OR Leucin [tiab] OR Isoleucine [tiab] OR Isoleucin [tiab] OR Alloisoleucine [tiab] OR Alloisoleucin [tiab] OR "Valine" [Mesh] OR Valine [tiab] OR L-Valine [tiab] OR Valsartan [tiab] OR Valerate [tiab] OR "Cysteine" [Mesh] OR Cysteine [tiab] OR L-Cysteine [tiab] OR Cysteinate [tiab] OR Acetylcysteine [tiab] OR Carbocysteine [tiab] OR Cysteinyldopa [tiab] OR Cystine [tiab] OR cystein [tiab] OR cysthion [tiab] OR Selenocysteine [tiab] OR "Methionine" [Mesh] OR Methionine [tiab] OR L-Methionine [tiab] OR Liquimeth [tiab] OR Pedameth [tiab] OR Formylmethionine [tiab] OR Racemethionine [tiab] OR Adenosylmethionine [tiab] OR Selenomethionine [tiab] OR Vitamin U [tiab] OR acimetion [tiab] OR cotameth [tiab] OR lobamine [tiab] OR menin [tiab] OR menine [tiab] OR meonine [tiab] OR methiolate [tiab] OR methionin [tiab] OR methiotrans [tiab] OR methnine [tiab] OR methurine [tiab] OR metione [tiab] OR methidin [tiab] OR neutrodor [tiab] OR oradash [tiab] OR urosamine [tiab] OR Methyl Donor [tiab] OR "Glutamic Acid" [Mesh] OR glutamic acid [tiab] OR glutamate [tiab] OR MSG [tiab] OR vestin [tiab] OR "aminoglutaric acid" [tiab] OR "aminopentanedioic acid" [tiab] OR acidogen [tiab] OR acidoride [tiab] OR acidothym [tiab] OR acidulin [tiab] OR aciglumin [tiab] OR aciglut [tiab] OR aclor [tiab] OR antalka [tiab] OR flanithin [tiab] OR gastuloric [tiab] OR glusate [tiab] OR glutadox [tiab] OR glutamidin [tiab] OR "glutamin acid" [tiab] OR "glutaminic acid" [tiab] OR glutaminol [tiab] OR glutan [tiab] OR glutansin [tiab] OR glutasin [tiab] OR glutaton [tiab] OR hydrionic [tiab] OR hypochylin [tiab] OR levoglutamate [tiab] OR "levoglutamic acid" [tiab] OR muriamic [tiab] OR pepsdol [tiab] OR "Glutamine" [Mesh:NoExp] OR glutamine [tiab] OR "aminoglutaramic acid" [iiab] OR acutil [tiab] OR "adamin G" [tiab] OR glumin [iiab] OR glutamin [tiab] OR levoglutamide [iiab] OR levoglutamine [tiab] OR nutrestore [tiab] OR "citrulline" [Mesh] OR citrulline [tiab] OR "ureidopentanoic acid" [tiab] OR ureidonorvaline [tiab] OR "ureidovaleric acid" [tiab] OR citrullin [tiab] OR carbamylornithine [tiab] OR Asparagine" [Mesh] OR asparagine [tiab] OR asparagin [tiab] OR "aminosuccinamic acid" [tiab] OR "Aspartic Acid" [Mesh:NoExp] OR "D-Aspartic Acid" [Mesh] OR "Potassium Magnesium Aspartate" [Mesh] OR "aspartic acid" [tiab] OR aspartate [tiab] OR Magnesiocard [tiab] OR Mg-5-Longoral [tiab] OR Mg 5 Longoral [tiab] OR Mg5 Longoral [tiab] OR panangin [tiab] OR astra 2045 [tiab] OR "aminosuccinic acid" [tiab] OR "asparagic acid" [tiab] OR asparaginate [tiab] OR "asparaginic acid" [tiab] OR aspartyl [tiab] OR aspatofort [tiab] OR "levoaspartic acid" [tiab] OR "Proline" [Mesh:NoExp] OR proline [tiab] OR prolin [tiab] OR levoproline [tiab] OR "pyrrolidinecarboxylic acid" [tiab] OR pyrrolidine carboxylate [tiab] OR "Omithine" [Mesh:NoExp] OR ornithine [tiab] OR ornithin [tiab] OR "Diaminopentanoic Acid" [tiab] OR "diaminovaleric acid" [tiab] OR "Choline" [Mesh:NoExp] OR choline [tiab] OR bursine [tiab] OR vidine [tiab] OR fagine [tiab] OR trimethylammonium hydroxide [tiab] OR amonita [tiab] OR bilineurine [tiab] OR biocholine [tiab] OR biocolina [tiab] OR cholin [tiab] OR hepacholine [tiab] OR laevocholine [tiab] OR levocholine [tiab] OR lipotril [tiab] OR luridine [tiab] OR sincaline [tiab] OR urocholine [tiab]) AND ("Dietary Supplements" [Mesh] OR "Administration, Oral" [Mesh] OR administration * [tiab] OR administrative * [tiab] OR dose [tiab] OR doses [tiab] OR dosage [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment * [tiab] OR dose [tiab] OR doses [tiab] OR dosage [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment * [tiab] OR dose [tiab] OR doses [tiab] OR dosage [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment [tiab] OR treatment * [tiab] OR diets [tiab] OR dietary [tiab] OR intake [tiab] OR intakes [tiab] OR consumption [tiab] OR consumptions [tiab] OR consume [tiab] OR nutraceutical * [tiab] OR nutriceutical * [tiab] OR therapy [tiab] OR therapies [tiab]))

Table A1. Cont.

AND	AND
Healthy pregnancy OR Complicated pregnancy	"Pregnancy" [Mesh] OR "gravidity" [Mesh] OR "Fetus" [Mesh] OR Pregnancy [tiab] OR Pregnancies [tiab] OR Pregnant [tiab] OR Gestation [tiab] OR Gestations [tiab] OR Gestational [tiab] OR gravidity [tiab] OR gravidities [tiab] OR gravid [tiab] OR fetus [tiab] OR foctus [tiab] OR fetal [tiab] OR foctal [tiab] OR childbearing [tiab] OR "child bearing" [tiab] OR "Fetal Growth Retardation" [Mesh] OR "Infant, Low Birth Weight " [Mesh] OR "Infant, Premature" [Mesh] OR "Fetal Growth Retardation" [Mesh] OR "Infant, Low Birth Weight " [Mesh] OR "Infant, Premature" [Mesh] OR "Premature Birth" [Mesh] OR FGR [tiab] OR Intrauterine growth restriction [tiab] OR IUGR [tiab] OR Small for Gestational Age [tiab] OR SGA [tiab] OR Low birth weight [tiab] OR Premature baby [tiab] OR Pre-mature baby [tiab] OR Preterm baby [tiab] OR Pre-term babies [tiab] OR Premature babies [tiab] OR Pre-mature child [tiab] OR Preterm child [Tiab] OR Pre-term babies [tiab] OR Pre-mature children [tiab] OR Preterm child [Tiab] OR Pre-term infant * [tiab] OR Pre-mature infant * [tiab] OR Preterm infant * [tiab] OR Pre-term infant * [tiab] OR Pre-mature infant * [tiab] OR Preterm infant * [tiab] OR Pre-term infant * [tiab] OR Pre-mature newborn * [tiab] OR Preterm newborn * [tiab] OR Pre-term neonate * [tiab] OR Pre-mature infant * [tiab] OR Preterm newborn * [tiab] OR Pre-term neonate * [tiab] OR Pre-mature newborn * [tiab] OR Preterm memborn * [tiab] OR Pre-term birth * [tiab] OR Pre-mature newborn * [tiab] OR Pre-mature birth * [tiab] OR Preterm neonate * [tiab] OR Pre-mature newborn * [tiab] OR Pre-mature birth * [tiab] OR Preterm memoter * [tiab] OR Pre-eclampsia [tiab] OR Pre-eclamptic [tiab] OR Preterm birth * [tiab] OR Pre-term birth * [tiab] OR Preenature birth * [tiab] OR Pre-mature birth * [tiab] OR Preterm birth * [tiab] OR Pre-term birth * [tiab] OR Preenature birth * [tiab] OR Pre-mature birth * [tiab] OR Preterm birth * [tiab] OR Pre-term birth * [tiab] OR Preenature birth * [tiab] OR Pre-eclamptic [tiab] OR Preterm birth * [tiab] OR Pre-t

Fourteen amino acids during pregnancy: arginine, citrulline, glutamate, glutamine, asparagine, aspartic acid, proline, ornithine, (iso-)leucine, valine, cysteine, methionine, and choline. The search was performed on 25 July 2018, with 14,169 records.

Table A2. Search terms in Embase.

14 amino acids AND administration	(exp Arginine/OR (Arginine OR L-Arginine).ti,ab.) OR (exp Leucine/OR exp Isoleucine/OR (Leucine OR L-Leucine OR Leucin OR Isoleucine OR Isoleucin OR Alloisoleucine OR Alloisoleucin).ti,ab.) OR (exp Valine/OR (Valine OR L-Valine OR Valsartan OR Valerate).ti,ab.) OR (exp Cysteine/OR (Cysteine OR L-Cysteine OR Cysteinate OR Acetylcysteine OR Carbocysteine OR Cysteinyldopa OR Cystine OR Cystein OR cysthion OR Selenocysteine).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Methionine/OR (Methionine OR L-Methionine OR Vitamin U OR acimeth OR Formylmethionine OR Racemethionine OR Adenosylmethionine OR Selenomethionine OR Vitamin U OR acimetion OR cotameth OR lobamine OR menin OR menine OR meonine OR methiolate OR methionine OR Methyl Donor).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp glutamic acid/OR (glutamic acid OR glutamate OR MSG OR vestin OR acigluuri C acid OR aminopentanedioic acid OR acidogen OR acidoride OR acidothym OR acidulin OR aciglumin OR aciglut OR aclor OR antalka OR flanithin OR gastuloric OR glutanic acid OR glutamidin OR glutamina acid OR glutaminic acid OR glutamic acid/OR glutanic OR glutasin OR glutaton OR hydrionic OR hydroine/OR (glutamine OR nutrestore).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Citrulline/OR (citrulline OR pepsdol).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp glutamine/OR (glutamine OR aminoglutaramic acid OR citrullin (OR citrulline OR ureidopentanoic acid OR ureidonorvaline OR nutrestore).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Citrulline/OR (citrulline OR pepsdol).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp glutamine/OR (glutamine OR nutrestore).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp citrulline/OR (citrulline OR pasparagine/OR (asparagine OR asparagin OR aminosuccinamic acid).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp asparatic acid/OR mg-5-Longoral OR Mg 5 Longoral OR Mg5 Longoral OR pasparafine OR sparafie OR magnesiocard OR (exp Proline/OR (proline OR profile OR pyrrolidine Carboxylate).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Choline/OR (coline OR pyrrolidine carboxylate).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp conthine/OR (choline OR pyrrolidine Carboxylate).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Choline/OR (choline OR bursine OR vidine OR fagine OR trimethylammonium hydroxide OR amonita OR bilineurine OR biocholine O
	supplement/OK (auministration 'OK auminister' OK dose OK dose OK dosage OK treatment OK treated OK
	OR nutraceutical * OR nutriceutical * OR therapy OR therapies) ti ab.kw.)
AND	AND

14 amino acids AND

administration

Table A2. Cont.

(exp pregnancy/OR exp fetus/OR (Pregnancy OR Pregnancies OR Pregnant OR Gestation OR Gestations OR Gestational OR gravidity OR gravidities OR gravid OR fetus OR foetus OR fetal OR foetal OR childbearing OR child bearing).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp intrauterine growth retardation/OR exp Low Birth Weight/OR exp prematurity/OR (FGR OR Intrauterine growth retardation OR Intra-uterine growth retardation OR Intrauterine growth restriction OR Intra-uterine growth restriction OR IUGR OR Small for Gestational Age OR SGA OR Low birth weight OR Premature baby OR Pre-mature baby OR Preterm baby OR Pre-term baby OR Premature babies OR Pre-mature babies OR Pre-term babies OR Pre-term child OR Pre-mature child OR Pre-term child O OR Premature children OR Pre-mature children OR Preterm children OR Pre-term children OR Premature infant OR Premature infants OR Pre-mature infant OR Pre-mature infants OR Preterm infant OR Preterm infants OR Pre-term Healthy infant OR Pre-term infants OR Premature newborn OR Premature newborns OR Pre-mature newborn OR Pre-mature pregnancy OR newborns OR Preterm newborn OR Preterm newborns OR Pre-term newborns OR Pre-term newborns OR Premature neonate OR Premature neonates OR Pre-mature neonate OR Pre-mature neonates OR Preterm neonate OR Preterm Complicated neonates OR Pre-term neonate OR Pre-term neonates OR Premature birth OR Premature births OR Pre-mature birth pregnancy OR Pre-mature births OR Preterm birth OR Preterm births OR Pre-term birth OR Pre-term births OR Neonatal prematurity OR prematuritas).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp maternal hypertension/OR (Pre-Eclampsia OR Preeclampsia OR pre-eclamptic OR preeclampsia OR Proteinuria Edema Hypertension Gestosis OR Edema Proteinuria Hypertension Gestosis OR EPH Gestosis OR EPH Toxemia * ÓR EPH Complex).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Placenta Insufficiency/OR (placenta insufficiency OR placental insufficiency OR placenta insufficiencies OR placental insufficiencies OR placental deficiency OR placental deficiencies OR placental def placenta failure OR placental failure).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp Macrosomia/OR (Macrosomia * OR high birth weight OR overweight infant OR overweight infants OR overweight newborn OR overweight newborns OR overweight neonate OR overweight neonates).ti,ab,kw.) OR (exp pregnancy diabetes mellitus/OR diabetes gravidarum.ti,ab,kw)

Fourteen amino acids during pregnancy: arginine, citrulline, glutamate, glutamine, asparagine, aspartate, proline, ornithine, (iso-)leucine, valine, cysteine, methionine, and choline. The search was performed on 25 July 2018, with 10,393 records.

Table A3. Search terms in Cochrane.

(MeSH descriptor: [Glutamine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage-AD, Adverse effects-AE, Deficiency-DF, Drug effects-DE, Pharmacology-PD, Physiology-PH, Therapeutic use-TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Glutamic Acid] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Citrulline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage Adverse effects-AE, Deficiency-DF, Drug effects-DE, Pharmacology-PD, Physiology-PH, Therapeutic use-TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Asparagine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Aspartic Acid] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Proline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Proline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Proline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Physiology=PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Proline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Physiology=PH, Therapeutic use=PH, Physiology=PH, Therapeutic use=PH, Physiology=PH, Phys Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TUJ OR MeSH descriptor: [Ornithine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Drug effects—DE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Choline] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU]MeSH descriptor: [Arginine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Leucine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use-TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Isoleucine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage-AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Valine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Cysteine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH -PH. Therapeutic use-TU] OR MeSH descriptor: [Methionine] explode all trees and with qualifier(s): [Administration & dosage—AD, Adverse effects—AE, Deficiency—DF, Pharmacology—PD, Physiology—PH, Therapeutic use—TU]) OR ((MeSH descriptor: [Dietary Supplements] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Administration, Oral] explode all trees OR administration * OR administer * OR dose OR doses OR dose OR treatment OR treated OR supplement * OR diet OR diet OR diet OR dietary OR intake OR intakes OR consumption OR consumptions OR consume OR nutraceutical * OR nutriceutical * OR therapy OR therapies:ti,ab,kw) AND (MeSH descriptor: [Glutamic Acid] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Glutamine] this term only OR MeSH descriptor: [Citrulline] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Asparagine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Aspartic Acid] this term only OR MeSH descriptor: [D-Aspartic Acid] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Proline] this term only OR MeSH descriptor: [Choline] this term only OR MeSH descriptor: [Ornithine] this term only OR glutamic acid OR glutamate OR MSG OR vestin OR "aminoglutaric acid" OR "aminopentanedioic acid" OR acidogen or acidoride OR acidothym OR acidulin OR aciglumin OR aciglut OR aclor OR antalka OR flanithin OR gastuloric OR glusate OR glutadox OR glutamidin OR "glutamin acid" OR "glutaminic acid" OR glutaminol OR glutan OR glutansin OR glutasin OR glutaton OR hydrionic OR hypochylin OR levoglutamate OR "levoglutamic acid" OR muriamic OR pepsdol or glutamine OR "aminoglutaramic acid" or acutil OR "adamin G" OR glumin OR glutamin OR levoglutamide OR levoglutamine OR nutrestore or citrulline OR "ureidopentanoic acid" OR ureidonorvaline OR "ureidovaleric acid" OR citrullin OR carbamylornithine OR asparagine OR asparagin OR "aminosuccinamic acid" OR "aspartic acid" OR asparate OR Magnesiocard OR Mg-5-Longoral OR Mg 5 Longoral OR Mg5 Longoral OR panangin OR astra 2045 OR "aminosuccinic acid" OR "asparagic acid" OR asparaginate OR "asparaginic acid" OR aspartyl OR aspatofort OR "levoaspartic acid" OR proline OR proline OR proline OR "pyrrolidinecarboxylic acid"OR pyrrolidine carboxylate OR ornithine OR ornithin OR "Diaminopentanoic Acid" OR "diaminovaleric acid" OR choline OR bursine OR vidine OR fagine OR trimethylammonium hydroxide OR amonita OR bilineurine OR biocholine OR biocolina OR cholin OR hepacholine OR laevocholine OR levocholine OR lipotril OR luridine OR sincaline OR urocholine:ti,ab,kw OR MeSH descriptor: [Arginine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Leucine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Isoleucine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Valine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Cysteine] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Methionine] explode all trees OR Arginine OR L-Arginine OR Leucine OR L-Leucine OR Leucin OR Isoleucine OR Isoleucin OR Alloisoleucine OR Alloisoleucin OR Valine or L-Valine OR Valsartan OR Valerate OR Cysteine OR L-Cysteine OR Cysteinate OR Acetylcysteine OR Carbocysteine OR Cysteinyldopa OR Cystine OR cystein OR cysthion OR Selenocysteine OR Methionine OR L-Methionine OR Liquimeth OR Pedameth OR formylmethionine OR Racemethionine OR Adenosylmethionine OR Selenomethionine OR Vitamin U OR acimetion OR cotameth OR lobamine OR menin OR menine OR methiolate OR methionin OR methiotrans OR methnine OR methurine OR metione OR methidin OR neutrodor OR oradash OR urosamine OR Methyl Donor:ti,ab,kw))

Table A3. Cont.

AND	AND
Healthy pregnancy OR Complicated pregnancy	MeSH descriptor: [Pregnancy] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Gravidity] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Infant, Premature] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Pretus] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Prenature Birth] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Prenature Birth] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Prenature Birth] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Prenature] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Pretal Macrosomia] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Petal Macrosomia] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Pregnancy-Induced] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Pregnancy in Diabetics] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Diabetes, Gestationa] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [Pregnancy OR Pregnancies OR Fregnant OR Gestation OR Gestations OR Gestational OR gravidity OR gravidities OR gravid or fetus OR fetus OR fetal OR foetal OR childbearing OR "child bearing" OR FGR OR Intrauterine growth retardation OR Intra-uterine growth retardation OR Intra-uterine growth restriction OR Intra-uterine baby OR Pre-term baby OR Pre-term baby OR Pre-term babies OR Pre-term child OR Pre-term child OR Pre-term babies OR Pre-term babies OR Pre-term child OR Pre-term child OR Pre-term child OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term birth * OR Pre-mature endate * OR Pre-term neoborn * OR Pre-term neoborn * OR Pre-term neoborn * OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term birth * PR Preterm birth * OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term birth * PR Preterm birth * OR Pre-term bird oR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term infant * OR Pre-term infinitencies or # Placental ansufficiency" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental insufficiencies" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiences" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiency" OR "placental deficiencies" OR "placental failure"

Fourteen amino acids during pregnancy: arginine, citrulline, glutamate, glutamine, asparagine, aspartate, proline, ornithine, (iso-)leucine, valine, cysteine, methionine, and choline. The search was performed on 25 July 2018, with 819 records.

Author (Year)	Species	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Dose (g/kg/day)	Fetal/Birth Weight	Maternal BP	Glucose	GWG	Dev. Compl
Arginine									
Greene (2012) [33]	Mouse	Control	Normal	2.664 a	X			Х	
Alexander (2004) [34]	Rat	RUPP	FGR/PE	2.000 ª	X	X			
	-	Control	Normal	2.000 ª	X	X			
Altun (2008) [35]	Rat	Sonic stress-induction	PE	0.021	х	Х			
Bourdon (2016) [44]	Rat	Protein restriction	FGR	1.500	х			Х	
Bursztyn (2003) [45]	Rat	Hyperinsulinemia	FGR/PIH	0.217	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Chin (1991) [46]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.159	Х			Х	
Da Costa (2014) [47]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.021	Х				
Helmbrecht (1996) [48]	Rat	L-NAME	FGR/PE	0.021	Х	Х			
		Control	Normal	0.021	Х	Х			
Podjarny (1993) [90]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.322		Х		Х	
		Adriamycin nephropathy	PE	0.322		Х		Х	
Podjarny (1997) [92]	Rat	Adriamycin nephropathy	PE	0.230		Х			
Podjarny (2001a) [49]	Rat	Hyperinsulinemia	PIH	0.220	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Podjarny (2001b) [91]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.260		Х		Х	
, ,		L-NAME	FGR/PE	0.260		Х		Х	
Schooley (2002) [50]	Rat	Mg deficiency	FGR	1.000	Х	Х			
y		Control	No	1.000	Х	Х			
Sharkey (2001) [51]	Rat	Genetic	FGR/PIH	0.100 ^{a,b}	х	х			
Vosatka (1998) [36]	Rat	Hypobaric hypoxia	FGR	0.200 ^a	х				
		51 51		2.000 ^a	х			х	
Zeng (2008) [37]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.216	х			х	
8(11)1					х			х	
Crane (2016) [52]	Sheep	Control	Normal	0.150	x				
Sun (2017) [54]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.250	x			х	
Sun (2018) [55]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.249	x			x	
Zhang (2016a) [56]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.250	x				
Zhang (2016b) [57]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.250	X		х	х	
Peine (2018) [53]	Sheep	Diet restriction	FGR	0.180	X			x	
Bass (2017) [58]	Pio	Control	Normal	0.150	x			x	
2400 (2017) [00]	- 16	connor	i torritur	0.170	x			x	
Bérard (2010) [59]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.160	X			x	

2		-	cation	(y	ght				
(Year)	ies	Mode	mpli	g/day	Weig	al BP	se	U	lqmo
hor (peci	nalľ	y Co	(g/k	3irth	terná	luce	GŴ	č.
Aut		Anii	Pregnanc	Dose	Fetal/I	Ma	0		De
Che (2013) [70]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.082 ^a	Х				
Dallanora (2017) $[69]$	Pig	Control	Normal	0.115 0.140 ª	X			Х	
Garbossa (2015) [72]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.140 0.113 ^a	X				
	-	Ractopamine	Over-growth	0.113 ^a	Х				
Guo (2016) [73]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.015 a	X		x	x	
LI (2010) [74]	1 lg	Control	Normai	0.070	x		x	x	
Li (2014) [75]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.070	Х			Х	
I; (201E) [76]	Dia	Control	Normal	0.140	X			X	
LI (2013) [76]	Fig	Control	Normai	0.210	X			X	
				0.130	Х			Х	
Liu (2012) [77]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.107	X		Х		
Madsen (2017) [60]	Pig	UOL Intact (relatively crowded)	FCR	0.157 ° 0.157 a	X X				
Mateo (2007) [61]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.120	X				
Mateo (2008) [62]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.120	Х				
Wu (2012) [63]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.110	X				
Quesnel (2014) [64]	Pig Human	Control Increased risk of PF	Normal Risk	0.110 0.042 a	X X	x			x
Dera (2007) [95]	Human	FGR < p10; gestational HTN	Risk	0.042 0.043 ^a	Λ	Л		х	X
Facchinetti (2007) [79]	Human	HTN after 20th wk	Risk	0.110 ^a	Х				Х
Hladenuwich (2006) [82]	Human	PE	PE	0.200 ^a	Х				
Neri (2010) [83]	Human	Chronic HTN	Risk	0.047 ^a	X	Х			Х
Ropacka (2007) [84] Rytlewski (2005) [93]	Human	PE: BW < p10	FGR/PE	0.043	λ	х			
Rytlewski (2006) [85]	Human	PE; BW $< p10$	FGR/PE	0.041	Х				Х
Rytlewski (2008) [86]	Human	Preterm labor sign	Preterm	0.041 ^a	X				X
Singh (2015) [88]	Human	EFW < p10 FEW < p10	FGR	0.040 ^a 0.065 ^a	X X				Х
Staff (2004) [89]	Human	PE	FGR/PE	0.150 ^a	X	х			
Valdivia-silva (2009) [96]	Human	PE	PE	0.039					Х
Winer (2009) [80]	Human	AC < p3 and abnormal UA flow	FGR	0.190	Х	х			
Zhang (2007) [81] Citrulline	Human	PIH and FGR	FGR/PIH	0.333	Х				
Bourdon (2016) [44]	Rat	Protein restriction	FGR	2.000	Х	Х		Х	
Koeners (2017) [38]	Rat	Pre-existent HTN	PIH	0.438 ^b	Х				
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Tran} (2017) [39] \\ \text{Powors} (2015) [94] \end{array}$	Kat Human	Protein restriction	FGR	2.000	Х	x			
Glutamate	Trantan	obese	ivoimai	0.000		λ			
Beaudoin (1981) [40]	Rat	Control	Normal	2.600 a	Х				
Navarro (2005) [148]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.110	24			Х	
Zeng (2012) [41]	Kat	Control	Normal	0.074 ^b	X X				
Sun (2017) [54]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.149	X			х	
Sun (2018) [55]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.062	Х			Х	
Zhang (2016a) [56]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.060	Х				
Zhang (2016b) [57]	Sheep	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.060	X		X		
Liu (2012) [77] Wu (2012) [63]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.011	X		А		
Zhang (2014) [65]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.007	X				
-				0.015	Х				
				0.022	X				
Zhu (2015) [149]	Pio	Diet restriction twin bearing	FGR	0.030	Х			x	
Cai (2018) [66]	Swine	Control	Normal	0.003	х			x	
· ···					Х			Х	
					Х			Х	
Giutamine Caporossi (2014) [42]	Rat	Control	Normal	2 000	x				
Zhu (2018) [67]	Pig	Control	Normal	0.126	x		х	х	

Author (Year)	Species	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Dose (g/kg/day)	Fetal/Birth Weight	Maternal BP	Glucose	GWG	Dev. Compl
Proline Gonzalez-Anover (2017) [68] Aspartic acid	Pig	Control	Normal	0.120 ^a	х				
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat	PKU-induction Control	FGR Normal	1.570 ^b 1.570 ^b	X X			X X	
Valine	Det	DVII in desetion	ECD	1 FFO b	v			v	
Brunner (1978) [43]	Kat	Control	FGR	1.570 ^b 4.720 ^b 1.570 ^b	X X X			X X X	
				4.720 ^b	Х			Х	
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat	Control	Normal	2.710	Х			Х	
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat	Control	Normal	2.368	X			Х	
Leucine	Fig	Control	INOTITIAL	0.037	x				
Viana (2013) [103]	Mouse	Control	Normal	3.000 ^{a,b}	х				
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat	PKU-induction	FGR	1.570 ^b	Х			Х	
				4.720 ^b	Х			Х	
		Control	Normal	1.570 ^b	Х			Х	
C (2014) [00]	п (NT I	4.720 ^b	X			Х	
Cruz (2014) [99] Cruz (2016) [100]	Rat	Control	Normal	NA	X X				
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat	Control	Normal	1.500	X			х	
Mawatari (2004) [102]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.300	X			X	
		Control	Normal	1.000	Х			Х	
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat	Control	Normal	2.368	Х			Х	
Ventrucci (2001) [101]	Rat	Control	Normal	7.180 ^b	Х		v	Х	
Wang (2018) [105]	Kat Pig	Control	Normal	7.180 °	x		Х		
Walig (2010) [100]	1 lg	Control	Normai	0.092	X				
				0.138	X				
Isoleucine Bruppor (1978) [42]	Pat	PKI induction	ECP	1 570 b	v			v	
bruitter (1978) [45]	Kat	FKO-induction	FGK	1.370 ^b	X			X	
		Control	Normal	1.570 ^b	x			x	
				4.720 ^b	х			Х	
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat	Control	Normal	3.150	Х			Х	
Mori (1999) [98] Cysteine	Rat	Control	Normal	2.368	Х			Х	
Balansky (2009) [106]	Mouse	Control	Normal	1.000	X			N	
Miller (2014) [108]	Mouse	Control	Pro-ovistont	0.150	X			X	
Moazzen (2014) [107]	Mouse	Streptozotocin	DM	1.000	Х		Х		
		Control	Normal	1.000	Х		Х		
Lin (2011) [113]	Rat	High-fat diet	Over-growth	0.075 ^{a,b}	Х		Х		
Soto-Blanco (2001) [114]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.510	Х			Х	
Hashimoto (2012) [120]	pig	Hypoxia	FGR	0.550	X X				
	Guinea	inormoxia	inormal	0.550	Λ				
Herrera (2017) [121]	pig	Control Uterine artery occlusion	Normal FGR	0.500 0.500	x x				
Thompson (2011) [122]	Guinea	Nicotino ovposod	Rick	0 104	Y				
11011p301 (2011) [122]	pig	TNICOLIIE exposed	INISK	0.470	л 				
Motawei (2016) [133] Roos (2006) [124]	Human	PE Farly onset source DE/LIEU D	PE	0.005	X	Х			v
Shahin (2009) [134] Methionine	Human	Previous preterm labor	Risk	0.025 ^a	л Х				X
Abdel-Wanhab (1999) [115]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.043	х				
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat	PKU-induction	FGR	1.570 ^b	Х			Х	
		Control	Normal	1.570 ^b	Х			Х	
Chandrashekar (1977) [116]	Rat	Control	Normal	NA	Х				
Fujii (1971) [117]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.029	Х				

Author (Year)	Species	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Dose (g/kg/day)	Fetal/Birth Weight	Maternal BP	Glucose	GWG	Dev. Compl
Koz (2010) [118]	Rat	Control	Normal	1.000	Х				
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat	Control	Normal	1.150	х			х	
Viau (1973) [119]	Rat	Control	Normal	NA	х				
Gauthier (2009) [123]	Guinea pig	Ethanol exposed	FGR	0.096	х				
Othmani Mecif (2017) [124]	Rabbit	Control	Normal	0.172	Х				
Liu (2016) [125]	Sheep	Control	Normal	0.047	Х			Х	
Tsiplakou (2017) [126]	Sheep	Control	Normal	0.041	х				
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat	Control	Normal	2.368	Х			Х	
Batistel (2017) [128]	Cow	Control	Normal	0.012 ^a	Х				
Clements (2017) [129]	Cow	Control	Normal	0.016	Х				
Jacometo (2016) [130]	Cow	Control	Normal	0.010 ^b	Х				
Xu (2018) [131]	Cow	Control	Normal	0.012 ^{a,b}	Х				
Choline									
Bai (2012) [109]	Rat	Protein restriction	FGR	0.670 ^a	Х			Х	
Thomas (2009) [110]	Rat	Ethanol exposed	FGR	0.250	Х				
		Control	Normal	0.250	х				
Yang (2000) [111]	Rat	Control	Normal	0.390 ^{a,b}	х				
Zhang (2018) [112]	Rat	LPS infusion	FGR	0.875 ^b	Х	Х		Х	
		Control	Normal	0.875 ^b	Х	Х		х	
Birch (2016) [127]	Sheep	Ethanol infusion	FGR	0.010	Х				
	•	Control	Normal	0.010	Х				
Janovick Guretzky (2006) [151]	Cow	Control (Holstein)	Normal	0.021				Х	
		Control (Jersey)	Normal	0.030				Х	
Zenobi (2018a) [132]	Cow	Excess energy diet	Risk overgrowth	0.024	х		х		
		Maintenance diet	Normal	0.024	Х		Х		
Zenobi (2018b) [152]	Cow	Diet restriction	FGR	0.002			Х		
				0.003			Х		
				0.005			Х		
				0.007			Х		
		Ad libitum diet	Normal	0.002			Х		
				0.003			Х		
				0.005			Х		
				0.007			Х		
Jacobson (2018) [138]	Human	Alcohol	Risk	0.035	Х	Х		Х	Х
Ross (2013) [135]	Human	Control	Normal	0.012 ^a	Х			Х	Х
Yan (2012) [136]	Human	Control	Normal	0.007 ^a	Х			Х	

Ordered according to species per amino acid. ^a Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight or based on ^b estimated food intake. "Normal" in the pregnancy complication column indicates the normal-growth group. AA, amino acid; BP, blood pressure; BW, birth weight; dev. compl; development of pregnancy complication in risk population; DM, diabetes mellitus; EFW, estimated fetal weight; FGR, fetal growth restriction; GWG, gestational weight gain; L-NAME, (ω)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; NA: not applicable or available; HELLP, hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme, and low platelet syndrome; HTN, hypertension; PE, preeclampsia; PKU; phenylketonuria; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; RUPP, reduced uterine pressure perfusion; UA, uterine artery; UOL, unilateral oviduct ligation; WT, wild type.

Arginine Greene (2012) [33] Mouse (FNVB/N × vegfr2-luc) Control Normal 0.03 24 C; T ^d 1–18 2.660 ^e P0 1.18 ± 0.28 49 6 1.32 ± 0.25 25 5 Alexander (2004) [34] Rat (SD) Control Normal 0.25 NA C; P 10–19 2.000 ^e 19 2.30 ± 0.60 117 9 2.00 ± 0.05 16 12 Altun (2008) [35] Rat (Wistar) Sonic stress-induction PE 0.20 NA C; T 18–19 0.021 19 5.18 ± 0.58 67 6 4.41 ± 0.46 55 65 Bursztyn (2003) [45] Rat (Wistar) Protein restriction FGR (PE 0.20 NA C; T 18–19 0.021 19 5.18 ± 0.58 67 6 4.41 ± 0.46 55 65 65 0.00 111 9 4.05 ± 0.15 110 9 9 9 9 4.40 9.02 65 5 3.00 ± 1.05 10 9 9 9 4.05 5 6 0.021 11 9
Altun (2008) [35] Mouse (FNVB/N × vegfr2-luc) Control Normal 0.03 24 C; T ^d 1-18 2.660 ° P0 1.18 ± 0.28 49 6 1.32 ± 0.25 25 5 Alexander (2004) [34] Rat (SD) RUPP FGR/PE 0.25 NA C; P 10-19 2.000 ° 19 2.30 ± 0.60 117 9 2.40 ± 0.35 156 12 Altun (2008) [35] Rat (Wistar) Sonic stress-induction PE 0.20 NA C; T 18-19 0.021 19 2.80 ± 0.60 117 9 2.40 ± 0.35 156 12 Bourdon (2016) [44] Rat (Wistar) Sonic stress-induction PE 0.20 NA C; T 18-19 0.021 19 5.18 ± 0.30 111 9 2.40 ± 0.35 156 12 Bourdon (2016) [44] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinemia FGR/PIH 0.24 NA C; T 11-22 0.217 22 4.10 ± 0.30 111 9 4.40 ± 5.5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 3.00 ± 1.60
Alexander (2004) [34]Rat (SD)RUPPFGR/PE 0.25 NAC; P $10-19$ 2.00^{e} 19 2.30 ± 0.60 117 9 2.00 ± 0.60 117 9 Altun (2008) [35]Rat (Wistar)Sonic stress-inductionPE 0.25 NAC; P $10-19$ 2.000^{e} 19 2.80 ± 0.60 117 9 2.40 ± 0.35 156 12 Altun (2008) [35]Rat (Wistar)Sonic stress-inductionPE 0.20 NAC; T $18-19$ 0.021 19 5.18 ± 0.58 67 6 4.41 ± 0.46 55 6 Bourdon (2016) [44]Rat (SD)Protein restrictionFGR 0.29 4 C; T $11-22$ 0.21 4.13 ± 0.30 111 9 4.05 ± 0.15 110 9 Bursztyn (2003) [45]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinemiaFGR/PIH 0.24 NAC; T $11-22$ 0.217 22 4.10 ± 0.20 65 5 3.00 ± 1.60 55 5 Chin (1991) [46]Rat (Fisher F344/NTacfBR)ControlNormal 0.17 NAC; P d $1-P0$ 0.159 P0 4.60 ± 1.88 40 4 4.90 ± 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47]Rat (Wistar)ControlNormal 0.20 NA $I; P$ $4-18$ 0.021 18 469.33 ± 124.55 NA 5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA 5 Helmbrecht (1996) [48]Rat (Wistar)L-NAMEFGR/PE 0.31 NAC; P
Altun (2008) [35]Rat (Wistar)ControlNormal 0.25 NA $C; P$ $10-19$ 2.00^{e} 19 2.80 ± 0.60 117 9 2.40 ± 0.35 156 12 Altun (2008) [35]Rat (Wistar)Sonic stress-inductionPE 0.20 NA $C; T$ $18-19$ 0.021 19 5.18 ± 0.58 67 6 4.41 ± 0.46 55 6 Bourdon (2016) [44]Rat (SD)Protein restrictionFGR 0.29 4 $C; T^{d}$ $7-21$ 1.500 21 4.13 ± 0.30 111 9 4.05 ± 0.15 110 9 Bursztyn (2003) [45]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinemiaFGR/PIH 0.24 NA $C; T$ $11-22$ 0.217 22 4.10 ± 0.20 65 5 3.00 ± 1.60 55 55 Chin (1991) [46]Rat (Fisher F344/NTac/BR)ControlNormal 0.17 NA $C; P^{d}$ $1-P0$ 0.159 P0 4.60 ± 1.88 40 4 4.90 ± 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47]Rat (Wistar)ControlNormal 0.20 NA $I; P$ $4-18$ 0.021 18 469.33 ± 124.55 NA 5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA 5 Helmbrecht (1996) [48]Rat (Wistar)L-NAMEFGR/PE 0.31 NA $C; P$ $16-21$ 0.021 $P0$ 5.00 ± 0.32 126 6 5.00 ± 0.77 120 6 Podjarny (2001a) [49]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinaemiaPIH 0
Altun (2008) [35]Rat (Wistar)Sonic stress-inductionPE0.20NAC; T18-190.02119 5.18 ± 0.58 676 4.41 ± 0.46 556Bourdon (2016) [44]Rat (SD)Protein restrictionFGR0.294C; T $7-21$ 1.500 21 4.13 ± 0.30 1119 4.05 ± 0.15 1109Bursztyn (2003) [45]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinemiaFGR/PIH0.24NAC; T $11-22$ 0.21722 4.10 ± 0.20 655 3.00 ± 1.60 555Chin (1991) [46]Rat (Fisher F344/NTac/BR)ControlNormal0.17NAC; P d1-P00.159P0 4.60 ± 1.88 404 4.90 ± 1.88 284Da Costa (2014) [47]Rat (Wistar)ControlNormal0.20NAI; P4-180.02118 469.3 ± 124.55 NA5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA5Helmbrecht (1996) [48]Rat (SD)L-NAMEFGR/PE0.31NAC; P16-210.021P0 6.11 ± 0.37 786 6.00 ± 0.27 806Podjarny (2001a) [49]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinaemiaPIH0.24NAC; P11-220.22022 5.60 ± 0.39 18015 4.30 ± 1.34 20020Schooley (2002) [50]Rat (SD)Mg deficiencyFGR0.20NAC; P11-220.20022 5.60 ± 0.39 18015 4.30 ± 1.3
Bourdon (2016) [44]Rat (SD)Protein restrictionFGR 0.29 4 $C; T^{d}$ $7-21$ 1.500 21 4.13 ± 0.30 111 9 4.05 ± 0.15 110 9Bursztyn (2003) [45]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinemiaFGR/PIH 0.24 NA $C; T$ $11-22$ 0.217 22 4.10 ± 0.20 65 5 3.00 ± 1.60 55 5 Chin (1991) [46]Rat (Fisher F344/NTacfBR)ControlNormal 0.17 NA $C; P^{d}$ $1-P0$ 0.159 P0 4.60 ± 1.88 40 4 4.90 ± 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47]Rat (Wistar)ControlNormal 0.20 NA $I; P$ $4-18$ 0.021 18 469.33 ± 124.55 NA 5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA 8 Helmbrecht (1996) [48]Rat (SD)L-NAMEFGR/PE 0.31 NA $C; T$ $16-21$ 0.021 P0 5.60 ± 0.32 126 6 5.00 ± 0.17 120 6 ControlNormal 0.31 NA $C; P$ $16-21$ 0.021 P0 5.60 ± 0.32 126 6 5.00 ± 0.17 120 6 Podjarny (2001a) [49]Rat (Wistar)HyperinsulinaemiaPIH 0.24 NA $C; P$ $1-22$ 0.220 22 5.60 ± 0.39 180 15 4.30 ± 1.34 200 20 Schooley (2002) [50]Rat (SD)Mg deficiencyFGR 0.20 NA $C; P$ $1-22$ 0.200 2
Bursztyn (2003) [45] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinemia FGR/PIH 0.24 NA C; T 11-22 0.217 22 4.10 \pm 0.20 65 5 3.00 \pm 1.60 55 5 Chin (1991) [46] Rat (Fisher F344/NTacfBR) Control Normal 0.17 NA C; P d 1-P0 0.159 P0 4.60 \pm 1.88 40 4 4.90 \pm 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47] Rat (Wistar) Control Normal 0.20 NA C; P d 1-P0 0.159 P0 4.60 \pm 1.88 40 4 4.90 \pm 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47] Rat (Wistar) Control Normal 0.20 NA C; P d 1-P0 0.159 P0 4.60 \pm 1.88 40 4 4.90 \pm 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47] Rat (Wistar) Control Normal 0.20 NA C; T 16-21 0.021 18 469.33 \pm 124.55 NA 5 368.00 \pm 138.12 NA C Helmbrecht (1996) [48] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinaemia PIH
Chin (1991) [46] Rat (Fisher F344/NTacfBR) Control Normal 0.17 NA C; P ^d $1-P0$ 0.159 P0 4.60 ± 1.88 40 4 4.90 ± 1.88 28 4 Da Costa (2014) [47] Rat (Wistar) Control Normal 0.20 NA $I; P$ $4-18$ 0.021 18 469.33 ± 124.55 NA 5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA 5 Helmbrecht (1996) [48] Rat (Wistar) L-NAME FGR/PE 0.31 NA C; T $16-21$ 0.021 18 469.33 ± 124.55 NA 5 368.00 ± 138.12 NA 5 Helmbrecht (1996) [48] Rat (Wistar) L-NAME FGR/PE 0.31 NA C; P $16-21$ 0.021 P0 6.11 ± 0.37 78 6 6.00 ± 0.27 80 6 Podjarny (2001a) [49] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinaemia PIH 0.24 NA C; P $11-22$ 0.220 22 5.60 ± 0.39 180 15 4.30 ± 1.34 200 20 Schooley (2002) [50] Rat (SD)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Helmbrecht (1996) [48] Rat (SD) L-NAME FGR/PE 0.31 NA C; T 16-21 0.021 P0 5.60 ± 0.32 126 6 5.00 ± 0.17 120 6 Control Normal 0.31 NA C; P 16-21 0.021 P0 5.60 ± 0.32 126 6 5.00 ± 0.17 120 6 Podjarny (2001a) [49] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinaemia PIH 0.24 NA C; P 11-22 0.202 22 5.60 ± 0.39 180 15 4.30 ± 1.34 200 20 Schooley (2002) [50] Rat (Wistar) Mg deficiency FGR 0.20 NA C; P 11-22 0.200 22 5.60 ± 0.39 180 15 4.30 ± 1.34 200 20 Schooley (2002) [50] Rat (SD) Mg deficiency FGR 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 1.000 21 3.97 ± 0.40 146 13 3.64 ± 0.42 133 12 Schooley (2001) [51] Rat (SHHE/Mcc-facr) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA C; P 10-21
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Podjarny (2001a) [49] Rat (Wistar) Hyperinsulinaemia PIH 0.24 NA C; P 11-22 0.220 22 5.60 ± 0.39 180 15 4.30 ± 1.34 200 20 Schooley (2002) [50] Rat (SD) Mg deficiency FGR 0.20 NA C; T 10-21 1.000 21 3.97 ± 0.40 146 13 3.64 ± 0.42 133 12 Control Normal 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 1.000 21 4.10 ± 0.40 134 3.80 ± 0.45 129 14 Sharkey (2001) [51] Rat (SHHE/Mcc-face) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 1.000 21 4.10 ± 0.40 134 3.80 ± 0.45 129 14 Sharkey (2001) [51] Rat (SHHE/Mcc-face) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 0.000 20 134 3.80 ± 0.45 129 14
Schooley (2002) [50] Rat (SD) Mg deficiency FGR 0.20 NA C; T 10-21 1.000 21 3.97 ± 0.40 146 13 3.64 ± 0.42 133 12 Control Normal 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 1.000 21 4.10 ± 0.40 134 13 3.80 ± 0.45 129 14 Sharkey (2001) [51] Rat (SHHE/Mcc-facp) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA C; P 1-20 0.100 ef 20 157 + 0.07 NA 3 2.68 + 0.12 NA 4
Control Normal 0.20 NA C; P 10-21 1.000 21 4.10 ± 0.40 134 13 3.80 ± 0.45 129 14 Sharkey (2001) [51] Bat (SHHF/Mcc-face) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA C; P 1-20 0.100 ef 20 1.57 + 0.07 NA 3 2.68 + 0.12 NA 4
Sharkey (2001) [51] Rat (SHHE/Mcc-face) Genetic FGR/PIH 0.20 NA $C \cdot P$ 1–20 0.100 °.f 20 1.57 + 0.07 NA 3 2.68 + 0.12 NA 4
(1001110,100) = 0.100 = 0.00
Vosatka (1998) [36] Rat (Wistar) Hypobaric hypoxia FGR 0.25 4 g/day C; P 9–21 0.200 ° 21 4.19 ± 0.41 38 4 3.30 ± 0.65 137 14
2.000^{e} 21 4.27 ± 0.80 116 10 3.30 ± 0.65 137 14
Zeng (2008) [37] Rat (SD) Control Normal 0.23 22 C; P ^d 0-P0 0.216 P0 6.73 ± 0.87 174 12 6.43 ± 1.01 136 12
Crane (2016) [52] Sheep (Rambouillet) Control Normal 65.0 16 C; P 0-14 0.150 P0 5300 ± 1073 20 20 5400 ± 1200 29 25
Peine (2018) [53] Sheep (Rambouillet-cross) Diet restriction FGR 67.7 16 C; P 54-P0 0.180 P0 4603.00 ± 1454 11 11 4449 ± 1454 11 11
Sun (2017) [54] Sheep (Hu) Diet restricted twin bearing FGR 40.1 NA C; P 35–110 0.250 110 1660 ± 877 16 8 1400 ± 396 16 8
Sun (2018) [55] Sheep (Hu) Diet restricted twin bearing FGR 40.1 10–14 C; T 35–110 0.249 110 1703 ± 154 16 8 1431 ± 156 16 8
Zhang (2016a) [56] Sheep (Hu) Diet restricted twin bearing FGR 40.1 10-14 C; P 35-110 0.250 110 1660 ± 2998 16 8 1410 ± 2998 16 8
Zhang (2016b) [57] Sheep (Hu) Diet restricted twin bearing FGR 40.1 10–14 C; P 35–110 0.250 110 1659 ± 130 16 8 1401.00 ± 130.11 16 8
Bass (2017) [58] Pig (GPK-35) Control Normal 180 18.7 C; P d 93-P0 0.150 P0 1420 ± 197 682 49 1410 ± 197 652 48
Pig (PIC Camborough 1050 and 1055) Control Normal 165 12 C; P ^{.d} 81–116 0.170 P0 1410 ± 391 2515 195 1450 ± 391 2388 188
Bérard (2010) [59] Pig (Swiss Large White) Control Normal 159 NA C; P 14–28 0.160 75 373 ± 63 29 (F) 10 365 ± 63 20 (F) 7

Table A5. Data-extraction of included animal and human studies on birth weight.

Author (Year) Species (Strain or Coumtry)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Matemal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , intervention Type ^b	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA BW ± SD (g)	и Offspring (Sex ^c)	n Mother	CON BW ± SD (g)	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	<i>n</i> Mother
Che (2013) [70] Pig (Landrace × large White)	Control	Normal	236	14	C; P ^d	30–90	0.082 ^e	P0	$13,\!900\pm268$	212	20	1480 ± 224	204	20
				. –		30-114	0.092 ^e	P0	1500 ± 224	236	20	1480 ± 224	204	20
Dallanora (2017) [69] Pig (Landrace \times Large white)	Control	Normal	148	17	C; P	25-112	0.115	80-112	1170 ± 282	755	51	1160 ± 282	796	51
Gao (2012) [71] Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Control	Normal	160	13	C; P ^u	22-P0	0.140 °	P0	1450 ± 624 1420 ± 270	642	52	1410 ± 624 1280 ± 270	630	56
Garbossa (2013) [72]	Ractonamine	Overgrowt	160 h 160	14	C, F	25-53	0.113 °	110	1430 ± 379 1510 + 379	334	23	1500 ± 379 1500 ± 379	305	23
Guo (2016) [73] Pig (Yorkshire x Landrace)	Control	Normal	150	16	C·pd	30-110	0.015 e	P0	1250 ± 319	60	60	1250 ± 319	53	53
Li (2010) [74] Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Control	Normal	112	12	C; P ^d	0-25	0.070	25	8.90 ± 3.08	111	9	8.30 ± 4.08	114	9
(···/)[]					-,		0.140	25	5.50 ± 4.08	77	8	8.30 ± 4.08	114	9
Li (2014) [75] Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Control	Normal	115	12	C; P ^d	14-25	0.070	25	6.27 ± 1.18	191	15	5.58 ± 1.18	147	14
-							0.140	25	5.83 ± 1.18	171	14	5.58 ± 1.18	147	14
Li (2015) [76] Pig (Landrace)	Control	Normal	125	16	C; P ^d	1–30	0.210	P0	1440 ± 340	354	32	1460 ± 397	306	30
			187	16	C; P d	1-30	0.140	P0	1440 ± 397	756	57	1510 ± 397	651	56
		NT I	196	16	C; P ^a	1-30	0.130	P0 P0	1400 ± 374	481	37	1440 ± 374	123	37
Liu (2012) [77] Pig (Landrace x Large White)	Control	Normal	187	15	C; P	1-P0	0.107	P0 P0	1510 ± 104	98 NIA (T)	9	1450 ± 104	88 NIA (T)	9
Madsen (2017) [60] Fig (Swiss Large White)	UOL	Normai	159	15	C; P =	14-28	0.157 *	P0	1440 ± 411	NA (F)	5	1500 ± 411 1740 ± 353	NA (F) NA (M)	5
	Intact (relatively crowded)	FGR	159	15	C · T ^d	14-28	0.157 ^e	P0	1510 + 411	NA (F)	5	1740 ± 300 1260 + 411	NA(F)	5
	inder (reduiter) erowded)	1011	107	10	С, 1	11 20	01107	10	1010 ± 111	NA (M)	5	1200 ± 353	NA (M)	5
Mateo (2007) [61] Pig (Camborough 22)	Control	Normal	166	12	C; P ^d	30-P0	0.120	P0	1460 ± 288	273	24	1410 ± 288	262	28
Mateo (2008) [62] Pig (Camborough 22)	Control	Normal	166	12	C; P ^d	30-P0	0.120	P0	1434 ± 154	NA	21	1430 ± 154		17
Wu (2012) [63]Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	187	15	C; P	90-P0	0.110	P0	$16{,}200\pm104$	97	9	1460 ± 104	83	9
Quesnel (2014) [64] Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	236	13	C; P	70-P0	0.110	P0	1520 ± 376	357	24	1460 ± 379	317	23
Camarena Pulido (2016) [78] Human (Mexico)	Increased risk of PE	Risk	71	NA	I; P	Wk 20-P0	0.042 e	P0	3144 ± 454	49	49	2937 ± 491	47	47
Facchinetti (2007) [79] Human (Italy)	HTN after 20th wk	Risk	80	NA	I; P	2 wks	0.110 e	P0 P0	2753 ± 857	39	39	2523 ± 803	35	35
Hiadenuwich (2006) [82] Human (USA)	PE Chronic HTN < 16 wile	PE	20	NA	1; 1 1, D	6 days	0.200 °	P0 P0	1734 ± 680 2004 ± 710	10	10	1653 ± 602	10	10
Ropacka (2007) [84] Human (Poland)	ECR (EFW $< p10$)	FCR	85 70	NΔ	I, F I. T	Until P0 (+35 days)	0.047 °	P0 P0	3094 ± 719 2526 + 844	24	24	2030 ± 940 1996 ± 928	40	40
Rytlewski (2006) [85] Human (Poland)	PE: BW < p10	FGR/PE	74	NA	I, I I: T	Wk 29-P0	0.041	P0	2320 ± 044 2358 ± 901	30	30	2066 + 917	31	31
Rytlewski (2008) [86] Human (Poland)	Preterm labor sign	Preterm	74	NA	I; T	1–4 wks after admission-delivery	0.041 ^e	P0	2956 ± 538	25	25	2987 ± 474	20	20
Sieroszewski (2004) [87] Human (Poland)	EFW < p10	FGR	75	NA	I; T	20 days (start wk 32)	0.040 ^e	P0	2823 ± 751	78	78	2495 ± 805	30	30
Singh (2015) [88] Human (India)	EFW < p10	FGR	48	NA	I; T	21 days	0.065 ^e	P0	1900 ± 380	30	30	1770 ± 530	30	30
Staff (2004) [89] Human (Norway)	PE	FGR/PE	80	NA	I; T	5 days	0.150 ^e	P0	2264 ± 833	15	15	1986 ± 905	15	15
Winer (2009) [80] Human (France)	AC < p3 and abnormal UA flow	FGR	75	NA	I; T	Until P0 (±3 wk)	0.190	P0	1042 ± 476	21	21	1068 ± 452	22	22
Zhang (2007) [81] Human (China)	PIH and FGR	FGR/PIH	60	NA	I; T	Wk 28–30	0.333	P0	2900 ± 300	35	35	2700 ± 300	33	33

Author (Year) Species (Strain or Coumtry)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Matemal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme a ; intervention Type b	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA BW ± SD (g)	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	<i>n</i> Mother	$\text{CON BW} \pm \text{SD} \left(g\right)$	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	n Mother
Citrulline	D	ECD			0.5	5.01	2 000		4.45 . 0.04	100	0	105 0 15	110	
Bourdon (2016) [44] Kat (SD) Koeners (2007) [38] Rat (SHR)	Protein restriction Pre-existent HTN	FGR PIH	0.29 0.20	4 NA	C; T C; T	7–21 7-P0	2.000 0.438 ^f	P0	4.15 ± 0.81 5.10 ± 0.56 5.32 ± 0.80	102 14 (F) 14 (M)	9 7 7	4.05 ± 0.15 4.88 ± 0.74 5.50 ± 0.52	110 16 (F) 17 (M)	9 5 5
Tran (2017) [39] Rat (SD)	Protein restriction	FGR	0.20	4	C; P	2–15 2–21	2.000 2.000	15 21	0.29 ± 0.03 4.88 ± 0.42	18 30	3 3	0.40 ± 0.02 4.62 ± 0.33	24 46	3
Glutamate		N7 1	0.00		I D		2 (00.8		2.04	- 4	Ĩ	2.07 . 0.04		-
Beaudoin (1981) [40] Kat (Wistar Albino) Zeng (2012) [41] Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.23	NA NA	I; P C: P	6-10 1-P0	2.600 c 0.074 f	20 P0	3.96 ± 0.02 6.37 ± 0.29	54 1171	4 96	3.97 ± 0.04 6.38 ± 0.29	57 1094	5 96
							0.149 ^f	P0	6.36 ± 0.20	1248	96	6.38 ± 0.29	1094	96
Sun (2017) [54] Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40.1	NA	C; P	35-110	0.060	220	1680 ± 1188	16	8	1400 ± 396	16	8
Sun (2018) [55] Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40.1	10-14	C; T	35-110	0.062	110	1718 ± 110	16	8	1431 ± 156	16	8
Zhang (2016a) [56] Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40.1	10-14	C; P	35-110	0.060	110	1680 ± 2998	16	8	1410 ± 2998	16	8
Zhang (2016b) [57] Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40.1	10-14	C; P	35-110	0.060	110 D0	1682 ± 130	16	8	1401 ± 130 1450 + 104	16	8
Liu (2012) [//] Pig (Landrace × Large White) Wu (2012) [62] Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	187	15 NA	1; P 1. D	1-P0 90 P0	0.011	P0 P0	1490 ± 104 1590 ± 104	97	9	1450 ± 104 1460 ± 104	88	9
Zhang (2014) [65] Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	136	15	г, г С•Р	1-P0	0.011	P0	1390 ± 104 1460 + 67	90	9	1370 ± 67	89	9
Entring (2011) [00] The (Eutrematice × Europe White)	control	ivorniar	100	10	С, 1	110	0.015	PO	1410 ± 67 1410 + 67	97	9	1370 + 67.08	89	9
							0.022	P0	1430 ± 67	98	9	1370.00 ± 67	89	9
							0.030	P0	1360 ± 67	95	9	1370 ± 67	89	9
Cai (2018) [66] Swine (Landrace × Yorkshire)	Control	Normal	210	13	C; P	1-8	0.003	P0	1450 ± 415	177	18	1440 ± 415	173	18
· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						9-28	0.003	P0	1430 ± 415	166	16	1440 ± 415	173	18
						1–28	0.003	P0	1400 ± 415	207	17	1440 ± 415	173	18
		NT I	0.04	NT A	I D	1 01	0.000	01	2.52 . 0.11	14		0 (1 + 0 00	45	,
Caporossi (2014) [42] Kat (Wistar) Zhu (2018) [67] Dia (Landraga) (Langa Wikita)	Control	Normal	0.24	INA NA	I; P C. Dd	1-21 95 114	2.000	21 D0	3.33 ± 2.11	40 272	20	3.01 ± 2.23 1240 + 164	45	20
Proline Prog (Landrace × Large Willie)	Control	Normai	212	INA	C; P	03-114	0.120	FU	1390 ± 110	572	30	1340 ± 104	307	30
Gonzalez-Anover (2017) [68] Pig (Landrace × Yorkshire) Aspartic acid	Control	Normal	115	14	C; P	11–30	0.120 ^e	P0	1400 ± 755	844	57	1400 ± 762	835	58
Brunner (1978) [43] Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C; T	10-20	1.570 ^f	20	2.60 ± 0.18	36	4	2.57 ± 0.36	36	4
	Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C; P	10-20	1.570 ^f	20	2.96 ± 0.24	36	4	3.21 ± 0.24	36	4

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Coumtry)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Maternal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a ; intervention Type ^b	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA BW ± SD (g)	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	<i>n</i> Mother	$CONBW\pmSD(g)$	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	n Mother
Valine Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C; T	10–20	1.570 ^f	20 20	2.52 ± 0.26	28 27	3	2.57 ± 0.36 2.54 ± 0.31	36 108	4
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C; P	10-20	4.720 1.570 f	20	2.42 ± 0.20 2.86 ± 0.26	28	3	3.21 ± 0.24	36	4
Matauada (1082) [07]	Pat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.10	6	C. P	1 22	4.720 -	20	3.15 ± 0.42 2.40 ± 0.20	27 52	5	3.07 ± 0.21	108	12
Mari (1992) [97]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	20	C; P	1-22	2.710	22	5.49 ± 0.29 5.20 ± 0.10	55	5	5.69 ± 0.21 E 40 ± 0.40	55	5
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat (SD) Dig (Landrage) (Lange White)	Control	Normal	0.19	20		12-22 107 D0	2.368	22 D0	5.20 ± 0.10 1520 ± 206	70	0	5.40 ± 0.40 1540 ± 206	99	9
Xu (2017) [104]	Fig (Landrace × Large Wille)	Control	Normai	220	10	С, г	107-10	0.037	PO	1320 ± 396 1410 ± 396	70 80	8	1540 ± 396 1540 ± 396	82	8
Leucine								0.007	10	1410 ± 570	00	0	1040 ± 570	02	0
Viana (2013) [103]	Mouse (NMRI)	Control	Normal	0.03	18	C: P ^d	1–19	3.000 e,f	19	0.95 ± 0.07	6	6	0.81 ± 0.03	6	6
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C: T	10-20	1.570 f	20	2.49 ± 0.30	36	4	2.57 ± 0.36	36	4
	fuit (02)	The matchen	ron	0.22		C/ 1	10 20	4 720 f	20	2.70 ± 0.00 2.70 ± 0.21	27	3	2.54 ± 0.311	108	12
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C: P	10-20	1.570 f	20	3.10 ± 0.24	36	4	3.21 ± 0.24	36	4
						-/-		4.720 f	20	3.04 ± 0.21	27	3	3.07 ± 0.21	108	12
Cruz (2014) [99]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.25	18	C: P	10-20	NA	20	3.34 ± 0.24	24	8	3.67 ± 0.20	24	8
Cruz (2016) [100]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.25	18	C: P	2-20	NA	20	3.65 ± 0.19	101	10	3.92 ± 0.22	100	10
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	6	C; P	1-22	1.500	22	2.79 ± 0.31	49	5	2.05 ± 0.62	73	7
Mawatari (2004) [102]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.30	NA	I; P	7-17	0.300	20	4.11 ± 0.22	148 (M)	19	4.13 ± 0.29	128 (M)	19
										3.89 ± 0.27	136 (F)	19	3.89 ± 0.35	115 (F)	19
								1.000	20	4.12 ± 0.22	141 (M)	20	4.13 ± 0.29	128 (M)	19
										3.83 ± 0.17	151 (F)	20	3.89 ± 0.35	115 (F)	19
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	20	I; P	12-22	2.368	22	5.10 ± 0.40	45	5	5.40 ± 0.40	99	9
Ventrucci (2001) [101]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.15	18	C; P	1-20	7.180 ^r	20	3.45 ± 0.51		10	3.73 ± 0.41		10
Wang (2018) [105]	Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	260	15	C; P	70-P0	0.046	PO	1470 ± 49	70	6	1490 ± 49	68	6
								0.092	P0 P0	1550 ± 49	63	6	1490 ± 49	68	6
								0.138	P0	1460 ± 49	20	6	1490 ± 49	68	6
Brunner (1978) [42]	Pat (SD)	PKU induction	ECP	0.22	NT A	C·T	10.20	1 570 f	20	2 52 - 0 24	20	2	2 57 - 0.24	24	4
brunner (1978) [43]	Kat (5D)	r NU-induction	ruk	0.22	INA	C; 1	10-20	1.570 ⁻	20	2.33 ± 0.26 2.88 ± 0.31	20 27	3	2.37 ± 0.36 2.54 ± 0.31	30 108	4 12
		Control	Normal	0.22	NIA	$C \cdot T$	10.20	4.720 ⁻ 1.570 ^f	20	2.00 ± 0.31 2.24 ± 0.24	26	3	2.34 ± 0.31 2.21 ± 0.24	26	14
		Control	inormai	0.22	INA	C; 1	10-20	1.570 4 720 f	20	3.24 ± 0.24 2.95 ± 0.20	20 27	4	3.21 ± 0.24 3.07 ± 0.21	108	4 12
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	6	$C \cdot P$	1_22	3 150	20	2.95 ± 0.20 3.81 + 0.47	54	5	4.11 ± 0.21	47	5
Mori (1999) [98] Cysteine (NAC)	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	20	I; P	12–22	2.368	22	5.30 ± 0.30	52	4	5.40 ± 0.40	99	9

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Coumtry)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Maternal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , intervention Type ^b	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	$AA BW \pm SD (g)$	и Offspring (Sex ^c)	n Mother	CON BW ± SD (g)	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	и Mother
Balansky (2009) [106]	Mouse (Swiss-Albino)	Control	Normal	0.03	NA	C; P	1-P0	1.000	P0	1.20 ± 0.04	47	5	1.30 ± 0.09	94	9
Moazzen (2014) [107]	Mouse (C57BL/6)	Streptozotocin	pre-existent DM	0.03	NA	C; P	0.5-18.5	1.000	P0	1.15 ± 0.07	28	8	0.99 ± 0.08	28	8
		Control	Normal	0.03	NA	C; P	0.5-18.5	1.000	P0	1.24 ± 0.08	28	8	1.24 ± 0.08	28	8
Miller (2014) [108]	Mouse (CD-1)	Control	Normal	NA	19	I; P	6-13	0.150	17	1.07 ± 0.38	353	25	1.00 ± 0.37	349	25
Lin (2011) [113]	Rat (SD)	HF diet	Overgrowth	0.23	17	C; P	1-19.5	0.075 ^{e,f}	19.5	2.39 ± 0.19	213	20	2.38 ± 0.10	200	20
Soto-Blanco (2001) [114]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.27	16	C: P	6-21	0.510	21	4.37 ± 0.24	100	12	4.44 ± 0.24	87	12
Hashimoto (2012) [120]	Guinea pig (Dunkin-Hartley)	Hypoxia	FGR	NA	NA	C: T	52-62	0.550	63	59.8 ± 5.88		6	59.5 + 5.9		6
	onnin 1-8 (- mini mini))	Normoxia	Normal	NA	NA	C · P	52-62	0.550	63	76.6 ± 19.1		6	88 8 + 11 9		7
Herrera (2017) [121]	Guinea pig (Pirbright White)	Control	Normal	NA	19	C·P	34-67	0.500	67	79.1 + 19.8	13	0	837 + 124	16	NA
	Cunter pig (i norigin (finde)	UA occlusion	FGR	NA	19	C P	34-67	0.500	67	74.1 + 13.5	9		57.9 ± 15.3	9	
Thompson (2011) [122]	Guinea pig (Dunkin-Hartley)	Nicotine exposed	Risk	NA	NA	C · P	52-62	0.500	62	65.8 ± 5.4	NA	2	782 + 64	NA	2
Motawei (2016) [133]	Human (Egypt)	PE	PE	85	NA	L: T	Mo 5-term (<4	0.005	P0	2560 ± 590	50	50	198 + 420	50	50
						-, -	months)								
Roes (2006) [134]	Human (The Netherlands)	Early onset severe PE or HELLP	PE	72	NA	I; T	inclusion-delivery (±6 days)	0.025 ^e	P0	9712 ± 419	19	19	1070 ± 399	19	19
Shahin (2009) [137]	Human (Egypt)	Previous preterm labor	Risk	80	NA	I; P	Wk 17–36 or P0	0.008 ^e	P0	3107 ± 232	140	140	2715 ± 357	140	140
Methionine															
Abdel-Wanhab (1999) [115]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.20	NA	C; P	6-15	0.043	20	4.40 ± 0.33	69	8	4.50 ± 0.42	71	8
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-inducing diet	FGR	0.22	NA	C; T	10-20	1.570 ^f	20	2.56 ± 0.18	36	4	2.57 ± 0.36	36	4
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C; P	10-20	1.570 ^f	20	3.19 ± 0.24	36	4	3.21 ± 0.24	36	4
Chandrashekar (1977) [116]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.20	18	C; P	1-21	NA	21	2.30 ± 0.41	172	17	3.20 ± 0.59	368	35
Fujii (1971) [117]	Rat (McCollum)	Control	Normal	0.25	20	C; P	1-P0	0.029	P0	6.40 ± 0.16	NA (M)	4	6.40 ± 0.18	NA (M)	5
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · ·									6.10 ± 0.20	NA (F)	4	6.10 ± 0.13	NA (F)	5
Koz (2010) [118]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.25	NA	C; P	1-P0	1.000	P0	5.90 ± 0.30	25	5	6.10 ± 0.35	25	5
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	6	C: P	1-22	1.130	22	1.63 ± 0.27	45	5	2.05 ± 0.62	73	7
Mori (1999) [98]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	20	I: P	12-22	2.368	22	2.60 ± 0.10	88	8	5.40 ± 0.40	99	9
Viau (1973) [119]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	18	C · P	1-20	NA	20	2.50 ± 0.32	101	10	3.60 ± 0.28	82	8
Gauthier (2009) [123]	Guinea pig	Ethanol exposed	FGR	0.70	NA	C · P	35-71	0.096	71	99.0 + 39.2	6	NA	98.0 ± 36.7	6	NA
Othmani Mecif (2017) [124]	Rabbit (White)	Control	Normal	2 90	30	C·P	0-29	0 172	29	36.0 + 32.8	22	8	50.0 ± 26.8	45	8
Liu (2016) [125]	Sheep (Merino)	Control	Normal	63	NA	I P	111-P0	0.047	PO	4620.0 ± 1929.6	79	60	4170 00 + 1929 61	73	60
Tsiplakou (2017) [126]	Sheep (Chios)	Control	Normal	66	16	C·P	Last 15 days	0.041	PO	449 ± 0.66	16	15	451 ± 0.90	19	15
Batistol (2017) [128]	Cow (Holstein)	Control	Normal	735	16	C·P	Last 28 days	0.012 e	PO	14.062 ± 5005	42	30	41.947 ± 5776	30	30
Daustel (2017) [120]	Cow (i loistein)	Control	1 NULLIAI	155	10	C, 1	Last 23 dave (±7	0.012	10	++,002 ± 3993	44	50	+1,747 ± 3770	39	50
Clements (2017) [129]	Cow (Angus × Simmental)	Control	Normal	635	12	С; Р	days)	0.016	P0	35,000 ± 4157	NA	6	$35,000 \pm 4156$	NA	6

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Country)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Maternal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme $^{\rm a},$ intervention Type $^{\rm b}$	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA BW ± SD (g)	n Offspring (Sex ^c)	11 Mother	CON BW \pm SD (g)	и Offspring (Sex ^c)	n Mother
Jacometo (2016) [130]	Cow (Holstein)	Control	Normal	773	NA	С; Р	Last 21 days	0.010 ^f	P0	$44,200 \pm 5543$	12	12	42,900 ± 5196	12	12
Xu (2018) [131] Choline	Cow (Holstein)	Control	Normal	735	16	C; P	Last 28 days	0.012 ^{e,f}	P0	$41,\!760\pm4432$	NA	21	$40,936 \pm 6190$	NA	18
Bai (2012) [109]	Rat (Wistar)	Protein restriction	FGR	0.30	9	C; P	1-P0	0.670 ^e	P0	5.72 ± 0.17 5.58 ± 0.17	32 (M) 32 (F)	8 8	5.62 ± 0.20 5.35 ± 0.20	32 (M) 32 (F)	8 8
Thomas (2009) [110]	Rat (SD)	Ethanol exposed	FGR	0.20	NA	I; P	5-20	0.250	P0	6.90 ± 0.35	154	12	6.50 ± 0.33	160	11
		Control	Normal	0.20	NA	I; P	5-20	0.250	P0	6.90 ± 0.32	144	10	6.70 ± 0.37	200	14
Yang (2000) [111]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	NA	NA	С; Р	11–17	0.390 e,f	P0	6.35 ± 0.27	177	15	6.28 ± 0.24	155	12
Zhang (2018) [112]	Rat (SD)	LPS	FGR	0.20	NA	С; Р	1-20	0.875 f	20	4.02 ± 0.08	125	9	3.76 ± 0.13	105	9
		Control	Normal	0.20	NA	C; P	1-20	0.875 ^f	20	4.35 ± 0.10	68	6	4.08 ± 0.06	79	6
Birch (2016) [127]	Sheep (Suffolk)	Ethanol infusion	FGR	75	13	C; P	4-148	0.010	P0	4940 ± 764	NA	8	4340 ± 1347	NA	14
		Control	Normal	75	13	C; P	4-148	0.010	P0	6150 ± 1592	NA	6	5740 ± 933	NA	8
Zenobi (2018a) [132]	Cow (Holstein)	Excess energy diet	Risk overgrowth	735	14	C; T	Last 21 days	0.024	P0	$37,400 \pm 7099$	9	25	$40,800 \pm 7099$	9	22
		Maintenance energy diet	Normal	735	14	C; P	Last 21 days	0.024	P0	$39,\!300\pm7099$	9	21	$40,\!100\pm2099$	8	25
Jacobson (2018) [138]	Human (South Africa)	Alcohol use	Risk	57	NA	I; T	Enrollment-P0	0.034	P0	2853 ± 451	31	31	2844 ± 658	31	31
Ross (2013) [135]	Human (USA)	Control	Normal	78	NA	I; P	Wk 17-P0	0.012 ^e	P0	3114 ± 636	46	46	3193 ± 540	47	47
Yan (2012) [136]	Human (USA)	Control	Normal	63	NA	I; P	Wk 27–39	0.007 ^e	P0	3500 ± 300	13	13	3400 ± 400	13	13

Ordered according to species form small to large per amino acid. ^a The supplementation scheme was continuous (C) or an interval (I). ^b Intervention type was treatment (T) or prevention (P). ^c When there is nothing in brackets reported in the table for sex of offspring (F or M) it means that the weight was measured in a mixed population. ^d Isonitrogenous control diet was used. ^e Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight or based on ^f estimated food intake. "Normal" in the pregnancy complication column indicates the normal-growth group. AA, amino acid; BW, birth weight; DM, diabetes mellitus; EFW, estimated fetal weight; FGR, fetal growth restriction; GD, gestational day; L-NAME, (ω)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; NA: not applicable or available; HELLP, hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme, and low platelet syndrome; HTN, hypertension; P0, postnatal day 0 or day of P0; PE, preeclampsia; PKU; phenylketonuria; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; RUPP, reduced uterine pressure perfusion; UA, uterine artery; UOL, unilateral oviduct ligation; WT, wild type; wk, weeks.

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Strain)	Model	Pregnancy Complication	Maternal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , intervention type ^b	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Technique (Office/Restrained vs. 24 h/Unrestrained)	Measurement Day (GD)	BP Type	AA BP ± SD (mmHg)	и	CON BP ± SD (mmHg)	и
Arginine	R-t (CD)	ממוזמ	ECD/DE	0.25	NIA	C: D	2005	10, 10	Talaan (aana taa iyo d	10	MAD	112 . (0	122 + (0
Alexander (2004) [34]	Kat (SD)	RUPP	FGR/PE Normal	0.25	NA	C; P	2.00 °	10-19	Telemetry (unrestrained)	19	MAP	113 ± 6	9	132 ± 6 100 + 7	12
Altun (2008) [25]	Pat (Wistor)	Sonic stress induction	DE	0.25	IN/A NIA	C, F	2.00	10-19	Tail cuff (restrained)	19	MAP	$\frac{97 \pm 9}{112 \pm 10}$	9	109 ± 7 145 ± 10	12
Bureature (2003) [45]	Rat (Wistar)	Hyper inculinemia	ECP/DIH	0.20	NA	С, Т	0.02	10-19 11 P0	Tail cuff (restrained)	19/20	SBD	112 ± 10 77 ± 8	5	143 ± 10 110 ± 14	5
Holmbrocht (1996) [48]	Rat (Wistar)	I NAME	ECP/DE	0.24	NA	С, Т	0.22	16 21	Tail cuff (restrained)	21	SBD	125 ± 7	0	119 ± 14 152 ± 12	9
Tiennbiecht (1990) [40]	Kat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.31	NΔ	C, I C P	0.02	16-21	Tail cuff (restrained)	21	SBP	125 ± 7 117 ± 14	6	135 ± 15 115 ± 7	6
Podjarny (1993) [90]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.23	20	C; T	0.32	12-22	Tail artery cannulation (restrained)	22	MAP	90 ± 7	10	91 ± 4	10
		Adriamycin nephropathy	PE	0.23	20	C; T	0.32	12–22	Tail artery cannulation (restrained)	22	MAP	90 ± 11	10	135 ± 13	10
Podjarny (2001a) [49]	Rat (Wistar)	Hyper-insulinemia	PIH	0.24	20	C; P	0.22	11-22	Tail cuff (restrained)	19/20	SBP	83 ± 9	15	104 ± 13	20
Podiarny (2001b) [91]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.23	20	C: P	0.26	11-22	Tail cuff (restrained)	22	SBP	87 ± 1	4	83 ± 6	4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	L-NAME	FGR/PE	0.23	20	C; T	0.26	11-22	Tail cuff (restrained)	22	SBP	89 ± 4	8	129 ± 4	8
Podjarny (1997) [92]	Rat (Wistar)	Adriamycin nephropathy	PE	0.22	20	C; T	0.23	11-22	Tail cuff (restrained)	22	SBP	106 ± 7	5	122 ± 7	8
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , ,									MAP	91 ± 5	5	124 ± 7	8
Schooley (2002) [50]	Rat (SD)	Mg deficiency	FGR	0.20	NA	C; T	1.00	10-21	Tail cuff (restrained)	21	SBP	111 ± 11	13	160 ± 10	12
		Control	Normal	0.20	NA	C; P	1.00	10-21	Tail cuff (restrained)	21	SBP	121 ± 11	13	148 ± 11	14
Sharkey (2001) [51]	Rat (SHHF)	Genetic	FGR/PIH	0.20	NA	C; P	0.10 ^{c,d}	1-20	Tail cuff (restrained)	15-19	SBP	142 ± 14	3	188 ± 14	4
Camarena Pulido (2016) [78]	Human (Mexico)	Increased risk of PE	Risk	71	NA	I; P	0.04 ^c	wk 20-P0	Sphygmo-manometer (office)	wk 39	SBP	118 ± 12	49	126 ± 14	47
											DBP	73 ± 11	49	79 ± 14	47
											MAP	88 ± 11	49	95 ± 5	47
Rytlewski (2005) [93]	Human (Poland)	PE; BW < p10	FGR/PE	75	NA	I; T	0.04	wk 29-P0	Sphygmo-manometer (office)	After 3 wks	SBP	134 ± 3	30	143 ± 3	31
											DBP	82 ± 2	30	87 ± 1	31
											MAP	102 ± 2	30	108 ± 1	31
Staff (2004) [89]	Human (Norway)	PE	PE	69	NA	I; T	0.18 ^c	5 days	NA	After suppl.	SBP	147 ± 5	10	148 ± 5	8
											DBP	98 ± 3	10	102 ± 4	8
Neri (2010) [83]	Human (Italy)	Chronic HTN	Risk	90	NA	I; P	0.04 ^c	10–12 wks	Sphygmo-manometer (24 h)	Aftersuppl.	SBP	129 ± 8	39	130 ± 14	40
									Sphygmo-manometer (24 h)	After suppl.	DBP	80 ± 7	39	79 ± 10	40
Winer (2009) [80]	Human (France)	AC < p3 and abnormal	FGR	75	NA	I; T	0.19	Until P0	NA	After suppl.	SBP	133 ± 19	21	124 ± 18	22
	. ,	UA IIOW						(3.5 WKS)		11	מפרו	79 1 1 2	21	74 + 12	22
											DDI	70 ± 13	21	74 ± 12	22

Table A6. Data extraction of included animal and human studies on maternal blood pressure.

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Strain)	Model	Pregnancy Complication	Maternal Weight (kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a ; intervention type ^b	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Technique (Office/Restrained vs. 24 h/Unrestrained)	Measurement Day (GD)	BP Type	AA BP ± SD (mmHg)	и	CON BP ± SD (mmHg)	и
Citrulline Powers (2015) [94]	Human (USA)	Obese	Normal	85	NA	I; T	0.04 ^c	16–19 wks	NA (office)	Wk 19	SBP DBP	$\begin{array}{c} 108 \pm 4 \\ 66 \pm 7 \end{array}$	12 12	$\begin{array}{c} 116\pm 6\\ 72\pm 6\end{array}$	12 12
Motawai (2016) [122]	Human (Equat)	DE	DE	85	NIA	ът	0.01	Mo 5-term	NA (office)	After 6 wks	CRD	127 ± 8	50	122 ± 7	50
Chalina	rianan (Egypt)	11	115	05	11/1	1, 1	0.01	(<4 mo)	INA (Onice)	suppl.	501	12/ ± 0	50	100 ± 7	50
Zhang (2018) [112]	Rat (SD)	LPS infusion Control	FGR Normal	0.20 0.20	NA NA	C; P C; P	0.88 ^d 0.88 ^d	1–20 1–20	Tail cuff (restrained) Tail cuff (restrained)	18 18	SBP SBP	$\begin{array}{c} 116 \pm 2 \\ 104 \pm 2 \end{array}$	9 6	130 ± 3 103 ± 5	9 6
Jacobson (2018) [138]	Human (South Africa)	Alcohol	FGR	57	NA	I; T	0.03	wk 19-P0	Sphygmo-manometer (office)	12 wks after start	MAP	81 ± 9	34	85 ± 0	35

Ordered according to species per amino acid. ^a The supplementation scheme was continuous (C) or an interval (I). ^b Intervention type was treatment (T) or prevention (P). There were no studies using an isonitrogenous control diet. ^c Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight or based on ^d estimated food intake. "Normal" in the pregnancy complication column indicates the normal-growth group. AC, abdominal circumference; BW, birth weight; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GA, gestational age; FGR, fetal growth restriction; HTN, hypertension; IP, intraperitoneal; L-NAME, (ω)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; MAP, mean arterial pressure; Mg, magnesium; mo, months; NA, not applicable or available; P0, birth day; PE. preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; RUPP, reduced uterine pressure perfusion; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, Sprague-Dawley; SHHF, spontaneous hypertension and heart failure; UA, umbilical artery; wks, weeks.

	A	Country	Daily Dose	Pregnancy		Α	A	C	ON
AA	Author (Year)	Country	(g/kgBW)	Complication	Definition	N Yes (%)	N No (%)	N Yes (%)	N No (%)
Arginine	Dera (2007) [95]	Poland	0.04 ^a	SGA	BW < p10	24 (57)	18 (43)	15 (52)	13 (48)
	Camarena Pulido (2016) [78]	Mexico	0.04 ^a	SGA	Undefined	1 (2)	48 (98)	3 (6)	44 (94)
				PE	≥140/90 mmHg ^b	3 (6)	46 (94)	11 (23)	36 (77)
				Preterm	Undefined	1 (2)	48 (98)	7 (15)	40 (85)
				GDM	Undefined	2 (4)	47 (96)	2 (4)	45 (96)
	Facchinetti (2007) [79]	Italy	0.11 ^a	SGA	BW < 2500 g	11 (28)	28 (72)	15 (43)	20 (57)
				PE	≥140/90 mmHg + proteinuria > 300 mg/24 h	3 (11)	24 (89)	7 (37)	12 (63)
				Preterm	GA < 37 wks	13 (16)	67 (84)	18 (25)	51 (74)
	Neri (2010) [83]	Italy	0.05 ^a	SGA	BW < p10	7 (19)	32 (81)	10 (25)	30 (75)
				SGA	BW < 2500 g	7 (18)	32 (82)	11 (28)	29 (72)
				SGA	BW < 1500 g	1 (3)	38 (97)	5 (13)	35 (88)
				Preterm	GA < 37 wks	10 (26)	29 (74)	14 (35)	26 (65)
				Preterm	GA < 34 wks	2 (5)	37 (95)	7 (18)	33 (82)
	Rytlewski (2006) [85]	Poland	0.04	SGA	BW < p10	7 (23)	23 (77)	14 (45)	17 (55)
	Rytlewski (2008) [86]	Poland	0.04 ^a	SGA	EFW < p10	8 (32)	17 (68)	8 (40)	12 (60)
	Sieroszewski (2004) [87]	Poland	0.04 ^a	SGA	BW < p10	23 (29)	55 (71)	22 (75)	8 (25)
	Valdivia-silva (2009) [96]	Mexico	0.04	SGA	BW < p10	6 (6)	44 (94)	14 (30)	32 (70)
Cysteine (NAC)	Roes (2006) [134]	The Netherlands	0.03 ^a	SGA	BW < p10	7 (37)	12 (63)	9 (47)	10 (52)
	Shahin (2009) [137]	Egypt	0.01 ^a	Preterm	GA < 36 wks	8 (6)	132 (94)	62 (44)	78 (56)
				SGA	Undefined	4 (3)	136 (97)	12 (9)	128 (91)
Choline	Jacobson (2018) [138]	South Afrika	0.03 ^a	SGA	BW < 2500 g	8 (25)	25 (75)	10 (32)	21 (68)
				PE	Undefined	2 (6)	32 (94)	3 (9)	32 (91)
				PIH	Undefined	3 (9)	31 (91)	4 (11)	31 (89)
				GDM	Undefined	4 (12)	30 (88)	0 (0)	35 (100)
	Ross (2013) [135]	USA	0.01 ^a	SGA	Undefined	7 (15)	39 (85)	1 (2)	46 (98)
				PIH	Undefined	11 (24)	35 (76)	3 (6)	44 (94)
				Preterm	Undefined	9 (20)	37 (80)	7 (15)	40 (85)
				GDM	Undefined	3 (7)	43 (93)	2 (4)	45 (96)
				LGA	Undefined	2 (4)	43 (96)	1 (2)	45 (98)

Table A7. Data extraction on development of pregnancy complication in risk population in human studies.

Ordered according to amino acid. ^a Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight. ^b According to American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG). AA, amino acid; ACOG; BW, P0 weight; CON, control; EFW, estimated fetal weight; FGR, fetal growth restriction; GA, gestational age; GDM, gestational diabetes; LGA, large for gestational age; NAC, *N*-Acteyl Cysteine; PE, preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; SGA, small for gestational age; wks, weeks.

Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Country)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Mean Maternal Weight (kg)(kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme a , Intervention Type $^{\mathbf{a}}$	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA Gestational Weight Gain (g)	и	CON Gestational Weight Gain (g)	и
Arginine Greene (2011) [33]	Mouse (FNVB/N × vegfr2-luc)	Control	Normal	0.03	24	C; T ^c	1–18	2.660 ^d	12–18	7 ± 1	6	6 ± 1	5
Bourdon (2016) [44]	Rat (SD)	Protein restriction	FGR	0.29	4	C; T ^c	7-21	1.500	7-21	42 ± 17	9	42.5 ± 17	9
Bursztyn (2003) [45]	Rat (Wistar)	Hyper-insulinemia	FGR/PIH	0.24	NA	C; T	11-P0	0.217	0-22	88 ± 40	5	69 ± 35	5
Chin (1991) [46]	Rat (Fisher F344/NTacfBR)	Control	Normal	0.17	NA	C; P c	1-P0	0.159	1-P0	18 ± 41	4	23 ± 41	4
Podjarny (1993) [90]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.23	20	C; T	12-22	0.322	0-22	151 ± 25	10	161 ± 43	10
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	Adriamycin nephropathy	Risk	0.23	20	C; T	12-22	0.322	0-22	72 ± 23	10	76 ± 22	10
Podjarny (2001a) [49]	Rat (Wistar)	Hyper-insulinemia	PIH	0.24	20	C; P	11-22	0.220	0-22	78 ± 7	15	66 ± 8	20
Podjarny (2001b) [91]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.23	20	C; P	11-22	0.260	0-22	83 ± 11	4	86 ± 7	4
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	L-NAME	FGR/PE	0.23	20	C; T	11-22	0.260	0-22	78 ± 15	8	64 ± 15	8
Vosatka (1998) [36]	Rat (Wistar)	Hypobaric hypoxia	FGR	0.25	4 g/day	C; P	9-21	2.000 ^d	9-21	59 ± 10	7	39 ± 10	10
Zeng (2008) [37]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.23	22	C: P ^c	0-P0	0.216	1-21	85 ± 22	12	79 ± 23	12
8(11)[1]						-,	1–7	0.216	1-21	75 ± 16	20	68 ± 17	20
Peine (2018) [53]	Sheep (Rambouillet-cross)	Diet restricted	FGR	68	16	C; P	54-P0	0.18	54-152	-7760 ± 2388	10	-7230 ± 2504	11
Sun (2017) [54]	Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40	NA	C; P	35-110	0.250	35-110	2600 ± 489	8	2600 ± 369	8
Sun (2018) [55]	Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40	10-14	C; T	35-110	0.249	1-110	4494 ± 3225	8	3983 ± 2518	8
Bérard (2010) [59]	Pig (Swiss Large White)	Control	Normal	159	NA	C; P	14-28	0.160	0-75	$54,300 \pm 9648$	10	$48,700 \pm 9648$	7
Bass (2017) [58]	Pig (GPK-35)	Control	Normal	180	19	C; P ^c	93-P0	0.150	93-110	$14,400 \pm 6580$	49	$12,000 \pm 6443$	48
		Nulliparous	Normal	165	12	C; P ^c	81-116	0.170	93-110	$15,000 \pm 6103$	19	$12,700 \pm 64,416$	21
		Primiparous	Normal	165	12	C; P ^c	81-116	0.170	93-110	$17,400 \pm 5889$	12	$11,800 \pm 6129$	13
		Multiparous	Normal	165	12	C; P ^c	81-116	0.170	93-110	$11,500 \pm 5940$	18	$12,700 \pm 5613$	14
Dallanora (2017) [69]	Pig (Landrace \times Large white)	Control	Normal	148	17	C; P	25-112	0.115	80-112	$22,900 \pm 32,446$	51	$20,300 \pm 32,446$	51
Li (2010) [74]	Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Control	Normal	113	12	C; P ^c	0-25	0.070	0-25	$4900 \pm 15,973$	9	$7000 \pm 15,973$	9
							0-25	0.140	0-25	$2700 \pm 15,973$	8	$2700 \pm 15,973$	9
Li (2014) [75]	Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Control	Normal	115	12	C; Pc	14-25	0.070	0-25	$1100.0 \pm 65,557$	15	1100 ± 6557	14
							14-25	0.140	0-25	1200.0 ± 6557	14	1100 ± 6557	14
Li (2015) [76]	Pig (Landrace)	Control	Normal	125	16	C; P ^c	1-30	0.21	1-P0	$53,920 \pm 1098$	32	$52,100 \pm 10,980$	30
				187	16	C; P ^c	1–30	0.14	1-P0	$47,800 \pm 10,980$	57	$47{,}430 \pm 10{,}980$	56
				196	16	C; P ^c	1-30	0.13	1-P0	$46,380 \pm 8964$	37	$45,640 \pm 8964$	37
Dera (2007) [95]	Human (Poland)	FGR < p10; gestational HTN	Risk	70	NA	I′T	Until P0	0.043 ^d	Entry-P0	$11,630 \pm 4830$	42	$10,070 \pm 3500$	27

Table A8. Data extraction included animal and human studies on gestational weight gain.

 Matsueda (1982) [97]
 Rat (SD)

 Mawatari (2004) [102]
 Rat (SD)

Ventrucci (2001) [101] Rat (Wistar)

Mori (1999) [98]

Rat (SD)

Control

Control

Control

Control

				Table A	8. <i>Cont</i> .								
Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Country)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Mean Maternal Weight (kg)(kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , Intervention Type Δ	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA Gestational Weight Gain (g)	ų	CON Gestational Weight Gain (g)	и
Citrulline Bourdon (2016) [44]	Rat (SD)	Protein restriction	FGR	0.29	4	C; T	7–21	2.000	7–21	54 ± 16	9	43 ± 17	9
Glutamate (NCG)													_
Navarro (2005) [148]	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.26	NA	C; P	2-20	0.110	2-20	116 ± 21	11	132 ± 16	7
Sun (2017) [54]	Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGR	40	NA 10.14	С; Р	35-110	0.125	35-110	4370 ± 433	8	2550 ± 369	8
Sun (2018) [55]	Sheep (Hu)	Diet restricted twin bearing	FGK	40	10-14	C; 1	35-110	0.062	35-110	4200 ± 2605	8	2600 ± 369	8
Zhu (2015) [149]	Pig (Landrace × Yorkshire)	Control	Normal	132	NA 12	C; P	0-28	0.008	0-28	$21,000 \pm 7483$	7	$16,000 \pm 10,198$	8
Cai (2018) [66]	Swine (Landrace × Yorkshire)	Control	Normal	210	13	C; P	1-8	0.003	0-28	7810 ± 515	18	8390 ± 515	18
							9-28	0.003	0-28	8390 ± 515	16	8390 ± 515	18
Class.							1-28	0.003	0-28	9350 ± 515	17	8390 ± 515	18
Zhu (2018) [67]	Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	272	NA	C; Pc	85–114	0.126	84-110	$40,700 \pm 39,894$	30	$42,800 \pm 31,773$	30
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKIJ-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C:T	10-20	1.570 ^e	10-20	24 + 22	4	30 + 8	4
	1(02)	Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C:P	10-20	1.570 °	10-20	52 ± 18	4	44 + 10	4
Valine		Control	. vorman	0.22	14/1	0,1	10 20	1.070	10 20	02 ± 10	1	11 ± 10	1
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C: T	10-20	1.570 ^e	10-20	39 ± 11	3	30 ± 8	4
						_,		4.720 ^e	10-20	16 ± 4	3	30 ± 18	12
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C; P	10-20	1.570 ^e	10-20	50 ± 17	3	44 ± 10	4
						_,		4.720 ^e	10-20	32 ± 2	3	55 ± 42	12
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	NA	C; P	1–22	2.71	1-22	40 ± 16	5	26 ± 12	5
Mori (1999) [98] Leucine	Rat (SD)	Control	Normal	0.19	20	I; P	12–22	2.368	12–22	95 ± 16	6	93 ± 34	9
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	C; T	10-20	1.570 ^e	10-20	21 ± 22	4	30 ± 8	4
								4.720 ^e	10-20	57 ± 6	3	29 ± 18	12
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	C; P	10-20	1.570 ^e	10-20	55 ± 28	4	44 ± 10	4

0.18

0.30

0.19

0.15

Normal

Normal

Normal

Normal

10-20

1-22

0-20

0-20

12-22

1-20

4.720 ^e

1.500

0.300

1.000

2.368

7.180 ^e

C; P

I; P

I; P

C; P

1–22

7–17

12-22

1-20

NA

NA

20

18

 50 ± 17

 -7.0 ± 11

 178 ± 31

 179 ± 32

 82 ± 25

 61 ± 31

3

5

19

20

5

10

 55 ± 42

 -20 ± 17

 158 ± 43

 160 ± 43

 93 ± 34

 90 ± 36

12

7

19

19

9

10

	Table Ao. Com.													
Author (Year)	Species (Strain or Country)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Mean Maternal Weight (kg)(kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , Intervention Type $_{\Delta}$	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA Gestational Weight Gain (g)	и	CON Gestational Weight Gain (g)	и	
Isoleucine Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction	FGR	0.22	NA	С; Т	10-20	1.570 ^e	10–20	42 ± 19	3	30 ± 8	4	
		Control	Normal	0.22	NA	С; Р	10-20	4.720 ^e 1.570 ^e 4 720 ^e	10-20 10-20 10-20	32 ± 4 66 ± 28 23 ± 19	3 4 3	29 ± 18 44 ± 10 55 ± 42	12 4 12	
Matsueda (1982) [97] Mori (1999) [98]	Rat (SD) Rat (SD)	Control Control	Normal Normal	0.19 0.19	6 20	C; P I; P	1–22 12–22	3.150 2.368	1–22 12–22	56 ± 11 92 ± 9	5 4	44 ± 22 93 ± 34	5 9	
Miller (2014) [108]	Mouse (CD-1)	Control	Normal	0.03	19	I; P	6–13	0.150	6–17	14 ± 3	25	13 ± 3	25	
Soto-Blanco (2001) [114] Methionine	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.27	26	С; Р	6–21	0.510	6–21	72 ± 16	12	68 ± 19	12	
Brunner (1978) [43]	Rat (SD)	PKU-induction Control	FGR Normal	0.22 0.22	NA NA	C; T C; P	10–20 10–20	1.570 ^e 1.570 ^e	10–20 10–20	23 ± 4 44 ± 22	$\frac{4}{4}$	30 ± 8 44 ± 10	$\frac{4}{4}$	
Matsueda (1982) [97] Mori (1999) [98]	Rat (SD) Rat (SD)	Control Control	Normal Normal	0.19 0.19	NA 20	C; P I; P	1–22 12–22	1.150 2.368	1–22 12–22	-54 ± 9 -16 ± 36	5 8	-20 ± 17 93 ± 34	7 9	
Liu (2016) [125]	Sheep (Merino)	Control	Normal	63	NA	I; P	111-P0	0.047	111–1 wk pre-lambin	-1100 ± 1200	60	1500 ± 1200	60	
Choline Bai (2012) [109] Zhang (2018) [112]	Rat (Wistar) Rat (SD)	Protein restriction LPS infusion	FGR FGR	0.30 0.20	9 NA	C; P C; P	1-P0 1–20	0.670 ^d 0.875 ^e	1–22 1–20	57 ± 7 175 ± 46	8 9	59 ± 9 170 ± 24	8 9	
Janovick Guretzky (2006) [151]	Cow (Holstein)	Control	Normal	715	NA	С; Р	3 wks before P0	0.021	Last 2 wks	$32,500 \pm 49,041$	5	$5600 \pm 58,138$	5	
	Cow (Jersey)	Control	Normal	506	NA	C; P	3 wks before P0	0.030	Last 2 wks	$18,500 \pm 47,518$	16	12,800 ± 49,115	16	
Jacobson (2018) [138]	Human (South Africa)	Alcohol use	Risk	57 79	NA	I; T	Enrollment-	-P0 0.035	NA	$5960 \pm 19,040$	28	$2020 \pm 19,463$	24	
Yan (2012) [135]	Human (USA)	Control	Normal	78 63	NA	I; P I; P	wk 17-P0 wk 27–39	0.012 d 0.007 d	189–273	$14,832 \pm 8165$ 6000 ± 2200	46 13	$14,787 \pm 6713$ 6400 ± 2700	47 13	

Ordered according to species per amino acid. ^a The supplementation scheme was continuous (C) or an interval (I). ^b Intervention type was treatment (T) or prevention (P). ^c Isonitrogenous control diet was used. ^d Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight or based on ^e estimated food intake. "Normal" in the pregnancy complication column indicates the normal-growth group. BW, birth weight; FGR: fetal growth restriction; GD: gestational day; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; HTN, hypertension; PE: preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; PKU; phenylketonuria; mo, month; NA: not applicable or available; P0, birth day; SD, Sprague Dawley; wk, wks.

Table A8. Cont.

Author (Year)	Species (Strain)	Animal Model	Pregnancy Complication	Mean Maternal Weight (kg)(kg)	Dietary Protein Intake (%)	Supplementation Scheme ^a , Intervention Type ^b	Supplementation Duration (GD)	Daily Dose (g/kg Body wt)	Measurement Day (GD)	AA glucose ± SD (mmo]/l)	и	CON Glucos ± SD (mmoļ/l)	и
Arginine Bursztyn (2003) [45] Podjarny (2001) [49] Zhang (2016b) [57] Li (2010) [74]	Rat (Wistar) Rat (Wistar) Sheep (Hu) Pig (Yorkshire × Landrace)	Hyper-insulinemia Hyper-insulinemia Diet restricted twin bearing Control	FGR/PIH PIH FGR Normal	0.24 0.24 40 113	NA 20 NA 12	C; T C; P I; P C; P ^c	11-P0 11–22 35–110 0–25	0.217 0.220 0.250 0.070	22 22 110 25	4.6 ± 1.6 3.7 ± 0.6 2.1 ± 1.0 4.1 ± 0.8	5 15 8 9	4.4 ± 1.1 4.1 ± 1.0 1.7 ± 1.0 4.1 ± 0.8	5 20 8 9
Liu (2012) [77] Clutamate (NCC)	Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	187	15	C; P	1-P0	0.140 0.107	25	4.0 ± 0.8 3.8 ± 0.7	8 9	4.1 ± 0.8 3.5 ± 0.7	9 9
Zhang (2016) [57] Liu (2012) [77] Glutamine	Sheep (Hu) Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Diet restricted twin bearing Control	FGR Normal	40 187	NA 15	I; P I; P	35–110 1-P0	0.060 0.011	110 110	2.2 ± 1.0 3.9 ± 0.7	8 9	1.7 ± 1.0 3.5 ± 0.7	8 9
Zhu (2018) [67]	Pig (Landrace × Large White)	Control	Normal	272	NA	C;	85–114	0.126	100 112	4.0 ± 1.6 4.8 ± 1.6	6 6	4.4 ± 1.6 4.7 ± 1.6	6 6
Leucine Ventrucci (2002) [150] Cysteine (NAC)	Rat (Wistar)	Control	Normal	0.15	18	C; P	1–20	7.180 ^e	20	5.3	10	4.2 ± 0.7	10
Moazzen (2014) [107]	Mouse (C57BL/6)	Streptozotocin Control	Pre-existent DM	0.03	NA NA	C; P C: P	0.5-18.5	1.000	18.5 18.5	23.9 ± 1.7 8 3 ± 0 5	7	27.4 ± 5.3 7.6 ± 1.3	7
Lin (2011) [113] Choline	Rat (SD)	High-fat diet	Over-growth	0.23	17	C; P	1–19.5	0.075 ^{d,e}	19.5	6.2 ± 1.1	20	4.5 ± 0.8	20
Zenobi (2018a) [132]	Cow (Holstein)	Excess energy diet	Risk overgrowth	735	14	C; T	Last 21 days	0.024	Mean of -12 and -7 prepartum	3.7 ± 0.6	25	3.6 ± 0.6	22
		Maintenance energy diet	Normal	735	14	С; Р	Last 21 days	0.024	Mean of -12 and -7 prepartum	3.5 ± 0.6	21	3.6 ± 0.6	25
Zenobi (2018b) [152]	Cow (Holstein)	Diet restricted	FGR	732	10	С; Р	Last 64 days	0.002 0.003 0.005	9 10 11 12	3.6 ± 0.7 3.5 ± 0.7 3.5 ± 0.7 3.2 ± 0.7	15 14 14 17	3.5 ± 0.7 3.5 ± 0.7 3.5 ± 0.7 3.5 ± 0.7	15 15 15
		Ad libitum diet	Normal	732	15	С; Р	Last 64 days	0.007 0.002 0.003 0.005 0.007	5 6 7 8	3.3 ± 0.7 4.3 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5 4.1 ± 0.5	17 15 14 14 17	3.5 ± 0.7 4.2 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5 4.2 ± 0.5	15 15 15 15 15

Table A9. Data extraction of included animal and human studies on maternal glucose metabolism.

Ordered according to species per amino acid. ^a The supplementation scheme was continuous (C) or an interval (I). ^b Intervention type was treatment (T) or prevention (P). ^c Isonitrogenous control diet was used. ^d Dose in g/kg/day was calculated using the estimated mean maternal weight or based on ^e estimated food intake. "Normal" in the pregnancy complication column indicates the normal-growth group. BW, birth weight; DM, diabetes mellites; FGR: fetal growth restriction; GD: gestational day; PE: preeclampsia; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; mo, month; NA: not applicable or available; P0, birth day; SD, Sprague Dawley; wk, weeks.

Author (Year)	Any Randomization	Any Blinding	Sample Size Calculation	Conflict of Interest statement	ee of Experimental Unit of Analysis Errors	Random Group Allocation (Selection)	Groups Similar at Baseline (Selection)	Blinded Group Allocation (Selection)	Random Housing (Performance)	Blinded Interventions (Performance)	Random Outcome Ass. (Detection)	Blinded Outcome Ass. (Detection)	Reporting of Drop-Outs (Attrition)	Selective Outcome Reporting (Reporting)	Other Biases
Abdel-Wanhab (1999) [115]	Y	Ņ	N	N	£ N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Alexander (2004) [34]	N	N	N	N	Ŷ	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	H	?	?
Altun (2008) [35]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	?	?	Н	Н	?	?	?	?	?
Bai (2012) [109]	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Balansky (2009) [106]	N	N	N	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Bass (2017) [58]	Y	N	N	N	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Batistel (2017) [128] Beaudain (1981) [40]	Y	IN N	IN N	Y	IN M	2 2	? 2	{ 2	? 2	? 2	{ 2	{ 2	L 2	{ 2	L 2
Bérard (2010) [59]	N V	IN N	IN N	N	IN V	؛ ۲	r T	: 2	؛ ۲	: 2	؛ ۲	، ۲	: T	د ۲	د ۲
Birch (2016) [127]	Y	N	N	N	Ŷ	?	?	?	?	· ?	· ?	?	?	· ?	?
Bourdon (2016) [44]	Ŷ	N	N	Y	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	H	?	L
Brunner (1978) [43]	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Bursztyn (2003) [45]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Cai (2018) [66]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	L
Caporossi (2014) [42]	N	Ν	N	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Chandrashekar (1977) [116]	N	N	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Che (2013) [70] Chip (1991) [46]	Y V	IN N	IN N	IN N	Y N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	? 2	{ 2	? 2	? 2	{ 2	{ 2	L	{ 2	{ 2
Clements (2017) [129]	Y	N	N	N	Y	· ?	?	· ?	L	: ?	· ?	· ?	L	· ?	2
Crane (2016) [52]	Ŷ	N	N	N	Ŷ	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Ĺ	?	?
Cruz (2014) [99]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Cruz (2016) [100]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Da Costa (2014) [47]	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	?	?	?	Н	?	?	?	L	?	?
Dallanora (2017) [69]	Y	N	N	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Fuji $(1971) [117]$	N	N	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Gao (2012) [71] Garbossa (2015) [72]	I N	IN N	IN N	IN N	I V	· 2	í T	{ 2	2 2	د ۲	2 2	· 2		2 2	· 2
Gauthier (2009) [123]	Y	N	N	N	N	· ?	2	?	· ?	· ?	· ?	· ?	?	· ?	2
Gonzalez-Anover (2017) [68]	N	N	N	N	N	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Greene (2011) [33]	Y	N	N	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Guo (2016) [73]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Hashimoto (2012) [120]	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	L
Helmbrecht (1996) [48]	Y	Ŷ	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	L	?	L	?	?	?
Herrera (2017) [121]	Y V	IN N	IN N	Y		2 2	? 2	{ 2	? 2	? 2	{ 2	{ 2		{ 2	L 2
Janovick Guretzky (2006) [151]	Y	N	N	N	Ŷ	· ?	H	2	· ?	· ?	· ?	· ?	?	· ?	2
Koeners (2007) [38]	N	N	N	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Koz (2010) [118]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Li (2010) [74]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Li (2014) [75]	Y	N	N	Y	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
$L_1(2015)[76]$ Lin (2011)[112]	Y	N	N	N	Y V	?	L 2	?	?	?	?	?		?	?
Lin (2011) [113] Lin (2012) [77]	N V	IN N	IN N	I N	I	· 2	· I	؛ ۲	? ?	د ۲	د ۲	· ?	د ۲	د ۲	L 2
Liu (2016) [125]	Y	N	N	Y	N	?	?	?	?	· ?	· ?	?	?	· ?	L
Madsen (2017) [60]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	Ĺ
Mateo (2007) [61]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Mateo (2008) [62]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Matsueda (1982) [97]	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	Η	?	?
Mawatari (2004) [102]	N	N	N	N	Y	L	L	?	?	?	?	?	H	?	?
$\frac{108}{1000}$ $\frac{108}{1000}$ $\frac{108}{1000}$	Y	IN N	IN N	N	Y	?	? T	{ 2	? 2	?	?	?	2	?	?
Mori (1999) [98]	N	N	N	N	N	?	2	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	2
Navarro (2005) [148]	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	?	Ň	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Othmani Mecif (2017) [124]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Peine (2018) [53]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Podjarny (2001a) [49]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Podjarny (2001b) [91]	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

Table A10. Quality assessment of included animal studies.

Table A10. Cont.

Author (Year)	Any Randomization	Any Blinding	Sample Size Calculation	Conflict of Interest statement	Free of Experimental Unit of Analysis Errors	Random Group Allocation (Selection)	Groups Similar at Baseline (Selection)	Blinded Group Allocation (Selection)	Random Housing (Performance)	Blinded Interventions (Performance)	Random Outcome Ass. (Detection)	Blinded Outcome Ass. (Detection)	Reporting of Drop-Outs (Attrition)	Selective Outcome Reporting (Reporting)	Other Biases
Podjarny (1993) [90]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Podjarny (1997) [92]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Quesnel (2014) [64]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	?
Schooley (2002) [50]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	?
Sharkey (2001) [51]	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Soto-Blanco (2001) [114]	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Sun (2018) [55]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Sun (2017) [54]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Thomas (2009) [110]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Thompson (2011) [122]	N	Ν	Ν	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Tran (2017) [39]	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L
Tsiplakou (2017) [126]	Ν	N	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Ventrucci (2001) [101]	N	N	N	N	N	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Ventrucci (2002) [150]	N	N	N	Y	N	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Viana (2013) [103]	Y	N	N	N	Y	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L	?	?
Viau (1973) [119]	N	N	N	N	Y	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Vosatka (1998) [36]	Y	N	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	?
Wang (2018) [105]	Y	N	N	Y	Ŷ	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	н	?	L
Wu (2012) [63]	Y	N	N	N	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Xu (2017) [104]	N	N	N	N	Y	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Xu (2018) [131]	N	N	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Н	?	?
Yang (2000) [111]	Y	Y	N	N	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	?
Zeng (2008) [37]	Y	N	Ν	Y	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Zeng (2012) [41]	Y	N	N	Y	N	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Zenobi (2018a) [132]	Ŷ	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	H	?	?
Zenobi (2018b) [152]	Ŷ	N	N	N	N	2	?	?	?	?	?	?	L.	?	?
Zhang (2014) [65]	Ŷ	N	N	Y	Ŷ	?	L.	2	?	?	?	?	Ē	?	L
Zhang (2016a) [56]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Zhang (2016b) [57]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	N	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Zhang (2018) [112]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	N	?	2	2	?	?	?	?	L	?	L
Zhu (2018) [67]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	L	?	Ĺ	?	L
Zhu (2015) [149]	Ŷ	N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	?	L	?	?	?	?	?	L	?	L

Quality assessment using the SYRCLE risk of bias tool: The first five columns represent the reporting of key study quality indicators; the last ten columns entail the risk of bias assessment. Y = yes, reported; N = no, not reported; H = High risk of bias; L = Low risk of bias; ? = unclear risk of bias.

References

- Malhotra, A.; Allison, B.; Castillo-Melendez, M.; Jenkin, G.; Polglase, G.; Miller, S. Neonatal Morbidities of Fetal Growth Restriction: Pathophysiology and Impact. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2019, 10, 55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Jenner, Z.; O'Neil Dudley, A.; Mendez-Figueroa, H.; Ellis, V.; Chen, H.; Chauhan, S. Morbidity Associated with Fetal Macrosomia among Women with Diabetes Mellitus. *Am. J. Perinatol.* **2018**, *35*, 515–520. [PubMed]
- Barker, D.J. Adult consequences of fetal growth restriction. *Clin. Obs. Gynecol.* 2006, 49, 270–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Barker, D.J.P. Fetal nutrition and cardiovascular disease in later life. *Br. Med. Bull.* **1997**, *53*, 96–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Fall, C.H.D. Fetal programming and the risk of noncommunicable disease. *Indian J. Pediatr.* **2013**, *80* (Suppl. 1), 13–20. [CrossRef]
- 6. Franzago, M.; Fraticelli, F.; Stuppia, L.; Vitacolonna, E. Nutrigenetics, epigenetics and gestational diabetes: Consequences in mother and child. *Epigenetics* **2019**, *14*, 215–235. [CrossRef]

- 7. Kooiman, J.; Terstappen, F.; van Wagensveld, L.; Franx, A.; Wever, K.E.; Roseboom, T.J.; Joles, J.A.; Gremmels, H.; Lely, A.T. Conflicting Effects of Fetal Growth Restriction on Blood Pressure between Human and Rat Offspring. *Hypertension* **2020**, *75*, 806–818. [CrossRef]
- 8. Whincup, P.H.; Kaye, S.J.; Owen, C.G.; Huxley, R.; Cook, D.G.; Anazawa, S.; Barrett-Connor, E.; Bhargava, S.K.; Birgisdottir, B.E.; Carlsson, S.; et al. Birth weight and risk of type 2 diabetes a systematic review. *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **2008**, *300*, 2886–2897.
- 9. Manta-Vogli, P.D.; Schulpis, K.H.; Dotsikas, Y.; Loukas, Y.L. The significant role of amino acids during pregnancy: Nutritional support. *J. Matern. Neonatal Med.* **2020**, *33*, 334–340. [CrossRef]
- 10. Vaughan, O.R.; Rosario, F.J.; Powell, T.L.; Jansson, T. *Regulation of Placental Amino Acid Transport and Fetal Growth*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2017; Volume 145, ISBN 9780128093276.
- 11. Morris, N.H.; Burston, D.; Ramsay, B.S.S. Free amino acid concentrations in normal and abnormal third trimester placental villi. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **1995**, *25*, 796–798. [CrossRef]
- 12. Lin, G.; Wang, X.; Wu, G.; Feng, C.; Zhou, H.; Li, D.; Wang, J. Improving amino acid nutrition to prevent intrauterine growth restriction in mammals. *Amino Acids* **2014**, *46*, 1605–1623. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shibata, E.; Powers, R.W.; Rajakumar, A.; von Versen-Höynck, F.; Gallaher, M.J.; Lykins, D.L.; Roberts, J.M.; Hubel, C.A. Angiotensin II decreases system A amino acid transporter activity in human placental villous fragments through AT1 receptor activation. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2006, 291, 1009–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, G.; Bazer, F.W.; Datta, S.; Johnson, G.A.; Li, P.; Satterfield, M.C.; Spencer, T.E. Proline metabolism in the conceptus: Implications for fetal growth and development. *Amino Acids* 2008, 35, 691–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kavitha, J.V.; Rosario, F.J.; Nijland, M.J.; McDonald, T.J.; Wu, G.; Kanai, Y.; Powell, T.L.; Nathanielsz, P.W.; Jansson, T. Down-regulation of placental mTOR, insulin/IGF-I signaling, and nutrient transporters in response to maternal nutrient restriction in the baboon. *FASEB J.* **2014**, *28*, 1294–1305. [CrossRef]
- 16. Casanello, P.; Sobrevia, L. Intrauterine growth retardation is associated with reduced activity and expression of the cationic amino acid transport systems y+/hCAT-1 and y+/hCAT-2B and lower activity of nitric oxide synthase in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *Circ. Res.* **2002**, *91*, 127–134. [CrossRef]
- 17. Jansson, T.; Ekstrand, Y.; Bjorn, C.; Wennergren, M.; Powell, T.L. Alterations in the activity of placental amino acid transporters in pregnancies complicated by diabetes. *Diabetes* **2002**, *51*, 2214–2219. [CrossRef]
- 18. Rahimi, N.; Razi, F.; Nasli-Esfahani, E.; Qorbani, M.; Shirzad, N.; Larijani, B. Amino acid profiling in the gestational diabetes mellitus. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* **2017**, *16*, 13. [CrossRef]
- 19. Pappa, K.I.; Vlachos, G.; Theodora, M.; Roubelaki, M.; Angelidou, K.; Antsaklis, A. Intermediate metabolism in association with the amino acid profile during the third trimester of normal pregnancy and diet-controlled gestational diabetes. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2007**, *196*, 65. [CrossRef]
- 20. Huynh, J.; Xiong, G.; Bentley-Lewis, R. A systematic review of metabolite profiling in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* **2014**, *57*, 2453–2464. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hsu, C.N.; Tain, Y.L. Impact of Arginine Nutrition and Metabolism during Pregnancy on Offspring Outcomes. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 1452. [CrossRef]
- 22. Weckman, A.M.; McDonald, C.R.; Baxter, J.A.B.; Fawzi, W.W.; Conroy, A.L.; Kain, K.C. Perspective: L-arginine and L-citrulline Supplementation in Pregnancy: A Potential Strategy to Improve Birth Outcomes in Low-Resource Settings. *Adv. Nutr.* **2019**, *10*, 765–777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Kwon, H.; Wu, G.; Bazer, F.W.; Spencer, T.E. Developmental Changes in Polyamine Levels and Synthesis in the Ovine Conceptus. *Biol. Reprod.* **2003**, *69*, 1626–1634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yao, K.; Yin, Y.-L.; Chu, W.; Liu, Z.; Deng, D.; Li, T.; Huang, R.; Zhang, J.; Tan, B.; Wang, W.; et al. Dietary Arginine Supplementation Increases mTOR Signaling Activity in Skeletal Muscle of Neonatal Pigs. *J. Nutr.* 2008, 138, 867–872. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, X.; Han, Q.; Liu, Y.; Sun, C.; Gang, X.; Wang, G. The Relationship between Branched-Chain Amino Acid Related Metabolomic Signature and Insulin Resistance: A Systematic Review. J. Diabetes Res. 2016, 2016, 2794591. [CrossRef]
- Nam, J.; Greenwald, E.; Jack-Roberts, C.; Ajeeb, T.T.; Malysheva, O.V.; Caudill, M.A.; Axen, K.; Saxena, A.; Semernina, E.; Nanobashvili, K.; et al. Choline prevents fetal overgrowth and normalizes placental fatty acid and glucose metabolism in a mouse model of maternal obesity. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2017, 49, 80–88. [CrossRef]

- 27. Jiang, X.; West, A.A.; Caudill, M.A. Maternal choline supplementation: A nutritional approach for improving offspring health? *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **2014**, *25*, 263–273. [CrossRef]
- 28. De Vries, R.B.M.; Hooijmans, C.R.; Langendam, M.W.; van Luijk, J.; Leenaars, M.; Ritskes-Hoitinga, M.; Wever, K.E. A protocol format for the preparation, registration and publication of systematic reviews of animal intervention studies. *Evid.-Based Preclin. Med.* **2015**, *2*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 29. Liberati, A.; Altman, D.G.; Tetzlaff, J.; Mulrow, C.; Gøtzsche, P.C.; Ioannidis, J.P.A.; Clarke, M.; Devereaux, P.J.; Kleijnen, J.; Moher, D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* **2009**, *339*, b2700. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hooijmans, C.R.; Rovers, M.M.; De Vries, R.B.M.; Leenaars, M.; Ritskes-Hoitinga, M.; Langendam, M.W. SYRCLE's risk of bias tool for animal studies. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **2014**, *14*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Paauw, N.D.; Terstappen, F.; Ganzevoort, W.; Joles, J.A.; Gremmels, H.; Lely, A.T. Sildenafil during Pregnancy: A Preclinical Meta-Analysis on Fetal Growth and Maternal Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2017, 70, 998–1006. [CrossRef]
- 32. Viechtbauer, W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J. Stat. Softw. 2010, 36, 1–48. [CrossRef]
- Greene, J.M.; Dunaway, C.W.; Bowers, S.D.; Rude, B.J.; Feugang, J.M.; Ryan, P.L. Dietary L-Arginine Supplementation during Gestation in Mice Enhances Reproductive Performance and Vegfr2 Transcription Activity in the Fetoplacental Unit. *J. Nutr.* 2012, 142, 456–460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Alexander, B.T.; Llinas, M.T.; Kruckeberg, W.C.; Granger, J.P. L-arginine attenuates hypertension in pregnant rats with reduced uterine perfusion pressure. *Hypertension* **2004**, *43*, 832–836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Altun, Z.S.; Uysal, S.; Guner, G.; Yilmaz, O.; Posaci, C. Effects of oral L-arginine supplementation on blood pressure and asymmetric dimethylarginine in stress-induced preeclamptic rats. *Cell Biochem. Funct.* 2008, 26, 648–653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Vosatka, R.J.; Hassoun, P.M.; Harvey-Wilkes, K.B. Dietary L-arginine prevents fetal growth restriction in rats. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **1998**, *178*, 242–246. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zeng, X.; Wang, F.; Fan, X.; Yang, W.; Zhou, B.; Li, P.; Yin, Y.; Wu, G.; Wang, J. Dietary Arginine Supplementation during Early Pregnancy Enhances Embryonic Survival in Rats. *J. Nutr.* **2008**, *138*, 1421–1425. [CrossRef]
- Koeners, M.P.; van Faassen, E.E.; Wesseling, S.; de Sain-van der Velden, M.; Koomans, H.A.; Braam, B.; Joles, J.A. Maternal supplementation with citrulline increases renal nitric oxide in young spontaneously hypertensive rats and has long-term antihypertensive effects. *Hypertension* 2007, *50*, 1077–1084. [CrossRef]
- Tran, N.T.; Amarger, V.; Bourdon, A.; Misbert, E.; Grit, I.; Winer, N.; Darmaun, D. Maternal citrulline supplementation enhances placental function and fetal growth in a rat model of IUGR: Involvement of insulin-like growth factor 2 and angiogenic factors. J. Matern. Neonatal Med. 2017, 30, 1906–1911. [CrossRef]
- 40. Beaudoin, A.R. The failure of glutamic acid to protect the rat embryo against the action of trypan blue. *Teratology* **1981**, *23*, 95–99. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zeng, X.; Huang, Z.; Mao, X.; Wang, J.; Wu, G.; Qiao, S. N-Carbamylglutamate enhances pregnancy outcome in rats through activation of the PI3K/PKB/mTOR signaling pathway. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e41192. [CrossRef]
- 42. Caporossi, C.; Nogueira, P.L.B.; Marques, J.C.C.; de Assis, R.M.; de Aguilar-Nascimento, J.E. Validation of the gastroschisis experimental model and the infuence of the mother's diet enriched with glutamine in the fetal morphology. *Acta Cir. Bras.* 2014, *29*, 158–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Brunner, R.L.; Vorhees, C.V.; McLean, M.S.; Butcher, R.E.; Berry, H.K. Beneficial effect of isoleucine on fetal brain development in induced phenylketonuria. *Brain Res.* **1978**, *154*, 191–195. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bourdon, A.; Parnet, P.; Nowak, C.; Tran, N.; Winer, N.; Darmaun, D. L -Citrulline Supplementation Enhances Fetal Growth and Protein Synthesis in Rats with intratuterine growth restriction. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 532–541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Bursztyn, M.; Podjarny, E.; Dahan, R.; Raz, I.; Bernheim, J. Insulin-Induced Hypertension, L-Arginine, and Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase in Pregnant Rats. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* **2003**, *22*, 267–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Chin, S.F.; Robbins, K.R. Relation of arginine nutrition to mammary gland development in the rat. *Nutr. Res.* **1991**, *11*, 1317–1327. [CrossRef]
- 47. Da Costa, C.M.B.; de Freitas, M.R.B.; Brazao, V.; dos Santos, C.D.; Sala, M.A.; do Prado Junior, J.C.; Abrahao, A.A.C. Does L-arginine availability during the early pregnancy alters the immune response of Trypanosoma cruzi infected and pregnant Wistar rats? *Exp. Parasitol.* **2014**, *142*, 59–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Helmbrecht, G.D.; Farhat, M.Y.; Lochbaum, L.; Brown, H.E.; Yadgarova, K.T.; Eglinton, G.S.; Ramwell, P.W. L-arginine reverses the adverse pregnancy changes induced by nitric oxide synthase inhibition in the rat. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **1996**, *175*, 800–805. [CrossRef]
- 49. Podjarny, E.; Bursztyn, M.; Rashed, G.; Benchetrit, S.; Katz, B.; Green, J.; Karmeli, F.; Peleg, E.; Bernheim, J. Chronic exogenous hyperinsulinaemia-induced hypertension in pregnant rats: Effect of chronic treatment with l-arginine. *Clin. Sci.* **2001**, *100*, 667–671. [CrossRef]
- 50. Carlin Schooley, M.; Franz, K.B. Magnesium deficiency during pregnancy in rats increases systolic blood pressure and plasma nitrite. *Am. J. Hypertens.* **2002**, *15*, 1081–1086. [CrossRef]
- 51. Sharkey, L.C.; McCune, S.A.; Yuan, O.; Lange, C.; Fray, J. Spontaneous pregnancy-induced hypertension and intrauterine growth restriction in rats. *Am. J. Hypertens.* **2001**, *14*, 1058–1066. [CrossRef]
- 52. Crane, A.R.; Redden, R.R.; van Emon, M.L.; Neville, T.L.; Reynolds, L.P.; Caton, J.S.; Schauer, C.S. Impacts of supplemental arginine on the reproductive performance of fall lambing ewes. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2016**, *94*, 3540–3549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Peine, J.L.; Jia, G.; Van Emon, M.L.; Neville, T.L.; Kirsch, J.D.; Hammer, C.J.; O'Rourke, S.T.; Reynolds, L.P.; Caton, J.S. Effects of maternal nutrition and rumen-protected arginine supplementation on ewe performance and postnatal lamb growth and internal organ mass. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2018**, *96*, 3471–3481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Sun, L.; Zhang, H.; Fan, Y.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, G.; Nie, H.; Wang, F. Metabolomic profiling in umbilical venous plasma reveals effects of dietary rumen-protected arginine or N-carbamylglutamate supplementation in nutrient-restricted Hu sheep during pregnancy. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* 2017, 52, 376–388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sun, L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Z.; Fan, Y.; Guo, Y.; Wang, F. Dietary rumen-protected arginine and N-carbamylglutamate supplementation enhances fetal growth in underfed ewes. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 2018, 30, 1116–1127. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Sun, L.; Wang, Z.; Deng, M.; Nie, H.; Zhang, G.; Ma, T.; Wang, F. N-carbamylglutamate and L-arginine improved maternal and placental function in underfed ewes. *Reproduction* 2016, 151, 623–635. [CrossRef]
- 57. Zhang, H.; Sun, L.W.; Wang, Z.Y.; Deng, M.T.; Zhang, G.M.; Guo, R.H.; Ma, T.W.; Wang, F. Dietary -carbamylglutamate and rumen-protected -arginine supplementation ameliorate fetal growth restriction in undernourished ewes. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2016**, *94*, 2072–2085. [CrossRef]
- 58. Bass, B.E.; Bradley, C.L.; Johnson, Z.B.; Zier-Rush, C.E.; Boyd, R.D.; Usry, J.L.; Maxwell, C.V.; Frank, J.W. Influence of dietary L-arginine supplementation of sows during late pregnancy on piglet birth weight and sow and litter performance during lactation. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2017**, *95*, 248–256.
- 59. Bérard, J.; Bee, G. Effects of dietary L-arginine supplementation to gilts during early gestation on foetal survival, growth and myofiber formation. *Animal* **2010**, *4*, 1680–1687. [CrossRef]
- Madsen, J.G.; Pardo, C.; Kreuzer, M.; Bee, G. Impact of dietary l-arginine supply during early gestation on myofiber development in newborn pigs exposed to intra-uterine crowding. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2017, *8*, 58. [CrossRef]
- 61. Mateo, R.D.; Wu, G.; Bazer, F.W.; Park, J.C.; Shinzato, I.; Kim, S.W. Dietary l-Arginine Supplementation Enhances the Reproductive Performance of Gilts. *J. Nutr.* **2007**, *137*, 652–656. [CrossRef]
- Mateo, R.D.; Wu, G.; Moon, H.K.; Carroll, J.A.; Kim, S.W. Effects of dietary arginine supplementation during gestation and lactation on the performance of lactating primiparous sows and nursing piglets. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2008, *86*, 827–835. [CrossRef]
- 63. Wu, X.; Yin, Y.L.; Liu, Y.Q.; Liu, X.D.; Liu, Z.Q.; Li, T.J.; Huang, R.; Ruan, Z.; Deng, Z. Effect of dietary arginine and N-carbamoylglutamate supplementation on reproduction and gene expression of eNOS, VEGFA and PIGF1 in placenta in late pregnancy of sows. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **2012**, *132*, 187–192. [CrossRef]
- 64. Quesnel, H.; Quiniou, N.; Roy, H.; Lottin, A.; Boulot, S.; Gondret, F. Supplying dextrose before insemination and L-arginine during the last third of pregnancy in sow diets: Effects on within-litter variation of piglet birth weight. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *92*, 1445–1450. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, B.; Che, L.Q.; Lin, Y.; Zhuo, Y.; Fang, Z.F.; Xu, S.Y.; Song, J.; Wang, Y.S.; Liu, Y.; Wang, P.; et al. Effect of dietary N-carbamylglutamate levels on reproductive performance of gilts. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* 2014, 49, 740–745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 66. Cai, S.; Zhu, J.; Zeng, X.; Ye, Q.; Ye, C.; Mao, X.; Zhang, S.; Qiao, S.; Zeng, X. Maternal N-Carbamylglutamate Supply during Early Pregnancy Enhanced Pregnancy Outcomes in Sows through Modulations of Targeted Genes and Metabolism Pathways. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2018**, *66*, 5845–5852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Zhu, Y.; Li, T.; Huang, S.; Wang, W.; Dai, Z.; Feng, C.; Wu, G.; Wang, J. Maternal l-glutamine supplementation during late gestation alleviates intrauterine growth restriction-induced intestinal dysfunction in piglets. *Amino Acids* **2018**, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Gonzalez-Añover, P.; Gonzalez-Bulnes, A. Maternal age modulates the effects of early-pregnancy L-proline supplementation on the birth-weight of piglets. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **2017**, *181*, 63–68. [CrossRef]
- 69. Dallanora, D.; Marcon, J.; Walter, M.P.; Biondo, N.; Bernardi, M.L.; Wentz, I.; Bortolozzo, F.P. Effect of dietary amino acid supplementation during gestation on placental efficiency and litter birth weight in gestating gilts. *Livest. Sci.* **2017**, *197*, 30–35. [CrossRef]
- 70. Che, L.; Yang, P.; Fang, Z.; Lin, Y.; Wu, D. Effects of dietary arginine supplementation on reproductive performance and immunity of sows. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2013**, *58*, 167–175. [CrossRef]
- Gao, K.; Jiang, Z.; Lin, Y.; Zheng, C.; Zhou, G.; Chen, F.; Yang, L.; Wu, G. Dietary L-arginine supplementation enhances placental growth and reproductive performance in sows. *Amino Acids* 2012, 42, 2207–2214. [CrossRef]
- 72. Garbossa, C.A.P.; Carvalho Junior, F.M.; Silveira, H.; Faria, P.B.; Schinckel, A.P.; Abreu, M.L.T.; Cantarelli, V.S. Effects of ractopamine and arginine dietary supplementation for sows on growth performance and carcass quality of their progenies. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *93*, 2872–2884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Guo, P.; Jiang, Z.Y.; Gao, K.G.; Wang, L.; Yang, X.F.; Hu, Y.J.; Zhang, J.; Ma, X.Y. Low-level arginine supplementation (0.1%) of wheat-based diets in pregnancy increases the total and live-born litter sizes in gilts. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* **2016**, *57*, 1091–1096. [CrossRef]
- 74. Li, X.; Bazer, F.W.; Johnson, G.A.; Burghardt, R.C.; Erikson, D.W.; Frank, J.W.; Spencer, T.E.; Shinzato, I.; Wu, G. Dietary Supplementation with 0.8% L-Arginine between Days 0 and 25 of Gestation Reduces Litter Size in Gilts. J. Nutr. 2010, 140, 1111–1116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Li, X.; Bazer, F.W.; Johnson, G.A.; Burghardt, R.C.; Frank, J.W.; Dai, Z.; Wang, J.; Wu, Z.; Shinzato, I.; Wu, G. Dietary supplementation with L-arginine between days 14 and 25 of gestation enhances embryonic development and survival in gilts. *Amino Acids* 2014, 46, 375–384. [CrossRef]
- 76. Li, X.; Sun, Q.; Li, X.; Cai, D.; Sui, S.; Jia, Y.; Song, H.; Zhao, R. Dietary betaine supplementation to gestational sows enhances hippocampal IGF2 expression in newborn piglets with modified DNA methylation of the differentially methylated regions. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2015, 1201–1210. [CrossRef]
- 77. Liu, X.D.; Wu, X.; Yin, Y.L.; Liu, Y.Q.; Geng, M.M.; Yang, H.S.; Blachier, F.; Wu, G.Y. Effects of dietary L-arginine or N-carbamylglutamate supplementation during late gestation of sows on the miR-15b/16, miR-221/222, VEGFA and eNOS expression in umbilical vein. *Amino Acids* 2012, 42, 2111–2119. [CrossRef]
- 78. Camarena Pulido, E.E.; Garcia Benavides, L.; Panduro Baron, J.G.; Pascoe Gonzalez, S.; Madrigal Saray, A.J.; Garcia Padilla, F.E.; Totsuka Sutto, S.E. Efficacy of L-arginine for preventing preeclampsia in high-risk pregnancies: A double-blind, randomized, clinical trial. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 2016, *35*, 217–225. [CrossRef]
- 79. Facchinetti, F.; Saade, G.R.; Neri, I.; Pizzi, C.; Longo, M.; Volpe, A. L-arginine supplementation in patients with gestational hypertension: A pilot study. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* **2007**, *26*, 121–130. [CrossRef]
- 80. Winer, N.; Branger, B.; Azria, E.; Tsatsaris, V.; Philippe, H.-J.; Roze, J.C.; Descamps, P.; Boog, G.; Cynober, L.; Darmaun, D. L-Arginine treatment for severe vascular fetal intrauterine growth restriction: A randomized double-bind controlled trial. *Clin. Nutr.* **2009**, *28*, 243–248. [CrossRef]
- 81. Zhang, N.; Xiong, A.-H.; Xiao, X.; Li, L.-P. Effect and mechanism of L-arginine therapy for fetal growth retardation due to pregnancy-induced hypertension. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao* **2007**, *27*, 198–200.
- Hladunewich, M.A.; Derby, G.C.; Lafayette, R.A.; Blouch, K.L.; Druzin, M.L.; Myers, B.D. Effect of L-arginine therapy on the glomerular injury of preeclampsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2006, 107, 886–895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Neri, I.; Monari, F.; Sgarbi, L.; Berardi, A.; Masellis, G.; Facchinetti, F. L-arginine supplementation in women with chronic hypertension: Impact on blood pressure and maternal and neonatal complications. *J. Matern. Fetal. Neonatal Med.* **2010**, *23*, 1456–1460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Ropacka, M.; Kowalska, J.; Blumsa-Hepner, K.; Markwitz, W.; Breborowicz, G.H. The effect of L-arginine on fetal outcome in IUGR fetuses. *Arch. Perinat. Med.* **2007**, *13*, 30–34.

- Rytlewski, K.; Olszanecki, R.; Lauterbach, R.; Grzyb, A.; Basta, A. Effects of oral L-arginine on the foetal condition and neonatal outcome in preeclampsia: A preliminary report. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2006, 99, 146–152. [CrossRef]
- Rytlewski, K.; Olszanecki, R.; Lauterbach, R.; Grzyb, A.; Kiec-Wilk, B.; Dembinska-Kiec, A.; Basta, A. Effects of oral L-arginine on the pulsatility indices of umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery in preterm labor. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2008, 138, 23–28. [CrossRef]
- 87. Sieroszewski, P.; Suzin, J.; Karowicz-Bilinska, A. Ultrasound evaluation of intrauterine growth restriction therapy by a nitric oxide donor (L-arginine). *J. Matern. Fetal. Neonatal Med.* **2004**, *15*, 363–366. [CrossRef]
- Singh, S.; Singh, A.; Sharma, D.; Singh, A.; Narula, M.K.; Bhattacharjee, J. Effect of l-Arginine on Nitric Oxide Levels in Intrauterine Growth Restriction and its Correlation with Fetal Outcome. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.* 2015, 30, 298–304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Staff, A.C.; Berge, L.; Haugen, G.; Lorentzen, B.; Mikkelsen, B.; Henriksen, T. Dietary supplementation with L-arginine or placebo in women with pre-eclampsia. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2004, *83*, 103–107. [CrossRef]
- Podjarny, E.; Pomeranz, A.; Rathaus, M.; Green, J.; Gonen, O.; Shamir, R.; Bernheim, J. Effect of L-Arginine Treatment in Pregnant Rats with Adriamycin Nephropathy. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 1993, 12, 517–524. [CrossRef]
- 91. Podjarny, E.; Benchetrit, S.; Katz, B.; Green, J.; Bernheim, J. Effect of methyldopa on renal function in rats with L-NAME-induced hypertension in pregnancy. *Nephron* **2001**, *88*, 354–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Podjarny, E.; Ben-Chetrit, S.; Rathaus, M.; Korzets, Z.; Green, J.; Katz, B.; Bernheim, J. Pregnancy-induced hypertension in rats with adriamycin nephropathy is associated with an inadequate production of nitric oxide. *Hypertension* **1997**, *29*, 986–991. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rytlewski, K.; Olszanecki, R.; Korbut, R.; Zdebski, Z. Effects of prolonged oral supplementation with l-arginine on blood pressure and nitric oxide synthesis in preeclampsia. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* 2005, 35, 32–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Powers, R.; Weissgerber, T.L.; McGonigal, S.; Myerski, A.; Gallaher, M.; Speer, P.D.; Roberts, J.M.; Jeyabalan, A.; Hubel, C.A. L-Citrulline administration increases the arginine/ADMA ratio, decreases blood pressure and improves vascular function in obese pregnant women. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* **2015**, *5*, 4. [CrossRef]
- 95. Dera, A.; Ropacka, M.; Kowalska, J.; Markwitz, W.; Nycz, P.; Breborowix, G. The effect of L-arginine treatment on the neonatal outcome from pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth restriction and gestational hypertension. *Arch. Perinat. Med.* **2007**, *13*, 35–39.
- Valdivia-Silva, J.E.; López-Molina, K.; Macedo, R. Efecto de la terapia temprana con L-arginina en el crecimiento intrauterino restringido en la preclampsia. Estudio aleatorizado en mujeres latinoamericanas. *Prog. Obstet. Ginecol.* 2009, *52*, 89–98. [CrossRef]
- 97. Matsueada, S.; Niiyama, Y. Effects of Excess Amino and Fetal Acids Growth on Maintenance in Rats of Pregnancy. J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol. 1982, 28, 557–573. [CrossRef]
- Mori, M.; Yamashita, Y.; Hiroi, Y.; Shinjo, S.; Asato, R.; Hirai, K.; Suzuki, K.; Yamamoto, S. Effect of single essential amino acid excess during pregnancy on dietary nitrogen utilization and fetal growth in rats. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1999**, *8*, 251–257. [CrossRef]
- 99. Cruz, B.; Gomes-Marcondes, M.C.C. Leucine-rich diet supplementation modulates foetal muscle protein metabolism impaired by Walker-256 tumour. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2014**, *12*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 100. Cruz, B.L.G.; da Silva, P.C.; Tomasin, R.; Oliveira, A.G.; Viana, L.R.; Salomao, E.M.; Gomes-Marcondes, M.C.C. Dietary leucine supplementation minimises tumour-induced damage in placental tissues of pregnant, tumour-bearing rats. *BMC Cancer* 2016, *16*, 58. [CrossRef]
- Ventrucci, G.; Mello, M.A.; Gomes-Marcondes, M.C. Effect of a leucine-supplemented diet on body composition changes in pregnant rats bearing Walker 256 tumor. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 2001, 34, 333–338. [CrossRef]
- 102. Mawatari, K.; Katsumata, T.; Uematsu, M.; Katsumata, T.; Yoshida, J.; Smriga, M.; Kimura, T. Prolonged oral treatment with an essential amino acid L-leucine does not affect female reproductive function and embryo-fetal development in rats. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2004**, *42*, 1505–1511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Viana, L.R.; Gomes-Marcondes, M.C.C. Leucine-Rich Diet Improves the Serum Amino Acid Profile and Body Composition of Fetuses from Tumor-Bearing Pregnant Mice1. *Biol. Reprod.* 2013, 88, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 104. Xu, Y.; Zeng, Z.; Xu, X.; Tian, Q.; Ma, X.; Long, S.; Piao, M.; Cheng, Z.; Piao, X. Effects of the standardized ileal digestible valine:lysine ratio on performance, milk composition and plasma indices of lactating sows. *Anim. Sci. J.* 2017, *88*, 1082–1092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Wang, C.X.; Chen, F.; Zhang, W.F.; Zhang, S.H.; Shi, K.; Song, H.Q.; Wang, Y.J.; Kim, S.W.; Guan, W.T. Leucine Promotes the Growth of Fetal Pigs by Increasing Protein Synthesis through the mTOR Signaling Pathway in Longissimus Dorsi Muscle at Late Gestation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2018, 66, 3840–3849. [CrossRef]
- 106. Balansky, R.; Ganchev, G.; Iltcheva, M.; Steele, V.E.; De Flora, S. Prenatal N-acetylcysteine prevents cigarette smoke-induced lung cancer in neonatal mice. *Carcinogenesis* **2009**, *30*, 1398–1401. [CrossRef]
- 107. Moazzen, H.; Lu, X.; Ma, N.L.; Velenosi, T.J.; Urquhart, B.L.; Wisse, L.J.; Gittenberger-de Groot, A.C.; Feng, Q. N-Acetylcysteine prevents congenital heart defects induced by pregestational diabetes. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2014, 13, 46. [CrossRef]
- 108. Miller, B.M. Evaluation of effects of exposure to n-acetyl-l-cysteine on cyclophosphamide teratogenesis in CD-1 mice. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2014**, *53*, 1689–1699.
- Bai, S.Y.; Briggs, D.I.; Vickers, M.H. Increased systolic blood pressure in rat offspring following a maternal low-protein diet is normalized by maternal dietary choline supplementation. *J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis.* 2012, 3, 342–349. [CrossRef]
- 110. Thomas, J.D.; Abou, E.J.; Dominguez, H.D. Prenatal choline supplementation mitigates the adverse effects of prenatal alcohol exposure on development in rats. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* **2009**, *31*, 303–311. [CrossRef]
- 111. Yang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Cermak, J.M.; Tandon, P.; Sarkisian, M.R.; Stafstrom, C.E.; Neill, J.C.; Blusztajn, J.K.; Holmes, G.L. Protective effects of prenatal choline supplementation on seizure-induced memory impairment. *J. Neurosci.* 2000, 20, 4–9. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, M.; Han, X.; Bao, J.; Yang, J.; Shi, S.Q.; Garfield, R.E.; Liu, H. Choline Supplementation During Pregnancy Protects Against Gestational Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Inflammatory Responses. *Reprod. Sci.* 2018, 25, 74–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Lin, Y.; Han, X.F.; Fang, Z.F.; Che, L.Q.; Nelson, J.; Yan, T.H.; Wu, D. Beneficial effects of dietary fibre supplementation of a high-fat diet on fetal development in rats. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2011**, *106*, 510–518. [CrossRef]
- 114. Soto-Blanco, B.; Medeiros, R.M.T.; Guerra, J.L.; Górniak, S.L. Lack of protective action of cysteine against the fetotoxic effect of monocrotaline. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2001**, *39*, 635–639. [CrossRef]
- 115. Abdel-Wahhab, M.A.; Nada, S.A.; Arbid, M.S. Ochratoxicosis: Prevention of developmental toxicity by L-methionine in rats. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **1999**, *19*, 7–12. [CrossRef]
- 116. Chandrashekar, V.; Leathem, J.H. Effect of excess dietary methionine on rat pregnancy: Influence on ovarian Δ5 3β hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity. *Fertil. Steril.* **1977**, *28*, 590–593. [CrossRef]
- Fujii, T. Effect of maternal diets during gestation and lactation on the growth of offspring. *Kurume Med. J.* 1971, 18, 11–18. [CrossRef]
- 118. Koz, S.T.; Gouwy, N.T.; Demir, N.; Nedzvetsky, V.S.; Etem, E.; Baydas, G. Effects of maternal hyperhomocysteinemia induced by methionine intake on oxidative stress and apoptosis in pup rat brain. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* **2010**, *28*, 325–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Viau, A.T.; Leathem, J.H. Excess dietary methionine and pregnancy in the rat. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* **1973**, *33*, 109–111. [CrossRef]
- 120. Hashimoto, K.; Pinkas, G.; Evans, L.; Liu, H.; Al-Hasan, Y.; Thompson, L.P. Protective effect of N-acetylcysteine on liver damage during chronic intrauterine hypoxia in fetal guinea pig. *Reprod. Sci.* 2012, 19, 1001–1009. [CrossRef]
- 121. Herrera, E.A.; Cifuentes-Zúñiga, F.; Figueroa, E.; Villanueva, C.; Hernández, C.; Alegría, R.; Arroyo-Jousse, V.; Peñaloza, E.; Farías, M.; Uauy, R.; et al. N-Acetylcysteine, a glutathione precursor, reverts vascular dysfunction and endothelial epigenetic programming in intrauterine growth restricted guinea pigs. *J. Physiol.* 2017, 595, 1077–1092. [CrossRef]
- 122. Thompson, L.P.; Liu, H.; Evans, L.; Mong, J.A. Prenatal nicotine increases matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP-2) expression in fetal guinea pig hearts. *Reprod. Sci.* **2011**, *18*, 1103–1110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Gauthier, T.W.; Young, P.A.; Gabelaia, L.; Tang, S.M.; Ping, X.D.; Harris, F.L.; Brown, L.A.S. In utero ethanol exposure impairs defenses against experimental group B Streptococcus in the term guinea pig lung. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2009, 33, 300–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 124. Othmani Mecif, K.; Aouichat Bouguerra, S.; Benazzoug, Y. Plasma and Aorta Biochemistry and MMPs Activities in Female Rabbit Fed Methionine Enriched Diet and Their Offspring. J. Nutr. Metab. 2017, 2785142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Liu, S.; Lei, J.; Hancock, S.; Scanlan, V.; Broomfield, S.; Currie, A.; Thompson, A. Lamb survival, glutathione redox state and immune function of neonates and lambs from periparturient Merino ewes supplemented with rumen-protected methionine. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* **2016**, *70*, 389–401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Tsiplakou, E.; Mavrommatis, A.; Kalogeropoulos, T.; Chatzikonstantinou, M.; Koutsouli, P.; Sotirakoglou, K.; Labrou, N.; Zervas, G. The effect of dietary supplementation with rumen-protected methionine alone or in combination with rumen-protected choline and betaine on sheep milk and antioxidant capacity. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2017**, *101*, 1004–1013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Birch, S.M.; Lenox, M.W.; Kornegay, J.N.; Paniagua, B.; Styner, M.A.; Goodlett, C.R.; Cudd, T.A.; Washburn, S.E. Maternal choline supplementation in a sheep model of first trimester binge alcohol fails to protect against brain volume reductions in peripubertal lambs. *Alcohol* 2016, 55, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 128. Batistel, F.; Alharthi, A.S.; Wang, L.; Parys, C.; Pan, Y.-X.X.; Cardoso, F.C.; Loor, J.J. Placentome Nutrient Transporters and Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Signaling Proteins Are Altered by the Methionine Supply during Late Gestation in Dairy Cows and Are Associated with Newborn Birth Weight. *J. Nutr.* 2017, 147, 1640–1647. [CrossRef]
- Clements, A.R.; Ireland, F.A.; Freitas, T.; Tucker, H.; Shike, D.W. Effects of supplementing methionine hydroxy analog on beef cow performance, milk production, reproduction, and preweaning calf performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2017, 95, 5597–5605. [CrossRef]
- 130. Jacometo, C.B.; Zhou, Z.; Luchini, D.; Trevisi, E.; Corrêa, M.N.; Loor, J.J. Maternal rumen-protected methionine supplementation and its effect on blood and liver biomarkers of energy metabolism, inflammation, and oxidative stress in neonatal Holstein calves. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2016**, *99*, 6753–6763. [CrossRef]
- 131. Xu, T.; Alharthi, A.S.M.; Batistel, F.; Helmbrecht, A.; Parys, C.; Trevisi, E.; Shen, X.; Loor, J.J. Hepatic phosphorylation status of serine/threonine kinase 1, mammalian target of rapamycin signaling proteins, and growth rate in Holstein heifer calves in response to maternal supply of methionine. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2018, 101, 8476–8491. [CrossRef]
- 132. Zenobi, M.G.; Gardinal, R.; Zuniga, J.E.; Dias, A.L.G.; Nelson, C.D.; Driver, J.P.; Barton, B.A.; Santos, J.E.P.; Staples, C.R. Effects of supplementation with ruminally protected choline on performance of multiparous Holstein cows did not depend upon prepartum caloric intake. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2018**, *101*, 1088–1110. [CrossRef]
- Motawei, S.M.; Attalla, S.M.; Gouda, H.E.; Harouny, M.A.; Elmansoury, A.M. The effects of N-acetyl cysteine on oxidative stress among patients with pre-eclampsia. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2016, 135, 226–227. [CrossRef]
- 134. Roes, E.M.; Raijmakers, M.T.; de Boo, T.M.; Zusterzeel, P.L.; Merkus, H.M.; Peters, W.H.; Steegers, E.A. Oral N-acetylcysteine administration does not stabilise the process of established severe preeclampsia. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2006, 127, 61–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Ross, R.G.; Hunter, S.K.; McCarthy, L.; Beuler, J.; Hutchison, A.K.; Wagner, B.D.; Leonard, S.; Stevens, K.E.; Freedman, R. Perinatal Choline Effects on Neonatal Pathophysiology Related to Later Schizophrenia Risk. *Am. J. Psychiatr.* 2013, 170, 290–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Yan, J.; Jiang, X.; West, A.A.; Perry, C.A.; Malysheva, O.V.; Devapatla, S.; Pressman, E.; Vermeylen, F.; Stabler, S.P.; Allen, R.H.; et al. Maternal choline intake modulates maternal and fetal biomarkers of choline metabolism in humans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2012, *95*, 1060–1071. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shahin, A.Y.; Hassanin, I.M.A.; Ismail, A.M.; Kruessel, J.S.; Hirchenhain, J. Effect of oral N-acetyl cysteine on recurrent preterm labor following treatment for bacterial vaginosis. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2009, 104, 44–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Jacobson, S.W.; Carter, R.C.; Molteno, C.D.; Stanton, M.E.; Herbert, J.S.; Lindinger, N.M.; Lewis, C.E.; Dodge, N.C.; Hoyme, H.E.; Zeisel, S.H.; et al. Efficacy of Maternal Choline Supplementation During Pregnancy in Mitigating Adverse Effects of Prenatal Alcohol Exposure on Growth and Cognitive Function: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2018, 42, 1327–1341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, G.; Bazer, F.W.; Satterfield, M.C.; Li, X.; Wang, X.; Johnson, G.A.; Burghardt, R.C.; Dai, Z.; Wang, J.;
 Wu, Z. Impacts of arginine nutrition on embryonic and fetal development in mammals. *Amino Acids* 2013, 45, 241–256. [CrossRef]

- Teodoro, G.F.R.; Vianna, D.; Torres-Leal, F.L.; Pantaleão, L.C.; Matos-Neto, E.M.; Donato, J.; Tirapegui, J. Leucine is essential for attenuating fetal growth restriction caused by a protein-restricted diet in rats. *J. Nutr.* 2012, 142, 924–930. [CrossRef]
- 141. Garlick, P.J. 5th Amino Acid Assessment Workshop Toxicity of Methionine in Humans 1. *Rev. Rhum.* 2006, 136, 1722–1725.
- 142. Jack-Roberts, C.; Joselit, Y.; Nanobashvili, K.; Bretter, R.; Malysheva, O.V.; Caudill, M.A.; Saxena, A.; Axen, K.; Gomaa, A.; Jiang, X. Choline supplementation normalizes fetal adiposity and reduces lipogenic gene expression in a mouse model of maternal obesity. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Hooijmans, C.R.; Ritskes-Hoitinga, M. Progress in Using Systematic Reviews of Animal Studies to Improve Translational Research. *PLoS Med.* **2013**, *10*, 1–4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Sena, E.S.; Currie, G.L.; McCann, S.K.; Macleod, M.R.; Howells, D.W. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis of preclinical studies: Why perform them and how to appraise them critically. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 2014, 34, 737–742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Waterland, R.A.; Travisano, M.; Tahiliani, K.G.; Rached, M.T.; Mirza, S. Methyl donor supplementation prevents transgenerational amplification of obesity. *Int. J. Obes.* **2008**, *32*, 1373–1379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Hsu, C.N.; Tain, Y.L. Amino acids and developmental origins of hypertension. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 1763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Hozo, S.P.; Djulbegovic, B.; Hozo, I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **2005**, *5*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Navarro, D.L.; Albasanz, J.L.; Iglesias, I.; Ruiz, M.A.; Martín, M. Effect of chronic glutamate administration to pregnant rats during gestation on metabotropic glutamate receptors from mothers and full-term fetuses brain. *Amino Acids* **2005**, *28*, 127–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Zhu, J.L.; Zeng, X.F.; Peng, Q.; Zeng, S.M.; Zhao, H.Y.; Shen, H.X.; Qiao, S.Y. Maternal N-Carbamylglutamate Supplementation during Early Pregnancy Enhances Embryonic Survival and Development through Modulation of the Endometrial Proteome in Gilts. J. Nutr. 2015, 145, 2212–2220. [CrossRef]
- 150. Ventrucci, G.; de Mello, M.A.R.; Gomes-Marcondes, M.C.C. Effects of leucine supplemented diet on intestinal absorption in tumor bearing pregnant rats. *BMC Cancer* **2002**, *2*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 151. Janovick Guretzky, N.A.; Carlson, D.B.; Garrett, J.E.; Drackley, J.K. Lipid metabolite profiles and milk production for Holstein and Jersey cows fed rumen-protected choline during the periparturient period. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2006**, *89*, 188–200. [CrossRef]
- 152. Zenobi, M.G.; Scheffler, T.L.; Zuniga, J.E.; Poindexter, M.B.; Campagna, S.R.; Castro Gonzalez, H.F.; Farmer, A.T.; Barton, B.A.; Santos, J.E.P.; Staples, C.R. Feeding increasing amounts of ruminally protected choline decreased fatty liver in nonlactating, pregnant Holstein cows in negative energy status. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2018, 101, 5902–5923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).