RESEARCH ARTICLE



The Cytotoxicity and Synergistic Potential of Aspirin and Aspirin Analogues Towards Oesophageal and Colorectal Cancer



Rajagopal S. Kilari¹, Asma'u I.J. Bashir^{1,2}, Andreue Devitt³, Christopher J. Perry¹, Stephen T. Safrany⁴ and Iain D. Nicholl^{1,*}

¹Research Institute in Healthcare Science, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton WV1 1 LY, UK; ²Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Gombe State University, Gombe-Nigeria; ³School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, UK; ⁴RCSI Bahrain, P.O. Box 15503, Adliya, Kingdom of Bahrain

Abstract: *Background*: Oesophageal cancer (OC) is a deadly cancer because of its aggressive nature with survival rates that have barely improved in decades. Epidemiologic studies have shown that low-dose daily intake of aspirin can decrease the incidence of OC.

Methods: The toxicity of aspirin and aspirin derivatives to OC and a CRC cell line were investigated in the presence and absence of platins.

ARTICLEHISTORY

Received: April 13, 2018 Revised: October 24, 2018 Accepted: October 31, 2018

DOI: 10.2174/1574884713666181112141151



Results: The data in this study show the effects of a number of aspirin analogues and aspirin on OC cell lines that originally presented as squamous cell carcinoma (SSC) and adenocarcinoma (ADC). The aspirin analogues fumaryldiaspirin (PN517) and the benzoylsalicylates (PN524, PN528 and PN529), were observed to be more toxic against the OC cell lines than aspirin. Both quantitative and qualitative apoptosis experiments reveal that these compounds largely induce apoptosis, although some necrosis was evident with PN528 and PN529. Failure to recover following the treatment with these analogues emphasized that these drugs are largely cytotoxic in nature. The OE21 (SSC) and OE33 (ADC) cell lines were more sensitive to the aspirin analogues compared to the Flo-1 cell line (ADC). A non-cancerous oesophageal primary cells NOK2101, was used to determine the specificity of the aspirin analogues and cytotoxicity assays revealed that analogues PN528 and PN529 were selectively toxic to cancer cell lines, whereas PN508, PN517 and PN524 also induced cell death in NOK2101. In combination index testing synergistic interactions of the most promising compounds, including aspirin, with cisplatin, oxaliplatin and carboplatin against the OE33 cell line and the SW480 colorectal cancer (CRC) cell line were investigated. Compounds PN517 and PN524, and to a lesser extent PN528, synergised with cisplatin against OE33 cells. Cisplatin and oxaliplatin synergised with aspirin and PN517 when tested against the SW480 cell line.

Conclusion: These findings indicate the potential and limitations of aspirin and aspirin analogues as chemotherapeutic agents against OC and CRC when combined with platins

Keywords: Oesophageal cancer, platins, aspirin, aspirin analogues, apoptosis, morbidity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, oesophageal cancer (OC) is estimated to be the 7th most commonly diagnosed cancer, with over 570,000 developing the disease and approximately 500,000 deaths predicted to occur in 2018 [1]. A significant proportion of patients present with advanced or metastatic disease upon diagnosis [2]. More than half of OC diagnosed is an adenocarcinoma (ADC), originating from the distal third of the oesophagus and is associated with gastric reflux and Barrett's oesophagus (BO), where columnar epithelium replaces the normal squamous epithelium [3]. By contrast, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) largely arises above the tracheal bifurcation and is responsible for more than a quarter of cases of OC [4]. Given that OC overall has a dismal 5-year survival rate of 19.2% (USA: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ esoph.html) and with survival rates barely improving in over a generation, there is a need to identify novel chemotherapeutic agents that have the potential to target this cancer and/or can synergise with current treatment modalities. This need is underscored by the finding that the incidence of oesophageal adenocarcinoma appears to be on the rise [5].

Several studies have reported that regular intake of aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) can result in reduced morbidity or mortality

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Research Institute in Healthcare Science, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton WV1 1 LY, UK; Tel: 0044190232114; E-mail: I.Nicholl@wlv.ac.uk

from gastrointestinal cancer. For example, Thun et al. suggested that death rates decreased with aspirin usage for colorectal, stomach and oesophageal cancer in comparison to other cancers [6]. Funkhouser and Sharp, and Farrow et al. found that aspirin users were at a significantly decreased risk from OC [7, 8]. In addition, in a meta-analysis study based on exposure type, aspirin usage was found to have greater protective effect than non-aspirin NSAIDs against the development of OC [9], but any use was protective against both histological types (ADC: OR=0.67 and SCC: OR=0.58]. Two more recent meta-analyses lend credence to the protective nature of regular aspirin use: Bosetti et al. estimates a statistically significant reduced relative risk of 0.64 for squamous cell oesophageal cancer [10], and Cuzick et al. report a best estimate risk ratio of 0.7 for incidence and 0.5 for mortality for OC [11]. Barrett's oesophagus patients also using NSAIDs exhibit a significantly reduced risk of developing oesophageal ADC (6.6%) compared to non-users (14.3%) [12].

It has been suggested that the reduced cancer risk in BO patients using NSAIDs could be a consequence of a decreased rate of acquisition of somatic genomic abnormalities [13], an intriguing finding given that inflammation and genetic instability is intimately linked (e.g. see [14]. NSAIDs can also prevent OC experimentally; for example, indomethacin reduced diethylnitrosamine induced OC in mice [15] and ursodeoxycholic acid in combination with aspirin reduced the risk of oesophageal ADC in a rat model of BO [16]. Studies suggest that aspirin (and indeed other NSAIDs) exert their anti-cancer effects through cyclooxygenase (COX)-dependent and COX-independent pathways [17-20]. Aspirin inhibits PG production by the irreversible acetylation and inhibition of the cyclooxygenase activity in PGHS [21]. Overexpression of COX-2 in tissues has been intimately linked with cancer progression [22]. Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), a major prostanoid produced by COX-2, promotes cell proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and resistance to apoptosis [23]. Increased COX-2 expression is seen in BO and human oesophageal cancer (SCC and ADC) [24-27]. The evidence for a role of COX1 in the pathophysiology of cancer is weaker [28, 29].

The evidence supporting COX-independent targets for aspirin (and the metabolite salicylate) is increasing, and include (but are not limited to) the NF- κ B pathway [30, 31] which is critically important in inflammatory responses, activation of the p38 MAP kinase pathway with subsequent cyclin D1 degradation [32], down-regulation of Bcl-2 expression [33] and mTOR inhibition and activation of AMPactivated protein kinase [34, 35]. Aspirin usage after a diagnosis of colon cancer also has a positive outcome: longer survival is noted among patients with mutated-PIK3CA colorectal cancer, but not with wild type PIK3CA cancer [36]. Taken together, these observations suggest that aspirin can act pleiotropically. We have identified aspirin analogues that are more potent than aspirin with respect to inhibition of colorectal cancer cell line growth in vitro and in vivo, have the capacity to induce apoptosis, inhibit NF-kB and reduce cyclin D1 levels [37, 38]. Herein, we have extended our investigation into aspirin analogues (Table 1) and examined their cytotoxicity to the OC cell lines OE21, OE33 and Flo-1, given the evidence that aspirin may be chemoprotective against OC.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM). RPMI-1640 medium, foetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine and antibiotic solution were from Life Technologies Ltd or Gibco (Paisley, UK). Epithelial cell medium (EpiCM) kit was from ScienCell research laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The Annexin-V-Fluos staining kit for flow cytometry was from Roche Diagnostics Operations Inc. (Indianapolis, USA). Carboplatin, oxaliplatin and cisplatin (cisdiamminedichloroplatinum (II)) were from Stratech Scientific Ltd., Newmarket, UK. Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and all other reagents, unless otherwise specified were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd (Dorset, UK).

2.2. Compound Synthesis

Preparation of PN510. This was prepared in an analogous way to PN508 [37] using adipoyl chloride in lieu of succinyl chloride. The off-white solid had a melting range of $174 - 176^{\circ}C$ (lit.,171-174°C [39]).

Preparation of PN526 and PN527. These carbonate esters were prepared by a variation of the general method for the synthesis of alkanoylsalicylate analogues according to Deb et al. [37], but using methyl chloroformate and ethylchloroformate, instead of an acid chloride, respectively. As an example, PN527 was synthesized by placing salicylic acid (6.9 g; 0.05 mol) to a 250 ml round bottomed flask fitted with a clamp, condenser, a small dropping funnel and magnetic stirrer. Diethyl ether (dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate, 24 h, 100 ml) was added and stirred until the salicylic had completely dissolved, and at this point pyridine (4 ml; 0.05 mol) was added. The apparatus was fitted with calcium chloride guard tubes, throughout, to exclude moisture. Ethyl chloroformate (5.47 ml; 0.05 mol) was added through the dropping funnel (drop wise) over a period of 10 min. The mixture was then vigorously stirred for a further 30 min, after which a precipitate of pyridinium chloride was clearly visible. A further 50 ml drv diethvl ether was added and the solution was stirred for 10 min. The solution was filtered into a 500 ml conical flask and deionized water (100 ml) was added. The solution was vigorously stirred for 5 min before the ether layer was separated, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate and rotary-evaporated to dryness. The crude product (9.1 g; 93%) was an oil that soon crystallised. The product was dissolved in hot toluene (25-30 ml), cooled and stored at -15°C for 1 week to give a colourless solid: (yield 7.20 g); IR: v C=O acid 1682/cm⁻¹, v C=O ester (1) 1756/cm⁻¹, v C=O ester (2) 1756/cm⁻¹; mp: 96-98°C (lit., not found).

For PN526; crude yield = 79% recrystallised from ethanol in a similar manner to that described above; IR: v C=O acid 1682/cm⁻¹, v C=O ester (1) 1757/cm⁻¹, v C=O ester (2)1757/cm⁻¹; mp: 140-142°C (lit. 134°C, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/

Common Name	Chemical, Formal Name	Laboratory		pIC ₅₀ ± SEM	Notes, References		
		Number	OE21	OE33	Flo-1		
Aspirin	Acetylsalicylic acid	PN502	2.90 ± 0.04	2.57 ± 0.07	2.16 ± 0.02	Commercially available	
Diaspirin (DiA)	Bis(2-carboxyphenyl)succinate, Succinylsalicylic acid	PN508	3.07 ± 0.01	$3.02 \pm 0.06*$	$2.80 \pm 0.03*$	[37, 38]	
Adipoyldiaspirin	Bis(2-carboxyphenyl)adipate	PN510	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	Synthesised in this study; [39]	
Sebacoyldiaspirin	Bis(2-carboxyphenyl)sebacate	PN511	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	[38]	
-	Bis(2-carboxyphenyl)terephthalate	PN512	3.07 ± 0.04	$3.03\pm0.09*$	$2.65\pm0.09\texttt{*}$	[38]	
-	2-carboxyphenylbenzoate	PN514	$3.19\pm0.01 \texttt{*}$	2.83 ± 0.02	2.4 ± 0.1	[37]	
Fumaryldiaspirin (F-DiA)	Bis-carboxyphenylfumarate	PN517	$3.34 \pm 0.04*$	3.3 ± 0.1*	$2.95\pm0.05*$	[38]	
-	<i>m</i> -bromobenzoylsalicylate, 2-carboxyphenyl 3'-bromobenzoate	PN524	3.62 ± 0.10*	3.17 ± 0.06*	$3.05\pm0.07\texttt{*}$	[38]	
-	<i>p</i> -methylbenzoylsalicylate, 2-carboxyphenyl 4'-methylbenzoate	PN525	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	[38]	
-	Methyl(2-carboxyphenyl)carbonate 2-carboxyphenyl methyl carbonate	PN526	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	Synthesised in this study	
-	Ethyl(2-carboxyphenyl)carbonate 2-carboxyphenyl ethyl carbonate	PN527	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	Synthesised in this study	
Benzosalin	2-methoxycarbonylphenyl benzoate, methyl benzoylsalicylate	PN528	$3.64 \pm 0.05*$	2.80 ± 0.07	$3.15 \pm 0.05*$	[38]	
-	Isopropyl (<i>m</i> -bromobenzoylsalicylate), 2-(2-propyloxycarbonyl)phenyl 3'- bromobenzoate	PN529	3.99 ± 0.04*	3.4 ± 0.1*	$3.62 \pm 0.03*$	[37, 38]	

Table 1. Aspirin and aspirin analogues examined in the study and their respective toxicity (represented as pIC50 for selected compounds) towards the oesophageal cancer cell lines.

 pIC_{50} values were obtained by non-linear regression (GraphPad Prism 6). pIC_{50} is expressed as the mean \pm SEM of the data from three independent experiments. * pIC50 values were significantly different to aspirin (PN502) control, corrected for multiple comparisons determined using 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's *post hoc* test, p<0.01. n.d. = not determined.

dsstoxdb/results?search=Carbonic+acid,+methyl+ester,+este r+with+salicylic+acid).

2.3. Compound Preparation

Aspirin and aspirin analogues were prepared as fresh stock solutions in DMSO, usually at a concentration of 0.25 M, before each experiment.

2.4. Cell Culture

The human oesophageal cancer cell lines and primary oral keratinocyte NOK2101cell line were obtained from Mr Tim Underwood (University of Southampton). The OC cell lines OE21 (of SCC origin) and OE33 (of ADC origin) [40] were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with L-glutamine supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated FBS and penicillin-streptomycin. The Flo-1 OC cell line (of ADC origin) [41] was cultured in DMEM medium with L-glutamine supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS and penicillinstreptomycin solution. The primary keratinocyte cells, NOK2101 were maintained in EpiCM medium supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin-streptomycin solution. The SW480 colon adenocarcinoma cell line (ECACC, Salisbury, UK) was cultured in Leibovitz L-15 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 10% (v/v) FBS supplemented with L-glutamine-penicillin-streptomycin in sealed culture flasks. The cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ and regularly passaged at ~80% confluency.

2.5. Anti-proliferative Potency of Compounds

The cytotoxic effect of aspirin analogues on the oesophageal cancer cells and the non-cancerous keratinocyte cell line was tested using the MTT assay [42]. Briefly, 2.5×10^3 (OE21 cells) or 10^4 cells/well were seeded in 96-well microtitre plate and cultured overnight. After 24 h of initial seeding, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium containing drugs or vehicle control and incubated at 37° C. On completion of incubation, the supernatant was aspirated and cells incubated with 300 µl of MTT substrate (0.5 mg/ml) for 3 h. The supernatant was removed and the resultant formazan crystals were dissolved in 200 μ l of DMSO. The absorbance was read at 540 nm using a microplate reader (Microplate Reader Thermo Multiskan Ascent 96 & 384). Metabolic activity (viability) is reported as the percentage of treated cells relative to the untreated cells in control wells. Both readings were background subtracted, using the absorbance at time zero. Growth inhibition values (IC₅₀) were determined using a non-linear sigmoidal plot with 4 variable parameters (Minimum, maximum, IC₅₀ value and Hill slope were not fixed). pIC₅₀ (-logIC₅₀), minimum, maximum and Hill slopes were treated as being normally distributed, allowing parametric analyses to be performed. All data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism Statistics Software package (ver. 6.0; San Diego, CA, USA).

2.6. Apoptosis with Annexin-V-fluos Staining and Flow Cytometry Analyses

The ability of aspirin analogues to induce apoptosis was tested in vitro using a commercially available Annexin-V-Fluos cell staining kit. Briefly, cells were cultured in 25 cm² flask until they reached ~50% confluency. Cells were incubated for the indicated time with compounds (1 mM). Following incubation, cells were harvested and washed in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) buffer and incubated with labelling solution (100 μ l incubation buffer with 2 μ l Annexin-V-Fluos reagent and 2 µl of propidium iodide (PI) used for $\sim 10^6$ cells) for 10-15 min at room temperature as per the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were further diluted, if required, with HBSS buffer and analysed on a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Facscalibur). A positive control for apoptosis (irinotecan, 25 µM), positive control for necrosis $(H_2O_2, 2 \text{ mM})$ and untreated control cells were included in the experiment for comparison. Flow cytometry settings used were excitation wavelength of 488 nm and bandpass filter of 530 nm for fluorescein (green) detection and for PI, a bandpass filter of 600 nm was employed. Use of the PI counterstain with the principal stain enabled discrimination of viable cells from non-viable cells and apoptotic cells from necrotic cells. Viable cells do not take up either stain whereas nonviable cells take up only PI. Apoptotic cells take up the Annexin-V/ApoTRACE stain but not PI whereas necrotic/late apoptotic cells take up both principal and PI stain. For detection of early apoptosis and late apoptosis/necrosis, cells were gated into three populations: Annexin-/PI- (viable), Annexin+/PI- (early apoptotic), and Annexin+/PI+ (late apoptotic/necrotic).

2.7. Evaluation of Cytotoxicity and Cytostaticity of Compounds

OE21, OE33 and Flo-1 cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^3 , 2×10^4 and, 2×10^4 cells/well respectively, in 96-well plates in their respective basal medium. After 24 h seeding, the cells were treated with compound-containing culture medium for a further 72 h; cells were treated with either aspirin compounds (1 mM), irinotecan (25 μ M), H₂O₂ (2 mM) or vehicle control. The supernatant was removed and adherent cells were harvested and washed with phosphate buffered saline. Cells were then reseeded, at the same density as the initial seeding, in a fresh plate with drug-free medium and allowed to recover for 72 h at 37°C. Following this recovery period, cells were collected by trypsinization and counted

using a Beckman Coulter Z1 cell counter with an orifice of $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ and threshold size of $10 \,\mu\text{m}$.

2.8. Synergy with Conventional Anticancer Drugs

OE33 cells were plated in a 96-well plate at a density of 1.0 X 10^4 cells/well and incubated overnight at 37°C. The cells were then treated with serially diluted concentrations of cisplatin, oxaliplatin, carboplatin, aspirin and its analogues for 72 h [43-47]. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay and the IC₅₀ was calculated for each compound. Combination drug treatments were then performed as above by pairing cisplatin, oxaliplatin or carboplatin with each of the aspirin analogues in a constant ratio design based on the IC_{50} . All assays were performed in duplicates (N=3). CompuSyn software ver. 1.0 published and distributed by ComboSyn Inc., (Paramus, NJ., USA) was used to calculate the Combination Index (CI) and Dose Reduction Index (DRI). This produces multiple drug dose-effect calculations using the Median Effect methods described by Chou and Talalay [48]. The CI is the quantitative measure of the degree of drug interaction in terms of additive effect (CI = 1), synergism (CI < 1), or antagonism (CI > 1) while the DRI is the measure of favourable dose reduction when two drugs are used in combination. Graphpad Prism 7 software (ver. 7.0a, April 2016) was used to calculate the IC_{50} of individual compounds and their respective combinations. A description and interpretation of synergism or antagonism in combination studies using the CI method of analysis (adapted from [49, 50]) is presented (Table 2).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Aspirin Analogues Show Anti-proliferative Activity Against Oesophageal Cancer Cells

Using the MTT cell viability assay a number of aspirin analogues including 'diaspirins': PN508, PN510, PN511, PN512 and PN517; 'benzoylsalicylates': PN514, PN524, PN525, PN528 and PN529; and 'carbonates', PN526 and PN527, were screened for their efficacy to kill oesophageal cancer cells (Fig. 1). Aspirin and salicylic acid (SA) were included in the experiment as controls. Assay results demonstrated that the benzoylsalicylates; PN524, PN528 and PN529 to be substantially more potent in killing OE21 and OE33 cells than PN508 and aspirin itself (Fig. 1A, 1B). PN517 was not as effective as the benzoylsalicylates, exhibiting modest cytotoxicity to these cells. Conversely, the Flo-1 cell line was resistant to most of the compounds tested other than PN528 and PN529 (Fig. 1C). PN514 was more potent in killing OE21 cells, whereas PN512 was more cytotoxic to OE33 cells. Other compounds including PN510, PN511, PN525, PN526 and PN527 failed to show robust cytotoxicity towards the three cancer cell lines (Fig. 1) and were not investigated further. MTT analysis of aspirin analogues on the non-cancerous primary cell type NOK2101 showed a rather complex and differential response compared to cancer cells. Aspirin and SA showed little or no killing of NOK2101 cells at the concentration tested. The differential toxicities of PN528 and PN529 is noteworthy. They were most effective against the oesophageal adenocarcinoma OE33 and Flo-1, causing over 75% reduction in the MTT assay. In contrast, they only reduced NOK2101 proliferation

Table 2. Combination index grading.

Combination Index (CI)	Graded Symbols	Interpretation
<0.1	+++++	Very strong synergism
0.1-0.3	+++++	Strong synergism
0.3-0.7	+++	Synergism
0.7-0.85	++	Moderate synergism
0.85-0.9	+	Slight synergism
0.9-1.1	•	Nearly additive
1.1-1.2	_	Slight antagonism
1.2-1.45		Moderate antagonism
1.45-3.3		Antagonism
3.3-10		Strong antagonism
>10		Very strong antagonism
	Simplified CI values and their interpretation	
<0.8	Synergism	
0.8-1.2	Additive	-
>1.2	Antagonism	

В

100



OE33 (oesophageal adenocarcinoma)







Fig. (1). Screening aspirin analogues for their potency towards oesophageal cancer cell lines and a non-cancerous keratinocyte cell line *in vitro*. (A) OE21, (B) OE33, (C) Flo-1 and (D) NOK2101 cells were cultured for 24 h and the cells were then treated with compound-containing (1 mM) culture medium for 72 h. The anti-proliferative effects were then measured. Data are plotted as mean \pm SEM (N=3). The effect of aspirin and salicylic acid (SA) is included for comparison. (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

by under 35% and of the analogues, PN512 and PN514 showed the least cytotoxicity to the NOK2101 cells.

Using MTT data, dose response curves were determined and IC_{50} values were calculated for each of the aspirin analogues (Table 1). The compounds were found to suppress the proliferation of OC cells in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown). PN529 was the most cytotoxic compound, with IC_{50} values in the range of 100-400 μ M. In comparison, aspirin ranged between 1 mM and 7 mM. Determination of the IC_{50} values indicates that OE21 cells are more sensitive to these compounds.

3.2. Aspirin Analogue-mediated Cancer Cell Killing is through Induction of Apoptosis

To examine whether treatment with aspirin analogues resulted in cell death through apoptosis or necrosis, treated cells were stained and subsequently imaged using fluorescence microscopy. Controls for apoptosis (irinotecan) and necrosis (H_2O_2) were also used. The quantitative assay utilized staining of apoptotic cells with Annexin-V, which shows affinity to phosphatidylserine on the cell surface, with analysis using flow cytometry [51]. Following 48 h treatments, analysis suggests that most of the analogues exert their toxicity through the induction of apoptosis (Fig. 2). However, some necrosis was observed upon incubation with PN528 and PN529 (data not shown). Flow cytometric analysis at 72 h revealed PN524 and PN517 to be the leading analogues inducing apoptosis in OE21 and OE33 cells; in contrast PN528 and PN529 were found to induce more apoptosis in Flo-1 cells (Fig. 2).

3.3. Aspirin Analogues are Cytotoxic in Nature

A cell recovery assay was performed to examine whether treatment with aspirin and aspirin analogues induced cytostasis or cell death. In general, treatment with irinotecan or H_2O_2 was detrimental to the cancer cells at the concentrations tested with cells failing to recover during the recovery period. OE21 cells failed to thrive following treatment with compounds (Fig. 3). In contrast OE33 cells treated with aspirin appear to grow more rapidly upon its removal. This trend may also be present in Flo-1 cells. In contrast, PN528 and PN529 showed cytotoxic effects towards all OC cells tested. PN517 and PN524 appeared to be more cytotoxic to OE21 cells and cytostatic towards Flo-1 cells.

3.4. Combination Index Testing of Platins and Salicylates in OE33 and SW480 Cancer Cells

We aimed to establish what effect combining aspirin and aspirin analogues with platins would have against the OE33 (chosen given the intermediary nature of these cells' responses to compounds) and the SW480 colorectal cancer cell line. Identifying a means to decrease the dose needed for these platinum compounds is of importance in clinical care because of their narrow therapeutic index [52]. Given the findings reported herein regarding toxicity, specificity and apoptotic and necrotic potential, we investigated the capacity of platins to synergise with selected compounds including aspirin (PN502), PN517, PN524 and PN528.

Cisplatin in combination with aspirin [1:100 ratio] at the ED_{50} against the OE33 oesophageal cancer cells had an an-



Fig. (2). Compound induced apoptosis in oesophageal cancer cell lines. Following 72 h treatment with compounds (1 mM), cells were stained with Annexin-V-Fluos and counter-stained with PI and the population of cells undergoing apoptosis was measured by FACS analysis. Irinotecan (IRI) and H₂O₂ were used as positive controls for apoptosis and necrosis, respectively. The effect of aspirin and DMSO (vehicle control) is included for comparison. Data plotted as mean \pm SEM (N=3). Two-tailed one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (comparing data against vehicle control) showed * $p \le 0.05$ and ** $p \le 0.01$. (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

tagonistic effect. Synergistic effects were observed however, when cisplatin was combined with PN517 [1:50] with the ED_{50} for cisplatin reduced from 5.4 μ M to 1.5 μ M. Synergistic effects at ED_{50} , ED_{75} and ED_{90} were also observed when

Aspirin, Aspirin Analogues and Oesophageal Cancer



Fig. (3). Recovery of oesophageal cancer cells following withdrawal of aspirin and aspirin compounds. OE21, OE33 and Flo-1 cells were treated with compound containing culture medium (1mM) for 72 h; viable/adherent cells were harvested, counted and re-seeded with compound-free-medium. After 72 h of recovery, cell counts were determined. The effect of aspirin, DMSO (vehicle control), irinotecan (IRI) and H₂O₂ is included for comparison. Data plotted as mean \pm SEM (N=3, performed in duplicates). Two-tailed one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (comparing data against vehicle control) showed * $p \le 0.05$ and ** $p \le 0.01$. (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

cisplatin was combined with PN524 [1:50] against the oesophageal cell line and was accompanied by a reduction in the ED₅₀ of cisplatin from 5.4 μ M to 2.3 μ M. The ED₅₀ for cisplatin when used in combination with PN517 [1:50] was reduced from 5.4 μ M to 1.5 μ M while that of PN517 was reduced from 600 μ M to 75 μ M. The ED₅₀ was reduced from 5.4 μ M and 408 μ M to 2.3 μ M and 113 μ M respectively for cisplatin and PN524 [1:50] in combination. The cisplatin and PN528 combination resulted in a decrease in ED₅₀ for cisplatin from 5.4 µM to 3.5 µM. Oxaliplatin in combination with aspirin [1:20] had synergistic effects at ED₅₀ and below, which indicates synergy at low doses with little cytotoxic effects, but this is not relevant in cancer therapy. Oxaliplatin in combination with PN517 and PN524 against the OE33 oesophageal cancer cell line had antagonistic effects at ED₇₅ and ED₉₀ with synergy at ED₅₀ and below. Antagonistic effects were also observed when carboplatin was used in combination with aspirin and PN517, but in combination with PN524 [1:20], however, had a synergistic effect at ED_{50} and below with combinations having antagonistic effects at ED_{75} , and ED₉₀. The ED₅₀ of carboplatin when combined with PN524 was reduced from 40.8 μ M to 11.7 μ M, which is an approximately 4-fold reduction in dose to kill 50% of the oesophageal cancer cells. PN524 in combination with oxaliplatin and carboplatin had synergistic effects at ED₅₀ and lower. Antagonism was noted when oxalipatin and carboplatin were tested in combination with PN528, although slight/moderate synergy was observed with cisplatin at ED₇₅ and ED₉₀ respectively.

In contrast, in the SW480 CRC cell line, synergy/strong synergy was observed when aspirin was combined with cisplatin and oxaliplatin. Moreover, synergy was observed when cisplatin was combined with PN517 [1:10] with a 5fold decrease in the ED_{50} for cisplatin. Remarkably, the ED_{50} for oxaliplatin had a 7-fold decrease when combined with PN517 resulting in a strong synergistic effect which was also apparent at ED₇₅ and ED₉₀. Cisplatin in combination with PN524 [1:40] had synergistic effects with about a 7-fold decrease in ED₅₀ for cisplatin. The synergistic effects of these combinations increased at higher dose, which is advantageous in chemotherapy (data not shown). However, although there was a synergistic effect when oxaliplatin was combined with PN524 [1:8] at ED₅₀, the effect regressed to antagonism with increased dose (ED₇₅ and ED₉₀), a result that is not likely to produce the maximum cytotoxicity required in cancer therapy [53]. Moreover, the dose of carboplatin at ED₅₀ increased rather than decreased when used in combination with the aspirin analogue PN524 (101 µM alone to 152 μ M in combination); this increase in ED₅₀ of defeats one of the main reasons of combination therapy, which is to achieve a decrease in effective dose in order to reduce or alleviate side effects. Some of the combinations showed strong antagonism due to very high CI values; this is possible in some combinations as the synergy scale is from 1 to 0 and the antagonism scale is from 1 to infinity [53]. The differences in outcomes for each drug combination in different cell lines may be due to differences in target specificity of the compounds [54].

For clarity, a summary of the effects of all the combinations as determined by their CI values against OE33 oesophageal and SW480 colorectal cancer cell lines calculated utilising CompuSyn can be found in Table **3**.

Compounds	Cisplatin (CDDP)			Oxaliplatin (OX)			Carboplatin (CB)		
	CI values at								
	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀
PN502	-	-	•	+++					-
PN517	+++	+++	+++	++					
PN524	+++	+++	+++	++			++		
PN528	-	+	++						

Table 3. Synergistic interaction with platins of aspirin and aspirin analogues to oesophageal and colorectal cancer cells.

Table **3A**. Summary of drug combination CI values in OE33 oesophageal cancer cell line. Drug combinations with CI values at effective dose for 50% kill of the cell population (ED_{50}) , 75% of the population (ED_{75}) and 90% of the population (ED_{90}) . Effect for each combination is synergistic [+], additive [•] or antagonistic [-].

Compounds	Cisplatin (CDDP)			Oxaliplatin (OX)			Carboplatin (CB)		
	CI values at								
	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀	ED ₅₀	ED ₇₅	ED ₉₀
PN502	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++			
PN517	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+	
PN524	+	+++	+++	+++			•	+	+++

Table **3B**. Summary of drug combination CI values in SW480 colorectal cancer cell line. Drug combinations with CI values at effective dose for 50% kill of the cell population (ED_{50}) , 75% of the population (ED_{75}) and 90% of the population (ED_{90}) . Effect for each combination is synergistic [+], additive [•] or antagonistic [-].

4. DISCUSSION

Given the evidence that aspirin may be chemoprotective against OC, we have investigated the cytotoxicity of aspirin analogues to the OC cell lines OE21, OE33 and Flo-1. The aspirin analogues fumaryldiaspirin (PN517) and the benzoylsalicylates (PN524, PN528 and PN529), were observed to be more toxic against the OC cell lines than aspirin. Of note are PN528 and PN529, which were particularly effective against oesophageal adenocarcinoma cell lines, OE33 and Flo-1, while showing reduced toxicity towards non-cancerous NOK2101 cells. These compounds appear to act by inducing apoptosis. Some necrosis, albeit not statistically significant in our studies was evident with PN528 and PN529. This should not be attributed to late apoptosis as the same was not observed when irinotecan was used.

We obtained surprising results when looking at the ability of cells to recover following removal of the drugs. The "rebound effect" is well understood with aspirin when looking at the cardiovascular effects [55]. An increase in cardiovascular events by 30% can be observed if low-dose aspirin is stopped. We are unaware of data looking at the incidence of cancer following such removal of treatment. Our observations with aspirin suggest tumours may grow more vigorously should treatment be halted. In contrast removal of PN528 and PN529 in particular showed they were largely cytotoxic and did not permit any rebound growth. The OE21 (SSC) and OE33 (ADC) cell lines were more sensitive to the aspirin analogues compared to the Flo-1 cell line (ADC). Utilising a non-cancerous oesophageal primary cell line NOK2101 revealed that analogues PN528 and PN529 had some selective toxicity to cancer cell lines, whereas PN508, PN517 and PN524 also induced cell death in NOK2101.

This issue of lack of specificity spurred us on to investigate whether synergistic action with platins commonly used in cancer treatment with the aspirin analogues and with aspirin itself was possible. In the OE33 oesophageal cancer cell line, none of the platinum compounds synergised with aspirin. Although there was synergy when oxaliplatin and aspirin were combined at ED_{50} , this effect regressed at ED_{75} and ED_{90} and thus is not favourable in the treatment of cancer. In gastric cancer cells however, cell growth was significantly inhibited when cisplatin was used in combination with aspirin [56]. In our studies, the combination of cisplatin and PN517 maintained its synergistic effects through ED₅₀, ED₇₅ and ED_{90} , which make this a promising combination for the treatment of oesophageal cancer, as a synergistic effect between these two compounds is maintained at different dose effects. In contrast, oxaliplatin and carboplatin in combination with PN517 largely exhibited antagonistic effects; although there was moderate synergy between oxaliplatin and PN517 at ED₅₀, the positive effect decreased as doses increased (at ED_{75} and ED_{90}), which is not favourable in the treatment of cancer because maximum cytotoxicity against the cancer cells is critical to effective therapy [53]. Cisplatin and PN524 exhibited synergy at ED₅₀, ED₇₅ and ED₉₀. Although oxaliplatin and carboplatin showed synergistic effects with PN524 at ED₅₀, the effects declined at ED₇₅ and ED₉₀ respectively. Slight synergy was observed when cisplatin was tested in combination with PN528.

In the SW480 CRC cell line substantive synergy was observed when aspirin (PN502) and PN517 were combined

with cisplatin and oxaliplatin with a decrease in ED_{50} for these platinum compounds. Surprisingly, antagonistic effects were observed when aspirin was used in combination with carboplatin. PN524 synergised with cisplatin. Given the common use of oxaliplatin in the treatment of colorectal cancer and with a clinically significant side effect of oxaliplatin being peripheral neuropathy [52], a reduction in its ED₅₀ as a result of combination with aspirin and PN517 and PN524 may have clinical utility: a reduction in drug concentration will result in toxicity reduction and also delay or minimize the induction of drug resistance [53]. It is worth pointing out that whilst the concentration of the compounds tested in the experiments outlined may seem rather high in comparison to other chemotherapeutic agents, a range of 1-5 mM or above is not uncommon in in vitro and in vivo experiments investigating the molecular action of aspirin or salicylate [35, 54, 57, 58].

CONCLUSION

Notwithstanding the uncertainties regarding the precise molecular targets of aspirin and indeed, the aspirin analogues tested herein, we present evidence of synergistic potential with platinum compounds (particularly cisplatin) and suggest these findings should be extended to in vivo analyses in more clinically relevant models given the somewhat refractory nature of oesophageal and colorectal cancer. We find that the novel aspirin analogues were significantly more potent against oesophageal cancer cell lines than aspirin itself, and that the compounds can induce apoptosis. The inability of the cancer cells to recover following treatment with these analogues suggests that these drugs can be cytotoxic and not cytostatic in nature. The OE21 cell line (of SCC origin) was found to be the most sensitive cell line, whereas Flo-1 cells (ADC) were found to be more resistant. Perhaps most significantly, we also find that selected aspirin analogues can synergise with platins against the OE33 and SW480 cancer cell lines, and that aspirin can synergise with cisplatin and oxaliplatin against the SW480 cell line.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADC	=	Adenocarcinoma
BO	=	Barrett's oesophagus
CRC	=	Colorectal cancer
ED	=	Effective dose
IC	=	Inhibitory concentration
OC	=	Oesophageal cancer
SA	=	Salicylic acid
SSC	=	Squamous cell carcinoma

ETHICS **APPROVAL** AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals/Humans were used for studies that are base of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA MATERIALS

Data is available at: https://mynotebook.labarchives.com/ share/%2520%2520(I Nicholl wlv ac uk)/MjAuOHw1Mj Y2MDkvMTYvVHJlZU5vZGUvNjY1MjE2NTI0fDUyLjg.

FUNDING

This study was funded by the Research Institute in Healthcare Sciences (RIHS), University of Wolverhampton.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Dr. CJ Perry and ID Nicholl are named inventors for a patent for F-DiA as an anti-colorectal cancer agent; US patent # 9,351,980.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Leda Burdzaki for assistance with preparation of the references, and Dr V. Kannappan for discussions regarding the combinatorial index and synergistic interactions. RJ, AB, and CJP performed the experiments. RJ, AB, AD, CJP, STS and IDN analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68(6): 394-424. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492] [PMID: 30207593]
- [2] Layke JC, Lopez PP. Esophageal cancer: a review and update. Am Fam Physician 2006; 73(12): 2187-94. [PMID: 16836035]
- Reid BJ, Li X, Galipeau PC, Vaughan TL. Barrett's oesophagus [3] and oesophageal adenocarcinoma: time for a new synthesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2010; 10(2): 87-101. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc2773] [PMID: 20094044]
- [4] Siewert JR, Ott K. Are squamous and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus the same disease? Semin Radiat Oncol 2007; 17(1): 38-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2006.09.007] [PMID: 171851961
- [5] Edgren G, Adami HO, Weiderpass E, Nyrén O. A global assessment of the oesophageal adenocarcinoma epidemic. Gut 2013; 62(10): 1406-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302412] [PMID: 22917659]
- Thun MJ, Namboodiri MM, Calle EE, Flanders WD, Heath CW Jr. [6] Aspirin use and risk of fatal cancer. Cancer Res 1993; 53(6): 1322-
- 7. [PMID: 8443812] Farrow DC, Vaughan TL, Hansten PD, et al. Use of aspirin and [7] other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of esophageal and gastric cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1998; 7(2): 97-102. [PMID: 9488582]
- Funkhouser EM, Sharp GB. Aspirin and reduced risk of esophageal [8] carcinoma. Cancer 1995; 76(7): 1116-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19951001)76:7<1116::AID-CNCR2820760703>3.0.CO;2-I] [PMID: 8630885]
- [9] Corley DA, Kerlikowske K, Verma R, Buffler P. Protective association of aspirin/NSAIDs and esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 2003; 124(1): 47-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/gast.2003.50008] [PMID: 12512029]
- [10] Bosetti C, Rosato V, Gallus S, Cuzick J, La Vecchia C. Aspirin and cancer risk: a quantitative review to 2011. Ann Oncol 2012; 23(6): 1403-15

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mds113] [PMID: 22517822]

- [11] Cuzick J, Thorat MA, Bosetti C, et al. Estimates of benefits and harms of prophylactic use of aspirin in the general population. Ann Oncol 2015; 26(1): 47-57.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdu225] [PMID: 25096604]
- [12] Vaughan TL, Dong LM, Blount PL, et al. Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and risk of neoplastic progression in Barrett's oesophagus: a prospective study. Lancet Oncol 2005; 6(12): 945-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(05)70431-9] [PMID: 16321762]
- Kostadinov RL, Kuhner MK, Li X, et al. NSAIDs modulate clonal evolution in Barrett's esophagus. PLoS Genet 2013; 9(6), e1003553. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003553]
 [PMID: 23785299]
- [14] Colotta F, Allavena P, Sica A, Garlanda C, Mantovani A. Cancerrelated inflammation, the seventh hallmark of cancer: links to genetic instability. Carcinogenesis 2009; 30(7): 1073-81. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp127] [PMID: 19468060]
- [15] Rubio CA. Antitumoral activity of indomethacin on experimental esophageal tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 1984; 72(3): 705-7.
 [PMID: 6583454]
- [16] Rizvi S, Demars CJ, Comba A, et al. Combinatorial chemoprevention reveals a novel smoothened-independent role of GL11 in esophageal carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 2010; 70(17): 6787-96.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0197] [PMID: 20647328]
- [17] Levy GN. Prostaglandin H synthases, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and colon cancer. FASEB J 1997; 11(4): 234-47. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.11.4.9068612] [PMID: 9068612]
- [18] Tegeder I, Pfeilschifter J, Geisslinger G. Cyclooxygenaseindependent actions of cyclooxygenase inhibitors. FASEB J 2001; 15(12): 2057-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.01-0390rev] [PMID: 11641233]
- [19] Elwood PC, Gallagher AM, Duthie GG, Mur LA, Morgan G. Aspirin, salicylates, and cancer. Lancet 2009; 373(9671): 1301-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60243-9] [PMID: 19328542]
- [20] Gurpinar E, Grizzle WE, Piazza GA. COX-Independent Mechanisms of Cancer Chemoprevention by Anti-Inflammatory Drugs. Front Oncol 2013; 3: 181. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2013.00181] [PMID: 23875171]
- [21] Vane JR, Botting RM. The mechanism of action of aspirin. Thromb Res 2003; 110(5-6): 255-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0049-3848(03)00379-7] [PMID: 14592543]
- [22] Williams CS, Mann M, DuBois RN. The role of cyclooxygenases in inflammation, cancer, and development. Oncogene 1999; 18(55): 7908-16.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203286] [PMID: 10630643]
 [23] Greenhough A, Smartt HJ, Moore AE, *et al.* The COX-2/PGE2 pathway: key roles in the hallmarks of cancer and adaptation to the tumour microenvironment. Carcinogenesis 2009; 30(3): 377-86.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp014] [PMID: 19136477]
 [24] Shirvani VN, Ouatu-Lascar R, Kaur BS, Omary MB, Triadafilopoulos G. Cyclooxygenase 2 expression in Barrett's esophagus and adenocarcinoma: Ex vivo induction by bile salts and acid exposure. Gastroenterology 2000; 118(3): 487-96.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(00)70254-X] [PMID: 10702199]
- [25] Wilson KT, Fu S, Ramanujam KS, Meltzer SJ. Increased expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and cyclooxygenase-2 in Barrett's esophagus and associated adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res 1998; 58(14): 2929-34. [PMID: 9679948]
- Yu HP, Shi LY, Lu WH, Su YH, Li YY, Xu SQ. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in human esophageal cancer and in vitro inhibition by a specific COX-2 inhibitor, NS-398. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004; 19(6): 638-42.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1746.2004.03345.x] [PMID: 15151617]
- [27] Zimmermann KC, Sarbia M, Weber AA, Borchard F, Gabbert HE, Schrör K. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in human esophageal carcinoma. Cancer Res 1999; 59(1): 198-204. [PMID: 9892207]
- [28] Gupta RA, Tejada LV, Tong BJ, et al. Cyclooxygenase-1 is overexpressed and promotes angiogenic growth factor production in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2003; 63(5): 906-11. [PMID: 12615701]

- [29] Daikoku T, Wang D, Tranguch S, *et al.* Cyclooxygenase-1 is a potential target for prevention and treatment of ovarian epithelial cancer. Cancer Res 2005; 65(9): 3735-44.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3814] [PMID: 15867369]
- [30] Kopp E, Ghosh S. Inhibition of NF-kappa B by sodium salicylate and aspirin. Science 1994; 265(5174): 956-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.8052854] [PMID: 8052854]
- [31] Yin MJ, Yamamoto Y, Gaynor RB. The anti-inflammatory agents aspirin and salicylate inhibit the activity of I(kappa)B kinase-beta. Nature 1998; 396(6706): 77-80.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/23948] [PMID: 9817203]
- [32] Thoms HC, Dunlop MG, Stark LA. p38-mediated inactivation of cyclin D1/cyclin-dependent kinase 4 stimulates nucleolar translocation of RelA and apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells. Cancer Res 2007; 67(4): 1660-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1038] [PMID: 17308107]
- [33] Yu HG, Huang JA, Yang YN, et al. The effects of acetylsalicylic acid on proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion of cyclooxygenase-2 negative colon cancer cells. Eur J Clin Invest 2002; 32(11): 838-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2362.2002.01080.x] [PMID: 12423325]
- [34] Din FV, Valanciute A, Houde VP, Zibrova D, Green KA, Sakamoto K, et al. Aspirin inhibits mTOR signaling, activates AMPactivated protein kinase, and induces autophagy in colorectal cancer cells. Gastroenterology 2012; 142(7): 1504-.
- [35] Hawley SA, Fullerton MD, Ross FA, et al. The ancient drug salicylate directly activates AMP-activated protein kinase. Science 2012; 336(6083): 918-22.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1215327] [PMID: 22517326]

- [36] Liao X, Lochhead P, Nishihara R, et al. Aspirin use, tumor PIK3CA mutation, and colorectal-cancer survival. N Engl J Med 2012; 367(17): 1596-606.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1207756] [PMID: 23094721]
- [37] Deb J, Dibra H, Shan S, et al. Activity of aspirin analogues and vanillin in a human colorectal cancer cell line. Oncol Rep 2011; 26(3): 557-65. [PMID: 21617874]
- [38] Claudius AK, Kankipati CS, Kilari RS, *et al.* Identification of aspirin analogues that repress NF-κB signalling and demonstrate antiproliferative activity towards colorectal cancer in vitro and in vivo. Oncol Rep 2014; 32(4): 1670-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/or.2014.3373] [PMID: 25109257]
- [39] Zaugg RH, Walder JA, Walder RY, Steele JM, Klotz IM. Modification of hemoglobin with analogs of aspirin. J Biol Chem 1980; 255(7): 2816-21. [PMID: 7358711]
- [40] Rockett JC, Larkin K, Darnton SJ, Morris AG, Matthews HR. Five newly established oesophageal carcinoma cell lines: phenotypic and immunological characterization. Br J Cancer 1997; 75(2): 258-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1997.42] [PMID: 9010035]
- [41] Hughes SJ, Nambu Y, Soldes OS, et al. Fas/APO-1 (CD95) is not translocated to the cell membrane in esophageal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res 1997; 57(24): 5571-8. [PMID: 9407969]
- [42] Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 1983; 65(1-2): 55-63.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4] [PMID: 6606682]
- [43] Chan M, Gravel M, Bramoullé A, et al. Synergy between the NAMPT inhibitor GMX1777(8) and pemetrexed in non-small cell lung cancer cells is mediated by PARP activation and enhanced NAD consumption. Cancer Res 2014; 74(21): 5948-54.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0809] [PMID: 25145669]
- [44] Luo HY, Wei W, Shi YX, et al. Cetuximab enhances the effect of oxaliplatin on hypoxic gastric cancer cell lines. Oncol Rep 2010; 23(6): 1735-45. [PMID: 20428833]
- [45] Toscano F, Parmentier B, Fajoui ZE, *et al.* p53 dependent and independent sensitivity to oxaliplatin of colon cancer cells. Biochem Pharmacol 2007; 74(3): 392-406.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2007.05.001] [PMID: 17559811]
- Yan KH, Yao CJ, Chang HY, Lai GM, Cheng AL, Chuang SE. The synergistic anticancer effect of troglitazone combined with aspirin causes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human lung cancer cells. Mol Carcinog 2010; 49(3): 235-46.
 [PMID: 19908241]

- [47] Zhou J, Zhou Y, Yin B, *et al.* 5-Fluorouracil and oxaliplatin modify the expression profiles of microRNAs in human colon cancer cells in vitro. Oncol Rep 2010; 23(1): 121-8. [PMID: 19956872]
- [48] Chou TC, Talalay P. Quantitative analysis of dose-effect relationships: the combined effects of multiple drugs or enzyme inhibitors. Adv Enzyme Regul 1984; 22: 27-55.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0065-2571(84)90007-4] [PMID: 6382953]
- [49] Bijnsdorp IV, Giovannetti E, Peters GJ. Analysis of drug interactions. Methods Mol Biol 2011; 731: 421-34.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-080-5_34] [PMID: 21516426] [50] Chou TC. Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computer-
- ized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies. Pharmacol Rev 2006; 58(3): 621-81. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.58.3.10] [PMID: 16968952]
- [51] Vermes I, Haanen C, Steffens-Nakken H, Reutelingsperger C. A novel assay for apoptosis. Flow cytometric detection of phosphatidylserine expression on early apoptotic cells using fluorescein labelled Annexin V. J Immunol Methods 1995; 184(1): 39-51.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(95)00072-I] [PMID: 7622868]
 [52] Alcindor T, Beauger N. Oxaliplatin: a review in the era of molecularly targeted therapy. Curr Oncol 2011; 18(1): 18-25.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.3747/co.v18i1.708] [PMID: 21331278]
 [53] Chou TC. Drug combination studies and their synergy quantification using the Chou-Talalay method. Cancer Res 2010; 70(2): 440-6.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1947] [PMID: 20068163]

- [54] Din FV, Dunlop MG, Stark LA. Evidence for colorectal cancer cell specificity of aspirin effects on NF kappa B signalling and apoptosis. Br J Cancer 2004; 91(2): 381-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6601913] [PMID: 15188000]
- [55] Sundström J, Hedberg J, Thuresson M, Aarskog P, Johannesen KM, Oldgren J. Low-dose aspirin discontinuation and risk of car-diovascular events: A swedish nationwide, population-based cohort study. Circulation 2017; 136(13): 1183-92.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028321]
 [PMID: 28947478]
- [56] Dong H, Liu G, Jiang B, et al. The effects of aspirin plus cisplatin on SGC7901/CDDP cells in vitro. Biomed Rep 2014; 2(3): 344-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/br.2014.241] [PMID: 24748972]
- [57] Shirakawa K, Wang L, Man N, et al. Salicylate, diflunisal and their metabolites inhibit CBP/p300 and exhibit anticancer activity. eLife 2016; 5: 5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.11156] [PMID: 27244239]
- [58] Schwenger P, Bellosta P, Vietor I, Basilico C, Skolnik EY, Vilcek J. Sodium salicylate induces apoptosis via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase but inhibits tumor necrosis factor-induced c-Jun Nterminal kinase/stress-activated protein kinase activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94(7): 2869-73.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.7.2869] [PMID: 9096313]