Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Highly efficient CRISPR-Cas9-mediated editing identifies novel mechanosensitive microRNA-140 targets in primary human articular chondrocytes



N. Chaudhry † ^a, H. Muhammad † ^a, C. Seidl †, D. Downes ‡, D.A. Young \S , Y. Hao \S , L. Zhu †, T.L. Vincent † *

† Centre for OA Pathogenesis Versus Arthritis, Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, University of Oxford, OX3 7FY, United Kingdom
‡ MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, MRC Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, OX3 9DS, United Kingdom
§ Skeletal Research Group, Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, Central Parkway, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3BZ, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 May 2021 Accepted 14 January 2022

Keywords: Chondrocyte Human Osteoarthritis CRISPR-Cas9 miR-140 Injury

SUMMARY

Objective: MicroRNA 140 (*miR-140*) is a chondrocyte-specific endogenous gene regulator implicated in osteoarthritis (OA). As mechanical injury is a primary aetiological factor in OA, we investigated *miR-140*-dependent mechanosensitive gene regulation using a novel CRISPR-Cas9 methodology in primary human chondrocytes.

Method: Primary (passage 1/2) human OA chondrocytes were isolated from arthroplasty samples (six donors) and transfected with ribonuclear protein complexes or plasmids using single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting *miR-140*, in combination with Cas9 endonuclease. Combinations of sgRNAs and single/double transfections were tested. Gene editing was measured by T7 endonuclease 1 (T7E1) assay. miRNA levels were confirmed by qPCR in chondrocytes and in wild type murine femoral head cartilage after acute injury. Predicted close match off-targets were examined. Mechanosensitive *miR-140* target validation was assessed in 42 injury-associated genes using TaqMan Microfluidic cards in targeted and donor-matched control chondrocytes. Identified targets were examined in RNAseq data from costal chondrocytes from *miR-140^{-/-}* mice.

Results: High efficiency gene editing of *miR-140* (90–98%) was obtained when two sgRNAs were combined with double RNP-mediated CRISPR-Cas9 transfection. *miR-140* levels fell rapidly after femoral cartilage injury. Of the top eight *miR-140* gene targets identified (P < 0.01), we validated three previously identified ones (septin 2, bone morphogenetic protein 2 and fibroblast growth factor 2). Novel targets included *Agrin*, a newly recognised pro-regenerative cartilage agent, and proteins associated with retinoic acid signalling and the primary cilium.

Conclusion: We describe a highly efficient CRISPR-Cas9-mediated strategy for gene editing in primary human chondrocytes and identify several novel mechanosensitive *miR-140* targets of disease relevance. © 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is generally accepted as a biologically driven disease where mechanical stresses, combined with other factors, lead to imbalance between catabolic and repair activities within the joint¹. Multiple tissues of the joint are affected causing degradation

E-mail address: tonia.vincent@kennedy.ox.ac.uk (T.L. Vincent).

hypertrophy of the synovium². The chondrocyte is regarded as a critical disease player; being highly mechanosensitive, able to synthesise its own degradative enzymes and having limited renewal, leading to poor tissue repair (reviewed in³). Multiple pathways have been associated with disease modification and many of these have been validated in murine models where the molecule of interest is deleted or suppressed pharmacologically. In recent years, exploitation of some of these pathways has been explored in clinical trials with some promising results, although we are still a way from disease modification in the clinic⁴.

of the articular cartilage, remodelling of subchondral bone and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2022.01.005

1063-4584/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Address correspondence and reprint requests to: T.L. Vincent, Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, University of Oxford, OX3 7FY, United Kingdom.

^a Both authors contributed equally.

MicroRNAs (*miRs*) are important regulatory molecules in all animal and plant cells. They are small endogenous RNAs, typically 20–25 nucleotides in length, that suppress specific mRNAs, by binding and targeting the mRNA for degradation or by suppressing protein translation⁵. Each *miR* is formed of a 5 prime (5p) and 3 prime (3p) strand that forms a hairpin loop. *miRs* undergo a process of maturation using two key enzymes, Drosha and Dicer, deletion of which have profound developmental phenotypes^{6,7}. The mature *miR* is then loaded onto the Argonaute protein to form the active RNA-induced silencing complex⁸.

miRs are highly regulated in osteoarthritic cartilage and elsewhere within the joint^{9,10} and several have been investigated in vitro and *in vivo* with evidence of disease modification^{11–14}. One of the best studied of these is MicroRNA 140 (miR-140) which is specifically, and highly expressed in articular cartilage^{15,16}. miR-140 is hosted by the gene WW domain containing protein 2 (WWP2), an E3 ubiquitin ligase. Genetic deletion of either miR-140 or Wwp2 in mice leads to skeletal abnormalities and accelerated OA¹⁷. These are thought to occur by affecting catabolic activity of key pathogenic proteinases such as a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motif-5 (ADAMTS5), although through distinct mechanisms^{18–20}. *miR-140* influences chondrocyte proliferation by reducing Sp1, a transcription factor which controls the cell cycle regulator p15²¹ and is modulated by mechanically driven signals²². As mutations affecting miR-140 have also been linked to skeletal abnormalities in humans²³, these studies indicate that *miR-140* plays an important homeostatic and chondroprotective role in the developing skeleton and adult joint. *miRs* can be targeted for therapeutic gain and can themselves be used as therapeutic agents. so their biology is of particular interest²⁴.

Both miRs and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) bind to specific mRNAs to target them for destruction²⁵. siRNAs tend to have single specific targets (designed to recognise foreign mRNAs from invading pathogens) and this has been exploited as an efficient laboratory and in vivo tool. miRs usually have multiple endogenous gene targets through which they modulate cell behaviour. A recent breakthrough molecular approach utilises clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR), an antiviral (antibacteriophage) defense system of prokaryotic cells, forming part of their innate immune response²⁶. CRISPR sequences bind to CRISPRassociated proteins (Cas), such as Cas9, an endonuclease, that cuts the DNA after CRISPR recognises complementary DNA sequences in association with a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM). This technology can be adapted to gene editing in eukaryotic cells by designing a CRISPR-Cas9 construct that has a single guide RNA (sgRNA) that recognizes a complementary DNA target region when it is adjacent to a PAM motif (NGG or NRG, commonly found within the mammalian genome)²⁷. After endonuclease action, subsequent repair of the DNA is attempted by non-homologous end joining (NHEI), which is error prone, and hence usually leads to gene disruption. The advantage of this approach over siRNA-mediated gene suppression, is that it targets genomic DNA and thus gene silencing is permanent and can be transferred to daughter cells.

CRISPR-Cas9 mediated gene editing of primary human chondrocytes is challenging and previous reported transfection efficiencies have varied between 16% and 70%^{28,29}. To explore the effects of gene editing on chondrocyte biology it has been necessary to examine either edited chondrocyte cell lines³⁰, chondrocytes derived from edited induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)³¹ or using clonally expanded edited chondrocytes that are likely to have lost their chondrocytic phenotype.

In this study we optimize a method to use CRISPR-Cas 9 to drive deletion of *miR-140* in primary human articular chondrocytes with high efficiency and without affecting *WWP2* expression. We examine the regulation of *miR-140* upon *ex vivo* murine cartilage

injury and explore the regulation of a number of previously described and novel targets that are relevant to chondrocyte mechanobiology and OA pathogenesis.

Methods

Human tissue: Osteoarthritic human articular chondrocytes were isolated from tissue obtained from individuals undergoing unicompartmental (UKA), or total knee replacement (TKR). There were no exclusion criteria. Samples, Kellgren and Lawrence grades 3–4, were obtained from the Oxford Musculoskeletal Biobank and were collected with informed donor consent in full compliance with national and institutional ethical requirements, the UK Human Tissue Act, and the Declaration of Helsinki (HTA Licence 12,217 and Oxford REC C 09/H0606/11).

sgRNA design: For gene editing, the ALT-R[®] CRISPR-Cas9 system from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA, USA) was applied. The Cas9 protein, trans-activating CRISPR RNA (tracrRNA) and CRISPR RNA (crRNA) were all acquired from the same company. To form a functional sgRNA duplex, 3 µl of tracrRNA (5 nmol) were mixed with 3 µl of target-specific crRNA (2 nmol) in 94 µl IDT nuclease free duplex buffer and RNA quantified by nanodrop. While the universal tracrRNA forms the backbone, the crRNA is custom designed and target-specific. The required amount was then incubated for 5 min at 95°C and slowly annealed at room temperature for 10 min. Off and on-targets were predicted using a combination of two software packages: IDT (https://eu.idtdna.com/pages) and SANGER - https://www.sanger.ac.uk/htgt/wge/find_off_targets_by_ seq.

Transfections: For RNP transfections, sgRNA (400 ng in total) was complexed with Cas9 (1 μ g) for 5 min in 50ul reduced serum medium (Opti-MEM, Gibco, NY, USA). Cas9 Plus reagent (2 μ l) was added before incubation. Cas9 Plus is part of Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX (Invitrogen, CA, USA). In another tube, Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX (3.5 μ l) was added to Opti-MEM (50 μ l), and incubated for 5 min. The Opti-MEM media containing the sgRNA/Cas9 complex was carefully added to the Opti-MEM containing Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX and incubated for another 10 min before slowly pipetting the Cas9/sgRNA/Lipofectamine into the cell media. For double transfection, cells were left for 48 h, exchanged into 10%FBS/DMEM for 24 h, then a second round of transfection by addition of freshly prepared Opti-MEM media containing the sgRNA/Cas9 complex/Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX was performed. Cells were incubated in 10%FBS/DMEM for a further 48 h before testing.

T7 Endonuclease 1 (T7E1) assay: DNA was first amplified by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using a high-fidelity DNA Polymerase (Q5[®] Hot Start High-Fidelity Polymerase; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 2). The T7 Endonuclease 1 (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, USA) recognises and cleaves non-perfectly matched DNA such as heteroduplexes and nicked DNA. In a first step, DNA is denatured at 95°C for 5 min, then allowed to reanneal by slowly cooling it down (95 - 85°C: -2°C/s; 85 - 25°C: -0.1°C/s), allowing heteroduplex formation between wild-type DNA and CRISPR-Cas9-mutated DNA. 2 units of T7E1, which recognizes and cleaves mismatched DNA, was added in a final step to digest heteroduplexes for 45 min at 37°C.

Statistical analysis: GraphPad Prism 9.2.1 was used for all statistical analyses. Data are presented as mean \pm 95% confidence intervals. Normality of data was tested using Shapiro–Wilk Criteria. Data were determined to be normally distributed unless specified otherwise. For statistical significance between two groups we applied either a *t*-test, paired (for matched donor samples) or unpaired. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted when comparing more than two groups, followed by Tukey's test

(when comparing means with every other mean) or Dunnett's test (when comparing means with control mean) for comparison between the groups. RT-qPCR expression was determined by applying log 2 formula $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ using housekeeping genes *RNU24* (for microRNA) or *RPLP0* (pre-printed on customised microfluidic cards). Where relevant statistical significance was defined by a *P* value of <0.05.

Results

We first assessed the impact of two different transfection methods on isolated human osteoarthritic chondrocytes (OA hACs). Passage 1 hACs were transfected either with pX330 or using a Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. The pX330 plasmid is an ~8.5 kb plasmid with the coding sequence for Cas9 and a targeting sgRNA subcloned into it. The RNP complex consisted of the Cas9 protein and targeting sgRNA. RNP transfected and untreated control OA hACs exhibited continuous growth and increased confluency at 24 h and 48 h post transfection. However, plasmid transfected OA hACs appeared to stop proliferating and exhibited high numbers of non-adherent, typan blue positive cells indicating toxicity (Supplementary Fig. 1(A) and (B)). Immunoblots confirmed that RNP transfection of OA hACs with Cas9 had been successful post transfection (Supplementary Fig. 1(C)).

Before exploring the role of *miR*-140 in hACs, we first confirmed that both arms of *miR*-140 (140-5p and *miR*-140-3p), were expressed in cells taken from OA cartilage samples from several different donors (each donor represented by a different colour) (Supplementary Fig. 2). *miR*-140-5p levels were slightly higher than *miR*-140-3p (P = 0.0445).

To make the CRISPR-Cas9 RNP, four different single guide RNAs (sgRNAs), with predicted high on-target and low off-target scores,

using IDT software (https://eu.idtdna.com/), were designed to target the hairpin structure of *miR-140*, which sits within an intron of WWP2 [Fig. 1(A)]. Scores for each were as follows: L1 on-target 58, off-target 0; L2 on-target 62, off-target 59; L3 on-target 77, offtarget 79; L4 on-target 40, off-target 93. From these results L2 and L3 were predicted to provide the best combination of on and offtargets. Each sgRNA was made up of a universal tracrRNA and a target specific crRNA. *miR-140* targeting sgRNAs were complexed with Cas9 as RNPs and transfected using Lipofectamine CRISPRMax. A 439 base pairs (bp) long PCR product was amplified using miR-140 flanking primers (Fig. 1(B), dominant band running at ~450bp). The T7 Endonuclease 1 (T7E1) assay was used to assess efficiency of CRISPR-Cas9 mediated targeting. The T7E1 assay recognises mismatched regions in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and cleaves the DNA at this site to generate smaller products (in this case approximately 180 and 260 bp). By T7E1 assay all four sgRNAs induced DNA fragmentation indicating successful DNA targeting, with sgRNA L4 appearing to be the least efficient [Fig. 2(B)]. This was in keeping with the low IDT scores for L4.

The impact of single or combination sgRNAs was next examined after single or double transfection. *miR-140* was targeted using sgRNA L3 (which had the highest IDT scores) or using the combination of either sgRNAs L2 and L3, or sgRNAs L1, L2 and L3, ensuring that the final concentration of sgRNA remained constant across groups. Three different controls were included: a NT sgRNA control (NT), Lipofectamine (LF) and Cas9 treated cells (no sgRNA) (LF and Cas9), as well as completely untreated cells (untreated). For each donor, each experimental condition was performed either in duplicate or triplicate. DNA cleavage was assessed by the T7E1 assay, after amplifying the 439 bp product described in Fig. 1. Gene editing was confirmed for each set of targeting sgRNAs [Fig. 2(A)] with the suggestion of improved efficiency after double



CRISPR-Cas9 RNP transfection in primary hACs: Sequence of genomic *miR-140* hairpin structure with positions of sgRNAs (L1, L2, L3, L4). Red arrows indicate the predicted cutting sites which cut between the 3^{rd} and 4^{th} bases from the PAM (underlined) (A). The amplified products (around 439bp) were assessed using T7E1 assay. Edited (mismatched) DNA is seen to fragment at around the predicted sizes of 180 and 260bp (B). NT, non-targeted sgRNA control. Representative agarose gel shown. n = 2.



Double transfection of CRISPR-Cas9 with RNP enhances gene editing efficiencies in hACs. hACs underwent single or double transfection targeting individual sgRNA (L3) or combination sgRNAs (L3+L2 or L3+L2+1). Lipofectamine + Cas9 + Non-targeting sgRNA (NT), LF and Cas9 treated cells without sgRNA (LF and Cas9), or untreated cells (untreated), served as controls (A–F). T7E1 assay (A, C) and qPCR for *miR-140-*3p and *miR-140-*5p from extracted RNA (*B*, *D*) from one donor. Error bars are determined by technical (well) replicates (n = 3). Double transfection with single or combination sgRNAs was performed in a further six independent donor cells (each donor colour-coded). qPCR was performed on extracted RNA for *miR-140-*5p and *miR-140-*3p. Gene expression, a surrogate marker of editing efficiency, was normalized to NT sgRNA control and expressed relative to *RNU24*. N = 6 biological replicates (E, F). Statistical testing was performed on the raw delta CT values using the Bonferroni-Dunn method with corrections for multiple comparison.

transfection [Fig. 2(C)]. The impact of sgRNA on *miR-140* gene expression (a surrogate read out of editing efficiency) was examined in the same samples by qPCR. 50-60% reduction in gene expression of *miR-140-3p* [Fig. 2(B)] and *mir-140-5p* [Fig. 2(D)] was apparent after single transfection, and this was enhanced when double transfection was performed. A further six donor cells were then tested with the same combination of sgRNAs after double transfection. Reduction in the expression of both 5p and 3p arms of *miR-140* was greatest (>80%) when using the combination of sgRNAs L2+L3 (Fig. 2(E) and (F) and Supplementary Fig. 3). Cell viability, by light microscopy, appeared stable after both double and single transfections, and RNU24 raw CT values were not significantly different between transfected and non-transfected cells in either single or double transfection groups (data not shown).

Sanger Sequencing was used to confirm the deletion of bp following double transfection with sgRNAs L2+L3. Sequencing results of 15 bacterial clones, that resulted from subcloning of the PCR product, revealed that 13 out of 15 clones showed a deletion of 29 bp between the cleavage sites of sgRNA L2 and sgRNA L3 (Supplementary Fig. 4, clone 1). One clone exhibited a deletion of 31 bp,

one base pair upstream of the cutting site of sgRNA L2 (highlighted in yellow) and downstream of sgRNA L3 (highlighted in blue) (clone 2). A third clone showed a deletion of 1 bp upstream of the cleavage site of sgRNA L2 and 6 bp downstream of the cleavage site of sgRNA L3 (clone 3). MiSeg analysis was used to confirm the precision of gene editing following double transfection with sgRNAs L2+L3. MiSeq analysis confirmed a deletion for over 90 % of reads (Supplementary Fig. 4(B)). Deletions of bp, in smaller numbers, were also detected upstream of amplicon position 191 and downstream of amplicon position 220. A very small number of insertions was detected at these positions. A significant majority (>97% of reads) did not exhibit any NHEJ induced bp insertion, the majority of reads (>90%) exhibited a deletion of 29 bp or larger, with a peak at -29bp (55 %) (Supplementary Fig. 4(C) and (D)). The absolute number of modified reads amounted to 77,094 (>99.9 %), compared with only 31 (<0.01 %) unmodified reads, suggesting very high gene editing efficiency after RNP double transfection in OA hACs (Supplementary Fig. 4(E)). To check for off-target effects of gene editing we used two different off-target algorithm software packages (see Materials and Methods). No sgRNA L3 and L2 targets with just one sequence mismatch were predicted. Two potential targets were predicted when one considered two sequence mismatches, and three when one considered three sequence mismatches. Specific primers were designed for each predicted off-target site and these were amplified in three different donors which had been double RNP transfected with sgRNA L3+L2. No evidence of DNA cleavage was seen for any of these sequences by T7E1 assay (Supplementary Fig. 5(A)). *WWP2*, the host of *miR-140* was not down-regulated following sgRNA L2+L3 relative to its normalised NT sgRNA control (supplementary Fig. 5(B)).

To explore the biology of *miR-140* in articular chondrocytes we first established whether *miR-140* was regulated by cartilage injury, a critical aetiological factor in OA development and one that drives rapid changes in chondrocyte gene regulation^{1,32,33}. Using a previously validated hip avulsion model in 6 week old wild type mice^{33,34} [Fig. 3(A)], both miR-140-5p and miR-140-3p were downregulated 4 h after injury (a time at which acute inflammatory genes are regulated optimally), albeit reaching statistical significance only for *miR*-140-3p (P = 0.0249 (95% CI -1.329, 0.1532); *miR*-140-5P = 0.0541 (95% CI -1.863, 0.02596) [Fig. 3(B)]. As injury is a powerful regulator of chondrocyte gene regulation and a key etiological factor in OA development, the drop of a highly expressed *miR* could be directly contributing to the injury response. To test this, we examined a number of genes that were either previously identified miR-140 targets or shown to regulate or to be strongly regulated by cartilage injury (Supplementary Table 1). These included genes involved in cartilage repair pathways, retinoic acid (RA) metabolism, chondrogenesis, cilia biology, and cartilage catabolism. Gene expression was examined in OA human articular chondrocytes (n = 5) after *miR-140* deletion and compared with their respective paired NT control. Data are presented in Fig. 4 and ranked according to p value. Each data point represents the ratio of gene expression in the deleted compared with NT control for each patient sample. SEPT2 (1.95 \pm 0.51 fold), bone morphogenetic protein 2 (*BMP2*) (5.33 \pm 2.19 fold) and RA receptor gamma (*RARG*) $(2.42 \pm 0.47 \text{ fold})$ were upregulated upon *miR-140* deletion, displaying statistical significance after stringent correcting for multiple comparisons (Fig. 4). Interestingly, incomplete (50–60%) gene disruption of *miR-140* after single transfection, was insufficient to change any of the measured genes (Supplementary Fig. 6) indicating that high editing efficiency is required to demonstrate a biological effect. To validate these potential human chondrocyte *miR-140* targets further, we next interrogated RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data taken from costal cartilage of 7-day old constitutive *miR-140* KO mice (available at NCBI GEO datasets with the accession number GSE144360). We considered the top eight genes from our qPCR analysis, whose unadjusted *P* values were <0.01 (Table I). Of these, *Sept2, Agrn, Ift88, Fgf2* and *Cyp26b1* showed strong regulation in *miR-140* KO costal chondrocytes (all up-regulated apart from *Ift88). BMP2, RARG* and *TTBK2* were not regulated in neonatal mouse costal chondrocytes by deletion of *miR-140*.

Discussion

In this manuscript we describe a novel approach for efficient genomic editing of *miR-140* in primary human chondrocytes by performing transfection of RNP complexes containing Cas9 and sgRNA targeting sequences. This method was superior to that using the pX330 plasmid which exhibited evidence of cell toxicity within 48 h of transfection. Editing efficiency using RNP appeared to be optimal when combining more than one sgRNA, and when double transfection was performed. Efficiency at this level is usually only obtained by clonal expansion of selected targeted clones, which risks losing the chondrocyte phenotype³⁵. MiSeq analysis demonstrated high gene editing efficiencies (>99.9 %) for the populations that were sequenced and qPCR indicated that *miR-140* was suppressed by >80% for all donor samples.

To explore the biological significance of *miR-140* in human chondrocytes we first assessed whether it was regulated upon cartilage injury, an important and clinically relevant stimulus for the tissue^{22,36,37}. We observed that *miR-140* was downregulated 4 h following murine cartilage injury, a time at which many other genes are upregulated. *miR-140* might therefore influence the response of the tissue to OA-induced mechanical injury and might explain the



Cartilage injury suppresses *miR-140-3p* and *miR-140-5p* expression. (A) Safranin O/Fast Green-stained section of 6 week old murine femoral head with schematic showing the femoral head before (intact) and after cartilage injury (avulsed and cut). Femoral heads were either snap frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen to provide the negative control (0h) or cut into 4 pieces and cultured in serum-free media for 4 h at 37°C to measure the biological injury response (B) RNA was extracted and *miR-140-3p* and *miR-140-5p* expression were quantified by qPCR, normalised to *RNU24* and expressed relative to 0h. Data are shown as mean \pm SD. Statistical significance by student two-tailed test. *n* = 3 biological replicates.



Human chondrocyte gene editing by CRISPR-Cas9 identifies novel *miR-140* **gene targets**. Gene expression of 46 genes with putative roles in osteoarthritis or previously described *miR-140* targets, were analysed by qPCR on pre-printed TaqMan Microfluidic cards. All genes were normalised to *RPLP0* and expressed relative to their respective non-targeting (NT) sgRNA control (for each donor). Genes are displayed according to the strength of the statistical significance from left to right. Gene names marked with "†" are previously identified *miR-140* targets. Each color represents an individual donor. Statistical significance was determined using the Bonferroni-Dunn method with corrections for multiple comparison. n = 5 biological replicates (donors). Three genes had P < 0.05 after correction. A further 5 genes whose uncorrected *P* values were <0.01 are also indicated.

enhanced OA phenotype seen in *miR-140* knockout mice²⁰. We next investigated the effect of *miR-140* deletion on chondrocyte genes that are known to be strongly regulated by injury, in addition to genes that had previously been identified as *miR-140* targets by other groups (see Supplementary Table 1 and references therein). Several of these genes were upregulated in OA human articular chondrocytes upon *miR-140* deletion. None of the genes were regulated when chondrocytes were partially depleted of *miR-140* (50–60%) following a single round of transfection indicating that high level depletion is required to uncover biological function.

Considering those genes where the uncorrected *P* value was <0.01, *miR*-140-dependent genes included those involved in the RA pathway (*RARG*, *CYP26B1*), primary cilia biology (*SEPT2*, *IFT88*, and *TTBK2*), and anabolic factors (*BMP2*, *FGF2* and *AGRN*). Several, but not all, of these were strongly *miR*-140-regulated in costal chondrocytes taken from neonatal *miR*-140 knockout mice, perhaps reflecting differences in species or relating to differences in chondrocyte site and maturity (neonatal murine costal chondrocytes rather than adult human OA articular chondrocytes). *SEPT2* was the most robustly *miR*-140-regulated gene in both human articular and costal chondrocytes and has previously been identified as *miR*-140-

dependent³⁸. It encodes Septin 2, a filamentous GTPase, that directly binds to myosin II, a molecular motor driving muscle contraction. It is found concentrated along the axoneme (central cilium strand) in retinal pigmented epithelial (RPE) cells and deletion inhibits ciliogenesis³⁹. The role of *SEPT2* in chondrocytes is unknown but it may be relevant that two other cilia-related genes (*IFT88 and TTBK2*) were also regulated by *miR-140* in human articular chondrocytes. The primary cilium has previously been linked to OA through its established role in modulating hedgehog signalling⁴⁰. It affects aggrecanase activity in chondrocytes *in vitro* possibly by controlling the distribution of the scavenger receptor LRP1⁴¹, and also acts as a modulator of mechanical load in cartilage *in vivo*⁴².

Several genes with known pro-regenerative or anabolic roles were also identified as *miR-140* targets. *FGF2* was strongly *miR-140*-regulated in human articular and murine costal chondrocytes, as described previously⁴³. FGF2 is released from cartilage upon injury and FGF2 deficient mice develop accelerated OA indicating its chondroprotective role⁴⁴. Both *SEPT2* and *FGF2* possess a *miR-140-5p* seed sequence in their 3p-UTR, and so are predicted to be direct targets of *miR-140*. Two other anabolic molecules were identified as *miR-140*-dependent in human articular chondrocytes: *BMP2* and

GENE	<i>miR-140</i> KD in osteoarthritic, human articular chondrocytes	P value	P value adjusted	Costal RNA from 7-day -old- <i>miR-140</i> KO mice	P value	P value adjusted	Presence of <i>miR-140</i> seed sequence
	Mean Fold change normalised to non-targeted control (lower, upper 95% CI)			Mean Fold change normalised to wild type (lower, upper 95% Cl)			
AGRN	4.752 (2.978, 6.525)	0.0019	0.0830	1.641 (1.434, 1.848)	4.75E-06	9.15E-05	_
BMP2	5.333 (2.609, 8.057)	0.0008	0.0349	1.170 (0.748, 1.593)	0.9729	0.9880	140–5p
CYP26B1	2.867 (1.824, 3.910)	0.0089	0.3750	3.162 (1.985, 4.338)	1.71E-19	4.49E-17	-
FGF2	2.657 (0.755, 4.558)	0.0038	0.1632	1.523 (1.175, 1.871)	0.0033	0.0219	140–5p
IFT88	1.821 (1.110, 2.531)	0.0023	0.1005	0.675 (0.495, 0.856)	0.0002	0.0024	-
RARG	2.427 (1.845, 3.009)	0.0009	0.0405	0.845 (0.629, 1.062)	0.29772	0.5394	-
SEPT2	1.95 (1.318, 2.582)	0.0006	0.0268	1.836 (1.681, 1.990)	1.03E-11	7.81E-10	140–5p
TTBK2	1.926 (1.138, 2.715)	0.0029	0.1244	1.098 (1.004, 1.192)	0.7826	0.8992	-

Comparison of human chondrocytes after *miR-140* gene editing with genes regulated in costal chondrocytes from 7-day-old *miR-140* KO mice compared with wild type animals (determined by RNA-sequencing). Statistical testing of human data was by Student's *t*-test (two-tailed) (*P* value) with multiple comparison (n = 47) testing (*P* value adjusted). For the RNAseq data, we used deseq2 which uses a Wald *t*-test then a modified Benjamini Hochberg to reduce false positives. Presence of *miR-140* seed sequences indicated.

Table I

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage

Genes upregulated in hACs (with P < 0.01) and murine neonatal costal chondrocytes upon deletion of miR-140

AGRN. AGRN is a heparan sulfate proteoglycan, usually associated with the neuromuscular junction⁴⁵. It has recently been described as a powerful cartilage regenerative agent in damaged articular cartilage *in vivo*⁴⁶. *AGRN*, unlike *BMP2*, was also strongly *miR-140*-dependent in neonatal murine costal chondrocytes.

We identified two novel RA regulated genes as *miR-140* targets in human articular chondrocytes (*RARG* and *CYP26B1*). *CYP26B1* was also strongly *miR-140*-dependent in murine costal chondrocytes. We have recently observed that cartilage injury strongly regulates RA dependent genes, including the *CYP26* enzymes, which are the key regulators of cellular RA levels⁴⁷. Enhancing RA at the time of injury strongly suppresses 'mechanoflammation' indicating that RA is a biologically important anti-inflammatory molecule in cartilage⁴⁸.

Contrary to previous reports¹⁶, *ADAMTS5* was not regulated in *miR*-140 knockdown hACs in this study, even though 3'-UTR of *ADAMTS5* contains a putative seed sequence for *miR*-140-3p. Its regulation might have been uncovered had we looked at chondrocytes stimulated with IL1 or equivalent catabolic stimulus. As *miR*-140 KO mice are characterised by short stature²⁰, it raises the question whether the accelerated OA phenotype seen in these mice is partly due to a mild chondrodysplasia in addition to direct effects on proteases.

We recognise a number of limitations in this study. Firstly, the nature of both the T7E1 and MiSeq assays means that underestimation or overestimation of efficiency, respectively, may occur⁴⁹. In the case of T7E1, the assay relies on DNA cleavage where there is CRISPR-Cas9 modified DNA attempting to reanneal with native DNA i.e., mismatched. If CRISPR-Cas9 mutated DNA anneals with an identically mutated strand, this will not be recognised as mismatched and therefore could result in an underestimate of gene editing efficiency. In the case of MiSeq, we identified a common polymorphic variant at amplicon position 267 (rs2102066), resulting in homology mismatch between the endogenous sequence and the reference sequence. This single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) was present in each of the four donors, so this may account for some of the apparent NHEJ scores. This is probably exerting a small overall effect as ~90 % of the deletions were at the predicted Cas9 target sites for the two sgRNAs for all donors. The qPCR readouts suggest the actual efficiency was between 90% and 98%. We were careful to design sgRNAs that had few predicted offtarget effects, and we checked that we were not targeting sequences elsewhere within the genome with up to three mismatches. As the T7E1 assay is not highly sensitive, this result does not exclude there being low numbers of cells where off-targeting has occurred. The ability to detect off-targeting is likely to be affected, in addition, by chromatin accessibility which may be in a less open conformation in assay chondrocytes⁵⁰. Finally, we selected a restricted number of genes of interest to explore in this study, which were, by nature, biased to those already described in the literature and of specific interest to the group.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that it is possible to get high levels of CRISPR-Cas9 mediated gene deletion in human primary articular chondrocytes. We have validated the functional effect of *miR-140* deletion by confirming previously identified targets and identifying new targets. We show, for the first time, that *miR-140* is down-regulated upon cartilage injury, and that several injury induced genes are also *miR-140* targets. This confirms the important role of *miR-140* in cartilage homeostasis, and in the injured joint in the development of OA. As chondroprotective pathways are also regulated by *miR-140*, it would not seem prudent to regard *miR-140* as a target in OA.

Author contributions

- (1) The conception and design of the study (TLV, NC, CS, DY), acquisition of data (NC, HM, DD, YH, LZ), analysis and interpretation of data (TLV, NC, DY, CS, DD, YH).
- (2) Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: all authors
- (3) Final approval of the version to be submitted: all authors

Conflict of interest

No conflicts of interest relevant to this work are identified for any of the authors.

Statement of role of funding source

Academic funding sources did not influence the project direction or decision to publish.

Acknowledgements

1. Other contributors – we are grateful to Angus Wann and Clarissa Coveney for histological image.

2. Funding sources: this work was funded through the Centre for OA Pathogenesis Versus Arthritis (grant numbers 20205 and 21621) and the Dunhill Medical Trust (R476/0516). It was also supported by a studentship to Noman Chaudhry from the Kennedy Trust for Rheumatology Research.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2022.01.005.

References

- 1. Brandt KD, Dieppe P, Radin EL. Commentary: is it useful to subset "primary" osteoarthritis? A critique based on evidence regarding the etiopathogenesis of osteoarthritis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2009;39:81–95.
- **2.** Wyatt LA, Moreton BJ, Mapp PI, Wilson D, Hill R, Ferguson E, *et al.* Histopathological subgroups in knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2017;25:14–22.
- **3.** Dell'Accio F, Vincent TL. Joint surface defects: clinical course and cellular response in spontaneous and experimental lesions. Eur Cell Mater 2010;20:210–7.
- Vincent TL. Of Mice and Men; converging on a common molecular understanding of Osteoarthritis. Lancet Rheumatology 2020;2:E633–45.
- Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 2004;116:281–97.
- **6.** Lee Y, Ahn C, Han J, Choi H, Kim J, Yim J, *et al.* The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. Nature 2003;425:415–9.
- 7. Denli AM, Tops BB, Plasterk RH, Ketting RF, Hannon GJ. Processing of primary microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. Nature 2004;432:231–5.
- **8.** Gregory RI, Chendrimada TP, Cooch N, Shiekhattar R. Human RISC couples microRNA biogenesis and posttranscriptional gene silencing. Cell 2005;123:631–40.
- **9.** Jones SW, Watkins G, Le Good N, Roberts S, Murphy CL, Brockbank SMV, *et al.* The identification of differentially expressed microRNA in osteoarthritic tissue that modulate the production of TNF-alpha and MMP13. Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS. Osteoarthritis Research Society 2009;17:464–72.
- Le LTT, Swingler TE, Crowe N, Vincent TL, Barter MJ, Donell ST, et al. The microRNA-29 family in cartilage homeostasis and osteoarthritis. J Mol Med 2016;94:583–96, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00109-015-1374-z. Berlin, Germany.
- 11. Martinez-Sanchez A, Murphy CL. miR-1247 functions by targeting cartilage transcription factor SOX9. J Biol Chem 2013;288:30802–14.
- **12.** Seidl CI, Martinez-Sanchez A, Murphy CL. Derepression of MicroRNA-138 contributes to loss of the human articular chondrocyte phenotype. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68: 398–409.
- 13. Yan S, Wang M, Zhao J, Zhang H, Zhou C, Jin L, *et al.* MicroRNA-34a affects chondrocyte apoptosis and proliferation by targeting the SIRT1/p53 signaling pathway during the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. Int J Mol Med 2016;38:201–9.
- **14.** Coutinho de Almeida R, Ramos YFM, Mahfouz A, den Hollander W, Lakenberg N, Houtman E, *et al.* RNA sequencing data integration reveals an miRNA interactome of osteoar-thritis cartilage. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:270–7.

- **15.** Nakamura Y, Yamamoto K, He X, Otsuki B, Kim Y, Murao H, *et al.* Wwp2 is essential for palatogenesis mediated by the interaction between Sox9 and mediator subunit 25. Nat Commun 2011;2:251.
- **16.** Miyaki S, Nakasa T, Otsuki S, Grogan SP, Higashiyama R, Inoue A, *et al*. MicroRNA-140 is expressed in differentiated human articular chondrocytes and modulates interleukin-1 responses. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2723–30.
- 17. Shvedova M, Kobayashi T. MicroRNAs in cartilage development and dysplasia. Bone 2020;140:115564.
- **18.** Si HB, Zeng Y, Liu SY, Zhou ZK, Chen YN, Cheng JQ, *et al.* Intraarticular injection of microRNA-140 (miRNA-140) alleviates osteoarthritis (OA) progression by modulating extracellular matrix (ECM) homeostasis in rats. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2017;25:1698–707.
- **19.** Mokuda S, Nakamichi R, Matsuzaki T, Ito Y, Sato T, Miyata K, *et al.* Wwp2 maintains cartilage homeostasis through regulation of Adamts5. Nat Commun 2019;10:2429.
- **20.** Miyaki S, Sato T, Inoue A, Otsuki S, Ito Y, Yokoyama S, *et al.* MicroRNA-140 plays dual roles in both cartilage development and homeostasis. Genes Dev 2010;24:1173–85.
- 21. Yang J, Qin S, Yi C, Ma G, Zhu H, Zhou W, *et al*. MiR-140 is coexpressed with Wwp2-C transcript and activated by Sox9 to target Sp1 in maintaining the chondrocyte proliferation. FEBS Lett 2011;585:2992–7.
- 22. De Palma A, Cheleschi S, Pascarelli NA, Tenti S, Galeazzi M, Fioravanti A. Do MicroRNAs have a key epigenetic role in osteoarthritis and in mechanotransduction? Clin Exp Rheumatol 2017;35:518–26.
- **23.** Grigelioniene G, Suzuki HI, Taylan F, Mirzamohammadi F, Borochowitz ZU, Ayturk UM, *et al.* Gain-of-function mutation of microRNA-140 in human skeletal dysplasia. Nat Med 2019;25:583–90.
- 24. Nakamura A, Rampersaud YR, Nakamura S, Sharma A, Zeng F, Rossomacha E, *et al.* microRNA-181a-5p antisense oligonucleotides attenuate osteoarthritis in facet and knee joints. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:111–21.
- Dana H, Chalbatani GM, Mahmoodzadeh H, Karimloo R, Rezaiean O, Moradzadeh A, *et al*. Molecular mechanisms and biological functions of siRNA. Int J Biomed Sci 2017;13:48–57.
- **26.** Adli M. The CRISPR tool kit for genome editing and beyond. Nat Commun 2018;9:1911.
- 27. Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc 2013;8:2281–308.
- **28.** D'Costa S, Rich MJ, Diekman BO. Engineered cartilage from human chondrocytes with homozygous knockout of cell cycle inhibitor p21. Tissue Eng 2020;26:441–9.
- **29.** Seidl CI, Fulga TA, Murphy CL. CRISPR-Cas9 targeting of MMP13 in human chondrocytes leads to significantly reduced levels of the metalloproteinase and enhanced type II collagen accumulation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2019;27:140–7.
- **30.** Horita M, Nishida K, Hasei J, Furumatsu T, Sakurai M, Onodera Y, *et al.* Involvement of ADAM12 in chondrocyte differentiation by regulation of TGF-beta1-induced IGF-1 and RUNX-2 expressions. Calcif Tissue Int 2019;105:97–106.
- **31.** Adkar SS, Wu CL, Willard VP, Dicks A, Ettyreddy A, Steward N, *et al.* Step-wise chondrogenesis of human induced pluripotent stem cells and purification via a reporter allele generated by CRISPR-cas9 genome editing. Stem Cell 2019;37:65–76.
- **32.** Vincent TL. Mechanoflammation in osteoarthritis pathogenesis | elsevier enhanced reader. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2019;49: S36–8.
- Chong K-W, Chanalaris A, Burleigh A, Jin H, Watt FE, Saklatvala J, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 2 drives changes in

gene expression following injury to murine cartilage in vitro and in vivo. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:2346–55.

- **34.** Ismail HM, Miotla-Zarebska J, Troeberg L, Tang X, Stott B, Yamamoto K, *et al.* Brief report: JNK-2 controls aggrecan degradation in murine articular cartilage and the development of experimental osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68: 1165–71.
- **35.** Brodkin KR, Garcia AJ, Levenston ME. Chondrocyte phenotypes on different extracellular matrix monolayers. Biomaterials 2004;25:5929–38.
- **36.** Kim JH, Lee G, Won Y, Lee M, Kwak JS, Chun CH, *et al.* Matrix cross-linking-mediated mechanotransduction promotes post-traumatic osteoarthritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112: 9424–9.
- **37.** Watt FE, Paterson E, Freidin A, Kenny M, Judge A, Saklatvala J, *et al.* Acute molecular changes in synovial fluid following human knee injury: association with early clinical outcomes. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:2129–40.
- **38.** Yu J, Zhang W, Tang H, Qian H, Yang J, Zhu Z, *et al.* Septin 2 accelerates the progression of biliary tract cancer and is negatively regulated by mir-140-5p. Gene 2016;589:20–6.
- **39.** Ghossoub R, Hu Q, Failler M, Rouyez MC, Spitzbarth B, Mostowy S, *et al.* Septins 2, 7 and 9 and MAP4 colocalize along the axoneme in the primary cilium and control ciliary length. J Cell Sci 2013;126:2583–94.
- **40.** Lin AC, Seeto BL, Bartoszko JM, Khoury MA, Whetstone H, Ho L, *et al.* Modulating hedgehog signaling can attenuate the severity of osteoarthritis. Nat Med 2009;15:1421–5.
- **41.** Coveney CR, Collins I, Mc Fie M, Chanalaris A, Yamamoto K, Wann AKT. Cilia protein IFT88 regulates extracellular protease activity by optimizing LRP-1-mediated endocytosis. Faseb J 2018, fj201800334. official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology.

- 42. Coveney CR, Zhu L, Miotla-Zarebska J, Stott B, Parisi I, Batchelor V, *et al.* The ciliary protein IFT88 controls post-natal cartilage thickness and influences development of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;74:49–59, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/art.41894.
- **43.** Ornitz DM, Itoh N. The fibroblast growth factor signaling pathway. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol 2015;4:215–66.
- **44.** Chia S-L, Sawaji Y, Burleigh A, McLean C, Inglis J, Saklatvala J, *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor 2 is an intrinsic chondroprotective agent that suppresses ADAMTS-5 and delays cartilage degradation in murine osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2019–27.
- **45.** Glass DJ, Bowen DC, Stitt TN, Radziejewski C, Bruno J, Ryan TE, *et al.* Agrin acts via a MuSK receptor complex. Cell 1996;85: 513–23.
- **46.** Eldridge SE, Barawi A, Wang H, Roelofs AJ, Kaneva M, Guan Z, *et al.* Agrin induces long-term osteochondral regeneration by supporting repair morphogenesis. Sci Transl Med 2020;12.
- **47.** Zhu L, Chanalaris A, Groves K, Furniss D, Watt F, Gardiner M, *et al.* Polymorphic variants in ALDH1A2 determine the expression level of ALDH1A2 and CYP19A1 in the cartilage of patients undergoing trapeziectomy for severe thumb osteo-arthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2018;26.
- 48. Zhu L, Kamalathevan P, Koneva L, Miotla Zarebska J, Chanalaris A, Ismail HM, *et al.* Variants in ALDH1A2 reveal an anti-inflammatory role for retinoic acid and a new class of disease-modifying drugs in osteoarthritis. BioRxiv preprint 2021, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.10.457848.
- **49.** Sentmanat MF, Peters ST, Florian CP, Connelly JP, Pruett-Miller SM. A survey of validation strategies for CRISPR-cas9 editing. Sci Rep 2018;8:888.
- **50.** Singh R, Kuscu C, Quinlan A, Qi Y, Adli M. Cas9-chromatin binding information enables more accurate CRISPR off-target prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:e118.