Research Paper

Association of folate intake and plasma folate level with the risk of breast cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies

Xueting Ren^{1,2,*}, Peng Xu^{1,2,*}, Dai Zhang^{1,2,*}, Kang Liu³, Dingli Song^{1,2}, Yi Zheng², Si Yang², Na Li^{1,2}, Qian Hao², Ying Wu², Zhen Zhai², Huafeng Kang^{2,&}, Zhijun Dai^{1,2,&}

¹Department of Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

²Department of Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China ³Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China *Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Zhijun Dai, Huafeng Kang; email: dzj0911@126.com, kanghuafeng1973@126.comKeywords: breast cancer, risk, folate, dose-response, meta-analysisReceived: May 7, 2020Accepted: July 25, 2020Published: November 4, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Ren et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Epidemiological studies showing the correlation between folate and the breast cancer risk have revealed inconsistent results. Hence, we conducted a dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies to obtain more reliable conclusions. We searched PubMed and Embase for studies published before April 2019 and identified 39 studies on folate intake and 12 studies on plasma folate level. The combined odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were extracted to estimate the breast cancer risk. Folate intake was inversely correlated with the breast cancer risk when the highest and lowest categories (OR = 0.85, 95% CI = 0.79–0.92) were compared, and the dose-response result showed that folate intake had a linear correlation with the breast cancer risk. Moreover, a higher folate intake correlated with a lower breast cancer risk in premenopausal women (OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.66–0.97), but not in postmenopausal women (OR = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.83–1.06). However, plasma folate levels were not correlated with the breast cancer risk (OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.82–1.17). Folate intake was negatively correlated with the breast cancer risk; however, its practical clinical significance requires further study. Furthermore, additional folate supplements should be considered carefully.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer has a global annual incidence of >2 million cases [1]. The incidence of breast cancer is the highest in women and is the main cause of cancerrelated deaths in women worldwide [1]. Research shows that from 1990 to 2017, the incidence and mortality of breast cancer continued to rise, and the burden of breast cancer continued to increase globally [2]. There are numerous causes for the observed increase in the incidence of malignancies, such as increase in the number of women participating in screening programs, poor diet, and inadequate physical activity [3]. The need for preventive measures such as promoting healthy eating habits is also worth highlighting [3–5]. Folate, also known as vitamin B9, is present in many foods. It is essential for the regeneration of methionine, which is needed for DNA methylation, a process that synthesizes purine and pyrimidine thymidine for DNA repair [6]. Studies [7–11] have suggested that in carcinogenic processes, folate participates in the so-called one-carbon metabolic pathway. This pathway is crucial for DNA synthesis, repair, and methylation [6]. In many cancers such as prostate cancer [12, 13] and breast cancer [14], epigenetic changes (such as DNA hypomethylation and hypermethylation), DNA uracil mismatch, and chromosome rearrangement have been observed. These findings indicate that changes in vitamin B9 levels might influence cancer progression. According to epidemiological studies, folate intake is inversely correlated with the mortality risk associated with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [15] and may be related to a reduction in the risk of colorectal cancer [16]. In the past few years, the correlation between folate levels and the risk of breast cancer has been a major concern. However, the findings of recently published meta-analyses are inconsistent [17, 18]. The meta-analysis by Zhang et al. has indicated little correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer [19]. In another meta-analysis, Tio et al. have reported that the risk of breast cancer might not be related to folate intake and that this risk did not change with menopause or hormone receptor status [20]. Conversely, Chen et al. have suggested a negative correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer [21]. Hence, to obtain more credible conclusions, we performed a dose-response analysis to measure the risk of breast cancer by incrementally increasing the folate level. To the best of our knowledge, no metaanalysis has evaluated the correlation between plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer. Therefore, we evaluated the correlation of folate intake and plasma folate level with the risk of breast cancer in a doseresponse meta-analysis on the basis of eligible observational research studies.

RESULTS

Study selection and features

Figure 1 shows a flowchart of our study selection process. First, we identified 1,919 articles using PubMed and Embase databases and manual searches.



Figure 1. Flowchart of included studies for the meta-analysis.

Second, we excluded 760 duplicate articles, 1,032 articles that lacked relevance by reading the title and abstract in detail, 14 review/meta-analysis articles, 63 articles that did not provide enough information (folate dosage or the number of cases/controls/persons or OR/HR/RR), and 1 article with the same cohort. Eventually, 49 articles describing 51 observational studies met the inclusion criteria, of which 2 articles contained 2 separate studies [22, 23]. One study by Gong examined the association between the risk of breast cancer and dietary folate among African Americans and European Americans in separate analyses. Another article published by Lin studied the relationship between the risk of breast cancer and both serum folate and dietary folic acid concentrations. The features of the included studies regarding folate intake are listed in Supplementary Table 2, and the features of the included studies regarding plasma folate level are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, we included 39 related studies to determine the correlation of folate intake and the risk of breast cancer. Of the 39 studies, 19 were prospective cohort studies [9, 22–38], which included 37,917 cases, and 20 were case-control studies [22, 25–29, 39], which included 13,074 cases and 17,497 controls. Of the included studies, 12 [10, 24, 31, 33, 35, 38, 40–45] were conducted in Europe, 19 [9, 11, 22, 23, 26, 32, 36, 37, 39, 46–54] were conducted in Americas, 6 [27–30, 34, 55] in Asia, and 1 [56] was conducted in Australia. Of the 39 studies, 13 studies [22, 23, 27, 29, 32, 35, 38, 40, 41, 50–53] were stratified by menopausal status and provided risk estimates.

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, we analyzed 12 relevant studies to examine the correlation between plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer, including 10 case-control studies [39, 57-65], consisting of 7850 cases and 8898 controls, and 2 cohort studies [66, 67], consisting of 815 incident cases. Of them, 4 were conducted in Europe [59, 60, 62, 66], 5 were conducted in America [23, 57, 58, 65, 67], 1 were conducted in Asia [63], 1 were conducted in Uganda [61], and 1 was conducted in Australia [64]. Moreover, among the 12 studies, 7 [23, 57-60, 62, 67] were stratified by menopausal status and provided risk estimates. The risk estimates in most studies were adjusted for underlying confounding factors, including patient age, body mass index (BMI), educational level, parity, age at first birth, age at menarche, age at menopause, history of breast diseases, smoking, and alcohol intake. Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 present the adjusted confounding factors.

Folate intake and the risk of breast cancer

The highest and lowest folate intake levels negatively correlated with the risk of breast cancer, with a

combined OR of 0.85 (95% CI. 0.79–0.92; $I^2 =$ 75.2%, P < 0.001; Figure 2). For the case-control and cohort studies, the combined ORs were 0.68 (95% CI, 0.57–0.81; $I^2 = 76.3\%$; P < 0.001) and 0.97 (95% CI, 0.91-1.03; $I^2 = 53.3\%$; P = 0.316), respectively. We analyzed 13 case-control studies and 15 cohort studies that met the selection criteria to determine the doseresponse correlation of folate intake with the risk of breast cancer. For every 100-µg/day increase in folate intake, the combined OR for the risk of breast cancer was 0.98 (95% CI, 0.97–0.99; $I^2 = 72.8\%$; P = 0.002; Figure 3). The summary OR was 0.95 (95% CI, 0.92-0.98) for the case-control studies and 0.99 (95% CI, 0.98-1.00) for the cohort studies. Fifteen eligible cohort studies showed a linear dose-response correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer (P = 0.0667; Figure 4), indicating that for every 100- μ g/day increase in folate intake, the risk of breast cancer was reduced by 2%. The funnel plots (Supplementary Figure 1) as well as the Begg (P =(0.003) and Egger test results (P = (0.001)) indicated obvious publication bias among the considered studies. Moreover, as shown in the sensitivity analysis, the OR ranged from 0.97 to 0.99 and a single study had no influence on the results, indicating that our outcomes were statistically robust (Supplementary Figure 2).

The subgroup analysis was stratified by study types, menopausal status, geographic location, receptor tumor status, and follow-up time. The outcomes were presented in Table 1. Stratification by menopausal status showed that a higher folate intake might correlate with a lower risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women (OR = 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66-0.97; P = 0.022), but not in postmenopausal women (OR = 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83-1.06; P = 0.320). In the ER+ (OR = 0.78; 95% CI, 0.65–0.94) and ER- breast cancer subtypes (OR = 0.71; 95% CI, 0.56-0.90), folate intake was negatively correlated with the incidence of breast cancer, but not in the PR+, PR-, ER + / PR +. ER - / PR -. HER2 +. and HER2 - subtypes. In subgroup analyses by geographic location, the result showed a negative correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer in Asian (OR = 0.65; 95% CI, 0.49-0.84) and European women (OR = 0.79; 95% CI, 0.68–0.92).

Plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer

The highest and lowest plasma folate levels had no correlation with the risk of breast cancer, with a combined OR of 0.98 (95% CI, 0.82–1.17; $I^2 = 63.0\%$; P = 0.822; (Supplementary Figure 3). For the case-control and cohort studies, the summary ORs were 0.93 (95% CI, 0.77–1.13; $I^2 = 63.4\%$; P = 0.488)

and 1.63 (95% CI, 0.61–4.37; $I^2 = 67.9\%$; P = 0.331), respectively. On the basis of the selection criteria, 7 case-control research studies and 2 cohort research studies were chosen for the dose-response analysis of the correlation between plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer. Figure 5 shows that for every 5ng/ml increase in the plasma folate level, the summary OR for the risk of breast cancer was 0.99 (95% CI = 0.94-1.04; $I^2 = 71.4\%$; P = 0.654), indicating that a 5ng/ml increase in the plasma folate level had no relationship the with the risk of breast cancer. Funnel plots (Supplementary Figure 4) as well as the Begg (P = 0.466) and Egger test (P = 0.269) results indicated that there was no significant publication bias. The ORs ranged from 0.94 to 1.04 in the sensitivity

analyses (Supplementary Figure 5), indicating that our results were statistically stable.

Table 2 presents the results of subgroup analysis. When the subgroup analysis was stratified by study types, menopausal status, geographic location, receptor tumor status, and follow-up time, the results were stable.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, researchers have increasingly examined the effect of folate level on the risk of breast cancer, but inconsistent results have been reported. Two metaanalyses have reported that folate intake does not significantly decrease the risk of breast cancer [19, 20].



Figure 2. Forest plot of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to highest vs lowest categories of folate intake. Note: Weights are from random-effects analysis. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

However, other studies have shown different results [21, 68]. In a meta-analysis, folate intake was negatively correlated with the risk of breast cancer [21], while in another meta-analysis, folate intake did not decrease the total incidence of breast cancer, but it reduced the risk for the ER- subtype, especially the ER - /PR - subtypes [68]. In contrast, in a dose-response meta-analysis conducted in 2014, folate intake had a Jtype dose-response correlation with the risk of breast cancer [19]. Therefore, on the basis of the inconsistencies observed in previous meta-analyses and systematic reviews, we included new cohort studies [10, 40, 45, 46, 56] to strengthen our investigation on the correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer. Thus far, no meta-analysis or dose-response research has investigated the correlation of plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer. Hence, we conducted this study to establish a more definitive

correlation between the risk of breast cancer and both folate intake and plasma folate level.

In our study, 39 observational studies on folate intake and the risk of breast cancer were included for meta-analysis. Our study revealed that folate intake had an inverse correlation with the risk of breast cancer. Moreover, the results of the dose-response analysis suggest that this correlation was linear. We also found that every 100- μ g/day increase in folate intake could influence the reduction of the risk of breast cancer by 2%.

The results of the subgroup analysis also showed that a higher folate intake might be correlated with a lower incidence of breast cancer in premenopausal women, but not in postmenopausal women. The discrepancy between folate insufficiency and sufficiency might be a reason for the existing correlation between folate intake

ID	Folate intake,	per 100ug/d	OR (95% CI)	Weight
case control	i			
Shana I. Kiml (2019)	• <u> </u>	-	0.67 (0.40, 1.1	3) 0.05
Gong, Z. (2014)	+		0.96 (0.93, 1.0	0) 4.16
Yang, D. (2013)	+		0.99 (0.97, 1.0	2) 5.36
Islam, T. (2013)	+		0.97 (0.95, 0.9	9) 5,88
Zhang, C. X. (2011)			0.73 (0.66, 0.8	(2) 0.94
Lee. S. A. (2011)			0.84 (0.75, 0.9	5) 0.81
Ma. E. (2009)			1.00 (0.94, 1.0	6) 2.37
Lin. I.2 (2008)		⊢	1.03 (0.99, 1.0	7) 3.91
Laious, M. (2006)	_ _		0.91 (0.85, 0.9	7) 2.08
Chen, J. (2005)	•		0,99 (0,97, 1.0	1) 5, 92
Adzersen, K. H. (2003)			0,73 (0,56, 0.9	5) 0, 18
Sharp, L. (2002)	• "		0.86 (0.71, 1.0	4) 0.33
Potischman, N. (1999)	-+-		0.98 (0.93, 1.0	4) 2.61
Subtotal (I-squared = 79.5% , p = 0.00	00)		0.95 (0.92, 0.9	8) 34.60
cohort	1			
Egnell, M. (2017)	-		0.97 (0.93, 1.0	2) 3.28
de Batlle, J. (2015)			0.99 (0.95, 1.0	3) 3.77
Cancarini, I (2015)			0.93 (0.86, 1.0	0) 1.71
Bassett, J. K. (2013)		-	0.99 (0.93, 1.1	1) 1.33
Shrubsole, M. J. (2011)			0.96 (0.90, 1.0	3) 2.02
Stevens, V. L. (2010)	•		1.00 (0.99, 1.0	1) 6.92
Duffy CM (2009)	•		1.00 (0.98, 1.0	1) 6.47
Maruti, S. S. (2009)	•		1.00 (0.98, 1.0	1) 6.47
Larsson, S. C. (2008)	+		1.00 (0.97, 1.0	3) 4.82
Ericson, U. (2007)			0.90 (0.79, 1.0	2) 0.70
Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ (2006)	•		1.02 (1.00, 1.0	4) 5.99
Lajous, M. (2006)	+		0.95 (0.93, 0.9	8) 5.24
Feigelson, H. S. (2003)	•		1.01 (0.99, 1.0	3) 5.97
Rohan TE (2000)	-+-		1.00 (0.96, 1.0	4) 3.80
Zhang, S. (1999)	•		0.99 (0.98, 1.0	0) 6.91
Subtotal (I-squared = 54.6% , p = 0.00	06)		0.99 (0.98, 1.0	0) 65.40
	I			
Overall (I-squared = 72.8% , p = 0.000	0) 💡		0.98 (0.97, 0.9	9) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects	analysis			

Figure 3. Forest plot of meta-analysis of the association between folate intake increment (per 100ug/day) and breast cancer risk. Note: Weights are from random-effects analysis. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

and the incidence of breast cancer in premenopausal women [55]. Premenopausal women, owing to their fertility, may have a greater demand for folate than postmenopausal women. As shown in the subgroup analysis, folate intake was negatively correlated with the incidences of ER+ and ER- breast cancer subtypes. However, in the PR+, PR-, ER+/PR+, ER - / PR -, HER2 +, and HER2 - subtypes, this relationship was not statistically significant because of the relatively small number of cases. Low folate levels lead to methyl deficiency, which could be related to the methylated ER gene CpG island. ER CpG island methylation correlates with ER gene expression deficiency in ER- breast cancer [69-73]. Our results are consistent with the hypothesis that folate intake negatively correlates with ER- breast cancer. Nevertheless, we also found a similar correlation between folate intake and ER+ breast tumors. This outcome was contrary to our expectation or may have been accidental; hence, further studies with larger samples are needed to clarify this outcome in future research.

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC; ER-/PR-/HER2-) accounts for 10%–20% of all cases of breast cancer and is an invasive disease having no valid targeted therapeutic method [74, 75]. However, to the best of our knowledge,

only few studies have studied the TNBC subgroup, and the correlation of folate level with TNBC incidence is worthy of intensive future research.

Furthermore, when our analysis was stratified by geographic location, the results showed that in Europe and Asia, folate intake had an inverse correlation with the incidence of breast cancer. This may be because compared to the recommended daily intake of 400 µg dietary folate equivalent (DFE) for adults [76], the folate intake in the present American population may be sufficient to saturate the metabolic system. The average daily folate intake of women with and without supplementary folate intake in America was 665 µg DFE and 1013 µg DFE, respectively [77]. These variations may be related to the dietary composition and genetic susceptibility in different regions. Moreover, we found that increased folate intake from dietary sources was linked to a reduced risk of breast cancer, but the same was not true for folate from supplements. Therefore, we infer that diets may contain complicated components of a series of bioavailable ingredients and that folate interacts with these ingredients to reduce the risk of breast cancer. However, it is difficult to determine whether the anticancer effect is due to folate intake or the interaction between folate and other nutrients. Further research is needed to determine whether folate intake has clinical



Figure 4. Dose-response meta-analysis of folate intake and breast cancer risk (linear and nonlinear models).

A	N. C. t. d.		Heterogeneity	р	
Analysis specification	No. of studies	OR(95% CI)	I 2	Р	- P
Highest vs lowest					
All studies	39	0.85(0.79-0.92)	75.2%	0.000	0.000
Case-control	20	0.68(0.57-0.81)	76.3%	0.000	0.000
Cohort	19	0.97(0.91-1.03)	53.3%	0.003	0.316
Increment of 100 ug/d					
All studies	28	0.98(0.97-0.99)	72.8%	0.000	0.002
Case-control	13	0.95(0.92-0.98)	79.5%	0.000	0.001
Cohort	15	0.99(0.98-1.00)	54.6%	0.006	0.025
Menopausal status					
Premenopausal	10	0.80(0.66-0.97)	59.7%	0.006	0.022
Postmenopausal	14	0.94(0.83-1.06)	62.2%	0.001	0.320
Receptor tumor status					
ER+	10	0.78(0.65-0.94)	69.0%	0.001	0.009
ER-	10	0.71(0.56-0.90)	42.6%	0.074	0.005
PR+	4	0.67(0.41-1.10)	88.5%	0.000	0.113
PR-	4	0.83(0.68-1.02)	0.0%	0.399	0.083
ER+/PR+	9	0.92(0.80-1.07)	72.1%	0.000	0.284
ER-/PR-	9	0.99(0.94-1.05)	0.0%	0.679	0.837
HER2+	3	0.86(0.58-1.28)	0.0%	0.891	0.446
HER2-	3	0.87(0.64-1.18)	47.2%	0.150	0.360
Source folate					
Dietary	35	0.86(0.79-0.93)	70.4%	0.000	0.000
Supplement	7	1.05(0.95-1.17)	20.6%	0.273	0.326
Dietary+Supplement	11	0.99(0.89-1.10)	55.9%	0.012	0.882
Geographic location					
Europe	12	0.79(0.68-0.92)	75.0%	0.000	0.002
America	19	0.99(0.93-1.06)	42.4%	0.027	0.817
Asia	6	0.65(0.49-0.84)	75.4%	0.001	0.001
Follow-up duration					
<10 years	9	0.93(0.82-1.06)	67.5%	0.002	0.275
≥ 10 years	10	1.00(0.94-1.07)	49.2%	0.039	0.937

Table 1. Subgroup analyses of folate intake and breast cancer.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

significance in reducing the risk of breast cancer, and the use of additional folate supplements should be carefully considered.

In previous original studies, 10 case-control studies [23, 57, 59–67, 78] and 2 prospective cohort studies [66, 67] examined the effect of plasma folate on the incidence of breast cancer, with inconsistent results. Several studies have also revealed that plasma folate level may be positively correlated with the risk of breast cancer. A cohort study based on data from the Swedish Apolipoprotein-related Mortality Risk (AMORIS) cohort in 2019 has shown that high fasting plasma folate levels might increase the risk of breast cancer [66]. Another study has revealed that elevated plasma

folate levels might increase the risk of *BRCA1/2* mutation [39]. Conversely, a case-control study by Beilby [64], which included 141 cases and 109 controls, has shown that elevated plasma folate levels might have a correlation with decreased the incidence of breast cancer in the total population. In another prospective nested case-control study [65], plasma folate level had an inverse correlation with the incidence of breast cancer.

In our meta-analysis, 12 observational studies on plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer were included. To the best of our knowledge, our study was the first to conduct a meta-analysis on the correlation between plasma folate level and the risk of breast

Analysis specification	No. of studies	OR(95% CI)	Heterogeneity		Р
		-	I 2	Р	
Highest vs lowest					
All studies	12	0.98(0.82-1.17)	63.0%	0.002	0.822
Case-control	10	0.93(0.77-1.13)	63.4%	0.003	0.488
Cohort	2	1.63(0.61-4.37)	67.9%	0.078	0.331
Increment of 5 ng/ml					
All studies	9	0.99(0.94-1.04)	71.4%	0.000	0.654
Case-control	7	0.96(0.90-1.03)	74.0%	0.001	0.246
Cohort	2	1.10(0.90-1.36)	70.0%	0.068	0.346
Menopausal status					
Premenopausal	6	1.05(0.89-1.24)	24.1%	0.253	0.593
Postmenopausal	6	0.98(0.86-1.11)	17.5%	0.300	0.722
Receptor tumor status					
ER+	3	1.11(0.72-1.71)	78.6%	0.009	0.649
ER-	3	0.94(0.68-1.30)	0.0%	0.455	0.698
PR+	3	1.07(0.67-1.71)	77.6%	0.012	0.776
PR-	3	1.02(0.79-1.32)	0.0%	0.987	0.864
ER+/PR+	2	1.26(0.80-1.98)	72.3%	0.057	0.314
ER-/PR-	2	1.08(0.69-1.68)	0.0%	0.642	0.750
HER2+	2	1.18(0.74-1.89)	0.0%	0.885	0.486
HER2-	2	1.02(0.74-1.40)	23.1%	0.254	0.912
Geographic location					
Europe	4	1.00(0.86-1.17)	14.4%	0.320	0.976
America	5	1.10(0.83-1.46)	64.8%	0.023	0.505
Asia	1	0.52(0.26-1.04)	.%		0.066

Table 2.	Subgroup	analyses	ofn	lasma	folate	and	breast	cancer.
I able 2.	Jungioup	analyses	υμ	lasilla	IUIale	anu	Diedsi	cancer.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

cancer, but we were unable to establish any apparent correlation. Further dose-response analysis also did not establish a correlation between 5 ng/ml increments in plasma folate levels and the risk of breast cancer. Nevertheless, our results indicated statistical stability when stratified by study types, menopausal status, geographic location, receptor tumor status, and followup time.

Despite some related hypotheses, no precise mechanism has been found to clarify the link between folate level and the incidence of breast cancer. We believe that the role of folate in the so-called one-carbon metabolism pathway has a potential action in carcinogenesis. First, folate, 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, transforms homocysteine to methionine and then to S-

adenosylmethionine [79]. The latter is a ubiquitous methyl donor, usually providing methyl for methylation reactions, especially in DNA and RNA biosynthesis [79-82]. Folate, as a nutrient for one-carbon metabolism, affects DNA methylation by regulating the S-adenosylmethionine level. Deletion of S-adenosylmethionine could cause DAN hypomethylation, which induces the expressions of proto-oncogenes and ultimately leads to cancer [83]. Folate insufficiency also causes the methylation of uracil into thymine, resulting in the incorporation of uracil into DNA [80]. This incorporation further causes chromosome breakage and carcinogenesis [79, 83]. However, future studies must be conducted to verify these hypotheses through in-depth research on carcinogenesis mechanisms.

Our meta-analysis had significant heterogeneity. To find the source of this heterogeneity, we conducted subgroup analyses based on menopausal status, receptor tumor status, geographic location, and folate intake sources. However, no significant source of heterogeneity was identified. Therefore, we calculated the summary OR with the 95% CI using the random-effects model to reduce deviations in the association. In the sensitivity analysis, any separate study did not affect the combined OR, which showed that our results were robust.

This study had limitations. First, many included studies were case-control studies; hence, recall and selection biases inevitably influenced the study results. Second, an evident heterogeneity was found in our research. Despite performing a subgroup analysis, we failed to identify the source of this heterogeneity. We speculate that the heterogeneity might have been caused by other factors such as the interaction between nutrients and population baseline characteristics. Third, since breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, only specific subtypes might be affected by folate level. Finally, our research had a significant publication bias, which may have been caused by the relative ease of publishing studies with positive results, compared to those with negative results.

Nevertheless, this study also has its strengths. First, compared with previous studies, our meta-analysis included more original studies with larger sample sizes. Therefore, the conclusion from this meta-analysis could be considered more representative of actual conditions. Second, to the best our knowledge, the correlation between plasma folate level and the risk of breast cancer was examined for the first time in our study. Finally, this study covered a wide range of folate intake levels; hence, it could more accurately assess the dose-response correlation of folate intake with the risk of breast cancer. However, more elaborate studies with wider ranges of doses and time points are still necessary to further clarify this association.

In conclusion, our study results appear support the negative correlation between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer. A dose-response meta-analysis revealed that every 100 μ g/day increase in folate intake contributed



Figure 5. Forest plot of meta-analysis of the association between plasma folate increment (per 5ng/ml) and breast cancer risk. Note: Weights are from fixed-effects analysis. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

to a 2% reduction in the risk of breast cancer. However, our study showed that plasma folate intake itself had no correlation with the risk of breast cancer. Therefore, whether folate intake has practical clinical significance requires further study, and the use of additional folate supplements should be carefully considered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

Articles published before April 2019 estimating the correlation of folate intake and the risk of breast cancer were retrieved from two electronic databases (PubMed and Embase). Articles were retrieved using the following keywords: ("folic acid" OR "vitamin M" OR "vitamin B9" OR "folate" OR "folvite") AND ("breast neoplasm" OR "breast tumor" OR "breast cancer" OR "mammary cancer" OR "breast carcinoma"). To screen for other qualified studies, all relevant original articles as well as the bibliographies of review articles were manually searched. Three authors independently read the retrieved literature, screened the relevant publications according to the exclusion criteria, and then removed any duplicated articles. Disagreement among three authors was resolved by discussion.

Selection criteria

Studies that met the following inclusion criteria were included in the analysis: 1) an original observational study (cohort or case-control); 2) used folate as the exposure factor and breast cancer as outcome; 3) provided risk estimation as OR, hazard ratio (HR), or relative risk (RR), and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) or sufficient data for estimation (all results were represented by OR); and 4) provided the number of cases and controls or person-years for every folate dose group (or data available for calculation) for a dose-response analysis. Only the latest and content-rich studies were included if the research pertained to the same or overlapping cohorts. Studies were excluded if they were meta-analyses and if they provided insufficient data.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Each full report was reviewed to confirm its qualification based on the inclusion criteria, and all relevant data (year of publication, first author, study type, age, geographic location, years of follow-up, cases/controls/person-years, folate exposure assessment, comparative categories, menopausal status, receptor tumor status, and wholly adjusted model covariates) were independently extracted and tabulated in Supplementary Tables 2 and 1. When

more than one multivariable-adjusted effect estimate was observed in the studies, we chose the maximum adjusted effect estimate for potential confounding factors. If the results of both dietary and total intakes (dietary intake plus supplementation) were provided, the total folate intake was extracted. The quality of each selected publication was assessed independently by three authors according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) [84]. The content of the studies was evaluated for four major aspects: selection, comparability, exposure, and results, and thereafter, were categorized into high, medium, and low quality. A study with a score >6 was considered a good-quality study.

Statistical analyses

The Q and I^2 statistics were combined to quantify data heterogeneity. The I^2 statistics were used to explain the research variability caused by the heterogeneity, rather than by chance. When the Q statistic P value was <0.05 or I^2 was >50%, heterogeneity was evident in the studies. When the heterogeneity was significant, the random-effects model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used. If a combination of clinical issues was apparent, the fixed-effects model could be accepted [85]. To identify the sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were performed by analyzing menopause status, receptor tumor status, geographic location, length of follow-up, and folate sources.

We assessed the relationship between folate intake and the risk of breast cancer by combining OR values and 95% CIs. First, the random-effects model was used to count the highest and lowest categories of the combined ORs and 95% CIs for folate intake. Second, for trend estimation, the generalized least square was used and the risk estimates for specific categories were converted to OR estimates for a 100 µg/day increase in folate intake. We assumed that the correlation between the natural logarithm of OR and the increase in folate intake was linear and then calculated the estimates [86]. The midpoint of the closed interval was assigned as the value for each folate category. For the upper open interval, we multiplied the value of the interval endpoint by 1.5 and the value of the lower open interval by 0.5(folate intake was assumed to be normally distributed) [19]. The outcome of the random-effects meta-analysis was applied to combine the ORs for increased 100 µg/day during folate intake [87]. Third, a dose-response random-effects meta-analysis was performed for related natural logs of the ORs in all folate intake categories [86, 88]. The limited cubic splines with 3 knots were used to model folate and then obtain the dose-response curve.

To assess the underlying publication bias, visually inspected funnel plots for the risk of breast cancer was constructed. Moreover, the Egger [89] and Begg [90] tests were used to evaluate the effect of this publication bias on the risk of breast cancer. All P values were twosided. The selected studies were considered statistically significant when the P value was <0.05. Stata Version 15.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.

Abbreviations

OR: odds ratio; RR: relative risk; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; TNBC: triple negative breast cancer; DFE: dietary folate equivalent; AMORIS: Apolipoproteinrelated Mortality Risk; BRCA: Breast cancer; HRT: Hormone replacement therapy; MET: Metabolic Equivalent of Energy; OC: Oral contraceptives; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; SES: Socioeconomic status.

Editorial note

[&]This corresponding author has a verified history of publications using a personal email address for correspondence.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors read and approved the final manuscript before submission. XTR, PX and DZ conducted a database retrieval, screened the titles, abstracts and full text in detail, and selected qualified articles. XTR, ZJD and HFK designed the study; XTR, PX, DZ, KL, DLS and YZ: conducted the research; XTR, SY, NL, YW, QH, and ZZ extracted data and performed data analysis; ZJD and HFK contributed to providing methodological support; XTR, PX and DZ wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all members of our study team for their cooperation and the original authors of the included studies for their excellent work. We would like to thank Editage (<u>https://www.editage.cn</u>) for English language editing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors state that there are no conflicts of interest correlated with the manuscript.

FUNDING

The authors declare no funding to support this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. (WHO) WHO. Breast Cancer. 2018. https://www.who.int/
- Li N, Deng Y, Zhou L, Tian T, Yang S, Wu Y, Zheng Y, Zhai Z, Hao Q, Song D, Zhang D, Kang H, Dai Z. Global burden of breast cancer and attributable risk factors in 195 countries and territories, from 1990 to 2017: results from the global burden of disease study 2017. J Hematol Oncol. 2019; 12:140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0828-0</u> PMID:<u>31864424</u>
- Castelló A, Ascunce N, Salas-Trejo D, Vidal C, Sanchez-Contador C, Santamariña C, Pedraz-Pingarrón C, Moreno MP, Pérez-Gómez B, Lope V, Aragonés N, Vioque J, Pollán M. Association between western and mediterranean dietary patterns and mammographic density. Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 128:574–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.000000000001589</u> PMID:<u>27500335</u>
- Song D, Deng Y, Liu K, Zhou L, Li N, Zheng Y, Hao Q, Yang S, Wu Y, Zhai Z, Li H, Dai Z. Vitamin D intake, blood vitamin D levels, and the risk of breast cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:12708–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102597</u> PMID:31884419
- Zhang D, Dai C, Zhou L, Li Y, Liu K, Deng YJ, Li N, Zheng Y, Hao Q, Yang S, Song D, Wu Y, Zhai Z, et al. Metaanalysis of the association between nut consumption and the risks of cancer incidence and cancer-specific mortality. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:10772–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103292</u> PMID:<u>32487780</u>
- Selhub J. Folate, vitamin B12 and vitamin B6 and one carbon metabolism. J Nutr Health Aging. 2002; 6:39–42. PMID:<u>11813080</u>
- Marchioni DM, Chan D, Vieira MR, Norat T. Folate and other one carbon metabolism related nutrients and risk of breast cancer. Ann Nutr Metab. 2013; 63:884.
- Naushad SM, Divya C, Janaki Ramaiah M, Hussain T, Alrokayan SA, Kutala VK. Population-level diversity in the association of genetic polymorphisms of onecarbon metabolism with breast cancer risk. J Community Genet. 2016; 7:279–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12687-016-0277-1</u> PMID:27541683
- Chen J, Gammon MD, Chan W, Palomeque C, Wetmur JG, Kabat GC, Teitelbaum SL, Britton JA, Terry MB, Neugut AI, Santella RM. One-carbon metabolism, MTHFR polymorphisms, and risk of breast cancer.

Cancer Res. 2005; 65:1606–14. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2630 PMID:<u>15735051</u>

 Cancarini I, Krogh V, Agnoli C, Grioni S, Matullo G, Pala V, Pedraglio S, Contiero P, Riva C, Muti P, Sieri S. Micronutrients involved in one-carbon metabolism and risk of breast cancer subtypes. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0138318. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138318

PMID:26376452

- Maruti SS, Ulrich CM, White E. Folate and one-carbon metabolism nutrients from supplements and diet in relation to breast cancer risk. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 89:624–33.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.26568</u>
 PMID:19116331
- Jones PA, Baylin SB. The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. Nat Rev Genet. 2002; 3:415–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg816</u> PMID:<u>12042769</u>
- Yegnasubramanian S, Haffner MC, Zhang Y, Gurel B, Cornish TC, Wu Z, Irizarry RA, Morgan J, Hicks J, DeWeese TL, Isaacs WB, Bova GS, De Marzo AM, Nelson WG. DNA hypomethylation arises later in prostate cancer progression than CpG island hypermethylation and contributes to metastatic tumor heterogeneity. Cancer Res. 2008; 68:8954–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6088</u> PMID:<u>18974140</u>
- Huang Y, Nayak S, Jankowitz R, Davidson NE, Oesterreich S. Epigenetics in breast cancer: what's new? Breast Cancer Res. 2011; 13:225. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2925</u>. PMID:22078060
- Sun LP, Yan LB, Liu ZZ, Zhao WJ, Zhang CX, Chen YM, Lao XQ, Liu X. Dietary factors and risk of mortality among patients with esophageal cancer: a systematic review. BMC Cancer. 2020; 20:287. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-06767-8</u> PMID:<u>32252671</u>
- 16. Moazzen S, Dolatkhah R, Tabrizi JS, Shaarbafi J, Alizadeh BZ, de Bock GH, Dastgiri S. Folic acid intake and folate status and colorectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Nutr. 2018; 37:1926–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2017.10.010</u> PMID:<u>29132834</u>
- 17. Lewis SJ, Harbord RM, Harris R, Smith GD. Metaanalyses of observational and genetic association studies of folate intakes or levels and breast cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006; 98:1607–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji440 PMID:17105984

- Larsson SC, Giovannucci E, Wolk A. Folate and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007; 99:64–76. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djk006 PMID:17202114
- 19. Zhang YF, Shi WW, Gao HF, Zhou L, Hou AJ, Zhou YH. Folate intake and the risk of breast cancer: a doseresponse meta-analysis of prospective studies. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e100044.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100044 PMID:24932496

- Tio M, Andrici J, Eslick GD. Folate intake and the risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2014; 145:513–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-014-2969-8</u> PMID:<u>24777595</u>
- 21. Chen P, Li C, Li X, Li J, Chu R, Wang H. Higher dietary folate intake reduces the breast cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 2014; 110:2327–38. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.155 PMID:24667649
- 22. Gong Z, Ambrosone CB, McCann SE, Zirpoli G, Chandran U, Hong CC, Bovbjerg DH, Jandorf L, Ciupak G, Pawlish K, Lu Q, Hwang H, Khoury T, et al. Associations of dietary folate, vitamins B6 and B12 and methionine intake with risk of breast cancer among African American and European American women. Int J Cancer. 2014; 134:1422–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.28466 PMID:23996837
- Lin J, Lee IM, Cook NR, Selhub J, Manson JE, Buring JE, Zhang SM. Plasma folate, vitamin B-6, vitamin B-12, and risk of breast cancer in women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008; 87:734–43. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/87.3.734

PMID:18326613

- Sharp L, Little J, Schofield AC, Pavlidou E, Cotton SC, Miedzybrodzka Z, Baird JO, Haites NE, Heys SD, Grubb DA. Folate and breast cancer: the role of polymorphisms in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR). Cancer Lett. 2002; 181:65–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-3835(02)00030-7</u> PMID:<u>12430180</u>
- 25. Hatami M, Vahid F, Esmaeil Akbari M, Sadeghi M, Ameri F, Eini-Zeinab H, Jamshidi-Naeini Y, Hossein Davoodi S. The vitamins involved in one-carbon metabolisms are associated with reduced risk of breast cancer in overall and subtypes. Int J Vitam Nutr Res. 2020; 90:131–40.

https://doi.org/10.1024/0300-9831/a000501 PMID:<u>30758268</u>

26. Yang D, Baumgartner RN, Slattery ML, Wang C, Giuliano AR, Murtaugh MA, Risendal BC, Byers T, Baumgartner KB. Dietary intake of folate, b-vitamins and methionine and breast cancer risk among Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e54495.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054495 PMID:23408942

 Islam T, Ito H, Sueta A, Hosono S, Hirose K, Watanabe M, Iwata H, Tajima K, Tanaka H, Matsuo K. Alcohol and dietary folate intake and the risk of breast cancer: a case-control study in Japan. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2013; 22:358–66. https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32835b6a60

https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32835b6a6 PMID:23183091

 Zhang CX, Ho SC, Chen YM, Lin FY, Fu JH, Cheng SZ. Dietary folate, vitamin B6, vitamin B12 and methionine intake and the risk of breast cancer by oestrogen and progesterone receptor status. Br J Nutr. 2011; 106:936–43.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511001140 PMID:<u>21736840</u>

 Lee SA, Lee KM, Lee SJ, Yoo KY, Park SK, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Kang D. Antioxidant vitamins intake, ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) genetic polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk. Nutr Cancer. 2010; 62:1087–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2010.492088</u>

nttps://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2010.49. PMID:<u>21058196</u>

- Ma E, Iwasaki M, Kobayashi M, Kasuga Y, Yokoyama S, Onuma H, Nishimura H, Kusama R, Tsugane S. Dietary intake of folate, vitamin B2, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, genetic polymorphism of related enzymes, and risk of breast cancer: a case-control study in Japan. Nutr Cancer. 2009; 61:447–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01635580802610123</u> PMID:19838916
- Tjønneland A, Christensen J, Olsen A, Stripp C, Nissen SB, Overvad K, Thomsen BL. Folate intake, alcohol and risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women in Denmark. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006; 60:280–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602313</u> PMID:<u>16234832</u>
- 32. Lajous M, Lazcano-Ponce E, Hernandez-Avila M, Willett W, Romieu I. Folate, vitamin B₆, and vitamin B₁₂ intake and the risk of breast cancer among Mexican women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006; 15:443–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0532</u> PMID:16537699
- 33. Adzersen KH, Jess P, Freivogel KW, Gerhard I, Bastert G. Raw and cooked vegetables, fruits, selected micronutrients, and breast cancer risk: a case-control study in Germany. Nutr Cancer. 2003; 46:131–37. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327914NC4602_05

PMID:<u>14690788</u>

- Shrubsole MJ, Jin F, Dai Q, Shu XO, Potter JD, Hebert JR, Gao YT, Zheng W. Dietary folate intake and breast cancer risk: results from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Study. Cancer Res. 2001; 61:7136–41. PMID:11585746
- 35. Levi F, Pasche C, Lucchini F, La Vecchia C. Dietary intake of selected micronutrients and breast-cancer risk. Int J Cancer. 2001; 91:260–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-</u> 0215(200002)9999:9999<::aid-ijc1041>3.3.co;2-r PMID:<u>11146455</u>
- Ronco A, De Stefani E, Boffetta P, Deneo-Pellegrini H, Mendilaharsu M, Leborgne F. Vegetables, fruits, and related nutrients and risk of breast cancer: a casecontrol study in Uruguay. Nutr Cancer. 1999; 35:111– 19.

https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327914NC352_3 PMID:10693163

 Potischman N, Swanson CA, Coates RJ, Gammon MD, Brogan DR, Curtin J, Brinton LA. Intake of food groups and associated micronutrients in relation to risk of early-stage breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 1999; 82:315– 21. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-

0215(19990730)82:3<315::aid-ijc1>3.0.co;2-n PMID:10399945

- Thorand B, Kohlmeier L, Simonsen N, Croghan C, Thamm M. Intake of fruits, vegetables, folic acid and related nutrients and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. Public Health Nutr. 1998; 1:147–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/phn19980024</u> PMID:10933412
- Kim SJ, Zhang CX, Demsky R, Armel S, Kim YI, Narod SA, Kotsopoulos J. Folic acid supplement use and breast cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: a case-control study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019; 174:741–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-018-05118-3</u>

PMID:30603998

- 40. de Batlle J, Ferrari P, Chajes V, Park JY, Slimani N, McKenzie F, Overvad K, Roswall N, Tjønneland A, Boutron-Ruault MC, Clavel-Chapelon F, Fagherazzi G, Katzke V, et al. Dietary folate intake and breast cancer risk: European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014; 107:367. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dju367 PMID:25505228
- 41. Roswall N, Olsen A, Christensen J, Dragsted LO, Overvad K, Tjønneland A. Micronutrient intake and breast cancer characteristics among postmenopausal women. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2010; 19:360–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/cej.0b013e32833ade68</u>

PMID:20698054

- Larsson SC, Bergkvist L, Wolk A. Folate intake and risk of breast cancer by estrogen and progesterone receptor status in a Swedish cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008; 17:3444–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0692</u> PMID:<u>19064560</u>
- Ericson U, Sonestedt E, Gullberg B, Olsson H, Wirfält E. High folate intake is associated with lower breast cancer incidence in postmenopausal women in the malmö diet and cancer cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007; 86:434–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/86.2.434</u>

PMID:<u>17684216</u>

- 44. Lajous M, Romieu I, Sabia S, Boutron-Ruault MC, Clavel-Chapelon F. Folate, vitamin B12 and postmenopausal breast cancer in a prospective study of French women. Cancer Causes Control. 2006; 17:1209–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-006-0053-3</u> PMID:<u>17006726</u>
- 45. Egnell M, Fassier P, Lécuyer L, Zelek L, Vasson MP, Hercberg S, Latino-Martel P, Galan P, Deschasaux M, Touvier M. B-vitamin intake from diet and supplements and breast cancer risk in middle-aged women: results from the prospective NutriNet-santé cohort. Nutrients. 2017; 9:488.

https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9050488 PMID:28505069

- 46. Stevens VL, McCullough ML, Sun J, Gapstur SM. Folate and other one-carbon metabolism-related nutrients and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer in the cancer prevention study II nutrition cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010; 91:1708–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2009.28553</u> PMID:20410093
- Duffy CM, Assaf A, Cyr M, Burkholder G, Coccio E, Rohan T, McTiernan A, Paskett E, Lane D, Chetty VK. Alcohol and folate intake and breast cancer risk in the WHI observational study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2009; 116:551–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-008-0167-2</u> PMID:<u>18785003</u>
- 48. Kabat GC, Miller AB, Jain M, Rohan TE. Dietary intake of selected B vitamins in relation to risk of major cancers in women. Br J Cancer. 2008; 99:816–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6604540</u> PMID:<u>18665162</u>
- 49. Cho E, Holmes M, Hankinson SE, Willett WC. Nutrients involved in one-carbon metabolism and risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2007; 16:2787–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0683</u> PMID:<u>18086790</u>

 Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Chang SC, Leitzmann MF, Johnson KA, Johnson C, Buys SS, Hoover RN, Ziegler RG. Folate intake, alcohol use, and postmenopausal breast cancer risk in the prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer screening trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006; 83:895–904.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/83.4.895 PMID:<u>16600944</u>

- Feigelson HS, Jonas CR, Robertson AS, McCullough ML, Thun MJ, Calle EE. Alcohol, folate, methionine, and risk of incident breast cancer in the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003; 12:161–64. PMID:<u>12582027</u>
- Cho E, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Chen WY, Zhang SM, Colditz GA, Willett WC. Premenopausal intakes of vitamins A, C, and E, folate, and carotenoids, and risk of breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003; 12:713–20. PMID:12917201
- Rohan TE, Jain MG, Howe GR, Miller AB. Dietary folate consumption and breast cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000; 92:266–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/92.3.266</u> PMID:<u>106</u>55445
- 54. Zhang S, Hunter DJ, Hankinson SE, Giovannucci EL, Rosner BA, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Willett WC. A prospective study of folate intake and the risk of breast cancer. JAMA. 1999; 281:1632–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.281.17.1632</u> PMID:10235158
- 55. Shrubsole MJ, Shu XO, Li HL, Cai H, Yang G, Gao YT, Gao J, Zheng W. Dietary B vitamin and methionine intakes and breast cancer risk among Chinese women. Am J Epidemiol. 2011; 173:1171–82. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq491 PMID:21447479
- 56. Bassett JK, Baglietto L, Hodge AM, Severi G, Hopper JL, English DR, Giles GG. Dietary intake of B vitamins and methionine and breast cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control. 2013; 24:1555–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-013-0232-y</u> PMID:<u>23686442</u>
- Houghton SC, Eliassen AH, Zhang SM, Selhub J, Rosner BA, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Plasma b-vitamins and one-carbon metabolites and the risk of breast cancer in younger women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019; 176:191–203.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-019-05223-x PMID:<u>30955184</u>

58. Houghton SC, Eliassen AH, Zhang SM, Selhub J, Rosner BA, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Plasma b-vitamin and one-carbon metabolites and risk of breast cancer before and after folic acid fortification in the United States. Int J Cancer. 2019; 144:1929–40. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31934 PMID:30346061

- 59. Matejcic M, de Batlle J, Ricci C, Biessy C, Perrier F, Huybrechts I, Weiderpass E, Boutron-Ruault MC, Cadeau C, His M, Cox DG, Boeing H, Fortner RT, et al. Biomarkers of folate and vitamin B12 and breast cancer risk: report from the EPIC cohort. Int J Cancer. 2017; 140:1246–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30536</u>
 - PMID:27905104
- Agnoli C, Grioni S, Krogh V, Pala V, Allione A, Matullo G, Di Gaetano C, Tagliabue G, Pedraglio S, Garrone G, Cancarini I, Cavalleri A, Sieri S. Plasma riboflavin and vitamin B-6, but not homocysteine, folate, or vitamin B-12, are inversely associated with breast cancer risk in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition-varese cohort. J Nutr. 2016; 146:1227–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.115.225433</u> PMID:<u>27121532</u>
- 61. Rukundo G, Galukande M, Ongom P, Fualal JO. Red blood cell folate as a risk factor for breast cancer among patients at a tertiary hospital in Uganda: a case control study. World J Surg Oncol. 2014; 12:260. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7819-12-260</u> PMID:<u>25135447</u>
- Ericson UC, Ivarsson MI, Sonestedt E, Gullberg B, Carlson J, Olsson H, Wirfält E. Increased breast cancer risk at high plasma folate concentrations among women with the MTHFR 677T allele. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 90:1380–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2009.28064</u> PMID:19759169
- Chou YC, Wu MH, Yu JC, Lee MS, Yang T, Shih HL, Wu TY, Sun CA. Genetic polymorphisms of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene, plasma folate levels and breast cancer susceptibility: a casecontrol study in Taiwan. Carcinogenesis. 2006; 27:2295–300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgl108</u>

https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgl108 PMID:<u>16777985</u>

- 64. Beilby J, Ingram D, Hähnel R, Rossi E. Reduced breast cancer risk with increasing serum folate in a casecontrol study of the C677T genotype of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene. Eur J Cancer. 2004; 40:1250–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2004.01.026</u> PMID:<u>15110890</u>
- Zhang SM, Willett WC, Selhub J, Hunter DJ, Giovannucci EL, Holmes MD, Colditz GA, Hankinson SE. Plasma folate, vitamin B₆, vitamin B₁₂, homocysteine, and risk of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2003; 95:373–80.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/95.5.373 PMID:12618502

66. Essén A, Santaolalla A, Garmo H, Hammar N, Walldius G, Jungner I, Malmström H, Holmberg L, Van Hemelrijck M. Baseline serum folate, vitamin B12 and the risk of prostate and breast cancer using data from the Swedish AMORIS cohort. Cancer Causes Control. 2019; 30:603–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-019-01170-6

PMID:31020446

- 67. Kim SJ, Zuchniak A, Sohn KJ, Lubinski J, Demsky R, Eisen A, Akbari MR, Kim YI, Narod SA, Kotsopoulos J. Plasma folate, vitamin B-6, and vitamin B-12 and breast cancer risk in BRCA1- and BRCA2-mutation carriers: a prospective study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016; 104:671–77. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.133470 PMID:27465373
- Zeng J, Wang K, Ye F, Lei L, Zhou Y, Chen J, Zhao G, Chang H. Folate intake and the risk of breast cancer: an up-to-date meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2019; 73:1657–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-019-0394-0</u> PMID:<u>30647438</u>
- Piva R, Rimondi AP, Hanau S, Maestri I, Alvisi A, Kumar VL, del Senno L. Different methylation of oestrogen receptor DNA in human breast carcinomas with and without oestrogen receptor. Br J Cancer. 1990; 61:270–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1990.50</u>

PMID:2155643

- Ottaviano YL, Issa JP, Parl FF, Smith HS, Baylin SB, Davidson NE. Methylation of the estrogen receptor gene CpG island marks loss of estrogen receptor expression in human breast cancer cells. Cancer Res. 1994; 54:2552–55. PMID:8168078
- Ferguson AT, Lapidus RG, Baylin SB, Davidson NE. Demethylation of the estrogen receptor gene in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells can reactivate estrogen receptor gene expression. Cancer Res. 1995; 55:2279–83. PMID:7538900
- 72. Lapidus RG, Ferguson AT, Ottaviano YL, Parl FF, Smith HS, Weitzman SA, Baylin SB, Issa JP, Davidson NE. Methylation of estrogen and progesterone receptor gene 5' CpG islands correlates with lack of estrogen and progesterone receptor gene expression in breast tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 1996; 2:805–10. PMID:9816234
- Iwase H, Omoto Y, Iwata H, Toyama T, Hara Y, Ando Y, Ito Y, Fujii Y, Kobayashi S. DNA methylation analysis at distal and proximal promoter regions of the oestrogen receptor gene in breast cancers. Br J Cancer. 1999; 80:1982–86.

https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6690631

PMID:<u>10471050</u>

74. Liu Z, Sahli Z, Wang Y, Wolff AC, Cope LM, Umbricht CB. Young age at diagnosis is associated with worse prognosis in the luminal a breast cancer subtype: a retrospective institutional cohort study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2018; 172:689–702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-018-4950-4</u>

PMID:<u>30225619</u>

 Lee KH, Kim EY, Yun JS, Park YL, Do SI, Chae SW, Park CH. The prognostic and predictive value of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes and hematologic parameters in patients with breast cancer. BMC Cancer. 2018; 18:938. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-018-4832-5

PMID:30285668

- 76. Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes and its Panel on Folate, Other B Vitamins, and Choline. Dietary Reference Intakes for Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B6, Folate, Vitamin B12, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin, and Choline. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1998. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK114310/</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/6015</u>
- 77. Choumenkovitch SF, Selhub J, Wilson PW, Rader JI, Rosenberg IH, Jacques PF. Folic acid intake from fortification in United States exceeds predictions. J Nutr. 2002; 132:2792–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.9.2792</u>
 PMID:12221247
- 78. Xu X, Chen J. One-carbon metabolism and breast cancer: an epidemiological perspective. J Genet Genomics. 2009; 36:203–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1673-8527(08)60108-3</u> PMID:<u>19376481</u>
- 79. Scott JM, Weir DG. Folic acid, homocysteine and onecarbon metabolism: a review of the essential biochemistry. J Cardiovasc Risk. 1998; 5:223–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/174182679800500403</u> PMID:<u>9919469</u>
- 80. Ames BN. DNA damage from micronutrient deficiencies is likely to be a major cause of cancer. Mutat Res. 2001; 475:7–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-5107(01)00070-7</u> PMID:<u>11295149</u>
- Blount BC, Mack MM, Wehr CM, MacGregor JT, Hiatt RA, Wang G, Wickramasinghe SN, Everson RB, Ames BN. Folate deficiency causes uracil misincorporation into human DNA and chromosome breakage: implications for cancer and neuronal damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:3290–95. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.7.3290

PMID:<u>9096386</u>

82. Cooper AJ. Biochemistry of sulfur-containing amino acids. Annu Rev Biochem. 1983; 52:187–222.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.52.070183.001155 PMID:<u>6351723</u>

- Duthie SJ, Narayanan S, Brand GM, Pirie L, Grant G. Impact of folate deficiency on DNA stability. J Nutr. 2002; 132:2444S–9S. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.8.2444S</u> PMID:<u>12163709</u>
- 84. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25:603–05. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z PMID:20652370
- 85. von Hippel PT. The heterogeneity statistic I² can be biased in small meta-analyses. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015; 15:35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-015-0024-z</u> PMID:<u>25880989</u>
- 86. Orsini N, Bellocco R, Greenland S. Generalized Least Squares for Trend Estimation of Summarized Dose-Response Data. Stata J. 2006; 6:40–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X0600600103</u>
- 87. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986; 7:177–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2</u> PMID:<u>3802833</u>
- Greenland S, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 135:1301–09. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116237</u> PMID:1626547
- 89. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997; 315:629–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629</u> PMID:<u>9310563</u>
- 90. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994; 50:1088–101. https://doi.org/10.2307/2533446 PMID:7786990

www.aging-us.com

SUPPLEMENATRY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Funnel plot of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to folate intake increment (per 100ug/day).



Supplementary Figure 2. Sensitivity analysis of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to folate intake increment (per 100ug/day).



Supplementary Figure 3. Forest plot of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to highest vs lowest categories of plasma folate. Note: Weights are from random-effects analysis. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



Supplementary Figure 4. Funnel plot of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to plasma folate increment (per 5ng/ml).



Supplementary Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis of meta-analysis of breast cancer risk in relation to plasma folate increment(per 5ng/ml).

Supplementary Tables

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Table 2.

author	country	study type	Follow-up period (year)	Age(year)	Number of cases/controls/per sons	plasma folate (ng/mL)	Adjusted OR(95%CI)	Adjustment factors
Serena C. Houghton.201 9	US	Case- control	4.75	32-53	610/1207	≥23.7 VS <10.2	1.18(0.84- 1.66)	matching factors, age at menarche, parity/age at first birth, history of breast cancer in mother or a sister, history of benign breast disease, height, body mass index at age 18, weight change since 18, and alcohol intake.
Serena C. Houghton.201 9	US	Case- control	1990-2006	30-55	2241/2241	≥15.6 VS <4.7	0.95(0.77- 1.17)	age at menarche, parity/age at first birth, age at menopause, family history of breast cancer in mother or a sister, history of benign breast disease, height, body mass index at 18, weight change from age 18, and alcohol intake at blood collection in 1990.
Matejcic, M.2017	Europe	Case- control	1992-2010	35-70	2491/2521	>19.80 VS <9.82	0.94(0.79- 1.13)	date at blood collection, education, BMI, height, physical activity, ever use of hormone replacement therapy, alcohol intake, parity and age at first full- term birth combined, total energy intake and family history of breast cancer.
Agnoli, C.2016	Italy	Case- control	14.9	35-65	276/276	8.600- 15.35 VS 2.609- 5.968	0.74(0.45- 1.23)	age, menopausal status, recruitment date, and distance between ORDET and EPIC recruitment, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive use, smoking status, education, alcohol consumption, and BMI.
Gideon Rukundo.2014	Uganda	Case– control	2012.1- 2012.5	>18	72/73	normal VS low	1.4(0.7-2.9)	age, parity, age at menarche and menopause, BMI, residence, use of hormonal contraception, tobacco use versus no tobacco usage, alcohol consumption, hemoglobin level.
Ericson, U. C.2009	Sweden	Case- control	1996-2004	55-73	313/626	17 VS 6	1.20(0.84- 1.70)	age, blood-sampling date, weight, height, menopausal hormone therapy, age at menopause category, parity, household work category, socioeconomic status, smoking, total energy intake, and alcohol intake category.

Lin, J.2008	US	Case- control	1993-2004	≥45	848/848	>15.8 VS ≤5.1	1.42(1.00- 2.02)	matching variables, age, randomized treatment assignment, BMI, family history of breast cancer in a first-degree relative, history of benign breast disease, smoking, physical activity, alcohol consumption, age at menopause, parity, and age at first birth.
Chou, Y. C.2006	Taiwan	Case- control	2004.1- 2004.12	20-80	146/285	>14.42 VS <8.16	0.52(0.26- 1.05)	characteristics, menstrual and reproductive history, menopausal status, lifestyle and medical history as well as family history of breast and other cancers.
Beilby, J.2004	Australia	Case– control	1992-1994	30-84	141/109	>9.0 VS <5.0	0.23(0.09- 0.54)	C677T genotype, age of menarche, parity, alcohol intake, total fat intake.
Zhang, S. M.2003	America	Case– control	1989-1996	43-69	712/712	>14 VS <4.6	0.73(0.50- 1.07)	age at menarche, parity, age at first birth, age at menopause, history of breast cancer in mother or a sister, history of benign breast disease, alcohol intake, body mass index at age 18y, body mass index at blood collection, and duration of postmenopausal
Essén, A.2019	Sweden	Cohort	14	>20	795/19775	>32 VS <5	1.12(0.85- 1.48)	hormone use. age, education level, SES, CCI, serum glucose, triglycerides, cholesterol and fasting status.
Kim, S. J.2016	Canada	Cohort	6.3	18-70	20/164	>24.4 VS ≤24.4	3.20(1.03- 9.92)	age at baseline and date of blood sample collection, BRCA mutation type, parity, baseline alcohol consumption, baseline regular smoker, and baseline regular coffee consumer.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; BRCA, breast cancer; EPIC: European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition; SES: socioeconomic status; CCI: platelet count increase index.

Supplementary Table 2. Features of studies included in the meta-analysis of folate intake and breast cancer risk.