Research Paper

Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab on triple-negative breast cancer cells via inducing cell apoptosis and pyroptosis

Wen Xu^{1,*}, Changfeng Song^{1,*}, Xiaotong Wang¹, Yueqi Li¹, Xue Bai¹, Xin Liang¹, Jingjing Wu^{2,&}, Jianwen Liu¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering and Shanghai Key Laboratory of New Drug Design, School of Pharmacy, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, P.R. China ²Department of Breast, Longhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of TCM, Shanghai 200032, P.R. China *Equal contribution and co-first authors

Correspondence to: Jianwen Liu, Jingjing Wu; email: Liujian@ecust.edu.cn, jswjj520@163.comKeywords: triple-negative breast cancer, microRNA 155-5p, cetuximab, apoptosis, pyroptosisReceived: March 14, 2020Accepted: June 19, 2020Published: January 5, 2021

Copyright: © 2020 Xu et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Cetuximab resistance is the main obstacle for the treatment of EGFR overexpression cancer, including triplenegative breast cancer (TNBC). MicroRNA (miRNA)-155-5p is upregulated in TNBC cells; thus, the present study explored whether the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells. MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells were infected with lentivirus-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) for 72 h to obtain EGFR-overexpressed cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468). The inhibitory effects of cetuximab on the proliferation and migration of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were enhanced following transfection with the miR-155-5p antagomir, and miR-155-5p knockdown enhanced the pro-apoptotic effect of cetuximab on EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells. Further, the luciferase reporter assay revealed that gasdermin E (GSDME) was the direct binding target of miR-155-5p. The combination of cetuximab with the miR-155-5p antagomir promoted pyroptosis in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells via the upregulation of GSDME-N and cleaved caspase-1. Results from the *in vivo* experiments confirmed that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in an MDA-MB-468 xenograft model and on EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells via inducing cell apoptosis and pyroptosis. Therefore, cetuximab combination with an miR-155-5p antagomir may be a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of TNBC.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in females and remains a major public health issue worldwide [1]. Approximately 1.4 million new cases were diagnosed in 2008, and the incidence of breast cancer remains alarmingly high [2]. Evidence from studies suggest that that obesity, alcohol intake, a late age at first birth, and late menopause are risk factors for the development of breast cancer [3]. In addition, the 5-year survival rate of patients with breast cancer in developed countries is 80%, whereas the 5-year survival rate in developing countries is as low as 40% [2]. Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is the most aggressive subtype of breast cancer that is characterized by a deficiency in the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) [4]. Therefore, the identification of novel therapeutic targets and approaches may improve the prognosis and treatment of TNBC.

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that belongs to a class of molecular targeted therapy drugs that target the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) [5, 6]. Specifically, cetuximab binds to the extracellular domain

of EGFR and suppresses the activation of a series of downstream signaling pathways [7]. Results from previous studies demonstrated that the expression of EGFR was increased in patients with TNBC [8, 9]. Liao et al indicated that cetuximab treatment could induce apoptosis and inhibit growth of the EGFR-expressed TNBC cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* [10]. Therefore, cetuximab is an effective treatment for some patients with breast cancer. However, a large percentage of patients with breast cancer are resistant to anti-EGFR therapies after long period of treatment with EGFR inhibitor [11]. Therefore, novel therapies for the treatment of TNBC are needed.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of endogenous noncoding single-stranded RNA molecules that contain 18-24 nucleotides [12]. MiRNAs regulate posttranscriptional gene expression by binding to the complementary sequences in the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of their target mRNAs [13]. Recently, miRNAs have emerged as novel biomarkers for various cancers, including breast cancer [14]. Liu et. al. [15] found that the level of miR-155-3p was up-regulated in breast cancer cells. Results from another study revealed that miR-155 promoted the proliferation of breast cancer cells and suppressed apoptosis in breast cancer cells [16].

In this study, we identified GSDME harbored a conserved miR-155-5p cognate sites using TargetScan

bioinformatics tool, and predicted that GSDME was a potential target of miR-155-5p. GSDME was identified as the executioner of pyroptosis [17]. Pyroptosis is a novel form of programmed necrosis, which is triggered upon formation of caspase-1-activating inflammasomes [18]. Active caspase-1 can lead to increased production of gasdermin D and proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18 [17]. Therefore, this study investigated whether the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells via targeting GSDME in order to provide an alternative therapeutic option for patients with TNBC.

RESULTS

EGFR is overexpressed in TNBC cells

First, we established TNBC cell lines (e.g., MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) with stable EGFR overexpression. As shown in Figure 1A and 1B, the fluorescent expression confirmed that the MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells were effectively transfected with the lentivirus after incubation for 72 h. In addition, the results from the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay indicated that the expression of EGFR was significantly increased in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells following transfection with lentivirus-EGFR (Figure 1C–1F). These findings indicated that EGFR was





overexpressed in the MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells.

Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the antiproliferative effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells

To determine the effect of miR-155-5p on the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells, we transfected the MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells with an miR-155-5p antagomir. As shown in Figure 2A and 2B, the level of miR-155-5p was markedly downregulated in the EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells following transfection with the miR-155-5p antagomir. In addition, cetuximab inhibited the viability of the EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2C and 2D). The downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the cytotoxic effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells (Figure 2C and 2D). In addition, the IC50 value of cetuximab was 16.01 µg/mL and 20.08 µg/mL in EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells, respectively. When cetuximab was combined with miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM), the IC50 value of cetuximab was decreased to 7.51 µg/mL and 9.19 µg/mL in EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells, respectively. Furthermore, the CI value of cetuximab combined with miR-155-5p antagomir in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells were less than 0.9, which indicated the synergism effect (Table 1). These results suggested that combination of cetuximab with miR-155-5p antagomir synergistically inhibited the proliferation of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells.

Results from immunofluorescence staining assay demonstrated that the proliferation of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells was slightly inhibited following treatment with cetuximab or the miR-155-5p antagomir as compared with the proliferation of cells in the control group (Figure 2E and 2F). Additionally, the proliferation of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells was significantly inhibited in the cetuximab and miR-155-5p treatment group as compared with that in the cetuximab treatment group (Figure 2E and 2F). These results suggested that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the anti-proliferative effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells.

Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the cytotoxicity effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells



Figure 2. Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the anti-proliferative effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells. (A) EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-231 and (B) MDA-MB-468 cells were transfected with miR-155-5p for 72 h. RT-qPCR was used to detect the level of miR-155-5p in cells. (C) EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 and (D) MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (0, 5, 10, 20, or 40 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 72 h. The Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was used to detect cell viability. (E) EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-231 and (F) MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 72 h. Relative fluorescence expression levels were quantified by Ki67 and DAPI staining. **P < 0.01 compared with the control group. $^{##}P < 0.01$ compared with the cetuximab 10 nM group.

Next, the cytotoxicity effect of cetuximab combined with the miR-155-5p antagomir on EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells was measured with flow cytometry,

Table 1	I. Evaluation of combination of cetuximab with miR-155-5p antag	omir in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells
(72 h tr	reatment).	

Drug combination	MDA-MB-231 cells		MDA-MB-468 cells	
Drug combination –	IC 50 value	CI values	IC 50 value	CI values
cetuximab (range 0 from 40 µg/mL)	16.01 μg/mL	-	20.08 µg/mL	-
cetuximab + 10 nM miR-155-5p antagomir	7.51 μg/mL	0.73	9.19 μg/mL	0.81

which suggested the forms of death including apoptosis (in Q2 and Q3 quadrant), necrosis or pyroptosis (in Q1 and Q2 quadrant). As indicated in Figure 3A and 3B, 10 nM cetuximab markedly induced the apoptosis of EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells, and the downregulated miR-155-5p significantly enhanced the pronecrosis or pro-pyroptosis effect in EGFR-over-expressed TNBC cells. In addition, the expression of Bax and cleaved caspase-3 were increased in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells in the cetuximab and miR-155-5p antagomir treatment group as compared with that in the cetuximab treatment group. However, the level of BCl-2 was reduced in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells in the cetuximab and miR-155-5p treatment group as compared with that in the cetuximab treatment group (Figure 3C-3F). These data illustrated that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the cytotoxicity effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells, however, the type of death need be identified by other assays.

Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the inhibitory effect of cetuximab on the migration and invasion of TNBC cells

We performed wound healing and transwell invasion assays to determine the effect of cetuximab and the miR-155-5p antagomir on the migration and invasion of EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells. As shown in Figure 4A–4D, cetuximab or the miR-155-5p antagomir slightly inhibited the migration and invasion abilities of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells. However, the migration and invasion abilities of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells in the cetuximab and miR-155-5p antagomir



Figure 3. Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the pro-apoptotic effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells. EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 72 h. (A, B) Apoptotic cells were detected by flow cytometry. (C) The expression levels of Bax, Bcl-2, and cleaved caspase-3 in cells were detected by Western blotting. (D–F) The relative expressions of Bax, Bcl-2, and cleaved caspase-3 were normalized to β -actin. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with the control group. ^{##}P < 0.01 compared with the cetuximab 10 nM group.

treatment group were suppressed as compared with those in the cetuximab treatment group (Figure 4A–4D). These results indicated that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the inhibitory effect of cetuximab on the migration and invasion of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells.

GSDME was a direct binding target of miR-155-5p

Although various target genes of miR-155-5p were obtained from the TargetScan database (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/), GSDME, a marker of pyroptosis, was used as the predicted target gene of miR-155-5p in the current study (Figure 5A). In addition, the level of miR-155-5p was significantly upregulated following transfection with the miR-155-5p agomir (Figure 5B). Furthermore, the results from the dual luciferase reporter assay confirmed that luciferase activity was reduced in the EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells following co-transfection with the WT-GSDME segment and miR-155-5p agomir as compared with that in the vector-control group (Figure 5C). These results indicate that GSDME is a direct binding target of miR-155-5p.

Cetuximab combined with the miR-155-5p antagomir induced pyroptosis in TNBC cells

Results from previous studies indicate that the N-terminus of GSDME trans-locates to the cell membrane and induces cell pyroptosis [19]. To identify the mechanism underlying miR-155-5p-regulated pyroptosis in EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells, the protein levels of GSDME-N, cleaved caspase-1, and p-EGFR were detected by Western blotting assays. Results from the Wester blotting analysis revealed that cetuximab had no effect on the expression of GSDME-N and cleaved caspase-1 in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells; however, the miR-155-5p antagomir significantly increased the levels of GSDME-N and cleaved caspase-1 in the EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells (Figure 6A-6C). Cetuximab markedly inhibited the expression of p-EGFR in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells, whereas treatment with the miR-155-5p antagomir had no effect on the expression of p-EGFR in the EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells (Figure 6A and 6D). Moreover, results from the immunofluorescence staining assav confirmed that cetuximab combined with the



Figure 4. Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the inhibitory effect of cetuximab on the migration and invasion of TNBC cells. (A, B) EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 48 h. Cell migration was detected using the wound healing assay. (C, D) EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 24 h. Cell invasion was detected using the transwell invasion assay. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with the control group. ##P < 0.01 compared with the cetuximab 10 nM group.

miR-155-5p antagomir increased the level of GSDME-N in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells (Figure 6E and 6F). Meanwhile, results from the RT-qPCR analysis revealed that cetuximab had no effect on the levels of IL-1β and IL-18 in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells; however, the miR-155-5p antagomir markedly increased the levels of IL-1ß and IL-18 in the EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells (Figure 6G and 6H). Morphologically, cells in the miR-155-5p antagomir and cetuximab + miR-155-5p antagomir group exhibited the characteristic pyroptotic phenotype (e.g., cell swelling and large bubbles emerging from the plasma membrane) (Figure 6I). These data indicated that cetuximab combined with the miR-155-5p antagomir induced pyroptosis in EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells.

Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the antitumor effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells *in vivo*

We further investigated the role of cetuximab combined with the miR-155-5p antagomir in a MDA-MB-468 xenograft mouse model. As shown in Figure 7A–7C, the tumor volume and tumor weight were decreased in the cetuximab + miR-155-5p antagomir treatment group as compared with those in the cetuximab treatment group. In addition, treatment with the miR-155-5p antagomir or combination treatment notably decreased the level of miR-155-5p in tumor tissues (Figure 7D). Moreover, results from the immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay indicated that combination treatment markedly suppressed cell proliferation in tumor tissues (Figure 7E and 7F). Meanwhile, results from the TUNEL assay revealed that combination treatment notably induced cell apoptosis in tumor tissues (Figure 7G and 7H). Combination treatment upregulated the expression of GSDME-N and cleaved caspase-1 in tumor tissues, but downregulated the expression of p-EGFR (Figure 8A–8D). These data indicated that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells *in vivo* via inducing pyroptosis.

DISCUSSION

EGFR is overexpressed in TNBC cells; therefore it is a potential target for anticancer drugs [10]. Results from a study conducted by Nakamura et. al. [20] revealed that EGFR, which is a therapeutic target for oral cancer, was overexpressed in oral cancer cells. Cetuximab exhibits anti-tumor effects in human cancers via targeting EGFR [20–22]. In this study, we confirmed that cetuximab suppressed the proliferation of EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* via inhibiting the activation of EGFR.

Recently, miRNAs have gained attention as novel targets for the treatment of breast cancer [23]. Hong et al [24] indicated that miR-7 sensitized breast cancer cells to paclitaxel and carboplatin, while Shi et al [25] found that the overexpression of miR-129-5p enhanced the anti-tumor effect of taxol in breast cancer cells.



Figure 5. miR-155-5p directly binds to GSDME. (A) The 3'-UTR of GSDME harbors the miR-155-5p cognate sites. (B) Cells that were transfected with the miR-155-5p agomir were detected with RT-qPCR. (C) The relative luciferase activity of the reporter plasmids carrying the WT- or MT-GSDME 3'-UTR in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells following co-transfection with the miR-155-5p agomir was detected using the dual luciferase reporter assay. **P < 0.01 compared with the control group.

Studies have also demonstrated that miR-155 functioned as an oncogene in several cancers, such as oral squamous cell carcinoma, bladder cancer, and anaplastic thyroid cancer [26-28]. In this study, we found that miR-155-5p antagomir or cetuximab slightly inhibited the proliferation of EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells. However, the complete knockdown of miR-155-5p markedly enhanced the anti-proliferative effect of cetuximab in EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, the upregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the antiapoptotic effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells via upregulating the expressions of Bax and cleaved caspase-3 and downregulating the expression of Bcl-2. These data suggest that the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells via inducing apoptosis.

To further investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the miR-155-5p-mediated growth of EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells, we performed TargetScan and luciferase reporter assays to predict and confirm potential binding targets of miR-155-5p. Results from these assays indicated that GSDME was a potential binding target of miR-155-5p. GSDME is a member of the gasdermin family, which participates in the activation of pyroptosis [29]. Pyroptosis is a type of programmed cell death that is mediated by caspase-1 [30]. Specifically, activated caspase-1 cleaves GSDME into two fragments: N- and C-terminal domains [31]. The N-terminus of GSDME trans-locates into the cell membrane where it induces cell pyroptosis [19, 29]. Pyroptosis is characterized by rapid plasma-membrane rupture and the release of proinflammatory intracellular contents [32]. In this study, we found that the



Figure 6. Cetuximab and miR-155-5p antagomir combination treatment induces pyroptosis in TNBC cells. EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or the miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 72 h. (A) Expression levels of GSDME-N, cleaved caspase-1, and p-EGFR in cells were detected by western blotting. (B, C) The relative expression levels of GSDME-N and cleaved caspase-1 were normalized to β -actin. (D) The relative expression level of p-EGFR in cells was normalized to EGFR. (E, F) The relative fluorescence expression levels were quantified by GSDME-N and DAPI staining. (G, H) Levels of IL-1 β and IL-18 in cells were detected by RT-qPCR. (I) The ultrastructure of the cells was observed under a transmission electron microscope (TEM). Red arrowheads indicate the large bubbles emerging from the plasma membrane. **P < 0.01 compared with the control group. ##P < 0.01 compared with cetuximab the 10 nM group.

downregulation of miR-155-5p induced pyroptosis of EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells via the upregulation of GSDME, cleaved caspase-1, IL-1 β and IL-18. However, cetuximab had no effects on the levels of GSDME-N, cleaved caspase-1, IL-1 β and IL-18 in

EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells. Thus, although cetuximab exerted an anti-tumor effect in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells, this effect was not accomplished by inducing pyroptosis. Collectively, the downregulation of miR-155-5p may



Figure 7. Downregulation of miR-155-5p enhances the anti-tumor effect of cetuximab in TNBC cells *in vivo*. EGFRoverexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. (A) Tumor volumes of the mice were measured weekly. (B) The tumors were excised from xenografts and imaged on day 28. (C) The tumor weights were calculated. (D) RT-qPCR was used to determine the level of miR-155-5p in the tumor tissues. (E, F) The number of Ki67 positive cells in tumor tissues was measured using an immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis. (G, H) The cell apoptosis in tumor tissues was measured using a TUNEL analysis. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with the vehicle group. ##P < 0.01 compared with the cetuximab 10 nM group.





enhance the anti- tumor effect of cetuximab in EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* by inducing pyroptosis.

Apoptosis is the most widely recognized programmed process that lead to non-inflammatory cell death [32]. Meanwhile, pyroptosis (also known as caspase 1dependent programmed cell death) is another programmed cell death process, and is inherently inflammatory [33]. Our data found that combination treatment suppressed the growth of EGFRoverexpressed TNBC cells in vitro and in vivo by inducing apoptosis and pyroptosis. However, it is not clear at this point which one (apoptosis or pyroptosis) plays the leading role in triggering cell death in EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells. Therefore, further study is needed to elucidate the exact mechanism of combination-mediated cell death in TNBC.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we found that cetuximab exerted antitumor effect in EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells via the inhibition of EGFR. In addition, the downregulation of miR-155-5p enhanced the antitumor effect of cetuximab in EGFR-overexpressed TNBC cells via inducing apoptosis and pyroptosis. Therefore, the combination of the miR-155-5p antagomir with cetuximab may be an alternative therapeutic approach for the treatment of TNBC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The human TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468) were obtained from Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin) and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Lentivirus production and cell infection

The EGFR sequence was synthesized by GenePharma and cloned into the lentiviral expression vector. Next, the lenti-EGFR plasmids were infected into 293T cells and incubated for 48 h at 32 °C. After incubation, the supernatant containing the virus particles were collected, and the MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells were seeded into 60-mm cell plates at a density of 4 x 10^5 cells/well and cultured overnight. The following day, the lenti-EGFR supernatant was added directly to the MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. Finally, the cells were treated with Puromycin (2.5 μ g/mL, Sigma Aldrich) for three days to select stable EGFR overexpressed cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468).

Cell transfection

The miR-155-5p agomir (5'-UUAAUGCUAAU CGUGAUAGGGGU-3') and miR-155-5p antagomir (5'-ACCCCUAUCACGAUUAGCAUUAA-3') and negative control (NC)were synthesized and purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China) with a stock concentration of 20 μ M. Then, 10 nM miR-155-5p antagomir and NC were transfected into the EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 or EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The MiScript Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany) was used to synthesize complementary DNA. Real-time PCR was performed in the ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with the SYBR premix Ex Taq II kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The relative level of miR-155-5p was normalized to the internal control (e.g., U6) using the comparative delta CT ⁽²⁻ $\Delta\Delta CT$ method. The miR-155-5p and U6 primers were as follows: mR-155 Forward: 5'-TAATGCTAATCGTG ATAGGGGTTC-3': Reverse: 5'-CTCAACTGGT GTCGTGGAGTC-3'. U6: Forward: 5'-CTCG CTTCGGCAGCACAT-3'; Reverse: 5'-AACGCTT CACGAATTTGCGT-3'.

CCK-8 assay

EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 or MDA-MB-468 cells were seeded into 96-well plates $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ and incubated overnight. The following day, the cells were treated with cetuximab for 72 h at the following concentrations: 0, 5, 10, 20, or 40 nM. Cell viability was determined using the Cell Counting Kit- 8 (CCK-8) assay (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan), and the absorbance of the cells was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT, USA).

Combination studies

The combination index (CI) was used to determine the drug combination studies by using Chou–Talalay method [34]. EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-231 or MDA-MB-

468 cells were exposed to solutions containing 0, 5, 10, 20, or 40 µg/ml cetuximab combined with miR-155-5p agomir (10 nM). The CI value for the combination of cetuximab and miR-155-5p agomir in TNBC can be described as CI=DA/ICx,A+DB/ICx,B. CI < 0.9, indicates synergistic activity; $0.9 \le CI \le 1.1$, indicates additivity; CI > 1.1 indicates antagonism.

Immunofluorescence staining assay

Cells were washed twice with PBS, pre-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min, and post-fixed with pre-chilled methanol at -20 °C. Next, the cells were incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-Ki67 and anti-GSDME-N at 4 °C overnight. The following day, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies at 37 °C for 1 h. All of the antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA), and the cells were observed under a fluorescent microscope (Olympus BX53 Tokyo, Japan).

Flow cytometry

Cells were washed twice with pre-chilled PBS and resuspended in a binding buffer. Next, the cells were stained with 5 μ L of Annexin V-FITC and 5 μ L of propidium Iodide (PI, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min in the dark according to the protocol. A flow cytometer (BD FACSCanto II, BD Bioscience, Franklin Lake, NJ, USA) was used to determine the number of annexin V-FITC-positive apoptotic cells.

Wound healing assay

Cells $(2 \times 10^5$ cells/well) were seeded into a 12-well culture plate and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Once the cells reached 80 % confluency, a wound area was generated with a sterile 200 µL pipette tip, and the cells were washed with PBS to remove non-adherent cells. Next, the cells were treated with cetuximab (10 nM) and/or miR-155-5p antagomir (10 nM) for 48 h. Finally, the wound closure was photographed at 0 and 48 h in five random microscopic regions using a fluorescent microscope (Olympus).

Transwell invasion assay

A 24-well transwell (Corning New York, NY, USA) was pre-coated with Matrigel (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lake, NJ, USA). Cells (5×10^4 cells) that were suspended in serum-free DMEM medium were seeded into the upper chamber, and 600 µL of DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS were added to the lower chamber to induce cell invasion. After 24 h of incubation, the cells that attached to the lower surface of the chamber were stained with 0.2% crystal violet.

Finally, the cells were photographed at 0 and 48 h in five random microscopic regions using a fluorescent microscope (Olympus).

Western blot assay

Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Beyotime, Beijing, China). The protein samples were separated on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Next, the membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1 h and incubated with the following primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C: anti-Bax (1:1000, Abcam), anti-Bcl-2 (1:1000, Abcam), anti-cleaved caspase-3 (1:1000, Abcam), anti-GSDME-N (1:1000, Abcam), anti-cleaved caspase-1 (1:1000, Abcam), anti-p-EGFR (1:1000, Abcam), anti-EGFR (1:1000, Abcam), and anti- β -actin (1:1000, Abcam). The following day, the membranes were incubated with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG secondary antibody (1:5000, Abcam) at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, the blots were visualized using the ECL chemiluminescent substrate reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

The 3'-UTR sequences of GSDME that contained the predicted wild-type (WT) or mutant (MT) miR-155-5p binding sequences were ligated into the pGM-CMV-Luc vector (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). pGM-CMV-Luc-WT-GSDME-3'-UTR or pGM-CMV-Luc-MT-GSDME-3'-UTR was co-transfected into cells with the miR-155-5p agomir using Lipofectamine 2000. Luciferase activity was detected using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 48 h, and Renilla luciferase activity was used as the endogenous control.

Transmission electron microscopy

The ultrastructure of the cells was observed with a transmission electron microscope (TEM, H-600IV, Hitachi Ltd., Japan). Briefly, the cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (GA, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 4 °C overnight and dehydrated in ethanol. The samples were captured using a TEM as described previously [35].

Animal study

Four to 6-week-old BALB/c nude mice were purchased from the Hubei Provincial center for Laboratory Animal and maintained following the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Animals were randomized into four groups: 1) Vehicle, 2) cetuximab, 3) miR-155-5p antagomir, and 4) cetuximab + miR-155-5p antagomir group. EGFR-overexpressed MDA-MB-468 cells $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ per mouse in } 100 \ \mu\text{L}$ of PBS) were subcutaneously injected into the left flank of nude mice. When the tumors reached approximately 200 mm³, 50 nM miR-155-5p antagomir was directly injected into the tumors twice weekly, and the mice received weekly intraperitoneal injections of cetuximab (50 mg/kg). Tumor volume was measured every week using the following formula:

 $V = (\text{length x width}^2)/2 \text{ (width < length)}$

The mice were euthanized at 28 days after treatment, and the tumors were isolated and weighed. All of the animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of Longhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of TCM.

IHC analysis

IHC assays were performed to determine the expression level of Ki67 in tumor tissues. Tissue specimens were sectioned into 5 μ m thick slices and incubated with the primary Ki67 antibody overnight at 4 °C. The following day, the tissue was incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG for 30 min at room temperature. IHC reactions were visualize using the IHC detection system (EnVision kit; Dako Japan).

Statistical analysis

All data were repeated in triplicate. Data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (version 7.0, La Jolla, CA, USA). One-way analysis of variances (ANOVAs) were performed for multiple group comparisons, and pairwise comparisons were conducted with Tukey's tests when applicable. *P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Editorial note

[&]This corresponding author has a verified history of publications using a personal email address for correspondence.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WX and CS made major contributions to the conception, design and manuscript drafting of this study. WX, CS, XW and YL were responsible for data acquisition, data analysis and data interpretation. XB and XL were responsible for data acquisition and data analysis. JW and JL made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study and revised the

manuscript. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

FUNDING

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81803082), and China postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M632046).

REFERENCES

- Akram M, Iqbal M, Daniyal M, Khan AU. Awareness and current knowledge of breast cancer. Biol Res. 2017; 50:33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-017-0140-9</u> PMID:<u>28969709</u>
- Coleman MP, Quaresma M, Berrino F, Lutz JM, De Angelis R, Capocaccia R, Baili P, Rachet B, Gatta G, Hakulinen T, Micheli A, Sant M, Weir HK, et al, and CONCORD Working Group. Cancer survival in five continents: a worldwide population-based study (CONCORD). Lancet Oncol. 2008; 9:730–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70179-7</u> PMID:18639491
- Takagi A, Kano M, Kaga C. Possibility of breast cancer prevention: use of soy isoflavones and fermented soy beverage produced using probiotics. Int J Mol Sci. 2015; 16:10907–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160510907</u> PMID:25984609
- Al-Mahmood S, Sapiezynski J, Garbuzenko OB, Minko T. Metastatic and triple-negative breast cancer: challenges and treatment options. Drug Deliv Transl Res. 2018; 8:1483–507. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-018-0551-3</u> PMID:29978332
- Lu Y, Zhao X, Liu Q, Li C, Graves-Deal R, Cao Z, Singh B, Franklin JL, Wang J, Hu H, Wei T, Yang M, Yeatman TJ, et al. IncRNA MIR100HG-derived miR-100 and miR-125b mediate cetuximab resistance via Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Nat Med. 2017; 23:1331–41. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nm.4424</u> PMID:29035371
- Xu MJ, Johnson DE, Grandis JR. EGFR-targeted therapies in the post-genomic era. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2017; 36:463–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-017-9687-8</u> PMID:<u>28866730</u>

- Bertotti A, Papp E, Jones S, Adleff V, Anagnostou V, Lupo B, Sausen M, Phallen J, Hruban CA, Tokheim C, Niknafs N, Nesselbush M, Lytle K, et al. The genomic landscape of response to EGFR blockade in colorectal cancer. Nature. 2015; 526:263-67. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14969 PMID:26416732
- Bhargava R, Gerald WL, Li AR, Pan Q, Lal P, Ladanyi M, Chen B. EGFR gene amplification in breast cancer: correlation with epidermal growth factor receptor mRNA and protein expression and HER-2 status and absence of EGFR-activating mutations. Mod Pathol. 2005; 18:1027–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3800438 PMID:15920544
- Canonici A, Browne AL, Ibrahim MF, Fanning KP, Roche S, Conlon NT, O'Neill F, Meiller J, Cremona M, Morgan C, Hennessy BT, Eustace AJ, Solca F, et al. Combined targeting EGFR and SRC as a potential novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of triple negative breast cancer. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2020; 12:1758835919897546. https://doi.org/10.1177/1758835919897546

https://doi.org/10.1177/1758835919897546 PMID:<u>32064003</u>

- Liao WS, Ho Y, Lin YW, Raj EN, Liu KK, Chen CP, Zhou XZ, Lu KP, Chao JI. Targeting EGFR of triple-negative breast cancer enhances the therapeutic efficacy of paclitaxel- and cetuximab-conjugated nanodiamond nanocomposite. Acta Biomater. 2019; 86:395–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2019.01.025 PMID:<u>30660004</u>
- 11. Tanei T, Choi DS, Rodriguez AA, Liang DH, Dobrolecki L, Ghosh M, Landis MD, Chang JC. Antitumor activity of cetuximab in combination with ixabepilone on triple negative breast cancer stem cells. Breast Cancer Res. 2016; 18:6.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-015-0662-4 PMID:26757880

- Lv Y, Duanmu J, Fu X, Li T, Jiang Q. Identifying a new microRNA signature as a prognostic biomarker in colon cancer. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0228575. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228575</u> PMID:<u>32049961</u>
- Shin VY, Chu KM. MiRNA as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20:10432–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i30.10432</u> PMID:<u>25132759</u>
- 14. McGuire A, Brown JA, Kerin MJ. Metastatic breast cancer: the potential of miRNA for diagnosis and treatment monitoring. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2015; 34:145–55.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-015-9551-7 PMID:25721950

- Liu JH, Yang Y, Song Q, Li JB. MicroRNA-155regulates the proliferation and metastasis of human breast cancers by targeting MAPK7. J BUON. 2019; 24:1075–80. PMID:31424663
- 16. Zhang G, Zhong L, Luo H, Wang S. MicroRNA-155-3p promotes breast cancer progression through down-regulating CADM1. Onco Targets Ther. 2019; 12:7993–8002. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S206180</u> PMID:31579252
- Kayagaki N, Stowe IB, Lee BL, O'Rourke K, Anderson K, Warming S, Cuellar T, Haley B, Roose-Girma M, Phung QT, Liu PS, Lill JR, Li H, et al. Caspase-11 cleaves gasdermin D for non-canonical inflammasome signalling. Nature. 2015; 526:666–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15541</u> PMID:26375259
- Tsuchiya K, Nakajima S, Hosojima S, Thi Nguyen D, Hattori T, Manh Le T, Hori O, Mahib MR, Yamaguchi Y, Miura M, Kinoshita T, Kushiyama H, Sakurai M, et al. Caspase-1 initiates apoptosis in the absence of gasdermin D. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:2091. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09753-2</u> PMID:31064994
- Yu J, Li S, Qi J, Chen Z, Wu Y, Guo J, Wang K, Sun X, Zheng J. Cleavage of GSDME by caspase-3 determines lobaplatin-induced pyroptosis in colon cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2019; 10:193. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1441-4</u> PMID:30804337
- Nakamura H, Tamaki S, Yagyuu T, Yamakawa N, Hatake K, Kirita T. Relationship between EGFR expression in oral cancer cell lines and cetuximab antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Anticancer Res. 2019; 39:1275–82. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.13238

PMID:<u>30842158</u>

- El Guerrab A, Bamdad M, Kwiatkowski F, Bignon YJ, Penault-Llorca F, Aubel C. Anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors as combination therapy for triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:73618–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12037</u> PMID:27655662
- Zhang X, Li Y, Wei M, Liu C, Yu T, Yang J. Cetuximabmodified silica nanoparticle loaded with ICG for tumortargeted combinational therapy of breast cancer. Drug Deliv. 2019; 26:129–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2018.1564403</u> PMID:30798640
- 23. Ueda S, Takanashi M, Sudo K, Kanekura K, Kuroda M.

miR-27a ameliorates chemoresistance of breast cancer cells by disruption of reactive oxygen species homeostasis and impairment of autophagy. Lab Invest. 2020; 100:863–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41374-020-0409-4 PMID:32066826

- 24. Hong T, Ding J, Li W. miR-7 reverses breast cancer resistance to chemotherapy by targeting MRP1 and BCL2. Onco Targets Ther. 2019; 12:11097–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S213780</u> PMID:<u>31908478</u>
- Shi Y, Gong W, Lu L, Wang Y, Ren J. Upregulation of miR-129-5p increases the sensitivity to taxol through inhibiting HMGB1-mediated cell autophagy in breast cancer MCF-7 cells. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2019; 52:e8657. https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20198657

nttps://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20198 PMID:<u>31664305</u>

- 26. Wu M, Duan Q, Liu X, Zhang P, Fu Y, Zhang Z, Liu L, Cheng J, Jiang H. MiR-155-5p promotes oral cancer progression by targeting chromatin remodeling gene ARID2. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020; 122:109696. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109696</u> PMID:<u>31918270</u>
- Lu JJ, Yang WM, Li F, Zhu W, Chen Z. Tunneling nanotubes mediated microRNA-155 intercellular transportation promotes bladder cancer cells' invasive and proliferative capacity. Int J Nanomedicine. 2019; 14:9731–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S217277</u> PMID:31849465
- Zhang W, Ji W, Zhao X. MiR-155 promotes anaplastic thyroid cancer progression by directly targeting SOCS1. BMC Cancer. 2019; 19:1093. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-6319-4</u> PMID:<u>31718618</u>
- Wang YY, Liu XL, Zhao R. Induction of pyroptosis and its implications in cancer management. Front Oncol. 2019; 9:971. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00971</u> PMID:31616642
- Ball DP, Taabazuing CY, Griswold AR, Orth EL, Rao SD, Kotliar IB, Vostal LE, Johnson DC, Bachovchin DA. Caspase-1 interdomain linker cleavage is required for pyroptosis. Life Sci Alliance. 2020; 3:e202000664. <u>https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202000664</u> PMID:<u>32051255</u>

- Jiang S, Gu H, Zhao Y, Sun L. Teleost gasdermin E is cleaved by caspase 1, 3, and 7 and induces pyroptosis. J Immunol. 2019; 203:1369–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1900383</u> PMID:31391231
- Bergsbaken T, Fink SL, Cookson BT. Pyroptosis: host cell death and inflammation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009; 7:99–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2070</u> PMID:19148178
- 33. Cookson BT, Brennan MA. Pro-inflammatory programmed cell death. Trends Microbiol. 2001; 9:113–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-842x(00)01936-3</u> PMID:<u>11303500</u>
- 34. Miglietta R, Pastori C, Venuti A, Ochsenbauer C, Lopalco L. Synergy in monoclonal antibody neutralization of HIV-1 pseudoviruses and infectious molecular clones. J Transl Med. 2014; 12:346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-014-0346-3</u> PMID:<u>25496375</u>
- 35. Zhou H, Chen Z, Limpanont Y, Hu Y, Ma Y, Huang P, Dekumyoy P, Zhou M, Cheng Y, Lv Z. Necroptosis and Caspase-2-mediated apoptosis of astrocytes and neurons, but not microglia, of rat hippocampus and parenchyma caused by Angiostrongylus cantonensis infection. Front Microbiol. 2020; 10:3126. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.03126</u> PMID:<u>32038563</u>