

Hybrid positron emission tomography– magnetic resonance of the heart: current state of the art and future applications

Muhummad Sohaib Nazir¹, Tevfik F. Ismail¹, Eliana Reyes¹, Amedeo Chiribiri¹, Philipp A. Kaufmann², and Sven Plein^{1,3}*

¹School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK; ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Cardiac Imaging, University Hospital Zurich, Ramistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland; and ³Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, LIGHT Laboratories, Clarendon Way, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

Received 19 April 2018; editorial decision 9 June 2018; accepted 12 June 2018; online publish-ahead-of-print 12 July 2018

Hybrid positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance (PET-MR) imaging is a novel imaging modality with emerging applications for cardiovascular disease. PET-MR aims to combine the high-spatial resolution morphological and functional assessment afforded by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with the ability of positron emission tomography (PET) for quantification of metabolism, perfusion, and inflammation. The fusion of these two modalities into a single imaging platform not only represents an opportunity to acquire complementary information from a single scan, but also allows motion correction for PET with reduction in ionising radiation. This article presents a brief overview of PET-MR technology followed by a review of the published literature on the clinical cardio-vascular applications of PET and MRI performed separately and with hybrid PET-MR.

Keywords

cardiovascular magnetic resonance • cardiovascular positron emission tomography • hybrid imaging • MR-PET • PET-MR • PET-MRI

Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are well established modalities for the investigation of cardiovascular disease. MRI provides high-resolution information on anatomy, morphology, function, and tissue characteristics. Parametric mapping with MRI allows visualization of quantitative changes in the myocardium based on changes in T1, T2, T2* and allows detection of myocardial fibrosis, infiltration, and inflammation and iron overload.¹ PET allows assessment of physiological processes by labelling biological compounds with positron emitting radionuclides² and is the reference standard for non-invasive assessment of myocardial perfusion and absolute myocardial blood flow (MBF), myocardial metabolism, and inflammation. Integrated PET and MRI may confer synergistic value from image co-registration, motion correction, and reduction in ionising radiation for clinical applications.

This article presents a brief overview of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance (PET-MR) technology followed by a review of the published literature on the clinical cardio-vascular applications of PET and MRI performed separately and with hybrid PET-MR.

Technical considerations

Simultaneous PET-MR was first demonstrated in preclinical phantom models in 1997.² Presently, two configurations of PET-MR systems exist for clinical studies. The Philips Ingenuity PET-MR (Philips Medical Systems, the Netherlands) allows sequential imaging with a turntable aligned to the PET and MRI gantry. The Siemens Biograph mMR PET-MR (Siemens Healthcare, Germany) and GE Signa PET-MR (GE Healthcare, Waukesha) are fully integrated systems in the same gantry for true simultaneous PET and MRI acquisition.

The integration of PET and MRI systems in a single hardware platform presented several technical challenges.² A major obstacle was the production of MRI compatible PET detectors that could operate safely and efficiently within an MRI scanner. In addition, rapidly alternating gradient fields of an MRI scanner could induce eddy currents in PET circuitry and lead to signal loss, heating, and vibration.²

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +44 113 3437720; Fax: +44 113 3436603. E-mail: s.plein@leeds.ac.uk

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

MRI radiofrequency pulses cause electronic interference with standard PET detectors. On the other hand, standard ferromagnetic PET detectors may cause inhomogeneities in the magnetic field and lead to susceptibility artefacts and degrade MRI quality. Innovative solutions overcame these challenges, particularly the development of PET detectors that operate within a strong magnetic field.²

Attenuation correction

Quantitative PET data requires an accurate method for photon attenuation, which occurs when photons that undergo annihilation are absorbed by the body. As such, PET pixel values need to be scaled to radioactivity concentrations units.³ In PET-CT, attenuation correction (AC) of PET data is derived from computed tomography (CT) data, which are rescaled from Hounsfield units to 511-keV linear attenuation coefficients to generate robust μ -maps.⁴ In PET-MR, AC is challenging as MRI signal intensity reflects proton density, which has no direct relationship with tissue density or photon absorption as do CT data.⁵ In PET-MR, attenuation maps are commonly derived from Dixon MRI sequences,⁶ which are then used to segment discrete anatomical regions into air, lung, fat, or soft tissue with known linear attenuation coefficients,⁷ and voxels that belong to these tissue classes are assigned a corresponding linear attenuation coefficient.⁸

Published comparisons between PET-CT and PET-MR quantitative data have demonstrated comparable standardized uptake values (SUV) of cardiac ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG).^{9–12} Respiratory misalignment commonly occurs with MRI-based AC maps, and metallic artefact produces voids, but these can be corrected with manual adjustment and importantly were found not to impact quantitative PET data.¹³

However, there are several challenges to AC with PET-MR, in particular, cortical bone (the tissue that contributes the greatest to attenuation) and air have low MRI signal, yet both are at the extremes of photon attenuation. Standard Dixon based MRI-based AC maps do not consider bone and solutions have been proposed with a Dixon based model-based bone segmentation algorithm¹⁴ and ultrashort echo time (UTE) sequences.^{8,15}

Motion correction

Hybrid PET-MR has potential to provide high-resolution MRI data with excellent soft-tissue contrast to track respiratory movement to motion-correct PET data.¹⁶ Multiple radiation-free MRI acquisitions can be acquired simultaneously to enhance image quality and quantitative PET data. Several studies have demonstrated significant respiratory motion correction and improvement in accuracy of quantitative SUV with MRI respiratory motion data.^{17–19} Furthermore, methods are developing for highly efficient MRI motion correction models to simultaneously correct coronary MRI and PET data with potential to enhance PET-MR imaging.²⁰

Clinical applications of PET-MR

There are many potential clinical applications for PET-MR in cardiovascular imaging, but to date, the development has focussed on a small number of applications, which are discussed below.

Myocardial perfusion imaging

MRI and PET perfusion imaging are both in routine clinical use for ischaemia testing with similar levels of evidence in European Society of Cardiology guidelines for diagnosis of stable angina.²¹

MRI

Myocardial perfusion MRI tracks the first myocardial passage of a gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA) following intravenous injection. GBCAs shorten T1-relaxation time and increase signal intensity on T1-weighted MRI pulse sequences, which are used to acquire a dynamic series of images. Typically combined with vasodilator stress, myocardial perfusion MRI for ischaemia assessment is recommended in patients with intermediate risk of coronary artery disease (CAD).²¹

In meta-analyses, myocardial perfusion MRI has a sensitivity of 87% and 89% and specificity of 91% and 87% at the vessel-level and patient-level respectively when compared with invasive fractional flow reserve as the reference standard.²² Absolute MBF quantification with MRI is feasible and provides independent incremental prognostic value compared with visual analysis.²³ Techniques for fully automated, free-breathing, pixel-wise MBF quantification with MRI showed good agreement against PET, and promise to accelerate adoption into clinical practice.^{24,25}

PET

Perfusion PET tracers (*Table 1*) are generated by cyclotron (¹⁵O-Water, ¹³N-Ammonia, ¹⁸F-Flurpiridaz) or generator (Rubidium-82) and allow visual or fully quantitative assessment of myocardial perfusion at rest and stress. A meta-analysis demonstrated sensitivity 92% and specificity 85% for PET to detect angiographically defined CAD.²⁹ A normal PET perfusion scan is associated with a <1% annual cardiac event rate, while an abnormal scan indicates adverse prognosis.^{30,31}

PET is the *in vivo* reference standard for MBF quantification.³² PET MBF is superior to visual analysis for CAD detection and improves diagnostic accuracy in multi-vessel CAD and microvascular disease.^{33–35} A reduced myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR), ratio of stress: rest MBF, is an independent marker for adverse cardiovascular outcome.^{36,37}

PET-MR

There is only one published study on the use of hybrid PET-MR for myocardial perfusion imaging. Twenty-nine patients underwent simultaneous stress and rest PET-MR perfusion with ¹³N-Ammonia and first-pass GBCA MRI.³⁸ A good correlation and agreement was reported with MRI MBF compared with PET MBF, with improved correlation after haematocrit correction to convert MRI plasma flow values to blood flow. However, in keeping with previous studies comparing MRI and PET MBF, MRI tended to overestimate MBF. Potential reasons for this overestimation include the differences in quantification algorithms between the methods and the fact that the gold standard PET tracer ¹⁵O-Water was not used.

Future outlook

Although hybrid PET-MR perfusion imaging is an unlikely future clinical application as both modalities measure MBF, PET-MR is an ideal

Radiotracer	Half-life	Availability	Mechanism	Comments
¹⁵ O-Water	122 s	On-site cyclotron	Metabolically inert, diffuses freely across capillary membrane	 Ideal tracer for MBF quantification, near perfect linear relationship between flow and tracer uptake.^{26,27} 100% myocardial extraction fraction. Intermediate image quality due to long positron range.
¹³ N-Ammonia	9.96 min	On-site cyclotron	Diffusion and metabolic trapping	 >80% myocardial extraction fraction. High image quality due to short positron range and myocardial retention. Validated against ¹⁵O-Water.^{27,28}
Rubidium-82	76 s	Generator	Myocardial uptake via Na/ K-ATPase	Short half-life allows rapid protocols. MBF underestimation at high-flow rates due to roll-off phenomenon. 65% myocardial extraction fraction. Moderate image quality due to long positron range.
¹⁸ F-Flurpiridaz	110 min	Regional cyclotron	Rapid uptake by myocyte mitochondrial complex	 Near linear kinetics of tracer uptake and MBF. Good image quality due to short positron range. High myocardial extraction fraction (94%). Current evaluation in Phase III trials. Does not require on-site cyclotron and therefore allows greater access.

 Table I
 Physical properties of perfusion PET tracers

Table 2 Radiotracers with potential clinical utility with PET-MR

Radiotracer	Mechanism	Potential use	Future applications
¹⁸ F-FDG	Glucose analogue, undergoes intracellular phosphoryl-	Viability Inflammation	Integrated ¹⁸ F-FDG with dobutamine stress MRI, LGE, and mapping may accurately predict functional recovery.
	ation and trapped without further metabolism	Sarcoidosis	Potential for improved diagnostic accuracy and risk stratification in cardiac sarcoidosis and myocarditis with combined mapping, LGE and ¹⁸ F-FDG.
¹⁸ F-Flouride	Microcalcification	Coronary plaque imaging Amyloid	Fused coronary anatomy with high-risk atherosclerotic inflammatory activ- ity with ¹⁸ F-Fluoride may predict plaque rupture to guide preventative therapy.
			May discriminate ATTR amyloid from AL amyloid.
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTATATE	Binds to activated	Coronary plaque	Superior coronary imaging and better discriminator between culprit and
	inflammatory macrophages	imaging	non-culprit lesions compared with ¹⁸ F-FDG. Combined anatomical and
			metabolic activity could be used to identify high-risk lesions prior to rupture.
¹⁸ F-Florbetaben	Binds to β amyloid	Amyloid	Integrated T1-mapping and LGE with MRI with novel PET tracers may ac- curately diagnose cardiac amyloid.
¹¹ C-Acetate	Oxidative metabolism	Viability assessment	Combined ¹¹ C-Acetate and low-dose-dobutamine may accurately predict
		Metabolic efficiency	functional recovery following coronary revascularization or response to
		assessment	cardiac resynchronization therapy.

platform to cross-validate the two methods under identical haemodynamic conditions and remove physiological variation in measurement. Emerging MRI methods for MBF quantification may be compared against the gold standard PET tracer ¹⁵O-Water with potential to provide an alternative future method for MBF quantification that is free of ionizing radiation.

Viability

Viability assessment is most commonly used to predict functional recovery following revascularization. Both MRI and PET are in clinical use, but take conceptually different approaches to viability/scar assessment.

MRI

In clinical practice, MRI assessment of myocardial viability is most commonly based on late gadolinium enhancement (LGE). The distribution volume of the clinically used extracellular GBCAs is increased in infarcted tissue due to its larger extracellular volume (ECV) and slower clearance of GBCA. LGE imaging exploits these differences in contrast concentration and generates images of myocardial scar with high-tissue contrast and high-spatial resolution. On LGE, segments with <25% transmural extent of infarction have a high likelihood of functional recovery whereas segments with >75% transmural infarction are unlikely to recover after revascularization.³⁹ An alternative method for viability assessment with MRI is low-dose dobutamine cine imaging, which has comparable accuracy to stress echocardiography to detect functional reserve as a marker of viability. In a metaanalysis, 50% subendocardial LGE had sensitivity (95%) and sensitivity (51%) for prediction of functional recovery following revascularization.⁴⁰ Low-dose dobutamine had a lower sensitivity (81%) but greater specificity (91%) to predict functional recovery.⁴⁰

PET

Viability assessment by PET is based on metabolic assessment. ¹⁸F-FDG is a radiolabelled glucose analogue that becomes trapped within cardiac myocytes following intracellular uptake and provides strong signal for PET imaging.^{41,42} Optimal assessment of viability requires integration of perfusion and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake.⁴² Dysfunctional segments are 'chronically stunned' (preserved perfusion and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake), 'hibernating' (impaired perfusion, preserved ¹⁸F-FDG uptake), or 'scarred' (impaired perfusion and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake).⁴² A pooled analysis demonstrated sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 63% for ¹⁸F-FDG to predict functional recovery following revascularization.⁴³

PET-MR

As shown, MRI and PET use different biological approaches to assess myocardial viability. To date, most studies have focussed on crossvalidation of techniques rather than exploitation of synergy.

Published studies have reported good agreement with LGE transmurality and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake with PET-MR in viability assessment.^{9,44,45} In a study of patients prior to consideration for coronary revascularization, PET-MR reclassified 19% of segments reported as 'not assessable' due to intermediate LGE (25–75% transmurality) after integration of ¹⁸F-FDG.⁴⁶ This led to a recommendation for revascularization of only one additional coronary vessel compared with MRI alone in 12 patients.⁴⁶ MRI performed at 6 months follow-up found that initial ¹⁸F-FDG was a better predictor for functional recovery than baseline LGE (*Figure 1*).⁴⁴

Future outlook

Hybrid PET-MR offers potential for combined morphological, functional, and metabolic assessment of myocardial viability. Hybrid PET-MR may provide incremental diagnostic value particularly in cases where MRI or PET alone provide intermediate probabilities of functional recovery such as in cases with LGE of 25–75%. Furthermore, in complex cases such as multivessel CAD and ischaemic cardiomyopathy, multimodal imaging may provide complementary information to more accurately predict functional recovery. This could be achieved in a single PET-MR scan by combining data on contractile function with dobutamine stress cardiovascular magnetic resonance and co-registration of $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ PET activity in addition to wall thickness and LGE. Potential clinical utility will only be realized in larger studies that define clear incremental benefit of patient outcomes.

Coronary imaging

Non-invasive coronary imaging is the domain of cardiac CT but both MRI and PET provide relevant information on coronary arterial pathology, in particular atherosclerotic plaque, and their combination holds promise for risk stratification.

MRI

Magnetic resonance angiography can visualize the proximal course of coronary arteries in almost all cases⁴⁷ and is recommended in guidelines for assessment of coronary artery anomalies and aortocoronary bypass grafts but not detection of coronary stenosis.⁴⁸ Coronary vessel wall imaging with black-blood MRI demonstrates increased wall thickness in CAD patients.^{49,50} Non-contrast T1-weighted images visualized intracoronary thrombus with sensitivity 91% in patients following acute myocardial infarction.⁵¹ Furthermore, high-intensity plaques identified by non-contrast T1-weighted images were an independent factor for predicting coronary events.⁵²

PET

Arterial ¹⁸F-FDG and increased carotid ¹⁸F-FDG uptake are associated with increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events.^{53,54} However, ¹⁸F-FDG coronary imaging is challenging as left ventricular myocardial glucose uptake often obscures activity within coronary vessels. There is growing interest into ¹⁸F-Fluoride, which has a predilection for vascular microcalcification, a feature of high-risk atheroma.⁵⁵ Coronary ¹⁸F-Fluoride uptake was demonstrated in patients with high Framingham risk score and was localized in culprit lesions in 93% of patients following acute myocardial infarction.^{56,57} ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE, a specific macrophage tracer, was detected in culprit coronary lesions in patients with recent myocardial infarction or high-risk stable lesions and was a better discriminator of high-risk atherosclerotic lesions than ¹⁸F-FDG.⁵⁸

PET-MR

The potential of hybrid PET-MR for coronary imaging has been demonstrated in a number of feasibility studies.

Coronary PET-MR was performed with ¹⁸F-Fluoride or ¹⁸F-FDG in 23 patients with CAD or risk factors for CAD.⁵⁹ Several 'hotspots' of ¹⁸F-Fluoride were observed in the coronary arteries of patients with established CAD and a novel AC method eliminated significant artefacts compared with standard AC methods.⁵⁹

Another study demonstrated greater ¹⁸F-Fluoride uptake compared with non-culprit lesions on PET-MR following percutaneous revascularization.⁶⁰ Interestingly, ¹⁸F-Fluoride uptake was demonstrated within infarcted myocardium, depicted from scar tissue, and in coronary plaque.⁶⁰ (*Figure 2*).

Future outlook

Clinical studies of PET-MR thus far have demonstrated techniques to localize plaque rupture, although imaging was performed following



Figure I Different combinations of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and LGE transmurality with PET-MR. Left column: 'PET viable/MRI viable'; middle column: 'PET non-viable/MRI non-viable'; right column: 'PET non-viable'. White arrows indicate infarcted myocardium. Reproduced with permission from ref. 44.

acute cardiac events. Of much greater clinical relevance is the ability to localize and identify vulnerable plaques *prior* to rupture. Hybrid PET-MR imaging is well suited to meet this challenge: anatomical detail and plaque characterization can be obtained from MRI and combined with inflammatory atherosclerotic plaque activity from PET. Furthermore, emerging MRI motion models may be applied at multiple time points to motion-correct PET data and MRI data simultaneously without additional radiation.²⁰ Emerging radiotracers (*Table 2*) such as ¹⁸F-Fluoride and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE may be evaluated with developing MRI motion correction techniques for precise anatomical identification of high-risk coronary plaques *prior* to rupture. This may allow targeted selection of high-risk patients for aggressive risk factor modification or revascularization and prevent adverse outcome.

Cardiac sarcoidosis

Sarcoidosis is a multisystem granulomatous disorder that causes arrhythmia, conduction disease, cardiac failure, or sudden cardiac death. No universally accepted diagnostic test exists for cardiac sarcoidosis and sensitivity of endomyocardial biopsy is around 20%.⁶¹ Diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis is challenging, and multimodality imaging is recommended with MRI and PET in a recent international position paper (*Figure 3*).⁶²

MRI

Most commonly reported MRI findings in cardiac sarcoidosis include LGE of the mid-wall/epicardium of basal septum and lateral wall, and subendocardial and transmural enhancement.⁶³ LGE in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis was an independent predictor for ventricular tachycardia and death.^{64,65} Patients (n = 61) with cardiac sarcoidosis (biopsy confirmed or clinical criteria) were found to have greater T1, T2, and ECV values compared with volunteers.⁶⁶ A reduction in native T1 and T2 values was reported in 18 patients following anti-inflammatory therapy.⁶⁷ Parametric mapping may serve as an imaging biomarker of disease activity and inflammation and guide anti-inflammatory therapy rather than LGE, which indicates fibrosis and may represent late sequelae or non-active disease.



Figure 2 Subendocardial LGE demonstrating extensive infarct tissue (A) overlaid with ¹⁸F-Fluoride uptake indicating myocardial microcalcification (B). Reproduced with permission from ref. 60.



Figure 3 Recommended strategy for non-invasive imaging for assessment of patients with suspected cardiac sarcoidosis. Reproduced with permission from ref. 62.

PET

¹⁸F-FDG lends itself well to identification of inflammatory lesions in active sarcoidosis as there is increased glucose utilization from macrophage activity. Careful patient preparation with low-carbohydrate diet and fasting prior to imaging is essential to avoid false positive results.⁶⁸ PET imaging for sarcoidosis includes imaging with a perfusion radiotracer and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake with three patterns recognized: normal perfusion and no ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, abnormal perfusion or ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, and abnormal perfusion and metabolism.⁶⁹ A perfusion-metabolism mismatch (reduced perfusion and increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake) was associated with increased risk of cardiac death or ventricular arrhythmia.⁶⁹

A meta-analysis demonstrated sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 78% for $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ to detect cardiac sarcoidosis compared with Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare (JMHW) criteria. 70

PET-MR

Several studies have utilized PET-MR in patients with suspected cardiac sarcoidosis.

In a study of 51 patients, the sensitivities for cardiac sarcoidosis detection of PET and MRI alone were 85% and 82%, respectively, which improved to 94% with hybrid PET-MR using the JMHW criteria as reference standard in patients with suspected cardiac sarcoidosis.⁷¹ There was poor agreement between regions of high ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and LGE, although this is not an unexpected finding as the two modalities measure different entities of disease activity, and this study highlights the complementary information acquired from both modalities. At 2-year follow up, cardiac right ventricular PET involvement and presence of LGE were independent predictors of adverse events.⁷¹

In another study, PET-MR was used in 25 patients with suspected active sarcoidosis, defined as positive LGE and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake (*Figure 4*).⁷² Higher ¹⁸F-FDG SUV outperformed T2-mapping by MRI (area under the curve 0.98 vs. 0.75, respectively) for detection of active sarcoidosis.⁷² This study demonstrated the utility of hybrid imaging to differentiate active cardiac sarcoidosis from non-active disease with an alternative classification, that may be more clinically meaningful compared with current established diagnostic criteria.

Future outlook

Hybrid PET-MR combines two powerful imaging modalities to obtain complementary information on distinct pathological processes in cardiac sarcoidosis: ¹⁸F-FDG PET delineates acute myocardial inflammation from macrophage activity, whilst MRI reveals regional motion wall abnormalities from granuloma formation, fibrosis from LGE, oedema from T2, and changes in myocardial structure with parametric mapping. The combination of precisely coregistered data in a single scan may be used to devise and validate much needed accurate diagnostic criteria for cardiac sarcoidosis. Furthermore, hybrid PET-MR may provide unique insights into the pathophysiology of cardiac sarcoidosis and serve as an imaging biomarker to guide antiinflammatory therapy. Hybrid PET-MR may also derive perfusionmetabolism information from MRI perfusion data and ¹⁸F-FDG activity at reduced radiation burden.

Myocarditis

Myocarditis is an inflammatory condition that causes chest pain, acute or chronic heart failure, life threatening arrhythmias, or cardiogenic shock.⁷³ MRI is now commonly used in the diagnosis of myocarditis and to differentiate from myocardial infarction, while PET can also detect acute inflammation in myocarditis.

MRI

MRI provides important information in patients with myocarditis: increased signal intensity with T2-weighted imaging in acute myocarditis results from oedema, early gadolinium enhancement (EGE) demonstrates capillary leakage and LGE identifies infiltration and scar, typically in the inferolateral walls and less frequently in the anteroseptum.⁷⁴

The 'Lake Louise' Criteria (which are currently being revised) requires two of three positive MRI features—EGE, LGE, and T2 imaging.⁷⁴ In addition, native T1-mapping may be used and in a study 50 patients had superior diagnostic performance compared with T2weighted imaging in patients with suspected myocarditis.⁷⁵ Furthermore, native T1 provided incremental diagnostic value and outperformed the original Lake-Louise criteria in acute myocarditis.⁷⁶

PET

¹⁸F-FDG is highly sensitive to metabolically active inflammation.⁷⁷ ¹⁸F-FDG had sensitivity and specificity of 100% for detection of endomyocardial biopsy proven active myocarditis in patients when performed within 14 days of onset.⁷⁸ Another study demonstrated increased uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC in patients when PET-CT performed within 3–10 days of symptom onset.⁷⁹

PET-MR

In the only study of simultaneous PET-MR in patients (n = 55) with suspected myocarditis, there was good agreement between ¹⁸F-FDG and T2 and/or LGE (*Figure 5*).⁸⁰ However, no EGE imaging was performed, nor did patients undergo endomyocardial biopsy as a reference standard. ¹⁸F-FDG may provide additive information in patients with diffuse myocardial damage not detectable by MRI in diffuse inflammation or before myocyte necrosis.

Future outlook

A study of combined parametric mapping and ¹⁸F-FDG has yet to be performed, which will be of greater value for cross-validation. Moreover, PET-MR may aid in staging and risk stratification of patients with myocarditis by combining inflammatory activity with PET ¹⁸F-FDG or novel tracers such as ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC with MRI assessment of fibrosis and oedema. In addition, PET-MR may guide biopsy of inflammatory foci with high metabolic activity through precise anatomical localization by MRI.⁸¹

Amyloidosis

Cardiac amyloidosis is an infiltrative condition characterized by deposition of beta-pleated sheets and causes restrictive cardiomyopathy. Differentiation of light-chain amyloid (AL) or wild type/familialrelated amyloid (ATTR) is important as treatment and prognosis differ.

MRI

Typical MRI findings in amyloidosis include symmetric or asymmetric left ventricular thickening, biatrial enlargement, and pleural effusions. LGE shows global transmural or subendocardial LGE in noncoronary artery territory distribution⁸² and had sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 92% for detection of cardiac amyloid in a recent meta-analysis.⁸³ Transmural LGE was an independent marker for mortality in patients with cardiac amyloid.⁸⁴ Native T1-values are elevated in ATTR amyloid patients compared with volunteers and patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.⁸⁵

PET

¹¹C-Pittsburgh B, a radiotracer for detection of beta-amyloid deposits in Alzheimer's patients, was detected in 13/15 patients with biopsy confirmed cardiac amyloid, whereas none was detected in patients without amyloid.⁸⁶ ¹⁸F-Florbetapir also detects beta-amyloid plaques and a pilot study demonstrated greater uptake in patients with cardiac amyloid compared with controls.^{87,88}

PET-MR

Only one published study to date has explored PET-MR in cardiac amyloid. Seven patients with AL or ATTR amyloid and 7 controls underwent PET-MR imaging.⁸⁹ T1 values were similar between AL and ATTR amyloid. Fused and co-registered images allowed precise measurement of tissue ¹⁸F-Fluoride activity in areas of myocardial amyloid deposition depicted by LGE. ATTR amyloid patients had higher tissue-to-background ratios of ¹⁸F-Fluoride uptake compared with AL amyloid patients or controls.⁸⁹





Future outlook

Hybrid PET-MR may have a role in the future to discriminate AL and ATTR amyloid heart disease, although larger clinical studies are required prior to consideration as a routine clinical application.

Anderson-Fabry disease

Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD), an X-linked lysosomal storage disorder characterized by deficiency of alpha-galactosidase, results in glycosphingolipids accumulation and may cause left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), myocardial fibrosis, systolic and diastolic dysfunction, arrhythmia, conduction disease, and sudden death.⁹⁰ Early diagnosis is important as enzyme replacement therapy (EZT) can reverse disease progression.⁹¹

MRI

Typical MRI findings in AFD include concentric, asymmetric, or apical LVH and mid-wall fibrosis of the basal inferolateral wall.^{92,93} Native



Figure 5 PET-MR images in myocarditis. Inferolateral wall mid-wall fibrosis (MRI) (*A*), homogenous T2 signal intensity (MRI) (*B*). Increased ¹⁸F-FDG signal in the inferolateral wall (PET) (*C*). Fused PET-MR with increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake at the site of inferolateral LGE (*D*). Reproduced with permission from ref. 80.

T1-mapping can add to the detection of AFD since lipids lower T1-values and accurately discriminate AFD from other causes of LVH such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, aortic stenosis, and hypertension. $^{94}\,$

PET

Two studies reported that AFD patients had lower MPR with ¹⁵O-Water compared with controls.^{95,96} This may relate to increased vascular resistance secondary to cardiac myocyte hypertrophy and fibrosis due to intracellular glycosphingolipids accumulation. Accumulating glycolipids may trigger an inflammatory response with pro-inflammatory cytokines and increased macrophage activity that eventually lead to fibrotic changes.⁹⁷ PET may have a role to potentially detect inflammation at early stages of disease.

PET-MR

In a study of 13 patients with AFD who underwent PET-MR, 5 patients had LVH and focal LGE fibrosis of which 3 had positive STIR and focal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake.⁹⁸ The latter group had raised cardiac troponin levels, whilst those patients with negative STIR images did not have focal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake. The authors suggested PET-MR differentiated mature fibrosis or scar from fibrosis associated to active inflammation. However, this was a small sample size and several patients had homogenous ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, possibly indicating insufficient myocardial suppression.

Future outlook

PET-MR may aid diagnosis of AFD, provide insights into immunopathology of different disease stages and have potential as an imaging biomarker to guide EZT.

Cardiac masses

In clinical practice, cardiac masses are primarily imaged with echocardiography and CT, while MRI and PET are reserved for further characterization.

MRI

MRI can interrogate cardiac masses with T1-weighted blackblood imaging for mass localization, cine imaging for morphology, mobility and functional consequence, and tagging for assessment of attachments to other structures.⁹⁹ T1 and T2weighted imaging with/without fat suppression aids further tissue characterization.⁹⁹ Furthermore, EGE allows thrombus detection, LGE allows assessment of extracellular matrix, whilst perfusion allows vascularity assessment. MRI has diagnostic accuracy of 92% for prediction of lesion type compared with histology findings.¹⁰⁰ LGE can differentiate benign and malignant tumours with diagnostic accuracy of 79%.¹⁰¹

PET

PET allows whole body evaluation of masses with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake for staging, monitoring therapy, and prognosis. Malignant tumours have greater ¹⁸F-FDG uptake compared with benign tumours.¹⁰² The diagnostic accuracy for detection of malignant lesions was 96%, with SUV_{max} cut-off of 3.5–4.0.¹⁰³

PET-MR

In a study of 20 patients who underwent PET-MR for cardiac mass assessment, ¹⁸F-FDG SUV_{max} was higher in malignant lesions and SUV_{max} cut-off of 5.2 provided 100% sensitivity and 92% specificity for malignancy detection.¹⁰⁴ Similarly, a 100% sensitivity and 92% specificity was achieved for MRI to detect malignancy, using combined cine imaging, T1-weighted, T2-weighted, post-contrast T1-weighted images and presence of pericardial effusion.¹⁰⁴ A hybrid approach of MRI and PET with SUV_{max} cut-off of 5.2 increased sensitivity and specificity to 100%. These are interesting findings, although first-pass perfusion, EGE or LGE imaging was not reported as part of the 'MRI only' classification, which may have otherwise increased diagnostic accuracy for MRI alone.

Future outlook

Hybrid PET-MR can offer additional information though precise coregistration and localization of masses to be undertaken, with detailed interrogation with high resolution T1 and T2-weighted images, parametric mapping, perfusion, LGE imaging and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in one sitting that may improve diagnosis, staging and provide further clarity on disease activity.

Future perspectives

PET-MR is an emerging modality gaining widespread interest for application to cardiovascular disease.

The ability to obtain multiple radiation-free MRI data to motioncorrect PET data is highly attractive, and future research will focus on improved efficiency and reduction in acquisition time of MRI sequences to simultaneously enhance PET images, quantitative PET data as well as MRI data, particularly for coronary imaging and the application of emerging radiotracers.

AC has long been a challenge for PET-MR and novel methods to develop highly accurate AC maps such as with the inclusion of bone segmentation may be applied in future studies.¹⁴ Manual methods for misalignment of AC maps allows correction and studies thus far have demonstrated PET-MR provides comparable quantitative data to PET-CT. Another pressing challenge is a solution for AC map signal dropout from metallic artefacts, which may become increasingly important in the future with emerging MRI compatible implantable cardiac devices at 3 T,¹⁰⁵ the field strength of available clinical PET-MR systems.

PET-MR is an expensive modality that requires proximity to a cyclotron, specialist staff trained in PET and MRI for patient preparation, image acquisition, AC, post-processing, analysis and reporting. Simultaneous PET-MR imaging may improve patient workflow, with improved patient experience of a single scan. However, clear clinical indications for a hybrid PET-MR scan require evidence of incremental benefit compared with sequential imaging with separate MRI and PET scans. There is vast potential for application to research for cardiac PET-MR, particularly for coronary imaging which may pave the way for multicentre studies for identification of high-risk vulnerable plaques prior to rupture. With the current evidence available, clinical PET-MR may be well suited to conditions such as cardiac masses and suspected cardiac sarcoidosis where hybrid imaging offers precise co-registration and fusion of complementary information that may provide incremental value.

Once clear clinical indications and pathways are developed, these will need to be recognized as cost-effective for healthcare systems with a defined route for reimbursement.

Conclusion

Hybrid PET-MR combines two powerful imaging modalities to fuse and co-register anatomical detail, tissue characterization, and metabolic data. Technical challenges with AC remain and there is large potential for motion correction of PET data from MRI data. Several studies thus far have focussed on cross-validation of techniques and emerging studies indicate incremental benefit beyond sequential MRI and PET imaging. Future large studies will determine incremental utility of combined rather than sequential imaging and whether PET-MR will be restricted to the research domain or cement itself in the clinical arena.

Funding

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Department of Health through the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre award to Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London and King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and by the NIHR Healthcare Technology Co-operative for Cardiovascular Disease at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust. This work was supported by the Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering [WT 203148/Z/16/Z]. M.S.N. was funded by the UK Medical Research Council under grant number MR/P01979X/1. S.P. was funded by a British Heart Foundation Chair under grant number CH/16/2/32089. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the DoH, EPSRC, MRC or the Wellcome Trust.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Messroghli DR, Moon JC, Ferreira VM, Grosse-Wortmann L, He T, Kellman P et al. Clinical recommendations for cardiovascular magnetic resonance mapping of T1, T2, T2* and extracellular volume: a consensus statement by the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) endorsed by the European Association for Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI). J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2017;19:75.
- Vandenberghe S, Marsden PK. PET-MRI: a review of challenges and solutions in the development of integrated multimodality imaging. *Phys Med Biol* 2015;60: R115–54.
- Muzic RF, DiFilippo FP. PET/MRI—Technical Review. Semin Roentgenol 2014;49: 242–54.
- Kinahan PE, Townsend DW, Beyer T, Sashin D. Attenuation correction for a combined 3D PET/CT scanner. Med Phys 1998;25:2046–53.
- Keereman V, Mollet P, Berker Y, Schulz V, Vandenberghe S. Challenges and current methods for attenuation correction in PET/MR. MAGMA 2013;26:81–98.
- 6. Dixon WT. Simple proton spectroscopic imaging. Radiology 1984;153:189-94.
- Martinez-Moller A, Souvatzoglou M, Delso G, Bundschuh RA, Chefd'hotel C, Ziegler SI et al. Tissue classification as a potential approach for attenuation correction in whole-body PET/MRI: evaluation with PET/CT data. J Nucl Med 2009; 50:520–6.
- Keereman V, Fierens Y, Broux T, De Deene Y, Lonneux M, Vandenberghe S. MRI-based attenuation correction for PET/MRI using ultrashort echo time sequences. J Nucl Med 2010;51:812–8.
- Nensa F, Poeppel TD, Beiderwellen K, Schelhorn J, Mahabadi AA, Erbel R et al. Hybrid PET/MR imaging of the heart: feasibility and initial results. *Radiology* 2013;**268**:366.

- Oldan JD, Shah SN, Brunken RC, DiFilippo FP, Obuchowski NA, Bolen MA. Do myocardial PET-MR and PET-CT FDG images provide comparable information? *Nucl Cardiol* 2016;23:1102.
- Vontobel J, Liga R, Possner M, Clerc OF, Mikulicic F, Veit-Haibach P et al. MRbased attenuation correction for cardiac FDG PET on a hybrid PET/MRI scanner: comparison with standard CT attenuation correction. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2015;42:1574–80.
- Lau JMC, Laforest R, Sotoudeh H, Nie X, Sharma S, McConathy J et al. Evaluation of attenuation correction in cardiac PET using PET/MR. J Nucl Cardiol 2017;24:839–46.
- Lassen ML, Rasul S, Beitzke D, Stelzmuller ME, Cal-Gonzalez J, Hacker M et al. Assessment of attenuation correction for myocardial PET imaging using combined PET/MRI. J Nucl Cardiol 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-017-1118-2.
- Paulus DH, Quick HH, Geppert C, Fenchel M, Zhan Y, Hermosillo G et al. Whole-body PET/MR imaging: quantitative evaluation of a novel model-based MR attenuation correction method including bone. J Nucl Med 2015;56: 1061–6.
- Berker Y, Franke J, Salomon A, Palmowski M, Donker HC, Temur Y et al. MRIbased attenuation correction for hybrid PET/MRI systems: a 4-class tissue segmentation technique using a combined ultrashort-echo-time/Dixon MRI sequence. J Nucl Med 2012;53:796–804.
- Furst S, Grimm R, Hong I, Souvatzoglou M, Casey ME, Schwaiger M et al. Motion correction strategies for integrated PET/MR. J Nucl Med 2015;56:261–9.
- Fayad H, Schmidt H, Wuerslin C, Visvikis D. Reconstruction-incorporated respiratory motion correction in clinical simultaneous PET/MR imaging for oncology applications. J Nucl Med 2015;56:884–9.
- Grimm R, Furst S, Souvatzoglou M, Forman C, Hutter J, Dregely I et al. Selfgated MRI motion modeling for respiratory motion compensation in integrated PET/MRI. Med Image Anal 2015;19:110–20.
- Kolbitsch C, Ahlman MA, Davies-Venn C, Evers R, Hansen M, Peressutti D et al. Cardiac and respiratory motion correction for simultaneous cardiac PET/ MR. J Nucl Med 2017;58:846–52.
- Munoz C, Neji R, Cruz G, Mallia A, Jeljeli S, Reader AJ et al. Motion-corrected simultaneous cardiac positron emission tomography and coronary MR angiography with high acquisition efficiency. *Magn Reson Med* 2018;**79**:339–50.
- 21. Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, Andreotti F, Arden C, Budaj A et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: the Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2013;34:2949–3003.
- 22. Takx RA, Blomberg BA, El Aidi H, Habets J, de Jong PA, Nagel E et al. Diagnostic accuracy of stress myocardial perfusion imaging compared to invasive coronary angiography with fractional flow reserve meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8:e002666.
- Sammut EC, Villa ADM, Di Giovine G, Dancy L, Bosio F, Gibbs T et al. Prognostic value of quantitative stress perfusion cardiac magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;11:686–94.
- Kellman P, Hansen MS, Nielles-Vallespin S, Nickander J, Themudo R, Ugander M et al. Myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance: optimized dual sequence and reconstruction for quantification. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2017;19:43.
- Engblom H, Xue H, Akil S, Carlsson M, Hindorf C, Oddstig J et al. Fully quantitative cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion ready for clinical use: a comparison between cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2017;19:78.
- Klein R, Beanlands RS, deKemp RA. Quantification of myocardial blood flow and flow reserve: technical aspects. J Nucl Cardiol 2010;17:555–70.
- 27. Bol A, Melin JA, Vanoverschelde JL, Baudhuin T, Vogelaers D, De Pauw M et al. Direct comparison of 13N ammonia and 15O water estimates of perfusion with quantification of regional myocardial blood flow by microspheres. *Circulation* 1993;87:512.
- Nitzsche EU, Choi Y, Czernin J, Hoh CK, Huang SC, Schelbert HR. Noninvasive quantification of myocardial blood flow in humans. A direct comparison of the [13N]ammonia and the [15O]water techniques. *Circulation* 1996;**93**:2000–6.
- Nandalur KR, Dwamena BA, Choudhri AF, Nandalur SR, Reddy P, Carlos RC. Diagnostic performance of positron emission tomography in the detection of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Acad Radiol* 2008;**15**:444.
- Dorbala S, Hachamovitch R, Curillova Z, Thomas D, Vangala D, Kwong RY et al. Incremental prognostic value of gated Rb-82 positron emission tomography myocardial perfusion imaging over clinical variables and rest LVEF. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2009;2:846–54.
- Yoshinaga K, Chow BJ, Williams K, Chen L, deKemp RA, Garrard L et al. What is the prognostic value of myocardial perfusion imaging using rubidium-82 positron emission tomography? J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:1029.
- Bratis K, Mahmoud I, Chiribiri A, Nagel E. Quantitative myocardial perfusion imaging by cardiovascular magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography. J Nucl Cardiol 2013;20:860–70.

- 33. Kajander SA, Joutsiniemi E, Saraste M, Pietila M, Ukkonen H, Saraste A et al. Clinical value of absolute quantification of myocardial perfusion with (15)Owater in coronary artery disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;**4**:678–84.
- 34. Ziadi MC, Dekemp RA, Williams K, Guo A, Renaud JM, Chow BJ et al. Does quantification of myocardial flow reserve using rubidium-82 positron emission tomography facilitate detection of multivessel coronary artery disease? J Nucl Cardiol 2012;19:670–80.
- Graf S, Khorsand A, Gwechenberger M, Novotny C, Kletter K, Sochor H et al. Typical chest pain and normal coronary angiogram: cardiac risk factor analysis versus PET for detection of microvascular disease. J Nucl Med 2007; 48:175–81.
- 36. Ziadi MC, Dekemp RA, Williams KA, Guo A, Chow BJ, Renaud JM et al. Impaired myocardial flow reserve on rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging predicts adverse outcomes in patients assessed for myocardial ischemia. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:740.
- Fukushima K, Javadi MS, Higuchi T, Lautamaki R, Merrill J, Nekolla SG et al. Prediction of short-term cardiovascular events using quantification of global myocardial flow reserve in patients referred for clinical 82Rb PET perfusion imaging. J Nucl Med 2011;52:726–32.
- Kunze KP, Nekolla SG, Rischpler C, Zhang SH, Hayes C, Langwieser N et al. Myocardial perfusion quantification using simultaneously acquired (13) NH3ammonia PET and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in patients at rest and stress. *Magn Reson Med* 2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27213.
- Kim RJ, Wu E, Rafael A, Chen EL, Parker MA, Simonetti O et al. The use of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging to identify reversible myocardial dysfunction. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1445–53.
- Romero J, Xue X, Gonzalez W, Garcia MJ. CMR imaging assessing viability in patients with chronic ventricular dysfunction due to coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of prospective trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:494–508.
- Camici PG. Positron emission tomography and myocardial imaging. *Heart* 2000; 83:475–80.
- Schinkel AFL, Poldermans D, Elhendy A, Bax JJ. Assessment of myocardial viability in patients with heart failure. J Nucl Med 2007;48:1135–46.
- Schinkel AF, Bax JJ, Poldermans D, Elhendy A, Ferrari R, Rahimtoola SH. Hibernating myocardium: diagnosis and patient outcomes. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 2007;**32**:375.
- Rischpler C, Langwieser N, Souvatzoglou M, Batrice A, van Marwick S, Snajberk J et al. PET/MRI early after myocardial infarction: evaluation of viability with late gadolinium enhancement transmurality vs. 18F-FDG uptake. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;**16**:661–9.
- 45. Rischpler C, Dirschinger RJ, Nekolla SG, Kossmann H, Nicolosi S, Hanus F et al. Prospective evaluation of 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in postischemic myocardium by simultaneous positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance imaging as a prognostic marker of functional outcome. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9:e004316.
- 46. Priamo J, Adamopoulos D, Rager O, Frei A, Noble S, Carballo D et al. Downstream indication to revascularization following hybrid cardiac PET/MRI: preliminary results. Nucl Med Commun 2017;38:515.
- Chiribiri A, Botnar RM, Nagel E. Magnetic resonance coronary angiography: where are we today? *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2013;**15**:328.
- Hundley WG, Bluemke DA, Finn JP, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG et al. ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SCMR 2010 expert consensus document on cardiovascular magnetic resonance: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:2614–62.
- Kim WY, Stuber M, Bornert P, Kissinger KV, Manning WJ, Botnar RM. Three-dimensional black-blood cardiac magnetic resonance coronary vessel wall imaging detects positive arterial remodeling in patients with nonsignificant coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2002;**106**:296–9.
- Fayad ZA, Fuster V, Fallon JT, Jayasundera T, Worthley SG, Helft G et al. Noninvasive in vivo human coronary artery lumen and wall imaging using blackblood magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2000;**102**:506–10.
- 51. Jansen CH, Perera D, Makowski MR, Wiethoff AJ, Phinikaridou A, Razavi RM et al. Detection of intracoronary thrombus by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:416–24.
- Noguchi T, Kawasaki T, Tanaka A, Yasuda S, Goto Y, Ishihara M et al. High-intensity signals in coronary plaques on noncontrast T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as a novel determinant of coronary events. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:989–99.
- Figueroa AL, Abdelbaky A, Truong QA, Corsini E, MacNabb MH, Lavender ZR et al. Measurement of arterial activity on routine FDG PET/CT images improves prediction of risk of future CV events. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 6:1250–9.
- Moon SH, Cho YS, Noh TS, Choi JY, Kim BT, Lee KH. Carotid FDG uptake improves prediction of future cardiovascular events in asymptomatic individuals. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8:949–56.

- Irkle A, Vesey AT, Lewis DY, Skepper JN, Bird JL, Dweck MR et al. Identifying active vascular microcalcification by (18)F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography. Nat Commun 2015;6:7495.
- Dweck MR, Chow MW, Joshi NV, Williams MC, Jones C, Fletcher AM et al. Coronary arterial 18F-sodium fluoride uptake: a novel marker of plaque biology. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:1539–48.
- Joshi NV, Vesey AT, Williams MC, Shah AS, Calvert PA, Craighead FH et al. 18F-fluoride positron emission tomography for identification of ruptured and high-risk coronary atherosclerotic plaques: a prospective clinical trial. Lancet 2014;383:705–13.
- Tarkin JM, Joshi FR, Evans NR, Chowdhury MM, Figg NL, Shah AV et al. Detection of atherosclerotic inflammation by (68)Ga-DOTATATE PET compared to [(18)F]FDG PET imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:1774–91.
- Robson PM, Dweck MR, Trivieri MG, Abgral R, Karakatsanis NA, Contreras J et al. Coronary artery PET/MR imaging: feasibility, limitations, and solutions. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10:1103–12.
- Marchesseau S, Seneviratna A, Sjoholm AT, Qin DL, Ho JXM, Hausenloy DJ et al. Hybrid PET/CT and PET/MRI imaging of vulnerable coronary plaque and myocardial scar tissue in acute myocardial infarction. J Nucl Cardiol 2017. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s12350-017-0918-8.
- Uemura A, Morimoto S, Hiramitsu S, Kato Y, Ito T, Hishida H. Histologic diagnostic rate of cardiac sarcoidosis: evaluation of endomyocardial biopsies. Am Heart J 1999;138:299.
- 62. A joint procedural position statement on imaging in cardiac sarcoidosis: from the Cardiovascular and Inflammation & Infection Committees of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine, the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging, and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;**18**:1073–89.
- Patel MR, Cawley PJ, Heitner JF, Klem I, Parker MA, Jaroudi WA et al. Detection of myocardial damage in patients with sarcoidosis. *Circulation* 2009; 120:1969.
- 64. Murtagh G, Laffin LJ, Beshai JF, Maffessanti F, Bonham CA, Patel AV et al. Prognosis of myocardial damage in sarcoidosis patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: risk stratification using. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9: e003738.
- 65. Hulten E, Agarwal V, Cahill M, Cole G, Vita T, Parrish S et al. Presence of late gadolinium enhancement by cardiac magnetic resonance among patients with suspected cardiac sarcoidosis is associated with adverse cardiovascular prognosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9: e005001.
- 66. Greulich S, Kitterer D, Latus J, Aguor E, Steubing H, Kaesemann P et al. Comprehensive cardiovascular magnetic resonance assessment in patients with sarcoidosis and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9:e005022.
- Puntmann VO, Isted A, Hinojar R, Foote L, Carr-White G, Nagel E. T1 and T2 mapping in recognition of early cardiac involvement in systemic sarcoidosis. *Radiology* 2017;285:63–72.
- Dorbala S, Di Carli MF, Delbeke D, Abbara S, DePuey EG, Dilsizian V et al. SNMMI/ASNC/SCCT guideline for cardiac SPECT/CT and PET/CT 1.0. J Nucl Med 2013;54:1485–507.
- Blankstein R, Osborne M, Naya M, Waller A, Kim CK, Murthy VL et al. Cardiac positron emission tomography enhances prognostic assessments of patients with suspected cardiac sarcoidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:329–36.
- Youssef G, Leung E, Mylonas I, Nery P, Williams K, Wisenberg G et al. The use of 18F-FDG PET in the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis including the Ontario experience. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:241–8.
- Wicks EC, Menezes LJ, Barnes A, Mohiddin SA, Sekhri N, Porter JC et al. Diagnostic accuracy and prognostic value of simultaneous hybrid 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance imaging in cardiac sarcoidosis. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2018;19:757–67.
- Dweck MR, Abgral R, Trivieri MG, Robson PM, Karakatsanis N, Mani V et al. Hybrid magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography with fluorodeoxyglucose to diagnose active cardiac sarcoidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;**11**:94–107.
- 73. Caforio AL, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E, Basso C, Gimeno-Blanes J, Felix SB et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34: 2636.
- 74. Friedrich MG, Sechtem U, Schulz-Menger J, Holmvang G, Alakija P, Cooper LT et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in myocarditis: a JACC white paper. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:1475.
- Ferreira VM, Piechnik SK, Dall'Armellina E, Karamitsos TD, Francis JM, Ntusi N et al. T1 mapping for the diagnosis of acute myocarditis using CMR: comparison to T2-weighted and late gadolinium enhanced imaging. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2013;6:1048–58.

- 76. Lurz P, Luecke C, Eitel I, Fohrenbach F, Frank C, Grothoff M et al. Comprehensive cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with suspected myocarditis: the MyoRacer-Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:1800–11.
- Jamar F, Buscombe J, Chiti A, Christian PE, Delbeke D, Donohoe KJ et al. EANM/SNMMI guideline for 18F-FDG use in inflammation and infection. J Nucl Med 2013;54:647–58.
- Ozawa K, Funabashi N, Daimon M, Takaoka H, Takano H, Uehara M et al. Determination of optimum periods between onset of suspected acute myocarditis and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in the diagnosis of inflammatory left ventricular myocardium. Int J Cardiol 2013;169: 196–200.
- Lapa C, Reiter T, Li X, Werner RA, Samnick S, Jahns R et al. Imaging of myocardial inflammation with somatostatin receptor based PET/CT—a comparison to cardiac MRI. Int J Cardiol 2015;**194**:44–9.
- Nensa F, Kloth J, Tezgah E, Poeppel TD, Heusch P, Goebel J et al. Feasibility of FDG-PET in myocarditis: comparison to CMR using integrated PET/MRI. J Nucl Cardiol 2018;25:785–94.
- Juneau D, Erthal F, Alzahrani A, Alenazy A, Nery PB, Beanlands RS et al. Systemic and inflammatory disorders involving the heart: the role of PET imaging. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2016;60:383–96.
- Syed IS, Glockner JF, Feng D, Araoz PA, Martinez MW, Edwards WD et al. Role of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of cardiac amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:155–64.
- Zhao L, Tian Z, Fang Q. Diagnostic accuracy of cardiovascular magnetic resonance for patients with suspected cardiac amyloidosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2016;16:129.
- Fontana M, Pica S, Reant P, Abdel-Gadir A, Treibel TA, Banypersad SM et al. Prognostic value of late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in cardiac amyloidosis. *Circulation* 2015;**132**:1570–9.
- Fontana M, Banypersad SM, Treibel TA, Maestrini V, Sado DM, White SK et al. Native T1 mapping in transthyretin amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7: 157–65.
- Lee SP, Lee ES, Choi H, Im HJ, Koh Y, Lee MH et al. 11C-Pittsburgh B PET imaging in cardiac amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:50–9.
- Dorbala S, Vangala D, Semer J, Strader C, Bruyere JR Jr, Di Carli MF et al. Imaging cardiac amyloidosis: a pilot study using (1)(8)F-florbetapir positron emission tomography. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014;41:1652–62.
- Villemagne VL, Ong K, Mulligan RS, Holl G, Pejoska S, Jones G et al. Amyloid imaging with (18)F-florbetaben in Alzheimer disease and other dementias. J Nucl Med 2011;52:1210–7.
- Trivieri MG, Dweck MR, Abgral R, Robson PM, Karakatsanis NA, Lala A et al. 18F-Sodium Fluoride PET/MR for the assessment of cardiac amyloidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68:2712–4.
- Linhart A, Elliott PM. The heart in Anderson-Fabry disease and other lysosomal storage disorders. *Heart* 2007;93:528–35.
- Eng CM, Guffon N, Wilcox WR, Germain DP, Lee P, Waldek S et al. Safety and efficacy of recombinant human alpha-galactosidase A replacement therapy in Fabry's disease. N Engl J Med 2001;345:9–16.
- Deva DP, Hanneman K, Li Q, Ng MY, Wasim S, Morel C et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance demonstration of the spectrum of morphological phenotypes and patterns of myocardial scarring in Anderson-Fabry disease. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2016;**18**:14.
- Moon JC, Sachdev B, Elkington AG, McKenna WJ, Mehta A, Pennell DJ et al. Gadolinium enhanced cardiovascular magnetic resonance in Anderson-Fabry disease. Evidence for a disease specific abnormality of the myocardial interstitium. Eur Heart J 2003;24:2151–5.
- Sado DM, White SK, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Treibel T, Captur G et al. Identification and assessment of Anderson-Fabry disease by cardiovascular magnetic resonance noncontrast myocardial T1 mapping. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:392–8.
- Kalliokoski RJ, Kalliokoski KK, Sundell J, Engblom E, Penttinen M, Kantola I et al. Impaired myocardial perfusion reserve but preserved peripheral endothelial function in patients with Fabry disease. J Inherit Metab Dis 2005;28:563–73.
- Elliott PM, Kindler H, Shah JS, Sachdev B, Rimoldi OE, Thaman R et al. Coronary microvascular dysfunction in male patients with Anderson-Fabry disease and the effect of treatment with alpha galactosidase A. *Heart* 2006;**92**: 357–60.
- Rozenfeld P, Feriozzi S. Contribution of inflammatory pathways to Fabry disease pathogenesis. Mol Genet Metab 2017;122:19–27.
- Nappi C, Altiero M, Imbriaco M, Nicolai E, Giudice CA, Aiello M et al. First experience of simultaneous PET/MRI for the early detection of cardiac involvement in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2015; 42:1025–31.
- Motwani M, Kidambi A, Herzog BA, Uddin A, Greenwood JP, Plein S. MR imaging of cardiac tumors and masses: a review of methods and clinical applications. *Radiology* 2013;268:26–43.

- Hoffmann U, Globits S, Schima W, Loewe C, Puig S, Oberhuber G et al. Usefulness of magnetic resonance imaging of cardiac and paracardiac masses. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;**92**:890–5.
- Pazos-López P, Pozo E, Siqueira ME, García-Lunar I, Cham M, Jacobi A et al. Value of CMR for the differential diagnosis of cardiac masses. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7:896–905.
- Rahbar K, Seifarth H, Schafers M, Stegger L, Hoffmeier A, Spieker T et al. Differentiation of malignant and benign cardiac tumors using 18F-FDG PET/CT. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:856–63.
- Shao D, Wang SX, Liang CH, Gao Q. Differentiation of malignant from benign heart and pericardial lesions using positron emission tomography and computed tomography. J Nucl Cardiol 2011;18:668–77.
- 104. Nensa F, Tezgah E, Poeppel TD, Jensen CJ, Schelhorn J, Kohler J et al. Integrated 18F-FDG PET/MR imaging in the assessment of cardiac masses: a pilot study. J Nucl Med 2015;56:255–60.
- 105. van Dijk VF, Delnoy PPHM, Smit JJJ, Misier RAR, Elvan A, van Es HW et al. Preliminary findings on the safety of 1.5 and 3 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging in cardiac pacemaker patients. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2017;28:806–10.

IMAGE FOCUS

doi:10.1093/ehjci/jey073 Online publish-ahead-of-print 26 May 2018

Lung abscess seen on echocardiography

Michael J. Crawford¹* and John C. Moscona²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Tulane University School of Medicine, 1430 Tulane Avenue, SL50, New Orleans, 70112 LA, USA; and ²Heart & Vascular Institute, Tulane University School of Medicine, 1415 Tulane Avenue, New Orleans, 70112 LA, USA * Corresponding author. Tel: 405-760-6700; Fax: 504-988-5263. E-mail: mcrawfo5@tulane.edu

A 68-year-old woman presented with worsening shortness of breath on exertion. She had a past medical history significant for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and pulmonary Mycobacterium abscessus infection. Chest radiograph showed bilateral pleural effusions with bibasilar airspace disease. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) showed a normal ejection fraction but also revealed a hyperechoic mass in the pleural space lateral to the left ventricle (Panels A and B). Given these findings, computed tomography (CT) scan of the chest was ordered and showed a left lower lobe consolidation with interval areas of low attenuation concerning for abscess formation (Panels C and D). In addition to treatment for heart failure exacerbation, she received antibiotic therapy for suspected pulmonary M. abscessus infection. Invasive interventions were avoided given her several comorbidities.

Mycobacterium abscessus is a rapidly growing mycobacterium that is known to cause pulmonary infections. In patients with suspected infection, radiographic evidence is important in making a diagnosis.



Evaluation generally involves chest radiograph and CT scan, but other imaging modalities, including TTE, may aid in detection.

Supplementary data are available at European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging online.

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2018. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.