



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Data for assessment of leached dissolved organic carbon in watersheds

Fabien Rizinjirabake^{a,b,*}, Petter Pilesjö^{a,c}, David E. Tenenbaum^a^a Lund University, Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Sweden^b University of Rwanda, College of Science and Technology, School of Science, Biology Department, Rwanda^c Centre for Middle Eastern Studies, Lund University, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 June 2020

Revised 4 August 2020

Accepted 5 August 2020

Available online 8 August 2020

Keywords:

Watershed

Leached dissolved organic carbon

Stream dissolved organic carbon

Land use/land cover

Soil properties

Rainfall

Runoff

And hydrologic soil group

Rwanda

ABSTRACT

(“Dissolved organic carbon leaching flux in a mixed agriculture and forest watershed in Rwanda” [1]).

This article presents data of leached dissolved organic carbon (LDOC, stream water dissolved organic carbon), rainfall amount (Ra), rainfall intensity (Ri), rainfall soil storage (S), runoff (Q), and soil properties such as total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), cation exchange capacity (CEC), and soil texture data collected in the Rukarara River Watershed (RRW), a tropical watershed. All these data were used to analyze leached dissolved organic carbon (LDOC) fluxes in the watershed and their relationship with stream DOC. LDOC and soil properties data were collected at three sites in multiple plots per site located in natural forest (NF), tea plantations (TP), plantation forests (PF), and croplands (CL). Twenty-three plots in total were sampled to collect LDOC data. Soil properties data were analyzed from soil samples collected nearby the plots. Soil texture elements data were used to calculate soil porosity and saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ks).

Data of stream DOC were analyzed from water samples collected and analyzed in the laboratory using a TOC analyzer. Rainfall data were recorded within the RRW using tipping bucket rain gauges installed at three sites. These rainfall data were used to calculate rainfall intensity, potential surface runoff, and rainfall soil storage.

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100633](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100633)

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fabien.rizinjirabake@nateko.lu.se (F. Rizinjirabake).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106163>2352-3409/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Specifications Table

Subject	Nature and landscape conservation
Specific subject area	Nature and landscape conservation aims at preserving their important ecological, cultural, and economic services. Leaching of soil dissolved organic carbon, which is linked with a soil's capacity to supply nutrients, may cause both soil and natural water degradation. Therefore, export of soil dissolved organic carbon and is associated with land degradation through soil nutrients depletion. Regarding the runoff effect on natural waters, it is a major source of aquatic ecosystem pollution. Runoff-derived dissolved organic carbon and/or leached dissolved organic carbon reaches aquatic ecosystems. When the rainfall is effective, it causes water to enter the soil and the excess water to run off the land and into aquatic ecosystems. The portion of rainfall that is absorbed into soils through the infiltration process is stored as groundwater, and is slowly discharged to aquatic ecosystems through seeps. Surface and ground runoff can carry excess nutrients such as nitrogen, and phosphorus into aquatic ecosystems. These excess nutrients have the potential to degrade water quality; they spur algae blooms that cause low oxygen and kill aquatic organisms.
Type of data	Table
How data were acquired	LDOC and stream DOC data were analyzed on a TOC analyzer from leached water and stream water samples, respectively. Soil properties were analyzed from soil samples collected using 53 × 50 mm rings in topsoil (0–20 cm) at three sites in multiple plots per site within natural forest, plantation forests, tea plantations and croplands. Rainfall data were collected using a tipping bucket rain gage (Model OMC-210–2, bucket size of 0.2 mm) with an integrated data logger at three sites. Land use land cover (LULC) data were obtained from [2]. Net primary productivity (NPP) data were retrieved from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data (MOD17A3: 500 m × 500 m). Potential surface runoff data were calculated by the Soil Conservation Service - curve number (SCS-CN) method. The method assumes antecedent moisture condition to be the primary cause of both surface and ground runoff variation and soil infiltration capacity and the land cover to be the cause of curve number variation. Additionally, the method is assumed to be a lumped model. Hydrological soil group data were retrieved from the ORNL DAAC (HYSOGs250m) [3].
Data format	Raw and analyzed
Parameters for data collection	We collected leached water and stream water samples, soil properties, and rainfall data.
Description of data collection	Plastic boxes (14 × 22.5 cm), covered by a nylon mesh and installed under the top 20 cm of soil, were used to collect monthly leached water samples in multiple plots (14 cm × 25 cm) per site and located in croplands, plantation forests, tea plantations and natural forest. Leached water was measured using a graduated glass cylinder was used to calculate LDOC fluxes. Stream water samples were collected biweekly at three sites located in natural forest, tea plantation, and cropland land uses and used to get stream DOC. Both leached water and stream water samples were transported in polyethylene bottles of 25 mL to the laboratory on ice for LDOC and stream DOC analysis. Before laboratory analysis, leached water and stream water samples were filtered using a 0.45 μm nylon filter to remove particulate organic carbon.

(continued on next page)

Rainfall characteristics were calculated using collected rainfall and soil properties data. Rainfall data were collected using tipping bucket rain gauges with integrated data loggers (Model OMC-210-2, bucket size of 0.2 mm), installed at the study area at three sites. Soil samples were collected in topsoil (0–20 cm) at three sites to determine total organic carbon (TOC), and total nitrogen (TN), and soil contents of clay, silt, and sand. Samples were collected in plots nearby to LDOC sampling plots using soil sample 53 × 50 mm rings. All samples were transported to a soil laboratory for analysis of TOC, TN, clay, silt and sand contents in the laboratory. The TOC was analyzed using the loss on ignition method [4], the TN (%) by the micro-Kjeldahl digestion - distillation method [5], and soil texture elements by the improved Bouyoucos method [6]. Soil porosity (%) and saturated hydraulic conductivity of plots were calculated using the equations of Saxton et al. [7].

Data source location

Country: Rwanda

Data accessibility

Region: Rukarara River watershed (29°15–29°35E and 2°20N–2°35S)

Repository name: Mendeley data

Data identification number: Reserved DOI:doi:10.17632/fpckbmcvy2.1

Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/fpckbmcvy2/draft?_a=45528e2c-8e32-420f-91a4-e207fe42cdc3

Related research article

Author's names: Fabien Rizinjirabake, Petter Pilesjö and David E. Tenenbaum

Title: Dissolved organic carbon leaching flux in a mixed agriculture and forest watershed in Rwanda"

Journal: Hydrology: Regional Studies

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100633>

1. Value of the data

Leached dissolved organic carbon data are useful for land and water resource management; they can be used in consensus-based decision-making for effective integrated management of land and water resources for their sustainable use.

Environmentalists, decision makers and researchers can benefit from these data; for example, researchers can use these data to quantify effect of climate change on dissolved organic carbon dynamics and therefore set up mitigation strategies.

Leached DOC data can increase net carbon losses from terrestrial ecosystems into waters and therefore increase DOC content in natural waters, with implications for water quality. Thus, leached DOC data can be used in experiments related to water quality and aquatic biodiversity dynamics analysis.

Leached DOC data can be used for analyzing soil and inland water degradation and thus can provide information about the potential effect of climate and land cover change on terrestrial and aquatic services.

2. Data description

Provided data presented in this article data include LDOC flux plot data within LULC types and mean monthly LDOC flux data per plot and plot unit surface (Table 1) and LDOC flux plot data within sites and mean monthly LDOC flux data per plot and plot unit surface (Table 2), Table 3 presents mean monthly LDOC data per site and corresponding stream DOC (mg C/L) whereas Tables 4 and 5 present soil properties data including percent content of clay, silt, sand, total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), cation exchange capacity (CEC), saturated hydraulic conductivity and porosity plot data. Rainfall characteristics including rainfall amount and rainfall intensity and corresponding potential runoff at all sites are presented in Tables 6, 7, and 8. Tables 9 and 10 present LDOC flux data and corresponding rainfall amounts, intensities, and potential runoff. All data were used to analyze DOC leaching dynamics and identify their potential implications for stream DOC in the watershed. Additionally, the data can be used for

Table 1
LDOC flux data (mg C/L) per plot within land use land cover types.

Plot code	LULC	Dec-17	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Sep-17	Nov-17	3/25/208	Apr-18	May-18
CL1	CL					1.84	1.77	3.84	9.79	8.21	2.62	2.57
CL2	CL	2.52	0.13	1.31		1.99	2.72	2.58	2.56	7.98	9.45	
CL3	CL	4.75		7.46	1.63		1.14					
CL4	CL	2.48	0.16	1.73	4.37	3.43	5.22	8.44	2.68	3.59	5.70	6.50
CL5	CL				1.88	6.13	20.44	8.89	2.49	7.30	2.87	8.97
CL6	CL	1.25		2.76	3.54	9.35	7.13			0.86	1.56	1.73
CL7	CL	2.95		1.49	4.58	2.35	2.78	6.96	7.53	7.23	1.26	2.53
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	CL	2.79	0.14	2.95	3.20	4.18	5.88	6.14	5.01	5.86	3.91	4.46
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	CL	88.66	4.58	93.68	101.51	132.69	186.75	194.96	159.00	186.03	124.13	141.54
NF1	NF	13.49		4.06	4.05	12.76	4.39	21.88	7.63	6.69	16.64	1.16
NF2	NF	10.10		26.99	6.10	12.85	18.24		14.38	35.39	12.59	38.73
NF3	NF			10.49	0.48	27.17	15.79	14.86	10.26	7.16	9.59	9.88
NF4	NF	10.09		10.38			4.57	11.13				
NF5	NF	2.76		3.22	0.63	2.58	14.84	0.56	12.14	4.09	3.22	1.45
NF6	NF	7.03		7.11	2.07	2.54	4.99	9.80	8.30	0.44	0.44	3.48
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	NF	8.69		10.38	2.67	11.58	10.47	11.65	10.54	10.75	8.50	10.94
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	NF	275.99		329.38	84.66	367.63	332.35	369.72	334.63	341.41	269.74	347.25
TP1	TP	2.11	8.15	4.50	4.24	1.87	1.83	6.19	2.30	8.21	12.30	15.80
TP2	TP	2.13		2.13	1.20	0.36	1.73	9.07	1.29			
TP3	TP	2.13		10.16	1.22	1.29	4.17	2.71	2.26	0.47	2.72	2.11
TP4	TP	2.24		1.45	1.24	4.02	3.27	15.05	9.14	5.61	0.23	1.78
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	TP	2.15	8.15	4.56	1.98	1.88	2.75	8.25	3.75	4.77	5.08	6.56
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	TP	68.24	258.83	144.74	62.74	59.79	87.33	262.06	118.93	151.28	161.42	208.35
PF1	PF	6.95	3.27	18.35	16.41	11.44	10.77	13.01	11.29	9.43	8.26	6.57
PF2	PF	7.50		10.60		11.15	9.50	10.46	12.27	7.10	19.35	
PF3	PF	5.46		8.16	10.00		14.02	16.44	12.10	13.06	15.70	
PF4	PF	17.40		15.60	13.50	21.27	18.85	18.89	13.46	14.03	12.81	15.50
PF5	PF	14.35	25.68	21.00	18.60	16.25	10.70		16.67	10.16	10.56	17.17
PF6	PF	7.44	20.55	14.10	10.58	11.60	9.74		8.46			10.50
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	PF	9.85	16.50	14.64	13.82	14.34	12.26	14.70	12.38	10.76	13.34	12.43
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	PF	312.70	523.81	464.60	438.67	455.27	389.29	466.68	392.86	341.46	423.35	394.69

CL, croplands

NF, natural forest

TP, tea plantations.

PF, plantation forests.

LDOC, Leached dissolved organic carbon.

Table 2

LDOC flux data (mg C/L) per plot within sites.

Plot codes	Site	Dec-17	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Sep-17	Nov-17	3/25/208	Apr-18	May-18
CL2	CS	2.52	0.13	1.31		1.99	2.72	2.58	2.56	7.98	9.45	
TP1	CS	2.11	8.15	4.50	4.24	1.87	1.83	6.19	2.30	8.21	12.30	15.80
TP2	CS	2.13		2.13	1.20	0.36	1.73	9.07	1.29			
TP3	CS	2.13		10.16	1.22	1.29	4.17	2.71	2.26	0.47	2.72	2.11
TP4	CS	2.24		1.45	1.24	4.02	3.27	15.05	9.14	5.61	0.23	1.78
PF1	CS	6.95	3.27	18.35	16.41	11.44	10.77	13.01	11.29	9.43	8.26	6.57
PF3	CS	5.46		8.16	10.00		14.02	16.44	12.10	13.06	15.70	
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	CS	3.36	3.85	6.58	5.72	3.49	5.50	9.29	5.85	7.46	8.11	6.56
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	CS	106.72	122.25	208.90	181.56	110.88	174.62	295.01	185.65	236.87	257.49	208.36
CL1	ES					1.84	1.77	3.84	9.79	8.21	2.62	2.57
CL3	ES	2.48	0.16	1.73	4.37	3.43	5.22	8.44	2.68	3.59	5.70	6.50
CL5	ES				1.88	6.13	20.44	8.89	2.49	7.30	2.87	8.97
CL6	ES	1.25		2.76	3.54	9.35	7.13		0.86	1.56	1.73	
CL7	ES	2.95		1.49	4.58	2.35	2.78	6.96	7.53	7.23	1.26	2.53
PF2	ES	7.50		10.60		11.15	9.50	10.46	12.27	7.10	19.35	
PF6	ES	7.44	20.55	14.10	10.58	11.60	9.74		8.46			10.50
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	ES	4.33	10.35	6.14	4.99	6.55	8.08	7.72	7.20	5.71	5.56	5.47
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	ES	137.34	328.71	194.79	158.37	207.90	256.54	244.99	228.64	181.36	176.52	173.52
CL3	WS	4.75		7.46	1.63		1.14					
NF1	WS	13.49		4.06	4.05	12.76	4.39	21.88	7.63	6.69	16.64	1.16
NF2	WS	10.10		26.99	6.10	12.85	18.24		14.38	35.39	12.59	38.73
NF3	WS			10.49	0.48	27.17	15.79	14.86	10.26	7.16	9.59	9.88
NF4	WS	10.09		10.38		4.57		11.13				
NF5	WS	2.76		3.22	0.63	2.58	14.84	0.56	12.14	4.09	3.22	1.45
NF6	WS	7.03		7.11	2.07	2.54	4.99	9.80	8.30	0.44	0.44	3.48
PF4	WS	17.40		15.60	13.50	21.27	18.85	18.89	13.46	14.03	12.81	15.50
PF5	WS	14.35	25.68	21.00	18.60	16.25	10.70		16.67	10.16	10.56	17.17
Mean monthly LDOC flux /plot	WS	10.00	25.68	11.81	5.88	13.63	10.39	12.85	11.83	11.14	9.41	12.48
Mean monthly LDOC flux/plot sqr m	WS	317.33	815.24	375.00	186.74	432.75	329.81	408.04	375.66	353.57	298.64	396.16

CS, center site.

ES, eastern site.

WS, western site.

CL, croplands.

NF, natural forest.

TP, tea plantations.

PF, plantation forests.

LDOC, Leached dissolved organic carbon.

Table 3
Mean monthly LDOC data and corresponding stream DOC.

Dates	LDOC (mgC)	Stream DOC (mg C/L)
5/23/2018	4.76	2.82
1/5/2017	2.18	3.04
5/23/2018	4.08	3.26
2/16/2017	4.03	3.88
1/5/2017	3.04	4.68
3/16/2017	2.26	4.95
4/25/2018	8.50	5.04
4/25/2018	5.25	5.22
4/19/2017	3.57	5.28
5/23/2018	10.94	5.50
3/25/2018	6.63	5.69
9/21/2017	5.48	5.84
3/25/2018	5.70	5.90
1/5/2017	8.69	6.06
5/18/2017	4.41	6.62
2/16/2017	2.78	6.98
3/16/2017	3.63	7.03
5/18/2017	5.91	7.21
9/21/2017	8.72	7.26
4/19/2017	4.28	8.26
3/16/2017	2.67	8.66
11/23/2017	5.81	8.78
5/18/2017	10.47	9.12
2/16/2017	10.38	10.27
9/21/2017	11.65	10.54
4/19/2017	11.58	10.56
3/25/2018	10.75	12.13
11/23/2017	5.71	14.46
4/25/2018	4.22	15.93
11/23/2017	10.24	30.65
1/19/2017	0.14	5.35
1/19/2017	8.15	1.18

soil degradation analysis, and therefore for developing a better understanding of the effects of ongoing environmental change on terrestrial ecosystem function.

3. Experimental design, materials, and methods

3.1. Leached and stream DOC data

Leached water samples were analyzed at the laboratory to get LDOC. Leached water samples were collected monthly at the ES, CS, and WS sites in multiple plots (14 cm x 25 cm) per site during rainy seasons occurring during the period spanning from November 2016 to May 2018. Plots were installed in cropland and patches of plantation forests for the ES site; croplands, patches of tea plantation and plantation forests for the CS site; and natural forest, plantation forest and croplands for the WS site. Twenty-three plots in total were installed in the study area with 6 plots in the natural forest (NF), 6 plots in plantation forests (PF), 4 plots in tea plantations (TP), and 7 plots in croplands (CL). Regarding plots distribution at sites, 7 plots were chosen at ES site, 7 plots at CS site, and 9 plots at WS site. At ES site, 5 plots were chosen in croplands and 2 plots in plantation forests. At CS site, 4 plots were chosen in tea plantation patches, 2 plots in plantation forests, and one remaining plot in cropland. At WS site, 6 plots were selected in natural forest, 2 plots in plantation forests, and 1 plot in cropland. In each plot, a plastic box (14 x 22.5 cm) was installed under the top 20 cm of the soil, covered by a nylon mesh to retain the soil and were laterally installed in the soil with an effort made to minimize soil disturbance.

Table 6 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
5/9/2017	0.4	0.00
5/10/2017	0	0.00
5/11/2017	1.6	0.92
5/12/2017	0.2	0.00
5/13/2017	0.8	0.28
5/14/2017	0	0.00
5/15/2017	0.2	0.00
5/16/2017	0	0.00
5/17/2017	0	0.00
5/18/2017	0	0.00
5/19/2017	3.8	2.98
5/20/2017	0.2	0.00
5/21/2017	0.2	0.00
5/22/2017	0.2	0.00
5/23/2017	7	6.11
5/24/2017	0.2	0.00
5/25/2017	0.2	0.00
5/26/2017	0	0.00
5/27/2017	0	0.00
5/28/2017	0	0.00
5/29/2017	0	0.00
5/30/2017	0	0.00
5/31/2017	0.2	0.00
6/1/2017	0.2	0.00
6/2/2017	0.6	0.15
6/3/2017	2.4	1.64
6/4/2017	0.2	0.00
6/5/2017	0	0.00
6/6/2017	0	0.00
6/7/2017	0.2	0.00
6/8/2017	0	0.00
6/9/2017	0	0.00
6/10/2017	0	0.00
6/11/2017	0	0.00
6/12/2017	0	0.00
6/13/2017	0	0.00
6/14/2017	0	0.00
6/15/2017	0	0.00
6/16/2017	0	0.00
6/17/2017	0	0.00
6/18/2017	0	0.00
6/19/2017	0	0.00
6/20/2017	0	0.00
6/21/2017	0	0.00
6/22/2017	0	0.00
6/23/2017	2.4	1.64
6/24/2017	0	0.00
6/25/2017	0	0.00
6/26/2017	0	0.00
6/27/2017	0	0.00
6/28/2017	0	0.00
6/29/2017	0	0.00
6/30/2017	0	0.00
7/1/2017	0	0.00
7/2/2017	0	0.00
7/3/2017	0	0.00
7/4/2017	12.8	11.88
7/5/2017	0	0.00
7/6/2017	0	0.00

(continued on next page)

Table 6 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
9/5/2017	0.8	0.28
9/6/2017	11	10.08
9/7/2017	0	0.00
9/8/2017	0	0.00
9/9/2017	17.6	16.66
9/10/2017	0.2	0.00
9/11/2017	4.2	3.36
9/12/2017	0.2	0.00
9/13/2017	0.2	0.00
9/14/2017	0	0.00
9/15/2017	0	0.00
9/16/2017	0.2	0.00
9/17/2017	5.4	4.54
9/18/2017	3	2.21
9/19/2017	2.6	1.83
9/20/2017	4.6	3.75
9/21/2017	0	0.00
9/22/2017	0	0.00
9/23/2017	0	0.00
9/24/2017	0	0.00
9/25/2017	0.4	0.00
9/26/2017	19	18.06
9/27/2017	1	0.43
9/28/2017	11.6	10.68
9/29/2017	0.2	0.00
9/30/2017	0	0.00
10/1/2017	1.4	0.75
10/2/2017	8.8	7.90
10/3/2017	4.4	3.56
10/4/2017	12.4	11.48
10/5/2017	0.2	0.00
10/6/2017	0	0.00
10/7/2017	10.6	9.69
10/8/2017	0	0.00
10/9/2017	32.4	31.45
10/10/2017	44.8	43.84
10/11/2017	0	0.00
10/12/2017	13.4	12.47
10/13/2017	0	0.00
10/14/2017	8.4	7.50
10/15/2017	18.6	17.66
10/16/2017	1.4	0.75
10/17/2017	41	40.04
10/18/2017	14	13.07
10/19/2017	5.2	4.34
10/20/2017	4.2	3.36
10/21/2017	6.6	5.72
10/22/2017	0.2	0.00
10/23/2017	0	0.00
10/24/2017	1.6	0.92
10/25/2017	0	0.00
10/26/2017	6	5.13
10/27/2017	19.2	18.26
10/28/2017	8.6	7.70
10/29/2017	1.6	0.92
10/30/2017	2.2	1.46
10/31/2017	11.2	10.28
11/1/2017	0.4	0.00
11/2/2017	3.2	2.40
11/3/2017	1.4	0.75

(continued on next page)

Table 6 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
1/3/2018	3.2	2.40
1/4/2018	0.4	0.00
1/5/2018	3.4	2.59
1/6/2018	4.4	3.56
1/7/2018	0	0.00
1/8/2018	0	0.00
1/9/2018	0	0.00
1/10/2018	5	4.14
1/11/2018	7.6	6.71
1/12/2018	22.6	21.66
1/13/2018	6.2	5.32
1/14/2018	19.8	18.86
1/15/2018	5.6	4.73
1/16/2018	20.4	19.46
1/17/2018	0.2	0.00
1/18/2018	5.8	4.93
1/19/2018	3.8	2.98
1/20/2018	7.4	6.51
1/21/2018	18.8	17.86
1/22/2018	0.2	0.00
1/23/2018	0.2	0.00
1/24/2018	2	1.28
1/25/2018	1.4	0.75
1/26/2018	41.6	40.64
1/27/2018	5.6	4.73
1/28/2018	16.6	15.67
1/29/2018	19.2	18.26
1/30/2018	0	0.00
1/31/2018	0.4	0.00
2/1/2018	0	0.00
2/2/2018	0.2	0.00
2/3/2018	0.4	0.00
2/4/2018	39	38.04
2/5/2018	0.6	0.15
2/6/2018	1.4	0.75
2/7/2018	0.4	0.00
2/8/2018	13.2	12.28
2/9/2018	7	6.11
2/10/2018	8.4	7.50
2/11/2018	3	2.21
2/12/2018	0	0.00
2/13/2018	14.2	13.27
2/14/2018	0	0.00
2/15/2018	3.6	2.78
2/16/2018	0.6	0.15
2/17/2018	0	0.00
2/18/2018	14.2	13.27
2/19/2018	0.2	0.00
2/20/2018	21	20.06
2/21/2018	20.4	19.46
2/22/2018	7.2	6.31
2/23/2018	0.6	0.15
2/24/2018	1.8	1.10
2/25/2018	9.8	8.89
2/26/2018	0.2	0.00
2/27/2018	7.8	6.91
2/28/2018	0	0.00
3/1/2018	2.6	1.83
3/2/2018	16.4	15.47
3/3/2018	0	0.00

(continued on next page)

Table 6 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
5/3/2018	9	8.10
5/4/2018	52.8	51.84
5/5/2018	3.2	2.40
5/6/2018	7.2	6.31
5/7/2018	13	12.08
5/8/2018	0.8	0.28
5/9/2018	6.4	5.52
5/10/2018	0	0.00
5/11/2018	4.6	3.75
5/12/2018	17.2	16.26
5/13/2018	14.6	13.67
5/14/2018	17.6	16.66
5/15/2018	0.4	0.00
5/16/2018	8.4	7.50
5/17/2018	31.8	30.85
5/18/2018	0.4	0.00
5/19/2018	0.2	0.00
5/20/2018	2.2	1.46
5/21/2018	22	21.06
5/22/2018	1	0.43

Table 7

Rainfall amounts and corresponding potential runoff at center site.

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
11/12/2016	0.2	0.00
11/13/2016	16.4	15.47
11/14/2016	3.2	2.40
11/15/2016	0.2	0.00
11/16/2016	0	0.00
11/17/2016	0	0.00
11/18/2016	11.6	10.68
11/19/2016	0.2	0.00
11/20/2016	0	0.00
11/21/2016	0.6	0.00
11/22/2016	0.2	0.00
11/23/2016	0	0.00
11/24/2016	0	0.00
11/25/2016	0	0.00
11/26/2016	0	0.00
11/27/2016	0	0.00
11/28/2016	0.4	0.00
11/29/2016	0.2	0.00
11/30/2016	0.2	0.00
12/1/2016	0	0.00
12/2/2016	1	0.43
12/3/2016	10.4	9.49
12/4/2016	0	0.00
12/5/2016	0.4	0.00
12/6/2016	0	0.00
12/7/2016	0	0.00
12/8/2016	0	0.00
12/9/2016	0	0.00
12/10/2016	3.6	2.78
12/11/2016	0.2	0.00
12/12/2016	16.4	15.47
12/13/2016	3.2	2.40
12/14/2016	0.2	0.00
12/15/2016	0	0.00
12/16/2016	0	0.00

(continued on next page)

Table 7 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
6/15/2017	0.2	0.00
6/16/2017	0.2	0.00
6/17/2017	0	0.00
6/18/2017	0.2	0.00
6/19/2017	0	0.00
6/20/2017	0.4	0.00
6/21/2017	0	0.00
6/22/2017	0.4	0.00
6/23/2017	4	3.17
6/24/2017	0	0.00
6/25/2017	0.2	0.00
6/26/2017	0	0.00
6/27/2017	0.2	0.00
6/28/2017	0.2	0.00
6/29/2017	0.2	0.00
6/30/2017	0	0.00
7/1/2017	0	0.00
7/2/2017	0	0.00
7/3/2017	0	0.00
7/4/2017	2.8	2.02
7/5/2017	0.2	0.00
7/6/2017	0	0.00
7/7/2017	0	0.00
7/8/2017	0.2	0.00
7/9/2017	0.2	0.00
7/10/2017	0.2	0.00
7/11/2017	0	0.00
7/12/2017	0	0.00
7/13/2017	0	0.00
7/14/2017	0	0.00
7/15/2017	0.2	0.00
7/16/2017	0	0.00
7/17/2017	0	0.00
7/18/2017	0	0.00
7/19/2017	0	0.00
7/20/2017	0.2	0.00
7/21/2017	0	0.00
7/22/2017	0	0.00
7/23/2017	8.2	7.30
7/24/2017	0.6	0.00
7/25/2017	0	0.00
7/26/2017	0.2	0.00
7/27/2017	0	0.00
7/28/2017	0	0.00
7/29/2017	0.2	0.00
7/30/2017	0	0.00
7/31/2017	0	0.00
8/1/2017	0	0.00
8/2/2017	0	0.00
8/3/2017	0	0.00
8/4/2017	0	0.00
8/5/2017	0	0.00
8/6/2017	0	0.00
8/7/2017	0	0.00
8/8/2017	0.2	0.00
8/9/2017	0.2	0.00
8/10/2017	0	0.00
8/11/2017	0	0.00
8/12/2017	0	0.00
8/13/2017	0	0.00

(continued on next page)

Table 7 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q (mm)
2/14/2018	3.6	2.78
2/15/2018	0.2	0.00
2/16/2018	0.2	0.00
2/17/2018	0	0.00
2/18/2018	0.2	0.00
2/19/2018	0	0.00
2/20/2018	0	0.00
2/21/2018	0.2	0.00
2/22/2018	0	0.00
2/23/2018	0.2	0.00
2/24/2018	0.2	0.00
2/25/2018	0.4	0.00
2/26/2018	1.2	0.58
2/27/2018	0	0.00
2/28/2018	0.2	0.00
3/1/2018	0	0.00
3/2/2018	0	0.00
3/3/2018	0	0.00
3/4/2018	0.2	0.00
3/5/2018	0	0.00
3/6/2018	0	0.00
3/7/2018	0	0.00
3/8/2018	0	0.00
3/9/2018	0	0.00
3/10/2018	0	0.00
3/11/2018	0	0.00
3/12/2018	0	0.00
3/13/2018	0	0.00
3/14/2018	0	0.00
3/15/2018	0	0.00
3/16/2018	0	0.00
3/17/2018	0.2	0.00
3/18/2018	0	0.00
3/19/2018	0.2	0.00
3/20/2018	0	0.00
3/21/2018	0	0.00
3/22/2018	0	0.00
3/23/2018	0	0.00
3/24/2018	0	0.00
3/25/2018	0	0.00
3/26/2018	0	0.00
3/27/2018	0	0.00
3/28/2018	7.8	6.91
3/29/2018	0	0.00
3/30/2018	10.6	9.69
3/31/2018	8.6	7.70
4/1/2018	26	25.05
4/2/2018	2.2	1.46
4/3/2018	41.8	40.84
4/4/2018	19.2	18.26
4/5/2018	0.6	0.00
4/6/2018	20.2	19.26
4/7/2018	1.4	0.75
4/8/2018	10.4	9.49
4/9/2018	0.4	0.00
4/10/2018	0	0.00
4/11/2018	24	23.05
4/12/2018	19	18.06
4/13/2018	27.4	26.45
4/14/2018	0.8	0.28

(continued on next page)

Table 8 (continued)

Dates	Rain (mm)	Q(mm)
11/26/2016	6	5.13
11/27/2016	3	2.21
11/28/2016	0.4	0.05
11/29/2016	0.2	0.00
11/30/2016	0.8	0.28
12/1/2016	0.4	0.05
12/2/2016	0.4	0.05
12/3/2016	10	9.09
12/4/2016	0.2	0.00
12/5/2016	0	0.04
12/6/2016	0.2	0.00
12/7/2016	0	0.04
12/8/2016	0	0.04
12/9/2016	0	0.04
12/10/2016	10.4	9.49
12/11/2016	0.4	0.05
12/12/2016	8.8	7.90
12/13/2016	2.2	1.46
12/14/2016	0.2	0.00
12/15/2016	0	0.04
12/16/2016	0.2	0.00
12/17/2016	5.4	4.54
12/18/2016	0.2	0.00
12/19/2016	0.2	0.00
12/20/2016	3.8	2.98
12/21/2016	0.2	0.00
12/22/2016	0	0.04
12/23/2016	0	0.04
12/24/2016	0	0.04
12/25/2016	0.6	0.15
12/26/2016	0	0.04
12/27/2016	4.4	3.56
12/28/2016	0.2	0.00
12/29/2016	3.2	2.40
12/30/2016	1	0.43
12/31/2016	0.2	0.00
1/1/2017	35.8	34.85
1/2/2017	0.2	0.00
1/3/2017	0.2	0.00
1/4/2017	0	0.04
1/5/2017	0.2	0.00
1/6/2017	2.2	1.46
1/7/2017	0	0.04
1/8/2017	0	0.04
1/9/2017	0.2	0.00
1/10/2017	0	0.04
1/11/2017	0	0.04
1/12/2017	0	0.04
1/13/2017	0	0.04
1/14/2017	1.8	1.10
1/15/2017	0	0.04
1/16/2017	0	0.04
1/17/2017	0	0.04
1/18/2017	0	0.04
1/19/2017	8	7.10
1/20/2017	0.2	0.00
1/21/2017	0.8	0.28
1/22/2017	3.4	2.59
1/23/2017	1.4	0.75
1/24/2017	0.2	0.00

(continued on next page)

Table 10 (continued)

Q (mm)	LDOC flux mg C/m ² /month
4.88	389.29
0.13	369.72
0.66	262.06
0.66	466.68
2.39	334.63
0.6	159
3.28	392.86
2.39	341.41
1.73	151.28
0.45	186.03
1.73	341.46
1.37	269.74
1.92	161.42
0.38	124.13
1.87	423.35
2.07	347.25
4.07	208.35
1.76	141.54
4.29	394.69

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the University of Rwanda (UR) and the UR- Sweden Partnership Program for Research, Higher Education and Institutional Advancement, financed by [Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency](#) (Sida), for the financial support of this work.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.dib.2020.106163](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106163).

References

- [1] F. Rizinjirabake, P. Pilesjö, D.E. Tenenbaum, Dissolved organic carbon leaching flux in a mixed agriculture and forest watershed in Rwanda, *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 26 (2019) 100633 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100633>.
- [2] F. Rizinjirabake, A.M. Abdi, D.E. Tenenbaum, P. Pilesjö, Riverine dissolved organic carbon in Rukarara River Watershed, Rwanda, *Science of the Total Environment* 643 (2018 Dec 1) 793–806, doi:[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.194](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.194).
- [3] O. DAAC, MODIS and VIIRS Land Products Global Subsetting and Visualization Tool, ORNL DAAC: Oak Ridge, TN, USA (2018) <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1379>.
- [4] B.E. Davies, Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of soil organic matter 1, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 38 (1) (1974) 150–151, doi:[10.2136/sssaj1974.03615995003800010046x](https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1974.03615995003800010046x).
- [5] J.M. Bremner, C.S. Mulvaney, Nitrogen-Total. *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Soil Science Society of America Book Series* (5) (1996) 1085–1121.
- [6] G.J. Bouyoucos, Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analyses of soils 1, *Agronomy journal* 54 (5) (1962) 464–465, doi:[10.2134/agronj1962.00021962005400050028x](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1962.00021962005400050028x).
- [7] K.E. Saxton, W. Rawls, J.S. Romberger, R.I. Papendick, Estimating generalized soil-water characteristics from texture 1, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50 (4) (1986) 1031–1036.
- [8] USDA-SCS (Soil Conservation Service), USDA SCS national engineering handbook. Section 4, Hydrology, 1972.

