



# ABCG2 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Affects Imatinib Pharmacokinetics in Lower Alpha-1-Acid Glycoprotein Levels in Humans

Jin-Woo Park<sup>1†</sup>, Hyewon Chung<sup>2†</sup>, Kyoung-Ah Kim<sup>1</sup>, Jong-Min Kim<sup>1</sup>, In-Hwan Park<sup>1</sup>, Sangjin Lee<sup>1</sup> and Ji-Young Park<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Guro Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Sabina Passamonti, University of Trieste, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Lukas Cerveny, Charles University, Czechia Dora Koller, Yale University, United States

> \*Correspondence: Ji-Young Park

jypark21@korea.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Drug Metabolism and Transport, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 25 January 2021 Accepted: 06 April 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

#### Citation:

Park J-W, Chung H, Kim K-A, Kim J-M, Park I-H, Lee S and Park J-Y (2021) ABCG2 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Affects Imatinib Pharmacokinetics in Lower Alpha-1-Acid Glycoprotein Levels in Humans. Front. Pharmacol. 12:658039. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.658039 Imatinib is transported extracellularly by ABCB1 and ABCG2 efflux transporters and bound to alpha-1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) in the bloodstream. However, the clinical and pharmacokinetic effects of ABCB1 and ABCG2 on imatinib were inconsistent in the previous literature and have not been confirmed. Therefore, in the present study, we explored the effects of the ABCG2 and ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms on imatinib pharmacokinetics in association with plasma AGP levels in healthy subjects. Twentyseven healthy individuals were recruited, genotyped for ABCG2 and ABCB1, and given a single oral dose of 400 mg imatinib. Plasma imatinib concentrations were measured and its pharmacokinetics was assessed with respect to ABCG2 (c.421C>A and c.34G>A) and ABCB1 (c.1236C>T, c.2677C>T/A, and c.3435C>T) genotypes, and plasma AGP levels. AGP levels showed a strong positive correlation with imatinib pharmacokinetics. ABCG2 c.421C>A single nucleotide polymorphism showed a statistically significant effect on imatinib pharmacokinetics in low plasma AGP levels groups (<80 mg/dl); subjects with high plasma AGP levels (n = 5,  $\geq$ 80 mg/dl) were excluded. The results indicate that plasma AGP levels and ABCG2 polymorphisms modulated imatinib pharmacokinetics; however, the effects of the ABCG2 transporter was masked at high plasma AGP levels.

Keywords: imatinib (gleevec), glivec, STI-571, alpha-1 acid glycoprotein, ABCG2 (BCRP), genetic polymorphism

## INTRODUCTION

Imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, formerly STI-571) is an approved drug for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) (Capdeville et al., 2002) and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) that work by selectively inhibiting BCR-ABL and tyrosine kinase (Demetri et al., 2002). It has been reported that patients with poor imatinib treatment response, generally have lower systemic levels of imatinib than patients who respond well (Picard et al., 2007; Larson et al., 2008). Furthermore, plasma levels of imatinib correlate with clinical response and survival rates (Picard et al., 2007; Demetri et al., 2009). Considerable inter-individual differences have been observed in imatinib pharmacokinetics (Peng et al., 2005) and therefore variable response.

Imatinib is a biopharmaceutical classification system (BCS) Class 1 compound with high permeability and solubility (Bhattacharya, 2020). It is well absorbed with an absolute

bioavailability of 98%, and it reaches  $C_{max}$  in 2–4 h (Peng et al., 2004). Imatinib is metabolized in the liver, predominantly by cytochrome P450 isoforms CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 and to a lesser extent CYP1A2, CYP2D6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19 (Gschwind et al., 2005; Nebot et al., 2010). Imatinib is also a substrate of drug efflux ATP-Binding Cassette (ABC) transporters, including ABCB1 (MDR, P-glycoprotein) and ABCG2 (BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein) (Burger et al., 2004; Oostendorp et al., 2009; Eechoute et al., 2011). These transporters are substantially involved in the absorption, distribution, and elimination of drugs through efflux.

The two drug transporters are polymorphic and their polymorphisms are known to alter substrates' blood levels and therefore clinical effects (i.e., loss of function of the transporters) (Kim et al., 2007; Haufroid, 2011; Kim et al., 2011a; Silverton et al., 2011). Although previous investigations produced contrasting results, a review of the literature indicated that the polymorphisms of either ABCB1 (c.1236C>T, c.2677C>T/A, and c.3435C>T) or ABCG2 (c.421C>A and c.34G>A) possibly influenced the plasma levels and clearance of imatinib, as well as patients' clinical outcomes (Eechoute et al., 2011). Additionally, imatinib primarily binds to plasma alpha-1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) (approximate mean free fraction: 4%), which may significantly alter drug distribution and elimination; it has been revealed that plasma imatinib pharmacokinetics is affected by AGP levels (Gambacorti-Passerini et al., 2003). Since AGP levels are known to be elevated in various physiologic (e.g., age, pregnancy, obesity) and disease conditions (e.g., inflammation, cancer) (Kim et al., 2015), the pharmacokinetics of imatinib is prone to effects of diverse underlying conditions.

Despite various studies reporting the involvement of ABCB1 and ABCG2 transporters in the disposition of imatinib (Burger and Nooter, 2004), their roles remain controversial with limited information on their effects on imatinib pharmacokinetics. In addition, to date, no study has evaluated the effects of both the AGP levels and polymorphisms of ABCB1 and ABCG2 transporters on imatinib pharmacokinetics. In the present study, we investigated the effects of both polymorphic ABCG2 and ABCB1 genotypes, and plasma AGP levels on imatinib pharmacokinetics in a controlled study of healthy subjects. We hypothesized that the effect of ABCG2 and ABCB1 single nucleotide polymorphism on the imatinib pharmacokinetics was dependent on AGP levels and that in low AGP levels, ABCG2 and ABCB1 transporters increased the free fraction of imatinib. We aimed at providing insight, and a clear understanding of their effects on imatinib pharmacokinetics and their relationship with the genetic contribution to interindividual variation of imatinib exposure and response.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Subjects

Twenty-seven healthy male participants were enrolled with a mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) age of 24.6  $\pm$  1.9 years (range, 23–30 years), mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) weight of 69.4  $\pm$  7.6 kg (range, 54–68 kg), and mean ( $\pm$ S.D.) height of 174.8  $\pm$  4.7 cm (range, 164–174 cm). All subjects were confirmed to be healthy by a physician through a detailed physical examination; 12-lead electrocardiography, serum biochemistry, hematology, and

urinalysis. Exclusion criteria were: a history of, or evidence of, a hepatic, renal, gastrointestinal, or hematologic abnormality, any other acute or chronic disease, or an allergy to any drug. All subjects were nonsmokers and were not taking any medication, and provided written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Anam Hospital, Korea University, Korea (clinicaltrial.gov; NCT01270984).

## ABCG2 and ABCB1 Genotyping

To determine the *ABCG2* and *ABCB1* genotypes, a blood sample was obtained from each of the participants and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C awaiting DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral leukocytes. All individuals were genotyped for the c.421C>A and c.34G>A alleles of *ABCG2* polymorphisms and for the c.1236C>T, c.2677C>T(A), and c.3435C>T alleles of *ABCB1* polymorphisms through pyrosequencing methods using a pyrosequencer (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden), to evaluate the SNPs rapidly, precisely, and cost-effectively as described previously (Kim et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2015).

## **Study Design**

After overnight fasting, the subjects were given a single oral dose of 400 mg imatinib (Gleevec; Novartis Korea, Seoul, Korea) with 240 ml of water. Blood samples were collected in heparinized tubes (Vacutainer; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) immediately before drug administration (baseline) and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after drug administration. Plasma was separated by centrifugation (1,977 g, 4°C) for 15 min, and the samples were stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C awaiting analysis.

# Determination of Imatinib Concentration in Plasma Samples

The plasma concentrations of total imatinib (both protein-bound and unbound form of imatinib) were determined using a previously validated LC-MS/MS method with slight modifications (Kim et al., 2011b). Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) technique was used to separate the imatinib from the plasma sample. Plasma sample (0.2 ml) was added to a glass tube containing the internal standard amount of imatinib (20 µL of imatinib-d<sub>8</sub> 5  $\mu$ g/ml). The tube was shaken for 10 s, after which 1.5 ml methyl t-butyl ether was added to the mixture, which was then vortexed for 20 min. The organic phase was then transferred to a clean glass tube and evaporated to dryness under a flow of nitrogen gas. After reconstitution of the dry residue with 1 ml acetonitrile (50%), a 2 µl aliquot of this solution was injected onto the LC-MS/MS system, equipped with an Imtakt Unison C<sub>8</sub> column (3  $\mu$ m, 75 mm  $\times$  2.0 mm, Imtakt Corp., Kyoto, Japan). The mobile phase was composed of 10 mM ammonium formate: acetonitrile: formic acid (60:40:0.1, vol/vol/vol) and used at a constant flow rate of 0.2 ml/min. Quantification was performed in multiple reaction monitoring modes, with the transitions of m/ $z 494.4 \rightarrow 217.1$  for imatinib and  $m/z 502.4 \rightarrow 225.1$  for the internal standard. A linear calibration curve within the range of 5-5000 ng/ml was established for imatinib. The regression correlation coefficients of the calibration curves were >0.9999. Intra-day and inter-day coefficient of variations (CVs) was below 5%.

Gene	Genotype	Ν	Age (year)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	AGP (mg/dl)
ABCG2						
c.421C>A	c.421CC	12	25.4 ± 2.4	174.0 ± 5.8	69.5 ± 10.5	42.1 ± 10.8
	c.421CA	9	24.3 ± 1.2	176.0 ± 4.3	69.1 ± 3.8	53.0 ± 11.7
	c.421AA	6	$23.5 \pm 0.8$	174.5 ± 2.2	$69.8 \pm 5.8$	50.6 ± 7.2
	p-value		0.115	0.634	0.986	0.121
c.34G>A	c.34 GG	19	25.1 ± 2.1	175.0 ± 4.4	$69.4 \pm 7.6$	49.4 ± 11.5
	c.34 GA	6	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	172.7 ± 4.1	67.1 ± 4.3	44.2 ± 11.1
	c.34AA	2	$24.5 \pm 0.7$	179.0 ± 8.5	76.5 ± 14.5	40.3 ± 12.1
	<i>p</i> -value		0.095	0.243	0.325	0.549
ABCB1						
c.1236C>T	c.1236CC	6	$25.3 \pm 3.0$	175.5 ± 3.8	69.5 ± 10.4	51.1 ± 9.4
	c.1236CT	8	25.1 ± 2.0	$174.8 \pm 4.9$	72.0 ± 7.7	38.7 ± 14.1
	c.1236 TT	13	24.0 ± 1.1	$174.5 \pm 5.2$	67.8 ± 6.1	$50.4 \pm 9.0$
	<i>p</i> -value		0.265	0.91	0.485	0.108
c.2677G>T(A)	c.2677 GG	3	25.0 ± 1.7	174.3 ± 1.2	72.9 ± 4.2	46.5 ± 19.1
	c.2677 GA	4	26.8 ± 3.3	176.5 ± 3.7	74.4 ± 8.4	58.6 ± 2.8
	c.2677 GT	11	$24.3 \pm 0.9$	$176.9 \pm 5.0$	70.7 ± 7.4	51.5 ± 7.9
	c.2677 TA	2	$23.0 \pm 0.0$	$170.5 \pm 4.9$	67.0 ± 7.6	30.0 ± 12.4
	c.2677AA	1	28	171	64.1	39.6
	c.2677 TT		23.7 ± 1.2	$172.0 \pm 4.3$	$65.4 \pm 5.3$	46.0 ± 9.8
	<i>p</i> -value		0.025*	0.194	0.107	0.098
c.3435C>T	c.3435CC	8	$26.3 \pm 2.6$	175.0 ± 3.2	71.3 ± 9.2	50.0 ± 12.8
	c.3435CT	14	24.2 ± 1.1	175.1 ± 6.0	$69.3 \pm 7.6$	47.6 ± 11.9
	c.3435 TT	5	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	173.6 ± 1.9	$66.8 \pm 4.5$	$46.0 \pm 9.8$
	<i>p</i> -value		0.005*	0.834	0.605	0.861

\*p< 0.05

#### Pharmacokinetic Analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters for imatinib were determined by non-compartmental analysis using WinNonlin software (version 8.1, Certara, NJ, United States). The maximum plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) and the time to reach  $C_{max}$  ( $T_{max}$ ) were estimated directly from the raw data. The total area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC<sub>all</sub>) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule, with extrapolation to infinity (AUC<sub>inf</sub>) by the division of the last measured concentration by the elimination constant ( $K_e$ ). The value of  $K_e$  was obtained from the slope of the linear regression of the log-linear part of the raw data. The t<sub>1/2</sub> (half-life) was equal to  $\ln_2/K_e$  and the oral clearance (CL/F) of imatinib was estimated by dose/AUC<sub>inf</sub>.

### **Determination of AGP Levels in Plasma**

Plasma AGP concentration was measured in samples obtained immediately before imatinib treatment. AGP concentration was determined using a commercially available ELISA kit (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA) and the intra-assay and inter-assay CV values were 4.4% and 7.0%, respectively.

### Statistical Analysis

The data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD in the text and tables and, for clarity, as the mean  $\pm$  SEM in figures. The statistical comparisons between the *ABCG2/ABCB1* genotype groups were made with oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA by rank, with multiple post hoc comparisons performed after the normality test. Before the ANOVA test, the genotype groups were compared by the performance of an analysis of covariance with an effective term for both *ABCG2* and *ABCB1*  genotypes and with demographic data including age, body weight, and height as covariates. The possible correlation between AGP levels and imatinib pharmacokinetics parameters was assessed using parametric Pearson's correlation coefficient. The plasma AGP levels were illustrated by a probability plot and were examined for normality of the distribution by the normality test. The data analysis was computed with the statistical program SAS 9.2 for Windows. *p* values of < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

## RESULTS

### **Demographic Data**

A total of twenty-seven healthy subjects were enrolled in this study, they were genotyped for *ABCG2* genotype, and *ABCB1*. The observed frequencies of *ABCG2* and *ABCB1* genetic polymorphisms in the subjects and their demographic data are presented in **Table 1**. The genotype groups were compared by the performance of an analysis of covariance with an effective term for both ABCG2 and ABCB1 genotypes and with demographic data including age, body weight, and height as covariates. However, the interactions between genotype and each of the covariates were not statistically significant.

# Effects of Polymorphic ABCG2 and ABCB1 Genotypes on Imatinib Pharmacokinetics

Based on our study results *ABCG2* genotypes, c.421C>A and c.34C>T polymorphism did not influence imatinib

TABLE 2	Comparisons	of pha	armacokinetic	parameters	of	imatinib	by	ABCG2	and	ABCB1	genotypes

ABCG2 c.421C>A	c.421CC (n = 12)	c.412CA (n = 9)	c.421AA (n = 6)				<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	3.1 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.6				0.890
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	1611.3 ± 328.4	1878.1 ± 389.9	2001.9 ± 241.9				0.058
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng⋅h/mL)	25,697.2 ± 6510.9	30,333.7 ± 5401.5	31,302.6 ± 6186.3				0.121
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	26,261.5 ± 6660.0	30,940.4 ± 5461.7	31,922.5 ± 6233.0				0.124
Half-life (h)	12.9 ± 1.5	12.7 ± 1.2	12.5 ± 1.4				0.828
CL/F (L/h)	$16.0 \pm 3.3$	$13.3 \pm 2.4$	12.9 ± 2.2				0.049*
<i>ABCG2</i> c.34G > A	c.34 GG (n = 19)	c.34 GA (n = 6)	c.34AA (n = 2)				<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	$4.0 \pm 1.4$	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	$3.0 \pm 0.6$				0.095
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	1810.6 ± 720.37	1875.8 ± 445.11	1756.4 ± 319.86				0.791
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng·h/mL)	29,787.8 ± 15,368.2	28,562.9 ± 6403.8	28,328.0 ± 5857.5				0.957
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	30,402.8 ± 15,806.8	29,034.2 ± 6480.0	28,953.9 ± 5926.1				0.959
Half-life (h)	12.5 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 1.0	12.9 ± 1.4				0.436
CL/F (L/h)	15.2 ± 7.9	14.2 ± 2.8	14.3 ± 2.8				0.933
ABCB1 c.1236C > T	c.1236CC (n = 6)	<i>c.1236CT</i> (n = 8)	<i>c.1236 TT</i> (n = 13)				p-value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	3.25 ± 0.52	$3.25 \pm 0.80$	$2.80 \pm 0.63$				0.246
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	1958.5 ± 493.82	1724.8 ± 374.10	1746.1 ± 287.10				0.435
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng·h/mL)	31,530.6 ± 8564.2	28,312.5 ± 7579.7	27,192.4 ± 4244.7				0.403
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	32,079.9 ± 8634.5	28,952.8 ± 7807.0	27,771.9 ± 4281.3				0.420
Half-life (h)	$12.1 \pm 0.9$	12.8 ± 1.4	12.9 ± 1.5				0.470
CL/F (L/h)	13.3 ± 3.7	14.7 ± 3.9	14.7 ± 2.3				0.615
<i>ABCB1</i> c.3435C > T	<i>c.3435CC</i> (n = 8)	<i>c.3435CT</i> (n = 14)	<i>c.3435 TT</i> (n = 5)				<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	3.12 ± 0.51	$3.17 \pm 0.66$	$2.5 \pm 0.79$				0.144
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	1809.7 ± 466.98	1818.8 ± 351.34	1661.6 ± 217.44				0.708
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng·h/mL)	30,058.9 ± 7842.8	28,572.1 ± 6455.8	25,740.9 ± 2861.5				0.513
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	30,734.4 ± 7932.7	29,162.9 ± 6534.7	26,196.1 ± 3022.5				0.488
Half-life (h)	12.8 ± 1.49	12.8 ± 1.36	12.0 ± 1.00				0.512
CL/F (L/h)	$13.8 \pm 3.4$	$14.4 \pm 3.3$	15.4 ± 1.7				0.658
ABCB1 c.2677G>T/A	<i>c.2677 GG</i> (n = 3)	<i>c.2677 GT</i> (n = 11)	c.2677 GA (n = 4)	<i>c.2677 TT</i> (n = 6)	<i>c.2677 TA</i> (n = 2)	<i>c.2677AA</i> (n = 1)	<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	3 ± 0.5	3.27 ± 0.71	$3.12 \pm 0.62$	$2.58 \pm 0.73$	$2.75 \pm 0.35$	3.5	0.454
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	1821.3 ± 517.3	1824.4 ± 367.51	1905.6 ± 509.56	1752.7 ± 295.99	1593.1 ± 16.899	1391.33	0.826
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng⋅h/mL)	31,980.4 ± 10,231.3	28,958.3 ± 6673.3	30,676.8 ± 6850.4	27,233.8 ± 4463.5	23,384.4 ± 1866.6	21,823.3	0.584
AUCinf (ng·h/mL)	32,675.0 ± 10,302.0	29,596.6 ± 6743.3	31,428.0 ± 6858.7	27,697.2 ± 4563.7	23,757.3 ± 1849.6	22,138.6	0.545
Half-life (h)	12.8 ± 2.0	13.1 ± 1.4	13.2 ± 1.3	$12.0 \pm 0.9$	$11.8 \pm 0.4$	11.5	0.423
CL/F (L/h)	13.0 ± 4.11	14.2 ± 3.5	13.2 ± 3.0	14.7 ± 2.3	16.8 ± 1.3	18.1	0.432

C<sub>max</sub> maximum concentration; T<sub>max</sub> time required to reach the maximum concentration; AUC<sub>alk</sub> total areas under the plasma concentration–time curve, AUC<sub>inb</sub> areas under the plasma concentration–time curve with extrapolation to infinity; K<sub>a</sub>, elimination constant, CL/F, oral clearance, Vd/F, volume of distribution.

\*p< 0.05 by ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis) with posthoc (Tukey's) comparisons.

pharmacokinetics. ABCG2 c.421C>A, exhibited a gene-dose dependent trend on imatinib pharmacokinetics marginally (CL/F, p = 0.049, **Table 2**). Similarly, ABCB1 genotypes,

c.1236C>T, c.2677G>T/A, and c.3435C>T polymorphisms did not show influence on the pharmacokinetic parameters of imatinib in this study population (**Table 2**).



TABLE 3   Correlat	tion between indivi	dual alpha-1-acid	glycoprotein	(AGP) level
and the respective	pharmacokinetic p	arameters of imat	inib.	

	Pearson <i>r</i> correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub>	0.3753	0.053
C <sub>max</sub>	0.7636	<0.0001
AUCall	0.8681	<0.0001
AUCinf	0.8722	<0.0001
Half-life	0.0644	0.750
CL/F	-0.6673	<0.001

\*p< 0.05

# Effects of AGP Levels on Imatinib Pharmacokinetics

Plasma AGP levels exhibited a strong correlation with the pharmacokinetic parameters of imatinib. In particular, plasma AGP levels exhibited a significant positive correlation with  $C_{max}$  (Pearson r = 0.764, p < 0.0001) and AUC<sub>inf</sub> (Pearson r = 0.872, p < 0.0001) and a significant and negative correlation with CL/F (Pearson r = -0.667, p < 0.001) (**Figure 1; Table 3**). No association was found with  $T_{max}$  or half-life.

## Effects of Polymorphic ABCB1 and ABCG2 Genotypes Based on the Stratified AGP Levels

An evaluation of the plasma AGP distribution revealed a bimodal distribution with an antimode at 80 mg/dl (**Figure 2**). We,

therefore, re-evaluated the imatinib pharmacokinetics with respect to the *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* polymorphisms after the exclusion of five subjects with AGP values above the antimode ( $\geq$ 80 mg/dl, n = 5). After this adjustment, *ABCG2* c.421C>A substantially influenced imatinib pharmacokinetics in this population: the *ABCG2* c.421C>A genotype increased the imatinib C<sub>max</sub> values to 14,801.7 ng/ml for c.421CC, 1708.1 ng/ml for c.421CA, and 1921.4 ng/ml for c.421AA (p < 0.001). In addition, the average AUC<sub>inf</sub> value was 23,835.6 ng h/ml for c.421CC, 28,764.3 ng h/ml for c.421CA, and 29,605.3 ng h/ml for c.421AA (p = 0.008) and their average CL/F values were 17.1 L/h, 14.1 L/h, and 13.6 L/h, respectively (p = 0.007), while no association was found in T<sub>max</sub> and CL/F (**Table 4; Figure 3, Supplementary Figure S1**). We could not find any meaningful results in *ABCG2* c.34G>A.

## DISCUSSION

The results of the present study indicated that *ABCG2* c.421C>A single nucleotide polymorphism significantly influenced imatinib pharmacokinetics in relatively low AGP plasma levels. However, neither the *ABCG2* nor the *ABCB1* polymorphism independently affected imatinib pharmacokinetics suggesting that the plasma AGP level was an important factor in the interindividual variability of imatinib pharmacokinetics.

The results of the present study showed a strong correlation between plasma AGP levels, and imatinib exposure and



ABCG2 c.421C > A	c.421CC (n = 10)	c.412CA (n = 7)	c.421AA (n = 5)	Difference between	genotypes (mean difference (90	% CI of difference))	<i>p</i> -value
				CC vs. CA	CC vs. AA	CA vs. CC	
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	2.9 ± 0.4	$3.0 \pm 0.9$	2.9 ± 0.7	-0.1 (-0.8 - 0.6)	0.0 (-0.8 - 0.8)	0.1 (-0.7 - 0.9)	0.942
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	14,801.7 ± 132.1	1708.1 ± 195.4	1921.4 ± 156.5	-227.0 (-399.155.6)	-440.7 (-631.5249.8)	-213.3 (-417.39.3)	< <b>0.001</b> ª,b,c
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng·h/mL)	23,301.4 ± 3401.9	28,141.3 ± 3592.7	28,985.9 ± 2753.8	-4840.3 (-8433.11247.2)	-5685 (-9678.41691.1)	-844.6 (-5114.3 - 3424.2)	0.006 <sup>a,b</sup>
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	23,835.6 ± 3566.2	28,764.3 ± 3761.4	29,605.3 ± 2879.5	-4929.6 (-8692.4-1165.1)	-5770.2 (-9953.61587.0)	-841.0 (-5313.3 - 3630.9)	0.008 <sup>a,b</sup>
Half-life (h)	$13.0 \pm 1.5$	$12.9 \pm 1.3$	12.7 ± 1.4	0.0 (-1.5 - 1.6)	0.3 (-1.5 - 2.0)	0.2 (-1.7 - 2.1)	0.949
CL/F (L/h)	$17.1 \pm 2.3$	$14.1 \pm 2.0$	13.6 ± 1.4	3.0 (0.8 – 5.2)	3.5 (1.0 – 5.9)	0.5 (-2.1 - 3.1)	0.007 <sup>a,b</sup>
ABCG2 c.34G>A	c.34 GG (n = 16)	c.34 GA (n = 5)	c.34AA (n = 1)	GG vs. GA	GG vs. AA	GA vs. AA	<i>p</i> -value
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	$3.0 \pm 0.6$	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	3.0	0.2 (-0.5 - 0.9)	0.0 (-1.5 - 1.4)	-0.2 (-1.7 - 1.3)	0.878
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	$1655.2 \pm 236.3$	1717.2 ± 242.8	1301.2	-62.0 (-320.9 - 196.8)	353.9 (-166.8 - 874.7)	416.0 (-137.5 - 969.4)	0.303
AUC <sub>all</sub> (ng·h/mL)	26,236.4 ± 4031.4	26,372.2 ± 3907.4	18,920.8	137.1 (-5090.2 - 5365.3)	7589.6 (-2929.1 - 18,106.0)	7451.4 (-3726.0 - 18,628.6)	0.228
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (ng·h/mL)	26,841.9 ± 4150.1	26,826.9 ± 3993.2	19,225.6	321.3 (-4322.4 - 4964.7)	7923.0 (–1419.9 – 17,264.0)	7601.1 (-2326.6 - 17,528.4)	0.224
Half-life (h)	13.0 ± 1.4	12.1 ± 1.0	11.8	1.0 (-0.8 - 2.8)	1.4 (-2.2 – 4.9)	0.4 (–3.4 – 4.2)	0.355
CL/F (L/h)	$15.1 \pm 2.4$	$15.2 \pm 2.1$	20.8	-0.1 (-2.7 - 2.5)	-5.7 (-11.0 - 0.5)	-5.6 (-11.20.1)	0.091
C <sub>max</sub> maximum concentr. constant <sup>,</sup> Cl /F_oral clear	ation; T <sub>max</sub> , time to reach m rance	iaximum concentration; AUC	ک <sub>all</sub> , total area under the plas	ama concentration-time curve, AUC <sub>inf</sub> &	rea under the plasma concentration-tin	he curve with extrapolation to infinity; $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{e}}$	, elimination

dose dependent effect on the imatinib C<sub>max</sub> and AUC values in the low AGP plasma levels group. Moreover, the participants who had more than one SNPs causing loss of function of ABCG2 and/ or ABCB1 (not including ABCG2 c.421C>A) did not show any tendency to affect imatinib pharmacokinetics, while the participants who only had ABCG2 c.421C>A mutation with no other additional SNPs were shown to have a more discriminative effect (data not shown). These results suggest that ABCG2 c.421C>A is a moderate factor in the modulation of imatinib pharmacokinetics. Although previous studies showed similar results regarding the effect of ABCG2 c.421C>A on the imatinib pharmacokinetics (Breedveld et al., 2005), some studies showed a significant difference in imatinib pharmacokinetics only in the dominant model (i.e., c.421 CC + CA vs. AA) or a gene-dose dependent effect on imatinib pharmacokinetics with no statistical significance (Petain et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2010; Seong et al., 2013). Given the discrepancies between the results of previous studies and those of the present study, we can postulate, a priori, that other factors may mask the effect of the ABCG2, including plasma AGP levels and demographic characteristics (Takahashi and Miura, 2011). However, in this study, the effects of age, body weight, and BMI on imatinib pharmacokinetics were unlikely to play a substantial role. Similarly, previous studies did not show any relationship with demography (Larson et al., 2008; Takahashi and Miura, 2011). When we analyzed plasma AGP distribution, the probability plot showed a bimodal distribution. Considering the strong positive correlation between imatinib pharmacokinetics and plasma AGP levels, we hypothesized that higher plasma AGP levels concealed the genetic effects on imatinib pharmacokinetics. Interestingly, ABCG2 c.421C>A genotypes substantially influenced imatinib pharmacokinetics in subjects with plasma AGP levels below 80 mg/dl, while other genotypes did not. This phenomenon suggests that plasma AGP levels and ABCG2 simultaneously influence imatinib pharmacokinetics, but that the role of ABCG2 might be masked in subjects with higher plasma AGP levels. We supposed that a low concentration of AGP contributed

polymorphism (Bohnert and Gan, 2013). It has been suggested that imatinib is a substrate of P-glycoprotein expressed by *ABCB1* (Gurney et al., 2007; Vivona et al., 2012). *ABCB1* genetic polymorphisms, including c.1236C>T, c.2677G>T/A, and c.3435C>T, influence its disposition and clinical response to various *ABCB1* substrates; in addition, it has been suggested that these polymorphisms modulate the plasma levels of imatinib as a substrate of P-glycoprotein (Burger and Nooter, 2004; Gurney et al., 2007; Vivona et al., 2012). However, a previous meta-analysis showed

to a relatively increased fraction of free plasma imatinib levels, and thereby more easily affected by the functional *ABCG2* 

Frontiers in Pharmacology | www.frontiersin.org

posthoc (Tukey's) comparisons between c.421CC and c.421CA.

posthoc (Tukey's) comparisons c.421CA and c.421AA

p < 0.05 by ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis) with posthoc (Tukey's) comparisons between c.421CC and c.421AA

with

< 0.05 by ANOVA (Kruskal-Waltis) < 0.05 by ANOVA (Kruskal-Waltis)

٥

**TABLE 4** | Comparison of pharmacokinetic parameters of imatinib by ABCG2 genotypes in lower AGP levels (<80 mg/dl, n = 22)

disposition, this was in agreement with previous literature (Widmer et al., 2006; Petain et al., 2008; Haouala et al., 2013), suggesting that the plasma AGP level was an important factor in the imatinib pharmacokinetics (Huang and Ung, 2013).

Based on our present study results, ABCG2 c.34G>A polymorphism did not affect imatinib pharmacokinetics, whereas the ABCG2 c.421C>A genotype significantly influenced the imatinib CL/F (p = 0.049), and showed a gene-



that neither *ABCB1* c.2677G>T(A) nor c.3435C>T was a risk factor for poor clinical response of imatinib treatment in Asian CML patients, whereas c.1236C>T was a risk factor in Asian but not Caucasian CML patients (Zu et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2015). In the present study evaluation of the effects of polymorphisms on imatinib pharmacokinetics showed no statistically significant effects on imatinib disposition. Similarly, other studies concluded that none of these polymorphisms influenced imatinib pharmacokinetics (Petain et al., 2008; Seong et al., 2013); Dickens et al. revealed that the SNPs did not affect the transporter activity of human P-glycoprotein *in vitro* (Dickens et al., 2013). We, therefore, suggested that *ABCB1* polymorphisms might play a minor role in the disposition of imatinib.

Our study has some limitations. First, we assessed the pharmacokinetics of imatinib in healthy subjects with various ABCG2 and ABCB1 genotypes after a single dose of imatinib, even though imatinib is a long-term anticancer drug. However, to assess the pharmacogenetic effects only, we recruited a relatively homogenous group without other confounding factors that could affect imatinib pharmacokinetics (Takahashi and Miura, 2011). Secondly, while it has been suggested that various cytochrome P450 isoforms (CYP3A4/5, CYP1A2, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19) are involved in imatinib disposition (Weiner et al., 2007), in this study, we only assessed the pharmacogenetic effects of ABCG2 and ABCB1 polymorphisms. Although we did not assess the effect of polymorphisms of these genes, recently published findings have demonstrated their minor contributions (Gardner et al., 2006; Gurney et al., 2007). Another limitation of this study is the low sample size, which was inevitable to perform a thorough pharmacokinetic study design.

In conclusion, we observed that plasma AGP levels were correlated with imatinib pharmacokinetics, and strongly polymorphisms ABCB1 did not influence imatinib pharmacokinetics. However, the ABCG2 c.421C>A polymorphism substantial role imatinib plays а in

pharmacokinetics in subjects with low plasma AGP levels; this implied that its effect on the imatinib pharmacogenetics was masked at higher AGP plasma levels.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/ restrictions: The datasets presented in this article are not readily available to protect participants' privacy. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to JP. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to JP, jypark21@korea.ac.kr.

## **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Anam Hospital, Korea University, Korea. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

J-WP and HC equally contributed to this work as first authors. K-AK and SL designed research. J-MK and I-HP wrote the manuscript. J-YP is a corresponding author.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.658039/full#supplementary-material.

## REFERENCES

- Bhattacharya, S. (2020). Fabrication and Characterization of Chitosan-Based Polymeric Nanoparticles of Imatinib for Colorectal Cancer Targeting Application. *Int. J. Biol. Macromolecules* 151, 104–115. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2020.02.151
- Bohnert, T., and Gan, L.-S. (2013). Plasma Protein Binding: from Discovery to Development. J. Pharm. Sci. 102, 2953–2994. doi:10.1002/jps.23614
- Breedveld, P., Pluim, D., Cipriani, G., Wielinga, P., Van Tellingen, O., Schinkel, A. H., et al. (2005). The Effect of Bcrp1 (Abcg2) on the In Vivo Pharmacokinetics and Brain Penetration of Imatinib Mesylate (Gleevec): Implications for the Use of Breast Cancer Resistance Protein and P-Glycoprotein Inhibitors to Enable the Brain Penetration of Imatinib in Patients. *Cancer Res.* 65, 2577–2582. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.can-04-2416
- Burger, H., Van Tol, H., Boersma, A. W. M., Brok, M., Wiemer, E. A. C., Stoter, G., et al. (2004). Imatinib Mesylate (STI571) Is a Substrate for the Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP)/ABCG2 Drug Pump. *Blood* 104, 2940–2942. doi:10. 1182/blood-2004-04-1398
- Burger, K., and Nooter, K. (2004). Pharmacokinetic Resistance to Imatinib Mesylate: Role of the ABC Drug Pumps ABCG2 (BCRP) and ABCB1 (MDR1) in the Oral Bioavailability of Imatinib. *Cell Cycle* 3, 1502–1505. doi:10.4161/cc.3.12.1331
- Capdeville, R., Buchdunger, E., Zimmermann, J., and Matter, A. (2002). Glivec (STI571, Imatinib), a Rationally Developed, Targeted Anticancer Drug. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 1, 493–502. doi:10.1038/nrd839
- Demetri, G. D., Von Mehren, M., Blanke, C. D., Van Den Abbeele, A. D., Eisenberg, B., Roberts, P. J., et al. (2002). Efficacy and Safety of Imatinib Mesylate in Advanced Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 347, 472–480. doi:10.1056/nejmoa020461
- Demetri, G. D., Wang, Y., Wehrle, E., Racine, A., Nikolova, Z., Blanke, C. D., et al. (2009). Imatinib Plasma Levels Are Correlated with Clinical Benefit in Patients with Unresectable/metastatic Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. *Jco* 27, 3141–3147. doi:10.1200/jco.2008.20.4818
- Dickens, D., Owen, A., Alfirevic, A., and Pirmohamed, M. (2013). ABCB1 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (1236C>T, 2677G>T, and 3435C>T) Do Not Affect Transport Activity of Human P-Glycoprotein. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 23, 314–323. doi:10.1097/fpc.0b013e328360d10c
- Eechoute, K., Sparreboom, A., Burger, H., Franke, R. M., Schiavon, G., Verweij, J., et al. (2011). Drug Transporters and Imatinib Treatment: Implications for Clinical Practice. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17, 406–415. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-10-2250
- Gambacorti-Passerini, C., Zucchetti, M., Russo, D., Frapolli, R., Verga, M., Bungaro, S., et al. (2003). Alpha1 Acid Glycoprotein Binds to Imatinib (STI571) and Substantially Alters its Pharmacokinetics in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 9, 625–632.
- Gardner, E., Burger, H., Vanschaik, R., Vanoosterom, A., Debruijn, E., Guetens, G., et al. (2006). Association of Enzyme and Transporter Genotypes with the Pharmacokinetics of Imatinib. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 80, 192–201. doi:10.1016/ j.clpt.2006.05.003
- Gschwind, H.-P., Pfaar, U., Waldmeier, F., Zollinger, M., Sayer, C., Zbinden, P., et al. (2005). Metabolism and Disposition of Imatinib Mesylate in Healthy Volunteers. Drug Metab. Dispos 33, 1503–1512. doi:10.1124/dmd.105.004283
- Gurney, H., Wong, M., Balleine, R. L., Rivory, L. P., Mclachlan, A. J., Hoskins, J. M., et al. (2007). Imatinib Disposition and ABCB1 (MDR1, P-Glycoprotein) Genotype. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 82, 33–40. doi:10.1038/sj.clpt.6100201
- Haouala, A., Widmer, N., Guidi, M., Montemurro, M., Leyvraz, S., Buclin, T., et al. (2013). Prediction of Free Imatinib Concentrations Based on Total Plasma Concentrations in Patients with Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 75, 1007–1018. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04422.x
- Haufroid, V. (2011). Genetic Polymorphisms of ATP-Binding Cassette Transporters ABCB1 and ABCC2 and Their Impact on Drug Disposition. *Cdt* 12, 631–646. doi:10.2174/138945011795378487
- Huang, Z., and Ung, T. (2013). Effect of Alpha-1-Acid Glycoprotein Binding on Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 14, 226–238. doi:10.2174/138920013804870709
- Kim, K.-A., Cha, Y.-J., Lee, H.-M., Joo, H.-J., and Park, J.-Y. (2015). Effects of the ABCG2 and ABCB1 Drug Transporter Polymorphisms on the

Pharmacokinetics of Bicalutamide in Humans. Clinica Chim. Acta 438, 7-11. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2014.08.006

- Kim, K.-A., Joo, H.-J., and Park, J.-Y. (2010). ABCG2 Polymorphisms, 34G>A and 421C>A in a Korean Population: Analysis and a Comprehensive Comparison with Other Populations. *J. Clin. Pharm. Ther.* 35, 705–712. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2710.2009.01127.x
- Kim, K.-A., Joo, H.-J., and Park, J.-Y. (2011a). Effect of ABCG2 Genotypes on the Pharmacokinetics of A771726, an Active Metabolite of Prodrug Leflunomide, and Association of A771726 Exposure with Serum Uric Acid Level. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 67, 129–134. doi:10.1007/s00228-010-0916-0
- Kim, K.-A., Lim, J. L., Kim, C., and Park, J.-Y. (2011b). Pharmacokinetic Comparison of Orally Disintegrating and Conventional Donepezil Formulations in Healthy Korean Male Subjects: a Single-Dose, Randomized, Open-Label, 2-sequence, 2-period Crossover Study. *Clin. Ther.* 33, 965–972. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2011.06.003
- Kim, K.-A., Park, P.-W., and Park, J.-Y. (2007). Effect of ABCB1 (MDR1) Haplotypes Derived from G2677T/C3435T on the Pharmacokinetics of Amlodipine in Healthy Subjects. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 63, 53–58. doi:10. 1111/j.1365-2125.2006.02733.x
- Larson, R. A., Druker, B. J., Guilhot, F., O'brien, S. G., Riviere, G. J., Krahnke, T., et al. (2008). Imatinib Pharmacokinetics and its Correlation with Response and Safety in Chronic-phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia: a Subanalysis of the IRIS Study. *Blood* 111, 4022–4028. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-10-116475
- Nebot, N., Crettol, S., D'esposito, F., Tattam, B., Hibbs, D. E., and Murray, M. (2010). Participation of CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 in the N-Demethylation of Imatinib in Human Hepatic Microsomes. Br. J. Pharmacol. 161, 1059–1069. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00946.x
- Oostendorp, R. L., Buckle, T., Beijnen, J. H., Van Tellingen, O., and Schellens, J. H. M. (2009). The Effect of P-Gp (Mdr1a/1b), BCRP (Bcrp1) and P-Gp/BCRP Inhibitors on the In Vivo Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion of Imatinib. *Invest. New Drugs* 27, 31–40. doi:10.1007/s10637-008-9138-z
- Peng, B., Dutreix, C., Mehring, G., Hayes, M. J., Ben-Am, M., Seiberling, M., et al. (2004). Absolute Bioavailability of Imatinib (Glivec) Orally versus Intravenous Infusion. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 44, 158–162. doi:10.1177/ 0091270003262101
- Peng, B., Lloyd, P., and Schran, H. (2005). Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Imatinib. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 44, 879–894. doi:10.2165/00003088-200544090-00001
- Petain, A., Kattygnarath, D., Azard, J., Chatelut, E., Delbaldo, C., Geoerger, B., et al. (2008). Innovative Therapies with Children with Cancer European, C.Population Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacogenetics of Imatinib in Children and Adults. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14, 7102–7109. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-08-0950
- Picard, S., Titier, K., Etienne, G., Teilhet, E., Ducint, D., Bernard, M.-A., et al. (2007). Trough Imatinib Plasma Levels Are Associated with Both Cytogenetic and Molecular Responses to Standard-Dose Imatinib in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. *Blood* 109, 3496–3499. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-07-036012
- Seong, S. J., Lim, M., Sohn, S. K., Moon, J. H., Oh, S.-J., Kim, B. S., et al. (2013). Influence of Enzyme and Transporter Polymorphisms on Trough Imatinib Concentration and Clinical Response in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients. Ann. Oncol. 24, 756–760. doi:10.1093/annonc/ mds532
- Silverton, L., Dean, M., and Moitra, K. (2011). Variation and Evolution of the ABC Transporter Genes ABCB1, ABCC1, ABCG2, ABCG5 and ABCG8: Implication for Pharmacogenetics and Disease. *Drug Metabol Drug Interact* 26, 169–179. doi:10.1515/dmdi.2011.027
- Takahashi, N., Miura, M., Scott, S. A., Kagaya, H., Kameoka, Y., Tagawa, H., et al. (2010). Influence of CYP3A5 and Drug Transporter Polymorphisms on Imatinib Trough Concentration and Clinical Response Among Patients with Chronic Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. J. Hum. Genet. 55, 731–737. doi:10. 1038/jhg.2010.98
- Takahashi, N., and Miura, M. (2011). Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Imatinib for Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients in the Chronic Phase. *Pharmacology* 87, 241–248. doi:10.1159/000324900

- Vivona, D., Bueno, C. T., Lima, L. T., Hirata, R. D. C., Hirata, M. H., Luchessi, A. D., et al. (2012). ABCB1 Haplotype Is Associated with Major Molecular Response in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients Treated with Standard-Dose of Imatinib. *Blood Cell Mol. Dis.* 48, 132–136. doi:10.1016/j.bcmd.2011.11.001
- Weiner, M., Burman, W., Luo, C.-C., Peloquin, C. A., Engle, M., Goldberg, S., et al. (2007). Effects of Rifampin and Multidrug Resistance Gene Polymorphism on Concentrations of Moxifloxacin. *Aac* 51, 2861–2866. doi:10.1128/aac.01621-06
- Widmer, N., Decosterd, L. A., Csajka, C., Leyvraz, S., Duchosal, M. A., Rosselet, A., et al. (2006). Population Pharmacokinetics of Imatinib and the Role of Alpha1-Acid Glycoprotein. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 62, 97–112. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2006.02719.x
- Zheng, Q., Wu, H., Yu, Q., Kim, D. H., Lipton, J. H., Angelini, S., et al. (2015). ABCB1 Polymorphisms Predict Imatinib Response in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 15, 127–134. doi:10.1038/tpj.2014.54
- Zu, B., Li, Y., Wang, X., He, D., Huang, Z., and Feng, W. (2014). MDR1gene Polymorphisms and Imatinib Response in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia: a Meta-Analysis. *Pharmacogenomics* 15, 667–677. doi:10.2217/pgs.13.222

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Park, Chung, Kim, Kim, Park, Lee and Park. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.