Characteristics and outcome of patients with acute myeloid leukemia and trisomy 4

Sabine Kayser,^{1,2} David Martínez-Cuadrón,^{3,4} Maher Hanoun,⁵ Friedrich Stölzel,⁶ Cristina Gil,⁷ H. Christian Reinhardt,⁵ Eliana Aguiar,⁸ Kerstin Schäfer-Eckart,⁹ Juan Miguel Bergua Burgues,¹⁰ Björn Steffen,¹¹ Teresa Bernal,¹² Stefan W. Krause,¹³ Rosalía Riaza,¹⁴ Christoph Schliemann,¹⁵ Jose Cervera,^{3,4} Martin Kaufmann,¹⁶ Laura Torres-Miñana,^{3,4} Mathias Hänel,¹⁷ Evelyn Acuña-Cruz,^{3,4} Edgar Jost,¹⁸ Jesus Lorenzo Algarra,¹⁹ Martina Crysandt,¹⁸ Lars Fransecky,²⁰ Javier Cornago-Navascues,²¹ Sabrina Kraus,²² Joaquin Martinez-Lopez,²³ Hermann Einsele,²² Dirk Niemann,²⁴ Andreas Neubauer,²⁵ Ruth Seggewiss-Bernhardt,²⁶ Sebastian Scholl,²⁷ Stefan A. Klein,²⁸ Christoph Schmid,²⁹ Markus Schaich,³⁰ Martin Schmidt-Hieber,³¹ Sven Zukunft,⁶ Anthony D. Ho,³² Uwe Platzbecker,¹ Claudia D. Baldus,²⁰ Carsten Müller-Tidow,³² Christian Thiede,⁶ Martin Bornhäuser,⁶ Hubert Serve,¹¹ Mark J. Levis,³³ Pau Montesinos,^{3,4} Christoph Röllig⁶ and Richard F. Schlenk^{2,32,34}

¹Medical Clinic and Policlinic I, Hematology and Cellular Therapy, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; 2NCT Trial Center, National Center of Tumor Diseases, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; ³Hematology Department, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic, La Fe, València, Spain; ⁴CIBERONC, Instituto Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; ⁵Department of Hematology and Stem Cell Transplantation, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany; ⁶Department of Medicine I, University Hospital Carl-Gustav-Carus, Dresden, Dresden, Germany; ⁷Hospital General, Alicante, Spain; ⁸Clinical Haematology Department, Centro Hospitalar São João, Oporto, Portugal; ⁹Department of Internal Medicine 5, Paracelsus Medical Private University Nürnberg, Nürnberg, Germany; ¹⁰Hematology Department, Hospital San Pedro de Alcántara, Cáceres, Spain; ¹¹Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital of Frankfurt Main, Frankfurt Main, Germany; ¹²Hospital Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain; ¹³Department of Internal Medicine 5 – Hematology/Oncology, University Hospital of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany; ¹⁴Hematology Department, Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁵University Hospital Muenster, Muenster, Germany; ¹⁶Robert Bosch Hospital Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany; ¹⁷Klinikum Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany; ¹⁸Department of Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology, and Stem Cell Transplantation, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany; ¹⁹Hospital General de Albacete, Albacete, Spain; ²⁰Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital of Kiel, Kiel, Germany; ²¹Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ²²Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ²³Hospital 12 de Octubre, Complutense University, CNIO, Madrid, Spain; ²⁴Gemeinschaftsklinikum Mittelrhein gGmbH, Koblenz, Germany; ²⁵Philipps University Marburg, and University Hospital Giessen and Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ²⁶Medizinische Klinik V, Sozialstiftung Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany; ²⁷Klinik für Innere Medizin II, Universitätsklinikum Jena, Jena, Germany; ²⁸Department of Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany; ²⁹Department of Hematology and Oncology, Augsburg University Hospital, Augsburg, Germany; ³⁰Department of Hematology, Oncology and Palliative Care, Rems-Murr-Hospital Winnenden, Winnenden, Germany; ³¹Department of Hematology and Oncology, Carl-Thiem-Klinikum, Cottbus, Germany; ³²Department of Internal Medicine V, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ³³Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA and ³⁴Department of Medical Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany.

Abstract

We retrospectively studied 125 patients with acute myeloid leukemia and trisomy 4 (median age at diagnosis, 58 years; range, 16-77 years) treated between 2000 and 2019 within a multicenter study. Trisomy 4 was the sole abnormality in 28 (22%) patients and additional abnormalities were present in 97 (78%) patients. Twenty-two (22%) and 15 (15%) of 101 tested patients harbored NPM1 and FLT3-ITD mutations. Two (3%) of 72 tested patients had double CEBPA mutations. Data on response to intensive anthracycline-based induction therapy were available for 119 patients. Complete remission was achieved in 67% (n=80) and the early death rate was 5% (n=6). Notably, patients with trisomy 4 as sole abnormality had

Correspondence: S. Kayser s.kayser@dkfz-heidelberg.de

Received: Accepted: Prepublished: June 9, 2022.

March 28, 2022. May 30, 2022.

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2022.281137

©2023 Ferrata Storti Foundation Published under a CC BY-NC license 🚾 🛈 😒 a complete remission rate of 89%. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation was performed in 40 (34%) patients, of whom 19 were transplanted in first complete remission. The median follow-up of the intensively treated cohort was 5.76 years (95% confidence interval [95% CI]: 2.99-7.61 years). The 5-year overall survival and relapse-free survival rates were 30% (95% CI: 22-41%) and 27% (95% CI: 18-41%), respectively. An Andersen-Gill regression model on overall survival revealed that favorable-risk according to the European LeukemiaNet classification (hazard ratio [HR]=0.34; P=0.006) and trisomy 4 as sole abnormality (HR=0.41; P=0.01) were favorable factors, whereas age with a difference of 10 years (HR=1.15; P=0.11), female gender (HR=0.74; P=0.20) and allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HR=0.64; P=0.14) did not have an significant impact. In our cohort, patients with trisomy 4 as their sole abnormality had a high complete remission rate and favorable clinical outcome. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation did not seem to improve overall survival.

Introduction

Trisomy 4 is a recurrent but very rare cytogenetic abnormality reported in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML).^{1,2} In a large analysis on 5,876 younger adult AML patients treated in United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials, only 70 (1%) harbored trisomy 4.² The prognostic significance of this abnormality in AML patients is not clear. Informed clinical decision-making in situations in which cytogenetic analysis shows rare cytogenetic abnormalities has been hampered by a lack of consensus regarding the likely outcome of such patients. According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines³ as well as the European LeukemiaNet (ELN) recommendations⁴ AML patients with trisomy 4 in the absence of further abnormalities would be assigned to the intermediate-risk group. However, this risk group comprises a rather large and heterogeneous set of abnormalities, leaving the impact of this particular abnormality on outcome unclear. Apart from the benefit of achieving greater consensus in cytogenetic classification, establishing the outcome associated with rare cytogenetic abnormalities is important, particularly given the results of a meta-analysis that has suggested that a relapse risk in excess of 35% can provide a useful working threshold to identify patients in whom allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) may confer a survival benefit.⁵

The prognosis of AML patients with trisomy 4 is controversial. While data from some cohort analyses suggest that their outcome is comparable to that of AML patients with normal cytogenetics,^{2,6} others suggest a poorer outcome⁷ compared to that of patients with intermediaterisk cytogenetics.⁸ While the rate of complete remission after intensive anthracycline and cytarabine-based combination chemotherapy was comparable to that of patients with normal cytogenetics (87% vs. 90%; P=0.3) and the 10-year cumulative incidence of relapse rate was almost identical (49% vs. 54%; P=0.7), the 10-year overall survival rate was lower (16% vs. 38%; P=0.2).² Allogeneic HSCT may improve survival if performed early in first complete remission. However, neither prospective clinical nor larger retrospective cohort studies are available to support these results.

Methods

Patients and treatment

Information on 125 adult patients with AML and trisomy 4 diagnosed between 2000 and 2019 (2000-2010, n=48; after 2010, n=77) was collected within a large, multicenter international cohort (Study Alliance Leukemia [SAL], n=82; Programa Español de Tratamientos en Hematología [PETHEMA], n=40; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, n=3). Detailed case report forms (including information on baseline characteristics, chemotherapy, allogeneic HSCT, response, and survival) were collected from all participating centers. Inclusion criteria were adult AML patients with trisomy 4 and all patients who fulfilled these criteria were included by the participating groups/institutions. The diagnosis of AML was based on French-American-British Cooperative Group criteria,9 and, after 2003, on revised International Working Group criteria.¹⁰ Chromosome banding was performed using standard techniques, and karyotypes were described according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature.¹¹ A complex karyotype was defined according to the 2017 ELN classification.4 FLT3 mutation screening for internal tandem duplications (ITD) and point mutations within the tyrosine kinase domain was carried out at each institution as previously described.^{12,13} Data collection and analysis were approved by the institutional review boards of the participating centers.

Treatment

Of the 125 patients, 119 (95%) received intensive induction treatment either within clinical trials (n=46) or according to local institutional standards (n=73). Treatment protocols for patients treated within the SAL (n=82) included AML60+ (n=4),¹⁴ AML96 (n=12),¹⁵ AML2003 (n=21),¹⁶ and SORAML (n=2).¹⁷ Additionally, 43 patients were included within the prospective SAL registry (NCT03188874). All patients from PETHEMA (n=40) were

S. Kayser et al.

included within the PETHEMA AML registry (NCT02607059).¹⁸ The treatment protocols for patients treated within the PETHEMA included LMA2007 (n=1; NCT01041040), LMA2010 (n=3; NCT01296178), LMA2017 (n=1), as well as the CALGB/Ratify trial (n=1).¹⁹ One patient from Johns Hopkins was included in a clinical trial and treated with ivosidenib,²⁰ the other two patients were treated according to local institutional standards.

Induction therapy for the 73 patients treated according to local institutional standards consisted of the anthracycline/cytarabine-based "7+3" regimen (n=66) or comparable intensive treatment (n=7). One of the 73 patients was treated with the "7+3" regimen in combination with gemtuzumab ozogamicin.

Six (5%) of the 125 patients were treated non-intensively. Of those, three received azacitidine therapy, one ivosidenib and two patients were managed with best supportive care. Response was assessed according to International Working Group recommendations.¹⁰ All clinical studies were approved by the institutional review boards of the participating centers. All patients provided written informed consent to participation in one of the treatment trials or to therapy according to local standards.

Statistical analyses

Survival endpoints, including overall survival, relapse-free survival, cumulative incidence of relapse and cumulative incidence of death in complete remission, were defined according to the revised recommendations of the International Working Group.¹⁰ Comparisons of patients' characteristics were performed with the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test for continuous variables and the Fisher exact test for categorical variables. To identify prognostic variables with respect to response to induction therapy a logistic regression model was used. Variables included ELN favorable-risk category, gender, trisomy 4 as sole abnormality, and age. The median follow-up time was computed using the reverse Kaplan-Meier estimate.²¹ The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the distribution of relapsefree survival and overall survival.²² Confidence interval (CI) estimations for survival curves were based on the cumulative hazard function using the Greenwood formula for variance estimation. Log-rank tests were employed to compare survival curves between groups. Cumulative incidences of relapse and death and their standard errors were computed according to the method described by Gray²³ and included only patients attaining complete remission. The effect of allogeneic HSCT (including all transplanted patients) on overall survival as a time-dependent intervening event was tested in a multivariable Andersen-Gill model.²⁴ Variables included in the model were ELN favorable-risk, gender, trisomy 4 as sole abnormality, age with a difference of 10 years as well as allogeneic HSCT. All statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software environment R, version 3.3.1, using the R packages prodlim, version 1.5.7, and survival, version 2.39-5.²⁵

Results

Study cohort

Demographic and clinical data were collected from 125 patients diagnosed with AML and trisomy 4 between 2000 and 2019. Their median age was 58 years (range, 16-77 years) and 62 patients (50%) were female. Most of the patients had *de novo* AML (79%). The baseline characteristics of the study cohort are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with acutemyeloid leukemia and trisomy 4.

	Number (total=125)	%
Female gender	62	50
Median age	58 years	range, 16-77 years
Type of AML <i>De novo</i> Secondary AML Therapy-related AML Missing	99 15 8 3	79 12 6 2
ECOG PS 0 1 2 Missing	36 59 19 11	29 47 15 9
Cytogenetics Sole trisomy 4 Additional abnormalities ≥3 abnormalities Autosomal monosomies Monosomal karyotype [#] Trisomy 4 & trisomy 8 Trisomies only t(8;21) or inv(16)	28 97 68 20 18 43 23 10	22 78
Molecular genetics* <i>NPM1</i> mutated <i>FLT3</i> -ITD positive <i>CEBPA</i> double mutated	22 15 2	22 15 3
ELN risk group Favorable Intermediate Unfavorable	27 29 66	22 24 54
	Value	Range
Median WBC x10 ⁹ /L	4.8	0.4-255
Platelets x10 ⁹ /L	47	2-330
Hemoglobin, g/dL	9.1	4.9-16.6
Median BM blasts	77	1-100

Results may not add up to 100 due to rounding. #According to Breems et al.³⁴ *Available for 101 (80%) patients. AML: acute myeloid leukemia; BM: bone marrow; ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ELN: European LeukemiaNet; *FLT3: fmsrelated tyrosine kinase 3*; ITD: internal tandem duplication; WBC: white blood cell count.

Cytogenetic and molecular analyses

According to cytogenetic analysis, trisomy 4 was the sole abnormality in 28 (22%) patients, whereas additional abnormalities were present in a non-complex karyotype in 29 (22.5%) patients, and in a complex karyotype in 68 (54.5%) patients. The most frequent additional abnormality was trisomy 8 (n=43, 34.5%), karyotypes characterized by trisomies only (n=23, 18.5%) and t(8;21) or inv(16) (core binding factor; n=10, 8%). A total of 101 patients (80%) underwent testing for NPM1 and FLT3-ITD mutations. Of those, 22 (22%) and 15 (15%) harbored NPM1 and FLT3-ITD mutations, respectively. The FLT3-ITD allelic ratio was available for 12 (79%) of the ITD-positive patients and the median ratio was 0.66 (range, 0.01-1.43). Three (10%) of 29 patients with available data also harbored a *FLT3*-tyrosine kinase domain mutation. Two (3%) of 72 analyzed patients had double CEBPA mutations (Table 1). KIT mutational status was available for two of the ten patients with core binding factor leukemia; both were KIT wild-type. Next-generation sequencing data were available for a small subset of patients (12%, n=15/125). The gene panel included the following genes: ASXL1, ATRX, BCOR, BCORL1, CBL, CDKN2, CSF3R, CUX1, DNMT3A, FBXW7, GATA1, GATA2, IDH1, IDH2, IKZF, JAK2, KDM6A, KIT, KRAS, NOTCH1, NRAS, PDGFRA, PHF6, PTPN11, RAD21, RUNX1, SF3B1, SMC1A, SMC3, STAG2, TET2, TP53, U2AF1, WT1, ZRSR2, BRAF, CALR, CBL, ETV6, GNAS, HRAS, MPL, MYD88, PTEN, SETBP1 and SFRS2. When we grouped the mutations according to their function, the identified gene mutations were found in all functional groups. However, the mutational profile was dominated by mutations in methylation-related genes (n=15; DNMT3A, n=4; IDH1/2, n=2, each; TET2, n=7), transcription factors (RUNX1, n=4), chromatin remodeling (ASXL1, n=2), RAS pathway (KRAS, n=1; NRAS, n=2) and tumor suppressors (n=3; WT1, TP53 and PHF6, n=1, each). Single mutations were found in EZH2, FBXW7, KDM6A, U2AF1 and ZRSR2. None of the other genes was mutated.

Response to induction therapy

Data on response to intensive induction therapy were available for all 119 patients. Eighty (67%) achieved a complete remission after induction therapy. Early death occurred in six (5%) patients.

Six patients were treated less intensively because of higher age (median; 67.5 years; range, 31-77 years) or comorbidities. Among these patients, only one achieved a complete remission, after 85 days of ivosidenib treatment. The patient is in an ongoing complete remission which has lasted more than 4 years so far. Cytogenetically, the patient had trisomy 4 as well as deletion of the long arm of chromosome 7. Molecularly, *IDH1* and *NPM1* mutations were detected.

All other patients died early (median, 2.4 months; range, 0.03-7.7 months).

Notably, patients with trisomy 4 as sole abnormality had a complete remission rate of 89% (n=25/28) and those with trisomy 4 in combination with t(8;21) or inv(16) of 100% (n=10/10).

There was no difference in the complete remission rate between *FLT3*-ITD-positive (71%) and *FLT3*-wildtype (68%) patients (P=0.99). Univariable analysis revealed that trisomy 4 as sole abnormality (odds ratio [OR]=5.39; P=0.005) and *NPM1* (OR=11.46; P=0.003) were favorable factors. A logistic regression model revealed that female gender (OR=2.60; P=0.03) and ELN favorable-risk (OR=12.84; P=0.02) were favorable factors and trisomy 4 as sole abnormality showed a tendency (OR=3.27; P=0.08) to be favorable.

Further therapy including intensive consolidation and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Sixty-one (76%) of eighty intensively treated patients in first complete remission received intensive consolidation chemotherapy consisting of high-dose cytarabine with or without additional chemotherapy. Nineteen (14%) patients proceeded to allogeneic HSCT in first complete remission with eight of the transplanted patients receiving consolidation chemotherapy prior to transplantation. There was no difference in baseline characteristics between patients proceeding to allogeneic HSCT in first complete remission and patients receiving consolidation chemotherapy, such as median white blood cell count (P=0.42), median age (P=0.08), *NPM1* mutations (P=0.99), *FLT3*-ITD (P=0.71) and ELNrisk classification (P=0.60).

Among the patients consolidated with chemotherapy, relapses occurred in 35 and seven died of a treatmentrelated cause after consolidation. In patients consolidated with allogeneic HSCT in first complete remission, ten patients relapsed and most of them died shortly



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival in intensively treated patients according to cytogenetic abnormality. +4 sole: trisomy 4 as sole cytogenetic abnormality; CBF: core binding factor.

thereafter (median, 3.3 months; range, 0-96.2 months). Only two patients survived beyond 1 year after relapse due to either a second allogeneic HSCT (n=1, survival after relapse, 96.2 months) or repetitive cycles of lenalidomide and azacitidine (n=1; survival after relapse, 25.7 months). Among those relapsing after chemotherapy, allogeneic HSCT was performed in 21 patients.

Characteristics of patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Allogeneic HSCT was performed in 40 (32%) patients, of whom 19 were transplanted in first complete remission after induction therapy. Nine patients achieved complete remission after salvage chemotherapy and went on to allogeneic HSCT; another 12 patients underwent allogeneic HSCT with active disease. Twelve patients received myeloablative conditioning and 24 patients reduced-intensity conditioning (data were missing for 4 patients). The type of donor was matched related in eight cases, matched unrelated in 31 cases, and unknown in one of the 40 patients.

Relapse-free and overall survival

The median survival of the non-intensively treated patients was 0.33 years. Only one patient treated with ivosidenib survived more than 4 years. The median follow-up of the intensively treated cohort was 5.76 years (95% CI: 2.99-7.61 years). Five-year overall survival and relapse-free survival were 30% (95% CI: 22-41%) and 27% (95% CI: 18-41%), respectively.

Overall survival rates were significantly higher in patients with core binding factor leukemia or patients with trisomy 4 as a sole abnormality as compared to those with trisomy 4 and all other abnormalities (Figure 1) (P<0.001). There was no difference between overall survival rates in patients with a complex karyotype, a complex karyotype without monosomal karyotype or monosomal karyotype (Figure 2) (P=0.4). An Andersen-Gill model including allogeneic HSCT as a time-dependent covariable revealed ELN favorable-risk class (hazard ratio [HR]=0.34; P=0.006) and trisomy 4 as sole abnormality (HR=0.41; P=0.01) as favorable factors, whereas age with a difference of 10 years (HR=1.15; P=0.11), female sex (HR=0.74; P=0.20) and allogeneic HSCT (HR=0.64; P=0.14) had no significant impact. There was no difference in overall survival measured from the date of allogeneic HSCT if the patients proceeded to the transplant in first complete remission (n=19) or with active disease (n=21; P=0.60) (Figure 3). In patients achieving a first complete remission, the 5-year relapse-free survival was 19% (95% CI: 4-90%) for those patients proceeding to allogeneic HSCT (n=19) as compared to 28% (95% CI: 18-42%) for those who received consolidation chemotherapy (n=61).

There was not a significant difference in cumulative incidence of relapse between patients proceeding to allogeneic HSCT and those who were treated with consolidation chemotherapy (P=0.60) (Figure 4). The same was true for cumulative incidence of death (P=0.13) (Figure 4).

Discussion

The focus of our study was to characterize adult AML patients with trisomy 4 in an international, multicenter cohort study and compare outcomes according to treatment strategies, with a specific focus on the impact of allogeneic HSCT as compared to conventional chemotherapy on survival. Trisomy 4 is very rare, particularly as a sole abnormality.^{6,7,26} Its prognostic relevance has been debated^{2,7,8} and its association with outcome remains unclear. We here present the largest cohort of 125 patients with trisomy 4 to date, of whom 119 were treated inten-



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plot on overall survival in intensively treated patients according to cytogenetic abnormality including complex karyotype and monosomal karyotype. +4 sole: trisomy 4 as sole cytogenetic abnormality; CBF: core binding factor; CK: complex karyotype; MK: monosomal karyotype.



Figure 3. Overall survival after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation according to remission status. Allo-HCT: allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; CR1; first complete remission.

sively. In line with a previous publication,⁶ the complete remission rate was high in our cohort in those patients with trisomy 4 as a sole abnormality. Nevertheless, most patients relapsed, a fate which seems not to have been improved by allogeneic HSCT, suggesting that other treatment approaches are needed to prolong survival. The only factors associated with prolonged survival were ELN favorable-risk classification and trisomy 4 as the sole abnormality, confirming the findings of Chilton *et al.*⁶

Secondary chromosome aberrations can be detected in more than three quarters of cases with trisomy 4. The most frequent secondary chromosome aberrations in our cohort were trisomy 8 and in roughly half of the cases a complex karyotype. In contrast to previous reports, we did not observe a high frequency of *FLT3*-ITD⁷ or *NPM1* mutations in our cohort.²⁷ Although *cKIT* is located on chromosome 4q12, mutations in *cKIT* seem to be infrequent in patients with trisomy 4.⁷ Nevertheless, we cannot exclude KIT overexpression, as a result of chromosomal gain, which may contribute to leukemogenesis in these cases, particularly in those with trisomy 4 as a sole abnormality. In a small subset of our patients, next-generation sequencing data were available. Regarding the molecular make-up, our data are in line with those of Bhatnagar *et al.*²⁷ Besides *NPM1* and *FLT3*, the most frequently mutated genes were *TET2*, *RUNX1*, *DNMT3A* and *IDH1/2*. In contrast to us, Bhatnagar *et al.* did not identify any case with an *ASXL1* or *WT1* mutation.²⁷ To date, however, the pathogenic role of trisomy 4 *per se* in leukemogenesis is still unclear. An association of therapy-related AML and development of AML with trisomy 4 has been suggested,²⁸ although not confirmed by others.^{7,29} We did not observe a high rate of patients with therapy-related AML in our cohort.

Of note, allogeneic HSCT, particularly when performed in first complete remission, resulted in an equally high relapse rate as that of patients receiving consolidation chemotherapy and did not improve outcome in our cohort based on an Andersen-Gill model taking into account the time dependency of allogeneic HSCT. This is in line with our recent findings in a cohort of AML patients characterized by trisomy 19.30 Nonetheless, this is in contrast to previous reports³¹⁻³³ focusing on other trisomies such as +8, +11, +13 and +21 and may indicate that the outcomes of patients with trisomies need to be evaluated individually. However, we would like to emphasize that retrospectively collected data have serious limitations since the factors for allocating patients to allogeneic HSCT, such as co-morbidities, individual assessment of the treating physician, choice of conditioning, and availability of a donor, remain unknown; this limitation also needs to be taken into account when assesing the value of allogeneic HSCT in our series. Additionally, data from multicenter cohort studies potentially introduce biases related to enrollment criteria for those studies, which may have excluded certain populations.

In conclusion, patients with trisomy 4 are very heterogeneous, in particular with respect to cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities. In our cohort, patients with tri-



Figure 4. Cumulative incidences of relapse and death according to treatment strategy. These analyses included only patients who attained complete remission. Allo-HCT: allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; CIR: cumulative incidence of relapse; CID: cumulative incidence of death.

somy 4 as their sole abnormality as well as those with trisomy 4 in combination with ELN favorable-risk genetics had a high complete remission rate and favorable clinical outcome. Considering the whole cohort, allogeneic HSCT appeared not to improve overall survival. The shortcomings of retrospective cohort studies do, however, need to be taken into account.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest to disclose.

Contributions

SK and RFS were responsible for the concept of this paper, contributed to the literature search data collection, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. DM-

References

- 1. Weber E, Nowotny H, Haas OA, Kasparu H, Grois N, Lutz D. Trisomy 4: a specific karyotype anomaly in primary and secondary acute myeloid leukemia Leukemia. 1990;4(3):219-221.
- 2. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. Blood. 2010;116(3):354-365.
- 3. O'Donnell MR, Tallman MS, Abboud CN, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia, version 3.2017, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2017;15(7):926-957.
- 4. Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2017;129(4):424-447.
- 5. Cornelissen JJ, van Putten WLJ, Verdonck LF, et al. Results of a HOVON/SAKK donor versus no-donor analysis of myeloablative HLA-identical sibling stem cell transplantation in first remission acute myeloid leukemia in young and middle-aged adults: benefits for whom? Blood. 2007;109(9):3658-3666.
- Chilton L, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Harrison CJ. The prognostic significance of trisomy 4 in acute myeloid leukaemia is dependent on age and additional abnormalities. Leukemia. 2016;30(11):2264-2267.
- 7. Bains A, Lu G, Yao H, Luthra R, Medeiros LJ, Sargent RL. Molecular and clinicopathologic characterization of AML with isolated trisomy 4. Am J Clin Pathol. 2012; 137(3):387-394.
- 8. Gupta V, Minden MD, Yi QL, Brandwein J, Chun K. Prognostic significance of trisomy 4 as the sole cytogenetic abnormality in acute myeloid leukemia. Leuk Res. 2003;27(11):983-991.
- 9. Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, et al. Proposed revised criteria for the classification of acute myeloid leukemia. A report of the French-American-British Cooperative Group. Ann Intern Med. 1985;103(4):620-625.
- Cheson BD, Bennett JM, Kopecky KJ, et al. Revised recommendations of the International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(24):4642-4649.
- 11. Mitelman F. ISCN: An International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature. Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger; 1995.

C, MH, FS, CG, HCR, EA, KS-E, JMBB, BS, TB, SWK, RR, CS, JC, MK, LT-M, MH, EA-C, EJ, JLA, MC, LF, JC-N, SK, JM-L, HE, DN, AN, RS-B, SS, SAK, CS, MS, MS-H, SZ, ADH, UP, CDB, CM-T, MB, HS, ML, PM, and CR contributed patients and critically revised the manuscript. CTh performed research and critically revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from Leipzig University for Open Access Publishing.

Data-sharing statement

Questions regarding data sharing should be addressed to the corresponding author.

- 12. Yokota S, Kiyoi H, Nakao M, et al. Internal tandem duplication of the FLT3 gene is preferentially seen in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome among various hematological malignancies. A study on a large series of patients and cell lines. Leukemia. 1997;11(10):1605-1609.
- Thiede C, Steudel C, Mohr B, et al. Analysis of FLT3-activating mutations in 979 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: association with FAB subtypes and identification of subgroups with poor prognosis. Blood. 2002;99(12):4326-4335.
- 14. Röllig C, Kramer M, Gabrecht M, et al. Intermediate-dose cytarabine plus mitoxantrone versus standard-dose cytarabine plus daunorubicin for acute myeloid leukemia in elderly patients. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(4):973-978.
- 15. Röllig C, Thiede C, Gramatzki M, et al. A novel prognostic model in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia: results of 909 patients entered into the prospective AML96 trial. Blood. 2010;116(6):971-997.
- 16. Schaich M, Parmentier S, Kramer M, et al. High-dose cytarabine consolidation with or without additional amsacrine and mitoxantrone in acute myeloid leukemia: results of the prospective randomized AML2003 trial. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(17):2094-2102.
- 17. Röllig C, Serve H, Hüttmann A, et al. Addition of sorafenib versus placebo to standard therapy in patients aged 60 years or younger with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukaemia (SORAML): a multicentre, phase 2, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(16):1691-1699.
- 18. Paiva B, Vidriales MB, Sempere A, et al. Impact of measurable residual disease by decentralized flow cytometry: a PETHEMA real-world study in 1076 patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2021;35(8):2358-2370.
- 19. Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with a FLT3 mutation. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(5):454-464.
- 20. DiNardo CD, Stein EM, de Botton S, et al. Durable remissions with ivosidenib in IDH1-mutated relapsed or refractory AML. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(25):2386-2398.
- 21. Schemper M, Smith TL. A note on quantifying follow-up in studies of failure time. Control Clin Trials. 1996;17(4):343-346.
- 22. Kaplan E, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc. 1958;53(282):457-481.

- 23. Gray RJ. A class of k-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a competing risk. Ann Stat. 1988;16(3):1141-1154.
- 24. Andersen P, Gill RD. Cox's regression model for counting processes: a large sample study. Ann Stat. 1982;10(4):1100-1120.
- 25. R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria, 2014.
- 26. Lazarevic VL, Rosso A, Juliusson G, et al. Incidence and prognostic significance of isolated trisomies in adult acute myeloid leukemia: a population-based study from the Swedish AML registry. Eur J Haematol. 2017;98(5):493-500.
- 27. Bhatnagar B, Eisfeld AK, Jessica Kohlschmidt J, et al. Clinical and molecular characterization of patients with acute myeloid leukemia and sole trisomies of chromosomes 4, 8, 11, 13 or 21. Leukemia. 2020;34(2):358-368.
- 28. Sandberg AA, Morgan R, Sait SN, et al. Trisomy 4: an entity within acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1987;26(1):117-125.
- 29. Donti E, Maccari A, Tabilio A, Ardisia C, Campanari N, Donti GV. Trisomy 4 in acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. Report of two cases and review of the literature. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1992;60(2):195-197.

- 30. Kayser S, Martínez-Cuadrón D, Rodriguez-Veiga R, et al.
 Characteristics and outcome of patients with acute myeloid leukemia and trisomy 19. EHA Library. Kayser S. 06/10/22; 357408; P545
- 31. Farag SS, Archer KJ, Mrózek K, et al. Isolated trisomy of chromosomes 8, 11, 13 and 21 is an adverse prognostic factor in adults with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B 8461. Int J Oncol. 2002;21(5):1041-1051.
- 32. Schaich M, Schlenk RF, Al-Ali HK, et al. Prognosis of acute myeloid leukemia patients up to 60 years of age exhibiting trisomy 8 within a non-complex karyotype: individual patient data-based meta-analysis of the German Acute Myeloid Leukemia Intergroup. Haematologica. 2007;92(6):763-770.
- 33. Chevallier P, Labopin M, Nagler A, et al. Outcome after allogeneic transplantation for adult acute myeloid leukemia patients exhibiting isolated or associated trisomy 8 chromosomal abnormality: a survey on behalf of the ALWP of the EBMT. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2009;44(9):589-594.
- 34. Breems DA, Van Putten WL, De Greef GE, et al. Monosomal karyotype in acute myeloid leukemia: a better indicator of poor prognosis than a complex karyotype. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(29):4791-4797.