

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# REVIEW

## CD13 – not just a marker in leukemia typing

### Dagmar Riemann, Astrid Kehlen and Jürgen Langner

embrane peptidases are a group of ubiquitously occurring ectoenzymes with a broad functional repertoire. In protein metabolism, their functional importance is well documented, especially in peptide degradation and amino

acid scavenging in the brush border membranes of renal and intestinal microvilli. However, they also perform more subtle tasks. Not only do they cleave peptide mediators, resulting in activation or inactivation, but they also function as receptors and as molecules participating in adhesion or in signal transduction Membrane peptidases are a multifunctional group of ectoenzymes that have been implicated in the control of growth and differentiation of many cellular systems. Here, using aminopeptidase N/CD13 as an example, Dagmar Riemann and colleagues discuss the role of cell–cell contact in peptidase regulation and the influence of peptidases on cellular functions.

expression do not appear to have a poorer prognosis than 'typical' leukemia cases, although some studies have suggested so for adult patients in this category (reviewed in Ref. 9). Lymphoproliferative diseases presumed to arise from a more differentiated cell, such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia and lymphomas, might similarly exhibit CD13 expression, associated with a diffuse pattern of bone marrow infiltration<sup>10</sup>.

### CDI3/aminopeptidase N as an ectoenzyme

(reviewed in Ref. 1). Cell-surface peptidases might have a key role in the control of growth and differentiation of many cellular systems by modulating the activity of peptide factors, as well as by regulating their access to adjacent cells. Whether the enzymatic activity is necessary for all of these different functions remains to be determined.

In hematopoiesis, the expression of surface peptidases is a characteristic of several distinct developmental stages. Molecules such as CD10/neutral endopeptidase 24.11 [common acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen (CALLA)] and CD13/aminopeptidase N (APN) have been used for years in the characterization and typing of leukemia or lymphoma cells. The sequencing of cDNA clones for these antigens revealed their identity as well-known peptidases that occur ubiquitously in the organism and function in a much broader field than hematopoiesis<sup>2,3</sup>. In normal bone marrow, the expression of CD10 is tightly regulated and restricted to lymphocyte precursors and mature granulocytes. CD13 is expressed on stem cells and during most developmental stages of myeloid cells<sup>4</sup>. Also, cells committed to the earliest stages of B- or T-cell differentiation<sup>5,6</sup> are CD13 positive but become negative upon maturation. Lymphocytes of peripheral blood, spleen or tonsils are CD13 negative.

These earlier observations formed the basis for regarding CD13 as a myelomonocytic marker. However, CD13 mRNA has been detected in leukemic T-cell lines such as HUT78 despite a lack of CD13 surface expression<sup>7</sup>. Furthermore, induction of CD13 expression has been reported after culture of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) cells for 2 to 3 days, a phenomenon that could be inhibited by cycloheximide<sup>8</sup>. ALL cells are frequently reported to express CD13 and it has been suggested that aberrant CD13 expression, also designated 'myeloid coexpression' or lineage infidelity, reflects neoplastic transformation. Otherwise, CD13-expressing lymphoid cells could be a repetition of phenotypes seen on minor cell populations. Thus, cases of pediatric B-lineage ALL with CD13 What kind of enzyme is CD13? Some of the characteristic features of the enzyme (reviewed in Ref. 11) are summarized in Box 1. Like CD10, CD26/dipeptidylpeptidase IV and aminopeptidase A (APA), CD13 is an exopeptidase functioning as an ectoenzyme, that is, it displays its activity extracellularly (Fig. 1). The HELAH motif of the Zn<sup>2+</sup>-binding active site reveals the metallopeptidase nature of CD13 (superfamily of gluzincins<sup>12</sup>). The catalytic activity is closely related to that of the bacterial enzyme thermolysin<sup>13</sup>, the only enzyme in this family crystallized so far. CD13 is anchored to the cell membrane by a transmembrane helical region near the N-terminus, with only a small region of the N-terminus (8–10 amino acids) protruding into the cytoplasm. In most species, CD13 exists as a homodimer, dimerization occurring in the endoplasmic reticulum prior to the Golgiassociated complex glycosylation<sup>14</sup>.

### CD I 3 function

The functional role of CD13 varies depending on its location. Often, other membrane peptidases such as CD10 or CD26 co-localize with CD13 and seem to cooperate in peptide degradation. In the intestinal brush border, CD13 as well as CD10 or CD26 are involved in the terminal degradation of small peptides and in amino acid scavenging<sup>15</sup> (in this location 6–8% of the protein is CD13). In synaptic membranes, CD13 and CD10 (enkephalinase) inactivate endorphins and enkephalins<sup>16</sup>. Furthermore, like CD26 (Refs 17, 18), CD13 has been considered an auxiliary adhesion molecule. When melanoma cells form colonies, the majority of CD13 molecules relocate to sites of cell–cell contact; in those cells CD13 seems to be tightly associated with extracellular matrix (ECM) components<sup>19</sup>. Whereas CD26 is involved in the adherence of metastatic breast and prostate carcinoma cells to lung endothelium<sup>20</sup>, CD13 mediates migration of different human metastatic tumor cell lines through matrigel<sup>19,21</sup>. Recently,





### Box I. Gene structure, occurrence and enzymology of CD13

- Human gene localization and size: 15q25 q26; 35 kb
- mRNA 3.5 kb; comprising 20 exons
- Occurrence: widespread, main sources being liver, brush borders of kidney, small intestine and placenta. In the myelomonocytic lineage, CD13 is found on precursors, monocytes, basophils, eosinophils and neutrophils. Peripheral blood and tonsillar lymphocytes are negative.
- Action: metalloexopeptidase that removes unsubstituted, N-terminal amino acids with neutral side chains (Ala>Phe>Leu>Gly) from peptides and amide or arylamide derivatives of amino acids. The peptide bond preceding proline is resistant to CD13.
- Routine substrate: Ala-pNA at pH 7.0.
- Inhibitors: *o*-phenanthroline, EDTA, amastatin, actinonin, probestin, bestatin.
- Natural substrates: vasoactive peptides (lysyl-bradykinin, angiotensin III), neuropeptide hormones (leu- and metenkephalin, neurokinin A, somatostatin), cytokines and immunomodulating peptides (interleukin 8, tuftsin, thymopentin).

CD13 was shown to be the major receptor for the transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (Ref. 22) that causes a severe gastroenteritis in piglets, and for the human coronavirus 229E (Ref. 23) that causes upper respiratory infections. TGEV binds to a region between residues 717 and 813 that lies within the C-terminal domain<sup>22</sup>; the peptidase activity of CD13 appears not to be involved in virus binding, which is in accordance with the position of the active site located in the N-terminal domain (see Fig. 1).

### CD13 regulation

Because there is no zymogen pro-form, the activity of CD13 is modulated either by regulation of its synthesis or degradation, or by an endogenous inhibitor. Interestingly, natural peptides such as bradykinin or substance P inhibit the enzyme in micromolar concentrations<sup>24</sup>, but as yet there are no reports of regulatory circuits involving these two peptides that otherwise are good substrates for CD10. The first insights into CD13 expression suggest that it is regulated by a variety of different mechanisms. In addition to developmentally regulated expression, preliminary studies suggest a varying expression of CD13 during cell-growth and differentiation. For example, maturation and differentiation of monocytes is accompanied by increasing CD13 expression<sup>25</sup>. Furthermore, T-cell-derived cytokines such as interleukin 4 (IL-4) and interferon  $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) can upregulate CD13 mRNA and protein as well as enzyme activity in monocytes/macrophages, endothelial cells and renal tubular epithelial cells<sup>26,27</sup>, with a maximum achieved after 2-3 days. In a variety of cells, the levels of CD13 protein and enzyme activity correlate with the abundance of CD13 transcripts.

Different promoters control transcription in myeloid cells and fibroblasts compared with epithelial cells<sup>28,29</sup>. In epithelial cells, tran-





**Fig. 1.** Structure of CD13. The type II membrane protein is found as a dimer of two non-covalently associated subunits with a relative molecular mass of 160 kDa each. CD13 has ten N-glycosylation sites (~400 carbohydrate residues comprising approximately 40% of the molecular mass). Analogous to aminopeptidase A (APA)<sup>71</sup>, a two-domain structure can be hypothesized with a substrate-binding site in the C-terminal and the active center in the N-terminal domain. The dimensions of the molecule are taken from Noren et al.<sup>11</sup>

scription is controlled by a classical promoter containing a TATA box upstream from the translation initiation codon. By contrast, myeloid cell and fibroblast transcripts originate from multiple sites clustered in an upstream exon located 8 kb from the exon containing the initiator codon. The two promoter regions differ with respect to transcription factor binding sites, but the encoded proteins are believed to be structurally identical. Myb and Ets are transacting factors for the myeloid promoter and cooperate with the transcription factors CBP/p300 and c-Maf (Refs 30, 31).

With respect to hematopoietic cells, CD13 has long been considered to be specific for the myeloid lineage. However, CD13<sup>+</sup> T cells have been found outside the peripheral blood in various conditions, for example in the synovial fluid of patients with different forms of arthritis<sup>32</sup>, in the pericardial fluid of patients undergoing thoracic surgery for heart valve replacement<sup>33</sup>, or as tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, especially of renal cell carcinoma<sup>34</sup>. In highly purified B-and T-cell preparations neither mitogens nor cytokines, such as IL-4 or IFN- $\gamma$ , promote expression of CD13 on lymphocytes<sup>35</sup>.

### Cell-cell contact as a paradigm of peptidase regulation

In experiments designed to study the regulation of the lymphocytic expression of CD13, direct contact of lymphocytes with various cells expressing CD13 (for example, fibroblast-like synoviocytes, HUVEC, epithelial cells and monocytes/macrophages) has been shown to induce mRNA synthesis, as well as surface expression of catalytically



active CD13 (Ref. 35). The time course of CD13 expression on lymphocytes is rapid and differs from the well-described temporal characteristics of an increase in CD13 expression on monocytes and renal tubular epithelial cells elicited by IL-4. Exposure of tonsillar T and B cells to synoviocytes for as little as 1 h is sufficient to induce the expression of CD13 on the lymphocytes, and peak levels of CD13 mRNA expression persist for up to 16 h. Mitogens enhance lymphocytic CD13 expression when co-cultured with different adherent cells. Direct cell-cell contact between lymphocytes and adherent cells is required for CD13 induction on lymphocytes in vitro. Neither soluble APN from human kidney nor ECM proteins can induce lymphocytic CD13 expression. Furthermore, recent data point to a role for CD13 on adherent cells in the induction of CD13 on lymphocytes; cell-cell contact with CD13- cells such as the transformed endothelial cell line ECV304 does not result in lymphocytic CD13 expression. Similarly, ECV304 cells transfected with an expression vector

for soluble APN (enzyme lacking its membrane anchor) are insufficient, whereas ECV cells transfected with a CD13 expression vector and sorted for CD13<sup>+</sup> cells can induce CD13 expression on lymphocytes<sup>36</sup>. Peripheral blood lymphocytes allowed to migrate into a three-dimensional collagen lattice with synoviocytes, are another good example of cell–cell contact induced expression of lymphocytic CD13 (Fig. 2).

Regulation induced by cell-cell contact has been observed for several other peptidases in co-cultivation assays using differing types of cells and studied in very different contexts (Table 1). The compiled results not only show induction of peptidases, but also downregulation; for example, CD13 expression on early B-lineage ALL cells is decreased after contact with bone marrow stromal cells37. Stromal-cell-mediated suppression of CD13 on B cells requires direct contact, is due to inhibition of gene transcription and is reversed by removing cells from stroma. Lymphocytes are not only cells that are responsive to signals from stromal cells, but can themselves induce upregulation of proteolytic activity in monocytes and fibroblasts45. Furthermore, direct cell-cell contact has not been found as an inevitable precondition in all cases; for example, bone marrow stromal cells positive for APA (BP-1/6C3) rapidly and selectively induce APA expression on Bcell precursors coincident with their growth. As a soluble factor, IL-7 can partially mimic the effect, inducing both APA expression as well as proliferation of B-cell precursors<sup>40</sup>.

In view of the reported possibility of direct protein transfer between co-cultured cells (re-

ported for lysosomal enzymes<sup>47</sup>) it is important to note that, in most cases, induction of transcription of mRNA has been shown as proof of *de novo* synthesis of the induced enzymes. In some of the examples, induction across species barriers was possible [examples include CD13 (Ref. 37) and leucine aminopeptidase<sup>41</sup>], whereas other systems required autologous cells (as in the case of angiotensin converting enzyme<sup>41</sup>). Cell–cell contact can also upregulate membrane peptidases outside the hematopoietic system. As one example, CD13 expression in microglia is upregulated by culture of microglia on astrocytes<sup>48</sup>. In addition, ECM structures might be involved in cell–cell-contact-dependent regulation of proteases, as there are proteases that are obviously regulated by contact with ECM structures alone, such as tissue factor induced in the myeloid cell line THP-1 after culture on fibronectin<sup>49</sup>.

The expression of membrane peptidases on bone marrow stromal cells seems to be an important feature for the maturation of B-cell precursors and the regulation of their membrane peptidases.



**Fig. 2.** Confocal laser scanning micrographs of CD13 surface expression on fibroblast-like synoviocytes and on lymphocytes in a three-dimensional collagen matrix. (a) Confocal reflection contrast in combination with immunofluorescence staining is used to show surface expression of CD13 on synoviocytes (red) as well as reflection of collagen (type I) fibers (green). (b) Part of (a) in higher magnification. Points of close contact between collagen fibers (reflection mode) and CD13 surface staining on the synoviocyte membrane (immunofluorescence) appear in blue. (c) and (d) Immunofluorescence localization of CD13 on synoviocytes and on T cells. Peripheral blood lymphocytes were allowed to migrate into a threedimensional collagen lattice and to adhere to synoviocytes within 3 h at 37°C. Cells were subsequently stained for CD13 (red) and fixed. Magnification for (a),  $\times$  1000; (b),  $\times$  1700; (c),  $\times$  1000; (d),  $\times$  1900.



### Table I. Regulation of peptidases during cocultivation of human leukocytes with cells of different origin

	<b>F</b>	Cells involved			Time	Cell-cell	
_	Enzyme					contact	
Enzyme	location	Stimulators <sup>a</sup>	Responders	Effect	required	required	Refs
Aminopeptidase N/ CD13	Plasma membrane	Bone marrow stromal cells	B-cell precursors	$\downarrow$	Days	Yes	37
		Synoviocytes, monocytes, HUVEC	B and T cells	<b>↑</b>	min–h	Yes	35
Neutral endopeptidase 24.11/CD10	Plasma membrane	Bone marrow stromal cells	B-cell precursors	Ţ	Days	Yes	38, 39
Aminopeptidase A	Plasma membrane	Bone marrow stromal cells	B-cell precursors	1	Days	No?	40
Angiotensin converting enzyme/ CD143	Plasma membrane	T cells	Monocytes	Ŷ	Days	?	41
Leucine aminopeptidase	Cytosol	T cells	Monocytes	1	Days	?	41
Tissue factor/CD142	Plasma membrane	HUVEC	Monocytes, THP-1, U937	1	min–h	?	42, 43
Interstitial collagenase (MMP-1)	Secreted	PBL, Jurkat, HUT78	THP-I	↑	Days	Yes	44
Gelatinase B (MMP-9)	Secreted	Synovial T cell clones PBL, Jurkat	THP-1, fibroblasts THP-1	$\uparrow \\ \uparrow$	Days Days	Yes ?	45 46

Abbreviations: MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; PBL, peripheral blood lymphocytes.

REVIEW

a'Stimulators' are those cells named that provide signals for the 'responder' cells to produce the respective enzymes.

Cell–cell contact with stromal cells expressing CD13 causes a downregulation of CD13 on maturing B cells<sup>37</sup>; stromal cells expressing APA induce APA expression on B-cell precursors<sup>40</sup>. Similarly, maturing B cells grow in a microenvironment where CD10 is expressed both on stromal cells and on the precursor cells in close contact with the stromal layer<sup>39</sup>. Furthermore, stromal membrane peptidases in thymus seem of significance for the maturation of lymphocytic precursors, but only a few cases have been reported so far. Thymic stromal cells that bind double-positive (CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup>) thymocytes exhibit strong activity of CD13 and CD10 (Ref. 50), and in fetal thymus organ cultures a delayed thymocyte maturation has been observed after inhibition of CD10 (Ref. 51) and after inhibition of aminopeptidases with bestatin<sup>52</sup>.

At points of contact between stroma and lymphocytic precursors, local concentrations of specific peptides crucial for the differentiation or proliferation, or both, of lymphocytes have to be considered. Until now, however, no physiological peptide substrates of membrane peptidases such as CD13, CD10 or APA have been clearly implicated in the regulation of growth or maturation of lymphatic precursors. It has been proposed that a point of convergence between adhesion and peptidase substrates could be cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase focal adhesion kinase (p125<sup>FAK</sup>), which is activated and autophosphorylated both by ligation of integrins as well as by peptidase substrates that act through G-protein-coupled receptors (such as bombesin-related peptide substrates for CD10)<sup>53</sup>. Focal adhesion kinase can associate with Src or PI 3-kinase, or both, leading to activation of several signaling pathways. Many integrin-triggered



reactions, for example activation of protein tyrosine kinases, such as pp60<sup>src</sup>, pp125<sup>fak</sup> and pp72<sup>syk</sup> are shared with signals generated by more traditional agonist receptors, such as those for growth factors and cytokines (reviewed in Ref. 54). Receptors for growth factors or cytokines could partition into complexes assembled by integrins, and in these complexes they might become activated and signal more efficiently.

At present it remains rather speculative to consider the possible triggering reactions for peptidase inductions during cell–cell contact. Interestingly, in some cases plasma membrane preparations from stimulator cells can substitute for intact cells, regardless of whether the stimulated peptidase is a membrane ectoenzyme or a secreted enzyme (see Table 1). In addition, fixation of stimulators does not often abrogate peptidase induction<sup>35,44,45</sup>. Thus, triggering molecules should be expressed on the cell surface; however, the reports to date only hint at the molecules possibly involved, such as fibronectin–integrin<sup>49</sup>, CD40–CD40L<sup>46</sup> or E-selectin–sialyl Lewis x interactions<sup>43</sup>.

### Possible role for CDI3 in various types of inflammation

In inflammatory diseases such as arthritis, infiltrating lymphocytes and resident tissue cells are in close proximity, allowing the cells to communicate not only by secretion of soluble mediators but also by direct cell–cell contact. The T-cell–synoviocyte interaction has been reported to result in T-cell survival<sup>55</sup>, increased levels of tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-6 in co-culture supernatants, as well as in the upregulation of CD54 and CD106 on synovial fibroblasts<sup>56</sup>. Tonsillar B cells cultured over confluent monolayers of synoviocytes secrete high levels of immunoglobulins and differentiate into plasma cells after 8-12 days<sup>57</sup>. CD13, CD10 and CD26 were shown to be co-localized on the cell surface of synovial cells, with identical profiles in cells derived from rheumatoid and osteoarthritic joints<sup>58</sup>. It has been claimed that the fibroblastic synovial cells are a potent source of peptide-degrading activities in the joint: with (1) CD10 responsible for metabolism of bradykinin; (2) CD13 and CD10 acting together as Leu-enkephalin degrading enzymes; and (3) CD10 and CD26 inactivating substance P (Ref. 58). The finding that anti-CD13 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) can inhibit the increased IL-6 production observed after co-culture of blood monocytes and synoviocytes<sup>59</sup> points to a more extended role of CD13 in cell-cell contacts within the inflamed joint. Together with membrane peptidases on synoviocytes, we propose an important role for lymphocytic peptidases in joint inflammatory processes. In addition, we suggest that cell-cell contact between lymphocytes and synoviocytes acts as a regulatory event in their expression.

The observation that contact with different stromal cells induces CD13 expression on lymphocytes can explain the occurrence of CD13<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes in various locations, which might be of physiological relevance. In the immune system, ectopeptidases can exert such diverse functions as signal transduction across the cell membrane, and regulation of the concentration of bioactive peptides and of cellular adhesion. However, in comparison with CD26, which is much better characterized with respect to its possible involvement in immune functions (reviewed in Refs 60, 61), there are only very few experiments proving such functions for CD13. For example, CD13 has been implicated in the trimming on the cell surface of peptides that protrude out of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules<sup>62</sup>. Pool sequencing experiments of natural HLA-DR, -DQ and -DP ligands revealed a highly dominant proline at position 2 (Ref. 63), supporting a role for CD13-like activity in antigen processing. T cells with a bright expression of CD26 have been found to preferentially migrate through a monolayer of endothelial cells on collagen gels<sup>64</sup>. Similarly, a CD13-mediated adhesion to cells and ECM structures could be a common mechanism shared by various motile cells. Furthermore, lymphocytic expression of CD13 represents an increased cellular potential for inactivation of inflammatory mediators, as shown for the degradation of enkephalins by rat splenocytes<sup>65</sup>. Hence, CD13 could play a specific role in the control of the communication between the neuroendocrine and the immune system. CD13 or CD26, either tested separately or in combination, were not able to degrade rIL-1a, rIL-2, recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (rG-CSF) or natural IL-2 despite the presence of susceptible N-terminal peptide sequences<sup>66</sup>. Nevertheless, recent studies show that chemokines are substrates for CD26 (Refs 67, 72-74). Processing of the CC chemokine RANTES by CD26 changes specificity of receptor and of target cells<sup>67,72</sup>. With respect to this finding, the one report describing degradation as well as inactivation of the CXC chemokine IL-8 by CD13 (Ref. 68) acquires special importance. Cell-cell contact is a potent stimulus for chemokine secretion<sup>69</sup>. Hence, the question arises: are there common mechanisms in cell-cell contact that cause secretion of IL-8 and induction of its inactivator CD13? Furthermore, chemokines, similar to neuropeptides, signal with G-protein-coupled receptors, with the involvement of the aforementioned focal adhesion kinase (see as example Ref. 70), leading to the potential for common regulations in the immunoneuroendocrine axis.

REVIEW

#### **Concluding remarks**

The dual regulatory aspects of membrane peptidases – being regulated by cell–cell contacts themselves as well as influencing cellular functions – are the key points of the facts and speculations presented here. An increased understanding of the function of individual CD13<sup>+</sup> cells in controlling the level of peptide growth factors and their effects on cellular neighbours might throw light on specific peptide-mediated intercellular interactions in complex tissues. Future work will be aimed at defining the regulatory signals of CD13 induction and at the identification of natural peptidase ligands such as substrates and effectors.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 387). We are especially grateful to M. Danielsen (The Panum Institute, Copenhagen) and M.O. Lively (Wake Forest University, School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA) for many helpful discussions. For help with laser scanning microscopy we are grateful to B. Hause (Institute of Plant Biochemistry) and H. Aurich (Institute of Physiological Chemistry, Martin Luther University). The art work of M. Pahl for the CD13 structure is gratefully acknowledged.

**Dagmar Riemann** (dagmar.riemann@medizin.uni-halle.de), Astrid Kehlen and Jürgen Langner are at the Institute of Medical Immunology, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Strasse der OdF 6, D-06097 Halle, Germany.

#### References

- 1 Shipp, M.A. and Look, A.T. (1993) Blood 82, 1052-1070
- 2 Look, A.T., Ashmun, R.A., Shapiro, L.H. and Peiper, S.C. (1989) J. Clin. Invest. 83, 1299–1307
- 3 Letarte, M., Vera, S., Tran, R. et al. (1988) J. Exp. Med. 168, 1247-1253
- 4 Drexler, H.G. (1987) Leukemia 1, 697-705
- 5 Syrjälä, M., Ruutu, T. and Jansson, S.E. (1994) Br. J. Haematol. 88, 679–684
- 6 Spits, H., Lanier, L.L. and Phillips, J.H. (1995) Blood 85, 2654–2670
- 7 Lendeckel, U., Wex, T., Kähne, T., Frank, K., Reinhold, D. and Ansorge, S. (1994) *Cell. Immunol.* 153, 214–226
- 8 Hara, J., Kawa-Ha, K., Yumura-Yagi, K. et al. (1991) Leukemia 5, 19–25
- 9 Ryan, D.H. (1992) Clin. Chim. Acta 206, 9-23
- 10 Pinto, A., Zagonel, V., Carbone, A. et al. (1992) Leuk. Lymphoma 6, 209–218
- 11 Noren, O., Sjöström, H. and Olsen, J. (1997) in *Cell Surface Peptidases in Health and Disease* (Kenny, A.J. and Boustead, C.M., eds), pp. 175–191, BIOS Scientific Publishers
- **12** Hooper, N.M. (1994) *FEBS Lett.* 354, 1–6



# REVIEW

- 13 Luciani, N., Marie-Claire, M., Ruffet, E., Beaumont, A., Roques, B.P. and Fournie-Zaluski, M-C. (1998) *Biochemistry* 37, 686–692
- 14 Danielsen, E.M. (1994) Biochemistry 33, 1599–1605
- 15 Turner, A.J., Hooper, N.M. and Kenny, A.J. (1987) in *Mammalian Ectoenzymes* (Kenny, A.J. and Turner A.J., eds), p. 211, Elsevier Science
- 16 Matsas, R., Stephenson, S.L., Hryszko, J., Turner, A.J. and Kenny, A.J. (1985) *Biochem. J.* 231, 445–449
- 17 Löster, K., Zeilinger, K., Schuppan, D. and Reutter, W. (1995) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 217, 341–348
- 18 Piazza, G.A., Callanan, H.M., Mowery, J. and Hixson, D.C. (1989) *Biochem. J.* 262, 327–334
- 19 Menrad, A., Speicher, D., Wacker, J. and Herlyn, M. (1993) Cancer Res. 53, 1450–1455
- 20 Johnson, R.C., Zhu, D., Augustin-Voss, H.G. and Pauli, B.U. (1993) J. Cell Biol. 121, 1423–1432
- 21 Saiki, I., Fujii, H., Yoneda, J. et al. (1993) Int. J. Cancer 54, 137–143
- 22 Delmas, B., Gelfi, J., L'Haridon, R. et al. (1992) Nature 357, 417-420
- 23 Yeager, C.L., Ashmun, R.A., Williams, R.K. et al. (1992) Nature 357, 420-422
- 24 Xu, Y., Wellner, D. and Scheinberg, D.A. (1995) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 208, 664–674
- 25 Laouar, A., Wietzerbin, J. and Bauvois, B. (1993) Int. Immunol. 5, 965–973
- 26 van Hal, P.T., Hopstaken-Broos, J.P., Prins, A. et al. (1994) J. Immunol. 153, 2718–2728
- 27 Riemann, D., Kehlen, A. and Langner, J. (1995) Clin. Exp. Immunol. 100, 277–283
- 28 Shapiro L.H., Ashmun, R.A., Roberts, W.M. and Look, A.T. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 11999–12007
- 29 Olsen, J., Laustsen, L., Kärnström, U., Sjöström, H. and Noren, O. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 18089–18096
- 30 Yang, C., Shapiro, L.H., Rivera, M., Kumar, A. and Brindle, P.K. (1998) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 2218–2229
- 31 Hedge, S.P., Kumar, A., Kurschner, C. and Shapiro, L.H. (1998) Mol. Cell. Biol. 18, 2729–2737
- 32 Riemann, D., Schwachula, A., Hentschel, M. and Langner, J. (1993) Immunobiology 187, 24–35
- 33 Riemann, D., Wollert, H.G., Menschikowski, J., Mittenzwei, S. and Langner, J. (1994) Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol. 104, 48–56
- 34 Riemann, D., Göhring, B. and Langner, J. (1994) Immunol. Lett. 42, 19–23
- 35 Riemann, D., Kehlen, A., Thiele, K., Löhn, M. and Langner, J. (1997) J. Immunol. 158, 3425–3432
- 36 Thiele, K., Riemann, D., Kehlen, A., Löhn, M., Vogel, L.K. and Langner, J. (1997) Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 421, 81–84
- **37** Saito, M., Kumagai, M., Okazaki, T. *et al.* (1995) *Leukemia* 9, 1508–1516
- 38 Salles, G., Chen, C.Y., Reinherz, E.L. and Shipp, M.A. (1992) *Blood* 80, 2021–2029
- 39 Ishii, E., Greaves, A., Grunberger, T., Freedman, M.H. and Letarte, M. (1995) *Leukemia* 9, 175–184
- 40 Welch, P.A., Burrows, P.D., Namen, A., Gillis, S. and Cooper, M.D. (1990) Int. Immunol. 2, 697–705
- 41 Rohrbach, M.S. and Conrad, A.K. (1991) Clin. Exp. Immunol. 83, 510–515
- 42 Collins, P.W., Noble, K.E., Reittie, J.R., Hoffbrand, A.V., Pasi, K.J. and Yong, K.L. (1995) *Br. J. Haematol.* 91, 963–970
- 43 Lo, S.K., Cheung, A., Zheng, Q. and Silverstein, R.L. (1995) J. Immunol. 154, 4768–4777

- 44 Lacraz, S., Isler, P., Vey, E., Welgus, H.G. and Dayer, J-M. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 22027–22033
- 45 Miltenburg, A.M., Lacraz, S., Welgus, H.G. and Dayer, J-M. (1995) J. Immunol. 154, 2655–2667
- 46 Malik, N., Greenfield, B.W., Wahl, A.F. and Kiener, P.A. (1996) J. Immunol. 156, 3952–3960
- 47 Olsen, I., Abraham, D., Shelton, I., Bou-Gharios, G., Muir, H. and Winchester, B. (1988) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 968, 312–322
- 48 Lucius, R., Sievers, J. and Mentlein, R. (1995) J. Neurochem. 64, 1841–1847
- 49 McGilvray, I.D., Lu, Z., Bitar, R., Dackiw, A.P.B., Davreux, C.J. and Rotstein, O.D. (1997) J. Biol. Chem. 272, 10287–10294
- 50 Small, M., Kaiser, M., Tse, W., Heimfeld, S. and Blumberg, S. (1996) Eur. J. Immunol. 26, 961–964
- 51 Guerin, S., Mari, B., Maulon, L., Belhacene, N., Marguet, D. and Auberger, P. (1997) FASEB J. 11, 376–381
- 52 Appasamy, P.M., Kenniston, T.W. and Amoscato, A.A. (1997) Cell. Immunol. 177, 1–8
- 53 Zachary, I. and Rozengurt, E. (1992) Cell 71, 891–894
- 54 Shattil, S.J. and Ginsberg, M.H. (1997) J. Clin. Invest. 100, 1–5
- 55 Scott, S., Pandolfi, F. and Kurnick, J.T. (1990) J. Exp. Med. 172, 1873–1876
- 56 Bombara, M.P., Webb, D.L., Conrad, P. et al. (1993) J. Leukocyte Biol. 54, 399–406
- 57 Dechanet, J., Merville, P., Durand, I., Banchereau, J. and Miossec, P. (1995) J. Clin. Invest. 95, 456–463
- 58 Bathon, J.M., Proud, D., Mizutani, S. and Ward, P.E. (1992) J. Clin. Invest. 90, 981–991
- 59 Chomarat, P., Rissoan, M.C., Pin, J.J., Banchereau, J. and Miossec, P. (1995) J. Immunol. 155, 3645–3652
- 60 Morimoto, C. and Schlossman, S.F. (1998) Immunol. Rev. 161, 55–70
- 61 von Bonin, A., Hühn, J. and Fleischer, B. (1998) Immunol. Rev. 161, 43–53
- 62 Larsen, S.L., Pedersen, L.O., Buus, S. and Stryhn, A. (1996) J. Exp. Med. 184, 183–189
- 63 Falk, K., Rötzschke, O., Stevanovic, S., Jung, G. and Rammensee, H-G. (1994) *Immunogenetics* 39, 230–242
- 64 Masuyama, J., Berman, J.S., Cruikshank, W.W., Morimoto, C. and Center, D.M. (1992) J. Immunol. 148, 1367–1374
- 65 Amoscato, A.A., Spiess, R.R., Sansoni, S.B., Herberman, R.B. and Chamber, W.H. (1993) *Brain Behav. Immun.* 7, 176–187
- 66 Hoffmann, T., Faust, J., Neubert, K. and Ansorge, S. (1993) *FEBS Lett.* 336, 61–64
- 67 Oravecz, T., Pall, M., Roderiquez, G. et al. (1997) J. Exp. Med. 186, 1865–1872
- 68 Kanayama, N., Kajiwara, Y., Goto, J. *et al.* (1995) *J. Leukocyte Biol.* 57, 129–134
- 69 Smith, R.E., Hogaboam, C.M., Strieter, R.M., Lukacs, N.W. and Kunkel, S.L. (1997) J. Leukocyte Biol. 62, 612–619
- 70 Bacon, K.B., Szabo, M.C., Yssel, H., Bolen, J.B. and Schall, T.J. (1996) J. Exp. Med. 184, 873–882
- 71 Hesp, J.R. and Hooper, N.M. (1997) Biochemistry 36, 3000–3007
- 72 Proost, P., De Meester, I., Schols, D. et al. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 7222–7227
- 73 Van Coillie, E., Proost, P., Van Aelst, I. et al. (1998) Biochemistry 37, 12672–12680
- 74 Proost, P., Struyf, S., Schols, D. et al. (1998) FEBS Lett. 432, 73-76

