

ARTICLE

Received 19 Feb 2016 | Accepted 23 Jun 2016 | Published 8 Aug 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms12335

OPEN

Interdependent IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T-cell controls tumour eradication by combined α -CTLA-4 + α -PD-1 therapy

Lewis Zhichang Shi^{1,*}, Tihui Fu^{1,*}, Baoxiang Guan¹, Jianfeng Chen¹, Jorge M. Blando², James P. Allison^{2,3}, Liangwen Xiong¹, Sumit K. Subudhi¹, Jianjun Gao¹ & Padmanee Sharma^{1,2,3}

Combination therapy with α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 has shown significant clinical responses in different types of cancer. However, the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Here, combining detailed analysis of human tumour samples with preclinical tumour models, we report that concomitant blockade of CTLA-4 and PD-1 improves anti-tumour immune responses and synergistically eradicates tumour. Mechanistically, combination therapy relies on the interdependence between IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells, as lack of either pathway abrogates the immune-boosting and therapeutic effects of combination therapy. Combination treatment increases IL-7R α expression on tumour-infiltrating T cells in an IFN- γ /IFN- γ R signalling-dependent manner, which may serve as a potential biomarker for clinical trials with immune checkpoint blockade. Our data suggest that combining immune checkpoint blockade with IL-7 signalling could be an effective modality to improve immunotherapeutic efficacy. Taken together, we conclude that combination therapy potently reverses immunosuppression and eradicates tumours via an intricate interplay between IFN- γ /IFN- γ R and IL-7/IL-7R pathways.

¹ Department of Genitourinary Medical Oncology, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas 77030, USA. ² The Immunotherapy Platform, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas 77030, USA. ³ Department of Immunology, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas 77030, USA. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.S. (email: PadSharma@mdanderson.org).

-cell activation in response to T cell receptor (TCR) ligation and CD28 co-stimulation is counter-balanced by induction of a group of inhibitory receptors and ligands, known as 'immune checkpoints', to limit collateral tissue damage during anti-microbial immune responses. CTLA-4 and PD-1 are the first immune checkpoints to be characterized and clinically targeted¹⁻³. However, these checkpoints may also diminish antitumour immune responses. Thus, blocking these checkpoints represents a legitimate approach to boost tumour immunity. We previously found that α -CTLA-4 blockade inhibits tumour growth and promotes tumour-free survival of tumour-bearing mice⁴, contributing to the recent FDA approval of ipilimumab, a human α -CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody that improves overall survival in patients with metastatic melanoma^{5,6}. These findings, together with recent reports that blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway improves overall survival and objective responses in patients with metastatic melanoma^{7,8}, helped to establish a new field of 'immune checkpoint blockade'. Currently, immune checkpoint therapy is considered as a standard treatment for patients with some types of cancer including advanced melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer and metastatic kidney cancer. Nevertheless, only a fraction of these patients respond to immune checkpoint therapy. Ongoing efforts are focusing on novel strategies to improve the efficacy.

Combination therapy with α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 has shown strong anti-tumour immune responses in preclinical murine melanoma9, murine CT26 colon carcinoma and ID8-VEGF ovarian carcinoma¹⁰ and metastatic osteosarcoma¹¹. Improved therapeutic effects of combination therapy have also been demonstrated in patients with advanced melanoma^{12,13}. Promising preliminary results of combination therapy in patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC)¹⁴ or with non-small cell lung cancer¹⁵ were recently reported. Moreover, combination therapy was initiated for patients with other advanced solid tumours including select gastrointestinal cancers, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁶. These reports highlight combination therapy as an effective strategy to improve therapeutic efficacy. Despite these promising results, the underlying mechanisms for combination therapy are largely unknown.

Building on the first preoperative clinical trial of α-CTLA-4 treatment in patients with urinary bladder cancer¹⁷, we attempted to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of combination therapymediated tumour rejection by performing detailed analysis of human bladder tumour samples together with preclinical studies using the murine MB49 bladder tumour model, which shares impressive similarities with human bladder cancer including cell surface markers, sensitivity to apoptosis and immunological profiles^{18,19}. We found that combination therapy-improved tumour rejection by promoting T-cell infiltration into tumours, proliferation and polyfunctionality of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), and expansion of endogenous memory T cells, which are mediated by the interdependent loop between IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells. We provided direct evidence that additional blockade of α -PD-1 overcame tumour 'escape' from α -CTLA-4 monotherapy and resulted in complete tumour rejection with long-lasting protective immunity to re-challenge, which is predominantly T-cell-dependent and natural killer (NK)/natural killer T (NKT) cell-independent.

Results

 α -CTLA upregulates PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitory pathway. Our first surgical clinical trial of α -CTLA-4 in patients with bladder cancer detected clinical signals in only 3 out of 12 patients¹⁷, suggesting existence of other important suppressive mechanisms. The PD-1/PD-L1 pathway is a primary ligand-receptor coinhibitory

interaction in tumours²⁰. To examine if the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway can be attributed to the low efficiency of α -CTLA-4 monotherapy, we analyzed PD-1 and CTLA-4 expression on TILs isolated from human and murine bladder tumours. While TILs from human bladder tumour predominantly co-expressed CTLA-4 and PD-1 (Fig. 1a), 25% of TILs from murine MB49 tumours co-expressed CTLA-4 and PD-1 with additional 36 and 4% expressing either PD-1 or CTLA-4 alone (Fig. 1b). We also detected high expression of PD-L1 on human (Fig. 1c) and murine bladder tumour cells (Fig. 1d). These results indicate PD-1/PD-L1 could represent an important immunosuppressive pathway in bladder tumours.

We then assessed how α -CTLA-4 impacts the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway in human and murine bladder tumours. Consistent with our previous report using an aggressive murine melanoma model⁹, we observed increased PD-1 density on immune cells in human bladder tumour samples after anti-CTLA-4 therapy (Fig. 1e). Similarly, we detected increased PD-1 expression on $CD4^+Foxp3^-$ TILs isolated from α -CTLA-4-treated MB49 tumour-bearing mice (Fig. 1f). An increasing trend of PD-1 expression on CD8⁺ TILs cells was also noticed (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Moreover, PD-L1 expression was higher on human bladder tumour cells (Fig. 1g) and immune cells (Fig. 1h) treated with α -CTLA-4. Collectively, our analyses of bladder tumours reveal co-expression of PD-1 and CTLA-4 on TILs, high expression of PD-L1 on tumour cells and augmentation of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitory pathway by α -CTLA-4, suggesting simultaneous blockade with α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 is required for rejection of bladder tumours.

Eradication of bladder tumours requires combination therapy. To test this idea, we treated MB49-bearing C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) male mice with α -CTLA-4, α -PD-1 or combination of both. Male mice were used throughout this study to avoid interfering gender mismatch effects on immunological responses, as MB49 was derived from a male C57BL/6 mouse²¹. To evaluate therapeutic effects, antibodies were given on day 6 post-tumour inoculation when palpable tumours appeared. While α -PD-1 only delayed tumour growth, α -CTLA-4, on the other hand, protected $\sim 40\%$ of mice from tumour challenge (Fig. 2), similar to what was observed in patients with bladder cancer treated with α -CTLA-4 (ref. 17). In contrast, combination therapy eradicated tumours in 100% of the mice (Fig. 2a,b), confirming that concomitant blockade with α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 is required for effective rejection of bladder tumours. Interestingly, although α-CTLA-4 monotherapy initially suppressed tumour growth in all the mice, tumours in $\sim 60\%$ of mice escaped this early suppression and resumed growth, eventually leading to death of these mice (Fig. 2a). When α -PD-1 was added to α -CTLA-4 blockade as in the combination group, 'escape' of tumour from α -CTLA-4 monotherapy was blocked and all tumours were rejected, providing direct evidence that MB49 tumours utilize the PD-1 pathway to evade α -CTLA-4 monotherapy. Clearly, these results point to synergistic effects of α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 in eliminating bladder tumours.

We further confirmed the synergistic effects of combination therapy using a different murine tumour model, the RENCA model for RCC, where ~70% of RENCA-bearing mice survived in combination therapy group and only 30% of or 0% of mice survived in α -CTLA-4 or α -PD-1 monotherapy group, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1B,C).

Combination therapy requires T cells for tumour rejection. Next, we asked which immune cells contribute to therapeutic effects of combination therapy. Since both α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1

treatment increase tumour T-cell infiltration in mouse and human²²⁻²⁴, we examined the abundance of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in MB49 tumours treated with α-CTLA-4, α-PD-1 or combination therapy. While α -CTLA-4 or α -PD-1 monotherapy induced $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell infiltration into tumours. combination therapy resulted in markedly greater infiltration of both (Fig. 3a). Consistent with the strong depleting effects of α -CTLA-4 on intratumoral $T_{\rm reg}^{25}$, we observed a dramatic reduction of T_{reg} in MB49 tumours treated with either α -CTLA-4 or combination therapy, with the latter being slightly stronger (Fig. 3b). Consequently, combination therapy generated the greatest CD8 $^+/T_{reg}$ and CD4 $^+$ non- T_{reg}/T_{reg} ratios (Fig. 3c,d). To address if combination therapy-mediated increases of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells are responsible for improved efficacy of combination therapy in bladder tumour, MB49 tumourbearing mice were pretreated with specific CD4⁺ and/or CD8⁺ T-cell depleting antibodies before combination therapy. Depletion of either CD4⁺ (GK1.5) or CD8⁺ (2.43) T cells abolished mouse survival induced by combination therapy, although tumour growth was still delayed (Fig. 3e). As a comparison, deletion of both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (GK1.5+2.43) ablated both improved mouse survival and suppressed tumour growth (Fig. 3e) by combination therapy,

pointing to critical roles of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells in this process.

In addition to CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, NK cell activity has long been associated with the destruction of tumour cells both in vitro and in vivo²⁶. More recently, a specialized subset of T cells was found to coexpress NK cell markers (for example, NK1.1), namely NKT cells²⁷, which also showed natural tumour surveillance capabilities²⁸. Therefore, we explored the potential involvement of NK/NKT cells by analyzing abundance of NK and NKT cells in MB49-bearing mice treated with mono- or combination therapy. Our results indicate neither α-CTLA-4 nor α-PD-1 affects distribution of NK and NKT cells in MB49 bladder tumours (Supplementary Fig. 2A). To further elucidate the role of NK and NKT cells in combination therapy, we deleted NK1.1⁺ NK and NKT cells using α -NK1.1 mAb PK136 before combination treatment, which did not affect beneficial effects of combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 2B), indicating NK and/or NKT cells are not required. Taken together, our data show that CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells but not NK and NKT cells are the prominent mediators for combination therapy of bladder cancer.

Combination therapy increases polyfunctionality of TILs. Next, we attempted to understand the underlying molecular



Figure 1 | α -**CTLA-4 upregulates the PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitory pathway in human and murine bladder tumours.** (a,b) Surface expression of CTLA-4 and PD-1 in Foxp3⁻CD4⁺ TILs isolated from patients with bladder cancer (a) and from murine MB49 bladder tumours (b). (c,d) PD-L1 expression on human (c) and mouse (d) bladder tumour cells analyzed by flow cytometry. (e) PD-1 expression on immune cells from pre- and post- α -CTLA-4-treated human bladder tumour by IHC. Representative IHC photos (arrows indicate positively-stained cells) and pooled results from all the patients are shown. (f) PD-1 expression on Foxp3⁻CD4⁺ TILs isolated from MB49 tumour-bearing mice treated with (α -CTLA-4) or without α -CTLA-4 (UnTx) were analyzed by flow cytometry. Histograms of PD-1 (left) and pooled results (right) from five mice in one representative experiment are shown. (g,h) PD-L1 expression on tumour cells (g) and immune cells (H) of pre- and post- α -CTLA-4-treated human bladder samples by IHC. Representative IHC photos (arrows indicate positively-stained cells) and pooled results (right) from five mice in one representative experiment are shown. (g,h) PD-L1 expression on tumour cells (g) and immune cells (H) of pre- and post- α -CTLA-4-treated human bladder samples by IHC. Representative IHC photos (arrows indicate positively-stained cells) and pooled results from all the matched cases are shown. Data in scatter plots and the bar graph are means ± s.e.m. Representative results (b, d, and f) from three independent experiments are shown. **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.001; ****P*<0.001 by two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test.



Figure 2 | Combined PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade eradicates MB49 bladder tumours in mice. Mice bearing 6 days palpable MB49 tumour were left untreated (UnTx) or treated with α -CTLA-4, α -PD-1 or combination of both (Combo) or their corresponding isotype controls (α -CTLA-4 iso, α -PD-1 iso or Combo iso). (**a**) Individual tumour growth. Tumour size is presented as length × width (mm²) and ratios of tumour-growing mice in each groups are shown as insets on each panel. (**b**) Survival curves from one representative experiment are shown. Data are representative of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; **P < 0.001; **P < 0.001 by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

mechanisms for the combination therapy. Since combination therapy significantly improved $CD4^+$ non- T_{reg}/T_{reg} and $CD8^+/T_{reg}$ ratios as compared to α -CTLA-4 or α -PD-1 monotherapy in tumours (Fig. 3c,d), we reasoned that combination therapy could more potently stimulate effector functions of TILs. First, we co-cultured purified CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ TILs together with irradiated MB49 tumour cells and splenic dendritic cells (DCs) to evaluate production of tumour antigenspecific effector molecules by TILs. As expected, we observed increased production of IFN- γ by α -CTLA-4 and addition of α -PD-1 further boosted IFN- γ production to a significantly higher level in CD4⁺ TILs (Fig. 4a). Additional detailed analysis of IFN- γ^+ cells revealed that the greatest increase induced by combination therapy was IFN- γ^+ IL-2⁺ dual producers (~20-40-fold, Fig. 4b), while α -PD-1 only had minimal effect in inducing these dual producers. Similarly, a greater increase of IFN- γ ⁺IL-2⁺ dual producers was noticed in CD8⁺ TILs treated with combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 3A), correlated with its greater therapeutic efficacy. Anti-tumour activity of both IL-2 and IFN- γ has long been recognized^{29,30}. Considering IL-2 is required for long-term survival of tumour antigen-specific T cells³¹, we reason that these dual producers possess inherent advantages to survive the hostile tumour microenvironment (TME). Second, we briefly stimulated TILs with phorbol-12myristate 13- acetate (PMA) and ionomycin to measure production of IFN- γ and IL-2. Similar to the above results, we detected significant increase of IFN- γ^+ IL- 2^+ dual producers in CD4+ and CD8+ TILs treated with combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 3B,C). It was suggested that TILs expressing both IFN- γ and TNF- α are the most potent effectors³². In support of this, we found IFN- γ and TNF- α double-positive CD4⁺ (Fig. 4c,d) and CD8⁺ TILs (Fig. 4e,f) were most highly represented following combination therapy.

In addition to increased production of effector cytokines, another important hallmark for tumour T-cell reinvigoration is the restoration of their proliferative ability, which can be evaluated with Ki-67 expression. To simultaneously assess both aspects of immunosuppression reversal by combination therapy, Ki-67 staining was conducted together with IFN- γ production in re-stimulated TILs. Significant increases of Ki-67⁺ IFN- γ ⁺ cells were seen in both CD4⁺ TILs and in CD8⁺ TILs treated with combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 3D,E). Collectively, combination therapy enhances proliferative polyfunctional TILs.

Combination therapy expands memory cells via IL-7R signalling. A cardinal feature of immune checkpoint therapy is the induced durability of tumour rejection responses². Therefore, we assessed how combination therapy affects formation of endogenous memory response. Since memory cells upon formed primarily reside in the spleen and secondary lymphoid organs, we characterized splenocytes isolated from MB49 tumour-bearing mice with regressing tumours, a stage when memory T cells are generated. We directed our attention to the central memory population of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (CD44⁺CD122^{hi}, T_{CM}), as these cells are long-lived and likely mediate protective immunity to re-challenge³³. As shown in Fig. 5a,b, while α -CTLA-4 or α -PD-1 alone did not significantly expand endogenous CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T_{CM} cells, combination therapy increased both proportions and absolute cell numbers of $T_{\rm CM}$ cells, as compared to the untreated mice. This could explain why the majority of mice treated with α -CTLA-4 or α -PD-1 monotherapy delayed tumour growth but could not completely eradicate already-formed tumours (Fig. 2). To evaluate if combination therapy-induced expansion of endogenous memory T-cell pool boosts tumour antigen-specific memory response, we re-challenged combination therapy-treated mice that had cleared primary MB49 tumours with 10-fold more MB49 tumour cells or unrelated B16/BL6 melanoma cells. Clearly, combination therapy-treated mice were resistant to re-challenge with the same MB49 tumour cells, but remained vulnerable to unrelated B16/BL6 tumour inoculation (Fig. 5c), indicating formation of tumour antigen-specific protective immunity.



Figure 3 | Combination therapy-mediated MB49 tumour rejection is dependent on CD4⁺ **and CD8**⁺ **T cells.** Isolated TILs from 13-15 days tumours, 1-3 days after the last treatment with α -CTLA-4, α -PD-1, combination of both (Combo) were analyzed by flow cytometry for (**a**) Total CD4⁺ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells. Representative flow panels are shown on the left and pooled results from five mice are depicted in bar graphs on the right. (**b**) Foxp3⁺ T_{reg} in CD4⁺ T cells. Pooled results from five mice are shown in the right bar graph. (**c**) Ratios of Foxp3⁻ to Foxp3⁺ in CD4⁺ T cells. (**d**) Ratios of CD8⁺ T cells to Foxp3⁺ CD4⁺ T cells. Mice depleted of CD4⁺ (GK1.5), CD8⁺ (2.43) or both (GK1.5+2.43) were treated with the combo and mouse survivals are presented (**e**). Data in the bar graphs are means ± s.e.m. **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; *****P*<0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Our findings corroborate a recent report that a correlation between central memory phenotype in CD8⁺ cells and rejection of metastatic osteosarcoma K7M2 by combined α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-L1 (ref. 11).

Considering the pivotal role of IL-7/IL-7R α signalling pathway in the generation and maintenance of memory T cells, in particular the long-lived $T_{\rm CM}^{34-36}$, we ask if combination therapy engages IL-7-IL-7R α signalling in TILs by analyzing IL-7R α expression on CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs (Fig. 5d) treated with combination therapy. Clearly, combination therapy upregulated IL-7R α , which likely renders TILs more advantageous in competing for the limited amount of IL-7 (ref. 36). Considering augmented IL-7R α expression on TILs and increased IL-2⁺ IFN- γ^+ dual producers by combination therapy (Fig. 4b; Supplementary Fig. 3A–C), we reasoned that combination therapy enables T cells to better survive nutrient- and/or cytokine-depleted TME. Upregulation of IL-7R α by combination therapy was further confirmed by real-time RT-PCR analysis of sorted CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs with IL-7R α specific primers (Fig. 5e).

Next, we explored if upregulation of IL-7R α is functionally important for combination therapy-induced tumour rejection by inoculating $Il7r^{-1-}$ mice, deficient of IL-7R α with MB49 tumour

cells, followed by combination therapy. In contrast to the 100% protection in WT mice, combination therapy failed to cure $Il7r^{-/-}$ tumour-bearing mice, which rapidly died within 20 days post-tumour inoculation (Fig. 5f), reflecting a critical role of IL-7-IL-7R α signalling in combination therapy. To circumvent chronic immune defects in $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice, we blocked IL-7 signalling in MB49 tumour-bearing mice before combination therapy. This short-term blockade resulted in a partial yet significant attenuation of therapeutic effects of combination therapy (Fig. 5g). This partial response could be due to incomplete blockade of IL-7 signalling with the current treatment regimen. Nevertheless, these two complementary approaches strongly support that the IL-7/IL-7R α signalling pathway is required for optimal therapeutic effects of combination therapy.

We also attempted to assess if IL-7R signalling is involved in combination therapy-induced T-cell infiltration and effector function of TILs. However, while T cells can be readily detected in the spleens of $II7r^{-1-}$ tumour-bearing mice (Supplementary Fig. 4A), we detected very few T cells in the tumours of $II7r^{-1-}$ mice (Fig. 5h), suggesting a role for IL-7R signalling in T-cell trafficking into tumour and/or survival of T cells in tumour. This paucity of TILs in $Il7r^{-1-}$ mice prohibited further



Figure 4 | Combination therapy enhances polyfunctionality of CD4⁺ and **CD8**⁺ **TILs. (a)** Purified CD4⁺ from TILs described as in Fig. 3 co-cultured with irradiated MB49 cells and splenic DCs *in vitro* were analyzed to determine the percentages of cells positive for IL-2 and IFN- γ . (b) Summarized results of dual IFN- γ^+ IL-2⁺ producers from five mice. (c-f) Isolated TILs without further purification were stimulated briefly with PMA and ionomycin *in vitro*, and then analyzed for IFN- γ and TNF- α production in CD4⁺ TILs (c) or in CD8⁺ TILs (e). Percentages of dual IFN- γ^+ TNF- α^+ producers in CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ TILs from five mice are depicted in (d) and (f), respectively. Data in the bar graphs are means ± s.e.m. **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; ***

functional analysis. In addition, we observed that combination therapy greatly reduced abundance of both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells in the spleen of $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice (Supplementary Fig. 4A), which was not seen in WT (Supplementary Fig. 4B), indicating IL-7R signalling is instrumental for survival of peripheral T-cell upon combination treatment. Taken together, combination therapy elicits strong protective immunity against re-challenge and activates IL-7R signalling in TILs, which is instrumental in regulating antitumour effects of combination therapy.

Combination therapy requires both IL-7 and IFN-\gamma signalling. Another well-recognized important pathway in anti-tumour immune response is the IFN- γ signalling pathway³⁰. A recent paper using human T cells isolated from patients treated with α -CTLA-4, α -PD-1 or combination therapy identified IFN- γ as the only commonly-upregulated gene, suggesting a prominent role of IFN- γ signalling in immune checkpoint therapy³⁷, consistent with our observation that combination therapy markedly increased IFN- γ production by TILs. However, detailed mechanistic studies on how IFN- γ signalling pathway regulates therapeutic effects of immune checkpoint therapy are lacking. To address this, we challenged WT or *Ifngr1⁻¹⁻* mice, which are deficient of IFN- γ R1 (the essential receptor for IFN- γ) with MB49 tumour cells, followed by combination treatment. While combination therapy protected WT mice from MB49 tumour challenge, this protective effect was abolished in *Ifngr1^{-/-}* mice (Fig. 6a). Utilizing a neutralizing antibody (XMG1.2) to block IFN- γ signalling, we also observed a complete abrogation of combination therapy-induced MB49 tumour rejection (Fig. 6b). Collectively, these results provide direct evidence that IFN- γ signalling in host cells governs combination therapy-induced tumour rejaction.

We next asked if the IFN- γ signalling pathway controls therapeutic effects of combination therapy by regulating the enhanced immune responses as described above. Convincingly, absence of IFN- γ R1 in host cells diminished immune-boosting effects of combination therapy, including increased T-cell infiltration into tumours, depletion of intratumoral $T_{\rm reg}$, augmented effector/ $T_{\rm reg}$ ratios of TILs, enhanced polyfunctionality of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs, and elevated Ki-67⁺ IFN- γ ⁺ CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs (Supplementary Fig. 5). We therefore concluded that IFN- γ signalling in the host cells has a crucial role in mediating therapeutic effects of combination therapy likely by modulating T-cell-mediated responses.

Considering both IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in host cells are required for combination therapy-induced tumour rejection, a



Figure 5 | Combination therapy induces memory response and therapeutic benefits by engaging IL-7 signalling. (**a**,**b**) Isolated splenocytes from tumour-bearing WT mice with regressing tumours treated with mono- or combo therapy were analyzed for: (**a**) Frequencies of CD4⁺CD44^{hi}CD122⁺ (T_{CM}) and (**b**) Frequencies of CD8⁺CD44^{hi}CD122⁺ (T_{CM}) cells. Pooled results of percentage and absolute cell number of T_{CM} from five mice are depicted as bar graphs. (**c**) Combo-treated WT mice that previously rejected MB49 tumours were re-challenged with MB49 tumour cells or unrelated B16-BL6 melanoma cells. Tumour growth (mm²) is shown. (**d**) Surface expression of IL-7R α on CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs as described in Fig. 3 and MFIs of IL-7R α from five mice are presented (right). (**e**) mRNA expression of IL-7R α in sorted CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs from untreated and combo-treated mice by real-time RT-PCR. Results were normalized to the expression of housekeeping gene (β -actin). (**f**) Survival of WT and $II7r^{-/-}$ tumour-bearing mice treated with combination therapy. (**g**) Survival of tumour-bearing mice pretreated with blocking antibody against IL-7 (M25) upon combination therapy. (**h**) Abundance of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs isolated from $II7r^{-/-}$ tumour-bearing mice as described in **f**. Data are means ± s.e.m. of five mice in each group. NS, no statistical significance; **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; ****P*<0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test (**a,b**), two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test (**d,e**) or log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (**g**). Data are representative of two to three independent experiments.

process dependent on T cells (Fig. 3e), we speculated that IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells dictate the immunotherapeutic benefits of combination therapy. To test this, we adoptively transferred purified WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* and *Il7r^{-/-}* total T cells into sublethally irradiated CD45.1 mice, followed by tumour inoculation and combination therapy. As shown in Fig. 6c, although tumour growth appeared to be comparable among all cohorts in the early stage, combination therapy significantly reduced tumour volume in mice that were adoptively transferred with WT total T cells, but not in mice that received either $Il7r^{-/-}$ or *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells, pointing to an indispensable role of IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells in this process. Furthermore, we adoptively transferred total WT, $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ or $Il7r^{-/-}$ T cells into Rag-1^{-/-} mice that lack mature T and B cells but contain innate immune cells such as DCs and macrophages with intact IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling. Thus, the only T cells present in these mice are those transferred, which allow us to unequivocally evaluate if IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells is critical in mediating combination therapy. We observed similar outcomes to those using CD45.1 mice as the recipients (Fig. 6d), further supporting the predominant function of IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling in T cells but not other immune cells in driving antitumour effects of combination therapy. To assess if combination therapy-improved mouse survival also depends on



Figure 6 | Combination therapy requires an intact loop of IL-7 and IFN- γ **signalling pathways to reject MB49 tumours. (a)** Survival of WT and *Ifngr1^{-/-}* MB49 tumour-bearing mice untreated (UnTx) or treated with combo. (b) Survival of tumour-bearing mice pretreated with blocking antibody against IFN- γ (XMG1.2) and then treated with combination therapy. (c) Sublethally irradiated CD45.1 mice were adoptively transferred with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* or *II7r^{-/-}* total T cells, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Tumour growth kinetics is shown. (d) Rag-1^{-/-} mice were adoptively transferred with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Tumour growth kinetics is shown. (d) Rag-1^{-/-} mice were adoptively transferred with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Tumour growth kinetics is shown. (d) Rag-1^{-/-} mice were adoptively transferred with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Tumour growth kinetics is shown. (d) Rag-1^{-/-} mice were adoptively transferred with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Tumour sizes on day 12 post-tumour inoculation are shown. (e) CD45.1 mice were treated as described above in c and mouse survivals are illustrated. (f,g) Isolated splenocytes from *II7r^{-/-}* (f) and *Ifngr1^{-/-}* (g) tumour-bearing mice as described in Fig. 3 were analyzed for frequencies of CD4⁺ CD44^{hi}CD122⁺ (T_{CM}) cells. Pooled results from five mice are depicted as bar graphs. (h,i) Isolated TILs from *Ifngr1^{-/-}* tumour-bearing mice treated with combination therapy were examined for IL-7Rα expression in CD4⁺ (H) or CD8⁺ (i). Data are means ± s.e.m. of five mice in each group. NS, no statistical significance; **P*<0.01; ***P*<0.01 by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test (c,d), log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (e) or two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*

IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells, we followed long-term survival of CD45.1 tumour-bearing mice that were infused with WT, *Ifngr1^{-/-}* or *Il7r^{-/-}* total T cells. As depicted in Fig. 6e, combination therapy-improved survival of tumour-bearing mice that received WT total T cells but remained largely ineffective in those with adoptively transferred *Il7r^{-/-}* or *Ifngr1^{-/-}* total T cells. In sum, both suppression of tumour growth and improved mouse survival by combination therapy require intact IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells.

To shed light on how IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells affect T-cell proliferation, infiltration into tumours and functionality using our adoptive transfer system, we labelled purified total WT, $Il7r^{-/-}$ or $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ T cells (congenically marked by CD45.2) with CFSE before the adoptive transfer into CD45.1 mice, followed by MB49 tumour inoculation and combination therapy. Lack of IFN- γ R1 or IL-7R α in CD4 T cells and CD8 T cells appeared not to impact their proliferative ability, evidenced by comparable dilution of CFSE to that of WT T cells (Supplementary Fig. 6A,B). However, there was a significant reduction of $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ or $Il7r^{-/-}$ T-cell infiltration into tumours, with the latter being more severe (Supplementary Fig. 6C,D), which prevented further analysis of how IL-7R α

deficiency affects intratumoral T-cell effector function and $T_{\rm reg}$ abundance. Additional analysis of tumour-infiltrating $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ T cells revealed a significantly higher proportion of $T_{\rm reg}$ in CD4⁺ T cells, reduced ratios of CD4⁺ non- $T_{\rm reg}/T_{\rm reg}$ and CD8⁺/ $T_{\rm reg}$ cells, and decreased CD4⁺ IFN- γ^+ TILs, as compared to tumour-infiltrating WT T cells (Supplementary Fig. 6E–G). Taken together, these results corroborate our above findings in KO mice that IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells modulate immune responses and tumour inhibition by combination therapy.

Since both $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ and $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice are incapable of eliminating primary tumours upon combination therapy, we contemplated memory cell expansion is defective in these KO mice. To this end, we analyzed $T_{\rm CM}$ cells in the spleen of $Il7r^{-/-}$ and $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ tumour-bearing mice treated with or without combination therapy. In contrast to the induced expansion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ $T_{\rm CM}$ populations by combination therapy in WT mice (Fig. 5a,b), no overt expansion of CD4⁺ $T_{\rm CM}$ was observed in $Il7r^{-/-}$ (Fig. 6f) or $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ (Fig. 6g) mice. Similarly, no obvious expansion of CD8⁺ $T_{\rm CM}$ was found in $Il7r^{-/-}$ or $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ mice (Supplementary Fig. 7A,B). These results demonstrated that both IL-7R and IFN- γ R signalling

pathways have important roles in regulating endogenous memory response upon combination therapy. This similar yet nonredundant function of IFN-y and IL-7 signalling prompted us to explore if IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling pathways mutually regulate each other in TILs. While we could not examine how IL-7R α deficiency affects IFN- γ R1 expression in TILs due to rare TILs in $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice, we found deficiency of IFN- γ R1 abrogated IL-7R α upregulation on CD4⁺ (Fig. 6h) and CD8⁺ (Fig. 6i) TILs that was induced on WT TILs by the combination therapy (Fig. 5d), suggesting IFN- γ signalling in stromal cells modulates IL-7Rα expression in TILs. Taken together, we show combination therapy induces expansion of $T_{\rm CM}$ cells and elicits protective immunity against subsequent re-challenge, which are dependent on both IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling in T cells. More importantly, we identify an intricate interplay of IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling in TILs that dictates anti-tumour immune responses and therapeutic effects of combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 7C).

Discussion

The recent FDA approvals of α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 have opened a new era in the treatment of cancer termed 'immune checkpoint blockade'. The impressive durability but low response rate achieved with these treatments requires novel strategies to improve response rate, among which combination therapy of α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 has attracted intense interests. While convincing outcomes with combination therapy have been reported in patients with different types of cancers^{12,14,15}, the underlying mechanisms remain to be illustrated. Here, we provide direct evidence that PD-1 is a primary suppressive pathway utilized by bladder tumour cells to 'escape' α-CTLA-4 monotherapy by showing that α -CTLA-4 therapy upregulates PD-1/PD-L1 expression and that bladder tumour cells express high levels of PD-L1. As a result, concomitant blocking of both α -PD-1 and α -CTLA-4 led to complete rejection of bladder tumours, in contrast to the inefficient monotherapies, an outcome further confirmed in the Renca model for renal cancer, which parallels preliminary results from a phase I clinical trial of combination therapy in metastatic RCC patients^{14,38}. Mechanistically, we demonstrate that combination therapy synergistically or additively induced greater immune responses including T-cell infiltration into tumours, depletion of intratumoral T_{reg} , and induction of polyfunctional effector TILs. In vivo depletion with neutralizing antibodies revealed that $\mathrm{CD4^{+}}$ and $\mathrm{CD8^{+}}$ T cells but not NK and NKT cells are essential players in combination therapy-mediated tumour rejection. Since GK1.5 antibody depletes total CD4 $^+$ T cells, including T_{eff} as well as T_{reg} , we could not distinguish their respective contributions to therapeutic benefits of combination therapy. At the molecular level, using genetic $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ and $Il7r^{-/-}$ level, using genetic $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ and $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice and different adoptive transfer systems with WT, $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ and $Il7r^{-/-}$ total T cells, we illustrate that the interdependence between IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T cells underpins the anti-tumour immune responses elicited by the combination therapy.

A cardinal feature of immunogenic tumour rejection by immune checkpoint therapy is the induced durable memory response. Indeed, we found combination therapy led to expansion of memory T cells and protected mice from re-challenge, associated with upregulation of IL-7R α on CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs. Since IL-7 is produced at a relatively fixed amount³⁶, upregulation of IL-7R α in intratumoral T cells could be instrumental for their survival in the hostile TME so as to better execute their anti-tumour activity. The importance of IL-7 signalling in combination therapy is demonstrated by our data that either IL-7R α deficiency or IL-7 blockade significantly abolished therapeutic benefits of combination treatment.

Theoretically, targeting IL-7 signalling should hold greater promises than targeting IL-2 signalling, due to the selective expansion of effector and memory T-cell subsets by IL-7 without expanding T_{reg} (ref. 39), in contrast to undifferentiated expansion of both effector T cells and T_{reg} by IL-2. Recently, it was reported that CAR-engrafted Espstein-Barr-virus-specific CTLs overexpressing IL-7Ra (ref. 39) has vielded some successes in preclinical studies. Along this line, preclinical application of IL-7 vields substantial antitumour activity in different murine tumour models by expanding effector $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells⁴⁰⁻⁴². However, two phase I clinical trials of IL-7 in patients with cancer failed to generate overt anti-tumour effects, either as a standalone treatment⁴³ or in combination with vaccination⁴⁴, despite the expected expansion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in IL-7 treated patients⁴³. Given the dual roles of IL-7 in promoting T_{eff} survival and expansion^{40,43} as well as in suppressing T_{reg} function ⁴¹, further explorations of combined immune checkpoint blockade and IL-7 supplementation could potentially improve antitumour therapy, as our data suggested. On a side note, identification of biomarkers that can predict which patients respond to cancer immunotherapy is an active research area⁴⁵. On the basis of our results and the reported requirement of IL-7Ra expression on effector T cells for their survival upon transfer⁴⁶, we predict that upregulation of IL-7Ra could represent a potential biomarker, which warrants further analysis of IL-7Ra expression in responders versus non-responders treated with immune checkpoint therapy. In addition to IL-2 and IL-7, other cytokines such as IL-4, IL-9, IL-15 and IL-21 share the common γ -chain receptor to emanate their downstream signals. Thus, it will be interesting to explore if these cytokine signalling pathways also have a role in this process.

Despite the recognized involvement of IFN- γ in anti-tumour response, detailed analysis of $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ mice with defective IFN- γ signalling in the context of tumour immunotherapy has been lacking. Here, we demonstrate that IFN- γ signalling in host T cells controls therapeutic effects of combination therapy by modulating T-cell-mediated immune responses. Recently, Schreiber and colleagues³² reported that α -PD-1 alone impacted mostly pathways associated with metabolism, α -CTLA-4 alone affected pathways associated with cell cycle and effector memory, and the combination altered T-cell effector pathway. Thus, it will be interesting to evaluate how IFN- γ /IFN- γ R1 axis affects these pathways in the context of α -CTLA-4 and/or α -PD-1 treatment in the future. It might also be worthwhile to explore how IFN- γ /IFN- γ R1 axis interacts with Fc γ RIV signalling to drive intratumoral depletion of T_{reg} upon immune checkpoint blockade, as our current data and previous reports suggested both pathways are required in this process^{47,48}.

On the basis of our findings of abrogation of therapeutic effects of combination therapy by T-cell depletion, correlation of diminished therapeutic efficacy of combination therapy to attenuated T-cell-mediated immune responses in $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ and $Il7r^{-/-}$ mice, loss of combination therapy-induced IL-7R α upregulation in $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ TILs, and inability of adoptively transferred $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ or $Il7r^{-/-}$ total T cells to suppress tumour growth and to improve mouse survival upon combination therapy, we propose that an intricate network consisting of interdependent IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling pathways (not exclusively) in T cells controls anti-tumour activity of combination therapy. Consistent with our finding, the interplay between IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling pathways has been previously demonstrated in other experimental systems. In human intestinal epithelial cells, IFN- γ can induce IL-7 gene expression via binding of its downstream target IFN regulatory factor-1 (IRF-1) to an IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE) located in the 5' upstream region of the IL-7 gene⁴⁹. Along this line, deficiency

of IFN-yR1 completely diminishes the induction of atypical IL-7R α^+ progenitors in bone marrow by acute malaria infection, indicating an essential role of IFN- γ signalling in mediating IL-7R α on bone marrow cells⁵⁰. Further, a recent report demonstrated that IL-12 conditioning, potentially by inducing IFN- γ production, led to increased IL-7R α expression on polarizing Tc1 effector cells, associated with enhanced in vivo persistence and antitumour efficacy of CD8⁺ T cells upon adoptive transfer⁴⁶. Vice versa, an early report suggests that IL-7R signalling contributes to the maintenance of IFN-yproducing Th1 effector cells during chronic L. major infection⁵¹. Thus, our finding corroborates previous reports and reveals for the first time an interactive loop of IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling pathways in TILs that dictates antitumour activity of combination therapy (Supplementary Fig. 7C). It remains to be tested if this interdependent loop between IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling pathways can be extended to human tumours. In our future studies, we propose to analyze IL-7Ra expression in human tumours treated with immune checkpoint therapy. Given the considerable similarities between human bladder tumours and murine MB49 bladder tumours, a recent report of IFN- γ upregulation in patients treated with immune checkpoint blockade³⁷, and the essential role of IL-7 and IFN- γ sigaling in T-cell survival and function, we reason such an interdependent loop likely exsists to mediate beneficial effects of combination therapy in human tumours.

Our results are different from a previous report⁵² using MB49 tumour model where the authors observed comparable therapeutic efficacy between α -CTLA-4 monotherapy and combination therapy. This discrepancy is likely due to the fact that female mice were used in that study and thus the mismatch gender effects on immune responses may have created strong anti-tumour immunity that prevented interpretation of the impact of immune checkpoint blockade treatment. Considering our original finding of a partial response of patients with bladder cancer to α -CTLA-4 monotherapy and the recent report of a noteworthy activity of α -PD-L1 antibody MDPDL3280A in patients with bladder cancer⁵³, we reason that combination therapy represents a more effective approach, which is consistent with our observations of the high expression of PD-L1 on MB49 cells, upregulation of PD-1 induced by α -CTLA-4 treatment in both human and murine bladder tumour samples, and strong synergism of α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 in tumour rejection.

In summary, we demonstrated that combination therapy of α -CTLA-4 and α -PD-1 elicited stronger anti-tumour immune responses, potent MB49 tumour rejection, and induced protective immunity against re-challenge. Combination therapy-mediated tumour eradication requires presence of T cells and an intact loop of IFN- γ and IL-7 signalling in T cells. Our findings suggest a potential combination therapy of immune checkpoint therapy and IL-7 signalling to boost efficacy.

Methods

Mice, cell lines and reagents. Male C57BL/6 (B6, 5–7 weeks) mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD). CD45.1, Rag-1^{-/-}, $II7r^{-/-}$ and $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ mice, all on the B6 background, were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Balb/c female mice were also from The Jackson Laboratory. All mice were kept in specific pathogen-free conditions in the Animal Resource Center at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC). Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of MDACC.

The chemically-induced murine bladder carcinoma MB49 cell line, kindly provided by Dr A. Kamat at MD Anderson Cancer Center, was originated from a male C57BL/6 mouse²¹ and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. The B16/BL6 murine melanoma cells, originally obtained from Dr I. Fidler at MD Anderson Cancer Center, were grown in MEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1% non-essential amino acids and vitamin (all from Invitrogen).

Murine renal adenocarcinoma cell line RENCA was purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, non-essential amino acids (0.1 mM extra) and additional L-glutamine (2 mM extra). All cell lines are mycoplasma-free.

 α -CTLA-4 (clone 9H10) and α -PD-1 (RMP1-14) blockade antibodies, as well as depleting antibodies against CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (2.43), NK1.1 (PK136), IFN- γ (XMG1.2) and IL-7 (M25) were all purchased from BioXcell (West Lebanon, NH, USA).

In vivo tumour inoculation and treatment. C57BL/6 male and Balb/c female mice (5 or 10 mice pergroup) were inoculated subcutaneously in the right flank with 5×10^4 MB49 and 2×10^5 RENCA cells, respectively, on day 0. On day 6 post-MB49 inoculation or day 8 post-RENCA inoculation when tumours became palpable, mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) α-CTLA-4, α-PD-1 or both. The first dose was 200 µg per mouse and the treatments were repeated twice, every 3 days with a dose of 100 µg per mouse. For adoptive transfer of total T cells, sublethally irradiated CD45.1 mice (600 Rads) or Rag-1 $^{-/-}$ mice were injected via tail vein 5-10 million of purified total T cells isolated from WT, $Il7r^{-1}$ Ifngr1-/- mice, followed by tumour inoculation of MB49 cells on the next day and subsequent combination treatments as described above. For some experiments, purified WT, $Il7r^{-/-}$ or $Ifngr1^{-/-}$ total T cells were labelled with CFSE, before adoptive transfer. Tumour growth measurements were taken 2-3 times per week, starting from day 6 after tumour inoculation. Mice were euthanized when tumour reached 1.5 cm in diameter or ulceration or moribund occurred, recorded as death for the survival curve.

To deplete CD4⁺, CD8⁺ or NK1.1⁺ cells, 250 µg of anti-CD4 (GK1.5), anti-CD8 (2.43), anti-NK1.1 (PK136) or control antibodies in 200 µl of D-PBS were injected i.p. into each mouse on the day before tumour challenge, followed by three injections on days 1, 3 and 10 after tumour injection. Blockade of IFN- γ or IL-7 was performed by the i.p. injection of XMG1.2 or M25 antibody (250 µg/200 µl/mouse) before tumour challenge and once every 3 days after tumour challenge.

For the re-challenge experiments, combination therapy-treated mice free of tumour were injected subcutaneously with 5×10^5 MB49 or 2×10^4 B16-BL6 melanoma cells. Tumour growth was monitored as described above. Tumour size was calculated as length \times width (mm²).

Flow cytometric analysis. For analysis of surface markers, cells were stained in PBS containing 2% (wt/vol) BSA, with anti-CD4-PB (RM4-5) (Biolegend, 100531, 1:200), anti-CD8α-BV786 (53-6.7) (BD Horizon, 563332, 1:200), anti-TCRβ-APC Cy7 (H57-597) (BD Pharmingen, 560656, 1:200), anti-CD45.2-BV605 (104) (Biolegend, 109841, 1:200), anti-PD-1-APC (RMP1-30) (eBiosciences, 17-9981-82, 1:200), anti-PD-11-BV711 (10F.9G2) (Biolegend, 124319, 1:100) and anti-CTLA-4-PE (UC10-4B9) (eBiosciences, 12-1522-82, 1:200) on ice for 30 min. Intracellular anti-Foxp3-AF532 (FJK-16 s) (eBiosciences, 58-5773-82, 1:100), anti-IL-2-PerCp Cy5.5 (PC61.5) (eBiosciences, 45-0251-82, 1:100), anti-Ki-67-PE-Cy7 (SolA15) (eBiosciences, 25-5698-82, 1:300), anti-IFN-γ-FITC (XMG1.2) (eBioscience, 11-7311-82, 1:200) and anti-CTLA-4-PE (UC10-4B9) (eBiosciences, 12-1522-82, 1:200), anti-TNF-α-BV650 (MP6-XT22) (Biolegend, 506333, 1:100) were analyzed by flow cytometry according to the manufacturer's instructions. Flow cytometry data were acquired on LSRII or BD FACS Canton II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using Flowjo software (Tree Star).

TILs isolation and cytokine analysis. TILs and splenocytes isolated from tumourbearing mice were analyzed accordingly. In some experiments, $CD4^+$ TILs were further purified using Dynabeads FlowComp (Invitrogen) (>85% purity). The purified 1×10^5 CD4⁺ T cells were co-cultured with 5×10^4 splenic DCs plus irradiated 1×10^6 MB49 tumour cells (150 Gy) or antigen-unrelated B16/BL6 melanoma cells for 18 h with monensin added for the last 2 h. Alternatively, TILs were stimulated cells were washed once with FACS buffer (D-PBS + 2% FBS) before being stained according to the manufacturer's instructions (eBioscience).

RNA analysis. RNA was extracted from sorted CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs from untreated or combination therapy-treated mice using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). An ABI 7500 Real-time PCR system was used for quantitative RT-PCR, with *Il7r* primers purchased from Origen (Forward, 5'-CACAGCCAGTTGGAAGTGG ATG-3'; reverse, 5'-GGCATTTCACTCGTAAAAGAGCC-3'). The cycling threshold value of the endogenous control gene (β -actin) was subtracted from the cycling threshold value of *Il7r* to generate the change in cycling threshold (ΔC_T). The expression of *Il7r* is presented as the 'fold change' relative to that of untreated TILs (2^{- $\Delta \Delta CT$}), as described⁵⁴.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Informed consent was obtained by the MD Anderson treating physicians from patients with localized (T_1-T_2, N_0, M_0) urothelial carcinoma who were candidates for radical cystectomy to receive two doses of anti-CTLA-4 antibody (ipilimumab) before undergoing surgery as per

MD Anderson Cancer Center clinical trial protocol 2006-0080, which was approved by the MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Review Board. Six patients completed analyses for safety at the 3 mg kg⁻¹ per dose before six additional patients were enroled to receive 10 mg kg⁻¹ per dose. Surgery was scheduled on or about week 7 of the protocol. Pre- and post-α-CTLA-4-treated bladder tumour tissues were fixed by immersion in 10% (vol/vol) neutral buffered formalin solution. Fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin and transversely sectioned. Sections of 4 µm were subject to hematoxylin and eosin staining or IHC staining with primary antibodies against CD4 (Novocastra, CD4-368-L-A, 1:80), CD8 (Thermo Scientific, MS-457-S, 1:25), PD-L1 (Cell Signaling, 13684S, 1:100) or PD-1 (Epitomicsm, Abcam, ab137132, 1:250). IHC staining with PD-1 and PD-L1 staining has been described previously^{55–57}. Staining from primary antibody was detected with biotinylated secondary antibodies, followed by peroxidase-conjugated avidin/biotin and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine substrate (Leica Microsystem). All IHC slides were scanned and digitalized using a scanscope system (Scanscope XT, Aperio Technologies), and quantitative analyses of IHC staining were conducted using the image analysis software provided (ImageScope-Aperio/Leica). Five random areas (at least 1 mm² each) were placed within the tumour area using customized algorithm for each specific marker for density (the total number of positive cells per 1 mm² area), per cent or *h*-score quantification.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were repeated for 2–3 times. Results were expressed as mean ± s.e.m. Data were analyzed using a two-sided Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA after confirming their normal distribution. The log-rank test was used to analyze data from in the survival experiments. All analyses were performed using Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data availability. All relevant data are available within the manuscript or from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

- Ishida, Y., Agata, Y., Shibahara, K. & Honjo, T. Induced expression of PD-1, a novel member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily, upon programmed cell death. *EMBO J.* 11, 3887–3895 (1992).
- Sharma, P. & Allison, J. P. The future of immune checkpoint therapy. Science 348, 56–61 (2015).
- Tivol, E. A. *et al.* Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4. *Immunity* 3, 541–547 (1995).
- Leach, D. R., Krummel, M. F. & Allison, J. P. Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. *Science* 271, 1734–1736 (1996).
- Hodi, F. S. *et al.* Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 711–723 (2010).
- Robert, C. *et al.* Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 2517–2526 (2011).
- Hamid, O. *et al.* Safety and tumour responses with lambrolizumab (anti-PD-1) in melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 369, 134–144 (2013).
- Robert, C. *et al.* Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **372**, 320–330 (2015).
- Curran, M. A., Montalvo, W., Yagita, H. & Allison, J. P. PD-1 and CTLA-4 combination blockade expands infiltrating T cells and reduces regulatory T and myeloid cells within B16 melanoma tumors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 4275–4280 (2010).
- Duraiswamy, J., Kaluza, K. M., Freeman, G. J. & Coukos, G. Dual blockade of PD-1 and CTLA-4 combined with tumour vaccine effectively restores T-cell rejection function in tumors. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 3591–3603 (2013).
- Lussier, D. M., Johnson, J. L., Hingorani, P. & Blattman, J. N. Combination immunotherapy with alpha-CTLA-4 and alpha-PD-L1 antibody blockade prevents immune escape and leads to complete control of metastatic osteosarcoma. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 3, 21 (2015).
- 12. Larkin, J. et al. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. **373**, 23–34 (2015).
- 13. Wolchok, J. D. et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. **369**, 122–133 (2013).
- 14. Hammers, H. J. *et al.* Phase I study of nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* **32**, 5s (2014).
- 15. Antonia, S. J. et al. Nivolumab (anti-PD-1; BMS-936558, ONO-4538) and ipilimumab in first-line NSCLC: interim phase I results. J. Clin. Oncol. 32, 5s (2014).
- Callahan, M. K. *et al.* A phase 1 study to evaluate the safety and tolerability of MEDI4736, an anti–PD-L1 antibody, in combination with tremelimumab in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **32**, 5s (2014).
- Carthon, B. C. *et al.* Preoperative CTLA-4 blockade: tolerability and immune monitoring in the setting of a presurgical clinical trial. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 16, 2861–2871 (2010).

- O'Donnell, M. A. *et al.* The essential role of interferon-gamma during interleukin-12 therapy for murine transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *J. Urol.* 171, 1336–1342 (2004).
- Chen, L. & Han, X. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy of human cancer: past, present, and future. J. Clin. Invest. 125, 3384–3391 (2015).
- Summerhayes, I. C. & Franks, L. M. Effects of donor age on neoplastic transformation of adult mouse bladder epithelium *in vitro*. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 62, 1017–1023 (1979).
- 22. Hamid, O. *et al.* A prospective phase II trial exploring the association between tumor microenvironment biomarkers and clinical activity of ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. *J. Transl. Med.* **9**, 204 (2011).
- Peng, W. *et al.* PD-1 blockade enhances T-cell migration to tumors by elevating IFN-gamma inducible chemokines. *Cancer Res.* 72, 5209–5218 (2012).
- Ribas, A. *et al.* Intratumoral immune cell infiltrates, FoxP3, and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in patients with melanoma undergoing CTLA4 blockade. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 390–399 (2009).
- Simpson, T. R. *et al.* Fc-dependent depletion of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells co-defines the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 therapy against melanoma. *J. Exp. Med.* 210, 1695–1710 (2013).
- Talmadge, J. E., Meyers, K. M., Prieur, D. J. & Starkey, J. R. Role of NK cells in tumour growth and metastasis in beige mice. *Nature* 284, 622–624 (1980).
- Bendelac, A., Rivera, M. N., Park, S. H. & Roark, J. H. Mouse CD1-specific NK1 T cells: development, specificity, and function. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 15, 535–562 (1997).
- 28. Smyth, M. J. et al. Differential tumor surveillance by natural killer (NK) and NKT cells. J. Exp. Med. 191, 661–668 (2000).
- Lotze, M. T., Grimm, E. A., Mazumder, A., Strausser, J. L. & Rosenberg, S. A. Lysis of fresh and cultured autologous tumor by human lymphocytes cultured in T-cell growth factor. *Cancer Res.* 41, 4420–4425 (1981).
- Shankaran, V. *et al.* IFNgamma and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity. *Nature* **410**, 1107–1111 (2001).
- 31. Wang, A. et al. The stoichiometric production of IL-2 and IFN-gamma mRNA defines memory T cells that can self-renew after adoptive transfer in humans. Sci. Transl. Med. 4, 149ra120 (2012).
- Gubin, M. M. et al. Checkpoint blockade cancer immunotherapy targets tumour-specific mutant antigens. Nature 515, 577–581 (2014).
- 33. Walzer, T., Arpin, C., Beloeil, L. & Marvel, J. Differential *in vivo* persistence of two subsets of memory phenotype CD8 T cells defined by CD44 and CD122 expression levels. *J. Immunol.* 168, 2704–2711 (2002).
- Kaech, S. M. et al. Selective expression of the interleukin 7 receptor identifies effector CD8 T cells that give rise to long-lived memory cells. Nat. Immunol. 4, 1191–1198 (2003).
- Kondrack, R. M. et al. Interleukin 7 regulates the survival and generation of memory CD4 cells. J. Exp. Med. 198, 1797–1806 (2003).
- Surh, C. D. & Sprent, J. Homeostasis of naive and memory T cells. *Immunity* 29, 848–862 (2008).
- Das, R. *et al.* Combination therapy with anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 leads to distinct immunologic changes *in vivo*. *J. Immunol.* **194**, 950–959 (2015).
- Topalian, S. L., Drake, C. G. & Pardoll, D. M. Targeting the PD-1/B7-H1 (PD-L1) pathway to activate anti-tumor immunity. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 24, 207–212 (2012).
- Perna, S. K. *et al.* Interleukin-7 mediates selective expansion of tumorredirected cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) without enhancement of regulatory T-cell inhibition. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20, 131–139 (2014).
- Andersson, A. *et al.* IL-7 promotes CXCR3 ligand-dependent T cell antitumor reactivity in lung cancer. *J. Immunol.* 182, 6951–6958 (2009).
- Heninger, A. K. et al. IL-7 abrogates suppressive activity of human CD4 + CD25 + FOXP3 + regulatory T cells and allows expansion of alloreactive and autoreactive T cells. J. Immunol. 189, 5649–5658 (2012).
- Pellegrini, M. *et al.* Adjuvant IL-7 antagonizes multiple cellular and molecular inhibitory networks to enhance immunotherapies. *Nat. Med.* 15, 528–536 (2009).
- Sportes, C. et al. Administration of rhIL-7 in humans increases in vivo TCR repertoire diversity by preferential expansion of naive T cell subsets. J. Exp. Med. 205, 1701–1714 (2008).
- 44. Rosenberg, S. A. *et al.* IL-7 administration to humans leads to expansion of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ cells but a relative decrease of CD4⁺ T-regulatory cells. *J. Immunother.* 29, 313–319 (2006).
- Topalian, S. L. et al. Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 366, 2443–2454 (2012).
- Johnson, C. B. et al. Effector CD8⁺ T-cell Engraftment and Antitumor Immunity in Lymphodepleted Hosts Is IL7Ralpha Dependent. Cancer Immunol. Res. 3, 1364–1374 (2015).

ARTICLE

- Bulliard, Y. *et al.* Activating Fc gamma receptors contribute to the antitumor activities of immunoregulatory receptor-targeting antibodies. *J. Exp. Med.* 210, 1685–1693 (2013).
- Simpson, T. R., Quezada, S. A. & Allison, J. P. Regulation of CD4 T cell activation and effector function by inducible costimulator (ICOS). *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 22, 326–332 (2010).
- Oshima, S. *et al.* Interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) and IRF-2 distinctively up-regulate gene expression and production of interleukin-7 in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24, 6298–6310 (2004).
- Belyaev, N. N. *et al.* Induction of an IL7-R(+)c-Kit(hi) myelolymphoid progenitor critically dependent on IFN-gamma signaling during acute malaria. *Nat. Immunol.* 11, 477–485 (2010).
- Colpitts, S. L., Dalton, N. M. & Scott, P. IL-7 receptor expression provides the potential for long-term survival of both CD62Lhigh central memory T cells and Th1 effector cells during *Leishmania* major infection. *J. Immunol.* 182, 5702–5711 (2009).
- Mangsbo, S. M. et al. Enhanced tumor eradication by combining CTLA-4 or PD-1 blockade with CpG therapy. J. Immunother. 33, 225–235 (2010).
- Powles, T. et al. MPDL3280A (anti-PD-L1) treatment leads to clinical activity in metastatic bladder cancer. Nature 515, 558–562 (2014).
- Shi, L. Z. *et al.* Inhibitory role of the transcription repressor Gfi1 in the generation of thymus-derived regulatory T cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, E3198–E3205 (2013).
- Herbst, R. S. et al. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. Nature 515, 563–567 (2014).
- 56. Tumeh, P. C. *et al.* PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* **515**, 568–571 (2014).
- Twyman-Saint Victor, C. et al. Radiation and dual checkpoint blockade activate non-redundant immune mechanisms in cancer. Nature 520, 373–377 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank other members in the Sharma and Allison lab for constructive discussions. We would like to acknowledge the Immunotherapy Platform at MD Anderson Cancer Center for assistance with obtaining appropriate samples from patients on clinical studies. P.S. and J.P.A. are members of the Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy at M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. This study was supported by the SU2C-CRI-AACR Dream Team Grant in Cancer Immunotherapy (P.S. and J.P.A.), NCI/NIH 1-R01 CA1633793-01 (P.S.), and Cancer Prevention Research in Texas Individual Investigator grant, RP120108 (P.S.).

Author contributions

L.Z.S. designed and did the experiments with cells and mice, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript; F.T. designed and did the experiments with cells and mice, analyzed data and contributed to writing the manuscript; B.G., J.C. and L.X. did the experiments with cells and mice; J.B. did IHC, data analysis of human bladder tumour samples and contributed to writing the manuscript; J.P.A. contributed to overall data analyses and manuscript construction; S.K.S. and J.G. contributed to clinical data collection and analysis; PS was responsible for overall experimental design and supervision for laboratory studies, data analyses and manuscript editing.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: Drs Sharma and Allison are founders of Jounce Therapeutics. Dr Sharma also serves as a consultant for Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Amgen and Glaxo SmithKline. Dr Allison is an inventor of intellectual property owned by the UC-Berkeley, and licensed to BMS and has received royalties from BMS. Dr Allison is also inventor of intellectual property owned by Memorial-Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and licensed to Merck. All other authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Shi, L. Z. *et al.* Interdependent IL-7 and IFN- γ signalling in T-cell controls tumour eradication by combined α -CTLA-4 + α -PD-1 therapy. *Nat. Commun.* 7:12335 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12335 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016