Mendelian randomization study shows no causal effects of serum urate levels on the risk of MS

Adil Harroud, MD, J. Brent Richards, MD, MSc, and Sergio E. Baranzini, PhD

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8:e920. doi:10.1212/NXI.000000000000920

Abstract

Objective

To examine whether lifelong genetically increased serum urate levels, a potent antioxidant, contribute to MS susceptibility using Mendelian randomization (MR).

Methods

This 2-sample MR study included 25 independent genetic variants strongly associated with serum urate levels in a genome-wide association study meta-analysis of 140,949 individuals. Effects on the risk of MS were assessed with summary statistics from 3 large-scale MS genetic data sets totaling 61,667 MS cases and 86,806 controls from the International MS Genetic Consortium. Multiple sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the assumptions of MR and remove potentially pleiotropic variants.

Results

Using inverse-variance weighted MR, we found no evidence for a causal effect of serum urate level on the risk of MS in any of the cohorts (MS1: OR 0.99 per each mg/dL unit increase in urate, 95% CI 0.89–1.08, p = 0.76; MS2: OR = 0.99, 95% CI 0.89–1.11, p = 0.90; MS3: OR = 1.00, 95% CI 0.98–1.2, p = 0.91). Pleiotropy robust MR methods yielded consistent estimates.

Conclusion

This MR study does not support a clinically relevant causal effect of serum urate levels on the risk of MS.

Go to Neurology.org/NN for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the authors.

Correspondence Dr. Baranzini sergio.baranzini@ucsf.edu

From the Department of Neurology (A.H., S.E.B.), University of California San Francisco, California; Weill Institute for Neurosciences (A.H., S.E.B.), University of California San Francisco, California; Centre for Clinical Epidemiology (J.B.R.), Department of Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Department of Human Genetics (J.B.R.), McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Department of Epidemiology (J.B.R.), McGill University Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Department of Epidemiology (J.B.R.), Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Department of Epidemiology (J.B.R.), King's College London, United Kingdom; Institute for Human Genetics (S.E.B.), University of California San Francisco, California; and Bakar Computational Health Sciences Institute (S.E.B.), University of California San Francisco, California; and Bakar Computational Health Sciences Institute (S.E.B.), University of California San Francisco, California; San Francisco, California.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

GIANT = Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits; **GUGC** = Global Urate Genetic Consortium; **IMSGC** = International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium; **IVW** = inverse-variance weighted; **MHC** = major histocompatibility complex; **MR** = Mendelian randomization; **MR-PRESSO** = Mendelian Randomization Pleiotropy RESidual Sum and Outlier; **SNP** = single nucleotide polymorphism; **LD** = linkage disequilibrium.

Urate, the anionic form of uric acid, is the final metabolite of purine metabolism in humans. Urate acts as a potent free radical scavenger and accounts for 60% of the antioxidant capacity in plasma.¹ Evidence involving oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of MS² and proposed neuroprotective effects of urate¹ have motivated the investigation into the role of urate in MS. Indeed, an early population study revealed lower than predicted co-occurrence of MS and gout, a condition characterized by hyperuricemia.³ In addition, several case-control studies³⁻¹² and 2 metaanalysis^{13,14} have reported lower serum urate levels in individuals with MS compared with healthy controls and other neurologic disorders. These data have led to the hypothesis that elevated serum urate may be protective against the development of MS. However, other casestudies have demonstrated controls discordant findings,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ with 1 prospective study suggesting that the association between lower serum urate and higher risk of MS could be due to bias from reverse causality.¹⁸ In addition, observational studies are also susceptible to residual confounding, which further limits causal inference.

Although randomized clinical trials would be ideal to provide evidence for or against a causal role for urate in MS, the few that have been performed have focused on disease outcomes among patients with MS rather than testing the proposed preventive effects.^{19–21} The latter question has population-wide implications, but such a trial would require prohibitively large sample sizes followed for an extended period of time and is probably not feasible. Therefore, the role of urate in the risk of developing MS remains unclear.²²

In the absence of such experimental evidence, Mendelian randomization (MR) can provide an alternative approach for causal inference. MR uses genetic associations to investigate the causal effect of a risk factor on an outcome.²³ This approach greatly reduces the likelihood of residual confounding because these genetic variants are randomly assigned at conception and relatively independent of socioeconomic and lifestyle characteristics that could bias transitional observational studies.²⁴ The fact that genotypes are not modifiable by disease onset also limits reverse causality.²³ Serum urate levels show a large heritable component estimated at 40%–60%.²⁵ As such, several genetic loci have been robustly associated with serum levels, including variants in urate transporters.²⁶ This makes MR ideally suited to resolve questions of causality involving serum urate levels, as recently shown in Parkinson disease.²⁷

In this article, we aimed to assess whether genetic predisposition toward lifelong higher serum urate levels alters the risk of developing MS. For this analysis, we undertook a 2-sample MR approach in 3 large genetic studies of MS susceptibly with up to 61,667 MS cases and 86,806 controls.

Methods

Genetic variants associated with serum urate

We identified genetic variants reliably associated with serum urate levels in a large genome-wide association study metaanalysis of up to 140,949 individuals from 48 cohorts.²⁶ Details of serum urate measurement for each of the cohorts have been described previously by the Global Urate Genetic Consortium (GUGC).²⁶ Summary statistics were retrieved for 29 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which were genome-wide significant in the meta-analysis. The effect of each allele on serum urate levels is presented in mg/dL and adjusted for age, sex, and study-specific covariates. To mitigate bias from population stratification,²⁸ all effect estimates were derived from individuals of European ancestry. We calculated linkage disequilibrium (LD) between each pair of variants to ensure that they are not correlated $(r^2 < 0.01)$ as this can lead to biased MR estimates.²⁹ We also excluded from analysis variants within the extended major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region, defined as base positions 24,000,000 to 35,000,000 on chromosome 6 (GRCh37).³⁰ This is because the strength of the association of this region with MS and its complex LD structure renders it susceptible to horizontal pleiotropy and violation of the MR assumptions.

MS genetic data

For each genetic variant associated with serum urate levels, we obtained corresponding effect estimates on MS susceptibility using summary statistics from 3 MS genetic studies by the International MS Genetics Consortium (IMSGC) and totaling 148,473 individuals (61,667 MS cases and 86,806 controls).^{30–32} Participants for each data set are presented in the table. The first data set (MS1) corresponds to the discovery cohort of the latest IMSGC meta-analysis and contains more than 8 million genotyped and imputed variants.³⁰ The 2 remaining data sets are identified by the genotyping array used for the majority of participants, namely the Immunochip³² (MS2) and Exome Chip (MS3).³¹ The former corresponds to the discovery cohort of IMSGC et al. 2013³² and lists 161,312 markers. The latter is the combined cohort from IMSGC et al. 2018,³¹ which focused on low frequency and rare variants (173,746 markers).

Table Characteristics of the genetic variants included in the Mendelian randomization analysis and their corresponding effects in the MS genetic data sets

				Urate ef (140,949 individu	fects als)	MS1 effects (14,802 cases and 26,703 controls)		MS2 effects (14,498 cases and 24,091 controls)				MS3 effects (32,367 cases and 36,012 controls)			
SNP	Nearest gene	Urate increasing allele	Other allele	Beta ^a	SE	Beta ^b	SE	Beta ^b	SE	Proxy SNP	r ²	Beta ^b	SE	Proxy SNP	r ²
rs10480300	PRKAG2	Т	С	0.035	0.006	-0.023	0.018	0.021	0.018	rs10224002	0.88	0.001	0.003	rs7805747	0.99
rs10821905	A1CF	A	G	0.057	0.007	0.029	0.021	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs11264341	TRIM46	С	Т	0.050	0.006	-0.036	0.017	-0.027	0.017	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs1171614	SLC16A9	С	Т	0.079	0.007	-0.005	0.019	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs1178977	BAZ1B	А	G	0.047	0.007	-0.018	0.021	-0.029	0.021	rs17145713	1.00	-0.010	0.004	rs17145713	1.00
rs12498742	SLC2A9	А	G	0.373	0.006	0.002	0.018	0.003	0.019	rs737267	0.90	0.002	0.003	rs734553	0.90
rs1260326	GCKR	Т	С	0.074	0.005	-0.009	0.016	-0.005	0.017	NA	NA	0.005	0.003	NA	NA
rs1394125	UBE2Q2	А	G	0.043	0.006	-0.024	0.018	NA	NA	NA	NA	-0.008	0.003	NA	NA
rs1471633	PDZK1	A	С	0.059	0.005	-0.031	0.018	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.004	0.004	rs12129861	0.61
rs17050272	INHBB	A	G	0.035	0.006	0.004	0.016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs17632159	TMEM171	G	С	0.039	0.006	-0.006	0.017	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs17786744	STC1	G	А	0.029	0.005	-0.007	0.016	NA	NA	NA	NA	-0.002	0.003	rs10109414	0.97
rs2078267	SLC22A11	С	Т	0.073	0.006	0.035	0.017	0.011	0.017	rs17300741	0.96	-0.004	0.003	NA	NA
rs2079742	BCAS3	Т	С	0.043	0.008	-0.012	0.022	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.001	0.004	rs9895661	0.61
rs2231142	ABCG2	Т	G	0.217	0.009	0.004	0.024	-0.007	0.027	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs2307394	ORC4L	С	Т	0.029	0.005	-0.002	0.016	-0.003	0.018	rs3768687	0.98	0.006	0.003	NA	NA
rs2941484	HNF4G	Т	С	0.044	0.005	-0.029	0.017	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs3741414	INHBC	С	Т	0.072	0.007	-0.006	0.018	-0.008	0.019	NA	NA	-0.006	0.004	rs2229357	1.00
rs478607	NRXN2	G	A	0.047	0.007	-0.003	0.021	NA	NA	NA	NA	-0.008	0.005	rs12273892	0.97
rs6598541	IGF1R	A	G	0.043	0.006	-0.003	0.016	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.004	0.003	rs4966020	0.93
rs6770152	SFMBT1	G	Т	0.044	0.005	0.038	0.017	0.039	0.017	rs9847710	0.99	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs7188445	MAF	G	A	0.032	0.005	0.045	0.017	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.011	0.008	rs17767419	0.94
rs7193778	NFAT5	С	Т	0.046	0.008	0.017	0.023	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
rs7224610	HLF	С	А	0.042	0.005	-0.008	0.016	-0.032	0.017	rs3829578	0.70	NA	NA	NA	NA

Continued

		נווב צבודכוור אמוומוונא וו	וכוממפמ ונו רוופ	Urate eff Urate eff (140,949 individua	ects Is)	MS1 effect cases and controls)	1011 212 2110 26,703	MS2 effe	esporia ects (14,4	98 cases and 24,	091	MS3 effe	cts (32,36	o (continuea) 7 cases and 36	,012
SNP	Nearest gene	Urate increasing allele	Other allele	Beta ^a	SE	Beta ^b	SE	Beta ^b	SE	Proxy SNP	r²	Beta ^b	SE	Proxy SNP	r²
rs729761	VEGFA	U	Т	0.047	0.006	-0.044	0.019	NA	AN	NA	AN	0.002	0.003	rs881858	0.78
Abbreviation ^a Change in s ^o Change in c	is: NA = not availabl erum urate levels ii odds of MS per effe	le; SE = standard error; SNP n mg/dL per effect allele. ct allele in respective cohort	= single nucleotio .s.	de polymori	ohism.										

All participants were of European ancestry. For each SNP, the effect size on MS susceptibility was aligned with respect to the urate increasing allele. All genetic data sets reported alleles on the forward strand, except the Immunochip data for which strand mismatches were reconciled. No palindromic variants were present. Participant overlap between the GUGC and IMSGC data sets was negligible and limited to controls (1.0% for MS1) or absent (MS2 and MS3), meaning that no bias should have been introduced.³³ When urate-associated variants were not present in either of the MS genetic data sets, we identified a proxy SNP in LD ($r^2 > 0.6$) using PLINK v1.9³⁴ and samples of European ancestry from 1000 Genomes phase 3.³⁵

MR analysis

For each of the MS data sets separately, we performed an inverse-variance weighted (IVW) 2-sample MR to estimate the effect of a genetically related unit increase in plasma urate levels (in mg/dL) on the odds of MS, using previously described methods.^{36,37} In brief, we weighted the effect of each variant on MS susceptibility by its effect on serum urate using the Wald ratio method.³⁷ These individual MR estimates were then combined into a summary measure using random effect meta-analysis with inverse-variance weighting. There is no participant overlap between the MS1 and MS2 cohorts, but there is at least partial overlap between the MS2 and MS3 study samples.³⁸ Therefore, we combined the IVW MR results from the MS1 and MS2 data sets (but not MS3) in a fixed effect meta-analysis. To ensure that the overall MR results were not driven by any particular variant, we iteratively removed each SNP and recalculated the IVW MR estimate in each of the data sets.

A fundamental assumption of MR is that the genetic variants associated with the exposure phenotype (serum urate) must not affect the risk of the outcome phenotype (MS) through independent pathways. Presence of such pathways is known as horizontal pleiotropy and can bias the MR estimates. For each of the MS data sets, we investigated the robustness of our main results to pleiotropy by applying 3 established MR sensitivity methods with complementary attributes.³⁹ First, we formally examined for overall horizontal pleiotropy using the MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) Global test.³⁸ This method compares the observed distance of all variants to the regression line of the SNP-outcome effects on SNP-exposure effects with the expected distance under the null hypothesis of no horizontal pleiotropy. We also applied the MR-PRESSO outlier test using the imputed MS1 data set to identify and exclude from all analyses outlier and thus potentially pleiotropic variants.³⁸ Second, we applied MR-Egger regression, a weighted linear regression of the SNPoutcome effects on the SNP-exposure effects allowing for the intercept to be estimated.⁴⁰ This intercept provides a measure of average pleiotropic bias. The slope coefficient gives an unbiased estimate even in the presence of pleiotropic effects, as long as the size of these pleiotropic effects is independent of the effect of the SNP on the exposure. Third, we performed a

weighted median analysis, which provides consistent estimates robust to the presence of pleiotropy in a subset (<50%) of the variants.⁴¹ In addition, we inspected funnel plots of the individual MR estimates against their precision.⁴² Asymmetry on this plot is indicative of directional horizontal pleiotropy.⁴⁰

All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 3.6.0). The alpha level for statistical significance was set to 0.05.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

The data sources used in this study (GUGC and IMSGC) obtained informed consent from all participants.^{26,30–32} Separate institutional review board approval was not required for this study.

Data availability

Summary level genetic data for serum urate levels and MS susceptibility are publicly available through their respective consortia. In addition, the data used to generate the main results are available in the table

Results

Selection of genetic variants

Of the 29 genome-wide significant variants for serum urate in the GUGC meta-analysis, none were in LD. We excluded a single SNP in the extended MHC region (rs1165151 in SLC17A1), leaving 28 variants. Next, we applied the MR-PRESSO outlier test using the MS1 data set and identified 3 potentially pleiotropic variants (rs642803 near OVOL1, rs653178 near ATXN2, and rs675209 near RREB1). These were excluded from all subsequent analyses. We estimated the remaining 25 variants to explain approximately 6.7% of the variance in serum urate levels, with 3.4% explained by SLC2A9 and ABCG2 alone.²⁶ All 25 urate-associated variants were available in the MS1 data set for assessing the genetic association with MS susceptibility. Both MS2 (using Immunochip) and MS3 (using Exome Chip) studies have lower genome coverage and no imputed variants. Therefore, we were able to identify 11/25 variants in MS2, 4 directly present and 7 via proxies (median $r^2 = 0.96$), as well as 15/25 variants in MS3, 4 directly present and 11 via proxies (median r^2 = 0.94). The list of variants and proxies for each data set can be found in the table

MR analysis

The main IVW MR analysis did not provide evidence for a causal effect of serum urate levels on odds of MS in either of the MS data sets (MS1: OR per each mg/dL unit increase in serum urate was 0.99, 95% CI 0.89–1.08; MS2: OR = 0.99, 95% CI 0.89–1.11; MS3 OR = 1.00, 95% CI 0.98–1.2). Similarly, meta-analysis of the MS2 and MS3 results in a null effect with narrow CI (OR = 0.99, 95% CI 0.92–1.06) and no heterogeneity (Q = 0.01, p = 0.91; $I^2 = 0\%$). These results remained consistent after iteratively removing each individual

SNP from the IVW MR analysis (figures e-1 to e-3, links.lww. com/NXI/A349), including the strongest variants near *SLC2A9* and *ABCG2*.

There was weak evidence of horizontal pleiotropy on the MR-PRESSO Global test (p = 0.051) using the more complete MS1 data set. Similarly, the MR-Egger intercept was centered around the null and showed no evidence of directional pleiotropy across all MS case-control studies (MS1: intercept -0.01, p = 0.41; MS2: intercept -0.003, p = 0.73; MS3: intercept -0.001, p = 0.73). The pleiotropy robust methods (weighted median and MR-Egger) yielded effect estimates consistent with the main finding across all samples (figure 1). In addition, the funnel plots for each variant were symmetric and provided no evidence of directional horizontal pleiotropy (figure 2).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the causal relationship between serum urate levels and MS susceptibility, using a 2-sample MR design in 3 MS case-control studies with a cumulative sample size of 148,473 individuals (61,667 MS cases and 86,806 controls). The main results consistently found no effect of lifelong genetically related differences in urate levels on the risk of developing MS. The narrow CIs around this null effect afforded by our large sample size provide strong evidence against any clinically relevant influence. In addition, we applied several sensitivity analyses, each with different underlying assumptions, which found no evidence of pleiotropy and further reinforce the validity of the results. This suggests that the previously reported association between serum urate and MS risk could be attributed to residual confounding, reverse causality, or both.

Our findings conflict with the results of most case-control studies conducted on serum urate and MS. A previous study reported lower serum urate levels in MS when compared to noninflammatory neurologic disease controls. The same study also found that the rate of MS and gout co-occurrence was lower than expected by chance. A more recent meta-analysis of 1308 MS cases and 908 controls similarly reported lower serum urate levels in MS.¹⁴ However, there was considerable betweenstudy heterogeneity,¹⁴ and a recent umbrella review classified the evidence for an association between serum urate levels and MS as Class IV (weak).²² Importantly, those studies used urate measurements in prevalent MS cases. Therefore, they cannot determine whether the decrease in serum levels precedes MS onset or is a consequence thereof, rendering them susceptible to bias from reverse causality. In contrast, a small prospective case-control study, which measured serum urate levels years before MS symptom onset, found no association with the risk of MS.¹⁸ The same study also observed lower urate levels as the interval between sample collection and MS symptom onset decreased.¹⁸ In combination, these findings are consistent with the interpretation that higher serum urate levels are not

MS1 (n = 41,505)				OR (95% CI)	р	value	
IVW		-		0.98 (0.90–1.08)	(0.760	
Weighted median				1.00 (0.92–1.10)	(0.925	
MR-Egger (random)			_	1.02 (0.90–1.17)	(0.730	
MS2 (n = 38,589)							
IVW		-		0.99 (0.89–1.10)	(0.904	
Weighted median		+		1.00 (0.91–1.10)	().995	
MR-Egger (random)				1.01 (0.87–1.18)	(0.878	
MS1 + MS2 IVW	0			0.99 (0.92–1.06)	(0.758	
MS3 (n = 68,379)							
IVW				1.00 (0.98–1.02)	(0.906	
Weighted median		-		1.00 (0.99–1.02)	(0.593	
MR-Egger (random)				1.00 (0.97–1.04)	(0.754	
	0.85	1.00	1.20				The combined MS1 and MS2 data set IVW MR
	OR per 1	mg/dL increase					results were obtained after fixed-effects meta- analysis. IVW = inverse-variance weighted; MR =
	in plas	na urale (95% CI)					Mendelian randomization.

protective against MS, but rather a consequence of the MS disease process. This is in line with the results from our MR approach, which is robust to reverse causality. In addition, using genetic proxies of urate levels lowers the risk of confounding from diet and body weight, which were not routinely accounted for in previous observational studies.¹⁴

Indeed, serum urate levels are modulated in part by dietary purine consumption, particularly the intake of purine-rich food groups such as meat, seafood, and alcohol.⁴³ In addition, obesity is a risk factor for the development of hyperuricemia,

whereas weight loss in that group results in serum urate level reduction.⁴³ That said, a recent study combining food frequency questionnaire and genetic data from 5 US cohorts found that dietary patterns explained only 4.3% of variation in serum urate levels, whereas common genetic variants explained 23.9% (of which 7.9% was captured by the genomewide significant SNPs included in the present study).⁴⁴

Our study did not address the separate question of whether serum urate levels influence MS disease course. This has been explored in 3 small randomized clinical trials in relapsing-



Figure 2 Funnel plots of the individual MR estimates against their precision in each of the MS cohorts

remitting MS using inosine, an oral precursor of urate, which increases it serum levels.^{19–21} A first small study in 16 patients reported an improvement in EDSS scores at 1 year with inosine treatment compared with placebo.¹⁹ However, 2 subsequent clinical trials in 36 and 159 patients with relapsing-remitting MS, of 1- and 2-year duration, respectively, compared the combination of interferon β and inosine vs interferon β with placebo and did not found any effect on disability or other clinical and MRI metrics.^{20,21} These results are not directly comparable to our research findings.

Our study has some limitations. First, while the use of summary statistics in a 2-sample MR design maximized statistical power, it precluded sex-stratified analyses. However, despite women having generally lower serum urate levels, the association with MS in case-control studies was similar between sexes.¹⁴ Second, this study did not differentiate between MS subtypes. Although a small study reported higher serum urate levels in 11 primary progressive MS cases compared with other subtypes,⁴⁵ others have found no difference.¹³ Third, the estimates reported in our study assume a linear effect between the risk factor and outcome. Last, the possibility of horizontal pleiotropy cannot be entirely excluded. Nevertheless, we undertook several sensitivity analyses, which found no evidence of pleiotropy. In addition, we excluded variants in the MHC region and those showing outlier effects using an established method.

In conclusion, we provide strong evidence against a causal effect of serum urate levels on the risk of MS. This helps inform the role of this metabolite in MS pathogenesis and indicates that approaches to increase urate levels would not be effective in preventing disease while potentially leading to adverse events.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the IMSGC and GUGC for access to their summary statistics data.

Study funding

A. Harroud is funded by the NMSS-ABF Clinician Scientist Development Award from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMSS) and the Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada (MSSC) FAN-1808-32256. J.B. Richards is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), the Canadian Foundation for Innovation and the Fonds de Recherche du Québec—Santé (FRQS). J.B. Richards is funded by an FRQS Clinical Research Scholarship and received research support from the NMSS and the MSSC. TwinsUK is funded by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, European Union, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)funded BioResource, Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London.

Disclosure

The authors report no disclosures. Go to Neurology.org/NN for full disclosures.

Publication history

Received by *Neurology: Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation* May 3, 2020. Accepted in final form October 2, 2020.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Adil Harroud, MD	University of California San Francisco, San Francisco	Designed and conceptualized the study; acquisition of the data; analyzed the data; interpreted the data; and drafted the manuscript for intellectual content
J. Brent Richards, MD MSc	McGill University, Montreal, Canada	Interpreted the data and revised the manuscript for intellectual content
Sergio E. Baranzini, PhD	University of California San Francisco, San Francisco	Designed and conceptualized the study; interpreted the data; and drafted the manuscript for intellectual content

References

- Petruzzo M, Moccia M. Time to reconsider urate: neuroprotective potential may prevail on cardiovascular risk in animal models and clinical trials. EBioMedicine 2018; 37:5–6.
- Gilgun-Sherki Y, Melamed E, Offen D. The role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis: the need for effective antioxidant therapy. J Neurol 2004;251: 261–268.
- Hooper DC, Spitsin S, Kean RB, et al. Uric acid, a natural scavenger of peroxynitrite, in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:675–680.
- Toncev G, Milicic B, Toncev S, Samardzic G. Serum uric acid levels in multiple sclerosis patients correlate with activity of disease and blood-brain barrier dysfunction. Eur J Neurol 2002;9:221–226.
- Sotgiu S, Pugliatti M, Sanna A, et al. Serum uric acid and multiple sclerosis. Neurol Sci 2002;23:183–188.
- Spitsin S, Hooper DC, Mikheeva T, Koprowski H. Uric acid levels in patients with multiple sclerosis: analysis in mono- and dizygotic twins. Mult Scler 2001;7:165–166.
- Rentzos M, Nikolaou C, Anagnostouli M, et al. Serum uric acid and multiple sclerosis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2006;108:527–531.
- Peng F, Zhang B, Zhong X, et al. Serum uric acid levels of patients with multiple sclerosis and other neurological diseases. Mult Scler 2008;14:188–196.
- Zamani A, Rezaei A, Khaeir F, Hooper DC. Serum and cerebrospinal fluid uric acid levels in multiple sclerosis patients. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2008;110:642–643.
- Dujmovic I, Pekmezovic T, Obrenovic R, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid and serum uric acid levels in patients with multiple sclerosis. Clin Chem Lab Med 2009;47:848–853.
- 11. Zoccolella S, Tortorella C, Iaffaldano P, et al. Low serum urate levels are associated to female gender in multiple sclerosis patients. PLoS One 2012;7:e40608.
- 12. Moccia M, Lanzillo R, Palladino R, et al. Uric acid: a potential biomarker of multiple sclerosis and of its disability. Clin Chem Lab Med 2015;53:753–759.
- 13. Liu B, Shen Y, Xiao K, Tang Y, Cen L, Wei J. Serum uric acid levels in patients with multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. Neurol Res 2012;34:163–171.
- Wang L, Hu W, Wang J, Qian W, Xiao H. Low serum uric acid levels in patients with multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica: an updated meta-analysis. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2016;9:17–22.
- Mostert JP, Ramsaransing GS, Heersema DJ, Heerings M, Wilczak N, De Keyser J. Serum uric acid levels and leukocyte nitric oxide production in multiple sclerosis patients outside relapses. J Neurol Sci 2005;231:41–44.
- Kastenbauer S, Kieseier BC, Becker BF. No evidence of increased oxidative degradation of urate to allantoin in the CSF and serum of patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 2005;252:611–612.
- Amorini AM, Petzold A, Tavazzi B, et al. Increase of uric acid and purine compounds in biological fluids of multiple sclerosis patients. Clin Biochem 2009;42:1001–1006.

- Massa J, O'Reilly E, Munger KL, Delorenze GN, Ascherio A. Serum uric acid and risk of multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 2009;256:1643–1648.
- 19. Markowitz CE, Spitsin S, Zimmerman V, et al. The treatment of multiple sclerosis with inosine. J Altern Complement Med 2009;15:619–625.
- Munoz Garcia D, Midaglia L, Martinez Vilela J, et al. Associated Inosine to interferon: results of a clinical trial in multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand 2015;131:405–410.
- Gonsette RE, Sindic C, D'Hooghe MB, et al. Boosting endogenous neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis: the ASsociation of Inosine and Interferon beta in relapsingremitting Multiple Sclerosis (ASIIMS) trial. Mult Scler 2010; 16: 455–462.
- Li X, Meng X, Timofeeva M, et al. Serum uric acid levels and multiple health outcomes: umbrella review of evidence from observational studies, randomised controlled trials, and Mendelian randomisation studies. BMJ 2017;357:j2376.
- Smith GD, Ebrahim S. "Mendelian randomization": can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:1–22.
- Smith GD, Lawlor DA, Harbord R, Timpson N, Day I, Ebrahim S. Clustered environments and randomized genes: a fundamental distinction between conventional and genetic epidemiology. PLoS Med 2007;4:e352.
- Krishnan E, Lessov-Schlaggar CN, Krasnow RE, Swan GE. Nature versus nurture in gout: a twin study. Am J Med 2012;125:499–504.
- Kottgen A, Albrecht E, Teumer A, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 18 new loci associated with serum urate concentrations. Nat Genet 2013;45:145–154.
- Kia DA, Noyce AJ, White J, et al. Mendelian randomization study shows no causal relationship between circulating urate levels and Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 2018;84:191–199.
- Price AL, Zaitlen NA, Reich D, Patterson N. New approaches to population stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Rev Genet 2010;11:459–463.
- Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG, Consortium EI. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol 2015;30:543–552.
- International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium. Multiple sclerosis genomic map implicates peripheral immune cells and microglia in susceptibility. Science 2019; 365:eaav7188.
- International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium. Low-frequency and rarecoding variation contributes to multiple sclerosis risk. Cell 2018;175:1679–1687 e7.

- International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium; Beecham AH, Patsopoulos NA, Xifara DK, et al. Analysis of immune-related loci identifies 48 new susceptibility variants for multiple sclerosis. Nat Genet 2013;45:1353–1360.
- Burgess S, Davies NM, Thompson SG. Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization. Genet Epidemiol 2016; 40: 597–608.
- Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience 2015;4:7.
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 2015;526:68–74.
- Dastani Z, Hivert MF, Timpson N, et al. Novel loci for adiponectin levels and their influence on type 2 diabetes and metabolic traits: a multi-ethnic meta-analysis of 45,891 individuals. PLoS Genet 2012;8:e1002607.
- Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. Stat Med 2008;27:1133–1163.
- Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nat Genet 2018;50:693–698.
- 39. Hemani G, Bowden J, Davey Smith G. Evaluating the potential role of pleiotropy in Mendelian randomization studies. Hum Mol Genet 2018;27:R195–R208.
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:512–525.
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol 2016;40:304–314.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–634.
- 43. Torralba KD, De Jesus E, Rachabattula S. The interplay between diet, urate transporters and the risk for gout and hyperuricemia: current and future directions. Int J Rheum Dis 2012;15:499–506.
- Major TJ, Topless RK, Dalbeth N, Merriman TR. Evaluation of the diet wide contribution to serum urate levels: meta-analysis of population based cohorts. BMJ 2018;363:k3951.
- Piancone F, Saresella M, Marventano I, et al. Monosodium urate crystals activate the inflammasome in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Front Immunol 2018;9:983.