

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. **ScienceDirect** 





# Shining a spotlight on the dangerous consequences of conspiracy theories

Daniel Jolley<sup>1</sup>, Mathew D. Marques<sup>2</sup> and Darel Cookson<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has illuminated how conspiracy beliefs—that explain important events as the secret actions of the powerful—can severely impact health choices (such as reduced infection-prevention behaviours). However, the consequences of conspiracy beliefs span far beyond the topic of COVID-19. This review shines a spotlight on how conspiracy beliefs could impact public and personal health (e.g., vaccine uptake), democratic citizenship (e.g., political engagement), intergroup relations (e.g., prejudice and discrimination), and may inspire violence and extremism. We argue that conspiracy beliefs are likely to have the power to mobilise citizens in ways detrimental to a smooth-running society. We conclude the review by offering a range of fruitful avenues for future investigation.

#### Addresses

<sup>1</sup> University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> La Trobe University, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Jolley, Daniel (daniel.jolley@nottingham.ac.uk)

#### Current Opinion in Psychology 2022, 47:101363

This review comes from a themed issue on **Consumer Psychology** (2022)

Edited by Bob Fennis and Derek Rucker

For complete overview about the section, refer Consumer Psychology (2022)

Available online 28 May 2022

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2022.101363

2352-250X/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### Keywords

Conspiracy theories, Consequences, Health, Democracy, Prejudice, Violence, Extremism.

## Introduction

Over the last couple of years, parallel with the COVID-19 pandemic, we have seen a COVID-19 infodemic rife with conspiracy theories [1]. Conspiracy theories can be defined as "attempts to explain the ultimate causes of significant social and political events and circumstances with claims of secret plots by two or more powerful actors" ([2], p. 4). COVID-19

conspiracy theories include claims that the virus is a hoax, made up to exert control over an unsuspecting public, and that the virus was developed in China as a bioweapon to fulfil a hidden agenda [3]. It is often assumed that this is indicative of conspiracy theorising being 'on the rise' today (e.g., see Ref. [4] for a commentary). However, conspiracy theories have long been a part of history. Whispers of conspiracy theories were reported during the great fire of Rome in AD 64, where people were suspicious that Emperor Nero happened to be out of Rome when the fire began [5]. When considering the historical course of conspiracy theorising, some scholars have argued that conspiracy theories may actually be less popular today than they have been throughout history (e.g., Ref. [6]). Nonetheless, history shows us that belief in conspiracy theories can surge during times of societal crisis (i.e., rapid political change and virus outbreaks, [5]), with COVID-19 thus being described as a 'perfect storm' for conspiracy beliefs [7].

Research investigating why people might hold conspiracy beliefs has developed steadily over the last 15 years, with findings suggesting that conspiracy beliefs are adopted when important psychological needs are not being met, such as experiences of anxiety, uncertainty and threat [8]. If conspiracy beliefs are alluring as a means to address psychological needs, then a positive consequence of endorsing these beliefs could be assumed to remedy negative feelings. To test this, Liekefett and colleagues [9] conducted two longitudinal studies over a 6-week and 1-year time frame, tracking individuals' conspiracy beliefs, anxiety, uncertainty aversion and threat perception. Findings revealed that conspiracy beliefs did not reduce anxiety, uncertainty aversion, or existential threat, and there was some evidence that it increased these negative feelings and reinforced conspiracy beliefs. Concertedly, emerging research demonstrates that appealing positives of conspiracy endorsement are overshadowed by evidence of the dangerous consequences of these beliefs [10]. Whilst the COVID-19 pandemic has illuminated how conspiracy beliefs can severely impact our health choices (e.g., Ref. [11]), the influence of conspiracy beliefs is far-reaching. This article offers an overview of the current understanding of the consequences of conspiracy beliefs that span beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.

# Public and personal health

There has been a tidal wave of interest by researchers to explore the links between COVID-19 conspiracy theories and health behaviours. Ripp and Roer [11], in a systematic review performed in February 2021, found twelve studies examining COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs and preventative behaviour and ten measuring COVID-19 vaccination willingness (see Ref. [12] for a similar systematic review). Results consistently uncovered a negative relationship between COVID-19 related conspiracy beliefs, vaccination willingness, and infectionprevention behaviours (see also [13] for a metaanalysis). Importantly, these associations were uncovered in a variety of countries, such as the United Kingdom (UK) [14], Italy [15], France [16], Kuwait and Jordan [17]. Whilst there is a growing evidence base from multiple countries, there is a dearth of evidence speaking to causality. However, consistent with correlational findings, a longitudinal study by Bierwiaczonek et al. [18] provided some causal evidence that COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs reduced adherence to social distancing guidelines over a one-month period.

Whilst attention to COVID-19 conspiracy theories has been warranted, a spotlight should also be shone on other notable health-related consequences. Experimental evidence shows that exposure to anti-vaccine conspiracy theories reduces both intentions to vaccinate children [19,20] and to personally receive the HPV vaccine [21]. Further, in a sample of British White gay males, experiences of discrimination based on sexual orientation were associated with heightened belief in HIV conspiracy theories that propose HIV is humanmade. In turn, conspiracy beliefs were associated with less favorability towards Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP), a bio-medical therapy for those at high risk of HIV exposure [22]. However, the impact of conspiracy theories is not only reserved for biomedical therapies. Natoli and Marques [23] found that exposure to antidepressant conspiracy theories (i.e., the pharmaceutical industry secretly places importance on chemical solutions over natural alternatives for profit) reduced participants' intention to seek help, an effect explained by decreased trust in health authorities. The research above illustrates how conspiracy beliefs can influence the acceptance of medicines and health interventions beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.

# **Democratic citizenship**

Belief in conspiracy theories can have seemingly opposite consequences on democratic actions. Imhoff et al. [24] experimentally demonstrated that conspiracy beliefs decrease normative action (such as voting and legal demonstration) but increase non-normative behaviours (such as refusal to pay taxes and committing violence against a person in power). Similar findings from a twowave panel across five democracies have showcased how conspiracy beliefs are linked with reduced intention to vote in an election, an effect indirectly explained by reduced external efficacy [25] (see also political powerlessness [26]). However, emerging work has showcased how, in certain circumstances, conspiracy beliefs could *lead* to normative political engagement (i.e., voting). In a sample of Italians, Mancosu et al. [27] demonstrated that conspiracy theorising measured shortly before the 2016 constitutional referendum predicted whether individuals reported that they had voted 'Yes' (i.e., voting to oppose the reform, measured shortly after the referendum). Similarly, Jolley et al. [28] found that conspiracy beliefs held by British participants specific to the 2016 European Union (EU) referendum in the UK (measured one week before) predicted having voted to leave the EU (measured immediately after).

In helping to explain this mismatch between action/ non-action of normative political engagement, Kim [29] experimentally demonstrated that when people encounter a conspiracy theory that directly targets a group, such a belief can promote engagement in politics. In other words, when there is a clear conspirator (e.g., another political party) who is targetting a specific group, people believe that they can play a role in calling out this behaviour through normative political activities. The emerging research highlights how conspiracy beliefs may precede political behaviours and, worryingly, could be activated by communication strategies ahead of an electoral campaign.

## Intergroup relations

Conspiracy beliefs not only have practical consequences (e.g., reduced pro-environmental intentions [26]) but can interfere with intergroup relations. For example, holding such beliefs can lead to distancing from the wider community. Bilewicz et al. [30] found that participants endorsing conspiracy theories of the Smolensk plane crash preferred to distance themselves from conspiracy non-believers. Similarly, non-conspiracy believers also preferred greater distance from conspiracy believers. Thus, an unintended consequence of conspiracy theories is their potential to increase further the social exclusion of believers [31], which may lead an individual further down the rabbit hole when seeking out their newfound community [32].

Not only can conspiracy beliefs lead to social exclusion, but they can change how perceived conspirators are viewed. A rich evidence base has demonstrated that conspiracy theories about Jewish domination of the world are associated with anti-Semitic attitudes (e.g., Refs. [33-35]). Recently, Jolley et al. [36] extended this work experimentally by showing that exposure to intergroup conspiracy theories directly increased prejudice towards the target group (i.e., immigrants). Importantly, they also uncovered how prejudice towards several secondary outgroups (e.g., Asians, Americans) who were not involved in the alleged conspiracy theories increased. Jolley et al. [36] proposed that this effect is due to an attitude generalisation mechanism, where prejudice towards one group generalises to other, uninvolved outgroups.

## Violence and extremism

With such an intergroup dimension to conspiracy beliefs, it is not surprising that links between conspiracy beliefs and extremism exist. Early commentary by Barlett and Miller [37] argued that conspiracy theories play an important social and functional role for extremist groups, where they act as a "radicalizing multiplier" (p. 4). This point was underscored by a Federal Bureau of Investigation report in 2019 of fifty-two lone terrorists showing that twenty-four offenders (46%) discussed or consumed information about conspiracy theories [38]. Importantly, such links have also been uncovered in empirical data. In an experimental study, Imhoff and colleagues [24] found participants were more supportive of violent extremism (e.g., commit a violent attack on a person in power) when participants took the perspective that a few powerful groups control society and that politicians were controlled by these disguised powers (high conspiracy vs society experiencing little or no conspiracies).

Consistent with such experimental evidence [24], Rottweiler and Gill [39] showed that general conspiracy theorising is positively related to violent extremist intentions. However, they also provided evidence that the relationship is contingent on several individual difference variables-specifically, the relationship is more pronounced for individuals who reported lower selfcontrol, hold weaker law-relevant morality and score higher in self-efficacy. In understanding the link between conspiracy beliefs and violent reactions, Jolley and Paterson [40] have uncovered that conspiracy theorising was associated with feelings of state anger, which was associated with justification and willingness for violence. Interestingly, they also found the relationship between anger and violent responses was stronger for those scoring higher on paranoia. In sum, by believing that a powerful group is acting in secret against one's interests, such a belief is likely to mobilise citizens in ways detrimental to a smooth-running society.

# **Conclusion and future directions**

While there is a strong evidence base for the psychological underpinning of conspiracy beliefs, less is known about the consequences [9]. This article has illuminated a range of consequences of conspiracy theorising, from impacting global issues such as vaccine uptake to worsening intergroup relations. However, the literature is in short supply of experimental and longitudinal designs, limiting the causal claims that can be concluded. Whilst there is evidence that the cross-sectional findings are consistent with findings that can speak to causality, more longitudinal and experimental work is needed. Further, the available longitudinal work has mainly focused on a few measurements over a short (e.g., 4-time points over 6 weeks [8]; 5-time points over 5 weeks [17]) or longer (e.g., 4-time points over 12 months [8]; 2-time points over 8 months [41]) periods. Future research assessing the changes in and consequences of conspiracy belief using both more measurement occasions and over a longer time period would provide greater fidelity in modeling different trajectories for classes of individuals or groups who may be more (or less) susceptible to the effects of conspiracy theorising. In addition to methodological refinements, there are several other fruitful avenues for future investigation. For example, research understanding the impact of conspiracy beliefs on science scepticism [42] and the rejection of technologies is increasingly important. The refutation of knowledge generated by scientific inquiry because of a belief that it is being advanced as part of a conspiracy erodes support for scientific solutions to pressing and complex issues such as climate change and food security.

In examining the unique prediction of facets of general conspiracy belief on the rejection of scientific innovations, Marques et al. [43] found evidence for the association that individuals who believe that there are secret cabals that control global events and conspiracies related to the suppression of information by organisations are less comfortable with genetically modified food. Similarly, Wilks et al. [44] found that conspiracy beliefs were strongly associated with opposition to cultured meat. A recent experimental study [45] found that exposure to climate change conspiracy theory rhetoric reduced belief in anthropogenic climate change when the issue was framed around a novel technological solution (i.e., carbon capture), but was less impactful when framed as attacking a federal climate change report, suggesting the impact of climate change conspiracy theories may be greater when audiences are less familiar with a technology. Whether agricultural science, environmental issues, or another emerging technological domain (e.g., artificial intelligence in health monitoring), public support may rise and fall with the tide of conspiracy theories portraying the dangers and hidden agendas behind the development and implementation of advances in science and technology.

Moreover, conspiracy theories can have a prominent place in war, such as in the Russian-Georgian War [46]. Thus, the Russian-Ukrainian War has been a fertile ground for conspiracy beliefs to bloom. Popular conspiracy theories in 2022 included the idea that many high-level Ukrainian government officials are Nazis or that the Ukrainian government is releasing fake videos of bomb attacks and blaming the attacks on Russia (i.e., false flag attacks) [47]. As we have documented in this review, evidence consistently shows that conspiracy beliefs can foster prejudice, undermine support for adversaries, and increase support for violent actions. Conspiracy theories about the Russian-Ukrainian War will likely foster similar outcomes. This conflict underscores the ongoing relevance and importance of understanding the psychology of conspiracy theories as a weapon in the arsenal of future interventions to redress the consequences of conspiracy beliefs.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

Nothing declared.

### References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- \* of special interest
- \*\* of outstanding interest
- Olatunji OS, Ayandele O, Ashirudeen D, Olaniru OS: "Infodemic" in a pandemic: COVID-19 conspiracy theories in an African country. Soc Health Behav 2020, 3:152, https://doi.org/10.4103/ SHB.SHB\_43\_20.
- Douglas KM, Uscinski JE, Sutton RM, Cichocka A, Nefes T, Ang CS, Deravi F: Understanding conspiracy theories. *Polit Psychol* 2019, 40:3–35, https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12568.
- Douglas KM: COVID-19 conspiracy theories. Group Process Intergr Relat 2021, 24:270–275, https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1368430220982068.
- 4. Drochon H: *The conspiracy theory bubble*. Persuasion; 2021. https://www.persuasion.community/p/the-conspiracy-theory-bubble. Accessed 13 April 2022.
- van Prooijen J-W, Douglas KM: Conspiracy theories as part of history: the role of societal crisis situations. *Mem Stud* 2017, 10:323–333, https://doi.org/10.1177/1750698017701615.
- 6. Butter M. The nature of conspiracy theories. Polity Books; 2020.
- Miller JM: Psychological, political, and situational factors combine to boost COVID-19 conspiracy theory beliefs. *Can J Polit Sci* 2020, 53, https://doi.org/10.1017/S000842392000058X.
- Douglas KM, Sutton RM, Cichocka A: The psychology of conspiracy theories. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2017, 26:538–542, https:// doi.org/10.1177/0963721417718261.
- Liekefett L, Christ O, Becker JC: Can conspiracy beliefs Be beneficial? Longitudinal linkages between conspiracy beliefs, anxiety, uncertainty aversion, and existential threat. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull* 2021, https://doi.org/10.1177/ 01461672211060965 [in press].

The authors ran two longitudinal studies (6-week and 1-year time frame) to explore whether conspiracy beliefs were not only appealing but psychologically satisfying. They found no evidence that conspiracy beliefs satisfy psychological needs (e.g., anxiety, uncertainty), and there was some evidence that it increased these negative feelings and reinforced conspiracy beliefs.

- Douglas KM: Are conspiracy theories harmless? Spanish J Psychol 2021, 24:e13, https://doi.org/10.1017/SJP.2021.10.
- 11. Ripp T, Röer JP: Systematic review on the association of COVID-19-related conspiracy belief with infection-preventive behavior and vaccination willingness. *BMC Psychol* 2022, 10: 66, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00771-2.
- van Mulukom V, Pummerer LJ, Alper S, Bai H, Čavojová V,
   Farias J, Kay CS, Lazarevic LB, Lobato EJC, Marinthe G, *et al.*: Antecedents and consequences of COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs: a systematic review. Soc Sci Med 2022, 301:114912, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114912.

The authors provide a mammoth synthesis of COVID-19 conspiracy belief research from 85 international articles (published by March 2021). They identify a range of antecedents (such as lack of control, feelings of uncertainty and anxiety) and consequences (such as adherence to hygiene, physical distance and mask-wearing government guidelines) linked with COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs.

- Bierwiaczonek K, Gundersen AB, Kunst JR: The role of conspiracy beliefs for COVID-19 health responses: a meta-analysis. Curr Opin Psychol 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.copsyc.2022.101346.
- Garry J, Ford R, Johns R: Coronavirus conspiracy beliefs, mistrust, and compliance: taking measurement seriously. *Psychol Med* 2020:1–11, https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291720005164.
- 15. Prati G: Intention to receive a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in Italy and its association with trust, worry and beliefs about the origin of the virus. *Health Educ Res* 2020, **35**:505–511, https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyaa043.
- Bertin P, Nera K, Delouvée S: Conspiracy beliefs, rejection of vaccination, and support for hydroxychloroquine: a conceptual replication-extension in the Covid-19 pandemic context. *Front Psychol* 2020, 11:2471, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyq.2020.565128.
- Sallam M, Dababseh D, Eid H, Al-Mahzoum K, Al-Haidar A, Taim D, Yaseen A, Ababneh NA, Bakri FG, Mahafzah A: High rates of Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy and its association with conspiracy beliefs: a study in Jordan and Kuwait among other Arab countries. Vaccines 2021, 9:42, https://doi.org/ 10.3390/vaccines9010042.
- Bierwiaczonek K, Kunst JR, Pich O: Belief in COVID-19 conspiracy theories reduces social distancing over time. *Appl Psychol Health Well-Being* 2020, 12:1270–1285, https://doi.org/ 10.1111/aphw.12223.
- Jolley D, Douglas KM: The effects of anti-vaccine conspiracy theories on vaccination intentions. *PLoS One* 2014, 9, e89177, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089177.
- Jolley D, Douglas KM: Prevention is better than cure: addressing anti-vaccine conspiracy theories. J Appl Soc Psychol 2017, 47:459–469, https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12453.
- Chen L, Zhang Y, Young R, Wu X, Zhu G: Effects of vaccinerelated conspiracy theories on Chinese young adults' perceptions of the HPV vaccine: an experimental study. *Health Commun* 2021, 36:1343–1353, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10410236.2020.1751384.

In an experimental design, findings demonstrated that exposure to antivaccine conspiracy theories reduced HPV vaccine intentions. Vaccine knowledge moderated the effect of exposure to vaccine intentions. That is, the results showcased that pre-existing knowledge about the HPV vaccine may offer a protective effect against exposure to conspiracy theories.

- 22. Jolley D, Jaspal R: Discrimination, HIV conspiracy theories and pre-exposure prophylaxis acceptability in gay men. Sex Health 2020, 17:525, https://doi.org/10.1071/SH20154.
- 23. Natoli EE, Marques MD: The antidepressant hoax: conspiracy theories decrease health-seeking intentions. *Br J Soc Psychol* 2021, 60:902–923, https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12426.
- 24. Imhoff R, Dieterle L, Lamberty P: Resolving the puzzle of conspiracy worldview and political activism: belief in secret plots decreases normative but increases nonnormative political engagement. Soc Psychol Personal Sci 2021, 12:71–79, https:// doi.org/10.1177/1948550619896491.
- Ardèvol-Abreu A, Zúñiga HG de, Gámez E: The influence of conspiracy beliefs on conventional and unconventional forms of political participation: the mediating role of political efficacy. Br J Soc Psychol 2020, 59:549–569, https://doi.org/ 10.1111/bjso.12366.
- Jolley D, Douglas KM: The social consequences of conspiracism: exposure to conspiracy theories decreases intentions to engage in politics and to reduce one's carbon footprint. Br J Psychol 2014, 105:35–56, https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12018.

- Mancosu M, Ladini R, Vassallo S: Political consequences of conspiratorial thinking: evidence from 2016 Italian constitutional referendum. *Acta Politic* 2021, 56:69–88, https://doi.org/ 10.1057/s41269-019-00146-3.
- Jolley D, Douglas KM, Marchlewska M, Cichocka A, Sutton RM: Examining the links between conspiracy beliefs and the EU "Brexit" referendum vote in the UK: evidence from a twowave survey. J Appl Soc Psychol 2022, 52:30–36, https:// doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12829.
- 29. Kim Y: How conspiracy theories can stimulate political engagement. *J Elections, Public Opin Parties* 2022, **32**:1–21, https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2019.1651321.
- Bilewicz M, Witkowska M, Pantazi M, Gkinopoulos T, Klein O: Traumatic rift: how conspiracy beliefs undermine cohesion after societal trauma? *Eur J Psychol* 2019, 15:82–93, https:// doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v15i1.1699.
- Lantian A, Muller D, Nurra C, Klein O, Berjot S, Pantazi M: Stigmatized beliefs: conspiracy theories, anticipated negative evaluation of the self, and fear of social exclusion. *Eur J Soc Psychol* 2018, 48:939–954, https://doi.org/10.1002/ ejsp.2498.
- Tang L, Fujimoto K, Amith M (Tuan), Cunningham R, Costantini RA, York F, Xiong G, Boom JA, Tao C: "Down the rabbit hole" of vaccine misinformation on youtube: network exposure study. J Med Internet Res 2021, 23, e23262, https:// doi.org/10.2196/23262.
- Golec de Zavala A, Cichocka A: Collective narcissism and anti-Semitism in Poland. Group Process Intergr Relat 2012, 15: 213–229, https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430211420891.
- Imhoff R, Bruder M: Speaking (Un-)Truth to power: conspiracy mentality as a generalised political attitude: conspiracy mentality. *Eur J Pers* 2014, 28:25–43, https://doi.org/10.1002/ per.1930.
- Swami V: Social psychological origins of conspiracy theories: the case of the Jewish conspiracy theory in Malaysia. Front Psychol 2012, 3, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00280.
- Jolley D, Meleady R, Douglas KM: Exposure to intergroup conspiracy theories promotes prejudice which spreads across groups. Br J Psychol 2020, 111:17–35, https://doi.org/ 10.1111/bjop.12385.

In three studies using two intergroup contexts (immigrants and people of the Jewish faith), the authors provided experimental evidence that exposure to intergroup conspiracy theories can directly increase prejudice and discrimination. They also provided evidence for an attitude generalisation effect, whereby Jewish conspiracy theories led to increased prejudice towards the implicated group and other uninvolved outgroups.

 Bartlett J, Miller C: The power of unreason: conspiracy theories, extremism and counter-terrorism. Demos; 2020.

- Richards L, Molinaro P, Wyman J, Craun S: Lone offender terrorism report. Federal Bureau of Investigation; 2019, https:// doi.org/10.1177/1948550619896491.
- Rottweiler B, Gill P: Conspiracy beliefs and violent extremist intentions: the contingent effects of self-efficacy, self-control and law-related morality. *Terrorism Polit Violence* 2020, https:// doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2020.1803288.

Using the first nationally representative survey to explore conspiracy inspired violence, this research uncovers that conspiracy theorising is linked to increased violent extremist intentions. Importantly, this relationship is conditional on several individual differences variables, such as lower self-control.

- Jolley D, Paterson JL: Pylons ablaze: examining the role of 5G COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs and support for violence. Br J Soc Psychol 2020, 59:628–640, https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12394.
- van Prooijen J-W, Etienne TW, Kutiyski Y, Krouwel APM: Conspiracy beliefs prospectively predict health behavior and well-being during a pandemic. *Psychol Med* 2021, https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721004438.
- Rutjens BT, Heine SJ, Sutton RM, van Harreveld F: Attitudes towards science. In Advances in experimental social psychology. Elsevier; 2018:125–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/ bs.aesp.2017.08.001.
- Marques MD, Kerr JR, Williams MN, Ling M, McLennan J: Associations between conspiracism and the rejection of scientific innovations. *Publ Understand Sci* 2021, 30:854–867, https://doi.org/10.1177/09636625211007013.

In two representative samples in Australia and New Zealand, the authors provide evidence for the unique associations between facets of general belief in conspiracy theories and anti-scientific attitudes. The results suggest that specific facets of conspiracy belief predict the rejection of heterogeneous scientific innovations such as childhood vaccination, GMOs for food, and 5G networks.

- Wilks M, Phillips CJC, Fielding K, Hornsey MJ: Testing potential psychological predictors of attitudes towards cultured meat. *Appetite* 2019, 136:137–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.appet.2019.01.027.
- Bolsen T, Palm R, Kingsland JT: Effects of conspiracy rhetoric on views about the consequences of climate change and support for direct carbon capture. *Environ Commun* 2022, 16: 209–224, https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2021.1991967.
- Sakwa R: Conspiracy narratives as a mode of engagement in international6 politics: the case of the 2008 Russo-Georgian war. Russ Rev 2012, 71:581–609, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9434.2012.00670.x.
- Orth T, Frankovic K: Which groups of Americans believe conspiracy theories about Ukraine and Russia? YouGov; 2022. https://today.yougov.com/topics/politics/articles-reports/2022/03/ 30/which-groups-believe-conspiracies-ukraine-russia. Accessed 25 April 2022.