



Risk of Herpes Zoster in Relation to Body Mass Index Among Residents Aged ≥50 Years: The Shozu Herpes Zoster Study

Kazuhiro Kawahira^{1,2}, Hironori Imano¹, Keiko Yamada^{1,3,4}, Yukiko Takao¹, Yasuko Mori⁵, Hideo Asada⁶, Yoshinobu Okuno⁷, Koichi Yamanishi⁸, and Hiroyasu Iso^{1,9}

¹Public Health, Department of Social Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

²Cosmo Medical Clinic, Osaka, Japan

³Department of Psychology, McGill University, Québec, Canada

⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Juntendo University Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

⁵Graduate School of Medicine, Center for Infectious Diseases, Kobe University, Hyogo, Japan

⁶Department of Dermatology, Nara Medical University School of Medicine, Nara, Japan

⁷Osaka Institute of Public Health, Osaka, Japan

⁸The Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

⁹Department of Public Health Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Received September 29, 2020; accepted January 21, 2021; released online February 13, 2021

ABSTRACT

- **Background:** The impact of body mass index on incidence of herpes zoster is unclear. This study investigated whether body mass index was associated with a history of herpes zoster and incidence during a 3-year follow-up, using data from a prospective cohort study in Japan.
- **Methods:** In total, 12,311 individuals were included in the cross-sectional analysis at baseline, of whom 1,818 with a history of herpes zoster were excluded from the incidence analysis, leaving 10,493 individuals. Body mass index (kg/m²) was classified into three categories (underweight: <18.5; normal: 18.5 to <25; and overweight: \geq 25). To evaluate the risk of herpes zoster, we used a logistic regression model for prevalence and a Cox proportional hazard regression model for incidence.
- **Results:** Being overweight or underweight was not associated with herpes zoster prevalence at baseline. The multivariate hazard ratios of herpes zoster incidence for overweight versus normal-weight groups were 0.67 (95% confidence interval, 0.51–0.90) in all participants, and 0.57 (95% confidence interval, 0.39–0.83) in women, with no significant difference for men.
- **Conclusion:** Being overweight was associated with a lower incidence of herpes zoster than being normal weight in older Japanese women.

Key words: herpes zoster; body mass index; overweight; cohort study

Copyright © 2021 Kazuhiro Kawahira et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Varicella-zoster virus is the pathogen that causes herpes zoster (HZ) and typically causes varicella rash. Reactivation of latent varicellazoster virus dominant in dorsal root ganglia occurs after its primary infection.^{1,2} The incidence and severity of HZ are known to develop because of the reduction of immunological function with age.³

The epidemiology of incidence and risk factors for HZ has been assessed by several studies in the United Kingdom,⁴ United States,^{5,6} Canada,⁷ and Japan.⁸ However, most of the studies were cross-sectional, except for one Japanese cohort study.⁸ Risk factors for HZ have been reported to be age, sex, ethnicity, genetic susceptibility, cell-mediated immune disorders, mechanical trauma, and psychological stress.^{6,9} Physicians and primary school teachers, who had repeatedly contact with varicella patients, had a lower risk of developing HZ, probably because their cell-mediated immunity was enhanced.^{6,10} Use of varicella vaccine to enhance

varicella-zoster virus-specific cell-mediated immunity has been shown to prevent the development of HZ in older people.^{11,12} A previous study of 290 volunteers aged 40–45 years showed that body mass index (BMI) was positively associated with selected cell-mediated immunity parameters.¹³ We therefore hypothesized that BMI may have some impact on the development of HZ.

The Shozu Herpes Zoster (SHEZ) Study was a 3-year prospective cohort study that aimed to determine the incidence and risk factors for HZ among a general Japanese population.¹⁴ In this study, we used data from the SHEZ Study to investigate whether BMI was associated with HZ prevalence at baseline and incidence during follow-up.

METHODS

Study cohort

The SHEZ Study is a 3-year community-based prospective cohort

Address for correspondence. Hiroyasu Iso, Public Health, Department of Social Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka, Japan (e-mail: iso@pbhel.med.osaka-u.ac.jp).

study in Shozu County, Kagawa Prefecture. It aimed to examine the incidence and risk factors for HZ. The detailed methods of this study have been described previously.¹⁴ This study used data from the SHEZ Study, which was conducted in accordance with the Ethical Guidelines for Epidemiological Research and the Ethical Guideline for Clinical Studies after obtaining written informed consent from all participants. The SHEZ Study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Research Foundation for Biomedical Diseases of Osaka University, Osaka University (ethical approval number 18384), the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, and Nara Medical University.

Study population

The study participants were all residents of Shozu County (Shodoshima and Teshima Islands in Kagawa Prefecture) who were recruited to this study from December 2008, as described previously.¹⁴ HZ is commonly observed in older people,^{1,15} and therefore the study only included people aged ≥ 50 years. From a total of 19,058 (8,424 men and 10,634 women) residents, 12,522 (5,587 men and 6,935 women) aged ≥50 years were registered in the SHEZ Study. Of these, 211 individuals (95 men and 116 women) with no BMI data were excluded from this study. This left 12,311 individuals (5,492 men and 6,819 women) for inclusion in the cross-sectional analysis for prevalence of HZ at baseline. Of those 12,311 individuals, we further excluded 1,818 individuals (594 men and 1,224 women) with a history of HZ before the start of the study, leaving 10,493 individuals (4,898 men and 5,595 women) for inclusion in the analysis of incidence of HZ. The participants' enrollment process is shown in a flow chart (Figure 1).

During the 3-year follow-up, 584 people (338 men and 246 women) died, 58 people (18 men and 40 women) were lost to follow-up, 43 persons (18 men and 25 women) withdrew, 39

people (12 men and 27 women) moved away, and one woman developed dementia.

Baseline information obtained at enrollment

Participants were recruited between December 2008 and November 2009, and the baseline survey was conducted at registration. At the time of enrollment, each participant underwent an interview, and completed a self-administered health questionnaire including information about lifestyle habits (eg, smoking and drinking), and social/psychological factors. The interview was conducted by research physicians to collect information about past history of HZ and other diseases, and family history of HZ.^{14,16}

BMI was calculated as the body weight divided by the square of the height (both self-reported), expressed in units of kg/m². It was classified into three categories: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5 to <25 kg/m²) or overweight (\geq 25 kg/m²).

Surveillance for incidence of HZ

We conducted active surveillance for the incidence of HZ via monthly telephone surveys using an established script during the follow-up period.¹⁴ Participants were instructed to telephone the onsite study office if they showed any symptoms of herpes zoster, such as skin rash or pain, between the monthly telephone calls. The site office was open 24 hours a day. The study staff asked anyone with symptoms to visit a clinic or hospital for diagnosis and any treatment, and would accompany patients or meet them at the clinic or hospital. When a patient with suspected HZ visited a clinic or hospital without calling the study office, physicians at that clinic called the study office.

The research team evaluated pain, photographed skin areas with rash or pain, and collected venous blood to check for



Figure 1. Flow chart for the study

varicella-zoster virus using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis at the first visit. A final diagnosis was made by the outcome evaluation team of three dermatologists using this clinical information.

Statistical analysis

To examine differences in baseline characteristics of the participants by BMI category (underweight, normal weight, and overweight) in total participants and both sexes, we used Student's *t*-test for continuous variables, such as age and BMI, and the chi-square test for categorical variables, using the normal-weight group as a reference.

In the cross-sectional analysis for the prevalence of HZ at baseline, we used logistic regression analysis to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and identify any association between BMI category and a past history of HZ after adjustment for age and sex. We subsequently adjusted other confounding variables including smoking (\geq one cigarette per day or not), drinking (>23 g of alcohol per day or not), exercise habit (\geq 3 hours/week or not), walking (\geq 1 hour/day or not), sleep time (<6 hours/day or not), perceived mental stress (yes or no), having a positive or optimistic mindset/view (yes or no), and history of diabetes mellitus (yes or no). These were chosen as confounding variables, indicated from previous studies.^{4–9,14}

The incidence of HZ was described per 1,000 person-years, and Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted. The Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to obtain hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs of HZ incidence by BMI category. The reference group was the normal-weight group, and the analysis was adjusted for the same variables as in the cross-sectional analysis.

All statistical analyses were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software for Windows v. 9.1 (International Business Machines Corporation, Japan Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows total and sex-specific mean values and proportions of baseline characteristics by BMI category. For total participants, compared with the normal-weight group, the underweight group was older, drank alcohol ≥ 23 g ethanol per day, exercised ≥ 3 hours per week, walked ≥ 1 hour per day, had the lower proportions of people with a history of diabetes, and had a higher proportion of people with a history of autoimmune disease. In contrast, the overweight group had the lower proportions of current smokers and those who exercised ≥ 3 hours per week, walked ≥ 1 hour per day, slept for <6 hours a day and had a higher proportion of people with a history of diabetes. The group of overweight men was younger and contained a lower proportion of current smokers, and those who walked ≥ 1 hour per day, and a higher proportion of those sleeping <6 hours per day, with an optimistic mindset, and a history of diabetes mellitus than normal-weight men. Underweight men were older, and the group contained a lower proportion of those drank alcohol, exercised \geq 3 hours per week, walked \geq 1 hour per day, and had optimistic views than the group of normal-weight men. The group of overweight women contained a lower proportion who exercised

Table 1.	Total and	sex-specific	mean	values	and	proportions	s of
	baseline	characteristic	s by bo	ody ma	ss in	idex catego	ry

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Body mass index, kg/m ²				
Total Number of participants (n = 12,311) 981 8,408 2,922 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 72.3 (11.6° 21.9 (1.7) 27.3 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (11.6° 67.6 (10.5) 66.4 (9.9) ^c Current smoker, % 12.6 ^c 21.2 22.7 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 22.7 ^c 29.8 26.1 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 41.9 ^c 49.4 44.5 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	-	Underweight (<18.5)	Normal weight (18.5 to <25.0)	Overweight (≥25.0)		
Number of participants $(n = 12,311)$ 981 8,408 2,922 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.2 (1.1) ^c 21.9 (1.7) 27.3 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (11.6) ^c 67.6 (10.5) 66.4 (9.9) ^c Current smoker, % 12.6 ^c 21.2 22.7 Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 22.7 ^c 29.8 26.1 ^c Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 41.9 ^c 49.4 44.5 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, % 20.5 18.9 20.0 Optimistic mindset/views, % 41.6 ^c 52.1 57.0 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, % 6.8 ^a 9.0 13.5 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, % 6.8 ^a 9.0 13.5 ^c History of autoimmune disorders % 3.7 ^b 2.1 1.6 History of varicella, % 14.8 16.2 16.0 Men Number of participants $(n = 5,492)$ 336 3.732 1.424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 (0.9) ^c 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 (2.2) ^c Alcohol intake ≥ 23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 44.8.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, % 7.4 9.9 12.3 ^a Perceived mental stress, % 16.7 17.4 18.9 Optimistic mindset/views, % 44.5 ^c 52.3 55.4 ^a History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 2.4.5 ^c History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 2.4.5 ^c History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 2.6 Women Number of participants ($n = 6,819$) 645 4,676 1.498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, % 1.66 ^a 13.2 16.2 ^b Perceived mental stress, % 22.0 20.0 21.0 Optimistic mindset/views, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, % 5.9 7.3 12.6 ^c History of diabete	Total			_		
Number of participants (n = 6,819) 17.2 (1.1) ^c 21.9 (1.7) 27.3 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (11.6) ^c 67.6 (10.5) 66.4 (9.9) ^c Current smoker, % 16.8 17.9 16.2 ^a Alcohol intake ≥23 g/day, % 12.6 ^c 21.2 22.7 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 22.7 ^c 29.8 26.1 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 41.9 ^c 49.4 44.5 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	Number of participants $(n = 12, 311)$	981	8 408	2.922		
Subj. Max. mean (SD) 71.3 (11.6)° 67.6 (10.5) 66.4 (9.9)° Current smoker, % 16.8 17.9 16.2° Alcohol intake ≥23 g/day, % 12.6° 21.2 22.7 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 22.7° 29.8 26.1° Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 41.9° 49.4 44.5° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Body mass index kg/m^2 mean (SD)	$17.2(1.1)^{\circ}$	21.9 (1.7)	27 3 (2.3)°		
Age, y 1000,	Age, years, mean (SD)	72.3 (11.6)°	67.6 (10.5)	$66.4 (9.9)^{\circ}$		
Alcohol intake $\geq 23 g/day$, % 12.6° 21.2 22.7 Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 22.7° 29.8 26.1° Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 41.9° 49.4 44.5° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Current smoker. %	16.8	17.9	16.2ª		
Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 22.7° 29.8 26.1° Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 41.9° 49.4 44.5° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Alcohol intake $>23 \text{ g/day}$. %	12.6°	21.2	22.7		
Walking ≥ 1 hour/day, % 41.9° 44.5° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week. %	22.7°	29.8	26.1°		
Maining L. 1004 (dif), % 113 111 111 Sleep time <6 hours, %	Walking ≥ 1 hour/day %	41.9°	49.4	44 5°		
Detergine of bally, % 10.5 11.1 11.6 Perceived mental stress, % 20.5 18.9 20.0 Optimistic mindset/views, % 41.6° 52.1 57.0° History of diabetes mellitus, % 6.8ª 9.0 13.5° History of autoimmune disorders % 3.7 ^b 2.1 1.6 History of varicella, % 14.8 16.2 16.0 Men Number of participants (n = 5,492) 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9)° 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4)° Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2° Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6° 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6° 48.2 43.1° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Sleep time <6 hours %	13.5	11.7	14.3°		
Percented members biology 20.5 10.5 20.5 Optimistic mindset/views, % 41.6° 52.1 57.0° History of diabetes mellitus, % 6.8° 9.0 13.5° History of autoimmune disorders % 3.7° 2.1 1.6 History of varicella, % 14.8 16.2 16.0 Men Number of participants (n = 5,492) 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9)° 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4)° Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2° Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6° 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7° 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6° 48.2 43.1° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Perceived mental stress %	20.5	18.9	20.0		
bistory of diabetes mellitus, %6.8° 6.8°9.0 13.5°History of autoimmune disorders %3.7° 2.11.6History of varicella, %14.816.2Number of participants ($n = 5,492$)336 3363,7321,424Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)17.4 (0.9)° 22.1 (1.7)27.2 (2.2)° 27.2 (2.2)°Age, years, mean (SD)72.3 (10.9)° (6.9 (10.1))65.0 (9.4)° 65.0 (9.4)°Current smoker, %31.6° 32.543.3 32.5Alcohol intake >23 g/day, %31.6° 31.6°43.3 43.2Exercise ≥3 hours/week, %24.7° 32.532.5Sleep time <6 hours, %	Optimistic mindset/views %	41.6°	52.1	57.0°		
History of autoimnune disorders % 3.7 ^b 2.1 1.6 History of varicella, % 14.8 16.2 16.0 Men Number of participants ($n = 5,492$) 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 (0.9) ^c 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 (2.2) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9) ^c 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4) ^c Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2 ^c Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, % 7.4 9.9 12.3 ^a Perceived mental stress, % 16.7 17.4 18.9 Optimistic mindset/views, % 40.5 ^c 52.3 55.4 ^a History of autoimnune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women Number of participants ($n = 6,819$) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, % 11.6 ^c 41.3.2 16.2 ^b Perceived mental stress, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, % 5.9 7.3 12.6 ^c History of autoimnune disorders, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, % 5.9 7.3 12.6 ^c History of autoimnune disorders, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of varicella, % 15.8 19.7 19.2	History of diabetes mellitus %	6.8ª	9.0	13.5°		
History of varicella, % History of varicella, % 14.8 16.2 16.0 Men Number of participants ($n = 5,492$) 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9) ^c 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 (2.2) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9) ^c 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4) ^c Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2 ^c Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥ 3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, % 7.4 9.9 12.3 ^a Perceived mental stress, % 16.7 17.4 18.9 Optimistic mindset/views, % 40.5 ^c 52.3 55.4 ^a History of diabetes mellitus, % 8.6 11.2 14.5 ^c History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women Number of participants ($n = 6,819$) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, % 16.6 ^a 13.2 16.2 ^b Perceived mental stress, % 22.0 20.0 21.0 Optimistic mindset/views, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diatoimmune disorders, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diatoimmune disorders, % 41.9 ^c 51.9 51.9 58.4 ^c History of autoimmune disorders, % 4.7 ^b 2.8 2.2 History of autoimmune disorders, % 4.7 ^b 2.8 2.2 History of varicella, %	History of autoimmune disorders %	3.7 ^b	2.1	16		
Men 1.15 1.02 1.03 Men Number of participants ($n = 5,492$) 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 (0.9) ^c 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 (2.2) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9) ^c 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4) ^c Current smoker, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	History of varicella %	14.8	16.2	16.0		
Men Number of participants $(n = 5,492)$ 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 $(0.9)^c$ 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 $(2.2)^c$ Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 $(10.9)^c$ 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 $(9.4)^c$ Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2 ^c Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	Thistory of Valleena, //	11.0	10.2	10.0		
Number of participants $(n = 5,492)$ 336 3,732 1,424 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 $(0.9)^c$ 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 $(2.2)^c$ Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 $(10.9)^c$ 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 $(9.4)^c$ Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2 ^c Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	Men					
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.4 (0.9) ^c 22.1 (1.7) 27.2 (2.2) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (10.9) ^c 66.9 (10.1) 65.0 (9.4) ^c Current smoker, % 37.5 36.1 30.2 ^c Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 31.6 ^c 43.3 43.2 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 24.7 ^b 32.5 29.8 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6 ^c 48.2 43.1 ^c Sleep time <6 hours, %	Number of participants $(n = 5.492)$	336	3.732	1.424		
Aley, years, mean (SD)72.3 (10.9)c66.9 (10.1)65.0 (9.4)cCurrent smoker, %37.536.130.2cAlcohol intake >23 g/day, %31.6c43.343.2Exercise ≥3 hours/week, %24.7b32.529.8Walking ≥1 hour/day, %36.6c48.243.1cSleep time <6 hours, %	Body mass index, kg/m^2 , mean (SD)	$17.4 (0.9)^{\circ}$	22.1 (1.7)	$27.2 (2.2)^{\circ}$		
NextNextNextNextNextNextCurrent smoker, %37.536.130.2°Alcohol intake >23 g/day, %31.6°43.343.2Exercise ≥3 hours/week, %24.7°32.529.8Walking ≥1 hour/day, %36.6°48.243.1°Sleep time <6 hours, %	Age years mean (SD)	$72.3(10.9)^{\circ}$	66.9 (10.1)	$65.0(9.4)^{\circ}$		
Other Minimum	Current smoker %	37.5	36.1	30.2°		
Intervent intervent of the problem of the proble	Alcohol intake $>23 g/day \%$	31.6°	43.3	43.2		
Distribute 2- inditify frequence 2.1.7 32.0.5 22.0.5 Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 36.6° 48.2 43.1° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Exercise >3 hours/week %	24.7 ^b	32.5	29.8		
Warning ≥ 1 non/naty, % 50.0 40.2 4.7.1 Sleep time <6 hours, %	Walking >1 hour/day $\%$	2 1.7 36 6°	48.2	43.1°		
Botep line 10,17,17,4 18.9 Perceived mental stress, % 16,7 17,4 18.9 Optimistic mindset/views, % 40,5° 52,3 55,4° History of diabetes mellitus, % 8.6 11.2 14,5° History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women Number of participants (n = 6,819) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1)° 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3)° Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0)° 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0° 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6° 27.6 22.5° Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7° 50.2 45.8° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Sleep time ≤ 6 hours %	74	9.9	12.3ª		
Protective in indust bics, % 40.5° 52.3 55.4° Optimistic mindset/views, % 40.5° 52.3 55.4° History of diabetes mellitus, % 8.6 11.2 14.5° History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women Number of participants (n = 6,819) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1)° 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3)° Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0)° 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0° 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6° 27.6 22.5° Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7° 50.2 45.8° Sleep time <6 hours, %	Perceived mental stress %	16.7	17.4	18.9		
Optimized initiately form, <i>n</i> 10.5 52.5 55.1 History of diabetes mellitus, % 8.6 11.2 14.5 ^c History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Ontimistic mindset/views %	40.5°	52.3	55 4 ^a		
History of dubces members, % 1.8 1.1. 1.1 History of autoimmune disorders, % 1.8 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, % 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women Number of participants (n = 6,819) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	History of diabetes mellitus %	8.6	11.2	14.5°		
History of autominine disorders, $\%$ 1.0 1.1 1.1 History of varicella, $\%$ 12.8 11.7 12.6 Women 12.8 11.7 12.6 Number of participants ($n = 6,819$) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, $\%$ 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, $\%$ 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, $\%$ 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, $\%$ 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, $\%$ 16.6 ^a 13.2 16.2 ^b Perceived mental stress, $\%$ 22.0 20.0 21.0 Optimistic mindset/views, $\%$ 41.9 ^c 51.9 58.4 ^c History of diabetes mellitus, $\%$ 5.9 7.3 12.6 ^c History of varicella, $\%$ 15.8 19.7 19.2	History of autoimmune disorders %	1.8	11.2	11		
Women 12.5 11.7 12.5 Women Number of participants ($n = 6,819$) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	History of varicella %	12.8	1.1	1.1		
Women 500 multiple 500 mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 500 mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise \geq 3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking \geq 1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Thistory of varicena, <i>n</i>	12.0	11./	12.0		
Number of participants (n = 6,819) 645 4,676 1,498 Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD) 17.1 (1.1) ^c 21.8 (1.7) 27.4 (2.3) ^c Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Women					
Number of participants of participants(6)(6)(1)(Number of participants $(n = 6.819)$	645	4 676	1 498		
Age, years, mean (SD) 72.3 (12.0) ^c 68.1 (10.8) 67.8 (10.2) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Body mass index kg/m^2 mean (SD)	17 1 (1 1)°	21.8 (1.7)	$274(2.3)^{\circ}$		
Algebra (abs) 12.0 (12.6) 60.6 (10.8) 60.8 (10.8) Current smoker, % 6.0 ^b 3.5 3.0 Alcohol intake >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Age years mean (SD)	72 3 (12 0)°	68.1 (10.8)	67.8 (10.2)		
Current sindate >23 g/day, % 2.8 3.6 3.1 Exercise ≥3 hours/week, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Current smoker %	6 0 ^b	3 5	3.0		
Interview 1.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 21.6 ^b 27.6 22.5 ^c Walking ≥1 hour/day, % 44.7 ^b 50.2 45.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Alcohol intake $>23 g/day$ %	2.8	3.6	3.1		
Excluse \geq induct/day, %21.021.022.0Walking \geq 1 hour/day, %44.7 ^b 50.245.8 ^b Sleep time <6 hours, %	Exercise >3 hours/week %	21.6 ^b	27.6	22.5°		
Sleep time <6 hours, %	Walking ≥ 1 hour/day %	44 7 ^b	50.2	45.8 ^b		
Deceived mental stress, $\%$ 22.020.021.0Optimistic mindset/views, $\%$ 41.9°51.958.4°History of diabetes mellitus, $\%$ 5.97.312.6°History of autoimmune disorders, $\%$ 4.7b2.82.2History of varicella, $\%$ 15.819.719.2	Sleep time ≤ 6 hours %	16.6ª	13.2	16.2 ^b		
Optimistic mindset/views, % 21.6 20.6 21.6 History of diabetes mellitus, % 51.9 58.4° History of autoimmune disorders, % $4.7^{\rm b}$ 2.8 2.2 History of varicella, % 15.8 19.7 19.2	Perceived mental stress %	22.0	20.0	21.0		
History of diabetes mellitus, $\%$ 1.7 31.7 30.4 History of autoimmune disorders, $\%$ $4.7^{\rm b}$ 2.8 2.2 History of varicella, $\%$ 15.8 19.7 19.2	Ontimistic mindset/views %	41 9°	51.9	58 4 ^c		
History of autoimmune disorders, $\%$ 4.7 ^b 2.8 2.2 History of varicella, $\%$ 15.8 19.7 19.2	History of diabetes mellitus %	50	73	12.6°		
History of varicella % 15.8 19.7 19.2	History of autoimmune disorders %	4 7 ^b	2.8	2.0		
	History of varicella. %	15.8	19.7	19.2		

SD, standard deviation.

Difference from normal-weight group: ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$, ${}^{c}P < 0.001$.

 \geq 3 hours per week and walked \geq 1 hour per day, and a higher proportion of those sleeping <6 hours per day, having optimistic views, and a history of diabetes mellitus than normal-weight women. Underweight women were older, and the group had a higher proportion of current smokers, those sleeping <6 hours per day, and those with a history of autoimmune disorder, and a lower proportion who exercised \geq 3 hours per week, walked \geq 1 hour per day, and had optimistic views than the group of normalweight women.

Table 2 shows ORs (95% CIs) of past history of HZ by BMI category among all participants, men and women. The ORs of HZ prevalence for underweight and normal weight were statistically insignificant in all participants, men and women using both ageadjusted and multivariate-adjusted models. In all participants, the ORs of HZ prevalence for overweight compared with normal-weight groups were 1.17 (95% CI, 0.79–1.72) in the multivariate

Table 2.	Odds ratios of	past history	of herpes	zoster by b	ody mass ind	ex category i	n all partic	ipants, men and	women
								· · ·	

	Body mass index (kg/m ²)						
	Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight				
	(<18.5)	(18.5 to <25.0)	(≥25.0)				
Total participants ($n = 12,311$)	981	8,408	2,922				
Number of cases (prevalence, %)	135 (13.8)	1,257 (15.0)	426 (14.6)				
Age- and sex-adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	0.96 (0.48-1.89)	1.00	1.20 (0.82–1.76)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.90		0.34				
Multivariable odds ratio (95% CI) ^a	0.97 (0.49-1.92)	1.00	1.17 (0.79–1.72)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.93		0.43				
Men $(n = 5,492)$	336	3,732	1,424				
Number of cases (prevalence, %)	37 (11.0)	398 (10.7)	159 (11.2)				
Age-adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	1.80 (0.71-4.60)	1.00	1.28 (0.72-2.28)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.22		0.40				
Multivariable odds ratio (95% CI) ^a	1.82 (0.70-4.74)	1.00	1.30 (0.72-2.33)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.22		0.39				
Women $(n = 6,819)$	645	4,676	1,498				
Number of cases (prevalence, %)	98 (15.2)	859 (18.4)	267 (17.8)				
Age-adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	0.56 (0.20-1.59)	1.00	1.13 (0.67–1.88)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.28		0.66				
Multivariable odds ratio (95% CI) ^a	0.55 (0.19-1.58)	1.00	1.07 (0.63-1.80)				
<i>P</i> -value	0.27		0.81				

CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age, smoking, drinking, exercise, walking, sleep time, perceived mental stress, optimistic view, and history of diabetes mellitus, autoimmune disorder, and varicella.



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plots of HZ incidence during the 3-year observational period among the three body mass index groups in all participants, men, and women

model; the corresponding ORs were 1.30 (95% CI, 0.72–2.33) in men and 1.07 (95% CI, 0.63–1.80) in women.

Figure 2 shows the Kaplan-Meier estimates for HZ incidence during the 3-year observational period by BMI category. For all participants, the log-rank test showed a significant difference in the estimates between the normal-weight and overweight groups (P < 0.01), but there was no significant difference between the underweight and normal-weight groups.

In men, the result of the log-rank test was insignificant between the normal-weight and overweight groups (P = 0.43), although

Table 2	Hazard ration of incident har	nee Tester by	hadu	maga index	antonon	in all	norticinanta	monond	Iwaman
Table 5.		pes zusier by	bouy		calegoi	/ 111 all	participarits,	men anu	women

	Body mass index (kg/m ²)					
	Underweight (<18.5)	Normal weight (18.5 to <25.0)	Overweight (≥25.0)			
Total participants						
Person-years	2,368	21,178	7,482			
Number of cases	22	258	61			
Incidence, per 1,000 person-years	9.3	12.2	8.2			
Age- and sex-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.71 (0.45-1.09)	1.00	0.69 (0.52-0.92)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.12		0.01			
Multivariable hazard ratio (95% CI) ^a	0.72 (0.46-1.12)	1.00	0.67 (0.51-0.90)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.14		<0.01			
Men						
Person-years	813	9,874	3,788			
Number of cases	8	84	28			
Incidence, per 1,000 person-years	9.8	8.5	7.4			
Age-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	1.09 (0.52-2.27)	1.00	0.86 (0.56-1.35)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.82		0.52			
Multivariable hazard ratio (95% CI) ^a	1.19 (0.57–2.49)	1.00	0.86 (0.55-1.34)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.65		0.50			
Women						
Person-years	1,555	11,304	3,694			
Number of cases	14	174	33			
Incidence, per 1,000 person-years	9.0	15.4	8.9			
Age-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.58 (0.34-1.01)	1.00	0.60 (0.41-0.87)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.052		< 0.01			
Multivariable hazard ratio (95% CI) ^a	0.58 (0.34-1.01)	1.00	0.57 (0.39-0.83)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.06		< 0.01			

CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age, smoking, drinking, exercise, walking, sleep time, perceived mental stress, optimistic view, and history of diabetes mellitus, autoimmune disorder, and varicella.

the proportion of HZ incidence in the overweight group tended to be higher than in the normal-weight group (closed line in Figure 2). In women, the result of the log-rank test was significant between the normal-weight and overweight groups (P < 0.01), but insignificant between the normal-weight and underweight groups.

Table 3 shows HRs (95% CIs) of HZ incidence by BMI category in all participants, men and women. A test for non-proportionality showed the absence of time dependency, confirming proportionality. The HRs of HZ incidence for the underweight versus normal-weight groups were marginally significant in women, but not for all participants or men. The multivariate HRs for the underweight and overweight groups versus normal-weight group in women were 0.58 (95% CI, 0.34–1.01) and 0.57 (95% CI, 0.39–0.83), respectively.

DISCUSSION

In a large population-based study among over 10,000 residents aged \geq 50 years, we found no association between BMI and past history of HZ in cross-sectional analysis. In the cohort analysis, we found that the overweight groups for all participants and women had a lower incidence of HZ than the normal-weight groups, even after adjustment for potential confounding variables. Men showed a similar association, but it was not statistically

significant, partly because the smaller number of HZ cases in men that the test had lower statistical power. Underweight was associated with a lower incidence of HZ among women but not men. However, the multivariate HR of HZ for underweight versus normal-weight decreased with marginal significance, in part due to the small number of cases in the underweight group.

No previous studies have examined the association between BMI and the prevalence or incidence of HZ. Forbes et al investigated the risk factors for HZ prevalence through a case-control study of British adults aged ≥ 18 years (144,959 cases and 549,336 controls) using a primary case database, but did not describe an association between BMI and HZ.⁹

The lower risk of HZ associated with being overweight can be explained in part by a previous study, which found that enhanced cell-mediated immunology, expressed as higher CD4+ count and CD4+/CD8+ ratio, was positively correlated with BMI.¹³

The lack of association between BMI and past history of HZ in our cross-sectional analysis could be because of the use of BMI at the baseline, not at the time that symptoms of HZ occurred. BMI could change after a previous episode of HZ, so that this nondifferential misclassification may lead to dilution of the real association.

This study has three main strengths. First, it had a response rate of nearly 100% (98.3%), assuring unbiased results of community-dwelling individuals. Second, a prospective cohort study with a

large sample size of more than 10,000 enabled us to carry out a robust investigation of the possible association between being overweight and the risk of HZ. Third, diagnosis of HZ was accurately conducted by three dermatologists using both clinical signs and symptoms, and varicella-zoster virus identification from venous blood samples.

However, the present study also has some limitations. First, the participants' height and weight were collected using a self-report questionnaire and were not actually measured. However, BMI estimated using self-reported height and weight has been validated in a previous study of 1,823 Japanese aged 40–68 years, where the self-reported height, weight and BMI were highly correlated with measured values (r = 0.96 for height and weight, r = 0.92 for BMI). Differences in mean height, weight, and BMI for the actual versus self-reported measures were 0.2 to 0.3 cm, -0.4 to -0.1 kg, and -0.1 to -0.2 kg/m².¹⁷ Second, the association between being extremely overweight (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²), and the risk of HZ could not be examined in this study because there were just 272 participants and two HZ cases among people with BMI \geq 30 kg/m².

In Japan, the package insert for varicella vaccine was revised in 2004 to include elderly people with reduced immunity to varicella virus, and in March 2016, people over 50 years of age was added as vaccine targets. Our study suggests that overweight is unlikely raise risk of HZ, and thus target for vaccination can be set independent of BMI.

Conclusion

The SHEZ Study showed that being overweight was associated with a lower incidence of HZ among older adults in the general Japanese population than being normal weight. The association was more evident in women than men. Further studies will be required to determine the effects of being overweight on the reduction of risk of HZ.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Shoji Taniguchi of Osaka Central Hospital for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of the manuscript, and Dr Isao Muraki and Dr Cui Renzhe of Public Health, Department of Social and Environmental Medicine, Osaka University, Graduate School of Medicine, for their valuable advice. We also thank Melissa Leffler, MBA, from Edanz Group (https://en-authorservices.edansgroup.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare for Research on Publicly Essential Drugs and Medical Devices (fiscal year 2008–2010) (KHC1102) and Public-Private Sector Joint Research on Publicly Essential Drugs (fiscal year 2011–2012) (KHC1102) and research funds from the Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20200473.

REFERENCES

- Arvin AM. Varicella-zoster virus. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 1996;9:361– 381.
- 2. Rentier B, Piette J, Baudoux L, et al. Lessons to be learned from varicella-zoster virus. *Vet Microbiol.* 1996;53:55–66.
- Arvin A. Aging, immunity, and the varicella-zoster virus. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:2266–2267.
- Marin M, Harpaz R, Zhang J, Wollan PC, Bialek SR, Yawn BP. Risk factors for herpes zoster among adults. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2016;3:ofw119.
- Gauthier A, Breuer J, Carrington D, Martin M, Rémy V. Epidemiology and cost of herpes zoster and post-herpetic neuralgia in the United Kingdom. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2009;137:38–47.
- 6. Thomas SL, Hall AJ. What does epidemiology tell us about risk factors for herpes zoster? *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2004;4:26–33.
- Brisson M, Edmunds WJ, Law B, et al. Epidemiology of varicella zoster virus infection in Canada and the United Kingdom -CORRIGENDUM. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2015;143:1332.
- Shiraki K, Toyama N, Daikoku T, Yajima M; Miyazaki Dermatologist Society. Herpes zoster and recurrent herpes zoster. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2017;4:ofx007.
- Forbes HJ, Bhaskaran K, Thomas SL, Smeeth L, Clayton T, Langan SM. Quantification of risk factors for herpes zoster: population based case-control study. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2911.
- Salleras M, Domínguez A, Soldevila N, et al. Contacts with children and young people and adult risk of suffering herpes zoster. *Vaccine*. 2011;29:7602–7605.
- Cunningham AL, Lal H, Kovac M, et al; ZOE-70 Study Group. Efficacy of the herpes zoster subunit vaccine in adults 70 years of age or older. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:1019–1032.
- Lal H, Cunningham AL, Godeaux O, et al; ZOE-50 Study Group. Efficacy of an adjuvanted herpes zoster subunit vaccine in older adults. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:2087–2096.
- Ilavská S, Horváthová M, Szabová M, et al. Association between the human immune response and body mass index. *Hum Immunol*. 2012;73:480–485.
- Takao Y, Miyazaki Y, Onishi F, et al; SHEZ Study group. The Shozu Herpes Zoster (SHEZ) study: rationale, design, and description of a prospective cohort study. *J Epidemiol.* 2012;22:167–174.
- Schmader K, George LK, Burchett BM, Hamilton JD, Pieper CF. Race and stress in the incidence of herpes zoster in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1998;46:973–977.
- 16. Takao Y, Miyazaki Y, Okeda M, et al. Incidences of herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia in Japanese adults aged 50 years and older from a community-based prospective cohort study: The SHEZ Study. J Epidemiol. 2015;25:617–625.
- Kawada T. [Associations of reported and recorded height, weight and blood pressure]. *Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi*. 1994;41:1099– 1103.