Original Article

Viral Genotypes and Associated Risk Factors of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in India

Manash Pratim Sarma¹, Mohammad Asim¹, Subhash Medhi¹, Thayumanavan Bharathi², Richa Diwan¹, Premashis Kar¹

¹PCR Hepatitis Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, University of Delhi, New Delhi 110002, India

ABSTRACT

Objective This study aims to investigate the etiological relationship among hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and alcohol as risk factors in a cohort of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients from India. The clinical and biochemical profiles and tumor characteristics in the HCC cases were also evaluated.

Methods A total of 357 consecutive cases of HCC fulfilling the diagnostic criteria from the Barcelona–2000 EASL conference were included in the study. The blood samples were evaluated for serological evidence of HBV and HCV infection, viral load, and genotypes using serological tests, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, and restriction fragment length polymorphism.

Results The male/female ratio for the HCC cases was 5.87:1. Majority of the HCC patients (33.9%) were 50 to 59 years of age, with a mean age of 4 ± 13.23 years. More than half the cases (60.8%) had underlying cirrhosis at presentation. Among the HCC patients, 68.9% were HBV related, 21.3% were HCV related, 18.8% were alcoholic, and 18.2% were of cryptogenic origin. The presence of any marker positive for HBV increased the risk for developing HCC by almost 27 times [OR: 27.33; (12.87-60.0)]. An increased risk of 10.6 times was observed for HCC development for cases positive for any HCV marker [OR: 10.55; (3.13-42.73)]. Heavy alcohol consumption along with HCV RNA positivity in cirrhotic patients was found to be a risk for developing HCC by 3 folds [OR: 3.17; (0.37-70.71)].

Conclusions Patients of chronic HBV infection followed by chronic HCV infection were at higher risk of developing HCC in India. Chronic alcohol consumption was found to be a risk factor in cirrhotic cases only when it was associated with HCV RNA positivity. Most of the patients had a large tumor size (>5 cm) with multiple liver nodules, indicating an advanced stage of the disease thus making curative therapies difficult.

KEY WORDS: hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, risk factors

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the major causes of morbidity worldwide^[1]. It represents the third leading cause of cancer death in males and the fourth in females, with more than 600,000 deaths per year^[2]. The geographical distribution of HCC varies throughout the world, with an incidence ranging from 2.1 per 100 000 in Central America to 35.5 per 100 000 in Eastern Asia^[3]. Globally, three epidemiological zones have been defined according to the age-adjusted HCC incidence per 100 000 inhabitants per year: low (<5%), intermediate (5% to 15%), and high (>15%)^[4]. Levrero et al.^[5] reported that a geographical correlation exists between the incidence of HCC and the prevalence of chronic hepatitis

B and C viruses, suggesting that these two viral infections are the most important risk factors associated with HCC. In countries where hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is endemic (e.g., Japan and Egypt), a high prevalence of HCV infection is reported among people with HCC. Meanwhile, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is the major risk factor associated with the development of HCC in regions with large populations (e.g., China and Southern Asia) because of its high endemicity[1]. In addition to the viral infections largely implicated in HCC development, other factors associated with HCC are well documented. These factors include toxins (e.g., alcohol consumption) and drugs (e.g., aflatoxin and anabolic steroid use), cigarette smoking, metabolic liver diseases (e.g., hereditary hemochromatosis, and alpha1antitrypsin deficiency), and steatosis^[6,7]. Some of these factors have a direct carcinogenic role, whereas others interact by promoting fibrosis and cirrhosis^[8]. Recent studies found a significant association between non-insulin-dependent diabetes (NIDD or type II diabetes) and HCC, suggesting that diabetes is a potential risk factor for HCC development^[6,9].

²Department of Gastroenterology, Rajaji Government Hospital, Madurai 625020, India

India falls in the low HCC incidence zone^[10,11]. In most patients, the development of HCC is closely associated with liver cirrhosis. The three main causes of HCC are HBV, HCV, and alcohol, among which HBV seems to play a direct role in liver cell transformation^[12]. HCV was found in some HCC patients without cirrhosis^[13]; however, its carcinogenic role in the absence of cirrhosis is controversial. Although alcohol is proposed to cause HCC because it causes cirrhosis, its association with HCC in the absence of cirrhosis remains unknown^[14].

Little is known regarding the etiology and clinical, biochemical, and radiological profiles of HCC cases and their survival data from India. This study aims to investigate the risk factors of HCC and the clinical, biochemical, and radiological profiles of 357 HCC cases from India.

Patients and Methods

A total of 357 HCC cases were included in the study during the period between 2003 and 2010. Cases from the medicine OPD of Lok Nayak Hospital (New Delhi) and from the gastroenterology OPD of Rajaji Hospital (Madurai, India) were included. The diagnoses of HCC and chronic hepatitis were based on the criteria from the Barcelona-2000 EASL conference and from the recommendation of AASLD 2009 updated guidelines, respectively[15]. Cirrhosis was diagnosed based on morphological and clinical criteria, as well as ultrasound or Computed Tomography (CT), according to standard definitions^[16]. An equal number (357) of age and sex-matched cases of chronic hepatitis and cirrhosis of liver without HCC served as the control group. A second control group including 120 healthy cases without any history of liver diseases was used to compare the risk factors associated with HCC.

Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committees of both centers. Blood samples for serological analysis were collected, and the participants were interviewed using a standard questionnaire that included questions about clinical symptoms and medical history. All clinical, biochemical, serological, radiological, and cytohistological details were noted from the case records.

The cases were evaluated based on history, physical examination, and liver function profile. Serological tests for the detection of hepatitis B and C and estimation of serum α -fetoprotein (AFP) levels were performed using commercially available third-generation enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Specialized investigation included endoscopy, abdominal ultrasound, triphasic CT, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Genotyping and quantification of HBV and HCV were conducted using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and real time PCR, respectively.

Serological examination

HBsAg and IgG anti-HBc were detected with enzyme immunoassay (EIA; Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL). Samples positive for HBsAg or anti-HBc antibodies or both were considered positive for HBV. Anti-HCV was detected with HCV EIA version 3.0 (Abbott Laboratories). Liver function tests of all the samples were estimated using an auto-analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Serum AFP levels were determined using an Immulite-100 automated immunoassay system (Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, CA, USA).

HBV detection and genotyping

HBV DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc, Chatsworth, CA) and detected by PCR using primers specific for the S and pre-C/C regions of the HBV genome. Serum HBV-DNA levels were quantified using a branched-DNA assay (Quantiplex HBVDNA; Chiron Corp., Emeryville, CA, USA).

HCV detection and genotyping

HCV-RNA was extracted from serum samples by TRIzol LS reagent (GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies, Maryland, MD, USA). HCV genotyping was performed through the RFLP method described by Chinchai et al.^[17] using the enzymes AccI, MboI, and BstN1. The results of the mixed-genotype infection by RFLP typing were evaluated followed by direct sequencing.

Statistical analysis

The odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval for the risk factors of HCC were calculated by logistic regression using the SAS statistical package. The rates and ratios were compared using the χ^2 test. SPSS 11.5 statistical software was used for calculations; P<0.05 indicate statistical significances.

Results

Baseline characteristics of HCC patients

In this study, the male/female ratios in the HCC group and in the control group were 5.87:1 and 2.31:1, respectively. Majority of the HCC patients (33.9%) and control subjects (38.4%) were 50 to 59 years and 40 to 49 years of age, respectively (Table 1). The demographic details of the healthy control group were similar to those of the other control group with slight variations. The mean age of the HCC patients was (54±13.23) years. Approximately 90.5% of the HCC cases were symptomatic, whereas the remaining 9.5% were asymptomatic. Approximately 10% of the HCC cases were known cases of cirrhosis, whereas another 60.8% had underlying cirrhosis at presentation. Among the 357 cases, 108 (30.3%) were smokers, 249 (69.75%) were non-smokers. Alcohol consumption was documented in 59.1% of the cases, whereas the rest were non-alcoholic (Table 2). Conclusive evidence of liver cirrhosis was reached in 280 cases (78.4%), of which 176 (62.8%) had HCC and 104 (27.2%) had no HCC.

Table 1. Demographic characterization of HCC and control patients.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of HCC patients in India.

					-
Characteristics	Healthy controls (n=120)	HCC (<i>n</i> =357)	Controls † ($n=357$)	Characteristics	
Gender				Mean age (years)	54±13.23
Male	71 (59.17)	305 (85.43)	249 (69.75)	Sex ratio (M:F)	5.87:1
Female	39 (40.87)	52 (14.57)	108 (31.25)	Symptomatic HCC	323 (90.48)
Age (years)				Asymptomatic HCC	34 (9.52)
0-9	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Portal Hypertension	269 (75.35)
10-19	3 (2.50)	4 (1.12)	6 (0.16)	Known cirrhotic at diagnosis	36 (10.08)
20-29	7 (5.83)	21 (5.88)	20 (5.60)	Underlying cirrhotic at diagnosis	217 (60.78)
30-39	18 (15.0)	41 (11.48)	38 (10.64)	Smoking status	
40-49	31 (25.83)	66 (18.49)	137 (38.37)	Smokers (20/day for 10 years)	108 (30.25)
50-59	42 (35.0)	121 (33.89)	72 (20.17)	Non smokers	249 (69.75)
60-69	8 (6.67)	69 (19.32)	60 (16.80)	Alcohol intake	
70-79	1 (0.83)	32 (8.96)	24 (6.72)	Alcoholics	211 (59.10)
80-89	0 (0)	3 (0.84)	0 (0)	Non alcoholics	146 (40.90)

^{†:} chronic hepatitis cases without HCC.

Table 3. Clinical profile of HCC and control cases.

	HCC, n=357 (%)	Controls ⁺ , <i>n</i> =357 (%)	Р
Signs			
Ascites	133 (37.25)	74 (20.73)	0.000000546
Hepatomegaly	187 (52.38)	177 (49.58)	0.2277
Pallor	162 (45.38)	115 (32.21)	0.0001559
Pedal Edema	101 (28.29)	67 (18.77)	0.001371
Icterus	114 (31.93)	92 (25.77)	0.03500
Spleenomegaly	142 (39.78)	97 (27.17)	0.0001820
Symptoms			
Jaundice	101 (28.29)	85 (23.81)	0.08698
Weakness	212 (59.38)	71 (19.88)	<0.000001
Weight loss	256 (71.70)	162 (45.38)	<0.000001
Abdomen discomfort	294 (82.35)	191 (53.50)	<0.0000001
Anorexia	230 (64.4)	146 (40.90)	<0.0000001
Nausea	84 (23.53)	78 (21.85)	0.2967
Hepatic Encephalopathy	16 (4.48)	7 (1.96)	0.02994
Malena	40 (11.20)	28 (7.80)	0.06431

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\dagger}}\xspace,$ chronic hepatitis cases without HCC

Clinical profiles of HCC cases and controls

Symptoms such as jaundice, nausea, hepatic encephalopathy, and melena were present in almost equal proportion in HCC patients and controls. Other symptoms such as general weakness (59.4% in HCC vs. 19.9% in controls), weight loss (71.7% in HCC against 45.4% in controls), abdominal discomfort (82.4% in HCC vs. 53.5% in controls), and anorexia (64.4% in HCC vs. 40.9% in controls) were more frequently noticed in the HCC cases than in the controls. Among the 357 cases of HCC, 133 (37.3%) had ascites, 187 (52.4%) had hepatomegaly, 162 (45.4%) had pallor, 101 (28.3%) had pedal

edema, 142 (39.8%) had spleenomegaly, and 114 (31.9%) had icterus. In the controls, the above-mentioned signs were documented in more or less similar proportion with that of the cases (**Table 3**).

Viral etiology and the risk factors of HCC

Of the HCC patients, 68.9% were HBV related and 21.3% were positive for HCV markers. Coinfection of HBV and HCV was observed in 5.3% of the HCC cases. A total of 18.8% of the cases were alcoholic, whereas 18.2% of the cases were of cryptogenic origin (**Table 4**). In both groups, HCV

genotyping showed that genotype 3 was the major genotype, followed by genotypes 1 and 4 (**Table 5**). HBV genotype D was the most prevalent, followed by A, whereas a mixed genotype of A + D was documented in 16.6% of the cases. Majority of the HCC cases had a high viral load of HBV (**Table 6**).

Analysis of the risk factors for HBV markers showed that any marker positivity for HBV increases the risk of developing HCC by almost 27 times. HBsAg positivity or HBsAg negativity along with antibody positivity increases the risk of developing HCC by almost 18 folds or 17 folds, respectively. Any HCV marker positivity increases the risk of developing HCC by 10.6 times. The risk increases by 13.4 folds when cases with both anti-HCV and HCV RNA positivity were compared with the controls. Heavy alcohol use was found to double the risk of developing HCC when compared with the controls, whereas smoking was not found to be a risk factor (Table 7). Meanwhile, the risk increased by 55 times in alcoholic cases positive for any HBV serological marker compared with the controls. A 23-fold risk increase was observed when alcoholic cases with HBsAg positivity and antibody positivity were evaluated (Table 8).

The HCC cases were distributed according to the presence and absence of cirrhosis and analyzed for the association of viral markers. Among the 253 HCC cases with cirrhosis, almost 70% were associated with HBV and/or HCV infection. Among the 104 HCC cases without cirrhosis, around 59% were associated with HBV and/or HCV infection. HBsAg

Table 4. Prevalence of viral marker and heavy alcohol use among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.

Causative factors	HCC cases (%)
HBV markers	
Overall	246 (68.91)
HBsAg+	201 (56.30)
Only Anti HBe+	17 (4.76)
Only IgG Anti HBc+	28 (7.84)
HCV markers	
Overall	76 (21.29)
Anti HCV+ve & HCV RNA+ve	66 (18.49)
Anti HCV+ve & HCV RNA-ve	10 (2.80)
HBV & HCV	19 (5.32)
Heavy alcohol Use	
Overall	67 (18.77)
Alcohol alone	20 (5.60)
Alcohol and virus	47 (13.17)
Alcohol & HBV	25 (7.0)
Alcohol & HCV	12 (3.36)
Alcohol, HBV & HCV	11 (3.08)
Negative for alcohol, smoking, HBV, HCV	65 (18.21)

positivity was observed in more than half of the cases in both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic cases. Similarly, 21.7% of the cirrhotic HCC cases were positive for some HCV markers. An association between alcohol and virus was observed in 23.3% of the cirrhotic HCC cases. Moreover, cryptogenic HCC was documented in 14.6% of the cirrhotic cases and in almost 28.9% of the non-cirrhotic cases (Table 9). Positivity for any HBV marker increases the risk by almost 28 folds in the cirrhotic group and by 17.5 folds in the non-cirrhotic group. Positivity for any HCV marker increases the risk by 10.8 folds in the cirrhotic group. The risk remained in the cirrhotic cases of HCC when HCV markers were tested either alone or in combination. In cirrhotic cases of HCC, alcohol consumption increases the risk of developing HCC by 3 folds (Table 10).

Biochemical profile of HCC and controls

Significant differences in biochemical parameters were observed between the two groups. The levels of white blood cells, differential leukocyte count (DLC)-P, DLC-L, DLC-M, platelets, international normalized level (INR), creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and AFP were significantly increased in HCC. A twofold increase in risk was observed with the increased levels of creatinine, AST, ALP, and ALT in the HCC cases. An increased risk of 2.5 times was found in patients with AFP levels between 20 and 400 ng/mL, while a threefold increase in risk was observed in HCC patients with albumin levels less than 3.5 g/dl (Tables 11 and 12).

Table 5. Distribution of HCV genotypes in HCC patients and controls positive for HCV RNA.

controls positive for the variable				
	HCV related HCC (%)	HCV related chronic hepatitis (%)	Р	
Anti HCV positive/ HCV RNA positive	68	55		
HCV Genotyping done	50 (73.53)	42 (73.36)	0.3637	
Genotype 1	14 (28)	8 (19.05)	0.1994	
Genotype 3	30 (60)	32 (76.19)	0.0633	
Genotype 4	6 (12)	2 (4.76)	0.1379	

Table 6. Distribution of HBV genotypes and viral loads in HCC patients.

	HCC, n=246 (%)
HBV DNA	
Negative	71 (38.9)
Positive	175 (71.1)
HBV genotype	
A	27 (15.4)
D	119 (68.0)
A+D	29 (16.6)
Log ₁₀ HBV DNA (copies/mL)	
Low (<5)	58 (33.1)
High (≥5)	117 (66.9)

Table 7. Distribution of HCC patients and controls according to major risk factors.

Risk factors	HCC cases $n=357$ (%)	Healthy controls $n=120$ (%)	OR (95% CI)
HBV status			
Any Marker	246 (68.91)	9 (7.5)	27.33 (12.87-60.0)
HBsAg+,antibody+	201 (56.30)	8 (6.67)	18.04 (8.22-41.19)
HBsAg-,antibody+	45 (12.60)	1 (0.83)	17.16 (2.51-338.85)
All markers-	111 (31.09)	111 (92.50)	Reference
HCV Status			
Anti HCV + overall	76 (21.29)	3 (2.50)	10.55 (3.13-42.73)
Anti HCV+,RNA+	66 (18.49)	2 (1.66)	13.38 (3.15-80.27)
Anti HCV +,RNA-	10 (2.80)	1 (0.83)	3.43 (0.45-72.36)
All markers -	281 (78.71)	117 (97.50)	Reference
Heavy alcohol Use			
+	67 (18.77)	13 (10.83)	1.90 (0.97-3.78)
-	290 (81.23)	107 (89.17)	
Smoking status			
Smokers (20/day for 10 years)	108 (30.25)	34 (28.33)	1.097 (0.6948- 1.732)
Non Smokers	249 (69.75)	86 (61.67)	

Table 8. Profile of viral infection in HCC patients and controls with heavy alcohol use.

HBV and HCV status	HCC, n=67 (%)	Healthy controls, $n=13$ (%)	OR (95%CI)
HBV status			
Any marker+	55 (82.08)	1 (7.6)	55.00 (6.24-1245)
HbsAg+, antibody+	44 (65.67)	1 (7.6)	22.96 (2.77-502.29)
HbsAg-, antibody+	11 (16.42)	0	-
HCV status			
Overall	14 (20.90)	1 (7.6)	3.17 (0.37-70.71)
Anti HCV+, RNA +	12 (17.91)	1 (7.6)	2.62 (0.30-58.96)
Anti HCV+, RNA-	2 (2.98)	0	-

Table 9. Prevalence of viral infection and heavy alcohol use among HCC patients with and without cirrhosis.

Etiological association	HCC with cirrhosis, n=253 (%)	HCC without cirrhosis, n=104 (%)	Р
HBV marker			
Overall	176 (69.56)	61 (58.65)	0.02526
HbsAg+	154 (60.86)	54 (51.92)	0.06129
Only Anti HBe+	9 (3.56)	2 (1.92)	0.2266
Only IgG Anti HBc+	12 (4.74)	4 (3.85)	0.3716
HCV markers			
Overall	55 (21.74)	3 (2.88)	0.000000638
Anti HCV+, HCV RNA+	43 (17.00)	3 (2.88)	0.00004147
Anti HCV+, HCV RNA-	12 (4.74)	0	
HBV and HCV	24 (9.49)	0	
Overall	65 (25.69)	14 (13.46)	0.004946
Alcohol alone	8 (3.16)	9 (8.65)	0.01940
Alcohol and virus	59 (23.32)	6 (5.77)	0.00001529
Alcohol and HBV	31 (12.25)	4 (3.85)	0.005667
Alcohol and HBVand HCV	9 (3.56)	0	
Alcohol and HCV	16 (6.32)	1 (0.96)	0.01153
Negative for HBV, HCV and alcohol	37 (14.62)	30 (28.85)	0.001280

Table 10. Distribution of major risk factors in HCC patients with and without cirrhosis.

	HCC with cirrh	osis (n=253)		HCC without cirrhosis (n=104))
Risk factor	Cases positive	Healthy controls	OR (95%CI)	Cases positive	Healthy controls	OR(95%CI)
HBV status						
Any marker+	176 (69.56)	9 (7.5)	28.19 (13.03-62.96)	61(58.65)	9 (7.5)	17.50 (7.58-41.59)
HBsAg+, antibody+	154 (60.86)	8 (6.67)	21.78 (9.77-50.50)	54 (51.92)	8 (6.67)	15.12 (6.35-37.30)
HbsAg-, antibody+	21 (8.30)	1 (0.83)	10.77 (1.51-217.67)	6 (5.77)	1 (0.83)	7.29 (0.85-163.31)
HCV status						
Anti HCV+overall	55 (21.74)	3 (2.50)	10.83 (3.17-44.39)	3 (2.88)	3 (2.50)	1.16 (0.18-7.37)
Anti HCV+, HCV RNA+	43 (17.00)	2 (1.66)	12.08 (2.80-73.44)	3 (2.88)	2 (1.66)	1.75 (0.23-15.31)
Anti HCV+, HCV RNA-	12 (4.74)	1 (0.83)	5.93 (0.79-123.43)	0	1 (0.83)	-
Heavy alcohol Use		1 (0.83)			1 (0.83)	
+	65 (25.69)	13 (10.83)	2.85 (1.44-5.70)	14 (13.46)	13 (10.83)	1.28 (0.53-3.08)

Table 11. Hematological and biochemical profile of HCC cases and controls.

Parameters	HCC (n=357)	Controls † (n =357)	P
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.60± 2.10	11.30±2.80	0.1060
<10 mg/dL (%)	121 (33.89)	100 (28.0)	0.1050 [OR=1.32(0.95-1.83)]
WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³)	6.60±0.40	6.50±0.20	0.0001*
DLC-P (%)	64.50±5.10	63.50±7.40	0.0359
DLC-L (%)	30.90±4.80	32.60±7.60	0.0004*
DLC-E (%)	3.05±1.40	2.90±5.10	0.5922
DLC-M (%)	1.50±0.80	1.90±1.80	0.0001*
Platelet (×10 ⁴ /µL)	1.80±0.90	1.40±0.50	0.0001*
<1.5 lac/ µL (%)	115 (32.21)	105 (29.41)	0.4650 [OR=1.14 (0.82-1.59)]
INR	1.05±0.40	1.00±0.20	0.0350
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.50±3.20	1.06±0.50	0.0105
>1.2 mg/dL (%)	101 (28.29)	69 (19.33)	0.0060* [OR=1.65 (1.14-2.37)]
AST (IU/L)	101.80±87.90	59.20±49.50	0.0001*
>2×ULN (%)	208 (58.26)	140 (39.21)	0.0000006*[OR=2.16 (1.59-2.95)]
ALT (IU/L)	67.10±46.30	57.30±47.40	0.0053
>2×ULN (%)	110 (30.81)	66 (18.48)	0.00018*[OR=1.96(1.36-2.83)]
ALP (IU/L)	4420.00±23.60	221.00±69.10	0.0001
>2×ULN (%)	172 (48.17)	135 (37.81)	0.0065*[OR=1.53(1.12-2.08)]
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	1.70±2.70	1.60±5.00	0.7396
>1.5 mg/dL (%)	192 (53.78)	103 (28.85)	0.00000*[OR=2.87(2.08-3.96)]
Albumin (g/dL)	3.60±0.70	3.70±0.90	0.0979
<3.5 g/dL (%)	265 (74.23)	174 (48.74)	0.00000*[OR=3.03(2.18-4.21)]
>3.5 g/dL (%)	92 (25.77)	183 (51.26)	0.00000*[OR=0.33(0.24-0.46)]
AFP (ng/mL)	6849.00±9652.00	5.90±1.20	0.0001*
<20 ng/mL (%)	68 (19.04)	311 (87.11)	0.00000*[OR=0.03(0.02-0.05)]
20-400 ng/mL (%)	96 (26.89)	46 (12.89)	0.0000043*[OR=2.49 (1.66-3.74)]
>400 ng/mL (%)	193 (54.06)	0 (0.0)	0.00000*[OR=UD]

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\dagger}}\xspace,$ chronic hepatitis cases without HCC;*,statistically significant

Table 12. Biochemical profile of HBV related HCC cases and controls.

Liver function indicators	HCC (n=246)	Controls [†] (n=213)	Р
ALT (U/liter)	70.20±49.80	51.80±33.20	<0.0001
AST (U/liter)	68.50±43.50	57.40±36.80	0.0036
ALP (U/liter)	407.00±522.00	237.00±60.50	< 0.0001
ALB (g/liter)	3.10±0.60	3.70±1.08	< 0.0001
T.BIL (mg/dL)	1.90±3.20	1.10±2.30	0.0026
Mean platelet count (10 ⁴ /mm³)	1.32±0.40	1.89±0.50	< 0.0001
INR (Sec)	1.03±0.50	1.02±0.17	0.7809
a-Fetoprotein (ng/mL)	4356.20±108.90	2.90±1.20	<0.0001

^{†,} chronic hepatitis cases without HCC

Table 13. Overall radiological profile of HCC cases.

Characteristics	HCC Cases (%)
Distribution of HCC	
Right lobe	199 (55.74)
Left lobe	110 (30.81)
Bilobar	48 (13.45)
Volume of HCC	
<50%	228 (63.86)
>50%	129 (36.13)
No. of Lesions	
1	102 (28.57)
2	117 (32.77)
≥3	138 (38.66)
Size of HCC, cm	
≤2	52 (14.57)
>-5	98 (27.45)
≥5	207 (57.98)
US appearance	
Heterogenous	99 (27.73)
Hypoechoic	137 (38.38)
Hyperechoic	90 (25.21)
Isoechoic CT appearance [†] (n=314)	31 (8.68)
Hypodense	138 (43.95)
Heterogenous	153 (48.73)
Hyperdense	23 (7.32)
MRI appearance (n=81)	
T, WI	
Hyperintense	62 (76.54)
Hypo/Isointense	19 (23.46)
T, WI	
Hyperintense	28 (34.56)
Hypo/Isointense	53 (65.43)
Vascular Invasion	33 (03.13)
Portal vein	154 (43.13)
PV with IVC/HC	19 (5.32)
IVC and or HV	6 (1.68)

IVC, inferior vena cava; HV, hepatic vein; PV, portal vein. † , Biphasic CT abdomen was done in 314 cases. MRI of the abdomen was done in 81 cases.

Table 14. Patients with different staging systems of HCC.

Staging n (%) Okuda stage I II 132 (36.97) III 184 (51.54) III 42 (11.76) CLIP score 0 0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A1 A2 23 (6.44) A3 80 (22.41) A4 43 (12.04)		0 0 7
I 132 (36.97) II 184 (51.54) III 42 (11.76) CLIP score 0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	Staging	n (%)
II 184 (51.54) III 42 (11.76) CLIP score 0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	Okuda stage	
III 42 (11.76) CLIP score 0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	I	132 (36.97)
CLIP score 0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	II	184 (51.54)
0 52 (14.56) 1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	III	42 (11.76)
1 97 (27.17) 2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	CLIP score	
2 60 (16.81) 3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	0	52 (14.56)
3 93 (26.65) 4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	1	97 (27.17)
4-6 55 (15.41) BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	2	60 (16.81)
BCLC stage A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	3	93 (26.65)
A ₁ 158 (44.26) A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	4-6	55 (15.41)
A ₂ 23 (6.44) A ₃ 80 (22.41)	BCLC stage	
A ₃ 80 (22.41)	$A_{_1}$	158 (44.26)
	A_2	23 (6.44)
A ₄ 43 (12.04)	A_3	80 (22.41)
	$A_{_4}$	43 (12.04)
B 38 (10.64)	В	38 (10.64)
C 12 (3.36)	С	12 (3.36)
D 7 (1.97)	D	7 (1.97)

Radiological profile of HCC cases

Among the HCC cases, 55.7% had HCC in the right lobe, 30.8% in the left lobe, and 13.5% in both lobes of the liver. Three or more lesions were observed in 38.7%, two lesions were observed in 32.8%, and a single lesion in 28.6% of the HCC cases. More than half of the HCC patients (almost 58%) had a tumor size of \geq 5 cm. Ultrasound images showed that approximately 38% of the cases were hypoechoic, 27.7% were heterogeneous, and 25.2% were hyperechoic. CT was possible in 314 of the total HCC cases, of which 48.7% were heterogeneous and 44% were hypodense. MRI was available for 81 cases of HCC. Portal vein invasion was observed in 43.1% of the cases (**Table 13**).

Staging of HCC cases

HCC cases were staged according to the Okuda staging system, Cancer of the Liver Italian Program (CLIP) scoring

system, and Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) scoring system. Okuda stage 1 was observed in 37% of the cases, whereas stages 2 and 3 were found in 51.4% and 11.8% of the HCC cases, respectively. In the CLIP scoring system, 27.1% and 26.6% of the cases fall in CLIP scores 1 and 3, respectively. According to the BCLC scoring system, 44.3% of the cases were classified as stage A_1 , 22.4% as stage A_2 , 12% as stage A_4 and 10.6% stage B. The percentage of death increased, and the mean survival decreased in ascending order of the stages in all the three staging systems (**Table 14**).

Discussion

The male dominance observed in the present study is similar to that reported by many other studies from India and the rest of the world^[18-24]. Similar to other studies, the maximum incidence of HCC occurred in patients in their 50s and 60s^[19,25,26].

Underlying cirrhosis was observed in almost 70.8% of the cases. The actual number may be slightly higher because of the non-invasive diagnostic modalities used in the present study to diagnose cirrhosis. Moreover, MRI/CT scans, which have higher sensitivity in detecting liver cirrhosis, were not performed in all cases. As suggested by previous studies^[27], HCC is accompanied by liver cirrhosis in 70% to 90% of the cases. However, many clinical studies have shown cirrhosis incidence of 30% to 80% in HCC^[18,28-31]. The strong association between cirrhosis and HCC is supported by the evidence of its intermediating role in the pathogenesis of HCC because of chronic viral hepatitis^[32].

The clinical presentation of the HCC patients in this study was similar to that in previous studies. Both groups in the present study had a significant number of cases showing signs of ascites, hepatomegaly, pallor, pedal edema, icterus, and spleenomegaly. Symptoms such as abdominal pain, anorexia, weight loss, weakness, melena, and jaundice were present in more or less similar proportion in both categories. This finding is similar to that reported in an Indian study^[33]. Furthermore, hepatic encephalopathy was observed in a very few cases of HCC. This result is similar to that reported by Wong et al.^[34], who found that Asian-American patients had a significantly lower frequency of hepatic encephalopathy compared with non-Asian Americans.

HBV association was found in 68.9% of the HCC cases, whereas HCV association was found in 21.3% of the HCC cases. This finding suggests that most HCC cases were the result of a hepatotropic virus-related chronic liver disease. This result is in accordance to the estimation that HBV is responsible for 50% to 80% of HCC cases worldwide, whereas 10% to 25% of the cases are thought to be caused by HCV infection^[35,36]. Several studies reported that a doseresponse relationship exists between the development of HCC and persistent HBV^[37,38].

The presence of alcoholic and cryptogenic HCC is more or less in accordance to the report of another Indian study^[39]. A high section of HCC cases without known etiologic factors

indicates the possibility of other unknown mechanisms for HCC development. Genotype 3 was the most common genotype, followed by genotypes 1 and 4 of HCV. This result is similar to that reported in other studies from India^[40-44]. The results of the present study showed a significantly high OR for the development of HCC in HBsAg-positive patients and confirmed HBV as the main etiological agent associated to HCC in Indian scenario. An OR of 27.33 falls well in the range of findings of many case-control studies from other Asian countries, where the estimates of OR for HBsAg positivity range from 5 to 50^[45-48]. A 13-fold risk increase was observed for patients with HCV RNA positivity and anti-HCV positivity, whereas an OR of approximately 3 was observed in individuals positive for anti-HCV but negative for HCV RNA. The reason is that the virus might have been cleared in these cases^[49]. Other studies recorded that the OR range for HCV infection varies from non-significant to 8[37,48,50]. A significant correlation (OR=1.9) was observed between heavy alcohol consumption and the risk of developing HCC. This relationship is in agreement with previous studies[42].

An increased OR was calculated in the HCC patients with reference to the controls in the heavy alcoholic group. However, considering that only one case in the control group was available, the findings may not depict the actual situation. Some epidemiological studies have described a high prevalence of HBV markers (27% to 81%) and HCV markers (50% to 77%) in alcoholic HCC patients compared with a background prevalence of approximately 5% and <1%, respectively. This finding suggests that a complex interaction exists between alcohol and viral infections in the etiology of HCC^[51,52]. However, whether alcohol is a true carcinogen or if it acts as a cofactor in the presence of coexistent infection with HBV and/or HCV is still unclear.

The number of patients without cirrhosis (29.1%) in the present study is similar to those in Indian clinical and autopsy studies[18,31,32,] and in a study of Kumar et al.[4]. An increased risk was observed for HBV marker irrespective of the cirrhosis status of the HCC patients. This result confirms that HBV is the major etiological factor associated with HCC development. These findings are in agreement with biological data. HBV plays a direct role in liver cell transformation; thus, it can lead to HCC without the development of cirrhosis^[12]. Meanwhile, HCV markers alone or in combination were found to be a significant risk factor in cirrhotic HCC cases but not in non-cirrhotic HCC cases, which is similar to the scenario when heavy alcohol use was considered. The result may be that a large proportion of the non-cirrhotic HCC cases were of cryptogenic origin (28.9%) compared with the cirrhotic HCC group (14.6%). Hence, other risk factors, especially in the non-cirrhotic cases of HCC, should be investigated in future studies. Moreover, the carcinogenic effect of HCV in the absence of cirrhosis remains unknown[4].

A strong positive correlation was noted between AST and ALT. This result is similar to the result reported by another Indian study on children^[53]. Significance was observed for

other parameters such as AST, INR, and creatinine levels, which were not previously reported as significant^[53]. A total of 289 (80.96%) HCC cases showed raised AFP levels (>20 ng/ml). This result agrees with the study of Saini et al.^[54], where the percentage of HCC cases with raised AFP was 83%^[53].

Similar to the report of earlier Indian studies [4], a very high proportion of patients was found to have multiple lesions, larger tumor size, and advanced stage of the disease. Most of the lesions were hypoechoic (38.4%) or heterogeneous (48.7%), as previously reported. Approximately 58% of the cases had tumor size above 5 cm and a high incidence of vascular invasion with a very low resection rate. This result is similar to the findings of previous studies from India[4,54].

Conclusions

HBV and HCV are the major risk factors for HCC in India. All combination of HBV and HCV markers are risk factors for HCC. Although HCV RNA positivity and heavy alcohol use significantly increased the risk of developing HCC among cirrhotic patients, no significant risk increase was evident in the absence of cirrhosis. Alcohol alone is not a risk factor for HCC. Majority of the HCC cases have underlying cirrhosis. A raised biochemical profile was observed in HCC cases compared with the controls, although the clinical presentation was similar in both groups. At presentation, most of the patients have a large tumor (>5 cm) and multiple liver nodules. HCC is generally diagnosed at a later stage, making disease management difficult.

Conflict of Interest Statement

No potential conflicts of interest are disclosed.

References

- 1 Perz JF, Armstrong GL, Farrington LA, et al. The contributions of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infections to cirrhosis and primary liver cancer worldwide. J Hepatol 2006; 45: 529-538.
- 2 Mori M, Hara M, Wada I, et al. Prospective study of hepatitis B and C viral infections, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and other factors associated with hepatocellular carcinoma risk in Japan. Am J Epidemiol 2000; 151: 131-139.
- 3 Oyunsuren T, Kurbanov F, Tanaka Y, et al. High frequency of hepatocellular carcinoma in Mongolia; association with mono-, or co-infection with hepatitis C, B, and delta viruses. J Med Virol 2006; 78: 1688-1695.
- 4 Kumar M, Kumar R, Hissar SS, et al. Risk factors analysis for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with and without cirrhosis: a casecontrol study of 213 hepatocellular carcinoma patients from India. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007; 22: 1104-1111.
- 5 Levrero M. Viral hepatitis and liver cancer: the case of hepatitis C. Oncogene 2006; 25: 3834-3847.
- 6 El-Serag HB, Tran T, Everhart JE. Diabetes increases the risk of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 460-468.
- 7 Chen CH, Huang GT, Yang PM, et al. Carcinomas yield different clinical features and prognosis. Eur J Cancer 2006; 42: 2524-2529.
- 8 Merle P. Epidemiology, natural history and pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Radiother 2005; 9: 452-457.
- 9 Amarapurkar DN, Patel ND, Kamani PM. Impact of diabetes mellitus on outcome of HCC. Ann Hepatol 2008; 7: 148-151.

- 10 National Cancer Registry Programme. Annual Report 1987. New Delhi: Indian Council of Medical Research, 1990.
- 11 Jayant K, Rao RS, Nene BM, et al. Rural Cancer Registry at Barshi: Report 1988–92. Barshi: Rural Cancer Registry 1994.
- 12 Idilman R, De Maria N, Colantoni A, et al. Pathogenesis of hepatitis B and C-induced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Viral Hepat 1998; 5: 285-299.
- 13 De Mitri MS, Poussin K, Baccarini P, et al. HCV-associated liver cancer without cirrhosis. Lancet 1995; 345: 413–415.
- 14 London WT, McGlynn KA. Liver cancer. In: Schottenfeld D, Fraumeni JF Jr, eds. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. New York: Oxford University Press 1996; 772–793.
- 15 Bruix J, Sherman M, L lovet JM, et al. Clinical management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Conclusions of the Barcelona-2000 EASL conference. J Hepatol 2001; 35: 421–423.
- 16 Leevy CM, Sherlock S, Tygstrup N, et al. (Eds) Diseases of the Liver and Biliary Tract. Standardization of Nomenclature, Diagnostic Criteria and Prognosis. New York: Raven Press 1994; 61–62.
- 17 Chinchai T, Labout J, Noppornpanth S, et al. Comparative study of different methods to genotype hepatitis C virus type 6 variants. J Virol Methods 2003; 109: 195-201.
- 18 Sarin SK, Thakur V, Guptan RC, et al. Profile of hepatocellular carcinoma in India: an insight into the possible etiologic associations. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2001; 16: 666–673.
- 19 Singh SV, Goyal SK, Chowdhury BL. Primary carcinoma of liver in Udaipur. J Assoc Physicians India 1971; 19: 693–695.
- 20 Feitelson MA, Duan LX. Hepatitis B virus antigen in the pathogenesis of chronic infections and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Pathol 1997; 150: 1141–1157.
- 21 Takano S, Yokosuka O, Imazeki F, et al. Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B and C:a prospective study of 251 patients. Hepatology 1995; 21: 650-655.
- 22 Tsukuma H, Hiyama T, Tanaka S, et al. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma among patients with chronic liver disease. N Engl J Med 1993; 328: 1797–1801.
- 23 Okuda K, Kojiro M, Okuda H. Neoplasms of the liver. In: Schiff L, Schiff ER, eds. Diseases of the Liver. Philadelphia, J.B. Lippincott Company 1993:1236–96.
- 24 Oka H, Kurioka N, Kim K, et al. Prospective study of early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology 1990; 12: 680–687.
- 25 Pyrsopoulos N, Reddy RK. Hepatocellular carcinoma in Asia. In: Sarin SK, Okuda K, eds. Hepatitis B and C. Carrier to Cancer. India, Elsevier Sciences 2002: 363-364.
- 26 Agarwal AK, Manvi KN, Mehta JM, et al. Clinical diagnosis of hepatoma. J Assoc Phys India 1966; 14: 465-468.
- 27 Okuda K, Nakashima T, Sakamoto K, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma arising in noncirrhotic and highly cirrhotic livers; a comparative study of histopathology and frequency of hepatitis B markers. Cancer 1982; 49: 450–455.
- 28 Durga R, Muralikrishna P. Viral markers in hepatocellular carcinoma. Ind J Gastroenterol 1994; 13: A57.
- 29 Sundaram C, Reddy CRRM, Venkataramana G, et al. Hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis in South India-an autopsy study. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 1990; 33: 334–338.
- 30 Prabhakar V, Rao KS, Reddy DJ. Primary carcinoma of liver in Vishakhapatnam. Ind J pathol Microbiol 1966; 9: 54–60.
- 31 Patil S, Bhuyan BK, Nanda BK. A study of ninety three cases of primary carcinoma of liver. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 1982; 25: 135-138.
- 32 Darwish MA, Faris R, Darwish N, et al. Hepatitis C and cirrhotic liver disease in the Nile Delta of Egypt: a community-based study. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001; 64: 147–153.
- 33 Joshi N, Kumar A, Rani MS, et al. Clinical and aetiological profile of hepatoma at a tertiary care centre. Trop Gastroenterol 2003; 24: 73-75.
- 34 Wong PY, Xia V, Imagawa DK, Hoefs J, Hu KQ () Clinical Presentation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) in Asian-

- Americans Versus Non-Asian-Americans. J Immigr Minor Health 2011; 13: 842-848.
- 35 Block TM, Mehta AS, Fimmel CJ et al. Molecular viral oncology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene 2003; 22: 5093–5107.
- 36 Anthony PP. Hepatocellular carcinoma: An overview. Histopathology 2001; 39: 109 –118.
- 37 Hadziyannis S, Tabor E, Kaklamani E, et al. A case-control study of hepatitis B and C virus infections in the etiology of hepatocellular cardnoma. Int J Cancer 1995; 60: 627-631.
- 38 Vail Mayans M, Calvet X, Bruix J, et al. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in Catalonia, Spain. Int J Cancer 1990; 46: 378-381.
- 39 Paul SB, Sreenivas V, Gulati MS, et al. Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma among Indian patients with cirrhosis of liver: an experience from a tertiary care center in northern India. Indian J Gastroenterol 2007; 26: 274-278.
- 40 Narahari S, Juwle A, Basak S, et al. Prevalence and geographic distribution of Hepatitis C Virus genotypes in Indian patient cohort. Infect Genet Evol 2009; 9: 643-645.
- 41 Chandra M, Thippavuzzula R, Ramachandra Rao VV, et al. Genotyping of Hepatitis C virus (HCV) in infected patients from South India. Infect Genet Evol 2007; 7: 724-730.
- 42 Chaudhuri S, Das S, Chowdhury A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of HCV infection among acute and chronic liver disease patients in Kolkata. India J Clin Virol 2005; 32: 38-46.
- 43 Singh S, Malhotra V, Sarin SK. Distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection in India. Indian J Med Res 2004; 119: 145-148.
- 44 Amarapurkar D, Dhorda M, Kirpalani A, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis C genotypes in Indian patients and their clinical significance. J Assoc Physicians India 2001; 49: 983-985.

- 45 Tanaka K, Hirohata T, Koga S, et al. Hepatitis C and hepatitis B in the etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in the Japanese population. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 2842–2847.
- 46 Tsai JF, Chang WY, Jeng JE, et al. Hepatitis B and C virus infection as risk factors for liver cirrhosis and cirrhotic hepatocellular carcinoma: a case–control study. Liver 1994; 14: 98-102.
- 47 Zhang JY, Dai M, Wang X, et al. A case–control study of hepatitis B and C virus infection as risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in Henan China. Int J Epidemiol 1998; 27: 574-578.
- 48 Zhang JY. The research progress of the relationship between hepatitis B, C infection and hepatocellular carcinoma. In: Zhang JY, Zheng PY, Zhang Y, eds. Research and Practice in Viral Liver Diseases. Beijing: Chinese Science and Technology Press 1995; 148-1467.
- 49 Fong T-L, Lee SR, Briggs WK, et al. Clinical significance of hepatitis C viral RNA status and its correlation to antibodies to structural HCV antigens in anti-HCV reactive patients with normal liver tests. J Med Virol 1996; 49: 253-258.
- 50 Tsai JF, Chang WY, Jeng JE, et al. Effects of hepatitis C and B viruses infection on the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Med Virol 1994; 44: 92-95.
- 51 Di Bisceglie AM, Carithers RL Jr, Gores GJ. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 1998; 28: 1161-1165.
- 52 Bosch FX, Ribes J, Diaz M, et al. Primary liver cancer: worldwide incidence and trends. Gastroenterology 2004; 127: S5-S16.
- 53 Satapathy SK, Garg S, Chauhan R, et al. Profile of chronic hepatitis B virus in children in India: experience with 116 children. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 21: 1170-1176.
- 54 Saini N, Bhagat A, Sharma S, et al. Evaluation of clinical and biochemical parameters in hepatocellular carcinoma: experience from an Indian center. Clin Chim Acta. 2006; 371: 183-186.