

# Risk factors of subclinical hypothyroidism and the potential contribution to miscarriage: A review

Shuhei So<sup>1,2</sup>  | Fumiko Tawara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Reproductive and Perinatal Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu-shi, Shizuoka, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Tawara IVF Clinic, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka-shi, Shizuoka, Japan

## Correspondence

Shuhei So, Department of Reproductive and Perinatal Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu-shi, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan.

Email: so@hama-med.ac.jp

## Abstract

**Background:** There is no clear cutoff value for thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level that defines subclinical hypothyroidism (SCH). Moreover, TSH levels can be affected by numerous factors. Although mild SCH has been implicated in miscarriage, the relationship between TSH levels and miscarriage remains unelucidated.

**Methods:** We reviewed nine known risk factors affecting TSH levels and 28 studies investigating the potential association between mild SCH and miscarriage, examining whether these factors were considered.

**Main findings:** Among 28 studies that examined whether mild SCH (TSH > 2.5 mIU/L) contributed to miscarriage, thyroid antibodies were measured in only 15. TSH measurement methods were described in 19 studies. Although the iodinated contrast medium used in hysterosalpingography (HSG) is stored in the body for a long time and is a risk factor for mild SCH, only one study described its potential impact on TSH levels. Eight studies, which concluded that mild SCH contributed to miscarriage, had thyroid status evaluated only after the onset of pregnancy, but not before.

**Conclusion:** TSH levels can be significantly affected by patient demographics and health history, country of origin, and fertility treatment. It is important to consider these factors while evaluating mild SCH. It remains unclear how mild SCH contributes to miscarriage.

## KEYWORDS

fertility treatment, mild hypothyroidism, miscarriage, thyroid function, thyroid-stimulating hormone

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Overt hypothyroidism has been reported to increase the risk of miscarriage, premature birth, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, placental abruption, postpartum hemorrhage, and Cesarean section.<sup>1-5</sup> Since overt thyroid dysfunction affects the mother and child, it must be adequately managed before and during pregnancy. Levothyroxine

(LT4) treatment reduces the risk of miscarriage and preterm birth by normalizing thyroid function, including TSH levels.<sup>6</sup>

Subclinical hypothyroidism (SCH), a condition in which free thyroxine (FT4) is in the normal range but thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level is high, has also been reported to be a risk of miscarriage and premature birth.<sup>7</sup> The American Thyroid Association (ATA) and the American Endocrine Society have published guidelines for

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Reproductive Medicine and Biology* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Society for Reproductive Medicine.

managing thyroid function in women planning or becoming pregnant.<sup>8,9</sup> The ATA guideline describes that there is insufficient evidence that LT4 treatment contributes to an increased pregnancy rate in SCH women and thyroid autoantibody-positive euthyroid women who are planning a natural pregnancy; however, SCH women are recommended to consider a small amount of LT4 to reduce the risk of hypothyroidism after pregnancy. In contrast, when infertility treatment is performed in in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), controlling TSH levels to <2.5 mIU/L by LT4 is recommended to improve assisted reproductive technology (ART) outcomes. During pregnancy, the use of a population-based reference for the upper limit of TSH is suggested. If a population-based reference cannot be obtained, it is recommended that the reference value for pregnant women be 0.5 mIU/L lower than the upper limit of nonpregnant women for each TSH measurement method. In particular, pregnant women with thyroid autoantibodies are recommended to consider LT4 supplementation with a target TSH level < 2.5 mIU/L.

Many studies have assessed the effect of mild SCH in early pregnancy on adverse events of pregnancy with a TSH cutoff value > 2.5 mIU/L.<sup>10-37</sup> The secretion of TSH is not only strongly influenced by various health factors, but is also known to have different baseline values due to differences in age and race.<sup>38</sup> There is still a question as to whether TSH > 2.5 mIU/L is effective as a common global index for mild SCH and whether LT4 treatment is needed in all cases where TSH exceeds this value.

First, we review the risk factors that affect TSH secretion. Next, we review previous studies that examined whether mild SCH

both prior to and during pregnancy contributes to miscarriage, and then discuss the relationship between the timing of evaluation for mild SCH and the miscarriage rate. Finally, we try to conclude when and how to evaluate patients who are or may become pregnant for mild SCH.

## 2 | RISK FACTORS AFFECTING TSH

TSH is a sensitive marker of thyroid function. Factors affecting TSH levels should be considered when assessing the presence and potential impact of mild SCH. Here, we review the following factors: thyroid antibodies, age, body mass index (BMI), iodine intake, daily and seasonal changes, TSH measurement methods, hysterosalpingography, ovarian stimulation, and pregnancy related hormones including human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and estrogen (Table 1).

### 2.1 | Thyroid antibodies

Anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody (TPOAb) and anti-thyroglobulin antibody (TgAb), which are thyroid autoantibodies, are risk factors for SCH and have been reported to be prevalent in infertile women and miscarriage patients.<sup>38,39</sup> A meta-analysis by Thangaratinam et al<sup>40</sup> indicated that thyroid autoantibodies contribute to increased miscarriage rates. The mechanism by which thyroid autoantibodies contribute to miscarriage is unclear, but Negro et al<sup>41</sup> pointed out

**TABLE 1** Risk factors affecting TSH

Risk factors	TSH response	Candidate mechanisms
Advanced age	Increase	Age-dependent changes of the HPT axis
Obesity	Increase	Stimulation of TSH secretion by leptin
Iodine intake	Increase	Wolff-Chaikoff effect
Low temperature (Winter)	Increase	HPT axis regulates thermogenesis
Hysterosalpingography	Increase	Iodinated contrast medium (Wolff-Chaikoff effect)
Ovarian stimulation	Increase	High estrogen levels → Rise in TBG → Transient decrease in FT4 → Rise in TSH
High temperature (Summer)	Decrease	HPT axis regulates thermogenesis
Food intake	Decrease	Suppression of TSH secretion by somatostatin
hCG production at early pregnancy	Decrease	Cross-reactivity between TSH and hCG
TSH measurement methods	Increase/decrease	Different measurement principle
Ethnicity	Increase/decrease	Differences in genetic background or food culture
Daily change	Increase/decrease	Circadian rhythm

Abbreviations: FT4, free T4; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; HPT, Hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid; TBG, thyroxine-binding globulin; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

that the high miscarriage rate among women with TPOAb may be due to the onset of SCH during pregnancy.

Several genes related to thyroid autoimmunity have been identified: HLA-DRB1 locus,<sup>42</sup> cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4,<sup>43,44</sup> CD40,<sup>45</sup> protein tyrosine phosphatase-22,<sup>46</sup> thyroglobulin,<sup>47,48</sup> TSH-receptor,<sup>49</sup> and Vitamin D receptor gene.<sup>50</sup> The disease penetrance of mutations in these genes varies widely with ethnicity.<sup>42,51</sup> Consistent with this, there is a racial difference in the prevalence of thyroid antibodies.<sup>38</sup> According to a nationwide survey in the United States, the prevalence of TPOAb in Caucasian women is 12.6% (ages 20-29), 14.3% (ages 30-39), and 19.5% (ages 40-49). The prevalence in African-American women (in the same age brackets, respectively) is 4.8%, 6.3%, and 8.3%, and in Hispanic women 14.0%, 16.8%, and 18.9%. The prevalence of TgAb in Caucasian women is 10.1%, 14.9%, and 18.0%; in African-American women 3.0%, 4.1%, and 5.0%; and in Hispanic women 11.6%, 13.0%, and 14.9%. The prevalence of thyroid autoantibodies in African-Americans is lower than in Caucasians; the prevalence of TPOAb and TgAb is similar in each ethnicity. Conversely, the prevalence of TgAb in Japan is significantly higher than that of TPOAb (16.5% vs 9.4% in Takeda et al,<sup>52</sup> and 29.4% vs 15.0% in Noso et al<sup>53</sup>). Similar results have been obtained in our previous studies of women undergoing infertility treatment (11.5% TgAb vs 9.3% TPOAb with TSH < 2.5 mIU/L, 17.0% vs 11.7% with TSH 2.5-3.5 mIU/L<sup>33</sup>). Although TPOAb is thought to be superior for identifying thyroid autoimmunity than TgAb,<sup>54,55</sup> both are risk factors for SCH. For ethnicities with a high TgAb frequency, such as Japanese, TgAb measurement should probably be the first choice.

## 2.2 | Age and BMI

TSH is known to be positively correlated with both age<sup>38,52,56,57</sup> and BMI.<sup>58,59</sup> Therefore, mild SCH may be more frequent in older and overweight women. In fact, Surks and Hollowell<sup>56</sup> showed that among thyroid autoantibody-negative women of childbearing age, TSH values of 2.5-4.5 mIU/L are found in 6.5% of women aged 20-29 years, in 9.5% aged 30-39 years, and in 11.5% aged 40-49 years. Chakraborti et al<sup>60</sup> reported that aging alters pituitary TSH secretion. They showed that a thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH)-stimulated TSH peak was reduced in elderly compared with younger subjects. Using the Korean national database, Park et al<sup>61</sup> reported that the relationship between age and TSH is a U-shaped function, with minimum TSH values in the range of 30-50 years old. A U-shaped association between age and urinary iodine concentrations (UIC) was also observed. This result suggests that changes in age-dependent iodine intake may affect age-dependent TSH levels.

Knudsen et al<sup>59</sup> reported that the prevalence of a BMI > 30 is higher in subjects with serum TSH 2.0-3.6 mIU/L (odds ratio (OR) 1.20 [0.94-1.55]) and TSH > 3.6 mIU/L (OR 2.13 [1.44-3.14]) compared to the subjects with serum TSH 1.0-1.99 mIU/L. Furthermore, weight loss contributes to TSH level reduction.<sup>62,63</sup> One possible mechanism by which obesity causes an increase in TSH level may

be that adipose tissue promotes secretion or synthesis of TSH.<sup>64</sup> Actually, leptin secreted from adipose cells is known to promote TRH secretion.<sup>65,66</sup>

## 2.3 | Iodine intake

Foods with high iodine content, such as seaweed, have a significant effect on TSH levels.<sup>67</sup> When adults with negative thyroid autoantibodies ingested 35 mg/day of iodine, TSH values increased significantly from  $2.15 \pm 0.32$  to  $4.31 \pm 0.57$  mIU/L, indicating the Wolff-Chaikoff effect,<sup>68</sup> and returned to the basal value after the withdrawal of iodine (TSH  $2.33 \pm 0.47$  mIU/L).<sup>67</sup> Thus, intake of food with a high iodine content before blood collection is directly reflected in the TSH value.<sup>69</sup> Iodine intake can be assessed by UIC. Candido et al<sup>70</sup> measured UIC in women during early pregnancy and reported that individuals from Japan, Canada, and Venezuela may be iodine-sufficient, while those in the UK, Turkey, Austria, Spain, Iran, Bangladesh, and Australia may be iodine-insufficient. However, although Japan is an iodine-sufficient area, UIC < 150 µg/mL, which is defined as mildly iodine deficient,<sup>8</sup> is observed in approximately 30% of pregnant women in Japan.<sup>71</sup> Zimmermann and Delange<sup>72</sup> reviewed pregnant women's iodine intake in several European countries and found that individuals in Sweden and Switzerland were iodine-sufficient, while those in Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, and Turkey were iodine-insufficient.

Even within the same country, iodine intake may vary depending on food culture differences. In China, Li et al<sup>73</sup> found regional differences in median urinary iodine concentrations of 83.5 µg/L, 242.9 µg/L, and 650.9 µg/L in people living in Panshan, Zhangwu, and Huanghua, respectively, and the frequency of TSH > 4.8 was significantly positively correlated with UIC (1.32%, 8.49%, and 15.38%, respectively). Völzke et al<sup>74</sup> suggested that the TSH reference values in the iodine-sufficient group and -insufficient group may be different. In addition, the results of the nationwide survey in the United States indicated that the basic value of TSH may differ depending on race.<sup>38</sup> This study found that African-American women had significantly lower TSH levels than Caucasian women ( $1.15 \pm 0.02$  vs  $1.55 \pm 0.03$ ) and lower frequency of SCH (TSH > 4.5 mIU/L) (1.5% vs 6.6%). Caucasians have been reported to have higher iodine intake than African-Americans, so the difference in TSH levels among races may be due to the differences in food culture.<sup>75</sup>

## 2.4 | Daily and seasonal changes

TSH conforms to circadian rhythm, and TSH secretion increases up to 1.5 times at nighttime compared with daytime.<sup>76</sup> This circadian rhythm is age-related,<sup>77</sup> shows large interindividual variability,<sup>78</sup> and has been reported to be disturbed by an irregular sleep-wake cycle.<sup>79</sup> In addition, eating is known to act on the pituitary-thyroid axis and reduce TSH secretion.<sup>80</sup> Nair et al<sup>81</sup> reported that TSH secretion was significantly reduced 2 hours postprandially compared

with the fasting state in the same subject:  $2.42 \pm 1.49$  to  $1.79 \pm 1.10$  for euthyroid subjects ( $n = 19$ );  $7.53 \pm 1.50$  to  $5.35 \pm 1.40$  for SCH subjects ( $n = 20$ ); and  $66.93 \pm 17.83$  to  $61.22 \pm 16.41$  for overt hypothyroid subjects ( $n = 18$ ). Although leptin secretion induced by food intake contributes to increased TSH (see the previous section on age and BMI), somatostatin, which also rises after food intake, acts as a negative regulator for leptin and inhibits TSH secretion.<sup>82,83</sup> As a result, TSH levels tend to decrease just after food intake. Through such hormonal action, fasting<sup>84</sup> and night eating<sup>85</sup> affect the circadian rhythm of TSH. Thus, TSH values and the frequency of detection of mild SCH may vary depending on the timing of blood collection.

The hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis is known to regulate thermogenesis.<sup>86</sup> Seasonal variability of TSH levels has been reported in countries with four seasons such as Japan and Korea.<sup>87,88</sup> Yoshihara et al<sup>87</sup> reported clinical data from more than 1.6 million individuals, indicating that TSH levels tend to be lower in summer than in winter: the median TSH value was 1.38 in spring (March-May); 1.31 in summer (Jun-Aug); 1.41 in autumn (Sep-Nov), and 1.46 in winter (Dec-Feb). Consistent with this, Kim et al<sup>88</sup> reported a 1.4-fold increase in SCH frequency in winter-spring compared with that of summer-fall. Thus, in areas with a wide annual temperature range, it may be necessary to consider seasonal temperature variations, especially when evaluating for mild SCH. These results suggest that when TSH > 2.5 mIU/L is set as the universal cutoff value, there may be a difference in the prevalence of mild SCH in warm vs cold regions.

## 2.5 | TSH measurement methods

Currently, third-generation TSH assays are the main method for screening for primary hypothyroidism. Most of these assays are two-site “sandwich” immunoassays that use an enzyme or chemiluminescent label.<sup>89,90</sup> Unfortunately, the differences across these measurement methods affect the measured value of TSH.<sup>91</sup> Therefore, multicenter research studies employing different TSH measurement methods may produce results that are incomparable. In addition, it is difficult to compare data from published studies that used different third-generation measurement methods. Because of these issues, the IFCC C-STFT (International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Committee of Standardization of Thyroid Function Tests) has begun to develop reference measurement systems to establish traceability and comparability of TSH assays.<sup>92</sup>

## 2.6 | Hysterosalpingography

In hysterosalpingography (HSG), an oil-based or water-soluble iodine-containing contrast medium is used. It has been reported that patients receiving oil-based contrast media have a higher cumulative pregnancy rate after HSG than patients receiving water-based

contrast media.<sup>93-95</sup> However, oil-based contrast media have a longer retention period, which significantly increases the frequency of SCH compared with water-soluble contrast media.<sup>94,96,97</sup> Kaneshige et al<sup>96</sup> found that oil-based contrast media had a retention period of more than 6 months. When oil-based contrast media were introduced to patients with TSH < 2.5 mIU/L, the patients' TSH values exceeded 2.5 mIU/L (in 72.7% after 1 month, in 52.4% after 2 months, and in 46.7% after 6 months). Our group reported that the frequency of SCH (TSH > 2.89 mIU/L) was 22.6% after 1 month and 24.4% after 2-6 months from HSG with an oil-based contrast agent.<sup>94</sup> We also found that the frequency of SCH is significantly lower in HSG patients receiving water-soluble than oil-based contrast media: 9.5% after 1 month, 3.6% after 2-6 months. This means that the type of contrast medium used and the timing of HSG affect the frequency of SCH. Furthermore, the use of oil-soluble iodinated contrast medium may cause fetal hypothyroidism.<sup>98</sup> However, there are currently no data to suggest that the miscarriage rate increases after HSG with an oil-based contrast medium.

## 2.7 | Ovarian stimulation

Elevated serum estradiol (E2) concentration due to ovarian stimulation with ART is known to affect the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis and might be a risk factor for SCH (high estrogen levels → rise in thyroxine-binding globulin (TBG) → transient decrease in FT4 → rise in TSH<sup>99</sup>). Muller et al<sup>10</sup> reported that the TSH value before ovarian stimulation was  $2.3 \pm 0.3$  mIU/L and increased significantly to  $3.0 \pm 0.4$  mIU/L thereafter. Similarly, Poppe et al<sup>11</sup> reported a significant increase in TSH values after ovarian stimulation ( $1.8 \pm 0.9$  mIU/L pre-stimulation vs  $3.3 \pm 2.4$  mIU/L post-stimulation). They also found that the increase in TSH values after ovarian stimulation was higher in TPOAb-positive than in TPOAb-negative individuals. Benaglia et al<sup>12</sup> reported that 35% of patients with initial TSH values < 2.5 mIU/L had TSH levels higher than 2.5 mIU/L after ovarian stimulation. Therefore, the question of whether the presence of mild SCH before or after ovarian stimulation contributes to adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, is of special importance in patients undergoing ART.

## 2.8 | Changes in thyroid function owing to pregnancy

Gestational age and hormones that regulate pregnancy, including estrogen and hCG, affect thyroid function, including TSH levels, during pregnancy.

Estrogen, which increases during pregnancy, contributes to an increase in thyroxine-binding globulin (TBG) levels.<sup>99</sup> TBG begins to increase from early pregnancy and is high until the end of pregnancy. Serum TBG levels in pregnant women are approximately 2.5 times higher than those in nonpregnant women. The increased TBG level promotes thyroid hormone synthesis.

**TABLE 2** Characteristics of studies involving mild SCH patients with TSH > 2.5 mIU/L cutoff value

First author (Year) Country Study type	Patient background (Fertility treatment)	Euthyroid group (age) SCH group (age)	SCH judgement	Risk factors	Miscarriage rate
Baker (2006) <sup>10</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET/ Frozen-ET)	≤2.5: n = 272 (36.0 ± 4.2) >2.5: n = 92 (35.4 ± 4.7)	w/in 1 y before IVF	Method: CLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Negro (2010) <sup>11</sup> Italy Prospective cohort	Pregnant women (None)	<2.5: n = 3481 (28.7 ± 5) 2.5-5.0: n = 642 (29.2 ± 5)	w/in 11 wk of gestation	Method: Not shown TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
Reh (2010) <sup>12</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	<2.5: n = 807 (36.7 ± 4.8) ≥2.5: n = 248 (37.1 ± 4.7)	w/in 1 y before IVF	Method: Not shown TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Michalakakis (2011) <sup>13</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	0.4-2.5: n = 842 (34.9 ± 4.3) >2.5-4.0: n = 278 (35.1 ± 4.5)	w/in 6 wk before IVF	Method: Not shown TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Wang (2012) <sup>14</sup> China Prospective cohort	Pregnant women (Unknown)	<2.5: n = 542 (27.8 ± 0.1)* ≥2.5 n = 168 (28.1 ± 0.3)*	w/in 12 wk of gestation	Method: CLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
Busenelli (2013) <sup>15</sup> Italy Case-control	Infertile women (Fresh-ET)	≤2.5: n = 274 (34.9 ± 3.5) >2.5: n = 137 (35.0 ± 3.3) <sup>a</sup>	Before ovarian stimulation	Method: CLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb <sup>b</sup> HSG: Not shown	No difference
Chai (2014) <sup>16</sup> China Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	<2.5: n = 508 ≥2.5: n = 119 In total subjects: 35.3 ± 3.2	Before ovarian stimulation	Method: CLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Not shown	No difference
Mintzioti (2014) <sup>17</sup> Greece Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	0.5-2.5: n = 120 (37[7] <sup>†</sup> ) >2.5-4.5: n = 38 (37[8] <sup>†</sup> )	Before ovarian stimulation	Method: CLEIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Not shown	No difference
Liu (2014) <sup>18</sup> Liaoning Province, China Prospective cohort	Pregnant women <sup>c</sup> (None)	0.29-<2.5: n = 1061 (29.9 ± 3.5) 2.5-10.0: n = 755 (29.5 ± 3.4)	w/in 8 wk of gestation	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
Taylor (2014) <sup>19</sup> UK Retrospective cohort	Pregnant women (Unknown)	0.2-2.5: n = 199 2.51-4.5: n = 151 In total subjects: age range 18-45	First trimester	Method: Not shown TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Green (2015) <sup>20</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET/ Frozen-ET)	≤2.5: n = 1028 <sup>d</sup> >2.5: n = 571 <sup>e</sup> (34.9 ± 4.3)	8 d after ET	Method: ECLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference <sup>f</sup>
Hammond (2015) <sup>21</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (non-ART <sup>g</sup> /Fresh-ET/ Frozen-ET)	<2.5: n = 71 ≥2.5: n = 23 <sup>e</sup> In the total subjects: 33.0 ± 4.1	w/in 6 wk of gestation	Method: CLIA TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	No difference
Karmon (2015) <sup>22</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (IUI)	0.4-2.5: n = 1079 (34.8 ± 4.4) 2.5-4.99: n = 398 (35.1 ± 4.5)	Before IUI	Method: CLIA/ ECLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↓ <sup>h</sup>
Coelho Neto (2016) <sup>23</sup> Brazil Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	<2.5: n = 455 (35[7] <sup>†</sup> ) 2.5-4.0: n = 162 (35[6] <sup>†</sup> )	Unknown	Method: 3rd generation TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Gingold (2016) <sup>24</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	0.5-2.5: n = 773 (37.0 ± 4.6) 2.5-5.0: n = 317 (37.4 ± 4.4)	w/in 2 wk before IVF	Method: Not shown TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Unuane (2016) <sup>25</sup> Belgium Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (IUI)	<2.5: n = 2696 ≥2.5: n = 447 In total subjects: age range 19-46	Before IUI	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	No difference

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

First author (Year) Country Study type	Patient background (Fertility treatment)	Euthyroid group (age) SCH group (age)	SCH judgement	Risk factors	Miscarriage rate
Seungdamrong (2017) <sup>26</sup> USA Secondary analysis of RCT	Infertility women (non-ART <sup>§</sup> )	0.2-<2.5: n = 1146 (30.5 ± 4.5) 2.5-5.5: n = 322 (30.6 ± 4.5)	Before fertility treatment	Method: CLEIA TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	No difference
Uchida (2017) <sup>27</sup> Japan Retrospective cohort	RPL women (Unknown)	0.3-2.5: n = 261 (35.9 ± 4.3) >2.5-4.5: n = 56 (35.8 ± 3.9)	Before pregnancy	Method: ECLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Hernandez (2018) <sup>28</sup> Spain Retrospective study	Pregnant women (Unknown)	<2.5: n = 1448 2.5-5.0: n = 470 In the total subjects: 30.1 ± 5.7	9-12 wk of gestation	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
Tuncay (2018) <sup>29</sup> Turkey Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (IUI)	0.40-2.49: n = 233 (29.3 ± 4.4) 2.5-4.99: n = 69 (29.1 ± 4.4)	Before ovarian stimulation	Method: ECLIA TAI: Not measured HSG: Not shown	No difference
Jin (2019) <sup>30</sup> China Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (Fresh-ET)	≤2.5: n = 830 (31.5 ± 4.6) >2.5: n = 355 (31.0 ± 4.9)	Before ovarian stimulation	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	No difference
Kianpour (2019) <sup>31</sup> Iran Prospective cohort	Pregnant women <sup>c</sup> (Unknown)	0.1-2.5: n = 323 >2.5: n = 89 <sup>i</sup> In the total subjects: 28.8 ± 4.9	5-14 wk of gestation	Method: Not shown TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
Li (2019) <sup>32</sup> China Case-control	Pregnant women (Unknown)	0.4-2.5: n = 1684 2.5-4.87: n = 421 In total subjects: age range 19-40	4-9 wk of gestation	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Not shown	SCH patients ↑
So (2019) <sup>33</sup> Japan Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (non-ART <sup>§</sup> /Fresh-ET/ Frozen-ET)	<2.5: n = 1249 (34.3 ± 4.6) 2.5-3.5: n = 230 (34.8 ± 4.6)	First visit at the clinic/ before pregnancy	Method: ECLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Before HSG <sup>j</sup>	No difference
Turgay (2019) <sup>34</sup> Turkey Retrospective cohort	Infertility women (IUI)	0.5-2.49: n = 118 (28[23-44] <sup>†</sup> ) 2.5-5.0: n = 38 (30[22-36] <sup>‡</sup> )	w/in 3 mo before IUI	Method: CLEIA TAI: TgAb/TPOAb HSG: Not shown	No difference

Note: The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, median [interquartile range]<sup>†</sup>, median [min-max]<sup>‡</sup>, or mean ± standard error\*.

Abbreviations: ART, assisted reproductive technology; CLEIA, Chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay; CLIA, Chemiluminescence immunoassay; ECLIA, Electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay; ET, embryo transfer; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; HSG, hysterosalpingography; IUI, intrauterine insemination; IVF, in vitro fertilization; LT4, levothyroxine; RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss; SCH, subclinical hypothyroidism; TAI, thyroid autoimmunity.

<sup>a</sup>Patients with TSH > 2.5 mIU/L received LT4 before ovarian stimulation, but TSH level measurement did not follow.

<sup>b</sup>Thyroid antibody test was performed in the study group.

<sup>c</sup>Urinary iodine concentration was measured.

<sup>d</sup><0.5 (35.8 ± 4.5), 0.5-<1.0 (35.7 ± 3.7), 1.0-<1.5 (35.1 ± 4.3), 1.5-<2.0 (35.3 ± 4.2), 2.0-2.5 (35.3 ± 4.0).

<sup>e</sup>All subjects had TSH < 2.5 mIU/L before conception.

<sup>f</sup>The miscarriage rates were compared among each TSH group.

<sup>§</sup>Non-ART includes fertility medication and IUI.

<sup>h</sup>Miscarriage rate of patients with TSH 2.5-4.99 is lower compared to that of patients with TSH 0.4-1.36.

<sup>i</sup>Sixty-one out of 89 pregnant women who had TSH > 2.5 were treated with LT4.

<sup>j</sup>Thyroid function tests were performed before HSG.

The hCG has a high structural homology to TSH and is known to exhibit TSH-like activity.<sup>99,103,104</sup> Serum TSH shows a transient decline at 9-10 weeks of gestation when serum hCG is at its maximum (~100 000 IU/L) and a gradual increase after the second trimester. Glinoe<sup>99</sup> estimated that as hCG rises to 1000 IU/L, TSH shows a 0.1 mIU/L decrease. A decrease in TSH level is even more pronounced in twin pregnancies where hCG is higher than in single pregnancies.<sup>15</sup>

The trimester-specific range is used as the TSH reference value during pregnancy.<sup>8-9,99</sup> The ATA guideline recommends using a population-based reference range, defined based on local population data, as the upper limit of TSH during pregnancy.<sup>8</sup> If the population-based reference cannot be obtained, it is recommended that the reference value for pregnant women be 0.5 mIU/L lower than the upper limit of nonpregnant women for each TSH measurement method.

**TABLE 3** Characteristics of studies involving mild SCH patients receiving LT4 treatment

First author (Year) Country Study type	Patient background (Fertility treatment)	Control group	Study group	I. Start of LT4 II. Target TSH level III. Follow-up of TSH	Risk factors	Miscarriage
Bernardi (2013) <sup>35</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	PRL women (Unknown)	>2.5 w/o LT4 n = 39 (32.7 ± 4.3)	>2.5 with LT4 n = 221 (32.6 ± 3.9)	I. Before pregnancy II. <2.5 mIU/L, III. Yes	Method: Unknown TAI: Not shown HSG: Not shown	No difference <sup>a</sup>
Ma (2016) <sup>36</sup> China RCT <sup>b</sup>	Pregnant women (Unknown)	>2.5 w/o LT4 n = 996 (29[4] <sup>†</sup> )	>2.5 with LT4 n = 675 (31[4] <sup>†</sup> )	I. Early pregnancy II. Unknown, III. Yes	Method: CLIA TAI: TPOAb/TgAb HSG: Not shown	Study group ↓
Maraka (2017) <sup>37</sup> USA Retrospective cohort	Pregnant women (Unknown)	2.5-10.0 w/o LT4 n = 4562 (31.3 ± 5.2)	2.5-10.0 with LT4 n = 843 (31.7 ± 4.7)	I. First-Second trimester II. Unknown, III. Yes	Method: Not shown TAI: Not shown HSG: Not shown	Study group ↓
Kianpour (2019) <sup>31</sup> Iran Prospective cohort <sup>c</sup>	Pregnant women <sup>d</sup> (Unknown)	>2.5 w/o LT4 n = 28	>2.5 with LT4 n = 61	I. 5-14 weeks of gestation II. Unknown, III. No	Method: Not shown TAI: TPOAb HSG: Not shown	Study group ↓

Note: The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, as the median [interquartile range]<sup>†</sup>.

Abbreviations: CLIA, Chemiluminescence immunoassay; ECLIA, Electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay; ET, embryo transfer; HSG, hysterosalpingography; IVF, in vitro fertilization; LT4, levothyroxine; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss; SCH, subclinical hypothyroidism; TAI, thyroid autoimmunity.

<sup>a</sup>Euploid miscarriages were statistically significantly less frequent in the SCH group. Trisomic miscarriages were more frequent in the SCH group.

<sup>b</sup>Multicenter single blind RCT.

<sup>c</sup>A comparison of miscarriage rates between euthyroid and SCH is shown in Table 2.

<sup>d</sup>Urinary iodine concentration was measured.

### 3 | DOES MILD SCH CONTRIBUTE TO MISCARRIAGE?

We first selected 28 studies from the PubMed search of the relevant literature using TSH > 2.5 mIU/L as the cutoff value and the miscarriage rate as the outcome (Tables 2 and 3: one study<sup>31</sup> is included in both Tables 2 and 3). Then, we examined whether the factors affecting TSH values mentioned above were considered in the studies. We evaluated whether the reader could interpret the results considering these confounding factors, not whether the authors of the studies accounted for them. Thyroid autoantibodies were measured in 15 of the 28 studies (53.6%); seven of these 15 studies measured TPOAb only (46.7%), and eight measured both TPOAb and TgAb (53.3%). The reason only TPOAb was measured in some studies is probably that the ATA recommends the measurement of TPOAb as the first choice for detection of thyroid autoimmunity.<sup>8</sup>

Since the women studied were primarily of childbearing age, mostly in their thirties, we did not expect age to be a significant factor in the development of mild SCH in these particular studies. However, the contribution of mild SCH to miscarriages may increase with advancing age and obesity as they are known to be risk factors.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, these risk factors need to be considered in this context.

Iodine intake and average temperature can be assessed according to the country in which the study was conducted. The iodine intake status of a country or region can be found in the WHO report.<sup>17</sup> Whether to use different TSH cutoff points for countries with sufficient vs insufficient iodine intake, or for regions with high vs low average temperature, is an interesting question that requires further consideration. Only two studies<sup>18,31</sup> out of the 28 studies included measurement of urinary iodine. In common medical practice, however, it is not practical to assess urinary iodine in all cases.

Our review has established that the measurement method for TSH affects its value. This means that when TSH > 2.5 mIU/L is used as the cutoff value, the frequency of mild SCH diagnosis might vary due to the differences in TSH measurement methods. Therefore, the notation of the TSH measurement method is important and should be included in research studies. Of the 28 studies reviewed here, 19 studies reported a description of the TSH measurement method (67.9%). One study only described the measurement method as "third-generation." Currently, most TSH measurement methods are of the third generation. Since there are several types of third-generation measurement, merely describing the method as "third-generation" is insufficient. Furthermore, it should be noted that even if the TSH measurement principle is the same but the assay kits' components are different, TSH values may be affected.

HSG using iodine contrast media is one of the causes of transient mild SCH. However, only one study (our previous study<sup>33</sup>) considered the timing of TSH measurement relative to HSG. In addition, there was no report other than ours that indicated the type of contrast medium (oil-soluble or water-soluble) used. As mentioned earlier, when an oil-based contrast medium is used, the storage period in the body is more than half a year.<sup>96</sup> Therefore, if a patient begins intrauterine insemination (IUI) or IVF treatment several months after an HSG, the retention of iodine may cause transient mild SCH. Thus, at least the type of contrast medium used and the time relationship between TSH measurement and HSG should be indicated in research studies.

An increase in serum E2 concentration due to ovarian stimulation in IVF contributes to an increase in TSH concentration, whereas an increase in serum hCG concentration in early pregnancy leads to a decrease in TSH concentration. Therefore, information on the time when TSH was measured is important in evaluating mild SCH. Of the 28 studies, 27 described clearly the timing of TSH measurement relative to fertility treatment or weeks of pregnancy (96.7%). Among 25 studies comparing miscarriage rates in euthyroid women (TSH < 2.5 mIU/L) and women with mild SCH (TSH > 2.5 mIU/L), six have found an increase in miscarriage rates in the latter group (Table 2). Notably, all of them were diagnosed as having mild SCH based on TSH values after the onset of pregnancy. Conversely, in women who underwent IUI, Karmon et al<sup>22</sup> reported a lower miscarriage rate in women with mild SCH than in euthyroid women. However, this study evaluated mild SCH before ovarian stimulation, and TSH values in early pregnancy are unknown. Taken together, these results suggest that the presence of mild SCH in early pregnancy may be a stronger contributor to miscarriage than the presence of mild SCH when planning pregnancy. In addition, of the four studies that evaluated the effect of LT4 on mild SCH, three studies showed a reduction in miscarriage rate with LT4 treatment and they included patients with mild SCH in early pregnancy. The fourth study that did not show any benefit from LT4 treatment included patients with mild SCH before pregnancy. Since there is no compelling evidence that developing mild SCH before pregnancy contributes to miscarriage, there is also no evidence to support the recommendation that all patients with TSH > 2.5 mIU/L should receive LT4 treatment before pregnancy. TSH in women during early pregnancy is lower than that in non-pregnancy women who are planning pregnancy. Therefore, if the same cutoff value (TSH 2.5 mIU/L) is used in nonpregnant and pregnant women, aggressive treatment of nonpregnant women with TSH > 2.5 mIU/L may be an overtreatment among women with low-risk miscarriage. Thus, changes in TSH levels before and after pregnancy make it difficult to evaluate SCH in women who wish to become pregnant, and the timing of starting LT4 supplementation is being discussed.

Whether mild SCH contributes to adverse pregnancy events, including miscarriage, is a topic of great interest, and many studies conducted globally have addressed it. In order to allow other researchers to accurately compare and evaluate their data across

studies, it is necessary to provide sufficient information on the exact method and timing of the evaluation for mild SCH.

## 4 | CONCLUSION

TSH secretion is influenced by many factors. When evaluating mild SCH in infertility treatment, the effects of HSG and ovarian stimulation cannot be ignored. Our review has demonstrated that many factors affecting TSH should be considered when evaluating a patient for mild SCH, including the timing and exact method of TSH measurement, as well as the age, diet, geographical location, and ethnicity of the patient. The review of the 28 studies considered here indicates that all reports of mild SCH contributing to miscarriage involved a diagnosis in early pregnancy, as opposed to before pregnancy. The question of whether TSH > 2.5 mIU/L is an appropriate cutoff value for the diagnosis of mild SCH remains controversial, and the essential problem is the handling of so-called "gray area cases" of SCH (such as when TSH < 4.5 mIU/L). It is necessary to continue to study how mild SCH contributes to adverse events in infertility treatment and pregnancy.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Editage ([www.editage.jp](http://www.editage.jp)) for English language editing.

## DISCLOSURES

*Conflict of interest:* Shuhei So is affiliated with the funded laboratory of Tawara IVF Clinic. Fumiko Tawara declares that they have no conflict of interest. *Human/Animal rights:* This article does not contain any studies with human and animal subjects performed by the any of the authors.

## ORCID

Shuhei So  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1730-6693>

## REFERENCES

1. Davis LE, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG. Hypothyroidism complicating pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1988;72:108-112.
2. Leung AS, Millar LK, Koonings PP, Montoro M, Mestman JH. Perinatal outcome in hypothyroid pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1993;81:349-353.
3. Wasserstrum N, Anania CA. Perinatal consequences of maternal hypothyroidism in early pregnancy and inadequate replacement. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* 1995;42:353-358.
4. Allan WC, Haddow JE, Palomaki GE, et al. Maternal thyroid deficiency and pregnancy complications: implications for population screening. *J Med Screen.* 2000;7:127-130.
5. Abalovich M, Gutierrez S, Alcaraz G, et al. Overt and subclinical hypothyroidism complicating pregnancy. *Thyroid.* 2002;12:63-68.
6. Vissenberg R, van den Boogaard E, van Wely M, et al. Treatment of thyroid disorders before conception and in early pregnancy: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2012;18:360-373.
7. Maraka S, Ospina NM, O'Keefe DT, et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thyroid.* 2016;26:580-590.



8. Alexander EK, Pearce EN, Brent GA, et al. 2017 guidelines of the American thyroid association for the diagnosis and management of thyroid disease during pregnancy and the postpartum. *Thyroid*. 2017;27:315-389.
9. De Groot L, Abalovich M, Alexander EK, et al. Management of thyroid dysfunction during pregnancy and postpartum: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97:2543-2565.
10. Baker VL, Rone HM, Pasta DJ, et al. Correlation of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) level with pregnancy outcome in women undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194:1668-1675.
11. Negro R, Schwartz A, Gismondi R, Tinelli A, Mangieri T, Stagnaro-Green A. Increased pregnancy loss rate in thyroid antibody negative women with TSH levels between 2.5 and 5.0 in the first trimester of pregnancy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;95:E44-E48.
12. Reh A, Grifo J, Danoff A. What is a normal thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level? Effects of stricter TSH thresholds on pregnancy outcomes after in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril*. 2010;94:2920-2922.
13. Michalakis KG, Mesen TB, Brayboy LM, et al. Subclinical elevations of thyroid-stimulating hormone and assisted reproductive technology outcomes. *Fertil Steril*. 2011;95:2634-2637.
14. Wang S, Teng WP, Li JX, Wang WW, Shan ZY. Effects of maternal subclinical hypothyroidism on obstetrical outcomes during early pregnancy. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2012;35:322-325.
15. Busnelli A, Somigliana E, Benaglia L, et al. In vitro fertilization outcomes in treated hypothyroidism. *Thyroid*. 2013;23:1319-1325.
16. Chai J, Yeung WY, Lee CY, et al. Live birth rates following in vitro fertilization in women with thyroid autoimmunity and/or subclinical hypothyroidism. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2014;80:122-127.
17. Mintzioti G, Goulis DG, Gialamas E, et al. Association of TSH concentrations and thyroid autoimmunity with IVF outcome in women with TSH concentrations within normal adult range. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2014;77:84-88.
18. Liu H, Shan Z, Li C, et al. Maternal subclinical hypothyroidism, thyroid autoimmunity, and the risk of miscarriage: a prospective cohort study. *Thyroid*. 2014;24:1642-1649.
19. Taylor PN, Minassian C, Rehman A, et al. TSH levels and risk of miscarriage in women on long-term levothyroxine: a community-based study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;99:3895-3902.
20. Green KA, Werner MD, Franasiak JM, Juneau CR, Hong KH, Scott RT Jr. Investigating the optimal preconception TSH range for patients undergoing IVF when controlling for embryo quality. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2015;32:1469-1476.
21. Hammond KR, Cataldo NA, Hubbard JA, Malizia BA, Steinkamp MP. Gestational hypothyroidism: development of mild hypothyroidism in early pregnancy in previously euthyroid women. *Fertil Steril*. 2015;103:1532-1536.
22. Karmon AE, Batsis M, Chavarro JE, Souter I. Preconceptional thyroid-stimulating hormone levels and outcomes of intrauterine insemination among euthyroid infertile women. *Fertil Steril*. 2015;103:258-263.
23. Coelho Neto MA, Martins WP, Melo AS, Ferriani RA, Navarro PA. Subclinical hypothyroidism and intracytoplasmic sperm injection outcomes. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet*. 2016;38:552-558.
24. Gingold JA, Zafman K, Rodriguez-Purata J, et al. Do elevated TSH levels predict early pregnancy loss in ART patients? *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2016;32:973-976.
25. Unuane D, Velkeniers B, Deridder S, Bravenboer B, Tournaye H, De Brucker M. Impact of thyroid autoimmunity on cumulative delivery rates in in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection patients. *Fertil Steril*. 2016;106:144-150.
26. Seungdamrong A, Steiner AZ, Gracia CR, et al. Preconceptional antithyroid peroxidase antibodies, but not thyroid-stimulating hormone, are associated with decreased live birth rates in infertile women. *Fertil Steril*. 2017;108:843-850.
27. Uchida S, Maruyama T, Kagami M, et al. Impact of borderline-subclinical hypothyroidism on subsequent pregnancy outcome in women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2017;43:1014-1020.
28. Hernández M, López C, Soldevila B, et al. Impact of TSH during the first trimester of pregnancy on obstetric and foetal complications: usefulness of 2.5 mIU/L cut-off value. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2018;88:728-734.
29. Tuncay G, Karaer A, İnci Coşkun E, Baloğlu D, Tecelioğlu AN. The impact of thyroid-stimulating hormone levels in euthyroid women on intrauterine insemination outcome. *BMC Womens Health*. 2018;18:51.
30. Jin L, Wang M, Yue J, Zhu GJ, Zhang B. Association between TSH level and pregnancy outcomes in euthyroid women undergoing IVF/ICSI: a retrospective study and meta-analysis. *Curr Med Sci*. 2019;39:631-637.
31. Kianpour M, Aminorroaya A, Amini M, Feizi A, Aminorroaya Yamini S, Janghorbani M. Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) serum levels and risk of spontaneous abortion: a prospective population-based cohort study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2019;91:163-169.
32. Li J, Liu A, Liu H, et al. Maternal TSH levels at first trimester and subsequent spontaneous miscarriage: a nested case-control study. *Endocr Connect*. 2019;1(8):1288-1293.
33. So S, Yamaguchi W, Murabayashi N, Miyano N, Tawara F. Effect of moderately increased thyroid-stimulating hormone levels and presence of thyroid antibodies on pregnancy among infertile women. *Reprod Med Biol*. 2020;19(1):82-88.
34. Turgay B, Şükür YE, Ulubaşoğlu H, et al. The association of thyroid stimulating hormone levels and intrauterine insemination outcomes of euthyroid unexplained subfertile couples. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2019;240:99-102.
35. Bernardi LA, Cohen RN, Stephenson MD. Impact of subclinical hypothyroidism in women with recurrent early pregnancy loss. *Fertil Steril*. 2013;100:1326-1331.
36. Ma L, Qi H, Chai X, et al. The effects of screening and intervention of subclinical hypothyroidism on pregnancy outcomes: a prospective multicenter single-blind, randomized, controlled study of thyroid function screening test during pregnancy. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2016;29:1391-1394.
37. Maraka S, Mwangi R, McCoy RG, et al. Thyroid hormone treatment among pregnant women with subclinical hypothyroidism: US national assessment. *BMJ*. 2017;356:i6865.
38. Hollowell JG, Staehling NW, Flanders WD, et al. Serum TSH, T(4), and thyroid antibodies in the United States population (1988 to 1994): National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;87:489-499.
39. Poppe K, Velkeniers B, Glinoeer D. The role of thyroid autoimmunity in fertility and pregnancy. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;4:394-405.
40. Thangaratinam S, Tan A, Knox E, Kilby MD, Franklyn J, Coomarasamy A. Association between thyroid autoantibodies and miscarriage and preterm birth: meta-analysis of evidence. *BMJ*. 2011;342:d2616.
41. Negro R, Formoso G, Mangieri T, Pezzarossa A, Dazzi D, Hassan H. Levothyroxine treatment in euthyroid pregnant women with autoimmune thyroid disease: effects on obstetrical complications. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;91:2587-2591.
42. Tomer Y, Davies TF. Searching for the autoimmune thyroid disease susceptibility genes: from gene mapping to gene function. *Endocr Rev*. 2003;24:694-717.
43. Yanagawa T, Hidaka Y, Guimaraes V, Soliman M, DeGroot LJ. CTLA-4 gene polymorphism associated with Graves' disease in a Caucasian population. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1995;80:41-45.

44. Ueda H, Howson JM, Esposito L, et al. Association of the T-cell regulatory gene CTLA4 with susceptibility to autoimmune disease. *Nature*. 2003;423:506-511.
45. Tomer Y, Concepcion E, Greenberg DA. A C/T single-nucleotide polymorphism in the region of the CD40 gene is associated with Graves' disease. *Thyroid*. 2002;12:1129-1135.
46. Smyth D, Cooper JD, Collins JE, et al. Replication of an association between the lymphoid tyrosine phosphatase locus (LYP/PTPN22) with type 1 diabetes, and evidence for its role as a general autoimmunity locus. *Diabetes*. 2004;53:3020-3023.
47. Tomer Y, Greenberg DA, Concepcion E, Ban Y, Davies TF. Thyroglobulin is a thyroid specific gene for the familial autoimmune thyroid diseases. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;87:404-407.
48. Collins JE, Heward JM, Carr-Smith J, Daykin J, Franklyn JA, Gough SC. Association of a rare thyroglobulin gene microsatellite variant with autoimmune thyroid disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2003;88:5039-5042.
49. Dechairo BM, Zabaneh D, Collins J, et al. Association of the TSHR gene with Graves' disease: the first disease specific locus. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2005;13:1223-1230.
50. Wang X, Cheng W, Ma Y, Zhu J. Vitamin D receptor gene FokI but not TaqI, Apal, BsmI polymorphism is associated with Hashimoto's thyroiditis: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:41540.
51. Ban Y. Genetic factors of autoimmune thyroid diseases in Japanese. *Autoimmune Dis*. 2012;2012:236981.
52. Takeda K, Mishiba M, Sugiura H, Nakajima A, Kohama M, Hiramatsu S. Evaluated reference intervals for serum free thyroxine and thyrotropin using the conventional outlier rejection test without regard to presence of thyroid antibodies and prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in Japanese subjects. *Endocr J*. 2009;56:1059-1066.
53. Noso S, Park C, Babaya N, et al. Organ specificity in autoimmune diseases: thyroid and islet autoimmunity in alopecia areata. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2015;100:1976-1983.
54. Mariotti S, Caturegli P, Piccolo P, Barbesino G, Pinchera A. Antithyroid peroxidase autoantibodies in thyroid diseases. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1990;71:661-669.
55. McLachlan SM, Rapoport B. Why measure thyroglobulin autoantibodies rather than thyroid peroxidase autoantibodies? *Thyroid*. 2004;14:510-520.
56. Surks MI, Hollowell JG. Age-specific distribution of serum thyrotropin and antithyroid antibodies in the US population: implications for the prevalence of subclinical hypothyroidism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;92:4575-4582.
57. Yoshihara A, Noh JY, Ohye H, et al. Reference limits for serum thyrotropin in a Japanese population. *Endocr J*. 2011;58:585-588.
58. Biondi B. Thyroid and obesity: an intriguing relationship. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;95:3614-3617.
59. Knudsen N, Laurberg P, Rasmussen LB, et al. Small differences in thyroid function may be important for body mass index and the occurrence of obesity in the population. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2005;90:4019-4024.
60. Chakraborti S, Chakraborti T, Mandal M, Das S, Batabyal SK. Hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis status of humans during development of ageing process. *Clin Chim Acta*. 1999;288:137-145.
61. Park SY, Kim HI, Oh HK, et al. Age- and gender-specific reference intervals of TSH and free T4 in an iodine-replete area: data from Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey IV (2013-2015). *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13:e0190738.
62. Rosenbaum M, Hirsch J, Murphy E, Leibel RL. Effects of changes in body weight on carbohydrate metabolism, catecholamine excretion, and thyroid function. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000;71:1421-1432.
63. Sari R, Balci MK, Altunbas H, Karayalcin U. The effect of body weight and weight loss on thyroid volume and function in obese women. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2003;59:258-262.
64. Santini F, Marzullo P, Rotondi M, et al. Mechanisms in endocrinology: the crosstalk between thyroid gland and adipose tissue: signal integration in health and disease. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2014;171:R137-R152.
65. Harris M, Aschkenasi C, Elias CF, et al. Transcriptional regulation of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone gene by leptin and melanocortin signaling. *J Clin Invest*. 2001;107:111-120.
66. Guo F, Bakal K, Minokoshi Y, Hollenberg AN. Leptin signaling targets the thyrotropin-releasing hormone gene promoter in vivo. *Endocrinology*. 2004;145:2221-2227.
67. Miyai K, Tokushige T, Kondo M; Iodine Research Group. Suppression of thyroid function during ingestion of seaweed "Kombu" (*Laminaria japonica*) in normal Japanese adults. *Endocr J*. 2008;55:1103-1108.
68. Wolff J, Chaikoff IL, Goldberg RC, Meier JR. The temporary nature of the inhibitory action of excess iodine on organic iodine synthesis in the normal thyroid. *Endocrinology*. 1949;45:504-513.
69. Bouga M, Combet E. Emergence of seaweed and seaweed-containing foods in the UK: focus on labeling, iodine content, toxicity and nutrition. *Foods*. 2015;4:240-253.
70. Candido AC, Morais NS, Dutra LV, et al. Insufficient iodine intake in pregnant women in different regions of the world: a systematic review. *Arch Endocrinol Metab*. 2019;63:306-311.
71. Fuse Y, Shishiba Y, Irie M. Gestational changes of thyroid function and urinary iodine in thyroid antibody-negative Japanese women. *Endocr J*. 2013;60:1095-1106.
72. Zimmermann M, Delange F. Iodine supplementation of pregnant women in Europe: a review and recommendations. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2004;58:979-984.
73. Li Y, Teng D, Shan Z, et al. Antithyroperoxidase and antithyroglobulin antibodies in a five-year follow-up survey of populations with different iodine intakes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93:1751-1757.
74. Völzke H, Alte D, Kohlmann T, et al. Reference intervals of serum thyroid function tests in a previously iodine-deficient area. *Thyroid*. 2005;15:279-285.
75. Caldwell KL, Makhmudov A, Ely E, Jones RL, Wang RY. Iodine status of the U.S. population, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2005-2006 and 2007-2008. *Thyroid*. 2011;21:419-427.
76. Patel YC, Alford FP, Burger HG. The 24-hour plasma thyrotrophin profile. *Clin Sci*. 1972;43:71-77.
77. Ehrenkranz J, Bach PR, Snow GL, et al. Circadian and circannual rhythms in thyroid hormones: determining the TSH and free T4 reference intervals based upon time of day, age, and sex. *Thyroid*. 2015;25:954-961.
78. Russell W, Harrison RF, Smith N, et al. Free triiodothyronine has a distinct circadian rhythm that is delayed but parallels thyrotropin levels. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93:2300-2306.
79. Dimitrov S, Lange T, Tiekens S, Fehm HL, Born J. Sleep associated regulation of T helper 1/T helper 2 cytokine balance in humans. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2004;18:341-348.
80. Kamat V, Hecht WL, Rubin RT. Influence of meal composition on the postprandial response of the pituitary-thyroid axis. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 1995;133:75-79.
81. Nair R, Mahadevan S, Muralidharan RS, Madhavan S. Does fasting or postprandial state affect thyroid function testing? *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;18:705-707.
82. Lucke K, Höffken B, von zur Mühlen A. The effect of somatostatin on TSH levels in patients with primary hypothyroidism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1975;41:1082-1084.
83. Weeke J, Hansen AP, Lundaek K. Inhibition by somatostatin of basal levels of serum thyrotropin (TSH) in normal men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1975;41:168-171.
84. Chan JL, Heist K, DePaoli AM, Veldhuis JD, Mantzoros CS. The role of falling leptin levels in the neuroendocrine and metabolic

- adaptation to short-term starvation in healthy men. *J Clin Invest.* 2003;111:1409-1421.
85. Goel N, Stunkard AJ, Rogers NL, et al. Circadian rhythm profiles in women with night eating syndrome. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2009;24:85-94.
  86. Iwen KA, Oelkrug R, Brabant G. Effects of thyroid hormones on thermogenesis and energy partitioning. *J Mol Endocrinol.* 2018;60:R157-R170.
  87. Yoshihara A, Noh JY, Watanabe N, et al. Seasonal changes in serum thyrotropin concentrations observed from big data obtained during six consecutive years from 2010 to 2015 at a single hospital in Japan. *Thyroid.* 2018;28:429-436.
  88. Kim TH, Kim KW, Ahn HY, et al. Effect of seasonal changes on the transition between subclinical hypothyroid and euthyroid status. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;98:3420-3429.
  89. Spencer CA, LoPresti JS, Patel A, et al. Applications of a new chemiluminometric thyrotropin assay to subnormal measurement. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1990;70:453-460.
  90. Blackburn GF, Shah HP, Kenten JH, et al. Electrochemiluminescence detection for development of immunoassays and DNA probe assays for clinical diagnostics. *Clin Chem.* 1991;37:1534-1539.
  91. Beckett G, MacKenzie F. Thyroid guidelines – are thyroid-stimulating hormone assays fit for purpose? *Ann Clin Biochem.* 2007;44:203-208.
  92. Thienpont LM, Van Uytvanghe K, De Grande LAC, et al. Harmonization of serum thyroid-stimulating hormone measurements paves the way for the adoption of a more uniform reference interval. *Clin Chem.* 2017;63:1248-1260.
  93. Dreyer K, van Rijswijk J, Mijatovic V, et al. Oil-based or water-based contrast for hysterosalpingography in infertile women. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:2043-2052.
  94. So S, Yamaguchi W, Tajima H, et al. The effect of oil and water-soluble contrast medium in hysterosalpingography on thyroid function. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2017;33:682-685.
  95. Fang F, Bai Y, Zhang Y, Faramand A. Oil-based versus water-based contrast for hysterosalpingography in infertile women: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Fertil Steril.* 2018;110:153-160.
  96. Kaneshige T, Arata N, Harada S, et al. Changes in serum iodine concentration, urinary iodine excretion and thyroid function after hysterosalpingography using an oil-soluble iodinated contrast medium (lipiodol). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;100:E469-E472.
  97. Mearu K, Kamiyama S, Masamoto H, Sakumoto K, Aoki Y. Thyroid function after hysterosalpingography using an oil-soluble iodinated contrast medium. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2008;24:498-501.
  98. Satoh M, Aso K, Katagiri Y. Thyroid dysfunction in neonates born to mothers who have undergone hysterosalpingography involving an oil-soluble iodinated contrast medium. *Horm Res Paediatr.* 2015;84:370-375.
  99. Glinoe D. The regulation of thyroid function in pregnancy: pathways of endocrine adaptation from physiology to pathology. *Endocr Rev.* 1997;18:404-433.
  100. Muller AF, Verhoeff A, Mantel MJ, De Jong FH, Berghout A. Decrease of free thyroxine levels after controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85:545-548.
  101. Poppe K, Glinoe D, Tournaye H, et al. Impact of ovarian hyperstimulation on thyroid function in women with and without thyroid autoimmunity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2004;89:3808-3812.
  102. Benaglia L, Busnelli A, Somigliana E, et al. Incidence of elevation of serum thyroid-stimulating hormone during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for in vitro fertilization. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2014;173:53-57.
  103. Glinoe D, de Nayer P, Bourdoux P, et al. Regulation of maternal thyroid during pregnancy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1990;71(2):276-287.
  104. Glinoe D, Spencer CA. Serum TSH determinations in pregnancy: how, when and why? *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2010;6:526-529.
  105. Grün JP, Meuris S, De Nayer P, Glinoe D. The thyrotrophic role of human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) in the early stages of twin (versus single) pregnancies. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* 1997;46:719-725.
  106. Agenor A, Bhattacharya S. Infertility and miscarriage: common pathways in manifestation and management. *Womens Health (Lond).* 2015;11:527-541.
  107. World Health Organization (WHO). *Iodine Status Worldwide, WHO Global Database on Iodine Deficiency.* Geneva: Department of Nutrition for Health and Development, World Health Organization; 2004.

**How to cite this article:** So S, Tawara F. Risk factors of subclinical hypothyroidism and the potential contribution to miscarriage: A review. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2020;19:232-242.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/rmb2.12325>