

# ZBED2 expression enhances interferon signaling and predicts better survival of estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer patients

#### Dear Editor.

The molecular pathogenesis of estrogen receptor (ER)negative, especially triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), is unclear, thus, the treatment of these types of cancers is still a great challenge [1]. Chemotherapy remains the primary adjuvant treatment choice for TNBC patients [2], but a large number of these tumors are resistant to chemotherapy and relapse or metastasize quickly after adjuvant therapy [3]. Hence, TNBC is still a disease related to poor prognosis and limited treatment options. However, tumor lymphocyte infiltration in the TNBC microenvironment is related to good prognosis and chemotherapy response [4]. To avoid over-treatment, it is imperative to identify reliable markers to predict outcome and treatment response in TNBC patients.

The activation of immune response genes has been proved to be a good prognostic factor for ER-negative cancers [5]. Previously, we [6, 7] and others [8, 9] have identified some prognostic gene signatures for ER-negative, triple-negative, and basal-like BCs. However, more sensitive and effective prognostic biomarkers for these cancer subtypes are in urgent need.

Transcription factors (TFs) are key regulators of many biological processes, including cell differentiation and proliferation. TFs are situated in the hubs of a complex network shaping the hallmarks of human cancer, and aberrant epigenetic/genetic alterations of TFs are involved in

Abbreviations: BC, breast cancer; CSCs, cancer stem cells; DMFS, distant-metastasis-free survival; ER, estrogen receptor; FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript sequence per Millions base pairs sequenced; GO, Gene Ontology; GSEA, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; HR, hazard ratio; IFN, interferon; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IRF9, IFN regulatory factor 9; ISGs, interferon stimulated genes; OS, overall survival; PDA, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, progesterone receptor; RFS, relapse-free survival; shRNAs, short-hairpin RNA; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TFs, transcription factors; TNBC, triple-negative BC.

the tumorigenesis of many cancers [10]. The expression of many TFs was found to be associated with patient survival in various cancers [10]. A deeper understanding of TF regulation opens up new possibilities for using TFs as targets of anticancer drugs or biomarkers for predicting prognosis. Here, we examined the association of 1198 TFs with the prognosis of patients with ER-negative BC. Eight independent BC cohorts (n = 13,434) were analyzed. Gene functions were predicted using Bayesian binary regression and gene set enrichment approaches, and were further validated by in vitro functional studies. Our aim was to identify TFs associated with the molecular pathogenesis of ERnegative BC and to provide a more specific classification of clinical significance.

Cox regression analysis of these 1198 genes in four U133based cohorts (cohorts 2, 3, 4 and CIT) showed that 42 genes were significantly associated with the recurrence of ER-negative BCs (Supplementary Figure S1A, Supplementary Table S1). Among the 42 TF genes, 25 genes including interferon regulatory factor (IRF)1, 2, 8 & 9 and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)1 & 4 were annotated under the inflammation/immune-related functional terms (Supplementary Figure S1B). Interestingly, these 25 genes were all favorable factors for the relapsefree survival of ER-negative BC patients (Supplementary Figure S1A). These data suggested that TF genes that regulate inflammation/immune signaling may have important roles in ER-negative BC.

We were particularly interested in the Zinc Finger BED-Type Containing 2 (ZBED2) gene because it is the most significantly associated gene with the survival of ER-negative BC patients among the 42 candidate TF genes (Supplementary Figure S1A). To validate whether these ZBED2 Affymetrix array-based results could be repeated in cohorts from other array platforms, three additional BC cohorts were included and a total of 13,412 cases (11,146 cases have survival information) were tested in this study (Supplementary Table S2). Univariate Cox analysis showed that

.....

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Cancer Communications published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd. on behalf of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center.





**FIGURE 1** Prediction and validation of biological functions of *ZBED2*. (A) Heatmap of the Spearman coefficients for the correlations between *ZBED2* expression and 27 cancer-related pathways in five cohorts based on the Affymetrix U133 platform. The pathway activities were computed using BinReg approach as described in Supplementary Methods. Each cell represents one coefficient value. (B) Dot plot showing *ZBED2*-related enrichment of hallmark gene sets in GSEA of all the 8 cohorts in this study. Each node dot indicates that the corresponding hallmark gene set is enriched in ER-negative BC with higher expression of *ZBED2* in the corresponding BC cohort. The dot size represents the enrichment degree (shown as the absolute value of NES minus 1.35) of hallmark gene sets. The color intensity of dotes represents the FDR values obtained in GSEA analysis. The 7 gene sets that show enrichment in all of the 8 cohorts were highlighted in

*ZBED2* expression was consistently associated with better survival of ER-negative BC but not in ER-positive BC in all the 7 cohorts (Supplementary Figure S2A-B). *ZBED2* expression was also consistently associated with better outcome in TNBC and basal-like BC in most of the 7 cohorts (Supplementary Figure S2C-D). Although *ZBED2* expression was also a strong predictor for human epidermal growth factor receptor2 (HER2)-enriched BC, interestingly, it was only weakly associated with survival of HER2positve BC patients in most of the 7 cohorts (Supplementary Figure S2E-F).

Multivariate regression analysis showed that after adjusting for different clinical variables in all seven cohorts, the association of *ZBED2* expression with survival remained significant in all ER-negative and some basal-like BC subcohorts (Supplementary Figure S3, Supplementary Table S3), suggesting that *ZBED2* expression is an independent prognostic factor of BC. The significant impact of *ZBED2* expression on ER-negative BC and TNBC outcomes was also supported by Kaplan-Meier analysis (Supplementary Figure S4).

To unravel the potential biological processes associated with ZBED2, we analyzed 27 tumor-related pathways and found that *ZBED2* expression was most associated with immune-related signal pathways, including interferon  $\alpha$ (IFN $\alpha$ ), IFN $\gamma$  and tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF $\alpha$ ) pathways in BC, particularly in ER-negative, triple-negative, basal-like and HER2-enriched BCs (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figures S5-S6). Interestingly, the expression of *ZBED2* in these BC subtypes was similarly higher than that of ER-positive and Luminal BCs (Supplementary Figure S7).

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed that 12 hallmark gene sets were significantly enriched in ERnegative BCs with high *ZBED2* expression in at least 3 cohorts, and 7 gene sets were enriched in all the 7 cohorts (Figure 1B, Supplementary Table S4). These 7 gene sets are all related to immune/inflammation response. Notably, compared to the other enriched gene sets, the two IFN gene sets had a much higher percentage of genes contributing to the enrichment score (i.e., higher leading edge subset) (Supplementary Figures S8-S9, Supplementary Table S4). Overall, these data suggested that *ZBED2* may be involved in immune/inflammation processes, most likely in IFN signaling in ER-negative BCs.

To validate the effect of *ZBED2* on the IFN pathway, the effects of ZBED2 on the expression of several key components of IFN pathways in IFN $\gamma$ -treated BC cells were analyzed using Immunoblotting. We demonstrated that specific interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), STAT2, MX dynamin-like GTPase 1 (MX1), and IRF9, were induced as expected in response to IFN treatment, and the expression induction was significantly exacerbated by forced expression of ZBED2 in ER-negative BC cell lines MDA-MB-231 and SK-BR-3 (Figure 1C). These results indicated that ZBED2 functions as a stimulator of a specific subset of ISGs.

To further substantiate the cancer-relevant function of *ZBED2*, we performed clonogenic assays following *ZBED2* overexpression or knockdown in both cell lines. *ZBED2* knockdown using short-hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) resulted in a significant increase in anchorage-independent growth, whereas *ZBED2* overexpression repressed growth significantly in both cell lines (Figures 1D and 1E). These results indicated that *ZBED2* functions as a tumor suppressor through enhancing the IFN signaling in BC, at least in ERnegative BC or TNBC.

RNA-sequencing data from our ER-negative/triplenegative BC patient samples further confirmed that *ZBED2* expression was positively correlated with expression of the above-mentioned ISGs (Supplementary Figure S10A-C). Samples with higher *ZBED2* expression also had significantly higher IFN signaling activity (represented by IFN 6-gene score) than those with lower *ZBED2* expression (Supplementary Figure S10D). Kaplan-Meier analysis of this in-house dataset showed that, similarly to IFN 6-gene signature, the expression of *ZBED2* is a significant favorable survival factor in ER-negative BC (Supplementary Figure S10E-F).

Together, our research found that *ZBED2* was related to immune response, supporting that TF genes that regulate inflammation/immune signaling play important roles in the molecular pathogenesis of ER-negative BC/TNBC. Additionally, we provide evidence that the effect of *ZBED2* 

rectangle. (C) Effects of *ZBED2* on ISG expression induced by IFN $\gamma$  in two ER-negative BC cell lines MDA-MB-231 and SK-BR-3. Cells infected with or without *ZBED2* lentivirus were treated with IFN $\gamma$  for 12 h prior to Western blotting analysis. (D) Upregulation or downregulation of ZBED2 is observed after lentivirus-transduced overexpression or knockdown using Western blotting analysis. (E) Colony formation of MDA-MB-231 and SK-BR-3 cells upon overexpression or silence of *ZBED2*. The cells were recovered in regular media for 10 days, and colonies were counted from more than three experiments. Left panel: A representative result of colony formation of BC cells. Right panel: Bar graph presentation of the colony numbers (mean ± standard deviation) from three experiments. Abbreviations: ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; AR, androgen receptor; BCAT, Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; GluDep, glucose deprivation; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IFN, interferon; IGF1, insulin like growth factor 1; LacAci, lactic acidosis; NES, normalized enrichment score; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinases; PR, progesterone receptor; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TGF $\beta$ , transforming growth factor- $\beta$ ; TNF $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  was related to promoting IFN signaling in ER-negative BC/TNBC. We also found that ZBED2 promoted the expression of IRF9 and improved the survival rate of TNBC patients. Therefore, the expression of ZBED2 and/or IRF9 can provide early insight into the prognosis of TNBC patients to identify those patients who are unlikely to respond to chemotherapy alone and may benefit from further immune-based treatment intervention.

In conclusion, *ZBED2* can be used to predict the immune status of tumor and the risk of metastasis/recurrence before or during neoadjuvant chemotherapy to implement replacement therapy early and decrease the mortality of ER-negative BC/TNBC patients.

## DECLARATIONS ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Compliance with ethical standard

## CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable for this study.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The results here are based upon the breast cancer microarray data from public repositories. We are very grateful to the investigators who contributed these microarray datasets to the public domain.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest, except that Liu has equity interest in Bluewater Biotech LLC.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DL and YW designed the study. DL, QH, JL, QL, KW, YW, JV, and YW performed the experiments and data interpretation. DL and YW wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The datasets or codes used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## FUNDING

This work was supported in part by NIH-NIMHD U54MD007598, U54CA143931, NIH/NCI1U54CA14393, U56 CA101599-01; Department-of-Defense Breast Cancer Research Program grant BC043180, NIH/NCATS CTSI UL1TR000124 to J.V. Vadgama; Accelerating Excellence in Translational Science (AXIS) Pilot Grants G0812D05, NIH/NCI SC1CA200517 and 9 SC1 GM135050-05 to Y. Wu;

NIH/NIMHD Accelerating Excellence in Translational Science (AXIS) Pilot Grants G0814C01 (Q. Hao).

Dingxie Liu<sup>1</sup> Qiongyu Hao<sup>2</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Jieqing Li<sup>2,3</sup> Qun Li<sup>4</sup> Kun Wang<sup>3</sup> Qing Geng<sup>5</sup> Yutong Wu<sup>1</sup> Jaydutt V. Vadgama<sup>2</sup> Yong Wu<sup>2</sup> <sup>(b)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bluewater Biotech LLC, New Providence, NJ 07974, USA
<sup>2</sup>Division of Cancer Research and Training, Department of Internal Medicine, Charles Drew University of Medicine and Science, David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine and UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Breast Cancer, Cancer Center, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital & Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510080, P. R. China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology, Shanghai East Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, Shanghai 200123, P. R. China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430060, P. R. China

#### Correspondence

Dingxie Liu, Bluewater Biotech LLC, PO Box 1010, New Providence, NJ 07974, USA. Email: dliu@bluewater-biotech.com

Jaydutt V. Vadgama, Division of Cancer Research and Training, Department of Internal Medicine, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine, and UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, 1748 E. 118th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90059, USA. Email: jayvadgama@cdrewu.edu

Yong Wu, Division of Cancer Research and Training, Department of Internal Medicine, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine, and UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, 1748 E. 118th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90059, USA. Email: yongwu@cdrewu.edu

Dingxie Liu and Qiongyu Hao contributed equally to the study.

### ORCID

*Qiongyu Hao* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4720-767X *Yong Wu* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1698-0897

#### REFERENCES

- Burstein MD, Tsimelzon A, Poage GM, Covington KR, Contreras A, Fuqua SA, et al. Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies novel subtypes and targets of triple-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2015;21(7):1688–98.
- Hwang SY, Park S, Kwon Y. Recent therapeutic trends and promising targets in triple negative breast cancer. Pharmacol Ther. 2019;199:30–57.
- 3. Craig DW, O'Shaughnessy JA, Kiefer JA, Aldrich J, Sinari S, Moses TM, et al. Genome and transcriptome sequencing in prospective metastatic triple-negative breast cancer uncovers therapeutic vulnerabilities. Mol Cancer Ther. 2013;12(1): 104–16.
- 4. Bianchini G, Balko JM, Mayer IA, Sanders ME, Gianni L. Triplenegative breast cancer: challenges and opportunities of a heterogeneous disease. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2016;13(11):674–90.
- Desmedt C, Haibe-Kains B, Wirapati P, Buyse M, Larsimont D, Bontempi G, et al. Biological processes associated with breast cancer clinical outcome depend on the molecular subtypes. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(16):5158–65.

 Liu D. Identification of a prognostic LncRNA signature for ERpositive, ER-negative and triple-negative breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2020;183(1):95–105.

ANCER

CATIONS

- Liu D, Vadgama J, Wu Y. Basal-like breast cancer with low TGFbeta and high TNFalpha pathway activity is rich in activated memory CD4 T cells and has a good prognosis. Int J Biol Sci. 2021;17(3):670–82.
- Criscitiello C, Bayar MA, Curigliano G, Symmans FW, Desmedt C, Bonnefoi H, et al. A gene signature to predict high tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and outcome in patients with triple-negative breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(1):162–9.
- 9. Rojas K, Baliu-Pique M, Manzano A, Saiz-Ladera C, Garcia-Barberan V, Cimas FJ, et al. In silico transcriptomic mapping of integrins and immune activation in Basal-like and HER2+ breast cancer. Cell Oncol (Dordr). 2021;44:569–80.
- Vishnoi K, Viswakarma N, Rana A, Rana B. Transcription factors in cancer development and therapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(8):2296.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.