Psychological distress and associated factors among Japanese nursery school and kindergarten teachers: a cross-sectional study

Kozue YAGINUMA-SAKURAI^{1, 6}*, Kanami TSUNO², Kouichi YOSHIMASU³, Tomohide MAEDA⁴, Hiroko SANO⁵, Miki GOTO⁶ and Kunihiko NAKAI⁷

¹Department of Human Health and Nutrition, College of Human Health and Nutrition, Shokei Gakuin University, Japan

²School of Health Innovation, Kanagawa University of Human Services, Japan

³Department of Psychological and Behavioral Sciences, School of Human Sciences, Kobe College, Japan

⁴Department of the Scientific Study of Children, College of Psychology and Education, Shokei Gakuin University, Japan

⁵Department of Human Development, Faculty of Human Science, Sendai Shirayuri Women's College, Japan ⁶Master's Course in Nutritional Science, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences,

Shokei Gakuin University, Japan

⁷Department of Development and Environmental Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

> Received March 16, 2020 and accepted July 14, 2020 Published online in J-STAGE July 21, 2020

Abstract: The understaffing of nursery schools and kindergartens and the increasing workload of childcare workers are becoming significant issues in Japan. In this study, a cross-sectional survey was conducted to investigate the stress experienced by childcare workers and its antecedents. We distributed 2,640 questionnaires to childcare workers in Miyagi prefecture, obtaining a response rate of 51.9% (n=1,370). Finally, 1,210 valid questionnaires were used in the analysis. As a stress indicator, psychological distress was measured with the Kessler Screening Scale for Psychological Distress (K6). The mean K6 score was 7.0 (SD=5.4), and the prevalence of psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) was 60.0%. Considering work-related factors, the mean scores were as follows: supervisor support 11.8 (2.6), coworker support 12.1 (2.0), work engagement 3.2 (1.2), and effort-reward ratio 0.93 (0.53). A multivariate logistic regression analysis with adjustment for possible confounders revealed that increased psychological distress was associated with higher effort-reward ratio, lower support from supervisors and coworkers, lower work engagement, and insufficient sleep. These results suggest that elevated psychological distress is strongly associated with effort-reward imbalance, while high work engagement in childcare workers helped to reduce their distress.

Key words: Psychological distress, Childcare workers, Effort-reward imbalance, Work engagement, Supervisor support, Coworker support

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: k_yaginua@shokei.ac.jp

^{©2020} National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License. (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

The utilization rate of nursery schools and kindergartens is increasing¹⁾ due to the rising employment rate of women and the increase in dual-income households²⁾. As the number of children on nursery school waiting lists has become an issue, the national and local governments in Japan have implemented several policies such as "Acceleration Plan for Eliminating All Waiting Lists for Childcare Openings" and "Plan for Raising Children in a Peaceful Environment" to address this problem³⁾. One of the causes of these waiting lists is a lack of workforce in nursery schools and kindergartens. The turnover rate of nursery school and kindergarten teachers (hereinafter referred to as "childcare workers") is higher than that of other occupational group, and many individuals do not work as childcare workers even though they are qualified to do so⁴). In recent years, specialized knowledge and skills are required for childcare, as the number of children needing extra support due to developmental problems has been increasing and communication difficulties with dissatisfied parents have emerged. Furthermore, the government commenced the plan for free preschool education in October 2019⁵); therefore, the utilization rate of childcare facilities will rise, and the burden of childcare workers will increase even more. Considering all of the above, the physical and mental health of childcare workers is of concern.

The mechanism underlying occupational ill health has been illustrated by various previous work-stress models^{6–9)}. Of these, the effort-reward imbalance (ERI) model is appropriate for describing the stressful work environment of childcare workers. It also predicts health conditions for a wide range of working populations based on two axes, namely "effort" and "reward" in occupational life^{9, 10)}, and a strong association with psychological distress has been indicated^{11, 12)}. Conversely, it has also been reported that worksite social support from supervisors and coworkers and work engagement can relieve work-related stress^{13–16)}.

Surveys on work-related stress have been conducted targeting various occupations^{11–21)}, although most have focused on nurses and health workers. Similarly, surveys on stress response and burnout have been conducted with childcare workers^{22–25)}. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been few surveys based on the ERI model targeting childcare workers. In Japan, where the childcare situation has been undergoing major fluctuations, it is urgent to evaluate the stress of childcare workers and to examine the factors that cause it.

We therefore conducted a cross-sectional study in Miyagi prefecture, Northeast Japan, to investigate psychological distress and the factors that cause it among childcare workers based on the ERI model. As an indicator of stress, we used psychological distress measured by the Kessler Screening Scale for Psychological Distress (K6). We expect that the results of this study will provide basic data for the future development of workplaces where childcare workers can work comfortably.

Methods

Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted with childcare workers and managers employed at public or private nursery schools, kindergartens, and certified *kodomo-en* (a type of combination of nursery school and kindergarten) in Miyagi prefecture from July to December 2018. There are approximately 680 of these childcare facilities in the study area^{26, 27)}. An ordinance-designated city and two relatively well populated outlying cities were set as the survey area with approval from the cities' governments, and 165 childcare facilities in the area were set as the surveyed facilities with approval from the facilities' managers.

We distributed self-administered anonymous questionnaires at each childcare facility, and the facility manager distributed them to individual childcare workers. In total, 2,640 questionnaires were distributed, and 1,370 responses were collected by mail (response rate=51.9%). Of these, 160 questionnaires had missing data on the study variables (psychological distress, gender, age, marital status, having a preschool child, educational status, smoking habit, drinking habit, exercise habit, sleep hours per day, type of employment, job title, overtime work, supervisor support, coworker support, work engagement, and effort-reward [ER] ratio) and were thus excluded from the analyses. Because there were many questionnaires in which the household income value (n=150) and type of childcare facility (*n*=11) were not provided, the category "unknown" was set, and these variables were included in the analysis. Finally, 1,210 responses from childcare workers and managers were analyzed in this study.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the Shokei Gakuin University (Approval number: 017-022) and Wakayama Medical University (Approval number: 2308). The study participants were fully informed of the study purpose and answered the questionnaire anonymously; their participation was completely voluntary and the responses were mailed directly

to the researchers.

Measures

Psychological distress was measured using the Japanese version of the K6^{28, 29)}, which consists of six items addressing how frequently respondents have experienced symptoms of psychological distress during the past 30 d. Items are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (*none of the time*) to 4 (*all of the time*), with total scores ranging 0–24. Higher scores indicate more severe mental distress, and a score of 5 or more was used as the cut-off for determining psychological distress³⁰⁾. The internal reliability was found to be sufficiently high in the current study with a Cronbach's α of 0.897.

We also collected gender, age, marital status, having a preschool child, educational status, and household income as sociodemographic and socioeconomic variables. Additionally, smoking habit (Yes=*currently smoking*), drinking habit (Yes=*drinking more than twice a month*), exercise habit (Yes=*exercising for more than 30 min two or more times a week and for more than a year*), and sleep hours per day were collected as lifestyle variables. Type of childcare facility, type of employment, job title, overtime work (Yes=*working more than 8 h per day*), supervisor support, coworker support, work engagement, and ER ratio were collected as work-related variables.

Worksite social support from supervisors and coworkers was measured separately using a subscale of the Job Content Questionnaire^{7, 31)}. Each of the four items assuming support from supervisors and coworkers were rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*I disagree completely*) to 4 (*I agree completely*). The internal reliability of the supervisor support and coworker support subscales was high in the current study, with Cronbach's α being 0.921 and 0.839, respectively.

Work engagement was measured using the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale^{32, 33)}, which assesses how frequently respondents experience engagement (dedication, vigor, and absorption) in their work. The items are rated from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*every day*). The total score for this scale was calculated by averaging the item scores. The internal reliability was high in the current study (Cronbach's α =0.938).

ER ratio was measured using a subscale of the ERI Questionnaire^{9, 34)}. Six items for job effort and 11 items for job reward were rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*I feel no distress at all*) to 5 (*I feel very distressed*). The ER ratio was calculated to evaluate the balance between job effort and job reward, and was com-

puted as the total effort score divided by the total reward score, adjusted for the unequal numbers of items included in the two total scores. A ratio of 1 indicates ER balance, whereas a ratio of >1 indicates a critical level of ERI. The internal reliability of the job effort and job reward scales was high in the current study (Cronbach's α =0.899 and α =0.885, respectively).

Statistical analysis

The differences in characteristics of the participants between those who experienced psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) and those who did not were analyzed with the χ^2 test. Associations between psychological distress and each factor were analyzed using univariate and multivariate logistic regression, with odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, the factors that showed significant differences in the χ^2 test (age group, marital status, household income, sleep hours per day, job title, overtime work, supervisor support, coworker support, work engagement, and ER ratio) and gender were used as possible confounders. Spearman's rank-correlation coefficients between these confounders were used to check for multicollinearity. The significance level for all statistical analyses was set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed). All statistical analyses were performed using the JMP software package version 14.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The distribution of the K6 scores is shown in Fig. 1. The mean overall K6 score was 7.0 (SD=5.4), and the





The mean score was 7.0 (SD=5.4), and the prevalence of psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) was 60.0%.

	<5 (n	=484)	≥5 (n	=726)	- ~2	*		<5 (n	=484)	≥5 (n	=726)	- ~2	p^*
	n	%	n	%	χ	p		n	%	n	%	χ	
Sociodemographic and socioeconomic factors					Sleep hours per day								
Gender							≥ 6	322	66.5	390	53.7	19.68	< 0.001
Male	23	4.8	32	4.4	0.08	0.778	<6	162	33.5	336	46.3		
Female	461	95.2	694	95.6			Work-related factors						
Age group (yr)							Type of childcare facili	ty					
<30	134	27.7	236	32.5	8.21	0.042	Nursery school	365	75.4	570	78.5	2.25	0.522
30-39	112	23.1	182	25.1			Kindergarten	69	14.3	90	12.4		
40-49	98	20.2	148	20.4			Certified kodomo-en	44	9.1	61	8.4		
≥50	140	28.9	160	22.0			Unknown	6	1.2	5	0.7		
Marital status							Type of employment						
Married	296	61.2	343	47.2	22.55	< 0.001	Regular	370	76.4	570	78.5	0.715	0.398
Single, divorced, or widowed	188	38.8	383	52.8			employment Non-regular						
Having a preschool child					employment	114	23.6	156	21.5				
Yes	90	18.6	106	14.6	3.41	0.065	Job title						
No	394	81.4	620	85.4			Managerial	45	0.2	24	47	10.12	0.002
Educational status (yr)							position	43	9.5	54	4./	10.15	0.002
<16	361	74.6	538	74.1	0.04	0.851	Non-managerial	439	90.7	692	95.3		
≥16	123	25.4	188	25.9			position						
Household income (Japanese Yen/yr)					Overtime work			• • • •					
<5 million	178	36.8	358	49.3	20.84	< 0.001	Yes	155	32	298	41	10.09	0.002
5-10 million	197	40.7	222	30.6			No	329	68	428	59		
≥ 10 million	49	10.1	56	7.7			Supervisor support (JC	Q)					
Unknown	60	12.4	90	12.4			≥Median	388	80.2	421	58	64.45	< 0.001
Lifestyle factors							<median< td=""><td>96</td><td>19.8</td><td>305</td><td>42</td><td></td><td></td></median<>	96	19.8	305	42		
Smoking habit							Coworker support (JCC	2)					
Yes	21	4.3	40	5.5	0.83	0.362	≥Median	398	82.2	456	62.8	52.75	< 0.001
No	463	95.7	686	94.5			<median< td=""><td>86</td><td>17.8</td><td>270</td><td>37.2</td><td></td><td></td></median<>	86	17.8	270	37.2		
Drinking habit							Work engagement (UW	/ES)					
Yes	232	47.9	318	43.8	2	0.157	≥Median	320	66.1	331	45.6	49.21	< 0.001
No	252	52.1	408	56.2			<median< td=""><td>164</td><td>33.9</td><td>395</td><td>54.4</td><td></td><td></td></median<>	164	33.9	395	54.4		
Exercise habit							Effort-reward ratio (ER	Effort-reward ratio (ERIQ)					
Yes	114	23.6	154	21.2	0.92	0.337	≤ 1	405	83.7	361	49.7	144.12	< 0.001
No	370	76.4	572	78.8			>1	79	16.3	365	50.3		

Table 1. Comparisons of characteristics between respondents with and without psychological distress (K6 score ≥5)

JCQ: Job Content Questionnaire; UWES: Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; ERIQ: Effort-Reward Imbalance Model Questionnaire. *The differences were tested by χ^2 test.

prevalence of psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) was 60.0%. Regarding work-related factors, the overall mean scores were as follows: supervisor support 11.8 (2.6), co-worker support 12.1 (2.0), and work engagement 3.2 (1.2). As for ERI factor, the mean score of effort was 19.6 (6.1), and that of reward was 42.7 (8.9); thus, the mean ER ratio was 0.93 (0.53). The prevalence of ERI (ER ratio >1) was 36.7%.

Table 1 shows the results of the characteristics of participants analyzed according to the presence or absence of psychological distress. The participants with psychological distress—compared with those without it—tended to be younger, be single, divorced, or widowed, have a lower household income, get insufficient sleep, be non-managers, work overtime, receive lower support from supervisors and coworkers, have lower work engagement, and have higher ER ratio.

The factors associated with psychological distress are shown in Table 2. In the univariable logistic regression analysis, psychological distress was significantly associ-

	Univariab	le	Multivariable						
	OR (95% CI)	p^*	OR (95% CI)	p^{\dagger}					
Sociodemographic and socioeconor	mic factors		-						
Age group (yr)									
<30	1.54 (1.13-2.10)	0.006	1.04 (0.68-1.60)	0.848					
30-39	1.42 (1.03-1.97)	0.035	0.98 (0.66-1.45)	0.922					
40-49	1.32 (0.94-1.86)	0.11	0.99 (0.67-1.46)	0.962					
≥50	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
Marital status									
Married	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
Single, divorced, or widowed	1.76 (1.39-2.22)	< 0.001	1.37 (0.99-1.89)	0.056					
Household income (Japanese Yen/yr)									
<5 million	1.76 (1.15-2.69)	0.009	1.18 (0.72-1.93)	0.518					
5–10 million	0.99 (0.64-1.51)	0.949	0.76 (0.47-1.21)	0.248					
≥ 10 million	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
Unknown	1.31 (0.79–2.17)	0.29	0.86 (0.49-1.52)	0.604					
Lifestyle factors									
Sleep hours per day									
≥6	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
<6	1.71 (1.35-2.17)	< 0.001	1.47 (1.12-1.92)	0.005					
Work-related factors									
Job title									
Managerial position	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
Non-managerial position	2.09 (1.32-3.31)	0.002	1.18 (0.69-2.02)	0.535					
Overtime work									
Yes	1.48 (1.16-1.88)	0.002	1.03 (0.78-1.37)	0.826					
No	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
Supervisor support (JCQ)									
≥Median	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
<median< td=""><td>2.93 (2.24-3.83)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>1.54 (1.13-2.11)</td><td>0.006</td></median<>	2.93 (2.24-3.83)	< 0.001	1.54 (1.13-2.11)	0.006					
Coworker support (JCQ)									
≥Median	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
<median< td=""><td>2.74 (2.08-3.62)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>1.72 (1.25-2.36)</td><td>< 0.001</td></median<>	2.74 (2.08-3.62)	< 0.001	1.72 (1.25-2.36)	< 0.001					
Work engagement (UWES)									
≥Median	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
<median< td=""><td>2.33 (1.83-2.95)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>1.53 (1.16-2.00)</td><td>0.002</td></median<>	2.33 (1.83-2.95)	< 0.001	1.53 (1.16-2.00)	0.002					
Effort-reward ratio (ERIQ)									
≤1	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)						
>1	5.18 (3.91-6.87)	< 0.001	3.55 (2.60-4.85)	< 0.001					

Table 2. Association between psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) and relative factors (*n*=1,210)

JCQ: Job Content Questionnaire; UWES: Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; ERIQ: Effort-Reward Imbalance Model Questionnaire.

*The differences were tested by univariate logistic regression analysis.

[†]The differences were tested by multivariate logistic regression analysis adjusted for gender, age group, marital status, household income, sleep hours per day, job title, overtime work, supervisor support, co-worker support, work engagement, and effort-reward ratio.

ated with the age groups <30 and 30-39, being single, divorced, or widowed, having a household income of less than 5 million Japanese Yen/year, getting less than 6 h of

sleep per day, having a non-managerial position, working overtime, having lower levels of support from supervisors and coworkers, having lower work engagement, and having higher ER ratio.

In the multivariable logistic regression analysis adjusted by the variables with significant associations in Table 1 (age group, marital status, household income, sleep hours per day, job title, overtime work, supervisor support, coworker support, work engagement, and ER ratio) and gender, psychological distress was significantly associated with less than 6 h of sleep per day, lower support from supervisors and coworkers, lower work engagement, and higher ER ratio. Particularly, there was a strong association between ER ratio and psychological distress. The maximum Spearman's rank-correlation coefficient was observed to be 0.515 between age and marital status; thus, it was considered that there was no evidence of multicollinearity in this model.

Discussion

This study examined psychological distress and its related factors among childcare workers. Psychological distress (K6 score \geq 5) was found in 60.0% of the study participants and was significantly and independently associated with ER ratio, coworker support, supervisor support, work engagement, and sleep hours per day. Specifically, ER ratio was strongly associated with psychological distress. As mentioned earlier, there have been few surveys on work-related stress based on the ERI model targeting childcare workers. Therefore, the findings from this study could provide valuable information for improving the working conditions of childcare workers.

The mean K6 score was similar or higher than that reported by previous surveys with 789 Japanese nurses (7.7 [5.3])¹⁶⁾ and 348 female nurses (6.2 [5.4])¹⁷⁾. Regarding other occupations, the K6 score was 5.6 (4.6) among 60 female Japanese employees in the manufacturing industry²⁰⁾ and 5.2 (5.1) among 2,191 Japanese local government employees²¹⁾. It has been stated that nurses have lower mental health compared with the general population or employees of general companies, which might be due to the heavy physical and psychological burden that is often caused by accidents and failures while performing their duties^{35, 36)}. Because the mean K6 score in this study was almost the same as that of nurses in existing studies, the results of the current study indicate that childcare workers may also be an occupational group with high psychological distress.

The mean scores for worksite social support from supervisors and coworkers were significantly and independently associated with psychological distress. These mean scores were higher than those reported in a previous survey with 3,078 female employees at nine companies in Japan (10.5 [2.4] for supervisor support, 11.0 [1.7] for coworker support)³⁷⁾. Uemura and Nanakida³⁸⁾ stated that mentoring relationships between managers and childcare workers as well as seniors and juniors were easily formed because each childcare facility operates according to its own childcare policy. These occupational characteristics are considered to be a reason why worksite social support can be easily obtained among childcare workers.

The mean work engagement scores reported for other occupations was 2.2 (1.0) among 306 female Japanese nurses¹⁵⁾ and 2.4 (1.0) among 60 female Japanese employees in the manufacturing industry²⁰⁾, and the score of childcare workers was higher than these. Workers with high work engagement feel that their work is rewarding and work diligently, and work engagement can be considered a condition for experiencing vitality at work¹⁴⁾. Childcare workers can show their expertise and are appreciated by both children and families³⁹⁾. These feelings of reward may be the reason why the work engagement of childcare workers was the highest compared with other occupations.

The mean ER ratio among childcare workers in the current study was 0.93, which is higher than in other occupations: 0.8 (0.4) for 348 nurses¹⁷⁾, 0.5 (0.4) for 1,000 female office employees⁴⁰⁾, and 0.7 (0.3) for 2,208 female specialists⁴⁰⁾. It has been reported that childcare workers are dissatisfied with their working environment concerning elements such as working hours, paid vacations, salary, and employee benefits³⁹⁾. Dissatisfaction with insufficient compensation for long working hours and heavy workload might be the reason for the higher ER ratio among childcare workers compared with other occupations.

Previous surveys have reported that ERI and psychological distress are related^{11, 12)}, and this study shows similar results. An excessive labor load, weight of responsibility, and disproportionate salary levels seem to be cited as the causes of the ERI among childcare workers. Although we could not assess personal income level of the participants because the questionnaire did not include an item for individual annual income, the salaries of childcare workers have been reported to be lower than in other occupations⁴¹⁾. The Japan Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare has been promoting the improvement of salaries for childcare workers⁴²); however, an increase in monetary reward is difficult to implement immediately. Increasing psychosocial rewards, however, would be possible and effective as an immediate approach to resolving ERI. From the results of this study, receiving psychosocial

rewards might be adequate for childcare workers, as work engagement and worksite social support from supervisors and coworkers were higher than those of nurses or other occupational groups. Further studies are needed to clarify other psychosocial rewards required for childcare workers.

This study had the following limitations. First, because of its cross-sectional design, causal associations could not be confirmed; a longitudinal study will be required to investigate potential causal relationships. Second, a selfassessment method was used; all variables were measured only by subjective indicators based on questionnaires. In future studies, objective indicators-such as physiological or biochemical indicators-will be needed for the evaluation of stress. Third, the response rate in our study was somewhat low at 51.9%, which may have led to selection bias. Fourth, as this study was only conducted in the Tohoku area, there might be regional characteristics. For example, the effect of the Great East Japan Earthquake should be considered. Therefore, caution must be used when generalizing the results. Fifth, the K6 score showed a wide distribution (Fig. 1), and it is expected that the factors related to stress would differ depending on the cutoff used; thus, it will be necessary to explore other cut-off points in a future study.

In conclusion, despite the limitations mentioned above, this study indicated that childcare workers are an occupational group with high stress, even though their work engagement is high. Additionally, ERI was most strongly associated with psychological distress.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant numbers: JP 17K12873 and 17H04137). The funding sources had no role in the study protocol; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the study for publication. The authors thank all participants in this study.

References

 Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2019) A report on the status related to day-care center, etc. (Apr. 1, 2019) and the results of the survey on "Plan for raising children in a peaceful environment". https://www.mhlw. go.jp/content/11907000/000544879.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.

- Gender Equality Bureau, Cabinet Office, Government of Japan (2018) White paper on gender equality 2018. http:// www.gender.go.jp/about_danjo/whitepaper/h30/gaiyou/pdf/ h30 gaiyou.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2014) For achievement of the zero children waiting list. https://www. mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/children/children-childrearing/ dl/150407–03.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- 4) Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2015) Related materials of nursery teachers, etc. https:// www.mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai-11901000-Koyoukintoujidoukateikyoku-Soumuka/s.1_3.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- Cabinet Office, Government of Japan (2019) Learn about free early childhood education and care. https://www. youhomushouka.go.jp/about/en/. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- Cooper CL, Marshall J (1976) Occupational sources of stress: a review of the literature relating to coronary heart disease and mental ill health. J Occup Psychol 49, 11–28. [CrossRef]
- Karasek RA (1979) Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. Adm Sci Q 24, 285–308. [CrossRef]
- Hurrell JJ Jr, McLaney MA (1988) Exposure to job stress a new psychometric instrument. Scand J Work Environ Health 14 Suppl 1, 27–8. [Medline]
- 9) Siegrist J (1996) Adverse health effects of high-effort/ low-reward conditions. J Occup Health Psychol 1, 27–41. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Tsutsumi A, Kawakami N (2004) A review of empirical studies on the model of effort-reward imbalance at work: reducing occupational stress by implementing a new theory. Soc Sci Med 59, 2335–59. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Suzuki K, Sasaki H, Motohashi Y (2010) Relationships among mood/anxiety disorder, occupational stress and the life situation: results of survey of a local government staff. Health Sci Bull Akita Univ 18, 120–9.
- 12) Nohara M, Tatsuta H, Kitano N, Hoshino H, Kamo T, Tai T, Tamaki T, Nanjo H (2013) Correlations between mood/ anxiety disorders and working environment, occupational stress, health-related QOL, and fatigue among working women. Jpn J Occup Med Traumat 61, 360–6.
- 13) Komatsu Y, Kai Y, Nagamatsu T, Shiwa T, Suyama Y, Sugimoto M (2010) [Buffering effect of social support in the workplace on job strain and depressive symptoms]. Sangyo Eiseigaku Zasshi 52, 140–8 (in Japanese). [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Shimazu A (2010) Job stress and work engagement. Stress Sci Res 25, 1–6 (in Japanese). [CrossRef]
- 15) Kagata S, Inoue A, Kubota K, Shimazu A (2015) Association of emotional labor with work engagement and stress responses among hospital ward nurses. J Behav Med 21, 83–90 (in Japanese).

- 16) Kunie K, Kawakami N, Shimazu A, Yonekura Y, Miyamoto Y (2017) The relationship between work engagement and psychological distress of hospital nurses and the perceived communication behaviors of their nurse managers: a crosssectional survey. Int J Nurs Stud 71, 115–24. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 17) Kikuchi Y, Nakaya M, Ikeda M, Okuzumi S, Takeda M, Nishi M (2014) Relationship between depressive state, job stress, and sense of coherence among female nurses. Indian J Occup Environ Med 18, 32–5. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 18) Uchiyama A, Odagiri Y, Ohya Y, Suzuki A, Hirohata K, Kosugi S, Shimomitsu T (2011) Association of social skills with psychological distress among female nurses in Japan. Ind Health 49, 677–85. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 19) Hayasaka Y, Nakamura K, Yamamoto M, Sasaki S (2007) Work environment and mental health status assessed by the general health questionnaire in female Japanese doctors. Ind Health 45, 781–6. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 20) Tsuno K, Kawakami N, Inoue A, Ishizaki M, Tabata M, Tsuchiya M, Akiyama M, Kitazume A, Kuroda M, Shimazu A (2009) Intragroup and intergroup conflict at work, psychological distress, and work engagement in a sample of employees in Japan. Ind Health 47, 640–8. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 21) Tsuno K, Kawakami N, Shimazu A, Shimada K, Inoue A, Leiter MP (2017) Workplace incivility in Japan: reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the modified Work Incivility Scale. J Occup Health 59, 237–46. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 22) Kishimoto N, Fuji K (2020) Influence of casual conversation in nursery schools on nursery teachers' stress reactions. Jpn J Psychol 91, 12–22 (in Japanese). [CrossRef]
- 23) Ikeda Y, Okawa I (2012) The relation between day nursery and preschool teachers' thoughts about job stressors and their mental state regarding work: work duties and work environment as mediating factors. Jpn J Dev Psychol 23, 23–35 (in Japanese).
- 24) Kimura N, Akagawa Y (2016) Research on childcare worker stress: focus on relationship between causes of stress in the workplace and causes of individual stress. Res Bull Naruto Univ Educ 31, 136–45 (in Japanese).
- Matsumura T (2015) Review of stressors experienced by pre-school teachers. J Osaka Univ Compr Child Educ 10, 203–13 (in Japanese).
- 26) Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2017) Survey of social welfare institution in 2017. https://www. mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/fukushi/17/index.html. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- 27) Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (2019) School basic survey in 2019. https:// www.mext.go.jp/b_menu/toukei/chousa01/kihon/kekka/k_ detail/1419591_00001.htm. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- 28) Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, Hiripi E, Mroczek DK, Normand SL, Walters EE, Zaslavsky AM (2002) Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and

trends in non-specific psychological distress. Psychol Med **32**, 959–76. [Medline] [CrossRef]

- 29) Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, Ono Y, Nakane Y, Nakamura Y, Tachimori H, Iwata N, Uda H, Nakane H, Watanabe M, Naganuma Y, Hata Y, Kobayashi M, Miyake Y, Takeshima T, Kikkawa T (2008) The performance of the Japanese version of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 17, 152–8. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 30) Sakurai K, Nishi A, Kondo K, Yanagida K, Kawakami N (2011) Screening performance of K6/K10 and other screening instruments for mood and anxiety disorders in Japan. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 65, 434–41. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 31) Kawakami N, Kobayashi F, Araki S, Haratani T, Furui H (1995) Assessment of job stress dimensions based on the job demands- control model of employees of telecommunication and electric power companies in Japan: reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Job Content Questionnaire. Int J Behav Med 2, 358–75. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 32) Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB, Salanova M (2006) The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: a cross-national study. Educ Psychol Meas 66, 701–16. [CrossRef]
- 33) Shimazu A, Schaufeli WB, Kosugi S, Suzuki A, Nashiwa H, Kato A, Sakamoto M, Irimajiri H, Amano S, Hirohata K, Goto R, Kitaoka-Higashiguchi K (2008) Work engagement in Japan: validation of the Japanese version of the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale. Appl Psychol 57, 510–23. [CrossRef]
- 34) Tsutsumi A, Ishitake T, Peter R, Siegrist J, Matoba T (2001) The Japanese version of the Effort-Reward Imbalance Questionnaire: a study in dental technicians. Work Stress 15, 86–96. [CrossRef]
- 35) Nakai M, Oda Y, Takahashi Y, Tabuchi Y, Kimura N, Morioka I (2011) Relationship between work-life balance and mental health of nurses in a hospital. Jpn J Health Educ Prom 19, 302–12 (in Japanese).
- 36) Mori T, Kageyama T (1995) [A cross-sectional survey on mental health and working environment of hospital nurses]. Sangyo Eiseigaku Zasshi 37, 135–42 (in Japanese). [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 37) Kawakami N, Haratani T, Kobayashi F, Ishizaki M, Hayashi T, Fujita O, Aizawa Y, Miyazaki S, Hiro H, Masumoto T, Hashimoto S, Araki S (2004) Occupational class and exposure to job stressors among employed men and women in Japan. J Epidemiol 14, 204–11. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 38) Uemura M, Nanakida A (2008) Empirical research on the structure of social support in child care givers'. J Child Health 67, 854–60 (in Japanese).
- 39) Japan National Council of Social Welfare (2008) Survey report on recruitment and development of human resources in social welfare facilities. https://www.keieikyo.com/data/ jinzai3.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.

- 40) Tsutumi A (2007) Website of the Japanese version of effortreward imbalance questionnaire. https://mental.m.u-tokyo. ac.jp/jstress/ERI/manual.htm. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2017) The average wage of nursery teachers. https://jsite.mhlw. go.jp/miyagi-roudoukyoku/var/rev0/0119/7609/ho3.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- 42) Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2017) Regarding construct a mechanism of career development and improve working condition in nursery teachers. https:// www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-11900000-Koyou kintoujidoukateikyoku/0000155997.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2020.