Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/cn/journals/journal-of-interventional-medicine/

# Intravascular treatment for abnormal catheter positioning of port-a-cath system in the subclavian vein: A single-center study



# Yong Li<sup>1</sup>, Jianxi Guo<sup>1</sup>, Yanfang Zhang, Jian Kong<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Interventional Radiology, Shenzhen People's Hospital (Second Clinical Medical College of Jinan University, First Affiliated Hospital of Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen, China

A R T I C L E I N F O	ABSTRACT					
Keywords: Port-a-cath system Tip migration Catheter fracture Intravascular treatment	Objective:This study aimed to explore the incidence of abnormal catheter positioning and the effectiveness and safety of intravascular adjustment or removal of abnormally positioned catheters through percutaneous punctures. Materials and methods:A retrospective analysis was conducted on 58 patients with abnormal catheter positioning, treated between January 2009 and June 2019. Intravascular adjustment of the migrated catheters and removal of the fractured catheters were performed through percutaneous puncture using a pigtail catheter, cobra catheter, and gooseneck snare.Results:Of the 58 cases, there were 23 cases of catheter migration and 35 cases of catheter fracture. The incidence of abnormal catheter positioning was 3.0%, corresponding to 1.2% migrations and 1.8% fractures. Among the 23 cases of migration, 1 case did not require adjustment and another underwent unsuccessful adjustment. The rate of successful adjustment of migrated catheters was 91.3%, whereas the rate of successful removal of fractured catheters was 100%. No surgery-related complications were observed either immediately or during the 1-month follow-up period.Conclusions:This study showed that the incidence of abnormal catheter positioning is low. Intravascular tech- niques used for the adjustment or removal of abnormally placed catheters are safe, efficient, and minimally invasive.					

# 1. Introduction

The Port-a-cath system (PCS) is a long-term indwelling venous access device that can be implanted subcutaneously. It avoids unnecessary pain and repeated punctures and allows the administration of medicines directly to the central venous system. The most common complications of PCS are venous thrombosis, infection, and abnormal catheter positioning.<sup>1,2</sup> Abnormal positioning includes migration<sup>3,4</sup> and fracture of catheters.<sup>5</sup> Percutaneous puncture is a safe, effective, and minimally invasive method of intravascular adjustment or removal of abnormally positioned catheters. This report describes the clinical use of cobra catheters, pigtail catheters, and retrieval devices for intravascular adjustment or removal of abnormally positioned catheters through percutaneous punctures.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Patients

A retrospective analysis was conducted on 58 patients with tumors who were noted to have abnormal catheter positioning and underwent intravascular treatment through percutaneous punctures in the Interventional Radiology Department of Shenzhen People's Hospital between January 2009 and June 2019. The diagnosis of abnormal catheter positioning was confirmed in all patients using preoperative plain chest radiography or computed tomography (CT) images. Percutaneous puncture was then performed for intravascular adjustment of the migrated catheters or removal of the fractured catheters. All interventional procedures were performed using digital subtraction angiography (DSA) in interventional operating rooms. All patients were hospitalized

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimed.2022.03.003

Received 12 December 2021; Received in revised form 2 March 2022; Accepted 5 March 2022

2096-3602/© 2022 Shanghai Journal of Interventional Radiology Press. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Interventional Radiology, Shenzhen People's Hospital (Second Clinical Medical College of Jinan University, First Affiliated Hospital of Southern University of Science and Technology), No. 1017, Dongmen Bei Road, Shenzhen, 518020, China.

E-mail address: Kongjian@mail.sustech.edu.cn (J. Kong).

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  These authors contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors.

### Table 1

Clinical data of patients with catheter migration.

No.	Tumor type	Symptom	Gender/ Age	Time span from implantation to diagnosis (Days)	Time span from detection to adjustment (Days)	Surgical device	Operation time (Minute)	PCS type
1	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 34	158	2	Pig-tail Catheter	15	Bard
2	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/46	1013	7	GooseNeck Snare	20	Bard
3	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 53	621	13	GooseNeck Snare	47	Bard
4	Lung cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/67	737	11	GooseNeck Snare	25	MedCOMP
5	Liver cancer	No	Female/ 31	526	/	1	/	B. Braun
6	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 52	538	37	Pig-tail Catheter	14	Bard
7	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 47	813	9	GooseNeck Snare	21	Bard
8	Gastric cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/61	256	18	Cobra Catheter	11	MedCOMP
9	Rectal cancer	Dizziness, headache	Male/51	196	21	Pig-tail Catheter	14	Bard
10	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 48	457	11	Pig-tail Catheter	13	Bard
11	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 37	518	37	Pig-tail Catheter	11	Bard
12	Colon cancer	Distending pain in subclavian region	Male/46	10	7	GooseNeck Snare	20	Bard
13	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 53	216	13	GooseNeck Snare	45	Bard
14	Lung cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 63	378	11	GooseNeck Snare	25	MedCOMP
15	Liver cancer	No	Male/38	729	41	Cobra Catheter	16	B. Braun
16	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 52	428	12	Pig-tail Catheter	13	Bard
17	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 47	823	9	GooseNeck Snare	22	Bard
18	Gastric cancer	Discomfort in shoulders and neck	Male/65	636	18	Cobra Catheter	17	MedCOMP
19	Rectal cancer	Dizziness, headache	Male/61	91	12	Pig-tail Catheter	12	Bard
20	Endometrial cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 67	454	17	Pig-tail Catheter	13	Bard
21	Rectal cancer	No	Male/54	411	38	Pig-tail Catheter	16	Bard
22	Lung cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/71	345	14	Pig-tail Catheter	9	Bard
23	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 46	84	22	Cobra Catheter	21	Bard

and signed an informed consent form prior to study enrollment. The present study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shenzhen People's Hospital, China (NO. LL-KY-2020443).

# 2.2. Perioperative management

Color ultrasonography of the subclavian vein and internal jugular vein, D-dimer test, routine blood test, and coagulation function examination were performed on all patients before surgery. During surgery, real-time monitoring of the blood pressure, heart rate, and electrocardiogram indices was performed. Data on total blood count, prothrombin time (PT), and partial thromboplastin time (PTT) were obtained within three days after surgery.

#### 2.3. Operative technique

Local anesthesia was given in the supine position by administering 2% lidocaine hydrochloride injection to the patient's right inguinal region. The femoral vein was then punctured using the Seldinger technique, and guidewires and vascular sheaths were introduced under fluoroscopy guidance. In this study, 6 F sheaths were used to adjust the catheter position, whereas 8 F or larger sheaths were used to remove fractured catheters.

A cobra catheter (Cordis, Miami Lakes, FL, USA) or pigtail catheter (Cordis, Miami Lakes, FL, USA) was used to relocate migrated catheters to the superior vena cava by pulling on the junction of the subclavian vein and the internal jugular vein. The tail of the pigtail catheter was cut into a semicircular shape using sterile scalpels to hook the catheter. A 6 F gooseneck snare (Microvena, White Bear Lake, MN, USA) was used to hook the free end of the catheter and reposition it to the superior vena cava when the use of both the cobra and pigtail catheters had failed.

The gooseneck snare was also used to retrieve fractured catheters. Exposure of the free end was achieved by using the tail of the pigtail or cobra catheter to hook the middle part of the fractured catheter when it was difficult to see. The gooseneck snare was then used to hook the free end and pull the catheter downward to the vascular sheath. The entire system would be subsequently removed.

Intraoperative angiography was performed to assess the presence of thrombosis and electrocardiographic monitoring was performed to record immediate operation-related complications. Patients with catheter migration underwent chest radiography every month after surgery and were followed up for three consecutive months. Patients with catheter fracture were followed-up through telephone interviews for one month after surgery.

# Table 2

Clinical data of patients with catheter fracture.

No.	Tumor type	Symptom	Gender/ Age	Time span from implantation to diagnosis (Days)	Time span from detection to adjustment (Days)	Cause	Site	Surgical device	Length of fractured catheter (cm)	Operation time (Minute)	PCS type
1	Lung cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 82	586	16	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	17	25	Bard
2	Rectal cancer	No	Male/79	379	9	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	18	20	Bard
3	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 76	1401	2	Detached anastomosis	Pulmonary artery	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	15	42	Bard
4	Lung cancer	Chest tightness	Male/71	754	27	POS	Right ventricle	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	9	37	B. Braun
5	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 65	44	44	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	18	24	Bard
6	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 59	398	29	Detached anastomosis	Inferior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	16	15	Bard
7	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 61	579	17	POS	Pulmonary artery	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	12	28	MedCOMP
8	Breast cancer	Chest tightness	Female/ 38	816	1	POS	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	9	26	Bard
9	Colon cancer	No	Male/34	925	34	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	20	13	Bard
10	Lung cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 81	147	41	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	20	25	Bard
11	Gastric cancer	No	Female/ 72	271	23	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	18	23	Bard
12	Breast cancer	Chest tightness	Female/ 38	572	4	POS	Pulmonary artery	EN Snare Loop	13	40	Bard
13	Breast cancer	Chest tightness	Female/ 47	428	3	POS	Right ventricle	GooseNeck Snare	9	37	MedCOMP
14	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/59	883	15	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	18	27	Bard
15	Lung cancer	No	Female/ 69	1247	28	Detached anastomosis	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	17	12	Bard
16	Rectal cancer	Chest tightness	Male/37	481	5	POS	Right ventricle	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	12	28	Bard
17	Gastric cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/71	773	8	POS	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	9	29	MedCOMP
18	Endometrial cancer	No	Female/ 51	694	45	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	15	13	Bard
19	Gastric cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/53	571	13	POS	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	17	20	Bard
20	Lung cancer	No	Male/69	493	29	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	16	24	Bard
21	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 76	664	16	POS	Pulmonary artery	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	10	40	Bard
22	Lung cancer	Chest tightness	Male/63	451	6	Detached anastomosis	Right ventricle	GooseNeck Snare	17	37	Bard
23	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 35	582	9	POS	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	8	18	Bard
24	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 42	618	25	Detached anastomosis	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	19	13	Bard
25	Breast cancer	Chest tightness	Female/ 55	872	4	POS	Right ventricle	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	11	38	Bard
26	Lung cancer	No	Male/71	346	33	Detached anastomosis	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	18	27	Bard
27	Endometrial cancer	No	Female/ 67	157	24	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	20	16	Bard
28	Rectal cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/32	1074	18	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	20	24	Bard

(continued on next page)

#### Table 2 (continued)

No.	Tumor type	Symptom	Gender/ Age	Time span from implantation to diagnosis (Days)	Time span from detection to adjustment (Days)	Cause	Site	Surgical device	Length of fractured catheter (cm)	Operation time (Minute)	PCS type
29	Gastric cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/49	1317	11	Detached anastomosis	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	16	26	Bard
30	Lung cancer	Chest tightness	Female/ 78	229	5	POS	Right atrium	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	11	47	Bard
31	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 52	819	13	Detached anastomosis	Right ventricle	GooseNeck Snare	19	38	Bard
32	Rectal cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/68	248	20	Detached anastomosis	Superior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	18	27	Bard
33	Breast cancer	No	Female/ 34	837	45	Detached anastomosis	Inferior vena cava	GooseNeck Snare	17	12	Bard
34	Breast cancer	Injection difficulty	Female/ 47	357	16	POS	Right ventricle	Pig-tail Catheter & GooseNeck Snare	12	28	Bard
35	Colon cancer	Injection difficulty	Male/53	413	11	POS	Right atrium	GooseNeck Snare	9	29	Bard

POS:pinch-off syndrome, catheter fracture in the subclavian region caused by continuous pressure from the clavicle and the first rib.

#### 3. Results

A total of 1716 venous access systems were implanted in the hospital between January 2009 and June 2019. A total of 58 cases of abnormal positioning were detected, consisting of 23 cases of migrated catheters and 35 cases of fractured catheters. Of the 58 cases, 52 patients with abnormally positioned catheters underwent implantation in this hospital, whereas the other 6 underwent the procedure in other hospitals. Therefore, the incidence of abnormal catheter positioning in this hospital was 3.0% (52/1716). The detailed patient data are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The patients were comprised of 25 men and 33 women, and the average age of the patients was  $55.59 \pm 14.09$  years old (range: 31-82 years). The average time from implantation to diagnosis of abnormal positioning was  $549.38 \pm 312.72$  days (range: 10-1401 days), whereas the average time from diagnosis to adjustment or removal was  $18.05 \pm 12.23$  days (range: 1-45 days).

The 23 cases of migrated catheters were comprised of 5 cases of elastic retraction and 18 cases of tip migration. Among the 18 patients who suffered tip migration, and 2 received implantation in other hospitals; therefore, the incidence of migration in our hospital was 1.2% (21/ 1716). Of the 5 cases of elastic retraction, routine chest radiography of 1 case showed that the proximal end of the catheter was twisted and the tip was in the upper segment of the superior vena cava. However, as the PCS was functioning effectively, adjustments were not performed for this patient. The other 4 cases of elastic retraction presented with difficulties in injection, and the radiograph showed that the segment of the catheter in the subclavian vein was folded and the tip was located in the subclavian vein. Repositioning was successfully performed in 1 case through a pigtail catheter and in another 2 cases using a gooseneck snare. In the last case, the entire port system was removed percutaneously, and a new PCS was implanted in the contralateral subclavian vein, after relocation using both a pigtail catheter and gooseneck snare failed. Meanwhile, in all 18 patients diagnosed with tip migration, the tips of the catheters were displaced into the internal jugular vein. Clinical manifestations included injection difficulty in 9 cases, dizziness and headache in 2, distending pain in the subclavian region in 1, shoulder and neck discomfort in 1, and no symptoms in 5. All migrated catheters were adjusted intravascularly through percutaneous punctures, and the average operation time was  $19.09 \pm 9.82$  min. Repositioning was successfully performed in 9 patients with only a homemade pigtail catheter (Fig. 1A-F), in 4 patients with only a cobra catheter, and in 5 patients with a gooseneck snare after the use of both pigtail and Cobra catheters failed. Among the 5 patients who

underwent repositioning using a gooseneck snare, 1 presented with fibrin sheath adhesion between the distal end of the catheter tip and the wall of the internal jugular vein. The distal end of the catheter was not exposed after relocation, and both the pigtail and cobra catheters failed in retrieval. The gooseneck snare initially failed to hook the distal end. Repeated pulling with a pigtail catheter exposed the free end, which was then successfully hooked by the gooseneck snare and repositioned after several attempts. The success rate of percutaneous vascular adjustment was 91.3% (21/23).

The fracture site was the superior vena cava in 13 cases, the right atrium in 9, the right ventricle in 7, the pulmonary artery in 4, and the inferior vena cava in 2. Clinical manifestations included injection difficulty in 16 cases, chest tightness in 8, and no symptoms in 11. Among the 35 patients with catheter fractures, 14 (40%) developed pinch-off syndrome (POS, catheter fracture in the subclavian region caused by continuous pressure from the clavicle and the first rib), whereas the other 21 cases (60%) developed disconnection between the port and catheter. Four of the fracture patients received implantation in other hospitals; therefore, the incidence rate of fractures in our hospital was 1.8% (31/ 1716). All ruptured catheters were removed by percutaneous puncture with a 100% success rate (35/35). The average operation time was 26.51  $\pm$  9.46 min. The mean length of the removed catheters was 15  $\pm$  4 cm (range: 8-20 cm). Both the gooseneck snare and pigtail catheter were used in 9 cases, as the pigtail catheter was needed to expose the free end. Meanwhile, in 25 patients, the gooseneck snare was the only device used to remove the fractured catheters (Fig. 2A-C). The distal end of the fractured catheter was near the right atrium wall in 1 patient and the sheath of the gooseneck snare could not reach the proximal end of the free catheter. Therefore, a 6 F JR4.0 angiographic catheter and a 6 F gooseneck snare were used together to hook the free end, enabling successful removal. An EN snare loop (Angiotech Medical Device Technologies, South Jordan, Utah, USA) was used to treat 1 patient. Entry of the external sheath of the loop to the pulmonary trunk was challenging because the fracture was in the middle of the catheter (at the junction between the first rib and the clavicle). The distal end of the catheter was located in the middle branches of the right pulmonary artery, and the proximal end was located in the right main pulmonary artery close to the bifurcation site and attached to the vessel wall. After several unsuccessful attempts, a 6 F JL4.0 guide catheter and an EN snare loop were jointly used, and the fractured catheter was successfully hooked (Fig. 3A-E). All PCSs with fractured catheters were removed, and new systems were implanted in the contralateral subclavian region in patients who still



**Fig. 1.** Surgery procedure for a 61-year-old male patient with rectal cancer, diagnosed with catheter migration 3 months after PCS implantation.

A, B: Cutting the tail of a 5 F Pig-tail Catheter into a semi-circular shape with a sharp knife.

C: X-ray scan showing that the catheter tip was displaced to the right internal jugular vein.

D, E: X-ray scan showing insertion of the homemade Pig-tail Catheter through the inferior vena cava, and hooking of the displaced catheter with its tail.

F: X-ray scan showing that the catheter tip was relocated to the superior vena cava.

needed central venous access.

All the patients were treated using the right femoral venous approach. The detailed patient data are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The 23 patients with catheter migration did not present with surgery-related complications. Among the 35 cases of catheter fracture, 3 developed transient atrial arrhythmias or ventricular arrhythmias during surgery; however, the complications were not severe, and there was no need for intervention with drugs. No surgery-related complications were observed either immediately or during the 1-month follow-up period.

#### 4. Discussion

Subcutaneous implantation of a PCS was introduced by Niederhuber<sup>6</sup> in 1982. Currently, it is widely used in the clinical treatment of patients requiring long-term intravenous infusion. Studies have reported that approximately 5 million PCSs are implanted every year in the United States.<sup>7</sup> The interventional radiology center of our hospital has implanted 1716 PCSs into subclavian veins since its establishment in 2009. This figure has been increasing yearly.



**Fig. 2.** Surgery procedure of a 63-year-old male patient with lung cancer, diagnosed with catheter fracture 15 months after PCS implantation.

A: Chest radiograph showing catheter fracture with one free end in the right ventricle.

B: Hooked of the free end with GooseNeck Sanre. C: Fracture site was at the anastomosis of the port and the catheter.

Spontaneous catheter migration is rare. The incidence rate of catheter migration within the follow-up period of 5–9 months is 0.9%–1.8%.<sup>8,9</sup> The incidence of catheter migration in the current study was 1.2% (21/1716), which is consistent with the previous findings. The ideal site of the catheter tip is between the lower third of the superior vena cava and the upper third of the right atrium.<sup>10</sup> The PCS should not be used when the tip becomes displaced to small veins, the brachiocephalic vein, and the subclavian vein, as this increases the risk of venous thrombosis. Additionally, the risk of injection into the veins is high, leading to severe complications.<sup>4,11–13</sup> Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the position of the catheter or remove the PCS when migration occurs.

A previously reported technique of high-pressure injection of normal saline was initially used in the current hospital to reposition migrated catheters through irrigation.<sup>14</sup> However, this technique is ineffective, and can lead to rupture and separation of the connection between the port and the catheter. Adjustment with catheters or gooseneck snares is more effective and feasible than reimplantation or replacement of PCS.<sup>15</sup> Gebauer et al.<sup>15</sup> reported the use of catheters and a gooseneck snare in adjusting migrated catheters through the femoral vein with a 93% success rate (27/29). In the current study, the success rate of percutaneous vascular adjustment was 91.3% (21/23), which is similar to that reported in previous studies.

The gooseneck snare is used when the free end of the migrated catheter tip is exposed so that it can be hooked and relocated to the superior vena cava, which is similar to the removal of fractured catheters.<sup>16</sup> Bessoud et al.<sup>16</sup> reported that the success rates of other surgical tools

were very low if the gooseneck snare had failed to adjust the position of the migrated catheter. In 1 patient in this study, the catheter tip was located in the internal jugular vein, and the formation of fibrin sheaths led to a firm attachment of the distal end to the vein. The migrated catheter was successfully hooked using a pigtail catheter. However, pulling multiple times did not change the position of the catheter, which can be attributed to the soft tail end of the guide catheter or endothelialization between the migrated catheter and the vessel wall. Successful adjustment was achieved by hooking the freed end of the tip using a gooseneck snare.

The cause of spontaneous catheter displacement is not fully understood. Potential causes include a short indwelling catheter, vigorous movement and pulling of the shoulders and upper arms, change in chest pressure resulting from severe cough and frequent vomiting, and migration and pulling off the subcutaneous port.<sup>3,17,18</sup> Therefore, the solution involves minimizing the number of high-risk factors during PCS implantation.

Catheter fracture was initially reported to cause the formation of embolisms in the distal end in 1954,<sup>19</sup> and several clinical studies reported that the incidence of catheter fracture ranges from 0 to 4.1%,<sup>20–23</sup> whereas the death rate or rate of severe complications was approximately 71%.<sup>24,25</sup> Therefore, intervention should be carried out immediately if a fracture occurs, and intravascular removal of fractured catheters through percutaneous puncture is the conventional technique.<sup>26</sup> The success rate of PCS catheter removal by percutaneous puncture is 90–97.8%.<sup>22,27</sup> In the current study, the incidence of catheter fracture was 1.8% (31/1716),



**Fig. 3.** Surgery procedure for a 38-year-old female patient with breast cancer, diagnosed with catheter fracture 19 months after PCS implantation.

A: CT scan showing that the distal end of the catheter was in the middle branch of the right main pulmonary artery, whereas the proximal end was in the right main pulmonary artery.

B: Chest X-ray scan showing the fracture site was in the middle of the catheter at the conjunction of the first right rib and the clavicle.

C: Hooking of the fractured catheter using EN Snare Loop.

D: Removal of the fractured catheter from the femoral vein.

E: Location of the fracture in the middle part of the catheter.



which is consistent with previous reports. Notably, all fractured catheters were successfully removed through percutaneous punctures, with a 100% success rate (35/35), and no surgery-related complications were observed.

Several interventional devices are currently used for intravascular removal. The most commonly used device in previous studies was the gooseneck snare.<sup>16,28</sup> In the present study, removal of fractured catheters was performed in 25 patients using the gooseneck snare, while the EN snare loop was used in only 1 patient. There was at least 1 free end in 26 patients, which made it easier for the 2 devices to hook the fractured catheter. Nine patients presented with fractured catheters that did not

have free ends or the free ends were not exposed. In these cases, the gooseneck snare alone failed to hook the free ends of the fractured catheter; therefore, a pigtail catheter and a gooseneck snare were jointly used. The tail of the pigtail catheter was first used to hook the fractured catheter and expose the free ends by pulling them, and then the gooseneck snare was used to successfully hook the free ends. During the removal of the fractured catheters in 2 cases, it was observed that the fixed angle made hooking of the free ends challenging owing to the rigid external sheath of the snare. The external casing is replaced by an angiographic or guiding catheter with a stable angle, and the catheter is continuously checked to successfully remove the broken catheter.

The position of fractured foreign bodies in the cardiovascular system depends on gravity, their entry path, length, stiffness, and blood flow pattern in the blood vessels and heart cavities.<sup>22,28,29</sup> Bessoud et al.<sup>16</sup> reported that the most common site of embolisms was the pulmonary artery. Cheng et al.<sup>22</sup> reported that most embolisms were located between the inferior vena cava and the right atrium or between the right atrium and the superior vena cava, which is consistent with the findings of Koseoglu et al.<sup>30</sup> and Liu et al.<sup>28</sup> In the current study, the most common location of fractured catheters was at the junction of the superior vena cava and the right atrium.

Possible causes of catheter fracture include the use of improper equipment leading to damage to the catheter, use of small syringes leading to increased pressure inside the catheter, continuous pressure on the catheter between the clavicle and the first rib (POS), improper connection between the port and the catheter, deformity of the connection between the port and the catheter, and improper positioning of the catheter.<sup>22,23,28</sup> In the current study, there were 14 cases of POS (14/35, 40%) and 21 cases of detachment of the proximal end from the port (21/35, 60%). Among the 35 patients with catheter fractures, 31 were implanted in our hospital, including 27 patients for whom the Bard Access System (27/1401, 1.9%) (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was used, 1 patient for whom the B. Braun Access System ( B. Braun Medical Inc. , Bethlehem, PA, USA) was used (1/63, 1.6%), and 3 patients for whom the MedCOMP Access System ( Medical Components, Inc. , Harleysville, PA, USA) (3/252, 1.2%) was used. Lin et al.<sup>31</sup> reported that the risk of fracture may be related to POS and PCS design. Shoulder movement exerts a pulling force on the catheter ("pinching" the catheter), leading to continuous pulling or compression of the connection between the catheter and the port, resulting in pressure on the catheter or fracture of the segment being pulled.<sup>31</sup> Liu et al.<sup>28</sup> reported that the subclavian vein should be punctured at the junction of the middle and outer thirds of the clavicle to avoid POS. Furthermore, the port and the catheter should be firmly connected to avoid an angle between the 2 PCS parts or deformation of their connection. Moreover, follow-up chest radiography should be performed routinely and over a long follow-up period, and the system should be removed immediately if it<sup>1</sup> is no longer in use.

#### 5. Conclusions

The incidence of abnormal catheter positioning is low. Once an abnormality is detected, the use of the system should be stopped immediately, and adjustment or removal should be performed with effective methods. Intravascular techniques for catheter adjustment or removal are safe, efficient, and minimally invasive.

## Author statement

Yong Li: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Visualization, Investigation. Jianxi Guo: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation, Writing-Original draft preparation, Visualization, Investigation, Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Yanfang Zhang: Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing. Jian Kong: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Visualization, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

## Conflicts of interest and source of funding

There are no conflicts of interest. It has received honoraria from Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province, China (2020B010165004).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimed.2022.03.003.

#### References

- Yong L, Jianxi G, Yanfang Z, et al. Complications from port-a-cath system implantation in adults with malignant tumors: a 10-year single-center retrospective study. J Interv Med. 2022;5:15–22.
- Biffi R, Orsi F, Pozzi S, et al. Best choice of central venous insertion site for the prevention of catheter-related complications in adult patients who need cancer therapy: a randomized trial. Ann Oncol. 2009;20:935–940.
- Nazarian GK, Bjarnason H, Dietz Jr CA, et al. Changes in tunneled catheter tip position when a patient is upright. J Vasc Intervent Radiol. 1997;8:437–441.
- Currarino G. Migration of jugular or subclavian venous catheters into inferior tributaries of the brachiocephalic veins or into the azygos vein, with possible complications. *Pediatr Radiol.* 1996;26:439–449.
- Klotz HP, Schöpke W, Kohler A, et al. Catheter fracture: a rare complication of totally implantable subclavian venous access devices. J Surg Oncol. 1996;62:222–225.
- Niederhuber JE, Ensminger W, Gyves JW, et al. Totally implanted venous and arterial access system to replace external catheters in cancer treatment. *Surgery*. 1982;92: 706–712.
- Silberzweig JE, Sacks D, Khorsandi AS, et al. Reporting standards for central venous access. Technology Assessment Committee. J Vasc Intervent Radiol. 2000;11:391–400.
- Poorter RL, Lauw FN, Bemelman WA, et al. Complications of an implantable venous access device (Port-a-Cath) during intermittent continuous infusion of chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer*. 1996;32A:2262–2266.
- Biffi R, de Braud F, Orsi F, et al. Totally implantable central venous access ports for long-term chemotherapy. A prospective study analyzing complications and costs of 333 devices with a minimum follow-up of 180 days. Ann Oncol. 1998;9:767–773.
- Hade AD, Beckmann LA, Basappa BK. A checklist to improve the quality of central venous catheter tip positioning. *Anaesthesia*. 2019;74:896–903.
- Souter RG, Mitchell A. Spreading cortical venous thrombosis due to infusion of hyperosmolar solution into the internal jugular vein. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1982; 285:935–936.
- Collin GR, Ahmadinejad AS, Misse E. Spontaneous migration of subcutaneous central venous catheters. Am Surg. 1997;63:322–326.
- Winston CB, Wechsler RJ, Kane M. Vertebral vein migration of a long-term central venous access catheter: a cause of brachial plexopathy. *J Thorac Imag.* 1994;9: 98–100.
- Mahon T, Lawrence Sr D. Technical report: an injection technique for repositioning subclavian catheters. *Clin Radiol.* 1991;44:197–198.
- 16. Bessoud B, de Baere T, Kuoch V, et al. Experience at a single institution with endovascular treatment of mechanical complications caused by implanted central venous access devices in pediatric and adult patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003; 180:527–532.
- Roblin D, Porter JC, Knight RK. Spontaneous migration of totally implanted venous catheter systems from subclavian into jugular veins. *Thorax*. 1994;49:281–282.
- Kowalski CM, Kaufman JA, Rivitz SM, et al. Migration of central venous catheters: implications for initial catheter tip positioning. J Vasc Intervent Radiol. 1997;8: 443–447.
- Turner DD, Sommers SC. Accidental passage of a polyethylene catheter from cubital vein to right atrium; report of a fatal case. N Engl J Med. 1954;251:744–745.
- Kock HJ, Pietsch M, Krause U, et al. Implantable vascular access systems: experience in 1500 patients with totally implanted central venous port systems. World J Surg. 1998;22:12–16.
- Charvát J, Linke Z, Horáèková M, et al. Implantation of central venous ports with catheter insertion via the right internal jugular vein in oncology patients: single center experience. Support Care Cancer. 2006;14:1162–1165.
- Cheng CC, Tsai TN, Yang CC, et al. Percutaneous retrieval of dislodged totally implantable central venous access system in 92 cases: experience in a single hospital. *Eur J Radiol.* 2009;69:346–350.
- Wang PC, Liang HL, Wu TH, et al. Percutaneous retrieval of dislodged central venous port catheter: experience of 25 patients in a single institute. *Acta Radiol.* 2009;50: 15–20.
- Fisher RG, Ferreyro R. Evaluation of current techniques for nonsurgical removal of intravascular iatrogenic foreign bodies. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1978;130:541–548.
- 25. Groebli Y, Wuthrich P, Tschantz P, et al. Une complication rare des accès veineux permanents: laminage, fracture et embolisation du catheter [A rare complication of permanent venous access: constriction, fracture and embolization of the catheter]. *Swiss Surg.* 1998;4:141–145. French.
- Thomas J, Sinclair-Smith B, Bloomfield D, et al. Non-surgical retrieval of a broken segment of steel spring guide from the right atrium and inferior vena cava. *Circulation*. 1964;30:106–108.
- Dondelinger RF, Lepoutre B, Kurdziel JC. Percutaneous vascular foreign body retrieval: experience of an 11-year period. *Eur J Radiol.* 1991;12:4–10.
- Liu JC, Tseng HS, Chen CY, et al. Percutaneous retrieval of 20 centrally dislodged Port-A catheter fragments. *Clin Imag.* 2004;28:223–229.
- Bloomfield DA. Techniques of nonsurgical retrieval of iatrogenic foreign bodies from the heart. *Am J Cardiol.* 1971;27:538–545.
- Koseoglu K, Parildar M, Oran I, et al. Retrieval of intravascular foreign bodies with goose neck snare. *Eur J Radiol*. 2004;49:281–285.
- Lin CH, Wu HS, Chan DC, et al. The mechanisms of failure of totally implantable central venous access system: analysis of 73 cases with fracture of catheter. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2010;36:100–103.