Peer

Identification and analysis of sucrose synthase gene family associated with polysaccharide biosynthesis in *Dendrobium catenatum* by transcriptomic analysis

Min Jiang^{1,2,*}, Shangyun Li^{1,*}, Changling Zhao¹, Mingfu Zhao¹, Shaozhong Xu¹ and Guosong Wen¹

¹Research & Development Center for Heath Product, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China

² Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering,

Institute of Eco-Chongming (IEC), School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China These authors contributed equally to this work.

These authors contributed equally to this w

ABSTRACT

Background. *Dendrobium catenatum* is a valuable traditional medicinal herb with high commercial value. *D. catenatum* stems contain abundant polysaccharides which are one of the main bioactive components. However, although some genes related to the synthesis of the polysaccharides have been reported, more key genes need to be further elucidated.

Results. In this study, the contents of polysaccharides and mannose in *D. catenatum* stems at four developmental stages were compared, and the stems' transcriptomes were analyzed to explore the synthesis mechanism of the polysaccharides. Many genes involved in starch and sucrose metabolisms were identified by KEGG pathway analysis. Further analysis found that sucrose synthase (SUS; EC 2.4.1.13) gene maybe participated in the polysaccharide synthesis. Hence, we further investigated the genomic characteristics and evolution relationships of the *SUS* family in plants. The result suggested that the SUS gene of *D. catenatum* (*DcSUS*) had undergone the expansion characterized by tandem duplication which might be related to the enrichment of the polysaccharides in *D. catenatum* stems. Moreover, expression analyses of the *DcSUS* displayed significant divergent patterns in different tissues and could be divided into two main groups in the stems with four developmental stages.

Conclusion. In general, our results revealed that *DcSUS* is likely involved in the metabolic process of the stem polysaccharides, providing crucial clues for exploiting the key genes associated with the polysaccharide synthesis.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Genomics, Plant Science **Keywords** SUS, Mannose, RNA-Seq, Evolution, Expression analysis, *Dendrobium catenatum*

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Dendrobium* is a perennial medicinal herb with about 1,450 species, and widely distributes in tropical and subtropical areas such as Australia and New Guinea

Submitted 28 December 2021 Accepted 14 March 2022 Published 5 April 2022

Corresponding authors Min Jiang, 20110700001@fudan.edu.cn, yijinsha@126.com Guosong Wen, wengs@163.com, wengs@ynau.edu.cn

Academic editor Heng Yin

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 17

DOI 10.7717/peerj.13222

Copyright 2022 Jiang et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

How to cite this article Jiang M, Li S, Zhao C, Zhao M, Xu S, Wen G. 2022. Identification and analysis of sucrose synthase gene family associated with polysaccharide biosynthesis in *Dendrobium catenatum* by transcriptomic analysis. *PeerJ* **10**:e13222 http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13222

(*Xiang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016a*). *D. catenatum*, an endangered orchid in the wild, has been served as a folk medicine nourishing "Yin", relieving fevers and stomach upsets and enhancing immunity for hundreds of years in China (*Jiang et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018*). *D. catenatum* is also called *Dendrobium officinale* under the Chinese name "Tiepishihu" and was recorded in the 2010 edition of the Chinese Pharmacopoeia (*Li et al., 2017*).

The fleshy stems, *i.e.*, the main medicinal parts, of *D. catenatum* have abundant polysaccharides which are considered to be the main bioactive ingredients of the stems (Zhang et al., 2016b), and possess immunomodulatory, antioxidant and hepatoprotective activities (Ng et al., 2012). Therefore, the content of the polysaccharides is the main market indicator of the stem quality (Meng et al., 2013). The polysaccharides consist of mannose, glucose and arabinose, and exist in the stems with the form of 2-O-acetylglucomannan (Hua et al., 2004). Indeed, fructose and mannose are the basic building units for the polysaccharide synthesis. Cellulose synthase (CESA) gene is associated with the mannan synthesis in D. catenatum (He et al., 2015), while sucrose synthase (SUS; EC 2.4.1.13) is the main enzyme which is involved in sucrose metabolism (Koch, Wu & Xu, 1996; N'tchobo et al., 1999), and catalyzes the reversible conversion of sucrose and UDP to UDP-glucose and fructose (Nunez et al., 2008; Barratt et al., 2009). Moreover, SUS interacts with CESA as a complex to supply UDP-glucose for cell wall synthesis (Haigler et al., 2001; Ruan, Llewellyn & Furbank, 2003). The activities of sucrose invertase and sucrose-phosphate synthase (SPS) are correlated with polysaccharide levels (*Wang et al.*, 2013), and sucrose breakdown is largely catalyzed by SUS and invertase (*Huber & Huber*, 1996). Although this is a general principle, rather than specific to *D. catenatum*, in this case, SUS and invertase should hold some correlations with the polysaccharide levels in D. catenatum. What's more, SPS and SUS genes have been reported to be related to polysaccharide generation (Yan et al., 2015), and, in higher plants, sucrose degradation catalyzed by SUS provides the glycosyl needed in the polysaccharide synthesis (Bar-Peled & O'Neill, 2011). Hence, it is reasonable to speculate that the SUS is likely involved in the polysaccharide synthesis in D. catenatum.

SUS is encoded by a small multiple gene family that exhibits distinct, partially overlapping expression patterns and functional divergences. Identification and characterization of the SUSs in plants such as Arabidopsis thaliana (Bieniawska et al., 2007), rice (Hirose, Scofield & Terao, 2008), Populus (Zhang et al., 2011), apple (Tong et al., 2018), and pear (Abdullah et al., 2018), are helpful for understanding the physiological roles and metabolic processes of the plants. The six SUSs encoded by Arabidopsis genome are divided into three groups based on their phylogenetic relationship and genomic structures (Baud, Vaultier & Rochat, 2004; Bieniawska et al., 2007). In detail, the AtSUS1 and AtSUS2 display differential ABA-independent expressions at sugar/osmoticum levels (Dejardin, Sokolov & *Kleczkowski*, 1999), and the SUS1 and SUS4 are all involved in the tolerance under hypoxic conditions (Bieniawska et al., 2007). During the maturation phase, the SUS2 has highly specific expression in seeds and co-localized with the plastids in embryos (Nunez et al., 2008). Moreover, the SUS2 and SUS3 can also alter sucrose/hexose homeostasis and affect carbon partitioning and storage in developing seeds (Angeles-Nunez & Tiessen, 2010), and the SUS5 and SUS6 have C-terminal extensions relative to other isoforms and play an important role in callose synthesis in sieve plates (Barratt et al., 2009).

Table 1 Polysaccharide and mannose content in four development stages of Dendrobium catenatum.							
Sample name	Tissue description	Polysaccharide content (mg/g)	Mannose content (%w/w)				
S1	2–3 months	146	27.0				
S2	5–6 months	213	24.3				
S3	8–9 months	347	49.6				
S4	11–12 months	431	36.1				

To date, although the key enzyme genes underlying the polysaccharide synthesis and metabolic pathway have been reported in several transcriptomes of *D. catenatum* (*He et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016b; Shen et al., 2017*), the related information of the genes remain limited. In this study, we investigated the mannose and polysaccharide contents in *D. catenatum* stems with four developmental stages and established the corresponding transcription databases. We also identified and characterized the *SUS* family involved in the polysaccharide synthesis. Eventually, the expression profiles of the *SUSs* in the stems were also surveyed. Our research could be helpful to further decipher the molecular mechanism of the bioactive polysaccharide biosynthesis and utilize genetic engineering to obtain abundant bioactive polysaccharides from *D. catenatum*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth conditions

At the plantation of Yunnan Honghe Qunxin Shihu Planting Co. Ltd. of China ($22^{\circ}36'7''$ N, $103^{\circ}27'36''$ E; Average altitude: 1,300 m; Row spacing: $12 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$), the stems with four developmental stages, *i.e.*, S1 (about 2–3 months after sprouting), S2 (about 5–6 months after sprouting), S3 (about 8-9 months after sprouting) and S4 (about 11–12 months after sprouting) (Table 1; Fig. S1), were sequentially collected from the plant population of *D. catenatum* 'Hongxin 6[#]' with high polysaccharide content which were from the clone of a wild *D. catenatum* plant. The stems were divided into 2 batches. The first used for the determination of polysaccharide and mannose contents were dried at 105 °C in an oven for 10 h and then triturated by a DFT-50 pulverizer and the second, together with the roots, stems, leaves, pedicels, dorsal sepals, lateral sepals, petals, lips and columns, used for the RNA extraction were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80° C.

Determination of polysaccharide and mannose contents in the stems The polysaccharide contents in the stems with the four developmental stages detected by SGS (SGS-CSTC Standard Technical Services (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., China) were determined by using the phenol-sulfuric acid method described by the Pharmacopoeia Committee of the People's Republic of China with glucose solutions (18, 36, 54, 72 and 90 μ g/mL) as standards. 0.3 g stem powder was added with 1 mL of 5% phenol and 5 mL concentrated sulfuric acid, heated in a boiling water bath for 20 min. Finally, the absorbance at 488 nm of the reaction solution was determined with a UV-6000 spectrophotometer (Shanghai

Metash, Shanghai, China). Meanwhile, the reaction solution was diluted to 2 mL with distilled water as the calibration standard. Each sample was assayed as three replicates.

For the determination of mannose contents, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) assays were executed with octadecylsilyl (ODS) as the filler described by the Pharmacopoeia Committee of the People's Republic of China. 0.12 g stem powder was pre-extracted with 80% ethanol at 80°C for 4 h. Then, 100 mL double-distilled water were added to the residues and mixed with 1 mL internal standard (12 mg/mL, d-glucosamine hydrochloride, chromatographically pure, Sigma-Aldrich) at 100 °C for 1 h. Subsequently, 0.5 mL HCl (3.0 M) was mixed with the former solution and hydrolyzed at 110°C for 1 h. Then, 1-phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (PMP) was used for derivation, incubated at 70°C for 110 min and neutralized by 0.5 mL HCl (0.3 M). The PMP labeling reaction solution was prepared by mixing with 0.3 M NaOH solution (0.4 mL) and 0.5 M PMP methanol solution (0.4 mL). To remove proteins, the sample was extracted with 2 mL chloroform for three times, mixed thoroughly for 2 min and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Finally, the aqueous phase was gathered and detected by HPLC under the following conditions: ZORBAX SB-Aq C (18) column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 µm); acetonitrile-0.5% ammonium acetate solution (20:80, v/v) as the mobile phase; flow rate = 1.0 mL min⁻¹; detection wave length = 250 nm. Three biological replicates for each sample were used for the determination.

Digital gene expression library construction, sequencing and assembly

Total RNA (3 μ g) from the stems were extracted by using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations, and the RNA integrity was measured by using Bioanalyzer 2100 system with RNA Nano 6000 LabChip Kit (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) with RIN number >7.0. Sequencing libraries were constructed by using NEBNext(R) UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina(R) (NEB, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol and index codes were appended to attribute sequences to each sample. We performed only two biological replicates on each sample. The libraries were named S1-1, S1-2, S2-1, S2-2, S3-1, S3-2, S4-1, S4-2, respectively. Subsequently, the index-coded samples were clustered by cBot Cluster Generation System and then the libraries were sequenced by using Illumina Hiseg platform and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated following the manufacturer's protocol. All raw data were cleaned by eliminating the reads containing the adapters and ploy-N and the reads with low quality, which were mapped to reference genome of *D. catenatum* downloaded in the Herbal Medicine Omics Database (http://herbalplant.ynau.edu.cn/) (Yan et al., 2015). Index of the reference genome was built by using Bowtie v2.2.3 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) and paired-end clean reads were aligned to the reference genome by using TopHat v2.0.12. We selected TopHat as the mapping tool because TopHat can generate a database of splice junctions based on the gene model annotation file and, thus, produce a better mapping result than other non-splice mapping tools. The rate of unique mapping all reached to 60% in all samples (Table 2). The square of correlation coefficients between replicates of each sample were more than 75% (Fig. S2). These raw data generated in this study had

Sample ID	Raw reads (M)	Clean reads (M)	Q30 (%)	GC content (%)	No. of mapped reads (M)	Uniquely mapped reads (M)		
S1-1	63.47	62.33	95.53	46.49	44.24 (70.98%)	39.03 (62.61%)		
S1-2	65.11	64.03	95.81	46.12	46.51 (72.65%)	41.17 (64.3%)		
S2-1	76.23	74.71	95.08	46.05	52.82 (70.7%)	46.69 (62.5%)		
S2-2	61.53	60.48	95.72	46.07	43.79 (72.39%)	38.64 (63.88%)		
S3-1	63.69	62.79	95.85	45.87	45.64 (72.68%)	40.06 (63.79%)		
S3-2	72.19	71.13	95.96	45.68	50.78 (71.39%)	44.87 (63.08%)		
S4-1	64.66	63.62	96.11	45.76	43.53 (68.43%)	38.41 (60.37%)		
S4-2	75.84	74.59	96.17	45.76	53.62 (71.88%)	47.09 (63.13%)		

 Table 2
 Sequencing and assembly statistics for the 8 transcriptome data of four developmental stages in D. catenatum.

been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Short Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject ID PRJNA668448.

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and functional annotation

The calculation of the statistical power for our RNA-seq data was performed by RNASeqPower Calculator (*Ching, Huang & Garmire, 2014*). The abundance of gene expression was calculated by FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript sequence per Million mapped reads) (*Wagner, Kin & Lynch, 2012*). The differential expression analysis between two randomly samples of developmental stages was executed by using the DESeq R package (1.18.0) (*Anders & Huber, 2010*). The DEGs were screened by EdgeR packege with fold change ≥ 2 and the false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted *p*-value <0.05 as the threshold (*Robinson, McCarthy & Smyth, 2010*). For gene annotation, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of DEGs was implemented by the GOseq R package with the corrected *p*-values less than 0.05 as cut off (*Young et al., 2010*). In addition, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs was performed by using the KOBAS software (*Kanehisa et al., 2008*). Likewise, the corrected *p*-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant difference.

Identification of the SUS family members in plants

The 15 SUS information from the *D. catenatum* were downloaded from the Herbal Medicine Omics Database (http://herbalplant.ynau.edu.cn/) (*Yan et al., 2015*). BLASTP searches was proposed against orthologous protein sequences using Arabidopsis SUSs (*Bieniawska et al., 2007*) as queries in public PLAZA (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/plaza/) (*Van Bel et al., 2018*). Meanwhile, all retrieved gene sequences were only considered as the candidates and subjected to domain analyses by scanning in InterProscan software (*De Castro et al., 2006*). Importantly, the proteins only harboring sucrose synthase domain (IPR000368) rather than sucrose-phosphatase domain (IPR006380) were considered as the SUS ones. Moreover, redundancy and any alternative splice variants of sequences were eliminated. The SUSs in given species was designated according to their order on the chromosomes.

Gene structure, sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

All SUS protein sequences were compared with those of Arabidopsis and *D. catenatum* by using the Clustal Omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) with default settings. The theoretical pI (isoelectric point) and Mw (molecular weight) of the SUSs were calculated by using Compute pI/Mw tool online software (http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/). The exon/intron structures of the *SUS* candidates were generated by using the online Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS 2.0: http://gsds.gao-lab.org/) (*Hu et al., 2015*) with output in accordance with their phylogenetic tree. Phylogenetic trees of the SUSs were constructed by using MEGA 6.0 based the maximum-likelihood (ML) method with a Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model same as previous research (*Tamura et al., 2013*). Test of phylogeny was assessed by bootstrap method with 2000 iterations test and all positions with 95% site coverage were eliminated.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses

To assess the veracities of the RNA-Seq data, qRT-PCR amplification of 44 genes involved in the stem polysaccharide synthesis in four developmental stages was performed. The total RNA was extracted by using Trizol reagent and reverse-transcribed into cDNA by using PrimeScript RT Master Mix Perfect Real Time (TaKaRa) followed the manufacturer's instructions. The qRT-PCR was executed by using SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTMII (TaKaRa) and each sample was repeated in triplicate independently. $10 \,\mu$ L reaction systems containing 5–50 ng of cDNA products (4 μ L), 5 pmol of each primer (0.4 μ L), 5 μ L SYBR green master mix (2X), 0.2 µL ROX normalized fluorescent signal. The procedure for amplification was set as follows: initial activation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Melting curves ranging from 60 °C to 95 °C followed by 0.5 °C/min were detected. The constitutively expression gene, D. catenatum 18S rRNA (Dendrobium_GLEAN_10067105), was used as an internal control. The primer sets were listed in Table S1. Moreover, the expression profiles of the DcSUSs in different tissues and four developmental stages were also investigated. The housekeeping gene GAPDH (NCBI accession number: KP719976) was used to normalize the relative expression levels (Jiang et al., 2017). The SUS-specific primers were shown in Table S2. The methods and conditions of the qRT-PCRs were performed as mentioned above. The relative expression levels of candidate genes were calculated by using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. The up-regulated genes were defined as the ones whose fold-changes greater than 2 with the p values of <0.05, and the genes with the fold changes of 0.5 or less and the p values of <0.05 were defined as the down-regulated ones.

RESULTS

Polysaccharide and mannose contents of the stems with four developmental stages

To provide a scientific data for taking advantage of the endangered wild *Dendrobium* resources, the contents of total polysaccharides and mannose, which are the most abundant neutral monosaccharide in various developmental stages of *D. catenatum*, were determined. *D. catenatum* plants had the highest polysaccharide (431 mg/g) in S4,

Pathway	Pathway ID	DEGs genes	Background number
Starch and sucrose metabolism	ko00500	29	353
Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis	ko00940	24	226
Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum	ko04141	24	355
Circadian rhythm - plant	ko04712	24	95
Plant hormone signal transduction	ko04075	22	327
Plant-pathogen interaction	ko04626	19	294
Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	ko00260	16	126
Phenylalanine metabolism	ko00360	16	156
Pentose and glucuronate interconversions	ko00040	14	125
Tyrosine metabolism	ko00350	14	69
Glutathione metabolism	ko00480	14	153
Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis	ko00950	13	42
Toxoplasmosis	ko05145	12	159
Cyanoamino acid metabolism	ko00460	11	77
Cutin, suberine and wax biosynthesis	ko00073	10	46
MicroRNAs in cancer	ko05206	10	131
Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	ko00980	9	78
Drug metabolism-cytochrome P450	ko00982	9	100
Ribosome	ko03010	9	444
Phagosome	ko04145	9	139

Table 3Top 20 enriched KEGG pathways with the highest representation of DEGs among four development stages.

while the highest concentration of mannose in S3 (49.6%) (Table 1). From S1 to S3, the mannose accumulated gradually, which was consistent with the variation trend of the polysaccharide. Interestingly, mannose content showed decreased in S4, rather than a sustained increase like that of the polysaccharide (Table 1), indicating that the presence of other monosaccharides had a greater impact on the polysaccharide accumulation in the later stages of *D. catenatum* development.

Overview of RNA-seq analysis

To elucidate the genes and metabolic pathways involved in the polysaccharide synthesis, eight transcriptomes from S1–S4 samples were studied. Due to irresistable factors, we performed only two biological replicates on each sample instead of three replicates. A total of 128.58 million, 137.76 million, 135.88 million and 140.5 million raw reads were obtained for S1, S2, S3 and S4, respectively (Table 2). Likewise, after filtering, 18.95 Gb, 20.28 Gb, 20.09 Gb and 20.73 Gb clean reads were generated, respectively (Table 2). In addition, the clean reads were mapped to *D. catenatum* reference genome database, and a total of 16,384 novel genes and their expression data were obtained (Tables S3 and S4). The statistical power of our RNA-seq data calculated by RNASeqPower Calculator was 0.832.

Go classification and KEGG analysis of the DEGs among different developmental stages

Pairwise comparisons were made to identify the candidate genes associated with the polysaccharide synthesis during development. Based on the RNA-Seq data, the distribution rang of FPKM in S1–S4 were mostly located between of 1 and 60 (Fig. S3; Table S4). The detailed information of the FPKM values was enclosed in Table S5. In addition, a total of 1762 significant DEGs were screened with the p values of ≤ 0.05 and the 2-fold change differences as a criteria (Fig. 1A; Fig. S4). The DEGs were shown in Table S6. To investigate the major trends between the different developmental samples in D. catenatum, these DEGs were clustered into six groups by K-means methods (Figs. 1B and 1C). Moreover, the five data sets from different comparisons were exhibited by using a Venn diagram (Fig. 1D). For example, 106 DEGs were identified in both S1 vs S3 and S1 vs S4 comparisons, while only 18 DEGs were identified in both S1 vs S3 and S3 vs S4 comparisons (Fig. 1D). To further gain insight into the functions of DEGs, the GO annotation, KEGG pathway and enrichment analyses were performed. GO annotation analysis showed that these DEGs were distributed into 70 functional terms, mainly associated with metabolic and biosynthetic process (Fig. S5 and Table S7). Meanwhile, KEGG pathway and enrichment analyses exhibited that DEGs were obviously involved in starch- and sucrose- related metabolism, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and circadian rhythm (Table 3; Table S8).

Validation and expression analysis of selected key enzyme genes related to polysaccharide biosynthesis by qRT-PCR

To verify the reliability of the RNA-seq results, we performed qRT-PCR analysis on 16 candidate genes implicated in the polysaccharide synthesis (Fig. 2). Among these, 13 genes showed high expressions with RPKM_10 in most stages, other genes displayed low expressions with RPKM_10 in all four stages. The genes selected for qRT-PCR compared with the RNA-seq data were shown (Table S1). The results showed that RNA-seq and qRT-PCR expression patterns of 16 genes were consistent, and the positive correlation coefficients (*r*) were all greater than 0.9 (Fig. 2). For example, the *r* values of Dendrobium_GLEAN_10077593 (*GALE*), Dendrobium_GLEAN_10128035 (*GAE*), and Dendrobium_GLEAN_10026326 (*ASD*) were 0.999, 0.999 and 0.977, respectively, indicating that the RNA-Seq data were reliable for the differential gene expression profiles in the *D. catenatum* stems with four developmental stages.

Putative pathway for polysaccharide biosynthesis based on KEGG analysis in *D. catenatum*

Based on KEGG pathways, a total of 29 unigenes encoding 11 key enzymes involved in the polysaccharide metabolism were identified and a detailed metabolic map with the expression patterns of these genes was constructed (Fig. 3A). The detailed information of each enzyme was showed (Table S9). The largest number of unigenes (4 unigenes) were identified as beta-glucosidase (BGLU, EC:3.2.1.21) genes; the second largest number of unigenes (three) were annotated as sucrose synthase (SUS, E2.4.1.13) genes; while the third largest number of unigenes (two) were annotated as trehalose 6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP, EC:3.1.3.12) and UDP-glucuronate 4-epimerase (GAE, EC:5.1.3.6)



Figure 1 Analysis of changes in gene expression among four developmental stages in *D. catenatum*. (A) The number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was obtained from comparisons of S1 *versus* S2, S1 *versus* S3, S1 *versus* S4, S2 *versus* S3, S2 *versus* S4 and S3 *versus* S4. (B) All DEGs were classified into six clusters by short time-series expression miner (STEM, *P* value < 0.05). (C) Heat map illustrating the expression profiles of the developmental-differentially expressed genes. (D) Venn diagrams of the DEGs in different comparisons.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-1

genes. Furthermore, several unigenes were annotated as encoding UDP glucose 6dehydrogenase (UGDH, EC:1.1.1.22), maltase-glucoamylase (MGAM, EC:3.2.1.20) and beta-fructofuranosidase (INV, EC:3.2.1.26) genes. In addition, we also showed the expression profiles of 12 genes which had differentially expressed at the four stages (Fig. 3B). Dendrobium_GLEAN_10005633 (UGDH) was highly expressed in S2 and low in S4. However, Dendrobium_GLEAN_10048133 (UGDH) was highly expressed in S3, and low in other stages (Fig. 3B), suggesting that there may be functional divergence among the members of different gene families.

Identification, evolutionary and protein domain analysis of the SUS family in *D. catenatum*

The polysaccharide content, transcriptome and metabolic pathway were comprehensively taken into account, we selected the *SUS* for further analysis. To investigate the evolution of these protein architectures across plant species, identification analyses of *SUS* family were conducted in 16 publicly available plant genomes. At last, 105 non-redundant *SUS*s

PeerJ



Figure 2 Result of qRT-PCR analysis. The left Y axis represents RPKM value of each gene using RNA-Seq analysis. The right Y axis represents log2 transformed relative transcript amount obtained by qRT-PCR. The correlation co-efficient (r) between the two expression profiles is also showed.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-2

were retrieved in total (Table S10). We found that the *D. catenatum* genome had the largest numbers of *SUSs*, which had subjected to prominent expansion through tandem duplication. Moreover, the *Malus domestica* and tetraploid soybean genomes all contained 12 *SUSs*, whereas a few numbers in other plant genomes were discovered, even those containing only one *SUS* (Table S10). Furthermore, the gene expansions might favor the generation and accumulation of polysaccharides in *D. catenatum*, as was similar with previous study (*Yan et al., 2015*). During this study, we found that the *SUSs* generally containing above 10 introns occupied the main points (74%), while only one *SUS* harbored a maximum of 23 introns (*CrSUS1*) (Table S10). Afterwards, the Mw and pI of the SUS proteins identified were further determined by using the online version of Compute pI/Mw tool. The Mws ranged from 10.53 (DcSUS8) to 226.02 (CrSUS1) kDa and the pIs varied from 4.72 (DcSUS8) to 9.91 (DcSUS13) (Table S10). It was noteworthy that the pIs of most SUSs (81.9%) were slightly acidic.



Figure 3 Biosynthesis pathways of polysaccharides and related gene expression status with four developmental stages in *D. catenatum*. (A) Schematic representation of the polysaccharide biosynthetic pathway based on KEGG enrichment analysis. Enzymes were highlighted and marked in orange and blue according to up-regulated or down-regulated expression in different development stages, respectively. (B) Heat map of polysaccharide biosynthetic pathway related genes in four developmental stages of *D. catenatum*.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-3

Then the ML tree was reconstructed based on the full-length amino-acid sequences of the 105 SUS proteins. Phylogenetic analysis showed that these SUS proteins could be divided into four families (Fig. 4). The SUS I family contained the members from green alga and seed plants, the SUS II and SUS III families gathered in angiosperm and gymnosperm, while the SUS IV family only existed in fern and moss (Fig. 4), indicating that SUS I, SUS II and SUS III families originated in common ancestral genomic contexts before the divergency of the green alga and terrestrial plants and, subsequently, non-seed and seed plant SUSs followed two distinct tracks to evolve.

In order to search the evolutionary relationship of the *DcSUS* family, a phylogenetic tree was derived from the alignments of the full-length nucleotide sequences by using the ML method by MEGA6.0 (Fig. 5A). The syntenic analyses showed that there were many duplicate pairs in the *DcSUS* family, including 14 dispersed duplication (DSD) and two tandem duplication (TD) ones (Table S11). The evolutionary relationship among the *DcSUSs* was inconsistent with that revealed in their protein phylogenetic tree. In addition, the exon/intron organization analyses of the *DcSUSs* were performed to examine the further genesis. Most *DcSUSs* had no or one intron except the *DcSUS2, DcSUS4, DcSUS5* and *DcSUS11* (Fig. 5B), which varied significantly from the intron numbers of other plant *SUSs*. The results were probably related to the incomplete annotation of the Dendrobium genome sequence. Furthermore, we further investigated the conserved domains of the DcSUSs by using InterProScan database. Generally, SUS proteins harbored a typical sucrose synthase domain (IPR000368) (Fig. 5C), but many SUS proteins also contained other ones, such as the protein kinase-like, Zinc finger and tetratricopeptide-like helical domains (Fig. 5C).



Figure 4 Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree of sucrose synthase gene family from 16 plant species. Phylogenetic analysis was carried with protein sequences for 105 SUS proteins from 16 plant species identified in this study.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-4

Expression profiles of the *DcSUS*s in different tissues and the stems with four developmental stages

Expression profiles could provide clues for their functional divergence among all members of a gene family (*Whittle & Krochko*, 2009). In the present research, the roots, stems, leaves, pedicels, dorsal sepals, lateral sepals, petals, lips and columns of *D. catenatum* were used to measure the relative expression levels of the 15 *DcSUSs* by qRT-PCR. All 15 *DcSUSs* expressed in the nine tissues. However, most *DcSUS* transcripts showed tissue-specific abundance patterns. For instance, *DcSUS11*, *DcSUS13* and *DcSUS14* displayed lower expressions in the pedicels, dorsal sepals and lateral sepals, and moderate expressions in other tissues. By contrast, *DcSUS2*, *DcSUS5* and *DcSUS12* expressed highly in the stems, pedicels, dorsal sepals and lateral sepals, while low expressed in other tissues (Fig.



Figure 5 Phylogenetic relationships, gene and protein structure analyses in SUS proteins from *D. cate-natum.* (A) The phylogenetic tree was constructed from the amino acid sequences using the ML program from MEGA 6, representing relationships among 15 SUS proteins from *D. catenatum*. Two tandem duplicated genes were marked by blue and green, respectively. (B) The exon/intron structure of each *DcSUS* gene was proportionally showed based on the scale at the bottom. (c) Structure of SUS proteins in *D. catenatum*.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-5

6A). *DcSUS6*, *DcSUS10* and *DcSUS15* had low expressions only in the stems, while high expressions in other tissues. *DcSUS3* and *DcSUS4* showed moderate expressions in the pedicels, dorsal sepals and lateral sepals, but high expressions in other tissues. It was interesting that the expression pattern of the *DcSUS8* was just opposite. In addition, the *DcSUS1* and *DcSUS7* displayed a relatively lower expressions in all tissues, while the *DcSUS9* displayed relatively high expressions in all tissues (Fig. 6A). Therefore, the *DcSUSs* might exhibit function divergences in the corresponding tissues.

To survey the development-dependent expression difference of the *DcSUSs*, we also investigated their expression patterns in the stems with four developmental stages (Table S12). Their expression profiles could be mainly divided into two cases. One had low expressions in four developmental stages including the *DcSUS1*, *DcSUS5*, *DcSUS6*, *DcSUS10* and *DcSUS15*. Another displayed relative high expression including *DcSUS2*, *DcSUS3*, *DcSUS7*, *DcSUS8*, *DcSUS9*, *DcSUS12*, *DcSUS13* and *DcSUS14* (Fig. 6B). Moreover, the *DcSUS11* showed high expression only in S1 stage, and low expressions in other three stages. While the *DcSUS4* had a moderate expression only in S1 stage, and high expressions in other stages (Fig. 6B). Thus, the *DcSUSs* might hold distinct functions in different development stages of the stems.

DISCUSSION

Potential candidate genes involved in polysaccharide synthesis

The dominating bioactive components of *D. catenatum* were soluble polysaccharides (*Ng et al., 2012*), which were synthesized from monosaccharides, such as glucose, mannose, galactose, rhamnose, arabinose, xylose, and so on (*Zha et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2009*). It was indicated in the current research that, the longer the plants grow, the higher the content of soluble polysaccharides (Table 1). In addition, the transcriptomes of the stems with the four developmental stages revealed 1762 DEGs (Fig. 1). Moreover,



Figure 6 Expression profiles of *D. catenatum DcSUS* genes in different tissues and developmental stages. (A) Expression patterns of *DcSUS* genes in nine tissues including root, stem, leaf, pedicel, dorsal sepal, lateral sepal, petal, lip and column. (B) Expression patterns of *DcSUS* genes in four developmental stages of *D. catenatum*.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13222/fig-6

further analysis of KEGG pathway displayed that many genes encoding the key enzymes involved in starch and sucrose metabolism were identified. The results showed that beta-fructofuranosidase (INV, EC:3.2.1.26) expressed differentially in the developmental process of *D. catenatum* stems (Fig. 3A). A previous study has reported that the elongation of A. thaliana roots was regulated by vacuolar invertase (INV) that can degrade sucrose to produce glucose and fructose (Sergeeva et al., 2006). Moreover, the SUS (EC 2.4.1.13) gene associated with sucrose metabolism had significant differentially expressed among developmental stages (Fig. 3A). Moreover, Os4bglu12 β -glucosidase (EC:3.2.1.21) had high exoglucanase activity and consistent with a role in cell wall metabolism (Opassiri et al., 2006), which similarly had distinct expression profiles in our study (Fig. 3A). Likewise, a previous research reported that UDP-arabinose 4-epimerase (UXE, EC:5.1.3.5) with distinct expression patterns (Fig. 3) played key roles in the synthesis of arabinosylated cell wall components (Burget et al., 2003). Furthermore, UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (UGDH, EC 1.1.1.22) catalyzes the formation of UDP-GlcA from UDPGlc, and then synthesizes UDP-Xyl (Oka & Jigami, 2006) in which d-xylose is mainly present in the form of cell wall polysaccharides and N-glycan (Mercx et al., 2017). However, there were also some genes that had no obvious differentially expressions in the present study, but they had been previously proved to be involved in polysaccharide synthesis. For example, mannose-1phosphate_guanylyltransferase (EC:2.7.7.13) was a key enzyme associated with the cellulose biosynthesis (*Kim et al., 2014*), which had been identified to be involved in the synthesis of mannan polysaccharides in D. officinale (D. catenatum) (He et al., 2015). In addition,

mannose-6-phosphate isomerase (MPI, EC:5.3.1.8) catalyzed the reversible isomerization between D-fructose 6-phosphate and D-mannose 6-phosphate and participated in hexose metabolic process (*Wang et al., 2014*). Interestingly, MPI encoding genes had highly expression levels in the *D. catenatum* stems with four developmental stages (Fig. 3B). In a word, these enzymes might contribute to the growth and development of *D. catenatum* and their genes could be used as the candidate ones involved in the synthesis of the polysaccharides.

Tandem duplication contributed to DcSUS expansion

Tandem duplications generating the duplicates that are closely adjacent to each other (generally separated by 10 or fewer genes) has facilitated greatly to the expansion of plant gene families (Rizzon, Ponger & Gaut, 2006; Freeling, 2009). Our analysis indicated that there were obvious gene expansions in the *DcSUSs* holding the most gene family members (Table S11). Further syntenic analyses displayed that the multiple copies of the DcSUS resulted from tandem duplications or segmental duplications (Fig. 5A; Table S11), which was similar to those of MKK family (Jiang & Chu, 2018; Jiang, Li & Wang, 2021). Moreover, previous studies also reported that the DcSUSs maybe underwent expansion through tandem duplication (Yan et al., 2015; Qiao et al., 2019). What's more, these tandem duplicates generally played a vital role in the plant adaptation to respond rapidly changing environments (Hanada et al., 2008). Similarly, the expansions of SPS and SUS (SuSy) had been believed to contribute to the polysaccharide richness in D. officinale (i.e., D. catenatum) (Yan et al., 2015). Meanwhile, just as the synthesis of the polysaccharide in A. thaliana was beneficial to the drought-resisting of A. thaliana (Balsamo et al., 2015), the polysaccharide synthesis and the expressions of the related genes including the DcSUS in D. catenatum stems contributed to the adaptability of cultivated D. catenatum to various stresses, e.g., drought, salt and osmotic ones (Huang et al., 2021). As a result, since the genes giving plants the ability to respond rapidly changing environments have been verified to hold expansion tendency including tandem duplication (Hanada et al., 2008), the richness of polysaccharides in D. catenatum might be related to the expansion of these genes including the DcSUS, which was in accordance with that reported in previous studies (Yan et al., 2015).

Sequence evolution, domain organization and expression patterns of *DcSUS*

Compared with other species, there were more large SUS numbers in *Glycine max*, *M. domestica* and *D. catenatum* genome (Table S10). Generally, the increase in genes is associated with polyploidy or ancient polyploidization events (*Jiao et al., 2011*). For example, the 12 SUSs existed in recently duplicated tetraploid *G. max* genomes which had occurred two rounds of whole genome duplications (WGDs). In addition, except the sucrose synthase domain, other domain organizations were detected, *e.g.*, the protein kinase-like and tetratricopeptide-like helical domains (Fig. 5C). The glycosyltransferase (GT) gene family affect many aspects of plant growth and development. For instance, the loss of GT genes affect the production of recombinant proteins beta-1, 2-xylose

and core alpha-1,3-fucose in *Nicotiana benthamiana (Jansing et al., 2019)*, and the UDPglycosyltransferase gene regulates the ginsenoside synthesis in *Panax ginseng* and *Panax quinquefolius (Lu et al., 2017)*. Moreover, *Magnaporthe oryzae* Chitinase MoChia1 interacts with OsTPR that is a tetratricopeptide repeat protein to counteract the function of this fungal chitinase and regain immunity (*Yang et al., 2019*). These results indicated that the *SUSs* might also have divergent functions due to the domain organization change.

In a number of cases, the changes in tissue-specific expression of genes may also lead to the changes in the functions of the gene paralogs (Zhang & Ma, 2012). VvSUS3 was the most highly expressed gene in the berries, which closely affected the sugar content in the berries and the changes in SUS activity (*Ren et al., 2020*). The carrot *DcSus* were higher expressed in the leaf blades than those in the roots and petioles, which showed strong negative correlation both with the sucrose and soluble sugar contents (*Liu et al., 2018*). In addition, most MdSUSs displayed decreased expressions during fruit development, whereas the expression profiles of MdSUS2.1 and MdSUS1.4 were opposite, which indicated that MdSUSs might play distinct functions in the sugar utilization and sink-source sugar cycle in apple (*Tong et al.*, 2018). Therefore, we investigated the expression profiles of *DcSUSs* in different D. catenatum tissues. Most DcSUSs displayed tissue-specific abundance patterns, especially in the stems (Fig. 6A), implying that, in sucrose metabolism, the DcSUSs might play distinct roles different from those in other plants. For example, DcSUS7 showed higher expression in stems than those in other tissues (Fig. 6A), indicating that the gene was most likely related to the synthesis of the sucrose in *D. catenatum* stems. Furthermore, *DcSUS10* had higher expressions in S3 and S4 stages than that in S1 (Fig. 6B), which was similar to the polysaccharide and mannose contents in the stems with the specific stages (Table 1), suggesting that the sucrose synthesis in developing stems might be closely associated with the DcSUSs.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we detected and compared the polysaccharide and mannose contents of the *D. catenatum* stems with four developmental stages, and conducted RNA-seq analysis of the corresponding stems. A total of 16,384 genes were detected. Several DEGs correlated with the metabolic and biosynthetic process were identified. Further analysis showed that the DEGs were mainly enriched in starch and sucrose metabolism pathway. More importantly, we observed the *SUS* encoding polysaccharide biosynthase in 16 representative plants, and studied its genomic characteristics and evolutionary relationships. The results suggested that the expansions in *DcSUSs* were caused by tandem duplications. Moreover, the 15 *SUSs* showed two different expression patterns at the four developmental stages and were significantly regulated in different *D. catenatum* tissues. In general, these results not only provided gene resources for the genetic improvement of *D. catenatum*, but also laid a foundation for further understanding of the molecular mechanism of polysaccharide biosynthesis.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This research were funded from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81360611) and Shanghai Sailing Program (19YF1414800). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Natural Science Foundation of China: 81360611. Shanghai Sailing Program: 19YF1414800.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Min Jiang conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Shangyun Li performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Changling Zhao analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Mingfu Zhao and Shaozhong Xu analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Guosong Wen conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The sequences are available at NCBI's Short Read Archive (SRA): PRJNA668448.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.13222#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Abdullah M, Cao YP, Cheng X, Meng DD, Chen Y, Shakoor A, Gao JS, Cai YP. 2018.

The sucrose synthase gene family in Chinese pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* rehd.): structure, expression, and evolution. *Molecules* **23**:1144 DOI 10.3390/molecules23051144.

- Anders S, Huber W. 2010. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biology* 11:R106 DOI 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106.
- Angeles-Nunez JG, Tiessen A. 2010. Arabidopsis sucrose synthase 2 and 3 modulate metabolic homeostasis and direct carbon towards starch synthesis in developing seeds. *Planta* 232:701–718 DOI 10.1007/s00425-010-1207-9.
- Balsamo R, Boak M, Nagle K, Peethambaran B, Layton B. 2015. Leaf biomechanical properties in *Arabidopsis thaliana* polysaccharide mutants affect drought survival. *Journal of Biomechanics* **48**:4124–4129 DOI 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.10.016.
- **Bar-Peled M, O'Neill MA. 2011.** Plant nucleotide sugar formation, interconversion, and salvage by sugar recycling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **62**:127–155 DOI 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103918.
- Barratt DHP, Derbyshire P, Findlay K, Pike M, Wellner N, Lunn J, Feil R, Simpson C, Maule AJ, Smith AM. 2009. Normal growth of Arabidopsis requires cytosolic invertase but not sucrose synthase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106:13124–13129 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0900689106.
- Baud S, Vaultier MN, Rochat C. 2004. Structure and expression profile of the sucrose synthase multigene family in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55:397–409 DOI 10.1093/jxb/erh047.
- Bieniawska Z, Barratt DHP, Garlick AP, Thole V, Kruger NJ, Martin C, Zrenner R, Smith AM. 2007. Analysis of the sucrose synthase gene family in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* 49:810–828 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.03011.x.
- **Burget EG, Verma R, Molhoj M, Reiter WD. 2003.** The biosynthesis of L-arabinose in plants: molecular cloning and characterization of a Golgi-localized UDP-D-xylose 4-epimerase encoded by the *MUR4* gene of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **15**:523–531 DOI 10.1105/tpc.008425.
- **Ching T, Huang S, Garmire LX. 2014.** Power analysis and sample size estimation for RNA-Seq differential expression. *RNA* **20**:1684–1696 DOI 10.1261/rna.046011.114.
- De Castro E, Sigrist CJA, Gattiker A, Bulliard V, Langendijk-Genevaux PS, Gasteiger E, Bairoch A, Hulo N. 2006. ScanProsite: detection of PROSITE signature matches and ProRule-associated functional and structural residues in proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34:W362–W365 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkl124.
- Dejardin A, Sokolov LN, Kleczkowski LA. 1999. Sugar/osmoticum levels modulate differential abscisic acid-independent expression of two stress-responsive sucrose synthase genes in Arabidopsis. *Biochemical Journal* 344:503–509 DOI 10.1042/bj3440503.
- Fan YJ, He XJ, Zhou SD, Luo AX, He T, Chun Z. 2009. Composition analysis and antioxidant activity of polysaccharide from *Dendrobium denneanum*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 45:169–173 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2009.04.019.
- **Freeling M. 2009.** Bias in plant gene content following different sorts of duplication: tandem, whole-genome, segmental, or by transposition. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **60**:433–453 DOI 10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092122.

- Haigler CH, Ivanova-Datcheva M, Hogan PS, Salnikov VV, Hwang S, Martin K,
 Delmer DP. 2001. Carbon partitioning to cellulose synthesis. *Plant Molecular Biology* 47:29–51 DOI 10.1023/A:1010615027986.
- Hanada K, Zou C, Lehti-Shiu MD, Shinozaki K, Shiu SH. 2008. Importance of lineagespecific expansion of plant tandem duplicates in the adaptive response to environmental stimuli. *Plant Physiology* **148**:993–1003 DOI 10.1104/pp.108.122457.
- He CM, Zhang JX, Liu XC, Zeng SJ, Wu KL, Yu ZM, Wang XJ, Da Silva JAT, Lin ZJ, Duan J. 2015. Identification of genes involved in biosynthesis of mannan polysaccharides in *Dendrobium officinale* by RNA-seq analysis. *Plant Molecular Biology* 88:219–231 DOI 10.1007/s11103-015-0316-z.
- Hirose T, Scofield GN, Terao T. 2008. An expression analysis profile for the entire sucrose synthase gene family in rice. *Plant Science* 174:534–543 DOI 10.1016/j.plantsci.2008.02.009.
- Hu B, Jin JP, Guo AY, Zhang H, Luo JC, Gao G. 2015. GSDS 2.0: an upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics* **31**:1296–1297 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu817.
- Hua YF, Zhang M, Fu CX, Chen ZH, Chan GYS. 2004. Structural characterization of a 2-O-acetylglucomannan from *Dendrobium officinale* stem. *Carbohydrate Research* 339:2219–2224 DOI 10.1016/j.carres.2004.05.034.
- Huang H, Wang H, Tong Y, Wang YH. 2021. Identification and characterization of HD-Zip genes reveals their roles in stresses responses and facultative crassulacean acid metabolism in *Dendrobium catenatum*. *Scientia Horticulturae* 285:110058
 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110058.
- Huber SC, Huber JL. 1996. Role and regulation of sucrose-phosphate synthase in higher plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **47**:431–444 DOI 10.1146/annurev.arplant.47.1.431.
- Jansing J, Sack M, Augustine SM, Fischer R, Bortesi L. 2019. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of six glycosyltransferase genes in *Nicotiana benthamiana* for the production of recombinant proteins lacking beta-1, 2-xylose and core alpha-1, 3-fucose. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 17:350–361 DOI 10.1111/pbi.12981.
- Jiang M, Chu ZQ. 2018. Comparative analysis of plant *MKK* gene family reveals novel expansion mechanism of the members and sheds new light on functional conservation. *BMC Genomics* 19:407 DOI 10.1186/s12864-018-4793-8.
- Jiang M, Li P, Wang W. 2021. Comparative analysis of *MAPK* and *MKK* gene families reveals differential evolutionary patterns in *Brachypodium distachyon* inbred lines. *Peerj* 9:e11238 DOI 10.7717/peerj.11238.
- Jiang M, Xu SZ, Wen GS, Zhao CL. 2017. Validation of seven housekeeping genes as reference ones for qRT-PCR normalization in *Dendrobium catenatum*. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* **64**:497–508 DOI 10.1134/S1021443717040069.
- Jiao YN, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, Tomsho LP, Hu Y, Liang HY, Soltis PS, Soltis DE, Clifton SW, Schlarbaum SE, Schuster SC, Ma H, Leebens-Mack J, DePamphilis CW. 2011. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473:97–100 DOI 10.1038/nature09916.

- Kanehisa M, Araki M, Goto S, Hattori M, Hirakawa M, Itoh M, Katayama T, Kawashima S, Okuda S, Tokimatsu T, Yamanishi Y. 2008. KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. *Nucleic Acids Research* 36:D480–D484 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkm882.
- Kim WC, Reca IB, Kim Y, Park S, Thomashow M, Keegstra K, Han KH. 2014. Transcription factors that directly regulate the expression of CSLA9 encoding mannan synthase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **84**:577–587 DOI 10.1007/s11103-013-0154-9.
- Koch KE, Wu Y, Xu J. 1996. Sugar and metabolic regulation of genes for sucrose metabolism: Potential influence of maize sucrose synthase and soluble invertase responses on carbon partitioning and sugar sensing. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 47:1179–1185 DOI 10.1093/jxb/47.Special_Issue.1179.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* 9:357–359 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- Li O, Xiao R, Sun LH, Guan CL, Kong DD, Hu XF. 2017. Bacterial and diazotrophic diversities of endophytes in *Dendrobium catenatum* determined through barcoded pyrosequencing. *PLOS ONE* 12:e0184717 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0184717.
- Liu YJ, Wang GL, Ma J, Xu ZS, Wang F, Xiong AS. 2018. Transcript profiling of sucrose synthase genes involved in sucrose metabolism among four carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cultivars reveals distinct patterns. *BMC Plant Biology* 18(1):8 DOI 10.1186/s12870-017-1221-1.
- Lu C, Zhao SJ, Wei GN, Zhao HJ, Qu QL. 2017. Functional regulation of ginsenoside biosynthesis by RNA interferences of a UDP-glycosyltransferase gene in *Panax ginseng* and *Panax quinquefolius*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 111:67–76 DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.11.017.
- Ma YN, Hou ZN, Liang ZS, Liu L. 2018. Quality evaluation of the rare medicinal plant *Dendrobium officinale* based on volatile constituents, methanol extracts and polysaccharides. *Current Pharmaceutical Analysis* 14:121–132 DOI 10.2174/1573412913666161201122530.
- Meng LZ, Lv GP, Hu DJ, Cheong KL, Xie J, Zhao J, Li SP. 2013. Effects of polysaccharides from different species of Dendrobium (Shihu) on macrophage function. *Molecules* 18:5779–5791 DOI 10.3390/molecules18055779.
- Meng YJ, Yu DL, Xue J, Lu JJ, Feng SG, Shen CJ, Wang HZ. 2016. A transcriptome-wide, organ-specific regulatory map of *Dendrobium officinale*, an important traditional Chinese orchid herb. *Scientific Reports* 6:18864 DOI 10.1038/srep18864.
- Mercx S, Smargiasso N, Chaumont F, De Pauw E, Boutry M, Navarre C. 2017. Inactivation of the beta(1, 2)-xylosyltransferase and the alpha(1, 3)-fucosyltransferase genes in *Nicotiana tabacum* BY-2 cells by a multiplex CRISPR/Cas9 strategy results in glycoproteins without plant-specific glycans. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**:403 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2017.00403.
- Ng TB, Liu JY, Wong JH, Ye XJ, Sze SCW, Tong Y, Zhang KY. 2012. Review of research on Dendrobium, a prized folk medicine. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 93:1795–1803 DOI 10.1007/s00253-011-3829-7.

- N'tchobo H, Dali N, Nguyen-Quoc B, Foyer CH, Yelle S. 1999. Starch synthesis in tomato remains constant throughout fruit development and is dependent on sucrose supply and sucrose synthase activity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **50**:1457–1463 DOI 10.1093/jxb/50.338.1457.
- Nunez JGA, Kronenberger J, Wuilleme S, Lepiniec L, Rochat C. 2008. Study of AtSUS2 localization in seeds reveals a strong association with plastids. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **49**:1621–1626 DOI 10.1093/pcp/pcn117.
- **Oka T, Jigami Y. 2006.** Reconstruction of de novo pathway for synthesis of UDPglucuronic acid and UDP-xylose from intrinsic UDP-glucose in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Journal* **273**:2645–2657 DOI 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05281.x.
- **Opassiri R, Pomthong B, Onkoksoong T, Akiyama T, Esen A, Cairns JRK. 2006.** Analysis of rice glycosyl hydrolase family I and expression of Os4bglu12 betaglucosidase. *BMC Plant Biology* **6**:33 DOI 10.1186/1471-2229-6-33.
- Qiao X, Li QH, Yin H, Qi KJ, Li LT, Wang RZ, Zhang SL, Paterson AH. 2019. Gene duplication and evolution in recurring polyploidization-diploidization cycles in plants. *Genome Biology* 20:38 DOI 10.1186/s13059-019-1650-2.
- **Ren R, Yue X, Li J, Xie S, Guo S, Zhang Z. 2020.** Coexpression of sucrose synthase and the SWEET transporter, which are associated with sugar hydrolysis and transport, respectively, increases the hexose content in *Vitis vinifera* L. grape berries. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**:321 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2020.00321.
- **Rizzon C, Ponger L, Gaut BS. 2006.** Striking similarities in the genomic distribution of tandemly arrayed genes in Arabidopsis and rice. *PLOS Computational Biology* **2**:e115 DOI 10.1371/journal.pcbi.0020115.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26:139–140 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.
- Ruan YL, Llewellyn DJ, Furbank RT. 2003. Suppression of sucrose synthase gene expression represses cotton fiber cell initiation, elongation, and seed development. *Plant Cell* 15:952–964 DOI 10.1105/tpc.010108.
- Sergeeva LI, Keurentjes JJB, Bentsink L, Vonk J, Van der Plas LHW, Koornneef M, Vreugdenhil D. 2006. Vacuolar invertase regulates elongation of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as revealed by QTL and mutant analysis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103:2994–2999 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0511015103.
- Shen CJ, Guo H, Chen HL, Shi YJ, Meng YJ, Lu JJ, Feng SG, Wang HZ. 2017. Identification and analysis of genes associated with the synthesis of bioactive constituents in *Dendrobium officinale* using RNA-Seq. *Scientific Reports* 7:187 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-00292-8.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:2725–2729 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst197.

- Tong XL, Wang ZY, Ma BQ, Zhang CX, Zhu LC, Ma FW, Li MJ. 2018. Structure and expression analysis of the sucrose synthase gene family in apple. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 17:847–856 DOI 10.1016/S2095-3119(17)61755-6.
- Van Bel M, Diels T, Vancaester E, Kreft L, Botzki A, Van de Peer Y, Coppens F, Vandepoele K. 2018. PLAZA 4.0: an integrative resource for functional, evolutionary and comparative plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**:D1190–D1196 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkx1002.
- Wagner GP, Kin K, Lynch VJ. 2012. Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNAseq data: RPKM measure is inconsistent among samples. *Theory in Biosciences* 131:281–285 DOI 10.1007/s12064-012-0162-3.
- Wang QX, Yan N, Ji DG, Li SY, Hu H. 2013. *In vitro* growth and carbon utilization of the green-leaved orchid *Dendrobium officinale* are promoted by mycorrhizal associations. *Botanical Studies* 54:23 DOI 10.1186/1999-3110-54-23.
- Wang XH, Zhang S, Hu D, Zhao XJ, Li Y, Liu TK, Wang JJ, Hou XL, Li Y. 2014.
 BcPMI2, isolated from non-heading Chinese cabbage encoding phosphomannose isomerase, improves stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *Molecular Biology Reports* 41:2207–2216 DOI 10.1007/s11033-014-3072-2.
- Whittle CA, Krochko JE. 2009. Transcript profiling provides evidence of functional divergence and expression networks among ribosomal protein gene paralogs in *Brassica napus*. *The Plant Cell* 21:2203–2219 DOI 10.1105/tpc.109.068411.
- Xiang XG, Schuiteman A, Li DZ, Huang WC, Chung SW, Li JW, Zhou HL, Jin WT, Lai YJ, Li ZY, Jin XH. 2013. Molecular systematics of Dendrobium (Orchidaceae, Dendrobieae) from mainland Asia based on plastid and nuclear sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69**:950–960 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.009.
- Yan L, Wang X, Liu H, Tian Y, Lian JM, Yang RJ, Hao SM, Wang XJ, Yang SC, Li QY, Qi S, Kui L, Okpekum M, Ma X, Zhang JJ, Ding ZL, Zhang GJ, Wang W, Dong Y, Sheng J. 2015. The genome of *Dendrobium officinale* illuminates the biology of the important traditional Chinese orchid herb. *Molecular Plant* 8:922–934 DOI 10.1016/j.molp.2014.12.011.
- Yang C, Yu YQ, Huang JK, Meng FW, Pang JH, Zhao QQ, Islam MA, Xu N, Tian Y, Liu J. 2019. Binding of the *Magnaporthe oryzae* chitinase MoChial by a rice tetratricopeptide repeat protein allows free chitin to trigger immune responses. *Plant Cell* 31:172–188 DOI 10.1105/tpc.18.00382.
- Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A. 2010. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biology* 11:R14 DOI 10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14.
- Zha XQ, Luo JP, Luo SZ, Jiang ST. 2007. Structure identification of a new immunostimulating polysaccharide from the stems of *Dendrobium huoshanense*. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 69:86–93 DOI 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.09.005.
- Zhang JX, He CM, Wu KL, Da Silva JAT, Zeng SJ, Zhang XH, Yu ZM, Xia HQ, Duan J. 2016b. Transcriptome analysis of *Dendrobium officinale* and its application to the identification of genes associated with polysaccharide synthesis. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:5 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.00005.

- Zhang LS, Ma H. 2012. Complex evolutionary history and diverse domain organization of SET proteins suggest divergent regulatory interactions. *New Phytologist* 195:248–263 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04143.x.
- Zhang GQ, Xu Q, Bian C, Tsai WC, Yeh CM, Liu KW, Yoshida K, Zhang LS, Chang SB, Chen F, Shi Y, Su YY, Zhang YQ, Chen LJ, Yin YY, Lin M, Huang HX, Deng H, Wang ZW, Zhu SL, Zhao X, Deng C, Niu SC, Huang J, Wang MN, Liu GH, Yang HJ, Xiao XJ, Hsiao YY, Wu WL, Chen YY, Mitsuda N, Ohme-Takagi M, Luo YB, Van de Peer Y, Liu ZJ. 2016a. The *Dendrobium catenatum* Lindl. genome sequence provides insights into polysaccharide synthase, floral development and adaptive evolution. *Scientific Reports* 6:19029 DOI 10.1038/srep19029.
- Zhang DQ, Xu BH, Yang XH, Zhang ZY, Li BL. 2011. The sucrose synthase gene family in populus: structure, expression, and evolution. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 7:443–456 DOI 10.1007/s11295-010-0346-2.