Deconstructing Survivin: comprehensive genetic analysis of Survivin function by conditional knockout in a vertebrate cell line

Zuojun Yue, Ana Carvalho, Zhenjie Xu, Xuemei Yuan, Stefano Cardinale, Susana Ribeiro, Fan Lai, Hiromi Ogawa, Elisabet Gudmundsdottir, Reto Gassmann, Ciaran G. Morrison, Sandrine Ruchaud, and William C. Earnshaw

Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, Institute of Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, Scotland, UK

Survivin is a key cellular protein thought to function in apoptotic regulation, mitotic progression, or possibly both. In this study, we describe the isolation of two conditional knockouts of the *survivin* gene in chicken DT40 cells. DT40 cells lacking Survivin die in interphase after failing to complete cytokinesis. However, these cells show normal sensitivity to the chemotherapeutic agent etoposide. Expression of Survivin mutants against a null background to reassess the role of several key residues reveals that DT40 cells can grow normally if their

sole Survivin is missing a widely studied cyclin-dependent kinase phosphorylation site or sites reportedly essential for binding to Smac or aurora B. Mutations in the nuclear export sequence or dimerization interface render cells temperature sensitive for growth. As an important caveat for other studies in which protein function is studied by transient transfection, three of the Survivin mutants fail to localize in the presence of the wild-type protein but do localize and indeed support life in its absence.

Introduction

The chromosomal passenger protein complex (CPC), a key regulator of mitosis consisting of aurora B kinase, inner centromere protein (INCENP), Survivin, and Borealin/Dasra B (Cooke et al., 1987; Adams et al., 2000; Gassmann et al., 2004; Ruchaud et al., 2007), is essential for correction of kinetochore attachment errors, completion of cytokinesis, and numerous other mitotic functions (Ruchaud et al., 2007).

Survivin is a cell cycle–regulated protein whose expression peaks in mitosis (Li et al., 1998; for reviews see Wheatley and

A. Carvalho and R. Gassmann's present address is Dept. of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, La Jolla, CA 92093.

F. Lai's present address is Centre for Genomic Regulation, Barcelona 08003, Spain. E. Gudmundsdottir's present address is NimbleGen Systems of Iceland LLC, 113 Reykjavik, Iceland.

The online version of this article contains supplemental material.

McNeish, 2005; Lens et al., 2006). Survivin forms both a dimer (Chantalat et al., 2000; Muchmore et al., 2000) and a three-helix bundle with the N terminus of INCENP and the N terminus of Borealin/Dasra B (Bourhis et al., 2007; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007). In the bundle, Survivin is a monomer, with Borealin docking to the surface that forms the interface in Survivin homodimers. The three-helix bundle is essential for CPC targeting and function in mitosis.

Survivin helps mediate the mitotic localization of the CPC (Carvalho et al., 2003; Klein et al., 2006; Knauer et al., 2006; Vader et al., 2006) and may contribute to aurora B activity in *Xenopus laevis* and fission yeast (Bolton et al., 2002; Petersen and Hagan, 2003), although this has been challenged (Honda et al., 2003). Survivin and its budding yeast homologue Bir-1 are required for spindle checkpoint function (Carvalho et al., 2003; Lens et al., 2003; Petersen and Hagan, 2003). However, the exact role of Survivin in mitosis remains controversial.

Survivin is an inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) with a single baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) domain and has been proposed to link cell proliferation and cell death (Li et al., 1998; for

Z. Yue and A. Carvalho contributed equally to this paper.

Correspondence to S. Ruchaud: s.ruchaud@ed.ac.uk or William C. Earnshaw: Bill.Earnshaw@ed.ac.uk

Z. Yue's present address is Dept. of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, Scotland, UK.

C.G. Morrison's present address is Dept. of Biochemistry, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland.

Abbreviations used in this paper: BIR, baculovirus IAP repeat; CPC, chromosomal passenger protein complex; hSurvivin, human Survivin; IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis protein; INCENP, inner centromere protein; NES, nuclear export sequence; tTA, tetracycline transactivator.

^{© 2008} Yue et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial– Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.jcb.org/misc/terms.shtml). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

reviews see Wheatley and McNeish, 2005; Altieri, 2006). Unlike IAPs involved in apoptosis control, Survivin lacks a C-terminal RING finger and contains only one BIR domain (residues 18–88; Crook et al., 1993; Ambrosini et al., 1997).

Survivin is overexpressed in many tumors (Ambrosini et al., 1997; Li, 2003), and cells overexpressing the protein are resistant to many apoptotic stimuli. Conversely, loss of Survivin expression or function can cause spontaneous apoptosis or sensitize cancer cells to apoptotic stimuli (Li et al., 1998; Mahotka et al., 1999; Jiang et al., 2001; Mirza et al., 2002; Carvalho et al., 2003; Temme et al., 2003; Beltrami et al., 2004; Song et al., 2004). Survivin may regulate caspase-3 activity (Tamm et al., 1998; Li et al., 1999; Conway et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2001), but it does not inhibit caspase-3 directly (Banks et al., 2000). Survivin homologues in Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Uren et al., 1999; Rajagopalan and Balasubramanian, 2002), Caenorhabditis elegans (Fraser et al., 1999; Speliotes et al., 2000), Xenopus laevis (Bolton et al., 2002), and mice (Uren et al., 2000) lack obvious antiapoptotic functions (but see Walter et al., 2006). However, Drosophila melanogaster deterin can exhibit antiapoptotic activity in transfected cells (Jones et al., 2000), and murine Survivin is essential for thymocyte development (Okada et al., 2004).

The role of Survivin in mitosis and apoptosis remains unclear, possibly because Survivin is studied in numerous cell types under a wide range of experimental conditions and usually in the presence of the wild-type protein. In this study, we describe a conditional knockout of Survivin in DT40 cells. Our results support some of the published conclusions about Survivin function; however, several structural features previously reported to be essential for Survivin function turn out to be nonessential for cell viability when examined against a null background.

Results

Isolation of Survivin conditional knockout cells

We deleted the entire 725-bp ORF encoding *survivin* in chicken DT40 B lymphocytes (Fig. 1 A; Buerstedde and Takeda, 2006). Two knockouts were isolated. The first wild-type allele was replaced with a neomycin (KO1) or histidinol (KO2) selectable marker. Heterozygotes were cotransfected with two constructs, one encoding the tetracycline transactivator (tTA) plus a second with a *survivin* cDNA under control of a tTA-responsive promoter (tetO). The remaining allele was replaced with a histidinol (KO1) or puromycin (KO2) selectable marker (Fig. 1 C). The two knockouts differed in the control of the tTA transcription factor, which was under the control of the strong cytomegalovirus promoter in KO1 and the much weaker cellular chicken KIF4 promoter in KO2 (Fig. 1 B). Addition of doxycycline blocks tTA binding to the promoter driving the rescue cDNA, resulting in the shutoff of wild-type Survivin expression.

Specific targeting events were confirmed by Southern blotting using EcoRI digestion and a 5' external probe (Fig. 1, A and D). For KO1, the probe recognized a 4.1-kb band corresponding to the wild-type *survivin* allele and a 4.9-kb or 6-kb band after targeted integration of the histidinol^{res} or neomycin^{res} constructs, respectively. For KO2, the correctly targeted alleles gave bands of 4.9 (histidinol^{res}) and 4.8 kb (puromycin^{res}).

Conventional and quantitative RT-PCR confirmed that doxycycline addition caused a rapid and dramatic decrease in expression of the *survivin* rescue cDNA, which could be monitored by RT-PCR, as it carries a 36-bp deletion in the 3' untranslated region. *survivin* mRNA levels fell by 75% at 4 h after doxycycline addition and by 99% at 24 h (Fig. 1, E and F).

The level of Survivin protein in KO2 cultures growing without doxycycline was similar to or slightly below that in wild-type cells (Fig. 1 H, 0 h), whereas in KO1, Survivin was substantially overexpressed (Fig. 1 G). Remarkably, the growth of KO1 and KO2 cells appeared to be identical; thus, an excess of the canonical isoform of Survivin did not appear to confer a growth advantage on KO1 cells (Fig. 2 C). Doxycycline addition caused a rapid drop in Survivin levels, which became essentially undetectable by 36 h in KO2. Loss of Survivin was more variable for KO1, and in some experiments cells died before the protein was completely lost from cultures. Indirect immunofluorescence staining of KO2 cells using antibody against chicken Survivin showed no detectable Survivin signal during mitosis after 60 h in doxycycline (Fig. 2, A'' and A''').

In this study, we use the term "Survivin^{ON}" to refer to cells with the genotype *Survivin^{-/-}:tetSurvivin*, which was grown without doxycycline. We use "Survivin^{OFF}" to refer to *Survivin^{-/-}: tetSurvivin* cells exposed to doxycycline.

Survivin is essential for life in DT4O cells Survivin^{ON} cell lines grew with a doubling time (12 h) similar to that of wild-type DT40 cells (Fig. 2 C). In contrast, Survivin^{OFF} cells ceased proliferating after 36 h in doxycycline. By 60 h, most cells had died by apoptosis, with the few survivors being much larger than their Survivin^{ON} counterparts (Fig. 2, B and C). Annexin V–positive apoptotic cells began to accumulate in Survivin^{OFF} cultures at 36 h, and by 48 h, 80% of the cells were annexin V positive (Fig. 2 D).

Previous RNAi studies showed that CPC members are codependent for protein stability and localization (Adams et al., 2001; Carvalho et al., 2003; Honda et al., 2003; Gassmann et al., 2004). Indeed, when Survivin protein levels dropped in Survivin^{OFF} cells, INCENP levels also decreased (Fig. 2 F). INCENP failed to localize to prophase and metaphase centromeres in Survivin^{OFF} cells, whereas CENP-H–GFP appeared to target normally at kinetochores (Fig. 2 E). A decrease in H3S10ph levels after depletion of Survivin suggested a partial loss of aurora B kinase activity (Fig. 2, F and G). However, aurora B levels did not change (unpublished data).

Cell death phenotype after loss of Survivin Despite an earlier report that Survivin promotes entry of CD34⁺ cells into the cell cycle (Fukuda et al., 2002), our timelapse imaging confirmed that cells lacking Survivin after 36 h in doxycycline continued to cycle through mitosis (see Figs. 2–4). The mitotic index of Survivin^{OFF} cultures was slightly elevated compared with wild-type DT40 or Survivin^{ON} cells after 60 h in doxycycline (Fig. 3 A).



Figure 1. Generation of Survivin conditional knockout in DT40 cells. (A) Diagram of the survivin locus and targeting vectors used. Arrowheads, EcoRI cleavage sites. (B) Strategy for rescue and shutoff of Survivin expression. (C) Table showing constructs used for the two knockout cell lines. (D) Southern blot of wild-type, heterozygote, and Survivin-null clones. EcoRI-digested genomic DNA was hybridized with the 5' external probe (red bar) shown in A. Super-scripts 1 and 2 refer to heterozygotes from knockouts 1 and 2, respectively. (E) Repression of rescue Survivin mRNA confirmed by RT-PCR of total RNA from heterozygote and KO1 cells incubated with doxycycline. (F) Real-time PCR confirms survivin repression by doxycycline. Values were normalized relative to actin mRNA. Values for cells grown in doxycycline are shown as striped bars. Error bars indicate SD. (G) Immunoblotting analysis of Survivin repression for KO1. 20 µg of whole cell lysate from DT40 (wild type [WT]) and Survivin^{OFF} cells was subjected to 12.5% SDS-PAGE and probed with affinity-purified polyclonal anti-Survivin antibody. Loading control, anti-a-tubulin. (H) Immunoblotting analysis of Survivin repression for KO2 performed as for G.

As in previous studies in which Survivin protein was knocked down by antisense nucleotides, mouse knockout, or RNAi (Fraser et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999; Speliotes et al., 2000; Uren et al., 2000; Carvalho et al., 2003; Lens et al., 2003), the most dramatic phenotype observed in mitotic Survivin^{OFF} cells was a highly penetrant failure in cytokinesis, as observed by scoring the percentage of



Figure 2. **Phenotype of cells after Survivin shutoff.** (A) Distribution of Survivin (red), α-tubulin (green), and DNA (blue) in Survivin^{ON} (A and A') and Survivin^{OFF} (A'' and A''') mitotic cells. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Phase-contrast images of Survivin^{ON} and Survivin^{OFF} cultures (the latter after 60-h growth in doxycycline). Bar, 10 μm. (C) Cells from both *survivin* knockouts KO1 and KO2 die after exposure to doxycycline. (D) Annexin V-positive (apoptotic) cells appear 36 h after shutoff of *survivin* transcription in KO1. (E) INCENP (red) is diffuse, but kinetochores (CENP-H–GFP, green) appear normal in Survivin^{OFF} cells (bottom). Top, Survivin^{ON} control. Bar, 5 μm. (F) INCENP and H3S10ph levels decrease shortly after Survivin levels drop after addition of doxycycline to KO1 cells. Loading control, anti–α-tubulin. WT, wild type. (G) Micrograph showing decreased H3S10ph staining (green) in Survivin^{OFF} cells (bottom). Top, Survivin^{ON}



Figure 3. **Survivin-depleted cells fail to complete cytokinesis.** (A) The mitotic index of Survivin^{OFF} cells is increased for both KO1 and KO2 relative to wild-type (WT) DT40. (B) Shutoff of Survivin expression leads to an increase in multinucleated (primarily binucleated) cells. Values for cells grown in doxycycline are shown as striped bars. Error bars indicate SD. (C) Selected frames from videos of Survivin^{ON} and Survivin^{OFF} cells. Merged images show differential interference contrast (red) and histone H2B-mRFP (green), with H2B-mRFP also shown in grayscale. Time is given in hours/minutes. A control Survivin^{OFF} cell (top) completes mitosis normally. The Survivin^{OFF} cell achieves a metaphase alignment (0:25) but exhibits lagging chromosomes in anaphase, and cytokinesis ultimately fails. Bar, 5 μ m. (D) Synchronization of DT40 cells by centrifugal elutriation. (E) Cells harvested later in the cell cycle die before those harvested earlier in the cell cycle. (F) Cells harvested later in the cell cycle fail cytokinesis before those harvested earlier in the cell cycle.

multinucleate cells (Fig. 3, B and F) or by direct visualization in time-lapse live cell imaging (Fig. 3 C, Fig. 4 A, Fig. S1 A, and Videos 1 and 2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1). By 60 h in doxycycline, >50% of the surviving cells were binucleated compared with <5% of Survivin^{ON} cells and wild-type DT40 cells (Fig. 3 B). Rarely, we also observed cells with four or more nuclei (Fig. S1 B).

Live cell imaging revealed that in many Survivin^{OFF} mitoses, the chromosomes appeared to separate but cytokinesis failed, giving rise to binucleated progeny (Fig. 3 C, and Videos 3 and 4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1). Other Survivin^{OFF} cells achieved a normal metaphase chromosome alignment and anaphase onset, but sister chromatid separation failed and the chromatids collapsed back on one another,



Figure 4. **Cells lacking Survivin die in interphase after failing to complete cytokinesis.** (A) Selected frames from a video in which a Survivin^{OFF} cell fails to complete cytokinesis and dies by apoptosis in the subsequent interphase. Time is given in hours/minutes. Bar, 10 µm. (B) Analysis of cell death in Survivin^{OFF} cells from videos like those shown in A. Bars begin at anaphase onset (open circles) and terminate either at cell death (closed circles) or at the end of the video. Bar M shows the mean length of mitosis in DT40 (30 min). (C) Survivin^{OFF} cells exhibit a normal mitotic arrest in nocodazole but not in 10 nM taxol. (D) Survivin^{OFF} cells arrest in mitosis at taxol concentrations ≥ 100 nM. (E) The death of Survivin^{OFF} cells is exacerbated by 10 nM but not by 200 nM taxol. (F) Survivin^{OFF} and Survivin^{ON} control cultures exhibit similar levels of apoptotic death after exposure to 10 µM etoposide. Values for cells grown in doxycycline are shown as striped bars. Error bars indicate SD. WT, wild type.

forming larger nuclei (Fig. S1 A and Video 5). Such cells formed single large nuclei. This may explain why not all cells appear multinucleated after Survivin depletion. Thus, Survivin is required during both chromosome segregation and cytokinesis.

Survivin^{OFF} cells die after completing mitosis and failing cytokinesis

The death of Survivin^{OFF} cells was linked to the cell cycle, as shown in experiments using centrifugal elutriation, a noninvasive selection synchrony procedure that does not perturb cell cycle progression (Gillespie and Henriques, 2006). Survivin^{OFF} cells were elutriated after incubation in doxycycline for 36 h, at which time Survivin protein had fallen to undetectable levels. Fractions of cells synchronized in G1 (fraction 2), S (fraction 3), and G2/M phases (fractions 4 and 5; Fig. 3 D) were harvested and cultured, and apoptotic cells were scored every 2 h by visual inspection (Fig. 3 E) and annexin V and propidium iodide staining (not depicted).

 G_{1} - and S-phase cells (fractions 2 and 3) remained healthy for 10–15 h after synchronization, by which time many had passed through a subsequent G_2/M . In contrast, G_2/M cells (fractions 4 and 5) died much more quickly. Most cells had become binucleated before they died (Fig. 3 F), suggesting that Survivindepleted cells died in interphase after failing in cytokinesis.

Live cell analysis confirmed this hypothesis (Fig. 4, A and B; and Videos 6 and 7, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/ full/jcb.200806118/DC1). In one study, 46% (19/41) of elutriated cells from fraction 2 failed in cytokinesis and 12% died in interphase. A further 27% were binucleate at the start of the video and died before reentering mitosis. In another study, 29 cells entered anaphase during filming. Of these, 15 died in interphase after exiting mitosis (Fig. 4 B and Video 7). The mean interval between anaphase onset and death was 276 ± 114 min (range of 40–450 min). Given the 12-h cell cycle time for DT40, death of Survivin^{OFF} cells likely occurs in late G1 or S phase. This cell death was not delayed by the pancaspase inhibitor Z-VAD (unpublished data) and therefore was not caused by disregulation of caspases.

Spindle checkpoint function in Survivin^{OFF} cells

As expected from published work (Carvalho et al., 2003; Lens et al., 2003), Survivin^{OFF} DT40 cells exhibited a normal mitotic arrest in 0.5 µg/ml nocodazole (Fig. 4 C). Their response to taxol was more complex, however. At taxol concentrations between 5 and 50 nM, Survivin^{OFF} cells showed a significantly decreased mitotic index, indicative of a defective checkpoint response (Fig. 4, C and D). However, at taxol concentrations of ≥100 nM, Survivin^{OFF} cells experienced a normal mitotic arrest.

FACS analysis showed a 15% increase in TUNEL-positive apoptotic Survivin^{OFF} cells at 10 nM taxol compared with untreated Survivin^{OFF} controls (Fig. 4 E). This increase was not seen at high doses of taxol (200 nM) or with nocodazole. This could be explained if Survivin^{OFF} cells override the checkpoint at low doses of taxol, exit mitosis, and are more susceptible to die in interphase. Survivin^{OFF} cells exposed to high doses of

taxol and blocked in mitosis might be more protected from dying during the time course of the experiment. These data further support our hypothesis that exit from an aberrant mitosis leads to death of Survivin^{OFF} cells during interphase.

Survivin^{OFF} cells exhibit normal apoptosis in response to etoposide and staurosporine Many published studies report that down-regulation of Survivin by RNAi or antisense oligonucleotides can enhance spontaneous or drug-induced apoptosis (Ambrosini et al., 1998; Li et al., 1999; Jiang et al., 2001; Pennati et al., 2002; Ling and Li, 2004; Pennati et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2005; Zaffaroni et al., 2007). Furthermore, a wide range of studies showed that Survivin overexpression can have a cytoprotective effect (for reviews see Wheatley and

McNeish, 2005; Altieri, 2006).

These effects on apoptosis are not universal, as loss of Survivin from DT40 cells had no effect on their response to a well-defined proapoptotic stimulus. Survivin^{OFF} cells were incubated with etoposide for 3 h after exposure to doxycycline for 30 or 36 h to repress Survivin. When cells were collected and analyzed by TUNEL staining, we observed no significant difference in levels of apoptosis between cultures with or without Survivin (Fig. 4 F). Likewise, Survivin overexpression did not appear to provide a protective effect against etoposide-induced cell death. KO1-Survivin^{ON} cells significantly overexpress Survivin, whereas KO2-Survivin^{ON} cells express Survivin at endogenous levels (Fig. 1, G and H, compare 0 h with wild type), yet both cell lines undergo a similar response to etoposide.

Exposure to staurosporine can significantly increase the fraction of mouse embryos positive for activated caspase-3 after exposure to antisense survivin oligonucleotides (Kawamura et al., 2003). However, Survivin^{OFF} DT40 cells pretreated with doxycycline for 30 h were not more sensitive to staurosporine than Survivin^{ON} or wild-type DT40 cells (unpublished data). Thus, with the exception of low doses of taxol, the loss of Survivin does not change the sensitivity of DT40 cells to drugs that induce the intrinsic apoptotic response.

Functional assessment of various Survivin structural motifs and interactions

Most prior mutagenesis studies of Survivin were performed with the human protein, which is \sim 60% identical to chicken Survivin. Because Survivin is well conserved, we could identify many of the corresponding residues in the chicken protein (Fig. 5 A). In subsequent figures (Fig. 5 F), we show the position of the mutated residues in the Survivin dimer or CPC structure after modeling the structure of chicken Survivin using the human Survivin (hSurvivin) coordinates (Chantalat et al., 2000; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007).

Survivin^{OFF} cells were fully rescued by expression of wildtype chicken or human Survivin fused at its C terminus to GFP (Fig. 5, B and C; and Fig. S1, C and D). Survivin^{OFF}:Survivin-GFP cells grew normally in the presence and absence of doxycycline. Furthermore, Survivin-GFP localized to centromeres in prometaphase and to the spindle midzone in anaphase (Fig. 5, D and E). Importantly, in knockout cells expressing exogenous forms of Survivin, the wild-type doxycycline-regulated rescue



Figure 5. **Functional analysis of Survivin protein in Survivin^{ON/OFF} cells.** (A) Alignment of human and chicken Survivin sequences showing conserved residues mutated in this study. (B and C) Chicken (g) and human (h) Survivin-GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells. Growth curves for cells expressing GFP fusion proteins are green. (D and E) Chicken and human Survivin-GFP colocalize normally with INCENP (red) in Survivin^{OFF} cells. (F) The location of residue T36 in the CPC and dimer structures of Survivin (Chantalat et al., 2000; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007). (G) Expression of Survivin^{T36A/E}-GFP and loss of wild-type (WT) Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline. (H) Chicken Survivin^{T36A/E}-GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells. (I) gSurvivin^{T36A/E}-GFP colocalizes normally with INCENP in Survivin^{OV/OFF} cells. Bars, 5 µm.

Survivin became undetectable by 36 h in doxycycline. These observations enabled us to test a range of Survivin mutants for their ability to rescue Survivin function.

The BIR domain is essential for Survivin function

In human Survivin, four conserved residues, Cys57, Cys60, His77, and Cys84, form a zinc finger that stabilizes the structure of the BIR domain (Chantalat et al., 2000; Verdecia et al., 2000). To confirm the role of the BIR domain in Survivin function in vivo, Survivin^{C86A} (equivalent to hSurvivin^{C84A}) and Survivin^{C59A} (equivalent to hSurvivin^{C57A}) mutants were stably transfected into KO1, where they were expressed at levels comparable with the rescue Survivin (Fig. 6, B and F).

Despite a previous report that hSurvivin^{C84A} was dominant negative (Li et al., 1999), Survivin^{ON} cells expressing both mutants grew with normal kinetics and showed normal INCENP localization (Fig. 6). Neither mutant Survivin localized normally in mitosis, and after doxycycline addition, cells expressing only the Survivin mutants died with kinetics resembling Survivin^{OFF} cells. Consistent with this loss of function, neither Survivin^{C86A} nor Survivin^{C59A} could target INCENP to centromeres or the spindle midzone. Thus, the zinc-binding residues of the BIR domain are crucial for Survivin functions in mitosis.

Cdk phosphorylation on T34 is dispensable for Survivin function

Survivin can be phosphorylated on T34 by Cdk1–cyclin B1 (O'Connor et al., 2000). Expression of the nonphosphorylated Survivin^{T34A} mutant produced a dominant-negative phenotype in human cancer cells, resulting in caspase-9–dependent apoptosis (Grossman et al., 2001; Yan et al., 2006). Treatment of cells with the CDK inhibitor flavopiridol resulted in a decrease in Survivin levels, and nonphosphorylated Survivin^{T34A} reportedly exhibited decreased stability relative to wild-type Survivin (Wall et al., 2003).

Chicken mutants Survivin^{T36A}-GFP and Survivin^{T36E}-GFP (equivalent to hSurvivin T34A and T34E) were stably expressed in KO1 cells (Fig. 5, F and G). Both mutant Survivins localize correctly on centromeres at metaphase and the spindle midzone in anaphase (Fig. 5 I and Fig. S3 B, available at http://www.jcb .org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1). Surprisingly, cells expressing both mutants grew normally in the presence of doxycycline (Fig. 5 H) even though the tet-regulated wild-type rescue Survivin was entirely undetectable at any blot exposure (Fig. 5 G). Thus, contrary to prediction, CDK phosphorylation of T36 is dispensable for Survivin function in DT40 cells.

Asp residues required for interaction with Smac are dispensable for Survivin function Several studies claim that Survivin binds Smac/Diablo and antagonizes its proapoptotic function (Muchmore et al., 2000; McNeish et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006). These studies implicated hSurvivin residues D53 and D71 in the regulation of apoptosis (Muchmore et al., 2000; Song et al., 2004), and transfection of HeLa cells with hSurvivin^{D53A} and hSurvivin^{D71A} mutants caused spontaneous apoptosis (Song et al., 2003, 2004). To test the role of D53 in Survivin function, we isolated clones of Survivin conditional knockout (KO1) cells stably expressing chicken Survivin^{D55A} (equivalent to hSurvivin^{D53A}) at levels comparable with the wild-type rescue Survivin (Fig. 7 B). These cells grew normally in the absence of doxycycline and continued to grow, after a lag, in its presence with Survivin^{D55A}-GFP as the only form of Survivin detectable in the cells (Fig. 7 C). Survivin^{D55A}-GFP localized properly to centromeres, the central spindle and the midbody in mitotic cells (Fig. 7 D). Thus, residue D55 (and presumably interaction with Smac) is not essential for Survivin function in DT40 cells.

Asp residues required for interaction with aurora B are required for Survivin targeting to centromeres

In addition to interfering with putative antiapoptotic functions, hSurvivin mutant D70A/D71A was reported to no longer interact with aurora B and to cause multinucleation of HeLa cells (Cao et al., 2006). Therefore, we introduced the analogous mutation (Survivin^{D72A/D73A}; Fig. 7 E) into Survivin^{ON/OFF} cells. This Survivin mutant revealed unexpected aspects of CPC behavior in early mitosis.

Immunoblotting confirmed that Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP was expressed at relatively high levels in these cell lines (Fig. 7 F); however, it was unable to localize to centromeres or the spindle midzone in the presence of wild-type Survivin (Fig. 7 G, a and b). These cells grew with normal kinetics, so this mutant did not exhibit a dominant-negative phenotype. Strikingly, Survivin^{OFF} cells expressing Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP continued to grow with normal kinetics in the presence of doxycycline, even though the wild-type rescue Survivin was now undetectable (Fig. 7, F and H). Therefore, the antiapoptotic and aurora B–binding role attributed to these residues in human Survivin is dispensable for Survivin function in DT40 cells.

An unexpected result was obtained when the localization of Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP was examined in detail in Survivin^{OFF} cells. Remarkably, Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP failed to localize to centromeres during prophase through metaphase in these cells (Fig. 7 G, c). However, the protein localized to the anaphase spindle midzone and subsequently concentrated at the midbody in telophase (Fig. 7 G, d). In Survivin^{OFF} cells, this protein induced an identical behavior in INCENP and aurora B, which were diffuse during prophase through metaphase but localized to the spindle midzone and midbody in late mitosis (Fig. 7 G and Fig. S3).

Because Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP was defective in centromere localization during prometaphase, we hypothesized that these cells might exhibit a defective spindle checkpoint response to taxol. Indeed, Survivin^{OFF} cells expressing Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP showed a slight but significant checkpoint defect in the presence of low-dose taxol (Fig. S2 C, available at http://www.jcb .org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1).

Thus, analysis of the Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP mutant reveals the surprising fact that INCENP and Survivin concentration at centromeres is not essential for mitotic progression, at least when the proteins subsequently target to the spindle midzone and midbody during anaphase.



Figure 6. **The BIR domain is crucial for Survivin function.** (A) Location of C86 in the CPC form of Survivin. (B) Expression of Survivin^{C86A}-GFP and loss of wild-type (WT) Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline. (C) Survivin^{C86A}-GFP fails to rescue the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells; cells expressing this protein as their sole form of Survivin die with kinetics essentially identical to those of Survivin^{OFF} cells. (D) Survivin^{C86A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin^{ON/OFF} cells. INCENP localization is normal in Survivin^{ON} cells, so Survivin^{C86A}-GFP fails to act as a dominant-negative mutant. Panel a shows the normal localization of Survivin^{GFP} a control experiment. (E) Location of C59 in the Survivin dimer. (F) Expression of Survivin^{C59A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline. (G) Survivin ^{C59A}-GFP fails to rescue the life of Survivin^{C59A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin ^{C59A}-GFP fails to rescue the life of Survivin^{C59A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin ^{C59A}-GFP fails to rescue the life of Survivin^{C59A}-GFP fails to localize in S



Figure 7. **Smac and aurora B binding are not essential for Survivin function.** (A) Location of D55 in the Survivin dimer. (B) Expression of Survivin^{D55A}-GFP and loss of wild-type (WT) Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline. (C) Survivin^{D55A}-GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells. (D) Survivin^{D55A}-GFP and loss of wild-type Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline. (G) Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin^{ON} cells; INCENP localization is normal (a and b). Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP fails to localize in Survivin^{OFF} cells at prometaphase and metaphase; INCENP localization at centromeres is also compromised (c). Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP and INCENP localize normally in Survivin^{OFF} cells at anaphase/telophase (d). (H) Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells. Bars, 5 µm.

Temperature-sensitive phenotype of mutants of the linker region between the BIR domain and C-terminal α helix

The linker region (residues 82-102) between the BIR domain and C-terminal α helix is a site of molecular contact with Borealin in the CPC (Bourhis et al., 2007; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007) and with Survivin itself in the homodimer (Chantalat et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2005). Human Survivin also contains a nuclear export sequence (NES) that overlaps this region (Colnaghi et al., 2006; Stauber et al., 2006; Engelsma et al., 2007; Knauer et al., 2007). We analyzed the role of this region in Survivin function by mutating four highly conserved residues: L98, V100, L104, and L106. V100, which is conserved in mouse, zebrafish, and *Drosophila*, corresponds to L98 in hSurvivin.

We isolated Survivin^{ON/OFF} cells expressing either Survivin^{L98A/V100A} (equivalent to hSurvivin^{L96A/L98A}) or Survivin^{L104A/L106A} (equivalent to hSurvivin^{L102A/L104A}) at levels similar to the wild-type Survivin (Fig. 8 B, 39°C). These cells continued to grow at 39°C and 37°C, respectively, in doxycycline long after Survivin^{OFF} cells had died (Fig. 8, C and D) so both mutants could rescue Survivin's essential functions. Strikingly, neither linker mutant could rescue Survivin^{OFF} cells at 41°C, a temperature at which DT40 cells grow normally. At 41°C, Survivin^{OFF} cells expressing either mutant started dying after 48-h growth in doxycycline, ~24 h later than Survivin^{OFF} cells (Fig. 8, E and F). Indeed, if Survivin^{OFF}:Survivin^{L98A/V100A}-GFP cells grown at permissive temperature with doxycycline for 1 wk were shifted to 43°C, they failed to grow, whereas wild-type DT40 or Survivin^{OFF}:Survivin-GFP cells grew normally (Fig. S4 C, available at http://www.jcb .org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1). We conclude that both Survivin linker mutants are temperature sensitive for function.

Interestingly, neither Survivin mutant could compete with the wild-type protein for its localization in mitosis. In Survivin^{ON} cells growing at 39°C, Survivin^{L98A/V100A}-GFP localization was diffuse in all stages of mitosis (Fig. 9 A, a, c, and e). These data are consistent with the analysis of a comparable human Survivin mutant (Knauer et al., 2006). Remarkably, Survivin^{L98A/V100A}-GFP did target to centromeres, the spindle midzone, and the midbody in Survivin^{OFF} cells grown with doxycycline at 39°C (Fig. 9 A, b, d, and f). Similar phenotypes were observed for Survivin^{L104A/L106A}-GFP at 37°C (Fig. S4 A).

INCENP localized correctly in Survivin^{ON} cells express either mutant at 39 or 41°C, so the mutants did not act as dominant negatives (Fig. 9 B, a, c, and e; and Fig. S4 B, a, c, and e). Under Survivin^{OFF} conditions, cells expressing these mutants had a Survivin-null phenotype at 41°C, with diffuse Survivin and INCENP localization, multinucleation, and multipolar spindles (Fig. 9 B, b and d; and Fig. S4 B, b and d). In conclusion, the ability of two Survivin^{ts} mutants to confer viability correlates perfectly with their ability to localize to mitotic structures, providing further support for the notion that it is the mitotic functions of Survivin that are essential for the life of DT40 cells.

Discussion

Survivin's role in mitosis and apoptosis remains poorly understood. A bias (>1,500/2,000 PubMed listings) toward studies of apoptosis belies the fact that the phenotypes of *survivin* mutants in yeasts (Rajagopalan and Balasubramanian, 1999; Uren et al., 1999) and *C. elegans* (Fraser et al., 1999; Speliotes et al., 2000) indicate a role in mitosis rather than cell death regulation. Indeed, even though DT40 cells have widely been used to study apoptosis (Ruchaud et al., 2006), our experiments show that it is the mitotic and not an antiapoptotic role for Survivin that is essential for life in these cells.

Studies of Survivin in vertebrates have used RNAi, antisense oligonucleotides, dominant-negative mutants, and mouse knockouts (Fraser et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999; Speliotes et al., 2000; Carvalho et al., 2003; Lens et al., 2003). Of these, the first three are subject to the caveat that the elimination of Survivin is never complete, and because aurora B is a kinase, even low levels of Survivin could in principle support significant amounts of kinase activity. Phenotypic analysis of the mouse knockouts is challenging, as Survivin is essential for embryonic life, with death occurring by 4.5 d after coitum (Uren et al., 2000). As yet, no conditional mouse mutant for Survivin has been made.

In this study, we characterize two conditional knockouts of Survivin in chicken DT40 cells. A principal advantage of this system is that after shutoff of the regulated Survivin cDNA responsible for keeping cells alive, levels of the wildtype protein and its mRNA become essentially undetectable in the culture. This is significant, as a previous RNAi study of aurora kinase localization produced very different results depending on whether or not the endogenous protein was present when various mutants were expressed (Scrittori et al., 2005). We obtained similar results for three mutants, Survivin^{L98A/V100A}, Survivin^{L104A/L106A}, and Survivin^{D72A/D73A}, which fail to localize in the presence of wild-type Survivin but do so, at least partially (and are functional), in its absence. Thus, the null background was essential for study of these features of the Survivin molecule.

Our experiments confirm several widely held beliefs about Survivin but contradict others. For example, Survivin is essential for the completion of cytokinesis. Survivin function is apparently linked with that of the CPC, and the cytokinesis phenotype could reflect aberrant regulation of MKLP-1 (Yang et al., 2004; Guse et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2006), taxins (Delaval et al., 2004), Ect2 (Chalamalasetty et al., 2006), PLK1 (Goto et al., 2006; Burkard et al., 2007), or other aurora B substrates.

Cells lacking Survivin execute the earlier stages of mitosis through metaphase chromosome alignment without obvious difficulties. This contrasts with previous RNAi analyses of Survivin and other CPC members (Adams et al., 2001; Carvalho et al., 2003; Lens et al., 2003; Gassmann et al., 2004). A recent RNAi study also found that Survivin was not required for chromosome movements in early mitosis (Rosa et al., 2006); however, that and other studies described abnormal spindles in Survivin-depleted cells (Giodini et al., 2002; Okada et al., 2004; Rosa et al., 2006). In contrast, we observed no gross spindle abnormalities in mitosis of Survivin^{OFF} cells.

Although cell death after loss of Survivin is clearly linked to the cell cycle, this death occurs in interphase, not during mitosis or mitotic exit. Using centrifugal elutriation, a nonperturbing



Figure 8. **Survivin linker mutants are temperature sensitive.** (A) Location of L98, V100, L104, and L106 in the CPC. (B) Expression of Survivin^{198A/V100A}. GFP and Survivin^{104A/L106A}.GFP and loss of wild-type (WT) Survivin expression from cultures grown in doxycycline at 39 or 41°C. (C) Survivin^{198A/V100A}. GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells at 39°C. (D) Survivin^{L104A/L106A}.GFP rescues the life of Survivin^{OFF} cells at 37°C. (E and F) Cells expressing solely Survivin^{198A/V100A}.GFP and Survivin^{1104A/L106A}.GFP die at 41°C, albeit with slightly delayed kinetics.

method for selecting synchronized cell populations, we found that cells harvested later in the cell cycle die significantly before those harvested earlier. Indeed, our live cell analysis indicates that cells die during midinterphase on mean \sim 5 h after mitotic exit. Considering the 12-h division cycle of DT40 cells, this may correspond to a point in G1/S analogous to that at which some normal human cells arrest in a p53-dependent manner after Survivin RNAi (Yang et al., 2004). DT40 cells lack functional p53, and the absence of a checkpoint could explain why they die.

At present, no CPC-dependent functions have been described during interphase, so this forms an intriguing point for future study.

Although Survivin is essential for a spindle checkpoint response to low-dose taxol, surprisingly, higher doses of taxol did cause a tight mitotic arrest in cells lacking Survivin. The effects of taxol on microtubule plus end dynamics are not simple. As the taxol concentration increases, the plus ends become progressively less dynamic (Derry et al., 1995; Kelling et al., 2003). One possible explanation for our results, suggested to us by M.A.



Figure 9. A Survivin linker mutant localizes aberrantly. (A) Survivin^{198A/V100A}-GFP fails to localize at 39°C in the presence of wild-type rescue Survivin (a, c, and e). In the absence of wild-type rescue Survivin, a subset of Survivin^{198A/V100A}-GFP localizes normally (b, d, and f). INCENP localizes normally in all cells. (B) Survivin^{198A/V100A}-GFP fails to localize at 41°C in the presence or absence of wild-type rescue Survivin. INCENP localizes normally only in the presence of wild-type rescue Survivin (a, c, and e). (C) Summary of the mutational analysis of Survivin. Bars, 5 µm.

Jordan, is that Survivin might be required to impose a spindle checkpoint when microtubule plus ends are minimally dynamic but may no longer be required when those dynamics are completely suppressed (unpublished data; Jordan, M.A., personal communication). Alternatively, it may be that in low-dose taxol, the spindle checkpoint can eventually be silenced, perhaps transiently,

even though microtubule dynamics are perturbed (Brito and Rieder, 2008). Survivin could promote checkpoint function by somehow decreasing the probability of transient checkpoint inactivation. Whatever the explanation, it is clear that one cannot simply generalize that cells lacking Survivin are unresponsive to taxol.

Either chicken or human Survivin fused to GFP can support the life of Survivin^{ON/OFF} cells for extended periods in culture after shutoff of the wild-type rescue cDNA. Thus, this system is well suited to test the functionality and phenotype of various mutant forms of Survivin in the absence of detectable levels of wild-type protein (mutants summarized in Fig. 9 C).

Although some Survivin mutants behaved as expected, others clearly did not. For example, perturbation of the Survivin BIR domain renders the protein completely nonfunctional during mitosis. However, contrary to expectations (Li et al., 1999), two Survivin BIR domain mutants failed to exhibit dominant-negative activity. Because the BIR domain probably promotes protein–protein interactions (Srinivasula and Ashwell, 2008) but does not participate either in dimer formation (Chantalat et al., 2000) or in formation of the CPC three-helix bundle (Bourhis et al., 2007; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007), its role in Survivin function remains to be determined.

CDK phosphorylation of Survivin is reportedly essential for cell life (O'Connor et al., 2000; Grossman et al., 2001; Yan et al., 2006). However, DT40 cells whose sole Survivin had its conserved CDK site mutated to either Ala or Glu grew normally and exhibited normal CPC behavior in mitosis. Thus, regulation by CDKs is not essential for Survivin function.

Several studies implicate Smac/Diablo, the mitochondrial antagonist of IAPs, as a binding partner of Survivin in apoptosis regulation (Muchmore et al., 2000; Song et al., 2003, 2004; McNeish et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006). The Survivin^{D55A} mutant was reported to abolish this interaction and to have a significant proapoptotic phenotype (Song et al., 2004). However, contrary to expectations, we find that DT40 cells can live, albeit growing more slowly, with this mutant as the sole Survivin.

Survivin residues 80 and 106 contain an NES enabling hSurvivin to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Rodriguez et al., 2002, 2006; Colnaghi et al., 2006) and required for CPC targeting to centromeres (Knauer et al., 2006). This region forms a docking surface for Survivin itself in the homodimer or for Borealin in the CPC (Bourhis et al., 2007; Jeyaprakash et al., 2007). Survivin dimer formation impedes Crm1 binding by the NES (Engelsma et al., 2007), and Survivin may be preferentially exported from the nucleus as a monomer.

We isolated two mutants of the docking surface that render DT40 cells temperature sensitive for growth. Cells dependent on Survivin^{L98A/V100A} or Survivin^{L104A/L106A} grow at 39°C or 37°C, respectively, but die at 41°C. DT40 cells normally grow at 41°C, and indeed, the diurnal deep muscle temperature of chickens is 45°C during periods of activity (Simpson, 1912). Because cells dependent on Survivin^{L98A/V100A} or Survivin^{L104A/L106A} are viable at permissive temperature, these mutants must support CPC formation in vivo. In contrast, hSurvivin^{F101A/L102A} (F103A/L104A in chicken Survivin) is a monomer in vitro (Engelsma et al., 2007).

We suggest that at nonpermissive temperature, Survivin^{L98A/V100A} or Survivin^{L104A/L106A} mutants may be unable to form either the CPC or homodimer interactions.

Survivin may direct the localization of the CPC to centromeres (Vader et al., 2006), but the significance of this localization is unclear. Is centromere localization required for CPC activities in correcting microtubule attachment errors or in the spindle checkpoint response? Remarkably, cells expressing solely Survivin^{D72A/D73A}, which was reported to block the binding of both Smac and aurora B (Cao et al., 2006), grow normally even though the mutant Survivin is unable to target to centromeres under all conditions tested. In the absence of wild-type Survivin, INCENP also fails to localize in early mitosis, suggesting that Survivin^{D72A/D73A} cannot target it to centromeres. Surprisingly, when these cells enter anaphase, INCENP exhibits a robust localization to the central spindle and midbody. This may explain why these cells complete mitosis, execute cytokinesis, and proliferate with Survivin^{D72A/D73A} as their sole Survivin. These observations suggest that Survivin is not responsible for INCENP targeting during anaphase/telophase, or that if it is, targeting must occur by a different mechanism from that in early mitosis.

The Survivin^{D72A/D73A} mutant reveals that INCENP and Survivin concentration at centromeres is not essential for mitotic progression, at least when the proteins subsequently target to the spindle midzone and midbody during anaphase. Interestingly, cells whose growth depends on Survivin^{D72A/D73A} exhibit a compromised spindle checkpoint in response to taxol but do not show a dramatic increase in the fraction of kinetochore attachment errors. It could be that lower amounts of transient CPC at centromeres serve to correct attachment errors but that higher levels or more stably associated CPC is required for the checkpoint response to low-dose taxol. This is the first evidence that CPC function at centromeres is required for a normal spindle checkpoint response to low-dose taxol. It will be important in the future to identify the ligands that interact with D72 and D73 on the BIR domain to target Survivin to centromeres.

Survivin continues to guard its secrets closely, and the fact that three different Survivin mutants localize differently in the presence and absence of wild-type Survivin points to the importance of a genetically clean system such as that described here for the definitive functional dissection of the protein. DT40 cells have proven to be a good system for the study of apoptotic cell death (Ruchaud et al., 2006). Thus, even though our studies show that cell death after loss of Survivin is linked to the cell cycle rather than apoptotic disregulation, this system may in the future be able to answer remaining questions concerning the roles of Survivin in mitosis and apoptosis.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and targeting constructs

DT40 cells were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute culture media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% chicken serum in 5% CO₂ at 39°C (Buerstedde and Takeda, 1991). Doxycycline at a final concentration of 0.5 μ g/ml was added to the culture medium to repress transcription of the survivin rescue transgene. The *survivin* gene locus was isolated from the λ Fix II DT40 genomic library. To disrupt the *survivin* gene, targeting vectors (vector pUHG10.3 backbone) containing a selectable marker that confers resistance to neomycin, puromycin, or histidinol were constructed (Fig. 1 A). The resistance cassettes were flanked by a 5' genomic arm situated upstream of the initiation codon of the survivin ORF and a 3' genomic arm situated downstream of its stop codon. For the survivin rescue construct, primers AAGAGCTCAAATGGCGGCCTATGCTGAAATGCTGCCC and CAGT-TATTGAGACAGCGTGGCCTAAGGGCCCATGTTCTCTATC were used to amplify the chicken survivin cDNA. The PCR product consisting of the ORF of chicken survivin and a smaller 3' untranslated region was cloned into pUHG10.3. After linearization, all constructs were transfected by electroporation as previously described (Samejima et al., 2001). After drug selection, DNA from resistant clones was extracted and analyzed by Southern blotting after digestion with EcoRI, PfIMI, or AfIII and probed with a 5' external probe (Fig. 1).

Immunoblotting and antibodies

Whole cell lysates were prepared, and the equivalent to one million cells was loaded onto a polyacrylamide gel. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were performed according to standard procedures. Anti- α -tubulin antibody (clone B512) and anti-H3 phospho-Ser10 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Millipore, respectively. Rabbit polyclonal (WCE1186) and mouse monoclonal anti-INCENP (3D3) were previously described (Cooke et al., 1987; Earnshaw and Cooke, 1991). Rabbit polyclonal anti-chicken Survivin (WCE43D) was raised against 6xHis-GgSurvivin and affinity purified. Rabbit polyclonal anti-chicken aurora B (WCE56A) was raised against GST-Ggaurora B residues 80–210.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy

Cells were incubated at 39°C on polylysine-coated slides (Polysine; VWR International) for 15 min before fixation in 4% PFA /cytoskeletal buffer (137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.1 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.4 mM KH₂PO₄, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM EGTA, 5 mM Pipes, and 5.5 mM glucose) at 37°C and permeabilization in 0.15% Triton X-100 in cytoskeletal buffer. After blocking in 1% BSA/PBS, cells were probed with the aforementioned antibodies, and slides were mounted using Vectoshield (Vector Laboratories). All image stacks were taken using a microscope (IX-70; Olympus) with a charge-coupled device camera (CH350; Photometrics) controlled by DeltaVision SoftWorx (Applied Precision, LLC) and a 100x S Plan Apocromat NA 1.4 objective using a Sedat filter set (Chroma Technology Corp.) and running at RT. Image stacks were deconvolved, and maximum projections were generated using SoftWorx. All files were saved as IIFF files and exported to Photoshop (Adobe) for final presentation. Levels were adjusted similarly for each experimental dataset to lower nonspecific background haze using the standard Photoshop adjust levels tool.

Live cell imaging

All videos (except Fig. 3 C and Video 4) were taken using a Perfect Focus microscope (TE-2000E; Nikon) with a camera (CoolSnap HQ2; Photometrics) controlled by Metamorph (MDS Analytical Technologies) using a 100x NA 1.4 Plan Apochromat objective. Chicken DT40 Survivin knockout cells stably expressing H2B-RFP were elutriated, and fraction 2 cells were transferred onto 40-mm coverslips coated with concanavalin A (EMD). These coverslips were placed into a Nikon chamber (Bioptechs) and kept at 39°C in the presence of Roswell Park Memorial Institute culture media without phenol red. Three-dimensional datasets were collected every 2 or 10 min, and video frames were processed with ImagePro Plus software (version 6.0; Media Cybernetics, Inc.).

The videos shown in Fig. 3 C and Video 4 were taken using a microscope (IX-70; Olympus) with a charge-coupled device camera (CH350; Photometrics) controlled by DeltaVision SoftWorx and a 100x NA 1.4 S Plan Apocromat objective using a Sedat filter set. The temperature was controlled using a Weather Station (Precision Control) and set for 39°C. DT40 cells were placed on concanavalin A-coated coverslips and imaged every minute as z stacks. Image stacks were deconvolved, and maximum projections were generated using SoftWorx. Video files were constructed from selected stills and saved as .mov files.

Cell elutriation

10⁸ cells resuspended in 5 ml of culture media supplemented with 1 mM EDTA were elutriated using an elutriator (JE-5 rotor; Beckman Coulter) at a steady flow rate of 40 ml/s and a starting speed of 3,750 rpm. After equilibration, the speed was adjusted to collect the different fractions (fraction 2, 3,250 rpm; fraction 3, 3,000 rpm; fraction 4, 2,750 rpm; and fraction 5, 2,500 rpm). Fractions were spun down, immediately placed in fresh warm medium, and kept at 39°C.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Survivin point mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit; Stratagene) using the plasmid WTGg-Survivin-pEGFPN1 and transfected into the knockout cells by electroporation. Stable knockout lines homogeneously expressing the GFP fusion protein were isolated and kept at 39°C.

Growth curves and multinucleation/mitosis index measurements

Growth curves were calculated by seeding the various cell lines at 2×10^5 cells/ml at 39°C (unless indicated otherwise) and counting the cell number every 12 h using a hemocytometer. To avoid the effects of overgrowth, cells were diluted to 2×10^5 cells/ml whenever the number exceeded 10⁶ cells/ml. The cell number at each time point was multiplied by the appropriate dilution factor to get a true count. This protocol gives linear cell growth profiles regardless of the length of the experiment.

For the assessment of the multinucleation/mitosis indexes, a total of 1,000 cells were scored for each time point. The multinucleation index was calculated by dividing the number of multinucleated interphase cells by the total number of interphase cells. The mitotic index was calculated by dividing the number of mitotic cells by the number of interphase and mitotic cells.

Apoptosis assays

Annexin V staining was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (BioVision, Inc.). Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACScalibur machine (Becton Dickinson), and results were quantified using CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 presents further characterization of the phenotype of Survivin^{OFF} cells, focusing on their failure to complete cytokinesis. It also shows further evidence for their rescue by Survivin-GFP. Fig. S2 shows that a T36E phosphomimetic mutant does not disturb Survivin localization and that the Survivin^{D72A/D73A}-GFP mutant, which is defective in localizing to centromeres in early mitosis, exhibits a defective checkpoint response to 10 nm taxol. Fig. S3 shows that aurora B kinase fails to localize to centromeres in early mitosis but localizes normally in anaphase/telophase in cells expressing Survivin^{D72A/D73A} Fig. S4 presents further localization data that Survivin linker mutant Survivin^{L104A/L106A}-GFP fails at 37 and 41°C. It shows that Survivin^{L98A/V100A}-GFP is temperature sensitive for growth. Video 1 shows the growth of wild-type DT40 cells in culture. Video 2 shows that DT40 cells lacking Survivin fail to complete cytokinesis and subsequently die. Video 3 shows that a Survivin^{\text{ON}} DT40 cell expressing wild-type Survivin completes mitosis normally. Video 4 shows a cell lacking Survivin that reaches anaphase, during which sister chromatid separation occurs but then fails to complete cytokinesis. Video 5 shows a cell lacking Survivin that fails to complete anaphase as sister chromatids collapse back together and cytokinesis fails. Videos 6 and 7 show that cells lacking Survivin fail to complete cytokinesis and subsequently die during interphase. Online supplemental material is available at http://www .jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200806118/DC1.

We thank G. Zachos for advice on elutriation, M.A. Jordan for helpful suggestions, and C. Rieder for communication of results before publication.

This work was supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust, of which W.C. Earnshaw is a Principal Research Fellow. A. Carvalho was supported in part by the Fundacao para a Ciencia e Tecnologia of Portugal.

Submitted: 19 June 2008 Accepted: 22 September 2008

Note added in proof. While this paper was in the proof stage, it came to our attention that there is a second distantly related Survivin gene in chicken (ENSGALP0000038612). We refer to this as Survivin-2. This encodes for a putative larger (173 aa) protein that shows 33% identity with GgSurvivin-1 and 34% identity with HsSurvivin. GgSurvivin-1 and HsSurvivin share 58% identity. We do not know if Survivin-2 protein is expressed in DT40 cells. Importantly, even if it is expressed, Survivin-2 is not sufficient to rescue cell life, localize the CPC to centromeres, stabilize INCENP, or promote full aurora B activity (detected by phosphorylation of H3 on S10) in cells where Survivin-1 is depleted

References

Adams, R.R., S.P. Wheatley, A.M. Gouldsworthy, S.E. Kandels-Lewis, M. Carmena, C. Smythe, D.L. Gerloff, and W.C. Earnshaw. 2000. INCENP binds the Aurora-related kinase AIRK2 and is required to target it to chromosomes, the central spindle and cleavage furrow. *Curr. Biol.* 10:1075–1078.

- Adams, R.R., H. Maiato, W.C. Earnshaw, and M. Carmena. 2001. Essential roles of *Drosophila* inner centromere protein (INCENP) and Aurora B in histone H3 phosphorylation, metaphase chromosome alignment, kinetochore disjunction, and chromosome segregation. J. Cell Biol. 153:865–880.
- Altieri, D.C. 2006. The case for survivin as a regulator of microtubule dynamics and cell-death decisions. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 18:609–615.
- Ambrosini, G., C. Adida, and D.C. Altieri. 1997. A novel anti-apoptosis gene, survivin, expressed in cancer and lymphoma. *Nat. Med.* 3:917–921.
- Ambrosini, G., C. Adida, G. Sirugo, and D.C. Altieri. 1998. Induction of apoptosis and inhibition of cell proliferation by survivin gene targeting. J. Biol. Chem. 273:11177–11182.
- Banks, D.P., J. Plescia, D.C. Altieri, J. Chen, S.H. Rosenberg, H. Zhang, and S.C. Ng. 2000. Survivin does not inhibit caspase-3 activity. *Blood*. 96:4002–4003.
- Beltrami, E., J. Plescia, J.C. Wilkinson, C.S. Duckett, and D.C. Altieri. 2004. Acute ablation of survivin uncovers p53-dependent mitotic checkpoint functions and control of mitochondrial apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem. 279:2077–2084.
- Bolton, M.A., W. Lan, S.E. Powers, M.L. McCleland, J. Kuang, and P.T. Stukenberg. 2002. Aurora B kinase exists in a complex with survivin and INCENP and its kinase activity is stimulated by survivin binding and phosphorylation. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 13:3064–3077.
- Bourhis, E., S.G. Hymowitz, and A.G. Cochran. 2007. The mitotic regulator Survivin binds as a monomer to its functional interactor Borealin. J. Biol. Chem. 282:35018–35023.
- Brito, D.A., Z. Yang, and C.L. Rieder. 2008. Microtubules do not promote mitotic slippage when the spindle assembly checkpoint cannot be satisfied. *J. Cell Biol.* 182:623–629.
- Buerstedde, J.-M., and S. Takeda. 1991. Increased ratio of targeted to random integration after transfection of chicken B cell lines. *Cell*. 67:179–188.
- Buerstedde, J.-M., and S. Takeda. 2006. Reviews and Protocols in DT40 Research. Springer, New York. 477 pp.
- Burkard, M.E., C.L. Randall, S. Larochelle, C. Zhang, K.M. Shokat, R.P. Fisher, and P.V. Jallepalli. 2007. Chemical genetics reveals the requirement for Polo-like kinase 1 activity in positioning RhoA and triggering cytokinesis in human cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 104:4383–4388.
- Cao, L., X. Yan, Y. Wu, H. Hu, Q. Li, T. Zhou, S. Jiang, and L. Yu. 2006. Survivin mutant (Surv-DD70, 71AA) disrupts the interaction of Survivin with Aurora B and causes multinucleation in HeLa cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 346:400–407.
- Carvalho, A., M. Carmena, C. Sambade, W.C. Earnshaw, and S.P. Wheatley. 2003. Survivin is required for stable checkpoint activation in taxol-treated HeLa cells. J. Cell Sci. 116:2987–2998.
- Chalamalasetty, R.B., S. Hummer, E.A. Nigg, and H.H. Sillje. 2006. Influence of human Ect2 depletion and overexpression on cleavage furrow formation and abscission. J. Cell Sci. 119:3008–3019.
- Chantalat, L., D.A. Skoufias, J.P. Kleman, B. Jung, O. Dideberg, and R.L. Margolis. 2000. Crystal structure of human survivin reveals a bow tieshaped dimer with two unusual alpha-helical extensions. *Mol. Cell*. 6:183–189.
- Colnaghi, R., C.M. Connell, R.M. Barrett, and S.P. Wheatley. 2006. Separating the antiapoptotic and mitotic roles of survivin. J. Biol. Chem. 281:33450–33456.
- Conway, E.M., S. Pollefeyt, J. Cornelissen, I. DeBaere, M. Steiner-Mosonyi, K. Ong, M. Baens, D. Collen, and A.C. Schuh. 2000. Three differentially expressed survivin cDNA variants encode proteins with distinct antiapoptotic functions. *Blood.* 95:1435–1442.
- Cooke, C.A., M.M. Heck, and W.C. Earnshaw. 1987. The inner centromere protein (INCENP) antigens: movement from inner centromere to midbody during mitosis. J. Cell Biol. 105:2053–2067.
- Crook, N.E., R.J. Clem, and L.K. Miller. 1993. An apoptosis-inhibiting baculovirus gene with a zinc finger-like motif. J. Virol. 67:2168–2174.
- Delaval, B., A. Ferrand, N. Conte, C. Larroque, D. Hernandez-Verdun, C. Prigent, and D. Birnbaum. 2004. Aurora B -TACC1 protein complex in cytokinesis. *Oncogene*. 23:4516–4522.
- Derry, W.B., L. Wilson, and M.A. Jordan. 1995. Substoichiometric binding of taxol suppresses microtubule dynamics. *Biochemistry*. 34:2203–2211.
- Earnshaw, W.C., and C.A. Cooke. 1991. Analysis of the distribution of the INCENPs throughout mitosis reveals the existence of three distinct substages of metaphase and early events in cleavage furrow formation. J. Cell Sci. 98:443–461.
- Engelsma, D., J.A. Rodriguez, A. Fish, G. Giaccone, and M. Fornerod. 2007. Homodimerization antagonizes nuclear export of survivin. *Traffic*. 8:1495–1502.
- Fraser, A.G., C. James, G.I. Evan, and M.O. Hengartner. 1999. *Caenorhabditis elegans* inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) homologue BIR-1 plays a conserved role in cytokinesis. *Curr. Biol.* 9:292–301.

- Fukuda, S., R.G. Foster, S.B. Porter, and L.M. Pelus. 2002. The antiapoptosis protein survivin is associated with cell cycle entry of normal cord blood CD34(+) cells and modulates cell cycle and proliferation of mouse hematopoietic progenitor cells. *Blood*. 100:2463–2471.
- Gassmann, R., A. Carvalho, A.J. Henzing, S. Ruchaud, D.F. Hudson, R. Honda, E.A. Nigg, D.L. Gerloff, and W.C. Earnshaw. 2004. Borealin: a novel chromosomal passenger required for stability of the bipolar mitotic spindle. J. Cell Biol. 166:179–191.
- Gillespie, D.A., and C. Henriques. 2006. Centrifugal elutriation as a means of cell cycle phase separation and synchronisation. *Subcell. Biochem.* 40:359–361.
- Giodini, A., M.J. Kallio, N.R. Wall, G.J. Gorbsky, S. Tognin, P.C. Marchisio, M. Symons, and D.C. Altieri. 2002. Regulation of microtubule stability and mitotic progression by survivin. *Cancer Res.* 62:2462–2467.
- Goto, H., T. Kiyono, Y. Tomono, A. Kawajiri, T. Urano, K. Furukawa, E.A. Nigg, and M. Inagaki. 2006. Complex formation of Plk1 and INCENP required for metaphase-anaphase transition. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8:180–187.
- Grossman, D., P.J. Kim, J.S. Schechner, and D.C. Altieri. 2001. Inhibition of melanoma tumor growth in vivo by survivin targeting. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 98:635–640.
- Guse, A., M. Mishima, and M. Glotzer. 2005. Phosphorylation of ZEN-4/ MKLP1 by aurora B regulates completion of cytokinesis. *Curr. Biol.* 15:778–786.
- Honda, R., R. Korner, and E.A. Nigg. 2003. Exploring the functional interactions between Aurora B, INCENP, and survivin in mitosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 14:3325–3341.
- Jeyaprakash, A.A., U.R. Klein, D. Lindner, J. Ebert, E.A. Nigg, and E. Conti. 2007. Structure of a Survivin-Borealin-INCENP core complex reveals how chromosomal passengers travel together. *Cell*. 131:271–285.
- Jiang, X., C. Wilford, S. Duensing, K. Munger, G. Jones, and D. Jones. 2001. Participation of Survivin in mitotic and apoptotic activities of normal and tumor-derived cells. J. Cell. Biochem. 83:342–354.
- Jones, G., D. Jones, L. Zhou, H. Steller, and Y. Chu. 2000. Deterin, a new inhibitor of apoptosis from *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Biol. Chem. 275:22157–22165.
- Kawamura, K., N. Sato, J. Fukuda, H. Kodama, J. Kumagai, H. Tanikawa, Y. Shimizu, and T. Tanaka. 2003. Survivin acts as an antiapoptotic factor during the development of mouse preimplantation embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 256:331–341.
- Kelling, J., K. Sullivan, L. Wilson, and M.A. Jordan. 2003. Suppression of centromere dynamics by Taxol in living osteosarcoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 63:2794–2801.
- Kim, J.Y., J.Y. Chung, S.G. Lee, Y.J. Kim, J.E. Park, K.S. Yoo, Y.H. Yoo, Y.C. Park, B.G. Kim, and J.M. Kim. 2006. Nuclear interaction of Smac/ DIABLO with Survivin at G2/M arrest prompts docetaxel-induced apoptosis in DU145 prostate cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 350:949–954.
- Klein, U.R., E.A. Nigg, and U. Gruneberg. 2006. Centromere targeting of the chromosomal passenger complex requires a ternary subcomplex of Borealin, Survivin, and the N-terminal domain of INCENP. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 17:2547–2558.
- Knauer, S.K., C. Bier, N. Habtemichael, and R.H. Stauber. 2006. The Survivin-Crm1 interaction is essential for chromosomal passenger complex localization and function. *EMBO Rep.* 7:1259–1265.
- Knauer, S.K., C. Bier, P. Schlag, J. Fritzmann, W. Dietmaier, F. Rodel, L. Klein-Hitpass, A.F. Kovacs, C. Doring, M.L. Hansmann, et al. 2007. The survivin isoform survivin-3B is cytoprotective and can function as a chromosomal passenger complex protein. *Cell Cycle*. 6:1502–1509.
- Lens, S.M., R.M.F. Wolthuis, R. Klompmaker, J. Kauw, R. Agami, T. Brummelkamp, G. Kops, and R.H. Medema. 2003. Survivin is required for a sustained spindle checkpoint arrest in response to lack of tension. *EMBO J.* 22:2934–2947.
- Lens, S.M., G. Vader, and R.H. Medema. 2006. The case for Survivin as mitotic regulator. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 18:616–622.
- Li, F. 2003. Survivin study: what is the next wave? J. Cell. Physiol. 197:8-29.
- Li, F., G. Ambrosini, E.Y. Chu, J. Plescia, S. Tognin, P.C. Marchisio, and D.C. Altieri. 1998. Control of apoptosis and mitotic spindle checkpoint by survivin. *Nature*. 396:580–584.
- Li, F., E.J. Ackermann, C.F. Bennett, A.L. Rothermel, J. Plescia, S. Tognin, A. Villa, P.C. Marchisio, and D.C. Altieri. 1999. Pleiotropic cell-division defects and apoptosis induced by interference with survivin function. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 1:461–466.
- Ling, X., and F. Li. 2004. Silencing of antiapoptotic survivin gene by multiple approaches of RNA interference technology. *Biotechniques*. 36:450–460.
- Mahotka, C., M. Wenzel, E. Springer, H.E. Gabbert, and C.D. Gerharz. 1999. Survivin-deltaEx3 and survivin-2B: two novel splice variants of the

apoptosis inhibitor survivin with different antiapoptotic properties. *Cancer Res.* 59:6097–6102.

- McNeish, I.A., R. Lopes, S.J. Bell, T.R. McKay, M. Fernandez, M. Lockley, S.P. Wheatley, and N.R. Lemoine. 2005. Survivin interacts with Smac/ DIABLO in ovarian carcinoma cells but is redundant in Smac-mediated apoptosis. *Exp. Cell Res.* 302:69–82.
- Mirza, A., M. McGuirk, T.N. Hockenberry, Q. Wu, H. Ashar, S. Black, S.F. Wen, L. Wang, P. Kirschmeier, W.R. Bishop, et al. 2002. Human survivin is negatively regulated by wild-type p53 and participates in p53-dependent apoptotic pathway. *Oncogene*. 21:2613–2622.
- Muchmore, S.W., J. Chen, C. Jakob, D. Zakula, E.D. Matayoshi, W. Wu, H. Zhang, F. Li, S.C. Ng, and D.C. Altieri. 2000. Crystal structure and mutagenic analysis of the inhibitor-of-apoptosis protein survivin. *Mol. Cell*. 6:173–182.
- O'Connor, D.S., D. Grossman, J. Plescia, F. Li, H. Zhang, A. Villa, S. Tognin, P.C. Marchisio, and D.C. Altieri. 2000. Regulation of apoptosis at cell division by p34cdc2 phosphorylation of survivin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 97:13103–13107.
- Okada, H., C. Bakal, A. Shahinian, A. Elia, A. Wakeham, W.K. Suh, G.S. Duncan, M. Ciofani, R. Rottapel, J.C. Zuniga-Pflucker, and T.W. Mak. 2004. Survivin loss in thymocytes triggers p53-mediated growth arrest and p53-independent cell death. J. Exp. Med. 199:399–410.
- Pennati, M., G. Colella, M. Folini, L. Citti, M.G. Daidone, and N. Zaffaroni. 2002. Ribozyme-mediated attenuation of survivin expression sensitizes human melanoma cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis. J. Clin. Invest. 109:285–286.
- Pennati, M., M. Binda, G. Colella, M. Zoppe, M. Folini, S. Vignati, A. Valentini, L. Citti, M. De Cesare, G. Pratesi, et al. 2004. Ribozyme-mediated inhibition of survivin expression increases spontaneous and drug-induced apoptosis and decreases the tumorigenic potential of human prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene*. 23:386–394.
- Petersen, J., and I.M. Hagan. 2003. S. pombe Aurora kinase/Survivin is required for chromosome condensation and the spindle checkpoint attachment response. Curr. Biol. 13:590–597.
- Rajagopalan, S., and M.K. Balasubramanian. 1999. S. pombe Pbh1p: an inhibitor of apoptosis domain containing protein is essential for chromosome segregation. FEBS Lett. 460:187–190.
- Rajagopalan, S., and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2002. Schizosaccharomyces pombe Birlp, a nuclear protein that localizes to kinetochores and the spindle midzone, is essential for chromosome condensation and spindle elongation during mitosis. Genetics. 160:445–456.
- Rodriguez, J.A., S.W. Span, C.G. Ferreira, F.A. Kruyt, and G. Giaccone. 2002. CRM1-mediated nuclear export determines the cytoplasmic localization of the antiapoptotic protein Survivin. *Exp. Cell Res.* 275:44–53.
- Rodriguez, J.A., S.M. Lens, S.W. Span, G. Vader, R.H. Medema, F.A. Kruyt, and G. Giaccone. 2006. Subcellular localization and nucleocytoplasmic transport of the chromosomal passenger proteins before nuclear envelope breakdown. *Oncogene*. 25:4867–4879.
- Rosa, J., P. Canovas, A. Islam, D.C. Altieri, and S.J. Doxsey. 2006. Survivin modulates microtubule dynamics and nucleation throughout the cell cycle. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 17:1483–1493.
- Ruchaud, S., K. Samejima, D.F. Hudson, S.H. Kaufmann, and W.C. Earnshaw. 2006. Genetic analysis of apoptotic execution. *In* Reviews and Protocols in DT40 Research. Vol. 40. J.M. Buerstedde and S. Takeda, editors. Springer, New York. 75–90.
- Ruchaud, S., M. Carmena, and W.C. Earnshaw. 2007. Chromosomal passengers: conducting cell division. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8:798–812.
- Samejima, K., S. Tone, and W.C. Earnshaw. 2001. CAD/DFF40 nuclease is dispensable for high molecular weight DNA cleavage and stage I chromatin condensation in apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem. 276:45427–45432.
- Scrittori, L., D.A. Skoufias, F. Hans, V. Gerson, P. Sassone-Corsi, S. Dimitrov, and R.L. Margolis. 2005. A small C-terminal sequence of Aurora B is responsible for localization and function. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 16:292–305.
- Shin, S., B.J. Sung, Y.S. Cho, H.J. Kim, N.C. Ha, J.I. Hwang, C.W. Chung, Y.K. Jung, and B.H. Oh. 2001. An anti-apoptotic protein human survivin is a direct inhibitor of caspase-3 and -7. *Biochemistry*. 40:1117–1123.
- Simpson, S. 1912. An investigation into the effects of seasonal changes on body temperature. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin.* 32:110–135.
- Song, Z., X. Yao, and M. Wu. 2003. Direct interaction between survivin and Smac/DIABLO is essential for the anti-apoptotic activity of survivin during taxol-induced apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem. 278:23130–23140.
- Song, Z., S. Liu, H. He, N. Hoti, Y. Wang, S. Feng, and M. Wu. 2004. A single amino acid change (Asp 53-> Ala53) converts Survivin from anti-apoptotic to pro-apoptotic. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 15:1287–1296.
- Speliotes, E.K., A. Uren, D. Vaux, and H.R. Horvitz. 2000. The survivin-like *C. elegans* BIR-1 protein acts with the Aurora-like kinase AIR-2 to affect chromosomes and the spindle midzone. *Mol. Cell.* 6:211–223.

- Srinivasula, S.M., and J.D. Ashwell. 2008. IAPs: what's in a name? *Mol. Cell.* 30:123–135.
- Stauber, R.H., U. Rabenhorst, A. Rekik, K. Engels, C. Bier, and S.K. Knauer. 2006. Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and the biological activity of mouse survivin are regulated by an active nuclear export signal. *Traffic.* 7:1461–1472.
- Sun, C., D. Nettesheim, Z. Liu, and E.T. Olejniczak. 2005. Solution structure of human survivin and its binding interface with Smac/Diablo. *Biochemistry*. 44:11–17.
- Tamm, I., Y. Wang, E. Sausville, D.A. Scudiero, N. Vigna, T. Oltersdorf, and J.C. Reed. 1998. IAP-family protein survivin inhibits caspase activity and apoptosis induced by Fas (CD95), Bax, caspases, and anticancer drugs. *Cancer Res.* 58:5315–5320.
- Temme, A., M. Rieger, F. Reber, D. Lindemann, B. Weigle, P. Diestelkoetter-Bachert, G. Ehninger, M. Tatsuka, Y. Terada, and E.P. Rieber. 2003. Localization, dynamics, and function of survivin revealed by expression of functional survivinDsRed fusion proteins in the living cell. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 14:78–92.
- Uren, A.G., T. Beilharz, M.J. O'Connell, S.J. Bugg, R. van Driel, D.L. Vaux, and T. Lithgow. 1999. Role for yeast inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP)-like proteins in cell division. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:10170–10175.
- Uren, A.G., L. Wong, M. Pakusch, K.J. Fowler, F.J. Burrows, D.L. Vaux, and K.H. Choo. 2000. Survivin and the inner centromere protein INCENP show similar cell-cycle localization and gene knockout phenotype. *Curr. Biol.* 10:1319–1328.
- Vader, G., J.J. Kauw, R.H. Medema, and S.M. Lens. 2006. Survivin mediates targeting of the chromosomal passenger complex to the centromere and midbody. *EMBO Rep.* 7:85–92.
- Verdecia, M.A., H. Huang, E. Dutil, D.A. Kaiser, T. Hunter, and J.P. Noel. 2000. Structure of the human anti-apoptotic protein survivin reveals a dimeric arrangement. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7:602–608.
- Wall, N.R., D.S. O'Connor, J. Plescia, Y. Pommier, and D.C. Altieri. 2003. Suppression of survivin phosphorylation on Thr34 by flavopiridol enhances tumor cell apoptosis. *Cancer Res.* 63:230–235.
- Walter, D., S. Wissing, F. Madeo, and B. Fahrenkrog. 2006. The inhibitorof-apoptosis protein Bir1p protects against apoptosis in *S. cerevisiae* and is a substrate for the yeast homologue of Omi/HtrA2. *J. Cell Sci.* 119:1843–1851.
- Wang, Z., J. Sampath, S. Fukuda, and L.M. Pelus. 2005. Disruption of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein survivin sensitizes Bcr-abl-positive cells to STI571-induced apoptosis. *Cancer Res.* 65:8224–8232.
- Wheatley, S.P., and I.A. McNeish. 2005. Survivin: a protein with dual roles in mitosis and apoptosis. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* 247:35–88.
- Yan, H., J. Thomas, T. Liu, D. Raj, N. London, T. Tandeski, S.A. Leachman, R.M. Lee, and D. Grossman. 2006. Induction of melanoma cell apoptosis and inhibition of tumor growth using a cell-permeable Survivin antagonist. *Oncogene*. 25:6968–6974.
- Yang, D., A. Welm, and J.M. Bishop. 2004. Cell division and cell survival in the absence of survivin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 101:15100–15105.
- Zaffaroni, N., A. Costa, M. Pennati, C. De Marco, E. Affini, M. Madeo, R. Erdas, A. Cabras, S. Kusamura, D. Baratti, et al. 2007. Survivin is highly expressed and promotes cell survival in malignant peritoneal mesothelioma. *Cell. Oncol.* 29:453–466.
- Zhu, C., E. Bossy-Wetzel, and W. Jiang. 2005. Recruitment of MKLP1 to the spindle midzone/midbody by INCENP is essential for midbody formation and completion of cytokinesis in human cells. *Biochem. J.* 389:373–381.