COACTION

Handwashing among schoolchildren in an ethnically diverse population in northern rural Vietnam

Le Thi Thanh Xuan¹* and Luu Ngoc Hoat²

¹Department of Environmental Health, Institute for Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam; ²Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, Institute for Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Background: Handwashing with soap (HWWS) is a simple and effective measure to prevent transmission of fecal–oral disease and other infectious diseases in school-age children. To promote the behavior, we need to understand their HWWS compliance. The aim of this article is to describe handwashing behavior and HWWS compliance and to identify associated factors among schoolchildren in the multiethnic rural area of northern Vietnam.

Methods: The study was conducted in six primary and secondary schools and in the homes of four ethnic villages in northern Vietnam. Quantitative methods included face-to-face interviews with, and demonstration of handwashing protocol to, 319 schoolchildren in first, fourth, and seventh grades. Qualitative methods included structured observations at six schools and 20 homes comprising 24 children. The dependent variable was the self-reported HWWS behavior (yes/no). The independent variables included grade, school type, gender, ethnicity group, owning home latrine, and household assets. Logistic regression modelling was performed to examine associations between HWWS behavior and demographic factors.

Results: Among the 319 schoolchildren interviewed, 66% reported HWWS. Through the demonstration protocol, only 10 out of 319 schoolchildren, performed HWWS satisfactorily. The percentage of students who washed their hands at recommended times (30–60 sec) was 58%. This proportion increased by grade (from 34% among grade 1 to 67% among grade 7; p < 0.05). Correlates of self-reported HWWS were more common in higher grades [grade 4 vs. grade 1: odds ratio (OR) = 4.14 (2.00–8.56), grade 7 vs. grade 1: OR = 7.76 (3.67–16.4)] and less common in ethnic minority groups [Xa Phó vs. Kinh-Tay: OR = 0.28 (0.11–0.70)]. All 20 homes of schoolchildren visited had soap and water but none of the six schools had soap for handwashing. *Conclusions*: This article describes poor compliance of schoolchildren with HWWS in a multiethnic population in Vietnam. Education on handwashing needs to be prioritized among multiethnic children at school.

Keywords: handwashing with soap; schoolchildren; rural Vietnam; multiethnic population

Received: 29 May 2012; Revised: 21 December 2012; Accepted: 24 December 2012; Published: 31 January 2013

andwashing with soap (HWWS) is important for school-age children in the improvement of health and disease prevention (e.g. diarrhea and gastrointestinal infections), which in turn reduces absenteeism due to illness (1–10). Investing in HWWS is minimal and easy, and HWWS is effective in maintaining health. The practice is significant for schoolchildren, who might suffer a more severe burden of hygiene-related diseases compared to adults (11–13).

Previous studies showed that school-based HWWS promotion had significant effect on HWWS practices of schoolchildren (14) and also that those schoolchildren could act as 'HWWS messengers' to their family and community (1, 3, 15–18). However, the HWWS compliance of schoolchildren in the developing countries is still low (19–21). A study conducted in rural Senegal found that out of 3,797 primary pupils observed, only 7% washed their hands with soap after using the toilet. Rates were similar for boys and girls (21). A cross-sectional study among 669 students, grade 1–6, in rural Ethiopia showed that only 15% reported HWWS after defecation (19). A survey in 10 rural primary schools in Cambodia found the potential of public education campaigns, as children in higher grades had a greater tendency to improve on sanitation practices (20). Possible reasons for low rate of HWWS among schoolchildren could be lack

Policy recommendations

The public health designers and promoters should focus on creating school culture in HWWS by:

- Prioritization of the topic in the school curriculum
- Integration of the topic in the school activities, particularly extra-curriculum
- Encouragement of new teaching methods of HWWS to schoolchildren
- Motivation of the behavior at home through role model and peer-to-peer education
- Xa Phó and smaller children should be targeted in school hygiene promotional program
- Also, HWWS behavior measurement needs to be formulated

of soap and water or absence of norms or culture at school, which could educate the schoolchildren on HWWS. Suggested interventions, thus, should be focusing on the physical environment at school, making water and soap available (22) and inculcating a culture of HWWS at the school level (21).

In Vietnam, HWWS was taught to all first-grade schoolchildren as part of national curriculum through 1-hour sessions, titled 'Body hygiene'. There were some initiatives focusing on promoting HWWS compliance of schoolchildren in Vietnam (23). However, the HWWS rate among schoolchildren is still low. A survey conducted on hygiene practices at schools in Vietnam in 2007 found that only 12% of students washed their hands with soap after defecation, and the situation was even worse in northern Vietnam (24). Another study in 2008 found that no student washed their hands with soap at school (25). Some factors associated with the poor behavior were lack of availability of, and accessibility to, soap (26) and poor soap management (23). However, due to the large-scale social marketing, handwashing behavior, funded by the World Bank has been thoroughly investigated in the rural Kinh population in Vietnam, but not among the ethnic minority groups (EMGs) (27). This study was therefore carried out to analyze the HWWS compliance of schoolchildren in poor settings where they often suffer from the burden of hygiene-related diseases. This information will help policy makers and public health promoters to design an appropriate and effective hygiene program for the multiethnic population.

Methodology

Field sites

The study was carried out in two neighboring rural communities in a northern province of Vietnam that was piloting the national target program of water supply, sanitation, and hygiene. The two communities were chosen because they are worse off than others in the region and also because they are pilot sites for hygiene promotion activities, including the second phase (2006–2010) of school hygiene intervention of the Rural Water Supply and Sanitation National Target Program. The two communities consisted of about 10,000 people living in 39 lowland and highland villages. Eighty percent of the

population in the two communities belonged to the EMGs, including the Dáy, Tày, Dao, Xa Phó, H'Mông, and Hoa. The highland villages, where the Xa Phó, H'Mông, and Hoa groups lived, were located farther away from the communal center and had less public services than the lowland villages.

The study was carried out in 6 of 23 schools and 4 of 39 villages in the two communities. Six schools for the study included two secondary schools, two main primary schools, and two satellite primary schools. In each community, the primary school system included one main school located at the center of the community and several smaller branches or satellite schools located in the villages. The selection of schools aimed at diversifying the school type (two secondary and four primary schools, four main schools and two satellite schools), lowland and highland schools (five lowlands and one highland). Table 2 indicates that most children in the six schools belonged to the Tay, Dáy, Xa Phó, and Kinh ethnic groups. The four villages selected for home observation were representatives of the four different ethnic groups (Kinh, Tày, Dáy, and Xa Phó) (Table 1).

Methods

In this study, both quantitative and qualitative methods were applied (Table 1). Quantitative methods included a school survey using a structured questionnaire and a demonstration protocol with first, fourth, and seventh graders. The selected children were assumed to represent different levels of exposure to school-based hygiene promotional activities (through lecture within national curriculum and extracurricular activities every month) and level of the Kinh fluency. The structured questionnaire, developed by the first author, was piloted among a group of 10 children and then revised before use. The field component of school survey was carried out over a 6-week period, from September to mid-October 2008. In total, 319 children who came from first, fourth, and seventh grades responded to the questionnaire during school time, with a 100% response rate (Table 2). The questionnaire was answered individually by each child in a separate and private location within the school premises. The questionnaire included questions about basic demographics (Table 2) and the HWWS behavior (Table 3). The questions concerning HWWS compliance

| | | | | | | Methods and sample size | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| Ň | | School type School area | Ethnic aroup of student | No. of children and classes | School-based ORE* (<i>n</i> = 319) | Demonstration protocol ($n = 319$) | School observation | Number of child households for home studv |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | Main Primary | Low land | Day | 161 (5 classes) | 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 1 week | 4 |
| 2 | Main primary | Low land | Tay, Kinh | 89 (5 classes) | 92 grade 1 and 4 (5 classes) | 92 grade 1 and 4 (5 classes) 92 grade 1 and 4 (5 classes) | 1 week | 4 |
| ო | Satellite primary Low land | Low land | Тау | 256 (12 classes) | 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 32 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 1 week | 4 |
| 4 | Satellite primary High land | High land | Xa Pho | 67 (5 classes) | 20 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 20 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) 20 grade 1 and 4 (2 classes) | 1 week | 4 |
| S | Secondary | Low land | Kinh, Tay, Day, Xa pho, Dao | 247 (11 classes) | 66 grade 7 (3 classes) | 66 grade 7 (3 classes) | 1 week | 2 |
| 9 | Secondary | Low land | Kinh, Tay, Day, Xa pho | 328 (11 classes) | 77 grade 7 (3 classes) | 77 grade 7 (3 classes) | 1 week | 2 |

Table 1. Methods applied in the study

included 1) 'When did you wash the hand yesterday?' and 2) 'How did you wash the hand yesterday?'. Both these questions referred to the previous school day. HWWS reported by schoolchildren using water and soap during the behavior in the past 24 hours was defined as self-reported HWWS (Tables 3 and 6). Similarly, handwash (HW) at critical times was defined as HW before cooking, before eating, and after defecation (Table 3).

To explore the skill of HWWS of schoolchildren, a demonstration protocol was developed based on eight steps of HWWS, guided by the Ministry of Education and Training, including 1) dip hands into water, 2) wash the hand with water, 3) use soap on the hands, 4) wash the hollow of the hands at least three times, 5) wash the back of the right hand at least three times, 6) wash the back of the left hand at least three times, 7) wave the hands, and 8) dry the hands with a towel (28). A schoolchild after answering the questionnaire would be asked to practice the behavior using the materials suggested (e.g. water, soap, and towel). Steps involved in HWWS were noted, and the time taken for HWWS was recorded using a clock.

The qualitative method used spot observation in six schools and in the homes of 24 schoolchildren to learn about the physical environment for HWWS behavior at school and at home. The school selection was based on two main criteria: 1) the school had students of all grades (for primary level, from grade 1 to 5; secondary level, from grade 6 to 9) and 2) logistic issue. Structured and participative observations were carried out for a week at each school. The observation also included data collection using a checklist on handwashing before eating at school (such as snack).

For home observation, 20 homes comprising 24 children (8 in highland and 12 in lowland) in four villages were selected and observed for 76 days, with 3-5 days for one home observation (29). The selected homes were purposively from those schoolchildren participating in the structural questionnaire at school. The households had one or more children studying either in grade 1 (six children), grade 4 (nine children), or grade 7 (nine children) at one of the six selected schools, including 10 males and females. In addition, the household selection represented four different ethnic groups (Kinh, Xa Phó, Tay, and Day) in the two communities. Each schoolchild was observed for 3-5 days, from the beginning of a school day at 7 AM and followed during the whole day until evening at their homes, that is, 8 PM. We observed the physical environment (water, soap, towel ...); HWWS education; school support from teachers; support from peers, parents, and others toward promoting the practice of HWWS among schoolchildren, both at school and at home.

All face-to-face interviews and observation at home and school were carried out by a research team that

| Variable | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| School type | | |
| Secondary school | 143 | 45 |
| Primary school | 124 | 39 |
| Satellites | 52 | 16 |
| Grade | | |
| 1 | 62 | 19 |
| 4 | 114 | 36 |
| 7 | 143 | 45 |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 165 | 52 |
| Female | 154 | 48 |
| Ethnicity | | |
| Kinh | 7 | 2 |
| Тау | 163 | 51 |
| Xa Pho | 33 | 10 |
| Day | 116 | 36 |
| Number of family members | 319 | 5.5 ± 1.5 |
| Household own latrine | 174 | 54 |
| Household assets | | |
| Having TV | 246 | 77 |
| Having radio | 94 | 29 |
| Having bicycle | 177 | 55 |
| Having motor-bicycle | 169 | 53 |

Table 2. Main characteristic of 319 schoolchildren participating structural questionnaire and demonstration in the study

composed of the first author and three research assistances. All interviews were conducted in Vietnamese, specifically the Kinh language, except in the case of 12 schoolchildren of grade 1 from a highland community who were supported by a local translator.

Data analysis

All quantitative data were checked and quality assured and entered into EPI-INFO by the research team. The author analyzed the data using STATA (30). A descriptive analysis of HWWS compliance (when, how, how long) by schoolchildren was performed (Tables 3, 4, and 5). Eight steps of HWWS guided by the Ministry of Education and Training were used for the analysis (Table 4) (28).

Multivariate logistic regression modeling was performed to examine the association of the self-reported HWWS behavior with relevant demographic factors (Table 6). The dependent variable was the self-reported HWWS (yes/no). The independent variables included grade, school type, gender, ethnicity group, owning a home latrine, and household assets. 'Cluster' option was introduced in the model to control for the dependence between students from the same class and school. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were used. Chisquare test, *t*-test, and a significance level at 0.05 were used.

All observation notes were recorded and analyzed using a thematic approach. The theme included 1) physical environment for HWWS (availability of soap and water) and 2) school and home activities enable schoolchildren to practice HWWS.

This study was approved by the Ministry of Health; the Ethical Committee of National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology; and the authorities at the school, community, and village levels. In this article, names of all schools, villages, and communities are concealed, and schools are referred to by the area they belong to (main or branches) to ensure the anonymity of informants.

Results

HWWS compliance

In this study, individual interviews demonstrated that schoolchildren did not commonly wash their hands, even with water (Table 3). The common time for HW was before eating (60%). Only 23% of schoolchildren reported HW after defecation. Very few did before cooking (only 7 students out of 319; generated 2% reported). Only four students (three female and one male student at the secondary school level) reported to HW at all critical times (before cooking, after defecation, and before eating).

Table 3 also demonstrated that 66% of respondents did HW with soap the previous day. HW is similar for both male and female students but significantly different with regard to grade and ethnicity; higher the grade, the higher the tendency to HW (32% among grade 1 and 80% among grade 7), with p < 0.05. Likewise, the students belonging to the Xa Phó group did not practice this much (39%), compared to the other groups (Kinh-Tay, 71% and Day, 66%), p < 0.05.

Observation both at school and home revealed that almost all students did clean the playground with their hands for playing certain games (exposure to dirt/soil) but did not HW after these activities. School observation results show that about half of more than 1,000 students observed at school snacked before school hours (probably breakfast) and during break time (from a vendor near the school) without HW before eating. Furthermore, some students did HW but with unclean water (visibly seen) from drains and water holes in the school playground.

Through demonstration protocol, children demonstrated low level of HWWS skills. Table 4 shows that the percentage of students who demonstrated HWWS was 78%. However, out of 319 participants, only 10 schoolchildren could do HWWS correctly, as per the guidelines of the Ministry of Education and Training (28). The actions less frequently done by children included: drying hands with towels (46%), washing the

| | | By gender | | | By grade | | By ethnicity* | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|--------|-----|
| Variable | Total | Male | Female | Grade 1 | Grade 4 | Grade 7 | Kinh-Tay | Xa Pho | Day |
| Sample size | 319 | 165 | 154 | 62 | 114 | 143 | 170 | 33 | 116 |
| When my hand is visibly dirty | 23% | 25% | 21% | 19% | 19% | 27% | 25% | 12% | 23% |
| Before eating | 60% | 59% | 60% | 24% | 57% | 77% | 63% | 33% | 62% |
| After defecation | 21% | 19% | 23% | 2% | 24% | 27% | 24% | 6% | 22% |
| Before cooking | 3% | 4% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 8% | 3% | 0% | 5% |
| After playing in yard | 8% | 9% | 8% | 3% | 9% | 10% | 7% | 15% | 9% |
| Other times | 41% | 38% | 45% | 34% | 34% | 50% | 39% | 39% | 44% |
| HW at critical times | 1% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 1% | 0% | 2% |
| Water alone | 31% | 30% | 31% | 40% | 26% | 30% | 26% | 52% | 32% |
| Water and soap | 66% | 67% | 66% | 32% | 68% | 80% | 71% | 39% | 66% |
| Other materials | 4% | 6% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 4% | 0% | 7% |

Table 3. Handwashing practice of 319 rural school children from face-to-face interview, Vietnam, 2009

Shading denotes significant results.

*Kinh and Tay was merged into one group because the number of Kinh student was very small (7).

backs of both hands, and rinsing hands with water before using soap (Table 4).

Table 5 shows that the average HWWS time was 45 sec (± 26.63). The HWWS time significantly differed by gender. The percentage of students washing their hands in the recommended time (30–60 sec) was 58%, and it increased by grade (from 34% among grade 1 to 67% among grade 7; p < 0.05). Interestingly, male students washed their hands longer than the female students (49 sec vs. 41 sec; p < 0.05).

Table 6 presents the logistic model analysis between the self-reported HWWS rate and relevant demographic factors. Grade and EMG were associated with the behavior significantly. Older children reported more frequent HWWS [grade 4 vs. grade 1: OR = 4.14 (2.00– 8.56), grade 7 vs. grade 1: OR = 7.76 (3.67-16.4)], and the behavior was less common among the students belonging to the Xa Phó group [Xa Phó vs. Kinh-Tay: OR = 0.28 (0.11-0.70)].

The physical environment for HWWS at both school and home settings

Observation at school shows that out of six schools, HWWS station was absent or not functional at four schools. In two schools with HWWS station installed outside the latrines, only one had water supply. All six schools did not have soap for HW. All six schools had no specific lesson on HWWS for schoolchildren or guidelines for them to practice during the 6-week observation

Table 4. Demonstration results of Handwashing practices of 319 rural school children, Vietnam, 2009

| | By gender | | | By grade | | | By ethnicity | | |
|--|-----------|------|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------|-----|
| Variable | Total | Male | Female | Grade 1 | Grade 4 | Grade 7 | Kinh-Tay | Xa Pho | Day |
| Sample size | 319 | 165 | 154 | 62 | 114 | 143 | 170 | 33 | 116 |
| Dip hands into water | 82% | 81% | 83% | 74% | 78% | 88% | 84% | 67% | 84% |
| Wash the hand with water | 46% | 48% | 44% | 55% | 51% | 38% | 44% | 46% | 48% |
| Use soap on the hands | 78% | 79% | 77% | 60% | 75% | 89% | 82% | 67% | 76% |
| Wash the hollow of hands at least 3 times | 69% | 73% | 64% | 68% | 61% | 76% | 75% | 39% | 67% |
| Wash the back of right hand at least 3 times | 52% | 60% | 44% | 39% | 46% | 64% | 60% | 24% | 49% |
| Wash the back of left hand at least 3 times | 49% | 55% | 42% | 34% | 41% | 61% | 54% | 24% | 48% |
| Wave hands | 64% | 66% | 62% | 58% | 67% | 64% | 67% | 55% | 63% |
| Dry hands with towels | 46% | 39% | 53% | 21% | 44% | 58% | 55% | 15% | 41% |
| Proper HWWS* | 3% | 4% | 2% | 2% | 4% | 4% | 5% | 0% | 2% |

Shading denotes significant results.

*Denote for the schoolchild practice HWWS following 8 steps guided by Ministry of Education and Training.

| | | By gender | | | By grade | | By ethnicity | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|--------|-------|
| Variable | Total | Male | Female | Grade 1 | Grade 4 | Grade 7 | Kinh-Tay | Xa Pho | Day |
| Sample size | 319 | 165 | 154 | 62 | 114 | 143 | 170 | 33 | 116 |
| >60 sec | 17% | 22% | 11% | 29% | 15% | 13% | 15% | 24% | 18% |
| 30–60 sec | 58% | 57% | 60% | 34% | 61% | 67% | 62% | 39% | 58% |
| <30 sec | 25% | 21% | 29% | 37% | 25% | 20% | 23% | 36% | 24% |
| Mean Standard | 45.3 | 49.2 | 41.2 | 49.1 | 44.5 | 44.4 | 45.7 | 40.3 | 46.2 |
| deviation | 26.63 | 28.76 | 23.54 | 34.38 | 26.72 | 22.47 | 23.79 | 24.92 | 30.79 |

Table 5. Distribution of time for HWWS practices of 319 rural school children, from demonstration, Vietnam, 2009

Shading denotes significant results.

Table 6. Association between self-reported HWWS with selected demographic factors among 319 rural school-children, Vietnam, 2009

| Variable | OR | 95% CI |
|---------------------------------------|------|------------|
| Primary school (vs. secondary school) | 1.13 | 0.55–2.29 |
| Grade 4 (vs. grade 1) | 4.14 | 2.00-8.56 |
| Grade 7 (vs. grade 1) | 7.76 | 3.67-16.40 |
| Male (vs. female) | 0.97 | 0.57-1.62 |
| Tay group (vs. Kinh-Tay) | 0.79 | 0.44-1.44 |
| Day group (vs. Kinh-Tay) | 0.28 | 0.11-0.70 |
| Household own latrine (yes vs. no) | 0.69 | 0.40-1.22 |
| Having TV (yes vs. no) | 0.70 | 0.35–1.38 |
| Having radio (yes vs. no) | 1.17 | 0.66–2.07 |
| Having bicycle (yes vs. no) | 0.89 | 0.50-1.58 |
| Having motor-bicycle (yes vs. no) | 1.39 | 0.77–2.50 |

Shading denotes significant results.

at school, as stipulated in the national routine school program or curriculum.

Observation at home found that children had good opportunity to access water and soap for HW. All 20 households of schoolchildren had soap and water available for HW. Eight households had latrine; however, the location of the latrine was not close by. In addition, schoolchildren were not reminded to HW with soap during observation time.

Discussion

This study demonstrated the low rate of HWWS among schoolchildren and the poor physical environment at school and home that inhibited them from practicing the behavior.

The study found that the schoolchildren did HWWS more commonly before eating but very few did so after defecation and before cooking. The HWWS rate was similar for boys and girls. A poor rate of HWWS was in line with what other studies previously had shown in rural Senegal (21) and Ethiopia (19). In this study, the HWWS increased significantly by grade, with the lowest at grade 1 (32%) to the highest at grade 7 (80%). The significant difference in HWWS with regard to grade or age group of schoolchildren can be explained with the fact that the students in higher graders have greater exposure to public and school hygiene promotion, as found in rural Cambodia (20). The finding underlines the importance of targeting the younger schoolchildren in HWWS education at school.

The study also found that ethnicity also had an impact on self-reported HWWS, with this behavior less common among highlanders or Xa Phó (Tables 3 and 6). In fact, in the highland communities where the Xa Phó ethnic group lives, the parents of the schoolchildren often go far away from home for farming activities, work long hours, and have limited opportunities to remind their children about hygiene practices (31, 32). Thus, not only ethnicity but also economic conditions have a negative impact on the HWWS compliance of schoolchildren in the multiethnic population.

The study also found that physical environment at school was poor, while all homes visited had water and soap. Similarly, availability of water and soap had a great influence on HWWS compliance of schoolchildren, as found in previous studies (22, 26). In addition, the study also found that HWWS was not a part of school and home schedule, where nobody reminded schoolchildren to HWWS, such as requesting them to HWWS before eating or cooking. It might reflect failure of adults (teachers and parents) to reinforce HW behavior. The findings implied that there was a need to prioritize HWWS among schoolchildren in the multiethnic population to reduce the high-burden of hygiene-related diseases among them. An intervention can be made in school settings, through a provision of soap and water facilities at a low-cost, with new HWWS lessons placed in the curriculum for grade 1. In addition, there should be motivation for schoolchildren to HWWS at home, where soap and water are available, by reminding them 'clean hand to be a good child' or through peer-to-peer education.

Some authors argued that studies on hygiene using only questionnaire-based surveys often have limited value (5, 33). However, others found that hygiene studies of smaller schoolchildren can be done with questionnaires because students express their practice truthfully and not provide desired answers or answers influenced by adults (34). Children are often a more reliable source of information than adults (35) as they are not aware of what is socially desirable (21). Furthermore, some authors have argued that hygienic behaviour could be less varied at a population level than at an individual level (36, 37). Therefore, this study applied triangulation to describe the HWWS compliance of schoolchildren, including face-to-face interviews, demonstration protocol, and observation. The results from these methods could complement each other, for example, using questionnaire to describe HWWS behavior, demonstration for exploring HWWS skills, and observation of physical environment and support at school and at home. The consistency of the results of HWWS before eating between Questionnaire and Observation recommend using the questionnaire to explore the hygiene behaviours of schoolchildren, particularly for 4th graders (Questionnaire: 57%; Observation: 50%). However, the HWWS of two key players, teachers and parents, might have a great influence in shaping the hygiene behavior of schoolchildren, and it should be further studied.

In sum, the study demonstrated the poor level of HWWS among schoolchildren in the multiethnic population of rural Vietnam, which needs more attention from policy makers. Education on handwashing needs to be prioritized to multiethnic children at school.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Danish International Development Assistance (Danida) through the project, SANIVAT 'Water supply, sanitation, hygiene promotion and health in Vietnam' (www. sanivat.com.vn; 104.DAN.8.L.711). Thanks are due to the staff at the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, local partners at the provincial center for preventive medicine, and all the research assistants for their support and work. Finally, we thank all schoolchildren who participated in this research.

Conflict of interest and Funding

The authors have not received any funding or benefits from industry or elsewhere to conduct this study.

References

- Bowen A, Ma H, Ou J, Billhimer W, Long T, Mintz E, et al. A cluster-randomized controlled trial evaluating the effect of a handwashing-promotion program in Chinese primary schools. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007; 76: 1166–73.
- 2. IngeNandrup-Bus R. Mandatory handwashing in elementary schools reduces absenteeism due to infectious illness among

pupils: a pilot intervention study. Am J Infect Control 2009; 37: 820–6.

- O'reilly CE, Freeman MC, Ravani M, Migele J, Mwaki A, Ayalo M, et al. The impact of a school-based safe water and hygiene programme on knowledge and practices of students and their parents: Nyanza Province, western Kenya, 2006. Epidemiol Infect 2008; 136: 80–91.
- 4. Curtis V. Planned, motivated and habitual hygiene behaviour: an eleven country review. Health Educ Res 2009; 24: 655–73.
- Curtis V, Caincross S. Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the community: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis 2003; 3: 275–81.
- Curtis V, Schmidt W, Luby S, Florez R, Toure O, Biran A. Hygiene: new hopes, new horizons. Lancet Infect Dis 2011; 11: 312–21.
- Dongre AR, Deshmukh PR, Garg BS. The impact of school health education programme on personal hygiene and related morbidities in tribal school children of Wardha district. Indian J Community Med 2006; 31: 4–6.
- Fewtrell L, Kaufmann RB, Kay D, Enanoria W, Haller L, Colford J. Water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions to reduce diarrhoea in less developed countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2005; 5: 42–52.
- Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Feikin DR, Painter J, Ward B, Altaf A, et al. Effect of handwashing on child health: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005; 366: 225–33.
- Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Painter J, Altaf A, Billhimer WL, Hoekstra RM. Effect of intensive handwashing promotion on childhood Diarrhea in high-risk communities in Pakistan: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004; 291: 2547–54.
- Prüss-Üstün A, Bos R, Gore F, Bartram J. Safer water, better health, costs, benefits and sustainability of interventions to protect and promote health. World Helath Organization; 2008. p. 60.
- 12. IRC, UNICEF. Towards effective programming for WASH in schools: a manual on scaling up programmes for water, sanitation and hygiene in schools. Delft, The Netherlands: IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre; 2007.
- UNICEF. Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) in schools: developments from a global perspective. Presentation from the 2009 World Water Week in Stockholm. 2009: Stockholm. p. 23.
- Vindigni SM, Riley PL, Jhung M. Systematic review: handwashing behaviour in low- to middle-income countries: outcome measures and behaviour maintenance. Trop Med Int Health 2011; 16: 466–77.
- Blanton E, Ombeki S, Oluoch GO, Mwaki A, Wannemuehler K, Quick R. Evaluation of the role of school children in the promotion of point-of-use water treatment and handwashing in schools and households—Nyanza Province, Western Kenya, 2007. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2010; 82: 664–71.
- Dongre AR, Deshmukh PR, Boratne AV, Thaware P, Garg BS. An approach to hygiene education among rural Indian school going children. Online J Health Allied Sci 2007; 6: 1–6.
- 17. Luby SP, Halder AK, Huda T, Unicomb L, Johnston RB. The effect of handwashing at recommended times with water alone and with soap on child Diarrhea in Rural Bangladesh: an observational study. PLoS Med 2011; 8: 1–12.
- Onyango-Oumaa W, Aagaard-Hansenb J, Jensenc BB. The potential of schoolchildren as health change agents in rural western Kenya. Soc Sci Med 2005; 61: 1711–22.
- Vivas AP, Gelaye B, Aboset N, Kumie A, Berhane Y, Williams MA. Knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) of hygiene among school children in Angolela, Ethiopia. J Prev Med Hyg 2010; 51: 73–9.
- 20. En WL, Gan GL. Factors associated with use of improved water sources and sanitation among rural primary school children in

Pursat Province, Cambodia. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2011; 42: 1022-31.

- 21. Sidibe MA. Can hygiene be cool and fun? Understanding school children's motivations to use their school toilets and wash their hands with soap in Dakar, Senegal in The Faculty of Medicine. London: The University of London; 2007. p. 238.
- 22. Lopez-Quintero C, Freeman P, Neumark Y. Hand washing among school children in Bogota, Colombia. Am J Public Health 2008: 99: 94-101.
- 23. Nguyen NK. The development of an entertainment education program to promote handwashing with soap among primary school children in Vietnam. The Vietnam Handwashing Initiative, Water and Sanitation Program (WSP), World Bank; 2010
- 24. Vietnam Administration of Preventive Medicine, M.o.H, UNICEF, WSRC. Environmental sanitation at schools and some public places in rural Vietnam. Hanoi: Ministry of Health; 2007, p. 115.
- 25. Cogswell L, Thu LTA. Enabling environment assessment and baseline for scaling up handwashing programs. Vietnam: Water and Sanitation Program; 2008.
- 26. UNICEF, IRC. School sanitation and hygiene education results from the assessment of 6-country pilot project. In: Bolt E, Shordt K, Krukkert I, eds. The Netherlands: UNICEF and IRC; 2006, p. 48.
- 27. Rheinländer T. The social reality of hygiene and sanitation-Understanding community responses to government promotional strategies among ethnic minorities of northern Vietnam. Department of International Health, Immunology and Microbiology. PhD thesis. Copenhagen: University of Copenhagen; 2011, p. 180.
- 28. Ministry of Education and Training. Handwashing with soap steps guide. Hanoi: Ministry of Education and Training; 2009.
- 29. Xuan LTT, Hoat LN, Rheinländer T, Dalsgaard A, Konradsen F. Sanitation behavior among schoolchildren in a multi-ethnic area of Northern rural Vietnam. BMC Public Health 2011; 12: 140. Doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-140.

- 30. StataCorp. Statistical Software: Release 10.0. 2011: College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.
- 31. Rheinländer T, Xuan LTT, Hoat LN, Dalsgaard A, Konradsen F. Hygiene and sanitation promotion strategies among ethnic minority communities in Northern Vietnam; a stakeholder analysis. Health Policy Plan 2012; 1-13. Doi: 10.1093/heapol/ czr082.
- 32. Rheinländer T, Samuelsen H, Dalsgaard A, Konradsen F. Hygiene and sanitation among ethnic minorities in Northern Vietnam: Does government promotion match community priorities? Soc Sci Med 2010; 71: 994-1001.
- 33. Manun'Ebo M, Cousens S, Haggerty P, Kalengaie M, Ashworth A, Kirkwood B. Measuring hygiene practices: a comparison of questionnaires with direct observations in rural Zaïre. Trop Med Int Health 1997; 2: 1015-21.
- 34. UNICEF, IRC. A manual on school sanitation and hygiene. The Netherlands: UNICEF and IRC; 1998.
- 35. IRC. Sustainability of hygiene behaviour and the effectiveness of change interventions: Lessons learned on research methodologies and research implementation from a multi-country research study. In: Cairncross EBAS, ed. Delft, The Netherlands: IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre; 2004, p. 71.
- 36. Cousens S, Kanki B, Toure S, Diallo I, Curtis V. Reactivity and repeatability of hygiene behaviour: structured observations from Burkina Faso. Soc Sci Med 1994; 43: 1299-308.
- 37. Curtis V, Cousens S, Mertens T, Traore E, Kanki B, Diallo I. Structured observations of hygiene behaviours in Burkina Faso: validity, variability, and utility. Bull World Health Organ 1993; 71: 23–32.

*Le Thi Thanh Xuan

Department of Environmental Health Institute for Preventive Medicine and Public Health Hanoi Medical University, No 1 Ton That Tung, Dong Da Hanoi, Vietnam

Email: lethithanhxuan@hmu.edu.vn