# The Journal of Nutrition **Nutritional Epidemiology**



# Human Milk Microbial Community Structure Is Relatively Stable and Related to Variations in Macronutrient and Micronutrient Intakes in Healthy Lactating Women

Janet E Williams,<sup>1,2</sup> Janae M Carrothers,<sup>4</sup> Kimberly A Lackey,<sup>4</sup> Nicola F Beatty,<sup>1</sup> Mara A York,<sup>4</sup> Sarah L Brooker,<sup>1,2</sup> Bahman Shafii,<sup>3</sup> William J Price,<sup>3</sup> Matthew L Settles,<sup>6</sup> Mark A McGuire,<sup>1</sup> and Michelle K McGuire<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Program in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, and <sup>3</sup>Statistical Programs, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID; <sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences and <sup>5</sup>Paul G. Allen School of Global Animal Health, Washington State University, Pullman, WA; and <sup>6</sup>Bioinformatics Core Facility in the Genome Center, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA

#### Abstract

**Background:** The human milk microbiome has been somewhat characterized, but little is known about changes over time and relations with maternal factors such as nutrient intake.

**Objective:** We sought to characterize the human milk microbiome and described associations with maternal nutrient intake, time postpartum, delivery mode, and body mass index (BMI; in kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

**Methods:** Milk samples (n = 104) and 24-h diet recalls were collected 9 times from 21 healthy lactating women from day 2 to 6 mo postpartum. Women were classified by BMI as healthy weight (<25) or overweight or obese ( $\geq$ 25). Bacterial taxa were characterized with the use of the high-throughput sequencing of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene.

**Results**: The milk microbiome was relatively constant over time, although there were small changes in some of the lesserabundant genera. Relative abundances of several taxa were associated with BMI, delivery mode, and infant sex. For instance, overweight and obese mothers produced milk with a higher relative abundance of *Granulicatella* than did healthyweight women (1.8%  $\pm$  0.6% compared with 0.4%  $\pm$  0.2%, respectively; P < 0.05). Relative abundances of several bacterial taxa were also associated with variations in maternal dietary intake. For example, intakes of saturated fatty acids ( $r_s = -0.59$ ; P = 0.005) and monounsaturated fatty acids ( $r_s = -0.46$ ; P = 0.036) were inversely associated with the relative abundance of *Corynebacterium*; total carbohydrates ( $r_s = -0.54$ ; P = 0.011), disaccharides ( $r_s = -0.47$ ; P = 0.031), and lactose ( $r_s = -0.51$ ; P = 0.018) were negatively associated with Firmicutes; and protein consumption was positively correlated with the relative abundance of *Gemella* ( $r_s = 0.46$ ; P = 0.037).

**Conclusions:** Factors associated with variations in the human milk microbiome are complex and may include maternal nutrient intake, maternal BMI, delivery mode, and infant sex. Future studies designed to investigate the relation between maternal nutrient intake and the milk microbiome should strive to also evaluate dietary supplement usage and analyze the collected milk for its nutrient content. *J Nutr* 2017;147:1739–48.

Keywords: milk, human, microbiome, microbiota, nutrients, nutrition, BMI

# Introduction

Human milk contains lipids, simple sugars (mainly lactose), oligosaccharides, proteins, and many other biologically active factors such as immune cells and hormones. It also contains a diverse community of bacteria (1). Culture-dependent methods have long shown the presence of various bacterial populations, such as *Staphylococcus* spp. (2) and *Lactobacillus* spp. (3, 4), but culture-independent methods have suggested a more complex bacterial community (5–7). Differences in the proportions of various genera found in milk have been reported among studies. At the phylum level, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria typically dominate (8, 9). At the genus level, *Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Corynebacterium, Pseudomonas, Propionibacterium*, and *Bifidobacterium* are often reported as having greater relative abundances than other genera (6, 7, 9, 10).

Supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, National Science Foundation grant IOS 1344288, and NIH grant P30 GM103324. This is an open access article distributed under the CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Author disclosures: JEW, JMC, KAL, NFB, MAY, SLB, BS, WJP, MLS, MAM, and MKM, no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental Figures 1–3 and Supplemental Tables 1 and 2 are available from the "Online Supporting Material" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at http://jn.nutrition.org.

Address correspondence to JEW (e-mail: janetw@uidaho.edu) or MKM (e-mail: smcguire@wsu.edu).

The composition of human milk bacteria may be influenced by bacteria from the infant's mouth (11, 12), skin, and the maternal gastrointestinal tract. Results from several studies (13– 17) have provided evidence for the transfer of bacteria from the maternal gastrointestinal tract to the mammary gland through an enteromammary pathway, first hypothesized by Martín et al. (18). Thus, maternal gastrointestinal bacteria may become part of the mammary or milk microbiome, or both, and factors such as maternal nutrient intake—which is thought to directly influence the maternal gastrointestinal bacterial community might also indirectly affect the milk microbiome.

The relation between maternal nutrient intake and gastrointestinal bacterial communities was investigated recently by Carrothers et al. (19), who provided initial evidence for associations between myriad macro- and micronutrients and maternal fecal microbial community structure during lactation. In addition, because maternal diet influences the concentration of some substances (e.g., FAs) in milk (20–23), maternal nutrient intake may indirectly help shape the bacterial community membership and structure in milk simply because of its impact on milk nutrient content. Evidence for this was provided by Kumar et al. (9), who documented multiple associations between milk FA profiles and variations in the milk microbiome. Maternal dietary intake, however, was not documented in this study.

This study was designed primarily to investigate the association between nutrient intake and milk microbiome across the first 6 mo postpartum in healthy breastfeeding women. We hypothesized that we would find correlations similar to those (between maternal dietary intake and maternal fecal microbiome) found previously by Carrothers et al. (19), although we expected the relations to be less consistent and strong. We also expected to find relations similar to those (between milk FAs and milk microbiota) described by Kumar et al. (9). Second, we investigated to what extent other variables (e.g., time postpartum, delivery mode, infant sex, maternal BMI) may also be related to variations in the human milk microbiome.

## Methods

Subjects and study design. This was a prospective longitudinal investigation of 21 self-reported healthy breastfeeding women. Written informed consent was obtained in accordance with procedures approved by the Washington State University and University of Idaho institutional review boards. Samples and data were collected on days 2, 5, and 10 ( $\pm$ 1 d) and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 mo ( $\pm$ 1 d) postpartum. BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was considered in 2 ways: prepregnancy (as reported by each subject at enrollment) and current (as measured at each sampling period). Each woman was classified as either healthy weight (<25), or overweight or obese ( $\geq$ 25).

Sample collection. Milk samples were collected at each time point either at the subject's home, a local hospital, Washington State University, or the University of Idaho. Women were asked to provide a full expression from one breast with the use of an Ameda-Egnell Elite pump and single-use sterile collection kit (Ameda HygieniKit). Fresh milk samples were portioned appropriately and stored immediately at  $-80^{\circ}$ C or kept on ice and transported to the university, where they were portioned appropriately and frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C within 1 h of when the samples were collected.

*Maternal diet records.* A comprehensive quantitative 24-h dietary recall was completed for each subject at each time point. All foods and beverages (but not dietary supplements) were recorded and included in the analysis. Diet records were entered into Genesis R&D version 7.6 (ESHA Research), and energy, macronutrient intakes, and selected micronutrient intakes were estimated.

Extraction and amplification of bacterial DNA. Milk samples (0.5-10 mL) were thawed on ice and centrifuged at  $13,000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C. The fat layer was carefully removed, and the supernatant was discarded. The remaining cell pellet was resuspended in 0.5 mL TE50 (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, pH 8). Samples were subjected to enzymatic lysis by adding 100 µL of a mixture containing 50 µL lysozymes (10 g/L in nuclease-free water) (Sigma-Aldrich), 6 µL mutanolysin (25 KU/mL in nuclease-free water) (Sigma-Aldrich), 3 µL lysostaphin (4000 U/mL in 20 mM sodium acetate) (Sigma-Aldrich), and 41 µL TE50 for 1 h at 37°C and then physical disruption by bead beating with 0.1-mm zirconia/silica beads (BioSpec Products) for 1 min on setting 5 with the use of a FastPrep FP120 (Qbiogene). DNA was extracted with the use of the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. TE50 (0.5 mL) was used as a negative control. Extracted DNA was eluted in 25 µL nuclease-free water and stored at -80°C until further amplification. A dual-barcoded 2-step PCR was conducted to amplify the V1-V3 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene; a 7-fold degenerate forward primer targeting position 27 and a reverse primer targeting position 534 (positions numbered according to the Escherichia coli rRNA) were used (Supplemental Table 1).

DNA was amplified in a dedicated PCR hood. The first PCR mixture contained 5–10  $\mu$ L extracted DNA, 0.05  $\mu$ M target-specific primers (Integrated DNA Technologies), 1 × PCR buffer (Life Technologies), 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Life Technologies), 0.24 g BSA/L (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2 mM deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (Life Technologies), 0.25% DMSO, and 0.05 U AmpliTaq DNA 360 polymerase/ $\mu$ L (Life Technologies) in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ L. PCR was conducted with the use of either an Applied Biosystems 2720, Veriti, or ProFlex model thermocycler under the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, then 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min for 20 cycles with a 0.5°C stepdown in the annealing temperature each cycle, then 95°C for 20 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min for 20 cycles, and then a final extension step of 72°C for 5 min. Samples were held at 4°C in the thermocycler until being stored at -20°C.

Products from the first PCR were evaluated for quality as described previously (19). PCR products that were deemed high quality were diluted 1:14 with nuclease-free water, and 2-4 µL were subjected to a second round of PCR in a reaction mix containing 75-nM primers with dual-index barcodes and Illumina sequencing adapters (University of Idaho IBEST Genomics Resources Core Facility), 1 × PCR buffer, 4.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.6 g BSA/L, 0.2 mM deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate, and 0.05 U AmpliTaq DNA 360 polymerase/µL in a total volume of 20 µL. PCR was conducted with the use of an Applied Biosystems 2720 thermocycler under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min, then 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 90 s for 20 cycles with a 0.5°C stepdown in the annealing temperature each cycle, then 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 90 s for 10 cycles, and then a final extension step of 72°C for 5 min. Samples were held at 4°C in the thermocycler until being stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The quality of the second PCR amplicons was evaluated by diluting the second PCR products in a 1:5 ratio with QX DNA Dilution Buffer (Qiagen) and with the use of a QIAxcel DNA screening cartridge (Qiagen). DNA was quantified with the use of the Quant-iT Picogreen dsDNA Assay kit (Invitrogen).

An appropriate volume of each amplicon was pooled to create a composite sample with an equal mass of each sample (50 ng DNA). Amplicon pools were size-selected with the use of AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter) and quantified with the use of the KAPA Biosciences Illumina library quantification kit and Applied Biosystems StepOne Plus real-time PCR system. Sequences were obtained with the use of an Illumina MiSeq v3 paired-end 300-bp protocol for 600 cycles.

*Raw sequence analysis.* DNA sequence reads were demultiplexed and classified with the use of the custom python application dbcAmplicons (https://github.com/msettles/dbcAmplicons) to identify and assign reads by both expected barcode and primer sequences. During preprocessing, barcodes were allowed to have  $\leq 1$  mismatch (hamming distance), and primers were allowed to have  $\leq 4$  mismatches (Levenshtein distance) as long as the final 4 bases of the primer perfectly matched the target sequence. Reads were then trimmed of their primer sequence and merged

into a single amplicon sequence with the use of a modified version of FLASH (https://github.com/dstreett/FLASH2) (24). The Ribosomal Database Project Bayesian classifier (25) was used to assign sequences to phylotypes. Reads were assigned to the first Ribosomal Database Project taxonomic level with a bootstrap score  $\geq$ 50.

Longitudinal characterization of bacterial community composition. Sequence counts were converted to relative abundance values and visualized with the use of area graphs that display the relative abundances of the most abundant taxa over time, with each color representing a different bacterial taxon. A generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) (26) assuming a  $\beta$  distribution and logit link was used to assess the effect of time on relative proportions of the 10 most abundant taxa. Nonmetric dimensional scaling and principal component analysis (PCA) were conducted to examine patterns among the similarities and variations, respectively, among complex bacterial community structures and other factors such as time, dietary intake of various nutrients, and birth mode.

Spearman rank-order correlation analysis. Heat maps of Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients were constructed with the use of the vegan and gplots packages in R version 3.2.2 (27). These heat maps provide a 2-dimensional representation of the strength and direction of the correlations, with rectangles shaded in blue representing negative correlations and those shaded in red representing positive correlations; darker shades represent stronger associations than lighter shades. To help control for multiple comparisons, associations were deemed significant if  $P \le 0.01$  and the Spearman sample correlation coefficient  $(r_s)$  was  $\leq -0.3$  or  $\geq 0.3$ ; however, because of the exploratory nature of this analysis, we also denoted weaker trends at  $P \leq 0.05$  and similar correlation coefficients. Correlations between dietary intake variables and bacterial abundances were examined with the use of both nutrient intake and bacterial abundance responses at each sampling time point and the means of the nutrient intake and bacterial abundance variables across all time points.

Additional inferential statistics. Additional analyses conducted to relate selected metadata to variations in complex microbial community structures were assessed with the use of GLMMs. GLMMs assumed a  $\beta$  response distribution for the relative abundance response, with participant as a random effect and compound symmetric variance-covariance error structure. The dependent variables included the proportions of the 4 most abundant phyla (Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria) and the most abundant genera (*Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Gemella, Veillonella, Rothia, Lactobacillus, Propionibacterium, Corynebacterium, Granulicatella, Pseudomonas, Prevotella, Actinomyces, Clostridium sensu stricto, Neisseria, Bifidobacterium, and Haemophilus). Independent variables were categorical metadata such as subject, parity, and birth mode. GLMM statistical analyses were carried out with the use of SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute). P < 0.05 was considered significant.* 

## Results

Subject description, sample disposition, and dietary intake. Information related to basic anthropometrics and reproductive history for all 21 women at enrollment has been described previously (19). Briefly, women were  $30 \pm 4$  y, weighed  $64 \pm 7$  kg before pregnancy, and had a mean parity of  $1.8 \pm 1$  children (means  $\pm$  SDs).

Although milk samples were collected from most women at each time point, some women did not provide samples at certain time points, and some milk samples did not yield sufficient PCR amplicon products. In total, this resulted in 165 milk samples for which we could obtain sequencing data. In addition, because our goal was to characterize bacterial communities of milk produced by healthy women and their infants, data from 22 of the milk samples were excluded because the mother reported that she or her infant had taken antibiotics during the time since the previous sample was collected.

A total of 1,807,857 sequences were obtained following processing with a range of 19–30,900 sequences/sample. Of the 165 samples sequenced, 133 yielded >5000 sequences (range: 5180–30,900; mean  $\pm$  SEM: 12,897  $\pm$  505). After removing samples with low sequencing read counts (<5000 reads) and those associated with antibiotic use, a total of 104 milk samples were used: 5, 12, 9, 17, 15, 14, 10, 10, and 12 samples at 2, 5, and 10 d and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 mo, respectively.

Mean dietary energy, macronutrient, and selected micronutrient intakes have also been described previously (19). In general, women consumed energy and nutrients at levels that would be expected for well-nourished lactating women (28).

Overall milk microbiome. Relative proportions of the 4 most abundant phyla and 9 most abundant genera over all time points are found in Table 1. Area charts showing relative abundance of the 10 most abundant bacterial groups at each time point for phylum (12 total phyla) and genus levels (16 total genera) are shown in Figure 1; an enlarged view of the taxa without the dominant phyla and genera are also illustrated in this figure. In general, Firmicutes were predominant across all time points, followed by Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes. At the genus level, Streptococcus and Staphylococcus were predominant. Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, and Propionibacterium were found in all samples, whereas Pseudomonas, Veillonella, Pilibacter, Gemella, Bacteroides, Prevotella, and Corynebacterium were found in  $\geq 90\%$  of the samples. Relative abundances of the most abundant bacterial taxa in each order, class, and family are provided in Supplemental Table 2.

Associations between subject, time postpartum, age, parity, BMI, delivery mode, infant sex, and milk microbiome. There was an effect of subject (P < 0.05) on the relative abundances of the 10 most abundant bacterial groups across the taxonomic levels, suggesting differences among women. Relations between time postpartum and relative abundances of the most abundant bacterial genera in milk are shown in Table 2. There was an effect of time on relative abundances of *Veillonella*, *Propionibacterium*, *Granulicatella*, and *Prevotella*. *Veillonella* 

**TABLE 1** Bacterial phyla and genera in human milk samples

 produced by 21 healthy women from day 2 to 6 mo postpartum<sup>1</sup>

Taxonomic level	Relative abundance	Range
Phylum, %		
Firmicutes	85.1 ± 1.2	22.7-97.5
Actinobacteria	$5.9 \pm 0.9$	0.1-71.2
Proteobacteria	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	0.1-21.3
Bacteroidetes	$1.3 \pm 0.3$	0.1-26.7
Genus, %		
Streptococcus	45.2 ± 2.6	0.2-88.4
Staphylococcus	25.3 ± 2.6	0.1-89.1
Gemella	$3.6 \pm 0.8$	0.0-51.6
Veillonella	$2.5 \pm 0.4$	0.0-17.4
Rothia	$2.4 \pm 0.4$	0.0-23.1
Lactobacillus	1.4 ± 0.6	0.0-40.7
Propionibacterium	1.0 ± 0.2	0.0-11.9
Corynebacterium	1.0 ± 0.3	0.0-18.2
Pseudomona	0.6 ± 0.1	0.0-4.8

<sup>1</sup> All values are means  $\pm$  SEMs unless otherwise indicated.

FIGURE 1 Relative abundances of the most prevalent bacterial taxa in human milk across time at the phylum level (A), enlarged view of the relative abundances of the most abundant phyla with Firmicutes omitted (B), relative abundances of the most prevalent genera across time (C), and enlarged view of the relative abundances of the most abundant genera with Streptococcus and Staphylococcus omitted (D). Colors for the different taxa are in the same order on the graph as on the key beginning at the bottom with Firmicutes for phyla and Streptococcus for genera. Values represent means: day 2 (n = 5), day 5 (n = 12), day 10 (n = 9), 1 mo (n = 17), 2 mo (n = 15), 3 mo (n = 14), 4 mo (n = 10), 5 mo (n = 10), and 6 mo (n = 12).



Time Postpartum

100

increased between 4 and 6 mo (P = 0.01). and Granulicatella increased between 5 and 6 mo (P = 0.01). There was no effect of time postpartum on the relative abundance of any bacterial phylum.

We found no association of mother's age or parity with relative abundances of the overall 10 most abundant genera or the 4 most abundant phyla. There was also no association between prepregnancy BMI group, delivery mode, or infant sex and relative abundances of the most abundant bacterial phyla. However, milk produced by overweight and obese women had higher relative abundances of Granulicatella than that produced by healthy-weight women (1.8%  $\pm$  0.6% compared with 0.4%  $\pm$ 0.2%, respectively; P < 0.05). There was a trend for higher Propionibacterium in milk from mothers who delivered via cesarean section than those delivering vaginally  $(1.9\% \pm 0.7\%)$ compared with 0.8%  $\pm$  0.2%, respectively; P = 0.066). Milk produced by mothers of male infants had higher Streptococcus and lower Staphylococcus than milk produced by mothers of female infants (51.7%  $\pm$  4.2% compared with 36.0%  $\pm$  5.6% and  $19.2\% \pm 3.7\%$  compared with  $34.7\% \pm 6.1\%$ , respectively; P < 0.05).

The PCA of the relative proportions of the overall 10 most abundant genera in milk indicated that the first-component axis accounted for 77.8% of the variations and that Staphylococcus and Streptococcus were the primary contributors to this axis. A visual examination of the PCA ordination plots (data not

shown) suggested no obvious clustering patterns by time, prepregnancy BMI, infant sex, delivery mode, subject, or parity. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling analyses (data not shown) also indicated little association with these variables.

Associations between diet and relative abundances of single-bacterial taxa. As described previously, we evaluated relations between nutrient intake and the milk microbiome with the use of nutrient intake and milk microbiome data at each time point (n = 104) and mean dietary and relative microbial abundance values across all time points (n = 21). When using the former approach, very few relations were apparent. However, substantially more relations were discovered when mean values were used. Heat maps illustrating these correlations are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and details of some of these associations are summarized in Table 3. Current BMI tended to be negatively correlated with *Bacteroides* ( $r_s = -0.46$ ; P = 0.037), and energy consumption was positively associated with Gemella (Firmicutes phylum:  $r_s = 0.58$ ; P = 0.006). In general, higher SFA and MUFA intakes were related to lower Coryne*bacterium* ( $r_s = -0.59$  and -0.46, respectively; P = 0.005 and 0.036, respectively). Total carbohydrates, total disaccharides, and lactose intakes were inversely associated with Firmicutes  $(r_s = -0.54, -0.47, \text{ and } -0.51, \text{ respectively}; P = 0.011, 0.031,$ and 0.018, respectively). Rothia (Actinobacteria phylum) tended to be highest in milk produced by women who consumed the most

**TABLE 2** Top identified and unclassified genera in milk produced by 21 healthy women in the first 6 mo postpartum<sup>1</sup>

	Relative abundance, %								
Genus	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	1 mo	2 mo	3 mo	4 mo	5 mo	6 mo
Samples, n	5	12	9	17	15	14	10	10	12
Streptococcus	$31.5 \pm 5.3$	$36.0\pm6.8$	$40.3 \pm 7.3$	43.2 ± 7.4	$50.5 \pm 6.5$	$56.0 \pm 8.2$	$42.6 \pm 10.7$	$54.7 \pm 8.4$	$41.3\pm6.9$
Staphylococcus	$33.0 \pm 10.7$	$35.7 \pm 6.4$	$23.1 \pm 8.4$	$28.9 \pm 6.7$	$17.9 \pm 5.8$	$22.6 \pm 7.6$	37.1 ± 12.3	$13.3 \pm 6.8$	$20.6 \pm 7.1$
Gemella	$12.6 \pm 6.2$	$11.0 \pm 4.4$	$5.0\pm2.3$	$4.3\pm1.9$	$1.3 \pm 0.7$	$1.0\pm0.3$	$0.7~\pm~0.3$	$0.9\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.3$
Veillonella	$0.4~\pm~0.2^{b}$	$1.8 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$2.4 \pm 1.5^{b}$	$1.9 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$2.0 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$1.8~\pm~0.8^{b}$	$1.9 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$3.7 \pm 1.4^{a,b}$	$6.1 \pm 1.6^{a}$
Rothia	1.1 ± 1.1	$1.3 \pm 1.1$	$3.5 \pm 2.1$	$1.6 \pm 1.3$	2.3 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 1.1	$3.4 \pm 1.9$	$3.5 \pm 1.4$	$2.6\pm0.6$
Lactobacillus	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.5 \pm 2.6$	$3.5 \pm 2.1$	1.7 ± 1.1	$0.6~\pm~0.6$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$
Propionibacterium	$2.0 \pm 1.4^{a,b}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$2.7 \pm 0.8^{a}$	$0.8\pm0.3^{c,d}$	$1.3 \pm 0.6^{b,c}$	$1.2 \pm 0.8^{b-d}$	$0.3~\pm~0.2^d$	$0.4 \pm 0.2^{c,d}$	$0.6\pm0.4^{b-d}$
Corynebacterium	$0.5\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.9$	$1.7 \pm 1.2$	$1.5 \pm 1.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.7~\pm~0.5$	$2.0\pm1.3$
Granulicatella	$0.3 \pm 0.3^{b,c}$	$0.1 \pm 0.1^{b,c}$	$0.4 \pm 0.2^{b,c}$	$0.0\pm0.0^{c}$	$0.0\pm0.0^{c}$	$0.1 \pm 0.1^{c}$	$0.8 \pm 0.7^{b,c}$	$1.6 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$2.3\pm0.7^a$
Pseudomonas	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.3 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.9\pm0.4^a$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$1.0  \pm  0.2^{a}$	$0.3 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.6 \pm 0.2^{a,b}$	$1.0 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$
Prevotella	$1.6 \pm 1.6^{a}$	$0.0\pm0.0^d$	$0.1 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$1.0 \pm 0.9^{b}$	$0.4  \pm  0.2^{c,d}$	$0.4\pm0.3^{b-d}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{c,d}$	$0.5\pm0.3^{b,c}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{c,d}$
Actinomyces	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1.5 \pm 1.5$	$0.6~\pm~0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.5$
Clostridium sensu stricto <sup>2</sup>	$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.4$	$0.6~\pm~0.3$	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
Neisseria <sup>2</sup>	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$2.3 \pm 1.6$
Bifidobacterium <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$1.5 \pm 1.5$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$
Haemophilus <sup>2</sup>	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.1$
Other identified	$18.5 \pm 3.3^{\rm b,c}$	$12.2\pm0.9^{c}$	$20.2 \pm 5.0^{b,c}$	$13.7 \pm 2.4^{b,c}$	$19.6 \pm 2.3^{a,b}$	$12.5 \pm 1.4^{b,c}$	$12.5 \pm 1.8^{\rm b,c}$	$21.3 \pm 4.7^{a,b}$	$25.8\pm5.0^a$
Unclassified	$14.5 \pm 3.1$	$9.5\pm0.7$	13.2 ± 3.3	$9.1\pm0.9$	13.6 ± 1.8	9.7 ± 1.1	9.6 ± 1.4	$15.3\pm4.6$	$14.2 \pm 2.3$

<sup>1</sup> All values are means  $\pm$  SEMs (*n* = 104) unless otherwise indicated. Values within a row not sharing a common superscript differ, *P* < 0.05. Analyses were conducted with the use of a generalized linear mixed model assuming a  $\beta$  distribution and logit link.

<sup>2</sup> Estimated genera means are shown; however, no inferential analyses were carried out because of sparse response.

insoluble fiber compared with those consuming the least insoluble fiber ( $r_s = 0.48$ ; P = 0.027), and total protein intake tended to be positively correlated with *Gemella* ( $r_s = 0.46$ ; P = 0.037). In general, greater consumption of the essential amino acids was related to an increased abundance of Proteobacteria.

We also observed multiple relations between micronutrient consumption and milk microbiome patterns. For instance, pantothenic acid intake tended to be negatively related to *Streptococcus* ( $r_s = -0.44$ ; P = 0.043). Riboflavin and calcium were both positively associated with *Veillonella* ( $r_s = 0.52$  and 0.58, respectively; P = 0.016 and 0.006, respectively). *Lactobacillus* also tended ( $P \le 0.05$ ) to be negatively related to several micronutrients, such as thiamin ( $r_s = -0.51$ ), niacin ( $r_s = -0.51$ ), folate ( $r_s = -0.54$ ), vitamin B-6 ( $r_s = -0.48$ ), and chromium ( $r_s = -0.49$ ). Heat maps illustrating correlations between maternal nutrient intake and milk microbiome at the class, order, and family levels can be found in **Supplemental Figures 1–3**.

#### Discussion

Results from this study reveal relatively stable microbial communities within milk produced by individual women, although differences clearly exist among women. A few bacterial populations changed over time; for instance, relative amounts of *Veillonella* increased between 4 and 6 mo, and *Granulicatella* increased between 5 and 6 mo. It is worth noting, however, that the overall 10 most abundant genera throughout the 6-mo study period did not always represent the top 10 most abundant genera at each time point, and an inspection of these individual genera indicated some minor changes over time. For this reason, future studies should more closely investigate the relative abundances of the rarer taxa.

Our data are generally similar to those previously published by others. For example, the microbial composition of colostrum we collected on day 2 postpartum was similar to that described by Obermajer et al. (29). Unlike what was reported by Cabrera-Rubio et al. (30), however, Weisella and Leuconostoc were only present in very low relative abundances (0.01% and 0.03%, respectively) in one colostrum sample we collected. In addition, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus, and Enterobacter were not identified in any of our day 2 samples, and clear differences were seen between the US colostrum reported herein and that reported previously for Italian women (10). Our findings were also somewhat similar to those of Murphy et al. (31), with some notable differences, particularly at the genus level. It is unclear why our findings differed somewhat from those of others, but variations in the collection or analytical methods, or both, might have played an important role. In addition, low biomass (particularly in colostrum samples) could have limited our ability to accurately describe the scope of bacterial taxa in some samples. It is also entirely possible that genuine differences exist among populations, representing what might be an example of ecohomeorhesis, which is defined as a shift in what would be considered a "normal" homeostatic range or profile to support health in a particular ecologic or behavioral niche (32).

To our knowledge, this is the first study that describes the relations between maternal nutrient intake and the bacterial communities in women's milk. Our data suggest that maternal diet may play a key role in determining the bacterial community in milk. Diet may exert this influence through a variety of modes, such as by altering the composition of milk components or dictating the representation of specific bacterial groups in the maternal gastrointestinal tract.

Whereas there is almost no information about the relation between maternal diet and the milk microbiome, several studies have linked diet to alterations in the gastrointestinal microbiome (33), and we recently provided evidence of associations of the maternal fecal microbiome and dietary intake by lactating women (19). Although we had hypothesized that we would find similar associations between the maternal diet and the milk microbiome, this was generally not the case—largely because the



**FIGURE 2** Heat maps of Spearman rank-order correlations between the relative abundance of the most abundant milk microbes at the phylum level and BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>), energy intake, macronutrient distribution, and carbohydrate intakes (A); essential amino acid intakes (B); lipid and FA intakes (C); and vitamin and mineral intakes (D).  $*P \le 0.01$  and  $r_s \le -0.3$  or  $\ge 0.3$ ;  $^P \le 0.05$  and  $r_s \le -0.3$  or  $\ge 0.3$ . Mean variables for each woman (n = 21) were calculated across all time points. En, energy.



Rothia

Pseudomonas Lactobacillus Propionibacterium Corynebacterium Bacteroides

Streptococcus Staphylococcus Gemella Veillonella Current BMI Prepregnancy BMI Energy, kcal/d Protein, % En Fat, % En Carb, % En Protein, g/d Fat, g/d Cholesterol, mg/d Carbohydrates, g/d Total Fiber, g/d Soluble Fiber, g/d Insoluble Fiber, g/d Monosaccharides, g/d Galactose, g/d Glucose, g/d Fructose, g/d Disaccharides, g/d



Rothia

Pseudomonas Lactobacillus Bacteroides

Alanine, g/d Arginine, g/d Aspartic acid, g/d Cysteine, g/d Glutamic acid, g/d Glycine, g/d Histidine, g/d Isoleucine, g/d Leucine, g/d Lysine, g/d Methionine, g/d Phenylalanine, g/d Proline, g/d Serine, g/d Threonine, g/d Tryptophan, g/d Tyrosine, g/d Valine, g/d



Streptococcus

Color Key

Staphylococcus Gemella Veillonella

FIGURE 3 Heat maps of Spearman rank-order correlations between relative abundance of the most abundant milk microbes at the genus level and BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>), energy intake, macronutrient distribution, and carbohydrate intakes (A); essential amino acid intakes (B); lipid and FA intakes (C); and vitamin and mineral intakes (D). \* $P \le 0.01$  and  $r_s \le -0.3$  or  $\ge 0.3$ ;  $\land P \le 0.05$  and  $r_s \le -0.3$  or  $\ge 0.3$ . Mean variables for each woman (n = 21) were calculated across all time points. En, energy.

TABLE 3	Selected significant associations between mean
energy and	nutrient intakes and mean percentage abundance or
bacterial tax	a in milk produced by 21 healthy women <sup>1</sup>

variable         taxa         r_s         P           BM, kg/m <sup>2</sup> Bacteroides         -0.46         0.037           Energy, kcal/d         Gemella         0.58         0.006           SFAs         Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.036           PUFAs         Gemella         0.44         0.045           12:0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.027           Oyanobacteria         -0.53         0.013           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Oyanobacteria         -0.53         0.013         0.013           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.46         0.032           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.032           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.042           18:2.0*11         Proteobacteria         -0.46         0.037           Ecorynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:2.0*11         Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.006           20:1 n=9)         Firmicutes         -0.51 </th <th>Diet-related</th> <th>Bacterial</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Diet-related	Bacterial		
Bulk, kg/m <sup>2</sup> Bacteroides         -0.46         0.037           Energy, koal/d         Genella         0.58         0.006           Lipids and FAs, g/d         SFAs         Corynebacterium         -0.59         0.005           MUFAs         Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.038           PUFAs         Gemella         0.44         0.045           12:0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.028           Rothia         -0.52         0.013           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.013           16:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.011         18:0         Actinobacteria         0.48         0.022           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028         18:1         Genella         0.44         0.045           20:1(n=9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004         Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.011           Bacteroidetes         -0.51         0.008         20:5(n=3) <t< td=""><td>variable</td><td>taxa</td><td>r<sub>s</sub></td><td>Р</td></t<>	variable	taxa	r <sub>s</sub>	Р
boh, Kynn bekterfuldes –0.40 0.037 Energy, kal/d <i>Gemella</i> 0.58 0.006 Lipids and FAs, g/d SFAs <i>Corynebacterium</i> –0.46 0.038 PUFAs <i>Gemella</i> 0.44 0.045 12.0 <i>Staphylococcus</i> 0.48 0.028 <i>Rothia</i> –0.52 0.017 14.0 Actinobacteria –0.48 0.029 <i>Rothia</i> –0.51 0.013 <i>Corynebacterium</i> –0.46 0.038 15.0 <i>Firmicutes</i> –0.48 0.029 18.0 <i>Corynebacterium</i> –0.51 0.0118 18.0 <i>Actinobacteria</i> –0.48 0.029 18.0 <i>Corynebacterium</i> –0.51 0.0118 18.0 <i>Actinobacteria</i> –0.48 0.028 18.1 <i>Gemella</i> 0.44 0.045 18.20 <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> –0.48 0.028 18.1 <i>Gemella</i> 0.44 0.045 20.1(n–9) <i>Firmicutes</i> 0.60 0.004 <i>Bacteroidetes</i> –0.53 0.0112 <i>Corynebacterium</i> –0.51 0.0112 0.022 18.29111 Proteobacteria 0.48 0.026 20.5(n–3) <i>Fusibacteria</i> –0.73 0.000 22.6(n–3) <i>Fusibacteria</i> –0.73 0.000 22.6(n–3) <i>Fusibacteria</i> –0.73 0.000 22.6(n–3) <i>Fusibacteria</i> –0.74 0.011 Bacteroidetes –0.54 0.011 <i>Bacteroidetes</i> –0.54 0.001 <i>Bacteroidetes</i> –0.51 0.018 <i>Fusibacteria</i> 0.46 0.033 <i>Veilionella</i> 0.50 0.022 10.isaccharides <i>Firmicutes</i> –0.51 0.018 <i>Fusibacteria</i> 0.43 0.049 <i>Bacteroidetes</i> 0.58 0.000 Insoluble fiber <i>Rothia</i> 0.48 0.027 Protein and amino acids, g/d <i>Total protein Gemella</i> 0.46 0.037 <i>Veritoria</i> 0.48 0.027 Proteobacteria 0.51 0.018 Glu Proteobacteria 0.51 0.019 Leu Proteobacteria 0.51 0.019 Leu Proteobacteria 0.43 0.049 <i>Bacteroidetes</i> 0.58 0.0006 Insoluble fiber <i>Rothia</i> 0.48 0.027 <i>Vitamins Proteobacteria</i> 0.44 0.043 <i>Tip</i> Proteobacteria 0.51 0.019 Leu Proteobacteria 0.51 0.019 Leu <i>Proteobacteria</i> 0.51 0.019 <i>Lactobacillus</i> –0.51 0.0118 <i>Fiboflavi, mg/d Veilionella</i> 0.52 0.0116 <i>Thiamin, mg/d Veilionella</i> 0.52 0.0107 <i>Vitamin D, IU/d Firmicutes</i> –0.51 0.0122 <i>Vitamin F, IU/d Firmicutes</i> 0.55 0.0102 <i>Vitamin F, IU/d Firmicutes</i> 0.55 0.0102 <i>Vitamin F, IU/d Firmicutes</i> -0.51 0.0122 <i>Vitamin F, IU/d Firmicutes</i> -0	DML log/m <sup>2</sup>	Destavaidas	0.40	0.007
Eleity, Katru         Definition         0.000           Lipids and FAs, g/d         SFAs         Carynebacterium         -0.59         0.005           MUFAs         Carynebacterium         -0.46         0.036           PUFAs         Gemella         0.44         0.045           12:0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.027           Apthia         -0.52         0.017           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.028           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Carynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.038           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:0         Verucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:2C9111         Proteobacteria         0.50         0.021           18:2C9111         Proteobacteria         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014         Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         -0.71         0.000 <td>BIVII, Kg/m<sup>-</sup></td> <td>Bacteroldes</td> <td>-0.46</td> <td>0.037</td>	BIVII, Kg/m <sup>-</sup>	Bacteroldes	-0.46	0.037
Explosite and FAS, grad         Corynebacterium         -0.59         0.005           MUFAs         Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.036           PUFAs         Gemella         0.44         0.045           12:0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.027           Nothia         -0.52         0.017           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Cyanobacterium         -0.46         0.033           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.022           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.015           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Geneila         0.44         0.045           Corynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:1         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.011           22:5(n-3)	Linda and EAs, a/d	Gemena	0.56	0.000
Differentiation         -0.46         0.035           MUFAs         Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.036           PUFAs         Gemella         0.44         0.045           12:0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.029           Notha         -0.52         0.017           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Cyanobacterium         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.032           18:1         Genella         0.44         0.045           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:2.9111         Proteobacteria         -0.50         0.022           18:2.9111         Proteobacteria         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.51         0.006         20:1(n-3)           18:2.911         Proteobacteria         -0.71         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040	SEVe	Convnehacterium	-0.59	0.005
No.n.c.         Dory December         Detail         Detail         Detail           12.0         Staphylococcus         0.48         0.028           Rothia         -0.52         0.017           14.0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Cyanobacteria         -0.46         0.038           15.0         Firmicutes         -0.46         0.034           15.0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.011           18.0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015         20.01           20.0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028           18.1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20.0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18.2.9111         Proteobacteria         0.44         0.045           20.5(n-3)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.041           Bacteroidetes         0.56         0.006     <	MUFAs	Corvnebacterium	-0.46	0.000
12:0         Staphylacccus Rothia         0.44         0.024           12:0         Staphylacccus         0.48         0.027           Norman         -0.53         0.017           14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Cyanobacteria         -0.53         0.013         Carynebacterium         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.46         0.034         0.022           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.028           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:10         Verrucomicrobia         -0.46         0.037           18:1         Gemella         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.59         0.006         20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.56         0.001           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000         Carbohydrates, g/d         Ital         Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040         Gemella <td>PLIEAs</td> <td>Gamella</td> <td>0.40</td> <td>0.030</td>	PLIEAs	Gamella	0.40	0.030
I.S.         Bathia         -0.52         0.017           14.0         Actinobacteria         -0.48         0.027           Cyanobacteria         -0.53         0.013           Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.001           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.037           Firmicutes         0.060         0.001         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.73         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Firmicutes         -0.46         0.037           Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011         0.021           Disacch	12:0	Stanbylococcus	0.44	0.043
14:0         Actinobacteria         -0.42         0.027           Cyanobacteria         -0.53         0.013           Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.038           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           18:0         Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemelia         0.44         0.045           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:2-9111         Proteobacteria         0.44         0.025           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.037           20:5(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049           Mattose         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.011	12.0	Rothia	-0.52	0.020
N.3         Perinduction         C.N.3         Court           Cyanobacteria         -0.43         0.033           15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.013           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           Corynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:10         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           20:11-9         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.011           Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.001           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.54         0.001           Carbohydrates.g/d         Total         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040         Gemella         0.	1 <i>4</i> ·N	Actinobacteria	-0.48	0.017
Corynebacterium         -0.46         0.038           15.0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16.0         Corynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18.0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.022           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           Corynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:2:59:11         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.58         0.006           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.58         0.006           20:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.73         0.000           20:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.46         0.037           Corynebacteria         -0.45         0.001         Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.032           10:accharides         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011         Bacteroidetes         0.43         0.49           Maltose         Firmicutes         -0.51	11.0	Cvanobacteria	-0.53	0.027
15:0         Firmicutes         -0.48         0.029           16:0         Carynebacterium         -0.51         0.018           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Carynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           Carynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:2:9111         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.58         0.006           20:5(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         0.45         0.041           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.041           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040           Gemella         0.50         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.58		Connehacterium	-0.46	0.013
16.0         Carynebacterium         -0.51         0.013           18.0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20.0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028           18.1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           Corynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18.2         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           18.2         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.022           18.2         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.022           18.2         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.022           20.5(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.001           22.6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         Total         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.035         Veillonella         0.43         0.049           Lactose         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.018         0.027           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.018         0.048         0.027           Drotein	15.0	Firmicutes	-0.48	0.030
Iso         Controbacteria         O.46         0.034           18:0         Actinobacteria         -0.46         0.034           Corynebacterium         -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045           Corynebacterium         -0.50         0.022           18:2c9t11         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.58         0.006           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.037           Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000         22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         -         -         -         0.021         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.011         Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040           Gemella         0.50         0.021         0.035         Veillonella         0.43         0.049           Matose         Fiusobacteria         0.43 <td>16·0</td> <td>Convnehacterium</td> <td>-0.51</td> <td>0.023</td>	16·0	Convnehacterium	-0.51	0.023
Latinbucturia         0.45         0.004 $Corynebacterium$ -0.52         0.015           20:0         Verrucomicrobia         -0.48         0.028           18:1         Gemella         0.44         0.045 $Corynebacterium$ -0.50         0.022           18:2c9t11         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.026           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.016           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.037           Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         -         -         -         -           Total         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.011           Bacteroidetes         -0.47         0.031         -           Lactose         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.011           Bacteroidetes         -0.43         0.049         -           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049 <t< td=""><td>18:0</td><td>Actinobacteria</td><td>-0.46</td><td>0.010</td></t<>	18:0	Actinobacteria	-0.46	0.010
Construction	10.0	Convoehacterium	-0.52	0.034
20.0       Ventodimutudia       0.44       0.045         18:1       Gemella       0.44       0.045         Corynebacteriam       -0.50       0.022         18:2c9t11       Proteobacteria       0.48       0.026         20:1(n-9)       Firmicutes       0.60       0.004         Bacteroidetes       -0.53       0.014         Bacteroidetes       -0.58       0.006         20:5(n-3)       Bacteroidetes       -0.74       0.000         22:6(n-3)       Fusobacteria       -0.71       0.000         Carbohydrates, g/d       Total       Firmicutes       -0.54       0.011         Bacteroidetes       0.45       0.040       Gemella       0.50       0.021         Disaccharides       Firmicutes       -0.51       0.018       Fusobacteria       0.43       0.049         Maltose       Fusobacteria       0.43       0.049       Bacteroides       0.58       0.066         Insoluble fiber       Rathia       0.48       0.027       Protein       Gamella       0.46       0.037         Cys       Proteobacteria       0.48       0.028       Guide       Guide       Gamella       0.46       0.034	20.0	Verrucomicrobia	-0.48	0.013
Control         <	18.1	Gemella	0.40	0.020
18:2c9t11         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.024           20:1(n-9)         Firmicutes         0.60         0.004           Bacteroidetes         -0.53         0.014           Bacteroidetes         -0.58         0.006           20:5(n-3)         Bacteroidetes         -0.46         0.037           Fusobacteria         -0.73         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         Total         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040         Gemella         0.50         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.018         Fusobacteria         0.46         0.035           Veillonella         0.43         0.049         Bacteroides         0.58         0.066           Insoluble fiber         Rothia         0.48         0.027         Protein and amino acids, g/d         Total protein         Gemella         0.46         0.037           Cys         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.028         Fusobacteria         0.41         0.049           Bacteroides         0.51         0.018         Glu         Proteobact	10.1	Convnehacterium	-0.50	0.040
10.500000000000000000000000000000000000	18·2c9t11	Proteobacteria	0.30	0.022
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Distributed} \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ -0.53 \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ -0.58 \\ 0.006 \\ 20:5(n-3) \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ -0.73 \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ -0.73 \\ 0.000 \\ 22:6(n-3) \\ \text{Fusobacteria} \\ -0.71 \\ 0.000 \\ \text{Carbohydrates, g/d} \\ \hline \\ \text{Total} \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.71 \\ 0.000 \\ \hline \\ \text{Carbohydrates, g/d} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Total} \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.54 \\ 0.001 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ 0.45 \\ 0.001 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ 0.45 \\ 0.001 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Bacteroidetes} \\ 0.50 \\ 0.021 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Disaccharides} \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.47 \\ 0.031 \\ \text{Lactose} \\ \hline \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.51 \\ 0.018 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Fusobacteria} \\ 0.46 \\ 0.035 \\ \hline \\ \text{Veillonella} \\ 0.46 \\ 0.035 \\ \hline \\ \text{Veillonella} \\ 0.48 \\ 0.027 \\ \hline \\ \text{Protein and amino acids, g/d} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Total protein} \\ \hline \\ \text{Cys} \\ \hline \\ \text{Proteobacteria} \\ 0.48 \\ 0.028 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Fusobacteria} \\ 0.48 \\ 0.027 \\ \hline \\ \text{Protein and amino acids, g/d} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Total protein} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Cys} \\ \hline \\ \text{Proteobacteria} \\ 0.48 \\ 0.028 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Fusobacteria} \\ 0.48 \\ 0.034 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Vitamins} \\ \hline \\ Proteobacteria \\ 0.44 \\ 0.043 \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Riboflavin, mg/d} \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.51 \\ 0.017 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Vitamin D, IU/d} \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.51 \\ 0.017 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Vitamin D, IU/d} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Firmicutes} \\ -0.51 \\ 0.018 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Biotin, } \mug/d \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Actinobacteria} \\ -0.51 \\ 0.018 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Biotin, } \mug/d \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \ \\ \ \\ \ \\ \ \\ \ \\ \ \\ \ \\ \$	20:1(n_9)	Firmicutes	0.40	0.020
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.1(11-3)	Bacteroidetes	-0.53	0.004
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Dictional S & 0.36 \\ 20:5(n-3) & Bacteroidetes & -0.46 & 0.037 \\ Fusobacteria & -0.73 & 0.000 \\ 22:6(n-3) & Fusobacteria & -0.71 & 0.000 \\ Carbohydrates, g/d & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & Firmicutes & -0.54 & 0.011 \\ Bacteroidetes & 0.45 & 0.040 \\ Gemella & 0.50 & 0.021 \\ Disaccharides & Firmicutes & -0.47 & 0.031 \\ Lactose & Firmicutes & -0.51 & 0.018 \\ Fusobacteria & 0.46 & 0.035 \\ Weillonella & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ Bacteroides & 0.58 & 0.006 \\ Insoluble fiber & Rothia & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ Bacteroides & 0.58 & 0.006 \\ Insoluble fiber & Rothia & 0.48 & 0.027 \\ Protein and amino acids, g/d & & & & & \\ Total protein & Gemella & 0.46 & 0.037 \\ Cys & Proteobacteria & 0.48 & 0.028 \\ Fusobacteria & 0.51 & 0.018 \\ Glu & Proteobacteria & 0.51 & 0.018 \\ Glu & Proteobacteria & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ Proline & Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.46 & 0.034 \\ Vitamins & & & & \\ Pantothenic acid, mg/d & Streptococcus & -0.44 & 0.043 \\ Riboflavin, mg/d & Veillonella & 0.52 & 0.016 \\ Thiamin, mg/d & Firmicutes & -0.51 & 0.017 \\ Vitamin D, IU/d & Firmicutes & -0.51 & 0.017 \\ Vitamin D, IU/d & Firmicutes & -0.50 & 0.022 \\ Vitamin F, IU/d & Firmicutes & -0.51 & 0.018 \\ Biotin, \mug/d & Actinobacteria & -0.45 & 0.042 \\ Gemella & 0.45 & 0.040 \\ \end{array}$		Bacteroides	-0.58	0.014
22.5(n - 3)         Dedictionates         0.40         5.40           Fusobacteria         -0.73         0.000           22:6(n-3)         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         Firmicutes         -0.74         0.001           Total         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040           Gemella         0.50         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.47         0.031           Lactose         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.018           Fusobacteria         0.46         0.035           Veillonella         0.43         0.049           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.44         0.027           Protein and amino acids, g/d         Total protein         Gemella         0.46         0.037           Cys         Proteobacteria         0.41         0.046         0.034           Glu         Proteobacteria         0.43         0.049           Poline         Proteobacteria	20·5/n_3)	Bacteroidetes	-0.46	0.000
Total         Fusobacteria         -0.71         0.000           Carbohydrates, g/d         Firmicutes         -0.74         0.011           Total         Firmicutes         -0.54         0.011           Bacteroidetes         0.45         0.040         Gemella         0.50         0.021           Disaccharides         Firmicutes         -0.47         0.031         Lactose         Firmicutes         -0.47         0.031           Lactose         Firmicutes         -0.51         0.018         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049           Maltose         Fusobacteria         0.43         0.049         Bacteroides         0.58         0.006           Insoluble fiber         Rothia         0.48         0.027         Protein and amino acids, g/d         Total protein         Gemella         0.46         0.037           Cys         Proteobacteria         0.51         0.019         Leu         Proteobacteria         0.43         0.049           Proline         Proteobacteria         0.43         0.049         0.047         Trp           Proline         Proteobacteria         0.43         0.049         0.043         0.049           Proline         Proteobacteria         0.44	20.3(11-3)	Fusobacteria	-0.73	0.007
Carbohydrates, g/d       0.000         Total       Firmicutes $-0.54$ 0.011         Bacteroidetes       0.45       0.040         Gemella       0.50       0.021         Disaccharides       Firmicutes $-0.47$ 0.031         Lactose       Firmicutes $-0.51$ 0.018         Fusobacteria       0.46       0.035       Veillonella       0.43       0.049         Maltose       Fusobacteria       0.43       0.049       Bacteroides       0.58       0.006         Insoluble fiber       Rothia       0.48       0.027       Protein       Add0.027         Protein and amino acids, g/d       Total protein       Gemella       0.46       0.037         Cys       Proteobacteria       0.48       0.028         Fusobacteria       0.46       0.037         Cys       Proteobacteria       0.43       0.049         Protein       Gemella       0.46       0.037         Cys       Proteobacteria       0.43       0.049         Proline       Proteobacteria       0.43       0.049         Proline       Proteobacteria       0.44       0.047         Trp       Proteob	22:6(n-3)	Fusobacteria	-0.71	0.000
TotalFirmicutes $-0.54$ $0.011$ Bacteroidetes $0.45$ $0.040$ Gemella $0.50$ $0.021$ DisaccharidesFirmicutes $-0.47$ $0.031$ LactoseFirmicutes $-0.51$ $0.018$ Fusobacteria $0.46$ $0.035$ Veillonella $0.43$ $0.049$ MaltoseFusobacteria $0.43$ $0.049$ Bacteroides $0.58$ $0.006$ Insoluble fiberRothia $0.48$ $0.027$ Protein and amino acids, g/dTotal proteinGemella $0.46$ $0.037$ CysProteobacteria $0.48$ $0.028$ Fusobacteria $0.51$ $0.019$ LeuProtobacteria $0.43$ $0.049$ ProlineProtobacteria $0.44$ $0.047$ TrpProtobacteria $0.46$ $0.034$ Vitamins $-0.51$ $0.017$ Vitamin D, IU/dFirmicutes $-0.51$ $0.017$ Vitamin D, IU/dFirmicutes $0.55$ <td< td=""><td>Carbohydrates g/d</td><td>Tusobacteria</td><td>0.71</td><td>0.000</td></td<>	Carbohydrates g/d	Tusobacteria	0.71	0.000
NotalHumbeled0.010.01Bacteroidetes0.450.040Gemella0.500.021DisaccharidesFirmicutes $-0.47$ 0.031LactoseFirmicutes $-0.51$ 0.018Fusobacteria0.460.035Veillonella0.430.049MaltoseFusobacteria0.430.049Bacteroides0.580.006Insoluble fiberRothia0.480.027Protein and amino acids, g/dTotal proteinGemella0.460.037CysProteobacteria0.480.028Fusobacteria0.510.018GluGluProteobacteria0.510.019LeuProteobacteria0.430.049ProlineProteobacteria0.440.047TrpProteobacteria0.460.034VitaminsVitamins $-0.44$ 0.043Riboflavin, mg/dStreptococcus $-0.44$ 0.043Gemella0.500.022Lactobacillus $-0.51$ Vitamin D, IU/dFirmicutes $-0.51$ 0.017Vitamin E, IU/dFirmicutes0.550.010Fusobacteria $-0.45$ 0.042Gemella0.45District $-0.51$ 0.018Gemella0.450.042	Total	Firmicutes	-0.54	0.011
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lotal	Bacteroidetes	0.45	0.011
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Gemella	0.40	0.040
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Disaccharides	Firmicutes	-0.47	0.021
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Fusibal certia & 0.46 & 0.035 \\ \hline Fusobacteria & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ \hline Bacteroides & 0.58 & 0.006 \\ \hline Insoluble fiber & Rothia & 0.48 & 0.027 \\ \hline Protein and amino acids, g/d & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Lactose	Firmicutes	-0.51	0.001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Lastoso	Fusobacteria	0.46	0.035
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Maltose & Fusobacteria & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ \hline Bacteroides & 0.58 & 0.006 \\ \hline Insoluble fiber & Rothia & 0.48 & 0.027 \\ \hline Protein and amino acids, g/d & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Veillonella	0.43	0.000
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maltose	Fusobacteria	0.43	0.049
Insoluble fiberRothia0.00Protein and amino acids, g/d $0.48$ $0.027$ Protein and amino acids, g/d $0.48$ $0.028$ Total proteinGemella $0.46$ $0.037$ CysProteobacteria $0.48$ $0.028$ Fusobacteria $0.51$ $0.018$ GluProteobacteria $0.51$ $0.019$ LeuProteobacteria $0.43$ $0.049$ ProlineProteobacteria $0.44$ $0.047$ TrpProteobacteria $0.46$ $0.034$ VitaminsVitamins $0.52$ $0.016$ Pantothenic acid, mg/dStreptococcus $-0.44$ $0.043$ Riboflavin, mg/dFirmicutes $-0.44$ $0.049$ Gemella $0.50$ $0.022$ $Lactobacillus$ $-0.51$ $0.017$ Vitamin D, IU/dFirmicutes $-0.55$ $0.010$ Fusobacteria $-0.45$ $0.042$ Biotin, $\mu$ g/dActinobacteria $-0.45$ $0.042$ $Gemella$ $0.45$ $0.040$	manooo	Racternides	0.58	0.006
Protein and amino acids, g/d Total protein $Gemella$ 0.46 0.037 Cys Proteobacteria 0.48 0.028 Fusobacteria 0.51 0.018 Glu Proteobacteria 0.51 0.019 Leu Proteobacteria 0.43 0.049 Proline Proteobacteria 0.44 0.047 Trp Proteobacteria 0.46 0.034 Vitamins Pantothenic acid, mg/d Streptococcus $-0.44$ 0.043 Riboflavin, mg/d Veillonella 0.52 0.016 Thiamin, mg/d Firmicutes $-0.44$ 0.049 <i>Gemella</i> 0.50 0.022 <i>Lactobacillus</i> $-0.51$ 0.017 Vitamin D, IU/d Firmicutes 0.55 0.010 Fusobacteria $-0.51$ 0.018 Biotin, µg/d Actinobacteria $-0.45$ 0.042 <i>Gemella</i> 0.45 0.040	Insoluble fiber	Rothia	0.48	0.027
Total protein       Gemella       0.46       0.037         Cys       Proteobacteria       0.48       0.028         Fusobacteria       0.51       0.018         Glu       Proteobacteria       0.51       0.019         Leu       Proteobacteria       0.43       0.049         Proline       Proteobacteria       0.44       0.047         Trp       Proteobacteria       0.46       0.034         Vitamins       Pantothenic acid, mg/d       Streptococcus       -0.44       0.043         Riboflavin, mg/d       Villonella       0.52       0.016         Thiamin, mg/d       Firmicutes       -0.50       0.022         Lactobacillus       -0.51       0.017         Vitamin D, IU/d       Firmicutes       -0.50       0.022         Vitamin E, IU/d       Firmicutes       -0.51       0.010         Fusobacteria       -0.51       0.018       8iotin, µg/d       Actinobacteria       -0.45       0.042         Gemella       0.45       0.040       10.018       10.018       10.018       10.018	Protein and amino acids g/d			
Cys         Proteobacteria         0.48         0.028           Fusobacteria         0.51         0.018           Glu         Proteobacteria         0.51         0.019           Leu         Proteobacteria         0.43         0.049           Proline         Proteobacteria         0.44         0.047           Trp         Proteobacteria         0.44         0.047           Trp         Proteobacteria         0.44         0.043           Vitamins         Vitamins         Vitamins         0.46         0.043           Pantothenic acid, mg/d         Streptococcus         -0.44         0.049           Gemella         0.50         0.022         Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022         Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010         Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, $\mu g/d$ Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042         Gemella         0.45         0.040	Total protein	Gemella	0.46	0.037
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Fusobacteria & 0.51 & 0.018 \\ \hline Glu & Proteobacteria & 0.51 & 0.019 \\ \hline Leu & Proteobacteria & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ \hline Proline & Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ \hline Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.46 & 0.034 \\ \hline Vitamins & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Cvs	Proteobacteria	0.48	0.028
	- / -	Fusobacteria	0.51	0.018
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Leu & Proteobacteria & 0.43 & 0.049 \\ \hline Proline & Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ \hline Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.46 & 0.034 \\ \hline Vitamins & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Glu	Proteobacteria	0.51	0.019
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Proteobacteria & 0.44 & 0.047 \\ \hline Trp & Proteobacteria & 0.46 & 0.034 \\ \hline Vitamins & & & & \\ Pantothenic acid, mg/d & Streptococcus & -0.44 & 0.043 \\ \hline Riboflavin, mg/d & Veillonella & 0.52 & 0.016 \\ \hline Thiamin, mg/d & Firmicutes & -0.44 & 0.049 \\ & Gemella & 0.50 & 0.022 \\ & Lactobacillus & -0.51 & 0.017 \\ \hline Vitamin D, IU/d & Firmicutes & -0.50 & 0.022 \\ \hline Vitamin E, IU/d & Firmicutes & 0.55 & 0.010 \\ \hline Fusobacteria & -0.51 & 0.018 \\ \hline Biotin, \mug/d & Actinobacteria & -0.45 & 0.042 \\ \hline Gemella & 0.45 & 0.040 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Leu	Proteobacteria	0.43	0.049
Trp         Proteobacteria         0.46         0.034           Vitamins         Pantothenic acid, mg/d         Streptococcus         -0.44         0.043           Riboflavin, mg/d         Veillonella         0.52         0.016           Thiamin, mg/d         Firmicutes         -0.44         0.049           Gemella         0.50         0.022           Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018         8iotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040         10.018         10.018         10.018	Proline	Proteobacteria	0.44	0.047
Vitamins         -0.44         0.043           Pantothenic acid, mg/d         Streptococcus         -0.44         0.043           Riboflavin, mg/d         Veillonella         0.52         0.016           Thiamin, mg/d         Firmicutes         -0.44         0.049 <i>Gemella</i> 0.50         0.022 <i>Lactobacillus</i> -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018         8iotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042 <i>Gemella</i> 0.45         0.040         10.018         10.018         10.018	Trp	Proteobacteria	0.46	0.034
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vitamins			
Riboflavin, mg/d         Veillonella         0.52         0.016           Thiamin, mg/d         Firmicutes         -0.44         0.049           Gemella         0.50         0.022           Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018         Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040         -0.45         0.042         -0.45         0.040	Pantothenic acid, mg/d	Streptococcus	-0.44	0.043
Thiamin, mg/d         Firmicutes         -0.44         0.049           Gemella         0.50         0.022           Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040	Riboflavin, mg/d	Veillonella	0.52	0.016
Gemella         0.50         0.022           Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040	Thiamin, mg/d	Firmicutes	-0.44	0.049
Lactobacillus         -0.51         0.017           Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040		Gemella	0.50	0.022
Vitamin D, IU/d         Firmicutes         -0.50         0.022           Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040		Lactobacillus	-0.51	0.017
Vitamin E, IU/d         Firmicutes         0.55         0.010           Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, µg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040	Vitamin D, IU/d	Firmicutes	-0.50	0.022
Fusobacteria         -0.51         0.018           Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040	Vitamin E, IU/d	Firmicutes	0.55	0.010
Biotin, μg/d         Actinobacteria         -0.45         0.042           Gemella         0.45         0.040		Fusobacteria	-0.51	0.018
<i>Gemella</i> 0.45 0.040	Biotin, µg/d	Actinobacteria	-0.45	0.042
		Gemella	0.45	0.040

(Continued)

#### TABLE 3 Continued

Diet-related	Bacterial		
variable	taxa	r <sub>s</sub>	Р
Minerals			
Calcium, mg/d	Veillonella	0.58	0.006
Chloride, mg/d	Veillonella	0.57	0.007
lodine, µg/d	Firmicutes	-0.46	0.034
	Fusobacteria	0.47	0.030
	Veillonella	0.52	0.002
lron, mg/d	OD1	0.58	0.006
Molybdenum, µg/d	Veillonella	0.49	0.023
	Gemella	0.52	0.015
	Rothia	0.49	0.025
Chromium, µg/d	Lactobacillus	-0.49	0.024
Zinc, mg/d	Corynebacterium	-0.49	0.023

 $^1\,{\rm The}~r_s$  coefficients were determined with the use of Spearman's rank-order correlation analysis.

bacterial communities are quite different in these sites. However, we uncovered several other relations that are noteworthy. For instance, the maternal consumption of thiamin, niacin, folate, vitamin B-6, and chromium was negatively associated with the relative abundance of Lactobacillus in milk, and the consumption of several minerals was associated with the relative abundance of Veillonella in milk. Our finding of a generalizable positive relation between the consumption of a nutrient-rich diet and Proteobacteria in milk is of particular interest because Proteobacteria are versatile in using various carbon sources for ATP production (34). Interestingly, in our study, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria also tended to be positively related to the maternal intake of various FAs, including unsaturated [e.g., heptadecanoic (17:0)] and polyunsaturated (e.g., linoleic acid [18:3(n-3)] and rumenic acid [18:2c9t11]) categories. Corvnebacterium, on the other hand, was inversely associated with several SFAs [e.g., myristic (14:0)] and MUFAs. Unfortunately, the FA analysis was not completed on the milk samples, so we could not evaluate whether the maternal intake of these FAs was also associated with milk FA concentration. However, Kumar et al. (9) found a multitude of relations between milk lipid profiles with the milk microbiome in samples collected in South Africa, Finland, Spain, and China. For instance, TG-bound MUFA concentration was negatively associated with Proteobacteria ( $r_s = -0.43$ ; P < 0.05), and phospholipid-bound MUFA was inversely correlated with *Lactobacillus* ( $r_s = -0.23$ ; P = 0.04). Our data, which assessed dietary FA intake rather than milk FA concentration, did not support these relations.

Nonetheless, it is intriguing to speculate that diet might affect various populations of bacteria in the mammary gland by altering the nutrient content of milk. As such, future studies regarding the milk microbiome should be designed not only to evaluate maternal nutrient intake but also the nutrient content of milk. Furthermore, in vitro studies with the use of bacteria isolated from human milk and grown on cultures containing varying amounts of these nutrients will be needed to ascertain whether the relations described herein are actually causal in nature such as we have demonstrated for human milk oligosaccharides and staphylococci (35).

Detecting relations between dietary intake and bacterial populations also relies on the accuracy of the method used to obtain dietary intake data. We found that we were only able to detect relations between dietary intake and milk bacteria when we evaluated the means of these variables over the 9 dietary assessment periods. We posit that this was largely because of the number of inaccuracies inherent in dietary data collected with the use of a single 24-h dietary recall as well as the fact that it is likely that usual (chronic) nutrient consumption is more important for shaping microbial communities than acute consumption. Thus, we urge others to consider using multipleday dietary assessment methods in future studies as we have done herein.

Maternal diet may also be one of the factors that drove the observed differences in milk microbial communities among women with different body weights and among women with different pregnancy weight gains. Collado et al. (36) found higher Staphylococcus and Akkermansia and lower Bifidobac*terium* in milk produced by overweight women than by healthyweight women. We did not detect any relations between current (postpartum) BMI and these genera, but we did find a negative association between Bacteroides and current BMI. We also did not find differences in relative abundances related to prepregnancy BMI at the phylum level. Unlike our findings, however, Kumar et al. (9) reported that Firmicutes were more abundant in milk produced by women with a higher BMI than that produced by women with a lower BMI. It is likely that the findings by Kumar et al. (9) may have been caused by a much larger range of BMI in their study's sample populations.

We also found that only the relative abundance of *Propion-ibacterium* in milk from women delivering via cesarean section tended to be higher than those delivering via vaginal birth. These findings are inconsistent with those of Kumar et al. (9), Cabrera-Rubio et al. (8), and Urbaniak et al. (37). The reason for these differences among studies is not understood but, again, could be because of methodologic or genuine variation.

In addition, milk produced by the mothers of male infants had higher *Streptococcus* and lower *Staphylococcus* than milk from the mothers of female infants. These findings differ from those of Urbaniak et al. (37), who found no differences related to infant sex. To our knowledge, the importance of these findings (if any) is currently unknown.

Bacteria in milk clearly represent some of the first to which the infant is exposed and may serve as or interact with some of the first colonizers of the breastfed infant's gastrointestinal tract. Additional controlled clinical intervention studies related to the impact of environmental choices (e.g., maternal nutrient intake, probiotic consumption, exercise) are therefore warranted to understand the basic factors that regulate the human milk microbiome. These studies should use methods appropriate for estimating dietary intake (e.g., repeated 24-h recalls or multiple prospective 24-h food records) and include milk composition analyses. If possible, they should also document the amount of bacteria present in the milk rather than just the relative abundances of the bacterial taxa and viability of the bacteria.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dan New for his expert guidance and patient work in sequencing. The authors' responsibilities were as follows—JEW, MAM, and MKM: designed the research and provided general oversight for its conduct, laboratory and statistical analyses, and interpretation; JEW, MAY, and SLB: collected the samples; JEW, JMC, KAL, and NFB: carried out the laboratory analyses; JEW, JMC, and MLS: carried out the sequence analysis; JEW, BS, and WJP: provided statistical consulting and conducted the statistical analyses; JEW and MKM: had primary responsibility for the final content; and all authors: wrote, read, and approved the final manuscript.

# References

- 1. McGuire MK, McGuire MA. Human milk: mother nature's prototypical probiotic food. Adv Nutr 2015;6:112–23.
- Eidelman AI, Szilagyi G. Patterns of bacterial colonization of human milk. Obstet Gynecol 1979;53:550–2.
- Martín R, Langa S, Reviriego C, Jiménez E, Marín ML, Xaus J, Fernández L, Rodríguez JM. Human milk is a source of lactic acid bacteria for the infant gut. J Pediatr 2003;143:754–8.
- Heikkilä MP, Saris PEJ. Inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* by the commensal bacteria of human milk. J Appl Microbiol 2003;95:471–8.
- Collado MC, Delgado S, Maldonado A, Rodríguez JM. Assessment of the bacterial diversity of breast milk of healthy women by quantitative real-time PCR. Lett Appl Microbiol 2009;48:523–8.
- Hunt KM, Foster JA, Forney LJ, Schütte UM, Beck DL, Abdo Z, Fox LK, Williams JE, McGuire MK, McGuire MA. Characterization of the diversity and temporal stability of bacterial communities in human milk. PLoS One 2011;6:e21313.
- Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger C, Chassard C. Assessment of bacterial diversity in breast milk using culture-dependent and culture-independent approaches. Br J Nutr 2013;110:1253–62.
- Cabrera-Rubio R, Mira-Pascual L, Mira A, Collado MC. Impact of mode of delivery on the milk microbiota composition of healthy women. J Dev Orig Health Dis 2016;7:54–60.
- Kumar H, du Toit E, Kulkarni A, Aakko J, Linderborg KM, Zhang Y, Nicol MP, Isolauri E, Yang B, Collado MC, et al. Distinct patterns in human milk microbiota and fatty acid profiles across specific geographic locations. Front Microbiol 2016;7:1619.
- Drago L, Toscano M, De Grandi R, Grossi E, Padovani EM, Peroni DG. Microbiota network and mathematic microbe mutualism in colostrum and mature milk collected in two different geographic areas: Italy versus Burundi. ISME J 2017;11:875–84.
- Geddes DT. The use of ultrasound to identify milk ejection in women tips and pitfalls. Int Breastfeed J 2009;4:5–11.
- Ramsay DT, Kent JC, Owens RA, Hartmann PE. Ultrasound imaging of milk ejection in the breast of lactating women. Pediatrics 2004;113:361–7.
- Donnet-Hughes A, Perez PF, Doré J, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Benyacoub J, Serrant P, Segura-Roggero I, Schiffrin EJ. Potential role of the intestinal microbiota of the mother in neonatal immune education. Proc Nutr Soc 2010;69:407–15.
- Perez PF, Doré J, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Benyacoub J, Serrant P, Segura-Roggero I, Schiffrin EJ, Donnet-Hughes A. Bacterial imprinting of the neonatal immune system: lessons from maternal cells? Pediatrics 2007;119:e724–32.
- Jiménez E, Fernández L, Maldonado A, Martín R, Olivares M, Xaus J, Rodríguez JM. Oral administration of Lactobacillus strains isolated from breast milk as an alternative for the treatment of infectious mastitis during lactation. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:4650–5.
- Arroyo R, Martín V, Maldonado A, Jiménez E, Fernández L, Rodríguez JM. Treatment of infectious mastitis during lactation: antibiotics versus oral administration of lactobacilli isolated from breast milk. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:1551–8.
- Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger CP, Rochat F, Chassard C. Vertical motherneonate transfer of maternal gut bacteria via breastfeeding. Environ Microbiol 2014;16:2891–904.
- Martín R, Langa S, Reviriego C, Jiménez E, Marín ML, Olivares M, Boza J, Jiménez J, Fernández L, Xaus J, et al. The commensal microflora of human milk: new perspectives for food bacteriotherapy and probiotics. Trends Food Sci Technol 2004;15:121–7.
- Carrothers JM, York MA, Brooker SL, Lackey KA, Williams JE, Shafii B, Price WJ, Settles ML, McGuire MA, McGuire MK. Fecal microbial community structure is stable over time and related to variation in macronutrient and micronutrient intakes in lactating women. J Nutr 2015;145:2379–88.
- Lönnerdal B. Effects of maternal dietary intake on human milk composition. J Nutr 1986;116:499–513.
- 21. Jensen RG, editor. Handbook of milk composition. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 1995.
- 22. Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. Pediatr Clin North Am 2013;60:49–74.
- Innis SM. Impact of maternal diet on human milk composition and neurological development of infants. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:734S– 41S.

- 24. Magoč T, Salzberg S. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 2011;27:2957–63.
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:5261–7.
- Stroup WW. Generalized linear mixed models: modern concepts, methods, and applications. London: Chapman & Hall; 2012. p. 140–267.
- 27. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016.
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes. The essential guide to nutrient requirements. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2006.
- Obermajer T, Lipoglavšek L, Tompa G, Treven P, Lorbeg PM, Matijašić BB, Rogelj I. Colostrum of healthy Slovenian mothers: microbiota composition and bacteriocin gene prevalence. PLoS One 2015;10:e0123324.
- Cabrera-Rubio R, Collado MC, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E, Mira A. The human milk microbiome changes over lactation and is shaped by maternal weight and mode of delivery. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:544–51.
- 31. Murphy K, Curley D, O'Callaghan TF, O'Shea CA, Dempsey EM, O'Toole PW, Ross RP, Ryan CA, Stanton C. The composition of human milk and infant faecal microbiota over the first three months of life: a pilot study. Sci Rep 2017;7:40597.

- 32. McGuire MK, Meehan CL, Brooker A, Williams JE, Foster JA, McGuire MA. An evolutionary, biosocial perspective on variation in human milk microbes and oligosaccharides: an example of eco-homeorhesis? In: McGuire M, McGuire MA, Bode L, editors. Prebiotics and probiotics in human milk. Amsterdam (Netherlands): Elsevier; 2017.
- Albenberg LG, Wu GD. Diet and the intestinal microbiome: associations, functions, and implications for health and disease. Gastroenterology 2014;146:1564–72.
- Kazakov AE, Rodionov DA, Alm E, Arkin AP, Dubchak I, Gelfand MS. Comparative genomics of regulation of fatty acid and branched-chain amino acid utilization in Proteobacteria. J Bacteriol 2009;191:52–64.
- 35. Hunt KM, Preuss J, Nissan C, Davlin CA, Williams JE, Shafii B, Richardson AD, McGuire MK, Bode L, McGuire MA. Human milk oligosaccharides promote the growth of staphylococci. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012;78:4763–70.
- Collado MC, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Maternal weight and excessive weight gain during pregnancy modify the immunomodulatory potential of breast milk. Pediatr Res 2012;72:77–85.
- Urbaniak C, Angelini M, Gloor GB, Reid G. Human milk microbiota profiles in relation to birthing method, gestation and infant gender. Microbiome 2016;4:1.