

## Article

# Improvement in the Immunity- and Vitamin D<sub>3</sub>-Activity-Related Gene Expression of Coccidiosis-Challenged Ross 708 Broilers in Response to the In Ovo Injection of 25-Hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub><sup>†</sup>

Seyed Abolghasem Fatemi <sup>1,\*</sup>, Kenneth S. Macklin <sup>2</sup>, Li Zhang <sup>1</sup>, Ayoub Mousstaid <sup>1</sup>, Sabin Poudel <sup>1</sup>,  
Ishab Poudel <sup>1</sup> and Edgar David Peebles <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Poultry Science, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Poultry Science, College of Agriculture, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

\* Correspondence: sf1006@msstate.edu

<sup>†</sup> This publication is a contribution of the Mississippi Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station. This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Hatch project under accession number 1011797. Use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station of these products, nor similar ones not mentioned.

**Simple Summary:** Coccidiosis is still considered one of the main diseases affecting the performance and health of poultry reared under intensive production systems. Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources have been shown to reduce the negative effects of a coccidiosis infection with a subsequent improvement in live performance and intestinal immunity. Therefore, the aim of the current research was to explore molecular mechanisms that may play role in an improvement in immunity and vitamin D activity in response to the in ovo injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub>) and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> (25OHD<sub>3</sub>) alone or in combination in broilers subjected to a coccidiosis infection. In this study, it was shown that the expression of genes linked to an anti-inflammatory response increased and a pro-inflammatory response decreased in the jejunum of 28-day-old broilers (2 weeks after coccidiosis infection) after an in ovo injection of 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub>. Additionally, the in ovo administration of 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> increased the expression of genes linked to D<sub>3</sub> function. In conclusion, the in ovo administration of 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at 18 days of incubation can improve the immunity as well as the D<sub>3</sub> activity of broilers challenged with coccidiosis.

**Abstract:** Effects of the in ovo administration of two vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources (vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub>) and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> (25OHD<sub>3</sub>)) on the expression of D<sub>3</sub> activity- and immunity-related genes in broilers subjected to a coccidiosis infection were investigated. At 18 d of incubation (doi), five in ovo injection treatments were administered to live embryonated Ross 708 broiler hatching eggs: non-injected (1) and diluent-injected (2) controls, or diluent injection containing 2.4 µg of D<sub>3</sub> (3) or 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> (4), or their combination (5). Birds in the in ovo-injected treatments were challenged at 14 d of age (doa) with a 20× dosage of a live coccidial vaccine. At 14 and 28 doa, the expression of eight immunity-related genes (IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, TLR-4, TLR-15, MyD88, TGF-β<sub>4</sub>, and IFN-γ) and four D<sub>3</sub> activity-related genes (1α-hydroxylase, 25-hydroxylase, 24-hydroxylase, and VDR) in the jejunum of one bird in each treatment–replicate group were evaluated. No significant treatment effects were observed for any of the genes before challenge. However, at 2 weeks post-challenge, the expression of 1α-hydroxylase, TGF-β<sub>4</sub>, and IL-10 increased in birds that received 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone in comparison to all the other in ovo-injected treatment groups. Additionally, the expression of 24-hydroxylase and IL-6 decreased in birds that received 25OHD<sub>3</sub> in comparison to those injected with diluent or D<sub>3</sub> alone. It was concluded that the in ovo injection of 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> may improve the intestinal immunity as well as the activity of D<sub>3</sub> in Ross 708 broilers subjected to a coccidiosis challenge.

**Keywords:** 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>; broilers; D<sub>3</sub> activity-related genes; immunity-related genes; in ovo injection



**Citation:** Fatemi, S.A.; Macklin, K.S.; Zhang, L.; Mousstaid, A.; Poudel, S.; Poudel, I.; Peebles, E.D. Improvement in the Immunity- and Vitamin D<sub>3</sub>-Activity-Related Gene Expression of Coccidiosis-Challenged Ross 708 Broilers in Response to the In Ovo Injection of 25-Hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 2517. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12192517>

Received: 30 July 2022

Accepted: 16 September 2022

Published: 22 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Cholecalciferol or vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub>) is a common supplemental source of vitamin D in poultry diets. Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is hydroxylated twice to become the functionally active form of vitamin D. Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is converted to 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25OHD<sub>3</sub>) by the action of 25-hydroxylase in the liver and is then hydroxylated by renal cells to 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol [1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>] by 1  $\alpha$ -hydroxylase [1,2]. In the chicken, the conversion of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> to active form (1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>) is tightly regulated by several factors including vitamin D receptor (VDR) activity, 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> concentration, and calcium serum levels [3,4]. In comparison to D<sub>3</sub> at the same level of inclusion, dietary supplementation of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> more strongly enhances broiler breast meat yield [5,6], protein synthesis rate [6,7], and the density of satellite cells in breast muscle [7]. Additionally, 25OHD<sub>3</sub> has been shown to more effectively reduce the inflammatory response and increase the humoral immunity of broiler chickens that were challenged with pathogens [8,9]. The expression of 1  $\alpha$ -hydroxylase in the livers of lipopolysaccharide-injected birds increased when they were fed 25OHD<sub>3</sub>, but not when they were fed D<sub>3</sub> [10]. This may result in increased 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> levels in the liver and the suppression of the inflammatory response in 25OHD<sub>3</sub>-fed birds [11]. The expression of 1  $\alpha$ -hydroxylase and VDR occurs in the macrophages and in the livers of chickens [12,13]. Therefore, increased biosynthesis of 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> from 25OHD<sub>3</sub> can increase the expression of 1  $\alpha$ -hydroxylase and subsequently increase the expression of VDR [13].

In ovo injection techniques in broilers have emerged to allow for the direct administration of particular nutrients or vaccines to embryos, which provides an early stimulation of their immune responses [14]. Additionally, in ovo injection provides a cost-effective and uniform delivery of vaccines with limited contamination issues [15]. Improvements in chicken embryonic and post-hatch development and immune status in response to the in ovo injection of various injected materials, including vitamins, have been observed in previous studies. These observed improvements have been particularly evident in poultry reared under intensive production conditions [16,17]. It is well documented that the in ovo injection of 0.6 to 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> can increase broiler breast meat yield [18–20] and live performance [18–20]. It can also improve the characteristics of hatchling [21], bone quality [22,23], immunity [18,24,25], and small intestine morphology [25] of broilers not challenged with pathogens. Furthermore, the in ovo injection of 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> has been shown to increase BWG and breast meat yield [26], and to improve the small intestine morphology and immunity [27] of broilers subjected to a coccidiosis infection. However, the molecular mechanisms by which the in ovo injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources affect chicken intestinal histomorphology, muscle formation, or immunity have not been previously investigated. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the impacts of the in ovo injection of D<sub>3</sub> and 25OHD<sub>3</sub>, alone or in combination on the expression of genes linked to immunity and D<sub>3</sub> activity in Ross 708 broilers subjected to a coccidiosis infection.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Experimental Design, Egg Incubation, and Coccidial Infection

Fifty Ross 708 broiler hatching eggs were randomly set in each of 5 treatment groups in each of 6 replicate trays (1500 total eggs) in a Chick Master Incubator (Chick Master Incubator Company, Medina, OH, USA). The conditions and arrangement of the treatment-replicate groups of eggs in the incubator were as described by Fatemi et al. [26]. In a previous companion study [26] in which the same incubation regimens were used, no significant treatment effects were found for percentage egg weight loss from 0 to 18 day (d) of incubation (doi), indicating uniform incubational conditions for all prespecified in ovo injection treatment groups. At 18 doi, a Zoetis Inovoject m (Zoetis Animal Health, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) multi-egg in ovo injection machine was used to deliver a 50  $\mu$ L solution volume into each egg. The in ovo injection treatments were: non-injected (1) and diluent injected (2) controls, or diluent injection containing 2.4  $\mu$ g D<sub>3</sub> (3) or 2.4  $\mu$ g 25OHD<sub>3</sub> (4), or their combination (5). All in ovo injection solutions were freshly prepared in

accordance to the procedure described by Fatemi et al. [21,22]. At hatch, chicks belonging to the same treatment group across replicate hatching baskets were pooled prior to placement. A total of 20 birds from each pooled treatment group were randomly placed in each of 2 separate isolation rooms containing wire-floored battery cages (0.76 m × 0.46 m (0.35 m<sup>2</sup>)). Four birds were placed in each of 8 replicate cages in each of 5 in ovo injection treatment groups (4 birds × 8 replicates × 5 treatments = 160 total birds in each room). The replicate cages for each of the in ovo injection treatment groups were randomly arranged within each isolation room and room was considered as a blocking factor. A Mississippi State University basal corn-soybean diet as described by Fatemi et al. [18,19,26] was used throughout the 41 d of age (doa) study period (Fatemi et al., 2021 [18,19,26]). A coccidial challenge infection was performed at 14 doa according to the method described by Fatemi et al. [26] and Poudel et al. [28,29]. Chicks were left unchallenged in the non-injected treatment group.

## 2.2. Tissue Collection, Total RNA Isolation, Reverse Transcription, and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

At 14 and 18 d of age (doa), one bird in each room from each treatment-replicate cage was randomly selected for sampling. Approximately 10 to 20 g of the medial side of each jejunum sample was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol<sup>®</sup> procedure (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using 1 mL TRIzol<sup>®</sup> to every 30 mg of tissue according to the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA quantification was performed using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, NC, USA) and agarose electrophoresis. RNA samples were stored at −80 °C until cDNA synthesis. cDNA synthesis was performed using 200 ng of each total RNA sample according to High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit protocol (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) [30]. The resulting cDNA was stored at −20 °C. RT-qPCR reactions were conducted in a 20 µL reaction system containing 10 µL of SYBR Green premix, 1.2 µL of forward primer (10 µM), 1.2 µL of reverse primer (10 µM), 2.0 µL of 5× diluted cDNA, and 5.6 µL of RNase-free water. The program was set at 95 °C for 60 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, and 60 °C for 30 s. Melting curve analysis was performed to analyze the specificity of the primers according to protocol described by Poudel et al. [31]. In total, two endogenous or "housekeeping" genes, plus 20 target genes associated with immune response and D<sub>3</sub>-related activity were identified for RT-qPCR (Table 1). The forward and reverse primers of house-keeping genes (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [*GAPDH*] and 18S ribosomal RNA [*18S*]); genes related to immunity including interleukin (*IL*)-2, *IL*-6, *IL*-10, interferon gamma (*IFN*-γ), myeloid differentiation factor 88 (*MyD88*), transforming growth factor beta 4 (*TGF*-β4), Toll-like receptor (*TLR*)-4, and *TLR*-15; and genes linked to vitamin D activity including 1α-hydroxylase, 24-hydroxylase, 25-hydroxylase, and *VDR* were designed according to the procedure described by Fatemi [31] and Poudel et al. [32]. NormFinder software version 20 was used to normalize the target gene data [33], and the normalization suitability of the expression of *GAPDH* and *18S* genes were tested. The *GAPDH* was an endogenous gene that was most suitable for normalization of the data for the target genes. The fold-change differences were calculated according to the method described by Livak and Schmittgen [34].

**Table 1.** Real-time PCR primers and GenBank accession numbers of chicken housekeeping genes, and target genes associated with immunity and vitamin D-related activity.

Gene Symbol	Accession No	Type	Orientation	Sequence (5' to 3')	Length (nt)	Amplicon Size (bp)	Reference
<i>RNA 18S</i>	M59389.1	Housekeeping	Forward	GCCAACAGAGAGAAGATGACAC	22	140	-
			Reverse	GTAACACCATCACCAGAGTCCA	22		
<i>GAPDH</i>	NM204305	Housekeeping	Forward	GTAACCATGTAGTTCAGATCGATGA	26	72	-
			Reverse	GCCGTCCTCTCTGGCAAAG	19		
<i>IL-2</i>	AY386204	Immunity-related	Forward	AGTCTTACAGGTCTAAATCACACC	24	102	-
			Reverse	CACAAAGTTGGTCAGTTCATGG	22		
<i>IL-6</i>	AB559572	Immunity-related	Forward	GCGAGAACAGCATGGAGATG	20	143	Al-Zghoul et al. [35]
			Reverse	GTAGGTCTGAAAGGCGAACAG	21		
<i>IL-10</i>	AJ621614	Immunity-related	Forward	AGCAGATCAAGGAGACGTTT	20	103	-
			Reverse	ATCAGCAGGTACTCCTCGAT	20		
<i>IFN-<math>\gamma</math></i>	AJ001678	Immunity-related	Forward	GTGAAGAAGGTGAAAGATATCATGGA	26	71	-
			Reverse	GCTTTGCGCTGGATTCTCA	19		
<i>TGF-<math>\beta</math>4</i>	M31160.1	Immunity-related	Forward	GGGGTCTTCAAGCTGAGCGT	20	119	Brisbin et al. [36]
			Reverse	TTGGCAATGCTCTGCATGTC	20		
<i>TLR-4</i>	AY064697	Immunity-related	Forward	AGTCTGAAATTGCTGAGCTCAAAT	24	190	-
			Reverse	GCGACGTAAAGCCATGGAAG	20		
<i>TLR-15</i>	NM_001037835	Immunity-related	Forward	GGCTGTGGTATGTGAGAATG	20	113	-
			Reverse	ATCGTGCTCGCTGTATGA	18		
<i>MyD88</i>	NM_001030962	Immunity-related	Forward	ATGGGCATGGAACAGAGATG	20	138	-
			Reverse	GCAAGACATCCCGATCAAAC	20		
1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase	XM_422077	Vitamin D activity-related	Forward	TCTGTGGCAGGAATACAGAGA	20	125	-
			Reverse	ACTGCCACATCTTTGGGTTT	20		
25-hydroxylase	NM_001277354	Vitamin D activity-related	Forward	GCTGTCACTGGGATTCTTTGC	21	160	Shanmugasundaram and selvaraj [12]
			Reverse	CCAACCGAAAGGCACAAGTC	20		
24-hydroxylase	AF019142.1	Vitamin D activity-related	Forward	AAACCCTGGAAAGCCTATCG	20	133	Shanmugasundaram and selvaraj [12]
			Reverse	CCAGTTTACCACCTCCTTG	20		
<i>VDR</i>	AF011356.1	Vitamin D activity-related	Forward	CGTGAGAAGCAAATTCAGCA	20	157	-
			Reverse	GAGGTCCAGGTTGAAAACA	20		

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

Each wire-floored battery cage served as an experimental unit, with all 5 treatments randomly represented in each of 8 replicate cages. A completely randomized experimental design was employed within each room and room served as a blocking factor. A non-injected treatment group was kept in a separate part of the battery cages to eliminate its exposure to coccidial oocysts. Furthermore, a treatment group of birds that have received an in ovo injection solution as well as a coccidial challenge were included. However, in the analysis of effects of coccidial challenge on 28 doa, the non-injected treatment was not included. All house-keeping and target genes were tested for normality using PROC UNIVARIATE and were determined as being normally distributed. Prior to (14 doa) and 2 wk after (28 doa) coccidiosis infection, all gene expression data between and across in ovo injection treatment were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using the procedure for linear mixed models (PROC GLIMMIX) of SAS®, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Differences were deemed significant at  $p \leq 0.05$ , and Tukey's least square means comparison was used for means separation [37].

### 3. Results

No significant treatment differences were observed for the immunity- and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> activity-related genes at 14 doa (Tables 2 and 3). However, there were significant treatment effects for both genes involved in the immunity and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> functions of the broilers at 28 doa (Tables 2 and 3). The in ovo injection of D<sub>3</sub> significantly increased the expression of *IL-6* at 28 doa in comparison to the diluent and 25OHD<sub>3</sub> injection treatment group. Conversely, the expression of *IL-10* was increased in the 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone injection treatment group in comparison to that of all other injection treatment groups, and the expression of *IL-10* was higher in the D<sub>3</sub> + 25OHD<sub>3</sub> treatment as compared to the diluent-injected and D<sub>3</sub> alone treatment groups. The expression of *TGF-β4* was also higher in the 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone injection treatment when compared to all other injection treatment groups (Table 2). Moreover, the in ovo injection of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> resulted in a higher expression of 1α-hydroxylase as compared to all other in ovo treatments and resulted in a numerically ( $p = 0.072$ ) lower expression of 24-hydroxylase as compared to the D<sub>3</sub> + 25OHD<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>3</sub> alone treatment groups at 2 wk post infection (Table 3). Across in ovo treatment (Table 4), the expressions of *IL-2*, *IL-6*, *IL-10*, *TGF-β4*, *TLR-4*, 1α-hydroxylase, and *VDR* were significantly higher at 2 wk post-infection (28 doa) in comparison to their expression levels before coccidiosis infection (14 doa).

**Table 2.** Effects of in ovo injection treatment (non-injected, diluent-injected (50 µL), and 50 µL of diluent containing 2.4 µg of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub>), 2.4 µg of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25OHD<sub>3</sub>), or 2.4 µg of D<sub>3</sub> and 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub> + 25OHD<sub>3</sub>) on the fold change expression of immune-related genes at 14 and 28 d of age (doa).

Treatment	n	IL-2	IL-6	IL10	IFN-γ	TGF-β4	TLR4	TLR-15	MyD88
14 doa									
Non-injected <sup>1</sup>	8	0.90	1.53	1.14	0.87	0.91	0.93	1.53	1.10
Diluent <sup>2</sup>	8	1.04	1.07	1.04	1.06	1.06	1.01	1.12	1.03
D <sub>3</sub> <sup>3</sup>	8	1.12	1.69	1.27	1.49	1.03	1.20	1.38	1.31
25OHD <sub>3</sub> <sup>4</sup>	8	1.05	1.06	1.33	0.91	2.16	0.92	0.95	1.17
D <sub>3</sub> + 25OHD <sub>3</sub> <sup>5</sup>	8	1.00	1.03	1.11	0.80	1.14	1.11	1.01	1.11
SEM		0.195	0.435	0.309	0.196	0.522	0.178	0.277	0.153
p-value		0.758	0.489	0.869	0.103	0.274	0.522	0.556	0.763
28 doa									
Diluent	8	1.05	1.06 <sup>b</sup>	1.18 <sup>c</sup>	1.10	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.17	1.06	1.04
D <sub>3</sub>	8	1.25	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	1.70 <sup>c</sup>	0.89	1.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.34	0.96	1.31
25OHD <sub>3</sub>	8	1.41	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	9.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.46	4.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.56	0.97	1.34

Table 2. Cont.

Treatment	n	IL-2	IL-6	IL10	IFN- $\gamma$	TGF- $\beta$ 4	TLR4	TLR-15	MyD88
D <sub>3</sub> + 25OHD <sub>3</sub>	8	1.50	2.52 <sup>ab</sup>	3.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.03	2.29 <sup>b</sup>	1.47	1.50	1.40
SEM		0.212	0.832	0.553	0.223	0.505	0.279	0.223	0.218
p-value		0.471	0.012	0.001	0.198	<0.001	0.777	0.294	0.660

<sup>a-c</sup> Treatment means within the same column within effect with no common superscripts are significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup> Eggs that were not injected with any solution and also were not challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>2</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent at d 18 of incubation and that were not challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>3</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing vitamin 2.4  $\mu$ g of D<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and that were also challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>4</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and that were also challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>5</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing 2.4  $\mu$ g of D<sub>3</sub> and 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and also were challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa.

**Table 3.** Effects of in ovo injection treatment (non-injected, diluent-injected (50  $\mu$ L), and 50  $\mu$ L of diluent containing 2.4  $\mu$ g of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub>), 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25OHD<sub>3</sub>), or 2.4  $\mu$ g of D<sub>3</sub> and 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> (D<sub>3</sub> + 25OHD<sub>3</sub>) on the fold change expression of vitamin D activity-related genes at 14 and 28 d of age (doa).

Treatment	n	1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase	25-hydroxylase	24-hydroxylase	VDR <sup>1</sup>
14 doa					
Non-injected <sup>2</sup>	8	1.01	0.89	2.02	0.91
Diluent <sup>3</sup>	8	1.02	1.02	1.14	1.01
D <sub>3</sub> <sup>4</sup>	8	1.34	1.17	2.64	1.32
25OHD <sub>3</sub> <sup>5</sup>	8	1.34	1.07	1.49	1.19
D <sub>3</sub> + 25OHD <sub>3</sub> <sup>6</sup>	8	1.16	1.03	1.89	1.15
SEM		0.246	0.160	0.606	0.195
p-value		0.549	0.580	0.299	0.381
28 doa					
Diluent	8	1.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.03	1.25
D <sub>3</sub>	8	1.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.13	2.08	1.82
25OHD <sub>3</sub>	8	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.25	0.47	1.56
D <sub>3</sub> + 25OHD <sub>3</sub>	8	1.80 <sup>b</sup>	1.91	2.08	1.70
SEM		0.383	0.329	0.437	0.274
p-value		0.001	0.243	0.072	0.512

<sup>a,b</sup> Treatment means within the same column within effect with no common superscripts are significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup> Vitamin D receptor. <sup>2</sup> Eggs that were not injected with any solution and also were not challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>3</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent at d 18 of incubation and that were not challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>4</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing vitamin 2.4  $\mu$ g of D<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and that were also challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>5</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and that were also challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa. <sup>6</sup> Eggs injected with 50  $\mu$ L of commercial diluent containing 2.4  $\mu$ g of D<sub>3</sub> and 2.4  $\mu$ g of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at d 18 of incubation and also were challenged with coccidiosis vaccine at 14 doa.

**Table 4.** Effects of coccidiosis infection on the fold change expression of genes linked to immunity and vitamin D activity before and after coccidiosis infection.

Genes	n	14 doa <sup>1</sup>	28 doa <sup>2</sup>	SEM	p-Value
IL-2	16	1.017 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.130	0.031
IL-6	16	1.34 <sup>b</sup>	2.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.508	0.028
IL10	16	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	3.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.581	0.002
IFN- $\gamma$	16	1.08	0.88	0.139	0.135
TGF- $\beta$ 4	16	1.29 <sup>b</sup>	2.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.410	0.010
TLR4	16	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.156	0.021
TLR-15	16	1.25	1.12	0.185	0.509
MyD88	16	1.15	1.27	0.124	0.380
1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase	16	1.18 <sup>b</sup>	1.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.260	0.011
25-hydroxylase	16	1.04	1.33	0.177	0.110

Table 4. Cont.

Genes	n	14 doa <sup>1</sup>	28 doa <sup>2</sup>	SEM	p-Value
24-hydroxylase	16	1.82	1.42	0.377	0.285
VDR	16	1.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	0.153	0.003

<sup>a, b</sup> Treatment means within the same column within effect with no common superscripts are significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup> 14 d of age, when all birds were unchallenged. <sup>2</sup> 28 d of age (14 days post-infection), when birds were challenged with coccidiosis vaccine.

#### 4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of in ovo administration of D<sub>3</sub> and 25OHD<sub>3</sub> on the gene expression of broilers subjected to a coccidiosis infection. It is well documented that a coccidial infection generates a chronic intestinal infection as a result of a robust up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines linked to the innate [30,38] or adaptive [8,10,39] immune systems. Among genes that have been reported to be expressed in association with innate immunity responses in chickens infected by coccidiosis are *TLR-4*, *TLR-15*, *MyD88*, and nuclear factor kappa B (*NF-κB*). Zhou et al. [30] reported that broilers subjected to an *E. tenella* infection had a higher level of expression of the *TLR-4* and *TLR15* genes. In addition, layers challenged with *E. tenella* have been shown to exhibit a 2 fold increase in the up-regulation of *TLR15*, *NF-κB*, and *MyD88* gene expression in their ceca between 4 and 24 h post infection [38]. Receptors such as *TLR* are capable of recognizing conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns [40]. These *TLR* are present in all the developmental stages of the life cycle of various *Eimeria* species [41]. The *MyD88* protein is a downstream adaptor for *TLR* and is curial for many of the functions of *TLRs*. Intrinsic to those functions, LR-MyD88 signaling has been shown to trigger inflammatory responses to interior pathogens [42]. In the current study, none of the genes associated with innate immunity were differentially expressed, while the expression of those genes linked to adaptive immunity were altered by in ovo injection treatment. The findings in the current study showed that the in ovo injection of D<sub>3</sub> alone or in combination with 25OHD<sub>3</sub> did not alter the expression of genes that were linked to either an immune response or to vitamin D activity. However, in ovo administration of 2.4 μg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone increased the expression of anti-inflammatory response genes (*IL-10* and *TGF-β4*), and decreased the expression of a pro-inflammatory response gene (*IL-6*) during the coccidiosis infection. In comparison to D<sub>3</sub> at the same level of supplemental dietary inclusion, it has likewise been shown that the expression of *IL-10* increased and *IL-1β* decreased in response to 2760 IU/kg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> in broilers challenged with coccidiosis [8]. Additionally, dietary 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at 100 μg/kg increased CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells and decreased CD8<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells in coccidiosis-infected broilers [10] and turkeys [39]. It is well documented that the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells [37,41], *IL-10* [43,44] and *TGF-β* [45] are associated with regulatory T cell (**Tregs**) formation that is facilitated by an up-regulation of the expression of the aforementioned genes. The Tregs are immunity suppression cells that act to inhibit T cell proliferation and cytokine production [46]. Thus, these results indicate that dietary 25OHD<sub>3</sub> induces an adaptive immune response, and more specifically the activation of an anti-inflammatory response during systemic and chronic infections including coccidiosis. The down-regulation of an inflammatory response in the 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone in ovo-injected treatment group could be due to the up-regulation of genes linked to vitamin D<sub>3</sub> activity. When compared to the diluent and D<sub>3</sub> alone injected treatment groups in their study, the expression of 1α-hydroxylase increased, and 24-hydroxylase decreased in response to the 25OHD<sub>3</sub> alone treatment. The conversion of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> to the active form of vitamin D (1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>) is facilitated by 1α-hydroxylase in the kidney [12], intestine [12], breast and thigh muscles [12], and immune cells including macrophages and T-cells [10]. Moreover, by the action of 24-hydroxylase, 25OHD<sub>3</sub> can also be converted to the inactive form of vitamin D (24,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>) in many cells in which there are vitamin D receptors. Therefore, an increase in the expression of 1α-hydroxylase or a decrease in the expression of 24-hydroxylase may directly affect vitamin D<sub>3</sub> activity. In previous companion studies, these current treatments

employed were also investigated. In comparison the injection of diluent or D<sub>3</sub> alone, the in ovo administration of 2.4 µg of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> improved BW gain from 0 to 28 doa [26], meat yield [26], small intestine morphology [27], and the inflammatory response [27] of broilers subjected to a coccidial infection. Therefore, these morphological and serological improvements observed in previous studies may be due to the stimulation of genes linked to vitamin D activity as well as those eliciting an anti-inflammatory response during a coccidiosis challenge.

Across in ovo treatment, the expression of major vitamin D activity genes including *VDR* and 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase, that converts 25OHD<sub>3</sub> to 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, was significantly increased in the birds subjected to a coccidial infection. The 25OHD<sub>3</sub> interacts with *VDR* in various organs and tissues such as the intestines [4,47], muscle cells, bone, kidney, parathyroid gland, pancreas, pituitary, chorioallantoic membrane, and the egg shell gland [48,49]. However, its efficacy is associated with *VDR* and 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase, that converts 25OHD<sub>3</sub> to 1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> [4]. It is well-documented that hydroxylation in the liver is reduced when chickens are subjected to stressful conditions [50], mycotoxicosis [51], and *E-coli* infections [52]. Additionally, hydroxylation in the liver is decreased during severe coccidiosis infections [53]. Thus, these results indicate that the intestinal absorption of D<sub>3</sub> and its functionality may be reduced during a coccidiosis infection, which may subsequently lead to the additional use of supplemental dietary D<sub>3</sub> or other D<sub>3</sub> sources, such as 25OHD<sub>3</sub> to support of vitamin D function. Furthermore, the expression of *IL-2*, *IL-6*, and *TLR-4* involved in pro-inflammatory responses significantly increased during the coccidiosis infection in this study. Several studies have reported the elevation in the expression of *IL-2* and *IL-6* during various avian *Emeria* infections [54–56]. Likewise, *TLR-4* categorized as a pathogenic infection indicator, has been shown to be up-regulated during an *E. tenella* infection [30]. Therefore, the broilers in the current study that experienced a successful *Emeria* infection exhibited a measurable immune reaction.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the aim in the current research was to identify the genes that are linked to an immune response and to vitamin D activity in the jejunum of the small intestine of broilers subjected to an *Emeria* infection after having received individual or combinational in ovo injection of 2 vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources. Beside upon the findings in this study, it is suggested that the coccidiosis infection had significant effects on the expression of genes involved in vitamin D, function (1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase and *VDR*), and a pro-inflammatory response (*IL-2*, *IL-6* and *TLR-4*). Furthermore, the in ovo administration of 2.4 µL of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> resulted in the up-regulation of genes linked to an anti-inflammatory response (*IL-10* and *TGF- $\beta$ 4*). Moreover, the expression of 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase increased and 24-hydroxylase decreased in response to the in ovo injection of 2.4 µL of 25OHD<sub>3</sub>. However, the other in ovo-injection treatments investigated in this study did not display significant effects on the expression of genes associated with either an inflammatory reaction or to vitamin D function. These results, therefore, demonstrate that the amniotic in ovo administration of 25OHD<sub>3</sub> at 18 doi may more quickly ameliorate the negative effects of a coccidiosis infection by means of its effects on intestinal vitamin D activity or an inflammatory reaction. Further research is required to determine the regulatory effects of the in ovo administration of different vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources on the immune response and intestinal development of broilers subjected a coccidiosis infection.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.A.F.; methodology, S.A.F., A.M. and K.S.M.; software, S.A.F.; validation, S.A.F., A.M., L.Z. and E.D.P.; formal analysis, S.A.F.; investigation, S.A.F.; resources, E.D.P.; data curation, S.A.F., I.P., S.P., A.M. and E.D.P.; writing—original draft preparation, S.A.F.; writing—review and editing, S.A.F., L.Z., S.P., K.S.M. and E.D.P.; visualization, S.A.F.; supervision, E.D.P.; project administration, S.A.F.; funding acquisition, E.D.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.



**Funding:** This research was supported by the DSM Nutritional Products Inc., Zoetis Animal Health Co., United States Department of Agriculture (USDA agreement no. 58-6406-4-016) and Merial Select Inc.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The experimental procedure was accepted by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Mississippi State University (Protocol #IACUC-20-248).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** None of the data were deposited in an official repository.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors express their appreciation for the assistance of the graduate and undergraduate students of the Poultry Science Department of Mississippi State University. Our special thanks are also extended to April Levy for her invaluable assistance.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors indicate that they have no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

## References

1. Henry, H.L. Measurement of the chicken kidney 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> 1-hydroxylase and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> 24-hydroxylase. *Methods Enzymol.* **1980**, *67*, 445–449. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Booth, B.E.; Tsai, H.C.; Morris, R.C., Jr. Vitamin D status regulates 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>-1 alpha-hydroxylase and its responsiveness to parathyroid hormone in the chick. *J. Clin. Investig.* **1985**, *75*, 155–161. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Russell, J.; Bar, A.; Sherwood, L.M.; Hurwitz, S. Interaction between calcium and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in the regulation of preproparathyroid hormone and vitamin D receptor messenger ribonucleic acid in avian parathyroids. *Endocrinology* **1993**, *132*, 2639–2644. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. De Matos, R. Calcium metabolism in birds. *Vet. Clin. N. Am. Exot. Anim. Pract.* **2008**, *11*, 59–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Yarger, J.G.; Quarles, C.L.; Hollis, B.W.; Gray, R.W. Safety of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol as a source of cholecalciferol in poultry rations. *Poult. Sci.* **1995**, *74*, 1437–1446. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Vignale, K.; Greene, E.S.; Caldas, J.V.; England, J.; Boonsinchai, N.; Sodsee, P.; Pollock, E.D.; Dridi, S.; Coon, C.N. 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol enhances male broiler breast meat yield through the mTOR pathway. *J. Nutr.* **2015**, *145*, 855–863. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Hutton, K.C.; Vaughn, M.A.; Litta, G.; Turner, B.J.; Starkey, J.D. Effect of vitamin D status improvement with 25-hydroxycholecalciferol on skeletal muscle growth characteristics and satellite cell activity in broiler chickens. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *92*, 3291–3299. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Morris, A.; Shanmugasundaram, R.; Lilburn, M.S.; Selvaraj, R.K. 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol supplementation improves growth performance and decreases inflammation during an experimental lipopolysaccharide injection. *Poult. Sci.* **2014**, *93*, 1951–1956. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Chou, S.H.; Chung, T.K.; Yu, B. Effects of supplemental 25-hydroxycholecalciferol on growth performance, small intestinal morphology, and immune response of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* **2009**, *88*, 2333–2341. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Morris, A.; Shanmugasundaram, R.; McDonald, J.; Selvaraj, R.K. Effect of in vitro and in vivo 25-hydroxyvitamin D treatment on macrophages, T cells, and layer chickens. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *93*, 2894–2903. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Christakos, S.; Ajibade, D.V.; Dhawan, P.; Fechner, A.J.; Mady, L.J. Vitamin D: Metabolism. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. N. Am.* **2010**, *39*, 243–253. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Shanmugasundaram, R.; Selvaraj, R.K. Vitamin D-1alpha-hydroxylase and vitamin D-24-hydroxylase mRNA studies in chickens. *Poult. Sci.* **2012**, *91*, 1819–1824. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Shojadoost, B.; Behboudi, S.; Villanueva, A.I.; Brisbin, J.T.; Ashkar, A.A.; Sharif, S. Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> modulates the function of chicken macrophages. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **2015**, *100*, 45–51. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Williams, C.J. In ovo vaccination and chick quality. *Int. Hatch. Prac.* **2011**, *19*, 7–13.
15. Williams, C.J. In ovo vaccination for disease prevention. *Int. Poultry Prod.* **2007**, *15*, 7–9.
16. Peebles, E.D. In ovo applications in poultry: A review. *Poult. Sci.* **2018**, *97*, 2322–2338. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Mousstaaid, A.; Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Alqhtani, A.H.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of the in ovo injection of L-ascorbic acid on broiler hatching performance. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 1020. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Fatemi, S.A.; Alqhtani, A.H.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Zhang, H.; Levy, A.W.; Peebles, E.D. Improvement in the performance and inflammatory reaction of Ross 708 broilers in response to the in ovo injection of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 138–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Zhang, H.; Alqhtani, A.H.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of the in ovo injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in Ross 708 broilers subsequently fed commercial or calcium and phosphorous-restricted diets: I. performance, carcass characteristics, and incidence of woody breast myopathy. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 10122. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Durojaye, O.; Zhang, H.; Turner, B.; Peebles, E.D. The effects of in ovo-injected vitamin D<sub>3</sub> sources on the eggshell temperature and early post-hatch performance of Ross 708 broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2020**, *99*, 1357–1362. [[CrossRef](#)]

21. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Durojaye, O.; Zhang, H.; Turner, B.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of source and level of in ovo-injected vitamin D<sub>3</sub> on the hatchability and serum 25-hydroxycholecalciferol concentrations of Ross 708 broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2020**, *99*, 3877–3884. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Bello, A.; Hester, P.Y.; Gerard, P.D.; Zhai, W.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of commercial in ovo injection of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol on bone development and mineralization in male and female broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2014**, *93*, 2734–2739. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Yair, R.; Shahar, R.; Uni, Z. In ovo feeding with minerals and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> improves bone properties in hatchlings and mature broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2015**, *94*, 2695–2707. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Abbasi, T.; Shakeri, M.; Zaghari, M.; Kohram, H. Growth performance parameters, bone calcification and immune response of in ovo injection of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol and vitamin K3 in male Ross 308 broilers. *Theriogenology* **2017**, *90*, 260–265. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Zhang, H.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of the in ovo injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in Ross 708 broilers subsequently fed commercial or calcium and phosphorous-restricted diets: II. Immunity and small intestine morphology. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 101240. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of the in ovo injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in Ross 708 broilers subsequently challenged with coccidiosis. I. performance, meat yield and intestinal lesion. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 101382. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Fatemi, S.A.; Elliott, K.E.C.; Bello, A.; Macklin, K.S.; Peebles, E.D. Effects of the *in ovo* injection of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in Ross 708 broilers subsequently challenged with coccidiosis: II. Immunological and inflammatory responses and small intestine histomorphology. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 1027. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Poudel, S.; Zhang, L.; Tabler, G.T.; Lin, J.; Zhai, W. Effects of riboflavin and *Bacillus subtilis* on internal organ development and intestinal health of Ross 708 male broilers with or without coccidial challenge. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 100973. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Poudel, S.; Tabler, G.T.; Lin, J.; Zhai, W.; Zhang, L. Riboflavin and *Bacillus subtilis* effects on growth performance and woody-breast of Ross 708 broilers with or without *Eimeria* spp. challenge. *J. Anim. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *64*, 443. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Zhou, Z.; Wanga, Z.; Cao, L.; Hua, S.; Zhang, Z.; Qin, B.; Guo, Z.; Nie, K. Upregulation of chicken TLR4, TLR15 and MyD88 in heterophils and monocyte-derived macrophages stimulated with *Eimeria tenella* in vitro. *Exp. Parasitol.* **2013**, *133*, 427–433. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Fatemi, S.A. Effects of dietary 25-hydroxycholecalciferol and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> on performance, meat yield, bone characteristics, innate immune response and gene expression of Ross 308 broilers grown on reused or fresh litter. Master's Dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2016.
32. Poudel, S.; Li, T.; Chen, S.; Zhang, X.; Cheng, W.-H.; Sukumaran, A.T.; Kiess, A.S.; Zhang, L. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and molecular characterization of *Campylobacter* isolated from broilers and broiler meat raised without antibiotics. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2022**, *10*, e0025122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Andersen, C.L.; Jensen, J.L.; Ørntoft, T.F. Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: A model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Res.* **2004**, *64*, 5245–5250. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>(-Delta Delta C(T))</sup> Method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Al-Zghoul, M.B.; Saleh, K.M.; Ababneh, M.M.K. Effects of pre-hatch thermal manipulation and post-hatch acute heat stress on the mRNA expression of interleukin-6 and genes involved in its induction pathways in 2 broiler chicken breeds. *Poult. Sci.* **2019**, *98*, 1805–1819. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Brisbin, J.T.; Gong, J.; Parvizi, P.; Sharif, S. Effects of lactobacilli on cytokine expression by chicken spleen and cecal tonsil cells. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2010**, *17*, 1337–1343. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Steel, R.G.D.; Torrie, J.H. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach*, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1980.
38. Li, J.; Yang, X.; Jia, Z.; Ma, C.; Pan, X.; Ma, D. Activation of ChTLR15/ChNF-κB-ChNLRP3/ChIL-1β signaling transduction pathway mediated inflammatory responses to *E. tenella* infection. *Vet. Res.* **2021**, *52*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Shanmugasundaram, R.; Morris, A.; Selvaraj, R.K. Effect of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol supplementation on turkey performance and immune cell parameters in a coccidial infection model. *Poult. Sci.* **2019**, *98*, 1127–1133. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Kumar, H.; Kawai, T.; Akira, S. Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. *Int. Rev. Immunol.* **2011**, *30*, 16–34. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Fetterer, R.H.; Miska, K.B.; Jenkins, M.C.; Barfield, R.C. A conserved 19-kDa *Eimeria tenella* antigen is a profilin-like protein. *J. Parasitol.* **2004**, *90*, 1321–1328. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Zheng, C.; Chen, J.; Chu, F.; Zhu, J.; Jin, T. Inflammatory Role of TLR-MyD88 Signaling in Multiple Sclerosis. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **2020**, *12*, 314. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Shanmugasundaram, R.; Selvaraj, R.K. Regulatory T cell properties of chicken CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> Cells. *J. Immunol.* **2011**, *186*, 1997–2002. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Morris, A.; Selvaraj, R.K. In vitro 25-hydroxycholecalciferol treatment of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated chicken macrophages increases nitric oxide production and mRNA of interleukin-1 beta and 10 during a coccidia challenge. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *93*, 2894–2903. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Wan, Y.Y.; Richard, A.F. 'Yin-Yang' functions of transforming growth factor-beta and T regulatory cells in immune regulation. *Immunol. Rev.* **2007**, *220*, 199–213. [[CrossRef](#)]

46. Sojka, D.K.; Huang, Y.H.; Fowell, D.J. Mechanisms of regulatory T-cell suppression—A diverse arsenal for a moving target. *Immunology*. **2008**, *124*, 13–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Nemere, I.; Dormanen, M.C.; Hammond, M.W.; Okamura, W.H.; Norman, A.W. Identification of a specific binding protein for 1 alpha, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in basal-lateral membranes of chick intestinal epithelium and relationship to transcaltachia. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 23750–23756. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Norman, A.W. Studies on the vitamin D endocrine system. *Avian. J. Nutr.* **1987**, *117*, 797–807. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Elaroussi, M.A.; Prah, J.M.; DeLuca, H.F. The avian vitamin D receptors: Primary structures and their origins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 11596–11600. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Thaxton, J.P.; Puvadolpirod, S. Model of physiological stress in chickens. 5. Qualitative evaluation. *Poult. Sci.* **2000**, *79*, 391–395. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Yarru, L.P.; Settivari, R.S.; Antoniou, E.; Ledoux, D.R.; Rottinghaus, G.E. Toxicological and gene expression analysis of the impact of aflatoxin B1 on hepatic function of male broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* **2009**, *88*, 360–371. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Peighambari, S.M.; Julian, R.J.; Gyles, C.L. Experimental *Escherichia coli* respiratory infection in broilers. *Avian. Dis.* **2000**, *44*, 759–769. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Ali, B.H. The hepatic and duodenal activities of some drug metabolizing enzymes in chickens: Influence of infection with *Escherichia coli* endotoxin and coccidiosis. *Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharm.* **1997**, *22*, 223–227. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Lynagh, G.R.; Bailey, M.; Kaiser, P. Interleukin-6 is produced during both murine and avian *Eimeria* infections. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **2000**, *76*, 89–102. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Hong, Y.H.; Lillehoj, H.S.; Lillehoj, E.P.; Lee, S.H. Changes in immune-related gene expression and intestinal lymphocyte subpopulations following *Eimeria maxima* infection of chickens. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **2006**, *114*, 259–272. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Yu, H.; Zou, W.; Wang, X.; Dai, G.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, G.; Xie, K.; Wang, J.; Shi, H. Research Note: Correlation analysis of interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 gene expression in chicken spleen and cecal tissues after *Eimeria tenella* infection in vivo. *Poult. Sci.* **2020**, *99*, 1326–1331. [[CrossRef](#)]