

## **Evaluation of the Bactericidal Activity of Plazomicin and Comparators against Multidrug-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae**

**M. Thwaites,a D. Hall,a D. Shinabarger,a A. W. Serio,b K. M. Krause,b A. Marra,a C. Pillara**

**Antimicrobial Agents** 

MICROBIOLOGY **and Chemotherapy**<sup>®</sup>

a Micromyx, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA <sup>b</sup>Achaogen, South San Francisco, California, USA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

**ABSTRACT** The next-generation aminoglycoside plazomicin, in development for infections due to multidrug-resistant (MDR) Enterobacteriaceae, was evaluated alongside comparators for bactericidal activity in minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and time-kill (TK) assays against MDR Enterobacteriaceae isolates with characterized aminoglycoside and  $\beta$ -lactam resistance mechanisms. Overall, plazomicin and colistin were the most potent, with plazomicin demonstrating an MBC $_{50/90}$  of 0.5/4  $\mu$ g/ml and sustained 3-log<sub>10</sub> kill against MDR Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Enterobacter spp.

**KEYWORDS** plazomicin, bactericidal activity, Enterobacteriaceae, bactericidal, multidrug resistance

**C**arbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) and extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase<br>(ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* [\(1](#page-4-0)[–](#page-4-1)[3\)](#page-4-2) top the Centers for Disease Control and arbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) and extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase Prevention's list of major threats [\(4\)](#page-4-3). Antibiotic resistance is increasing, likely due to the rise of ESBLs and CREs; they are often multidrug resistant (MDR), leaving few therapeutic options and highlighting the need for new agents to treat serious infections caused by these pathogens [\(5\)](#page-4-4), namely, urinary tract infections, nosocomial pneumonia, bacteremia, and intraabdominal infections. The next-generation aminoglycoside plazomicin has been evaluated in two phase 3 clinical studies in patients with complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI) or acute pyelonephritis (AP) and in patients with bloodstream infections, hospital- and ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia, or cUTI/AP due to CRE. Aminoglycosides are often used to treat CRE, as these drugs are bactericidal against these strains; however, increasing resistance due to the presence of genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AMEs) has given clinicians pause [\(6](#page-4-5)[–](#page-4-6)[8\)](#page-4-7), as these organisms typically carry multiple resistance determinants [\(9,](#page-4-8) [10\)](#page-4-9). Plazomicin maintains activity against most aminoglycoside-resistant Enterobacteriaceae as it is not inactivated by plasmid-borne AMEs [\(11\)](#page-4-10). It is also active in vitro against MDR Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates, including ESBL-producing isolates and CRE. This study examined the bactericidal activities of plazomicin and comparator agents against MDR Enterobacteriaceae in minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and time-kill assays.

MDR Enterobacteriaceae isolates were acquired from IHMA (Schaumburg, IL) and were genetically characterized for resistance to aminoglycosides (Achaogen, South San Francisco, CA) and  $\beta$ -lactams (IHMA) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material); these isolates were resistant to currently used antibiotics, including aminoglycosides (amikacin and gentamicin),  $\beta$ -lactams (ceftazidime and meropenem), and a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin). Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 served as the quality control strain. Plazomicin was provided by Achaogen as a stock solution in sterile distilled water ( $dH<sub>2</sub>O$ ). The comparators meropenem (USP, Rockville, MD), tigecycline (Waterstone Technology, Carmel, IN), amikacin, colistin, gentamicin, levofloxacin, and ceftazidime (Sigma-Aldrich) **Received** 5 February 2018 **Returned for modification** 12 March 2018 **Accepted** 6 May 2018

**Accepted manuscript posted online** 4 June 2018

**Citation** Thwaites M, Hall D, Shinabarger D, Serio AW, Krause KM, Marra A, Pillar C. 2018. Evaluation of the bactericidal activity of plazomicin and comparators against multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e00236-18. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00236-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00236-18)

**Copyright** © 2018 Thwaites et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to C. Pillar [cpillar@micromyx.com.](mailto:cpillar@micromyx.com)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>TABLE 1 Summary of the MIC and MBC values (µg/ml) and MBC:MIC ratios of plazomicin and other evaluated agents against Enterobacteriaceae



aPLZ, plazomicin; AMK, amikacin; GEN, gentamicin; CAZ, ceftazidime; MEM, meropenem; LVX, levofloxacin; TIG, tigecycline; COL, colistin.

b%S, percent susceptibility using CLSI M100-S25 susceptibility breakpoints (FDA breakpoints applied for tigecycline).

c Isolates with MIC/MBC values that were undefined/off scale were not included for analysis of MBC:MIC ratio, i.e., if the MIC value for an isolate fell outside the MIC testing range for an antibiotic.

<sup>d</sup>Only MIC and MBC ranges are shown for C. freundii (MIC<sub>50/90</sub> and MBC<sub>50/90</sub> not applicable).

were dissolved in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [\(12\)](#page-4-11).

The MIC and MBC values for plazomicin and comparators were determined by broth microdilution in accordance with CLSI guidelines [\(12](#page-4-11)[–](#page-4-12)[14\)](#page-4-13). For MBC determinations, duplicate  $10-\mu$ l aliquots from the MIC well and from three wells above the MIC were sampled for CFU enumeration. The MBC was defined as the concentration of drug that resulted in  $\geq$ 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml decrease (99.9% kill) after an overnight incubation. MBC: MIC ratios were determined, and MBC:MIC ratios of  $\leq 4$  were considered indicative of bactericidal activity [\(15\)](#page-4-14).

The time-kill kinetics of plazomicin (at 2-, 4-, 8-, and 16-fold the MIC), amikacin, gentamicin, meropenem, and colistin (at 8-fold the MIC) against 10 isolates (three E. coli, including ATCC 25922, four Klebsiella spp., and three Enterobacter spp.) were determined per CLSI guidelines [\(14\)](#page-4-13). For isolates with MIC values of  $>$ 8  $\mu$ g/ml, a



<span id="page-2-0"></span>

<sup>a</sup>ID, identifier.

bPLZ, plazomicin; AMK, amikacin; GEN, gentamicin; MEM, meropenem; COL, colistin.

concentration of 64  $\mu$ g/ml was used. After inoculation and sampling for a baseline viable count, flasks with the appropriate drug concentrations were incubated at 35°C with shaking. The flasks were sampled at specified time points for the determination of viable counts. Bactericidal activity was defined as a 3-log<sub>10</sub> decrease in CFU/ml relative to the starting inoculum maintained through 24 h.

The MIC<sub>50/90</sub> and MBC<sub>50/90</sub> values, as well as the MBC:MIC ratios and percent susceptibilities overall and by species, for plazomicin and comparator agents are shown in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) Against all isolates, plazomicin displayed an MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.5/2  $\mu$ g/ml and an MBC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.5/4  $\mu$ g/ml, with an MBC:MIC ratio of  $\leq$ 4 for 29 of 30 isolates (96.7%). In contrast, amikacin and gentamicin both demonstrated an MIC $_{50/90}$  value of 32/128  $\mu$ g/ml against these isolates. Amikacin had an MBC<sub>50/90</sub> of 64/256  $\mu$ g/ml and an MBC:MIC ratio of  $\leq$ 4 for 96.7% of isolates; gentamicin had an MBC $_{50/90}$  of 64/ $>$ 512  $\mu$ g/ml and an MBC:MIC ratio of  $\leq$ 4 for 92.6% of isolates. As the majority of the isolates were resistant to these aminoglycosides, the MBCs for gentamicin and amikacin are not clinically relevant, despite the low MBC:MIC ratios.

Ceftazidime and meropenem had MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values of 64/>512 and 0.06/64  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, against the tested isolates. The MBC $_{50/90}$  for ceftazidime was 128/ $>$ 512  $\mu$ g/ml; that for meropenem was 0.12/64  $\mu$ g/ml, with MBC:MIC ratios of  $\leq$ 4 for 100% of values. Levofloxacin had an MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 16/64  $\mu$ g/ml and an MBC<sub>50/90</sub> of 16/64  $\mu$ g/ml, with an MBC:MIC ratio of  $\leq$ 4 for 96.7% of isolates. Tigecycline and colistin had MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values of 0.5/4 and 0.12/0.25  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, against these Enterobacteriaceae. Tigecycline was generally bacteriostatic by MBC, with an MBC $_{50/90}$  of  $>\!4/\!\!>$ 16  $\mu$ g/ml and an MBC:MIC ratio of >4 against 75.9% of isolates. Colistin was bactericidal with an  $MBC_{50/90}$  of 0.12/0.5 and an MBC:MIC ratio of  $\leq$ 4 for all isolates.

Plazomicin and comparators were evaluated by a time-kill assay against a subset of isolates as shown in [Table 2;](#page-2-0) the results for plazomicin are shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-3-0) A summary of the time-kill results for comparators is shown in Fig. S1. At  $\geq$ 4-fold the MIC against the E. coli isolates [\(Fig. 1a\)](#page-3-0), plazomicin was rapidly bactericidal for up to 6 h, but there was regrowth at doses <16-fold MIC through 24 h. Against ECO001/ATCC 25922, amikacin (through 24 h) and gentamicin (only to 6 h) showed cidal activity. Colistin showed rapid  $>$ 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU killing against all three strains out to 6 h and against the ECO1143 and ECO156 strains through 24 h. Against the three Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates, plazomicin demonstrated  $\gt$ 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU killing within 1 h at  $\geq$ 4-fold the MIC through 24 h [\(Fig. 1b\)](#page-3-0). Amikacin and gentamicin showed rapid killing against KPN1158 but no activity against KPN1152; amikacin demonstrated killing against KPN1149 as well. Colistin was rapidly cidal only through 6 h against all three K. pneumoniae isolates. Plazomicin demonstrated 2-log<sub>10</sub> to 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU killing by 6 h at all concentrations against the Klebsiella oxytoca isolate, similar to amikacin, which was bactericidal at 6 h; gentamicin was not bactericidal at any time point. Colistin showed bactericidal activity



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG 1 (a) Time-kill kinetics of plazomicin against E. coli. (b) Time-kill kinetics of plazomicin against K. pneumoniae. (c) Time-kill kinetics of plazomicin against Enterobacter spp. Black diamonds, growth control; gray X's, plazomicin at 2 XMIC; circles, plazomicin at 4 XMIC; triangles, plazomicin at 8 XMIC; gray diamonds, plazomicin at 16 $\times$  MIC. Upper horizontal dashed lines represent the 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU decrease from time zero (t<sub>o</sub>); lower dotted horizontal lines represent the limits of detection.

through 6 h against KOX1006 with regrowth at 24 h. Plazomicin demonstrated  $>$ 3 $log<sub>10</sub>$  killing against the *Enterobacter aerogenes* isolate EAE1025 at all concentrations through 6 h without regrowth through 24 h at concentrations  $\geq$ 8-fold the MIC. Similarly, against the two Enterobacter cloacae isolates ECL1059 and ECL1060, plazomi $cin$  was bactericidal at concentrations  $\geq$ 4-fold the MIC. Amikacin and gentamicin showed 3-log<sub>10</sub> CFU killing through 6 and 24 h, respectively, against EAE1025 and ECL1060. For colistin, bactericidal activity was observed through 6 h for EAE1025, but cidality was observed for 4 h against ECL1059 and ECL1060.

Here, plazomicin demonstrated potent bactericidal activity against aminoglycoside-

and  $\beta$ -lactam-resistant MDR *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates. By MBC<sub>50/90</sub> and by MBC:MIC ratios, plazomicin and colistin were the most active bactericidal agents evaluated; tigecycline had potent activity by MIC but was largely bacteriostatic. In contrast, the high MBC<sub>50/90</sub> values for the other antibiotics evaluated reflected their decreased activities against this panel of isolates.

Time-kill assays confirmed the potent bactericidal activity of plazomicin, where rapid and sustained 3-log killing at concentrations at or greater than 4-fold the MIC was observed. Plazomicin displays potent in vitro activity that further translates to rapid and sustained bacterial killing at lower concentrations than comparator agents in this study. Bactericidal activity at lower concentrations could be an advantage for a new antibacterial agent, as this prevents bacterial regrowth and presumably resistance emergence [\(16\)](#page-4-15). That this set of organisms is MDR highlights the clinical potential of plazomicin against isolates with challenging resistance phenotypes.

## **SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material for this article may be found at [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00236-18) [.00236-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00236-18)

**SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1,** XLSX file, 0.01 MB. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 2,** XLSX file, 0.01 MB.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Funding for this work was provided by Achaogen, Inc.

We thank Achaogen, Inc. for providing the test isolates and aminoglycoside genetic characterization data. We also thank IHMA (Schaumburg, IL) for originally supplying the test isolates along with genetic characterization data on  $\beta$ -lactam resistance mechanisms.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>1. Potter RF, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. 2016. The rapid spread of carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae. Drug Resist Updat 29:30 – 46. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2016.09.002) [.org/10.1016/j.drup.2016.09.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2016.09.002)
- 2. Perez F, Endimiani A, Hujer KM, Bonomo RA. 2007. The continuing challenge of ESBLs. Curr Opin Pharmacol 7:459 – 469. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2007.08.003) [.1016/j.coph.2007.08.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2007.08.003)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>3. Woodford N, Carattoli A, Karisik E, Underwood A, Ellington MJ, Livermore DM. 2009. Complete nucleotide sequences of plasmids pEK204, pEK499 and pEK516, encoding CTX-M enzymes in three major Escherichia coli lineages from the United Kingdom, all belonging to the international 025:H4-ST131 clone. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:4472– 4482. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00688-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00688-09)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span>4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Biggest threats. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. [https://www.cdc](https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest_threats.html) [.gov/drugresistance/biggest\\_threats.html.](https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest_threats.html)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>5. Hawkey P. 2015. Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: a product of globalization. J Hosp Infect 89:241–247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.01.008) [.2015.01.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.01.008)
- 6. Bremmer DN, Clancy CJ, Press EG, Almaghrabi R, Chen L, Doi Y, Nguyen MH, Shields RK. 2014. KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae strains that harbor AAC(6')-Ib exhibit intermediate resistance to amikacin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:7597–7600. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03831-14) [.03831-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03831-14)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span><span id="page-4-6"></span>7. Ramirez MS, Nikolaidis N, Tolmasky ME. 2013. Rise and dissemination of aminoglycoside resistance: the aac(6')-Ib paradigm. Frontiers Microbiol 4:121. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00121.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00121)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>8. Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. 2010. Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes. Drug Resist Updat 13:151–171. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2010.08](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003) [.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003)
- 9. Endimiani A, Hujer AM, Perez F, Bethel CR, Hujer KM, Kroeger J, Oethinger M, Paterson DL, Adams MD, Jacobs MR, Diekema DJ, Hall GS, Jenkins SG, Rice LB, Tenover FC, Bonomo RA. 2009. Characterization of

 $bla<sub>KPC</sub>$ -containing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates detected in different institutions in the Eastern USA. J Antimicrob Chemother 63:427– 437. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn547.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn547)

- <span id="page-4-9"></span>10. Hirakata Y, Matsuda J, Miyazaki Y, Kamihira S, Kawakami S, Miyazawa Y, Ono Y, Nakazaki N, Hirata Y, Inoue M, Turnidge JD, Bell JM, Jones RN, Kohno S, SENTRY Asia-Pacific Participants. 2005. Regional variation in the prevalence of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing clinical isolates in the Asia-Pacific region (SENTRY 1998 –2002). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 52:323–329. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.04](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.04.004) [.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.04.004)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>11. Cox G, Ejim L, Stogios PJ, Koteva K, Bordeleau E, Evdokimova E, Sieron AO, Savchenko A, Serio AW, Krause KM, Wright GD. 19 April 2018. Plazomicin retains antibiotic activity against most aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. ACS Infect Dis [https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.8b00001) [.8b00001.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.8b00001)
- <span id="page-4-12"></span><span id="page-4-11"></span>12. CLSI. 2015. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 25th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S25. CLSI, Wayne, PA.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>13. CLSI. 2015. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard–10th ed. CLSI document M07-A10. CLSI, Wayne, PA.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>14. NCCLS. 1999. Methods for determining bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents; approved guideline. NCCLS document M26-A. NCCLS, Wayne, PA.
- 15. Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. 2009. Potency and bactericidal activity of iclaprim against recent clinical Gram-positive isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2171–2175. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00129-09) [.1128/AAC.00129-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00129-09)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>16. Stratton CW. 2003. Dead bugs don't mutate: susceptibility issues in the emergence of bacterial resistance. Emerg Infect Dis 9:10 –16. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0901.020172) [.org/10.3201/eid0901.020172.](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0901.020172)