

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

benefit patients who are admitted to hospital and, outside hospitals, they should be used only in the context of clinical trials. However, on the basis of our current understanding of the evolution of COVID-19 (appendix), this broad generalisation from the treatment of severely ill patients who have been admitted to hospital to patients with uncomplicated COVID-19 in the community is not supported by current evidence. COVID-19 reflects a changing pathological process. Viral burden peaks early, around the time of first symptoms. This timepoint is when antiviral drugs are likely to be most beneficial. Thereafter, viral burden declines and inflammatory processes dominate in those patients who deteriorate and require admission to hospital, and ultimately respiratory support. Immune modulators and anti-inflammatories are more likely to be of benefit at this later stage but might be harmful if used earlier (ie, by enhancing viral replication).2 Evidence reviews<sup>2</sup> and the guidelines that they generate<sup>1</sup> should recognise that, although SARS-CoV-2 is one virus, both the COVID-19 disease process and access to health care vary widely. The WHO Global Development Group "prioritized outcomes taking a patient perspective".2 They decided that mortality would be most important to patients, followed by need for and duration of mechanical ventilation. We argue that prevention of hospital admission is the therapeutic priority for low-resource settings, which usually have few facilities for intensive care. Efficacy assessments in prevention and in uncomplicated COVID-19 should not be pooled with results from severely ill patients who have been admitted to hospital.

We declare no competing interests.

\*Nicholas J White, Nathalie Strub-Wourgaft, Abul Faiz, Philippe J Guerin nickw@tropmedres.ac

 $\label{lem:mahidol} Mahidol\,Oxford\,Tropical\,Medicine\,Research\,Unit,\\ Faculty\,of\,Tropical\,Medicine,\,Mahidol\,University,\\$ 

Bangkok 10400, Thailand (NJW); Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative, Geneva, Switzerland (NS-W); Dev Care Foundation, Dhammondi Residential Area, Dhaka, Bangladesh (AF); Infectious Diseases Data Observatory, Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, University of Oxford, UK (PJG)

- 1 WHO. Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline. Dec 17, 2020. https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/therapeutics-and-covid-19-living-quideline (accessed Feb 14, 2021).
- Siemieniuk R, Rochwerg B, Agoritsas T, et al. A living WHO guideline on drugs for COVID-19. BMJ 2020; 371: m4475.
- 3 The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19—preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2020; published online July 17. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436.

### Vaccines and SARS-CoV-2 variants: the urgent need for a correlate of protection

Immune-escape variants have raised concerns about the effectiveness of vaccines as the world scales up SARS-CoV-2 immunisation. COVID-19 vaccines have shown up to 95% efficacy¹ in preventing clinical cases and up to 100% efficacy² in preventing severe disease or admission to hospital in settings with pre-existing variants. New variants, especially 501Y.V2 (B.1.351), which escape natural-induced and vaccine-induced immunity, have created uncertainty on whether the vaccines are effective in preventing both mild and severe COVID-19.

Preliminary reports show that the 501Y.V2 variant has complete immuneescape in South African convalescent serum samples,3 and reductions in neutralising activity in vaccinee serum samples for all four vaccines tested.4-7 Although these reductions were small for the BBIBP-CorV,4 BNT162b2,5 and mRNA-12736 vaccines, preliminary data suggest they were substantial, including with a complete immune escape for the AZD1222 vaccine.7 Concerningly, the clinical trial efficacy of AZD1222 was 70% in the UK and Brazil,8 but 22% according to preliminary data from South Africa.7 For NVX-CoV237 the efficacy was 89% in the UK but 49% in South Africa,9 whereas for Ad26.COV2-S the efficacy was 72% in the USA but 57% in South Africa.10 Extrapolating vaccine efficacy against pre-existing variants to new variants could be seriously misleading.

Adequate genomic surveillance. standardised variant nomenclature, and a repository of variants and vaccinee serum samples11 are needed to deal with the challenges of repeatedly emerging new SARS-CoV-2 variants, but there is a particularly pressing need to establish a correlate of protection so that vaccine efficacy results obtained with pre-existing variants can be translated to newly emerging variants because it is impractical and time consuming to repeat clinical trials with each new immune-escape variant. Furthermore, repeating clinical trials for each variant might take so long that even newer variants could emerge while these clinical trials are underway.

Because the immune responses required to prevent mild disease might be different to severe disease, correlates of protection might need to be stratified on the basis of disease severity. There are four key requirements to achieve this aim. First, all SARS-CoV-2 vaccine developers with existing or completed efficacy trials should commit to transparency and open data sharing. Second, an expert committee (preferably under WHO) should be appointed to review existing and planned analyses to identify correlates of protection for each efficacious vaccine. Third, studies with multiple vaccines to fast-track the identification of an animal model, assay, or marker as a correlate of protection should be initiated to address gaps in the correlate research plans. Finally, a central database should be created to collate data for each of the efficacious vaccines, thereby providing larger sample sizes to assess multiple variables as correlates of protection and to test if a correlate identified in one trial is valid in other trials.

The identification of a correlate of protection is too important and urgent

See Online for appendix





Published Online March 22, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)00468-2

to be left to uncoordinated separate studies by individual investigators or vaccine developers. South Africa, at the forefront of dealing with the challenge of its vaccine roll-out during the spread of a predominant 501Y.V2 variant, has to make vaccine decisions without adequate efficacy data. A correlate of protection for mild and severe SARS-CoV-2 infection will go a long way to providing an evidence base for these decisions and overcome the obstacles that new variants are placing on the vision of global SARS-CoV-2 control with the widespread implementation of effective immunisation.



Published Online

March 24, 2021

https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)00530-4 SSAK is the co-chair of the South African Ministerial Advisory Committee for COVID-19.

## Salim S Abdool Karim salim.abdoolkarim@caprisa.org

Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa, Durban 4013, South Africa; Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University, New York, LISA

- 1 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. N Eng J Med 2020; 383: 2603-15.
- Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. N Eng J Med 2020; 384: 403–16.
- 3 Wibmer CK, Ayres F, Hermanus T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. bioRxiv 2021: published online Jan 19. https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.01.18.427166 (preprint).
- 4 Huang B, Dai L, Wang H, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 VOC 501Y.V2 by human antisera elicited by both inactivated BBIBP-CorV and recombinant dimeric RBD ZF2001 vaccines. bioRxiv 2021: published online Feb 2. https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2021.02.01.429069 (preprint).
- 5 Wang Z, Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, et al. mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. Nature 2021; published online Feb 10. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-021-03324-6.
- Wu K, Werner AP, Moliva JI, et al. mRNA-1273 vaccine induces neutralizing antibodies against spike mutants from global SARS-CoV-2 variants. bioRxiv 2021: published online Jan 25. https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2021.01.25.427948 (preprint).
- 7 Madhi SA, Baillie V, Cutland CL, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) COVID-19 vaccine against the B.1.351 variant in South Africa. medRxiv 2021: published online Feb 12. https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.02.10.21251247 (preprint).
- 8 Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet 2021; 397: 99-111.

- 9 Novavax. Press release: Novavax COVID-19 vaccine demonstrates 89-3% efficacy in UK phase 3 trial. Jan 28, 2021. https://ir.novavax.com/news-releases/news-release-details/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstrates-893-efficacy-uk-phase-3 (accessed Feb 9, 2021).
- .0 Johnson & Johnson . Johnson & Johnson announces single-shot Janssen COVID-19 vaccine candidate met primary endpoints in interim analysis of its phase 3 ENSEMBLE trial. Jan 29, 2021. https://www.jnj.com/johnson-and-johnson-announces-single-shot-janssen-covid-19-vaccine-candidate-met-primary-endpoints-in-interim-analysis-of-its-phase-3-ensemble-trial (accessed Feb 9, 2021).
- 11 Fontanet A, Autran B, Lina B, Kieny MP, Abdool Karim SS, Sridhar D. SARS-CoV-2 variants and ending the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet 2021; 397: 952-54.

# Gender, race, and health workers in the COVID-19 pandemic

The Editors¹ correctly highlighted the situation the health workforce is in, and how it is facing "serious harms to their physical and mental wellbeing while trying to deliver quality care" during the COVID-19 pandemic. Considering the health workforce as a homogeneous group misses the reality of who is affected within this group and the necessary solutions.

70% of the global health workforce are women, a number that increases to 90% with social care workers. Sexaggregated case data collated by the UN show that more than 70% of COVID-19 infections in health-care workers in the USA, Italy, and Spain are in women. In our work on health professionals' gender and race at the front line of the COVID-19 pandemic, we found that this rate is partly because of the absence of necessary resources provided to these health-care workers: women, and Black women in particular, have less access to personal protective equipment (PPE) and training. Female health-care workers worldwide are also facing the downstream effects of their work, including mental health issues,<sup>2</sup> increased physical violence, alternative arrangements for their families so as to not expose them to risk, and physical exhaustion.

Gender-neutral policy making inherently neglects the needs of women.<sup>3</sup> Thus, it is imperative to ensure that all considerations of health-care workers are disaggregated by gender and race to understand the differential effect between different members of the workforce. In doing so, targeted interventions can ensure that PPE is distributed fairly, that proper mental health programmes are created, and that these efforts are gender mainstreamed to ensure that they reach those most vulnerable to suffering these effects.

We declare no competing interests.

### Gabriela Lotta, \*Michelle Fernandez, Denise Pimenta, Clare Wenham michelle.vfernandez@gmail.com

Fundação Getúlio Vargas, São Paulo, Brazil (GL); University of Brasília, Brasília 70904-970, Brazil (MF); Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Belo Horizonte, Brazil (DP); London School of Economic and Political Science, London, UK (CW)

- 1 The Lancet. Health and care workers are owed a better future. Lancet 2021; 397: 347.
- Vizheh M, Qorbani M, Arzaghi SM, Muhidin S, Javanmard Z, Esmaeili M. The mental health of healthcare workers in the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2020: 19: 1-12.
- 3 Wenham C. Feminist global health security. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2021.

For **UN sex-aggregated case data** see https://data.unwomen.org/ resources/covid-19-emergingqender-data-and-why-it-matters

For more on **gender and race on the front line** see https://www.
genderandcovid-19.org/
resources/covid-19-pandemicand-health-professionals-genderand-race-on-the-front-line/

#### **Department of Error**

Lokugamage AU, Wong SHM, Robinson NMA, Pathberiya SDC. Transformational learning to decolonise global health. Lancet 2021; 397: 968-69—In this Correspondence, the published work the authors refer to in their first sentence has been corrected to a Comment. This correction has been made to the online version as of April 1, 2021.