Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ana Ramirez De Molina, Madrid Institute for Advanced Studies, Spain

REVIEWED BY Razvan Iacob, Fundeni Clinical Institute, Romania Han Wu, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Chaoliu Dai cmudaichaoliu@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Gastrointestinal Cancers: Hepato Pancreatic Biliary Cancers, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 18 May 2022 ACCEPTED 18 August 2022 PUBLISHED 05 September 2022

CITATION

Feng H, Xu F, Zhao Y, Jin T, Liu J, Li R, Zhou T and Dai C (2022) Prognostic value of combined inflammatory and nutritional biomarkers in HCC within the Milan criteria after hepatectomy. *Front. Oncol.* 12:947302. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.947302

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Feng, Xu, Zhao, Jin, Liu, Li, Zhou and Dai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prognostic value of combined inflammatory and nutritional biomarkers in HCC within the Milan criteria after hepatectomy

Hanxin Feng, Feng Xu, Yang Zhao, Tianqiang Jin, Jianbo Liu, Rui Li, Tianyi Zhou and Chaoliu Dai*

Department of General Surgery, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

Aims: This study aimed to evaluate the predictive value of the combined prognostic nutritional index (PNI) and GGT/ALT for the postoperative prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) within Milan criteria undergoing radical hepatectomy.

Methods: This single-center retrospective study included 283 patients with HCC within the Milan criteria who underwent hepatectomy. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to calculate the optimal PNI and GGT/ALT cut-off values. Pre-treatment PNI, GGT/ALT, and PNI-GGT/ALT grades were calculated. Overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and multivariate analysis was used to identify prognostic factors.

Results: Multivariate Cox regression analysis identified that the PNI, GGT/ALT, tumor number were significant prognostic markers for OS, and that the GGT/ALT, tumor number were significant prognostic markers for OS. The survival curves showed that low PNI, high GGT/ALT ratio, and high PNI-GGT/ALT grade were associated with poorer OS and DFS. With an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.690, PNI-GGT/ALT outperformed each individual score.

Conclusion: PNI-GGT/ALT, a new prognostic scoring model, qualifies as a novel prognostic predictor for patients with HCC within the Milan criteria after curative resection.

KEYWORDS

hepatocellular carcinoma, Milan criteria, inflammation, prognostic nutritional index, prognostic factor

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common type of malignant tumor, ranked as the fifth most common in men and ninth in women (1). Though hepatic resection has been recommended as the first choice for curative treatments, only approximately 15%–20% of patients are deemed suitable candidates for surgical resection at HCC diagnosis (2). Even after hepatectomy, long-term prognosis remains unsatisfactory with an estimated 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of 50% (3). Therefore, a more accurate estimate of the tumor burden and prognosis of patients has become a concern for HCC management.

Cancer-related inflammation is the seventh hallmark of cancer (4). HCC is a typical inflammation-driven disease that primarily develops due to underlying chronic liver inflammation; chronic HBV and HCV infection account for 80% of HCC cases globally (5). Inflammation contributes to the development and progression of HCC by supporting cancer stem cells, promoting proliferative and survival signaling, evading immune surveillance, inducing angiogenesis, activating invasion, metastasis, and inducing genomic instability (6).

The prognostic nutritional index (PNI), which is obtained through serum albumin (ALB) and lymphocyte count in the peripheral blood, is a simple and practical indicator of systemic inflammatory response to assess perioperative immune and nutritional status (7). PNI was initially proposed to predict the prognosis of patients with gastrointestinal malignancies (8), and is a good prognostic indicator for prostate, pancreatic, lung, and gallbladder cancers (9–12). Recent evidence demonstrates that patients with HCC with a lower preoperative PNI have a worse prognosis (13, 14).

Both gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) are well-established hepatitis parameters that reflect inflammatory disturbances in the liver. A lower GGT/ALT ratio was initially proposed to be prognostically associated with better condition and treatment response in viral hepatitis (15, 16). Furthermore, the analysis of the GGT/ALT ratio was valuable for the diagnosis of HCC (17). Ju et al. reported that GGT/ALT was a predictor for the prognosis of Child-Pugh A HCC resections, a high GGT/ALT ratio was associated with poor prognosis of patients with HCC after liver resection (18). Zhang et al. showed that a combination of PNI and GGT/ALT may be a useful prognostic predictor in patients with HBV-associated HCC, even better than the tumornode-metastasis (TNM) stage (19).

Hence, this study aimed to evaluate the prognostic value of PNI and GGT/ALT in HCC within the Milan criteria and investigate whether preoperative PNI combined with GGT/ALT would be an effective and practical predictor of prognosis in patients with HCC within the Milan criteria.

Materials and methods

Patients

All patients who underwent hepatectomy for histologically proven HCC at Shengjing Hospital between January 2012 and December 2019 were identified. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) tumor within the Milan criteria: single tumor ≤ 5 cm in diameter, or tumor number \leq 3, a maximum diameter of \leq 3 cm, (b) R0 resection achieved, (c) no macroscopic vascular or bile duct invasion, and (d) hepatectomy as initial treatment in Shengjing Hospital. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) history of second primary malignant tumors; (b) severe preoperative physical condition such as cardiopulmonary compromise or renal failure; (c) the patient had an acute infection within 7 days; (d) Child-Pugh C; (e) previous chemotherapy or other preoperative antitumor treatment; and (f) incomplete data. A total of 283 patients were enrolled in this study. HCC was diagnosed based on the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) and the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) (20, 21). A total of 283 patients completed the retrospective study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shengjing Hospital. The need for written informed consent was waived owing to the retrospective nature of the study.

Follow up

Patients were generally followed up with serum AFP levels, liver function tests, ultrasound and dynamic contrast-enhanced CT or MRI, and serum AFP levels at 2 months postoperatively, and then once every 3 months. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the interval between the date of surgery and the date of detection of recurrence. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the period between the date of hepatectomy and the date of death or the date of the last follow-up. Once recurrence was confirmed, salvage treatments were selected, including hepatectomy, MWA, or TACE.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test (or Fisher's exact test, if necessary). Survival curves were drawn using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to identify the prognostic factors related to OS and DFS. Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to determine the cutoff values of PNI and GGT/ALT. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was used to estimate and compare the prognostic predictive ability of the new scoring model with other prognostic factors. A larger AUC indicated a higher predictive power. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Statistics software package (version 25.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL), and statistical significance was set at a two-tailed p < 0.05.

Result

Cut-off determination of PNI and GGT/ ALT

PNI was defined as albumin level (g/L) + 5 × lymphocyte count (109/L). The optimal cut-off value of PNI was 48.48, sensitivity = 0.688, and specificity = 0.581, corresponding to the maximum Youden index (= 0.269) for predicting 5-year OS in ROC curve analysis. Therefore, patients were divided into the PNI-high (PNI ≥48.48) and PNI-low (PNI < 48.48) groups. There were 100 patients with low PNI and 183 with high PNI.

The optimal cut-off value of GGT/ALT was 1.65, sensitivity was 0.628, and specificity was 0.621, corresponding to the maximum Youden index of 0.249 for predicting 5-year OS in ROC curve analysis. Patients were then assigned to either the GGT/ALT-high (GGT/ALT \geq 1.65; n=118) or GGT/ALT-low (GGT/ALT < 1.65; n=165) group.

Patient characteristics

A total of 283 patients (men, 223 [78.8%]; women, 60 [24.2%]; median age, 58 years [range: 30-79 years]) were

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics by treatment cohort.

enrolled in this study; their baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median follow-up time was 40 months (range, 2– 107 months). During the follow-up period, 47 (16.6%) patients died, and 110 (38.9%) had tumor recurrence. The 1-, 3-, and 5year overall survival (OS) rates were 94.7%, 87.3%, and 84.8%, respectively. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year DFS rates were 82.0%, 68.9%, and 64.3%, respectively.

Correlation between PNI or GGT/ALT and clinicopathologiccharacteristics of HCC

The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients with different PNI or GGT/ALT levels were analyzed (Table 1). High GGT/ALT levels were only related to GGT (> 50 U/L) (P < 0.001). However, low PNI was related not only to GGT (> 50 U/L) (P = 0.007), but also to PLT (< 100 × 10^9/L), ALB (< 35 g/L), TBIL (> 17.1 μ mol/L), ALT (> 40 U/L), AST (> 40 U/L), Cirrhosis, portal hypertension and Child-Pugh B (all p < 0.05).

Factors associated with OS and DFS in patients with HCC

Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to identify the factors related to OS and DFS (Table 2). Univariate analyses showed that multiple tumor numbers, low PLT ($<100 \times 10^{9}$ /L), decreased ALB (<35 g/L),

Variables	Total	PNI		р	GGT/ALT		р
		<48.48	≥48.48		<1.65	≥1.65	
Age (≥60 years)	115 (40.6)	42 (42.0)	73 (39.9)	0.730	67 (40.6)	48 (40.7)	0.990
Sex (male)	223 (78.8)	74 (74.0)	149 (81.4)	0.144	129 (78.2)	94 (79.7)	0.764
Virus hepatitis	257 (90.8)	90 (90.0)	167 (91.3)	0.726	154 (93.3)	103 (87.3)	0.083
Tumor number (multiple)	24(8.5)	9 (9.0)	15 (8.2)	0.817	11 (6.7)	13 (11.0)	0.195
Tumor size (>3 cm)	135 (47.7)	52 (52.0)	83 (45.4)	0.285	78 (47.3)	57 (48.3)	0.864
PLT (< $100 \times 10^{9}/L$)	95(33.6)	63 (63.0)	32(17.5)	< 0.001	57 (34.5)	38 (32.2)	0.681
AFP > 200 ng/mL	73 (25.8)	27 (27.0)	46 (25.1)	0.732	43 (26.1)	30 (25.4)	0.904
ALB (< 35 g/L)	23 (8.1)	23 (23.0)	0 (0)	< 0.001	12 (7.3)	11 (9.3)	0.534
TBIL (> 17.1 μmol/L)	76 (26.9)	39 (39.0)	37 (20.2)	0.001	40 (24.2)	36 (30.5)	0.241
ALT (>40 U/L)	94 (33.2)	40 (40.0)	54 (29.5)	0.073	59 (35.8)	35 (29.7)	0.283
AST (> 40 U/L)	64 (22.6)	45 (45.0)	19 (10.4)	< 0.001	38 (23.0)	26 (22.0)	0.843
PT (prolongation > 3 s)	7 (2.5)	4 (4.0)	3 (1.6)	0.411	4 (2.4)	3 (2.5)	1.000
Cirrhosis	217 (76.7)	90 (90.0)	127 (69.4)	< 0.001	127 (77.0)	90 (76.3)	0.891
Portal hypertension	123 (43.5)	68 (68.0)	55 (30.1)	< 0.001	67 (40.6)	56 (47.5)	0.252
Child-Pugh B	21(7.4)	17 (17.0)	4 (2.2)	< 0.001	8 (4.8)	13 (11.0)	0.051
GGT(> 50 U/L)	(103.9)	56 (56.0)	72 (39.3)	0.007	40 (24.2)	88 (74.6)	< 0.001

PNI, prognostic nutritional index; GGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; PLT, platelets; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; TBIL, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PT, prothrombin time.

Categorical Data presented in n (%).

Variables **Overall survival** Disease-free survival survival Univariate Multivariate Univariate Multivariate р р p р HR (95% CI) HR (95% CI) HR (95% CI) HR (95% CI) Age (≥60 years) 1.29(0.73 - 2.30)0 378 0.74 (0.50 -1.10) 0 1 3 3 1.42(0.63 - 3.17)0 395 1.17(0.72 - 1.89)0 536 Sex (male) 1.30(0.60 - 2.80)Viral hepatitis 0.58(0.24 - 1.36)0.209 0.505 2.30(1.32 - 3.98)Tumor number (multiple) 3.60(1.73 - 7.51)0.001 3.64(1.71 - 7.75)0.001 0.003 2.14(1.23 - 3.73)0.007 Tumor size (>3 cm) 1.26 (0.71 - 2.23) 0.431 1.28 (0.88 - 1.86) 0.196 PLT (<100×10^9/L) 2.40 (1.35 - 4.26) 0.003 1.43 (0.69 - 2.97) 0.340 1.53 (1.04 - 2.25) 0.033 1.13 (0.69 - 1.85) 0.633 AFP (> 200 ng/mL) 0.95(0.49 - 1.84)1.40(0.94 - 2.10)0.885 0.099 0.67(0.29 - 1.53)ALB (< 35 g/L) 2.21(1.03 - 4.73)0.041 1.25 (0.54 - 2.91) 0.600 0.343 TBIL (> 17.1 µmol/L) 1.73(0.96 - 3.11)0.070 $1\ 10\ (0\ 72\ -\ 1\ 68)$ 0.656 ALT (>40 U/L) 1.22 (0.68 - 2.21) 0.500 1.00 (0.67 - 1.50) 0.986 AST (> 40 U/L) 1.66(0.90 - 3.07)1.07 (0.68 - 1.69)0.106 0.759 PT (prolongation > 3 s) 1.97 (0.48 - 8.14) 0.349 1.46 (0.54 - 3.97) 0.457 Cirrhosis 1.52 (0.71 - 3.25) 0.281 1.05 (0.67 - 1.64) 0.843 2.15 (1.20 - 3.86) 0.010 1.56 (1.07 - 2.27) 0.020 0.336 Portal hypertension 1.28(0.63 - 2.61)0.493 1.25(0.79 - 1.98)PNI (< 48.48) 2.84 (1.59 - 5.10) < 0.001 2.13 (1.08 - 4.21) 0.029 1.63 (1.11 - 2.37) 0.012 1.41 (0.92 - 2.16)0.114 GGT/ALT (≥1.65) 2.47 (1.37 - 4.45) 0.003 2.34 (1.29 - 4.24) 0.005 1.74 (1.19 - 2.52) 0.004 1.65(1.13 - 2.41)0.010

TABLE 2 Prognostic factors of overall survival and recurrence-free survival.

HR, hazard ratio; PLT, platelets; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALB, albumin; TBIL, total bilirubin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PT, prothrombin time; PNI, prognostic nutritional index; GGT, γ -glutamyl transferase.

Bold means there is statistically significant. Variables with P < 0.05 at univariate analysis were retained for multivariate analysis.

portal hypertension, low PNI (< 48.48), and high GGT/ALT ratio (\geq 1.65) were associated with poor OS. In multivariate analyses, multiple tumor numbers, low PNI, and high GGT/ALT remained independent prognostic factors. Univariate analysis of these parameters revealed that multiple tumor numbers, low PLT, portal hypertension, low PNI, and high GGT/ALT were associated with poor DFS, but multivariate analysis showed that only multiple tumor numbers and high GGT/ALT were independent risk factors for DFS.

Preoperative prognostic scoring model based on nutrition and inflammation

The preoperative prognostic scoring model was based on nutritional status (PNI) and liver inflammation markers (GGT/ALT). Patients with a high PNI were assigned a score of 0; otherwise, the patients were assigned a score of 1. Patients in the GGT/ALT-low (GGT/ALT ≥ 1.65) group were assigned a score of 0; patients in the GGT/ALT-high (GGT/ALT < 1.65) group were assigned a score of 1. Subsequently, these values were used to calculate the PNI-GGT/ALT score for each patient. The combination of the PNI and GGT/ALT scores was the summation of the two scores, and the patients were then divided into grade 1 (score 0), grade 2 (score 1), and grade 3 (score 2). Among the 283 patients in this study, 107(37.8%) were reclassified as grade 1, 134(47.3%) as grade 2, and 42(14.8%) as grade 3.

Survival analysis

The median survival duration was 40 months (range 2–107 months). A total of 47/283 (16.6%) patients died, and 110/283 (38.9%) patients experienced tumor recurrence during the follow-up period.

Compared with the PNI-low group, the patients in the PNIhigh group had markedly higher 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates (96.6%, 89.0%, and 88.2% vs. 90.9%, 77.8%, and 66.3%, respectively; P < 0.001) (Figure 1A) and DFS rates (85.5%, 71.1%, and 62.0% vs. 74.6%, 54.7%, and 45.9%, respectively; P = 0.011) (Figure 1B), suggesting a positive correlation between low PNI and poor OS and DFS.

Compared with the GGT/ALT-low group, the patients in the GGT/ALT-high group had markedly poorer 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates (90.5%, 77.5%, and 69.9% vs. 97.5%, 90.6%, and 87.7%, respectively; P = 0.002) (Figure 1C) and DFS rates (74.3%, 56.5%, and 44.5% vs. 87.0%, 72.1%, and 65.4%, respectively; P = 0.003) (Figure 1D), suggesting a positive correlation between high GGT/ALT levels and poor survival in patients with HCC.

The 1-, 3-, 5- year OS rates in the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 1 group were 98.0%, 92.2%, and 92.2%, respectively and those in the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 2 group were 95.4%, 85.7%, and 80.9%, respectively. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates in the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 3 group were 83.3%, 65.0%, and 50%, respectively (P < 0.001) (Figure 1E). And DFS rates in the PNI-GGT/ALT



grade 1 group were 87.6%, 77.6%, and 72.3%, respectively. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year DFS rates in the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 2 group were 84.2%, 61.7%, and 49.6%, respectively. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year DFS rates in the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 3 group were 58.8%, 46.4%, and 38.3%, respectively (P < 0.001) (Figure 1F). Compared with the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 2 group, the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 1 group had significantly higher 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS (P = 0.013) and DFS (P = 0.013) rates. Likewise, compared with the PNI-GGT/ALT grade 3 group, the PNI-

GGT/ALT grade 2 group had significantly higher 1-, 3-, and 5year OS (P = 0.002) and DFS (P = 0.022) rates.

In addition, time-dependent ROC curves were used to compare the prognostic efficacy of PNI-GGT/ALT, PNI, GGT/ALT and AFP (Figure 2). Our results indicated that PNI-GGT/ALT had a higher AUC value (0.690) in predicting the 5- year OS of patients within the Milan criteria HCC after curative resection in comparison with AFP (0.501), PNI (0.634) and GGT/ALT (0.624).



Discussion

Risk factors for HCC include chronic hepatitis B and C, alcohol addiction, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and exposure to aflatoxin (5). Chronic inflammation is a hallmark of HCC (22), despite intrinsic differences among etiological factors for HCC. The pathogenesis of HCC is based on the perpetuation of a wound-healing response triggered by parenchymal cell death and the ensuing inflammatory reaction (23). The inflammatory environment contributes to constant cell death, compensatory regeneration and activation of non-parenchymal cells, the survival of preneoplastic hepatocytes, the generation of a fibrotic substrate, and is ultimately believed to contribute to tumorigenic progression (23, 24). The necroinflammation induces altered survival and proliferation signals, cellular stress, epigenetic modifications, chromosomal aberrations, mitochondrial alterations and senescence (24). Inflammation also leads to proliferation-associated replication stress, DNA damage and genetic instability, which can be detected before tumorigenesis (25).

In addition, changes in nutritional status can affect tumor progression and ultimately affect cancer prognosis. The liver is the central organ involved in the metabolism of nutrients, and patients with HCC are at a significantly increased risk for malnutrition. Prospective clinical studies have identified malnutrition as an independent negative prognostic factor in patients with HCC (26). Preoperative malnutrition may lead to increased postoperative infection and complications, resulting in delayed recovery (27). The predictive role of inflammation and nutrition markers in the prognosis of patients with HCC is of increasing interest.

The PNI is a combination index that reflects the nutritional status, immune function, and systemic inflammation of patients. It was first proposed to be an independent predictor of survival in patients with HCC by Pinato et al. (28) PNI was a better prognostic factor in patients with early HCC than in those with advanced stage diseases (29), and all the patients in this study were in the early stage.

The reasons why PNI can predict the prognosis of patients with HCC are as follows: As a negative acute-phase protein, albumin decreases in inflammatory states (30). Low serum albumin levels means the presence of cancer cachexia is caused by a sustained inflammatory response, either from the tumor itself or as a host reaction (31). In addition, hypoalbuminemia reflects a state of malnutrition, which would weaken cellular and humoral immunity, phagocytic functions, and other defense mechanisms in cancer patients (32). A recent study first reported that ALB acts as a tumour suppressor and plays a vital role in HCC progression, particularly in invasion and metastasis. Down-regulation of ALB promoted migration and invasion of HCC cells by increasing uPAR, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP2), and MMP9 (33). Supplementation with branched-chain amino acids can improve outcomes after cancer therapy and inhibit early relapse after hepatectomy (34). Lymphocytes play an important role in cancer surveillance (35). Accumulating evidence supported that the interactions of infiltrating immune cells with tumor components act as vital driving factors for tumor progression and therapeutic sensitivity (36, 37). As the prime anti-tumor cells, CD8⁺ T cells can secrete IFN- γ and TNF α to destroy cancerous cells once they contact tumor cells or eliminate tumor cells by releasing perforin and granzyme B through the Fas/FasL pathway (38). A large study of patients with HCC highlighted that a progressive lack of CD4⁺ T cells is closely associated with HCC progression and poor survival rates in HCC patients (39). The recruitment and activation of lymphocytes in tumor microenvironment inhibit tumor cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and chemoresistance (40). A lower lymphocyte count may indicate impairment of innate cellular immunity and a weakened defense against cancer, and ultimately enhance the malignant biological behavior of cancer cells.

Gamma-glutamyltransferase (γ -GT) is a membrane-binding enzyme that regulates the metabolism of glutathione and can exert pro-oxidative effects at the membrane surface and in the extracellular microenvironment (41, 42). However, the prooxidative activity of GGT may contribute to persistent oxidative stress described in cancer, modulate processes involved in tumor progression, such as cell proliferation or apoptosis, and to protective adaptation against electrophilic or alkylating compounds (42). High GGT levels are significantly associated with increased risk of esophageal, lung, laryngeal, colorectal, and stomach cancer, particularly cancer of the liver (43). Increased GGT/ALT ratio was originally associated with worse prognosis and treatment response in chronic viral hepatitis (15, 16). Yang et al. reported that hepatitis B patients with a higher GGT/ALT ratio were more likely to develop liver cancer (44). Vascular invasion is a significant but poor prognostic factor of survival in patients with HCC (45), Luo et al. disclosed that a high GGT/ALT ratio was identified as an independent risk factor for vascular invasion in patients with HCC (46). Additionally, Ju et al. observed that a higher GGT/ALT ratio correlated with a more severe tumor burden in patients with HBV-related HCC, including tumor size, tumor capsule, and shortened survival time (18).

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to assess the prognostic, predictive capacity of the combined PNI and GGT/ALT in patients with HCC within the Milan criteria after hepatectomy. In this study, we found that although both PNI and GGT/ALT could predict the prognosis of patients with HCC within the Milan criteria, their low accuracy and mutual exclusion as independent predictors suggest a possible combination. Therefore, the novel prognostic score model based on PNI and GGT/ALT, the PNI-GGT/ALT score is a combination of PNI as a systemic inflammation- and nutritionrelated marker, and GGT/ALT is a liver inflammation-related marker that can increase the predictive values. The new prognostic score had a higher AUC value for predicting the 5year survival of patients with HCC within the Milan criteria after curative resection (0.690) than AFP (0.501), PNI (0.634) and GGT/ALT (0.624). According to the survival analysis, OS and DFS of patients with higher PNI-GGT/ALT score are both shorter than patients with lower score(P < 0.05), which indicated that PNI-GGT/ALT grade might be a promising stratification tool for HCC patients within Milan criteria.

Owing to the advantages of being inexpensive and easily obtained at outpatient clinics, PNI, and GGT/ALT have been extensively investigated and identified as independent prognostic factors in patients with HCC, at least to a certain extent. The PNI and GGT/ALT ratios were integrated to create a novel prognostic model that takes into account the nutritional status, systemic inflammation, and liver inflammation.

However, this study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study, which may have led to selection bias. Second, our study set the cutoff values of PNI and GGT/ALT to be 48.48 and 1.65, respectively, but the optimal cutoff values of PNI and GGT/ALT remain to be determined. Third, this was a single-institution study of a homogeneous population with hepatitis B virus (HBV) (88.2%) as the main risk factor for HCC. Whether these findings can be applied to Western populations, wherein hepatitis C virus, alcoholic addiction, metabolic liver disease, and other etiologies of liver disease predominate, requires further study and discussion. Hence, further large-scale, multicenter, randomized controlled trials are required to confirm these results. In conclusion, the PNI and GGT/ALT ratios were integrated to create a novel prognostic model for patients with HCC within the Milan criteria after curative resection that considers the nutritional status, systemic inflammation, and liver inflammation.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Shengjing Hospital. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HF and CD contributed to conception and design of the study. HF, FX, YZ, TJ, JL, RL and TZ organized the database. HF performed the statistical analysis. HF wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Shenyang Science and Technology Project under Grant [number 7-230-9-16]; Liaoning Province Key Research and Development Plan Guidance Project under Grant [number 2017225032].

Acknowledgments

Hanxin Feng wants to thank Yutong Huang for her company.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68(6):394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

2. Verslype C, Rosmorduc O, Rougier PGroup EGW. Hepatocellular carcinoma: ESMO-ESDO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* (2012) 23 Suppl 7:vii41–8. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mds225

3. Grazi GL, Ercolani G, Pierangeli F, Del Gaudio M, Cescon M, Cavallari A, et al. Improved results of liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhosis give the procedure added value. *Ann Surg* (2001) 234(1):71–8. doi: 10.1097/00000658-200107000-00011

4. Colotta F, Allavena P, Sica A, Garlanda C, Mantovani A. Cancer-related inflammation, the seventh hallmark of cancer: links to genetic instability. *Carcinogenesis* (2009) 30(7):1073–81. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp127

5. Yang JD, Hainaut P, Gores GJ, Amadou A, Plymoth A, Roberts LR. A global view of hepatocellular carcinoma: trends, risk, prevention and management. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2019) 16(10):589–604. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0186-y

6. Yu LX, Ling Y, Wang HY. Role of nonresolving inflammation in hepatocellular carcinoma development and progression. *NPJ Precis Oncol* (2018) 2(1):6. doi: 10.1038/s41698-018-0048-z

7. Li S, Guo JH, Lu J, Wang C, Wang H. Prognostic value of preoperative prognostic nutritional index and body mass index combination in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma after transarterial chemoembolization. *Cancer Manag Res* (2021) 13:1637–50. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S290983

8. Onodera T, Goseki N, Kosaki G. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery of malnourished cancer patients. *Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi* (1984) 85(9):1001-5.

9. Li N, Song WJ, Gao J, Xu ZP, Long Z, Liu JY, et al. The prognostic nutritional index predicts the biochemical recurrence of patients treated with robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *Prostate* (2022) 82(2):221–6. doi: 10.1002/pros.24263

10. Geng Y, Qi Q, Sun M, Chen H, Wang P, Chen Z. Prognostic nutritional index predicts survival and correlates with systemic inflammatory response in advanced pancreatic cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (2015) 41(11):1508–14. doi: 10.1016/j.iciso.2015.07.022

11. Ozdemir Y, Topkan E, Mertsoylu H, Selek U. Low prognostic nutritional index predicts poor clinical outcomes in patients with stage IIIB non-small-cell lung carcinoma undergoing chemoradiotherapy. *Cancer Manag Res* (2020) 12:1959–67. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S248034

12. Wang J, Bo X, Li M, Nan L, Wang C, Gao Z, et al. Prediction efficacy for clinical outcome of prognostic nutritional index in patients with resectable biliary tract cancer depends on sex and obstructive jaundice status. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2021) 28(1):430–8. doi: 10.1245/s10434-020-08728-8

13. Man Z, Pang Q, Zhou L, Wang Y, Hu X, Yang S, et al. Prognostic significance of preoperative prognostic nutritional index in hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *HPB (Oxf)* (2018) 20(10):888–95. doi: 10.1016/j.hpb.2018.03.019

14. Fan X, Chen G, Li Y, Shi Z, He L, Zhou D, et al. The preoperative prognostic nutritional index in hepatocellular carcinoma after curative hepatectomy: A retrospective cohort study and meta-analysis. *J Invest Surg* (2019) 34(8):826–33. doi: 10.1080/08941939.2019.1698679

15. Tarantino G, Sorrentino P, Conca P, Perrella A, Ragucci P, Perrella O. Low daily dosage of interferon for 1 year after HCV-related end-therapy response. a randomized-controlled study. *Liver Int* (2003) 23(6):413–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2003.00871.x

16. Ebeling F, Lappalainen M, Vuoristo M, Nuutinen H, Leino R, Karvonen AL, et al. Factors predicting interferon treatment response in patients with chronic hepatitis c: late viral clearance does not preclude a sustained response. *Am J Gastroenterol* (2001) 96(4):1237–42. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03631.x

17. Yang JG, He XF, Huang B, Zhang HA, He YK. Rule of changes in serum GGT levels and GGT/ALT and AST/ALT ratios in primary hepatic carcinoma

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

patients with different AFP levels. Cancer Biomark (2018) 21(4):743-6. doi: 10.3233/CBM-170088

18. Ju MJ, Qiu SJ, Fan J, Zhou J, Gao Q, Cai MY, et al. Preoperative serum gamma-glutamyl transferase to alanine aminotransferase ratio is a convenient prognostic marker for child-pugh a hepatocellular carcinoma after operation. *J Gastroenterol* (2009) 44(6):635–42. doi: 10.1007/s00535-009-0050-x

19. Zhang T, Liu Z, Zhao X, Mao Z, Bai L. A novel prognostic score model based on combining systemic and hepatic inflammation markers in the prognosis of HBV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol* (2019) 47(1):2246–55. doi: 10.1080/21691401.2019.1573174

20. Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LR, et al. AASLD guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* (2018) 67(1):358–80. doi: 10.1002/hep.29086

21. European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address eee, European association for the study of the l. EASL clinical practice guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* (2018) 69(1):182–236. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.019

22. Strathearn LS, Stepanov AI, Font-Burgada J. Inflammation in primary and metastatic liver tumorigenesis-under the influence of alcohol and high-fat diets. *Nutrients* (2020) 12(4). doi: 10.3390/nu12040933

23. Berasain C, Castillo J, Perugorria MJ, Latasa MU, Prieto J, Avila MA. Inflammation and liver cancer: New molecular links. *Ann NY Acad Sci* (2009) 1155:206–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03704.x

24. Ringelhan M, Pfister D, O'Connor T, Pikarsky E, Heikenwalder M. The immunology of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19(3):222–32. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0044-z

25. Boege Y, Malehmir M, Healy ME, Bettermann K, Lorentzen A, Vucur M, et al. A dual role of caspase-8 in triggering and sensing proliferation-associated DNA damage, a key determinant of liver cancer development. *Cancer Cell* (2017) 32(3):342–59.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.08.010

26. Schutte K, Tippelt B, Schulz C, Rohl FW, Feneberg A, Seidensticker R, et al. Malnutrition is a prognostic factor in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). *Clin Nutr* (2015) 34(6):1122–7. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2014.11.007

27. Liang X, Liangliang X, Peng W, Tao Y, Jinfu Z, Ming Z, et al. Combined prognostic nutritional index and albumin-bilirubin grade to predict the postoperative prognosis of HBV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11(1):14624. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-94035-5

28. Pinato DJ, North BV, Sharma R. A novel, externally validated inflammation-based prognostic algorithm in hepatocellular carcinoma: the prognostic nutritional index (PNI). *Br J Cancer* (2012) 106(8):1439–45. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2012.92

29. Okamura Y, Sugiura T, Ito T, Yamamoto Y, Ashida R, Uesaka K. The optimal cut-off value of the preoperative prognostic nutritional index for the survival differs according to the TNM stage in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Surg Today* (2017) 47(8):986–93. doi: 10.1007/s00595-017-1491-0

30. Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N Engl J Med* (1999) 340(6):448-54. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199902113400607

31. Wang Z, Wang J, Wang P. The prognostic value of prognostic nutritional index in hepatocellular carcinoma patients: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *PloS One* (2018) 13(10):e0202987. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202987

32. Nakanishi Y, Masuda T, Yamaguchi K, Sakamoto S, Horimasu Y, Mimae T, et al. Albumin-globulin ratio is a predictive biomarker of antitumor effect of anti-PD-1 antibody in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol* (2020) 25(1):74–81. doi: 10.1007/s10147-019-01539-2

33. Fu X, Yang Y, Zhang D. Molecular mechanism of albumin in suppressing invasion and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int* (2022) 42(3):696–709. doi: 10.1111/liv.15115

34. Ruiz-Margain A, Roman-Calleja BM, Moreno-Guillen P, Gonzalez-Regueiro JA, Kusulas-Delint D, Campos-Murguia A, et al. Nutritional therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastrointest Oncol (2021) 13(10):1440-52. doi: 10.4251/wjgo.v13.i10.1440

35. Ostroumov D, Fekete-Drimusz N, Saborowski M, Kuhnel F, Woller N. CD4 and CD8 T lymphocyte interplay in controlling tumor growth. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2018) 75(4):689–713. doi: 10.1007/s00018-017-2686-7

36. Wang J, Ling S, Ni J, Wan Y. Novel gammadelta T cell-based prognostic signature to estimate risk and aid therapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. BMC Cancer (2022) 22(1):638. doi: 10.1186/s12885-022-09662-6

37. Xu Q, Chen S, Hu Y, Huang W. Prognostic role of ceRNA network in immune infiltration of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Genet* (2021) 12:739975. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.739975

38. Li Z, Song G, Guo D, Zhou Z, Qiu C, Xiao C, et al. Identification of GINS2 prognostic potential and involvement in immune cell infiltration in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cancer* (2022) 13(2):610–22. doi: 10.7150/jca.53841

39. Fu J, Zhang Z, Zhou L, Qi Z, Xing S, Lv J, et al. Impairment of CD4+ cytotoxic T cells predicts poor survival and high recurrence rates in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* (2013) 58(1):139–49. doi: 10.1002/hep.26054

40. Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoediting: from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. *Nat Immunol* (2002) 3(11):991-8. doi: 10.1038/ni1102-991 41. Whitfield JB. Gamma glutamyl transferase. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci (2001) 38 (4):263–355. doi: 10.1080/20014091084227

42. Ma H, Zhang L, Tang B, Wang Y, Chen R, Zhang B, et al. Gammaglutamyltranspeptidase is a prognostic marker of survival and recurrence in radiofrequency-ablation treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2014) 21(9):3084–9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-3724-4

43. Mok Y, Son DK, Yun YD, Jee SH, Samet JM. Gamma-glutamyltransferase and cancer risk: The Korean cancer prevention study. *Int J Cancer* (2016) 138 (2):311–9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29659

44. Yang P, Li QJ, Feng Y, Zhang Y, Markowitz GJ, Ning S, et al. TGF-beta-miR-34a-CCL22 signaling-induced treg cell recruitment promotes venous metastases of HBV-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* (2012) 22(3):291–303. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.07.023

45. Nitta H, Allard MA, Sebagh M, Ciacio O, Pittau G, Vibert E, et al. Prognostic value and prediction of extratumoral microvascular invasion for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2019) 26(8):2568–76. doi: 10.1245/s10434-019-07365-0

46. Zhao Z, Zhu Y, Ni X, Lin J, Li H, Zheng L, et al. Serum GGT/ALT ratio predicts vascular invasion in HBV-related HCC. *Cancer Cell Int* (2021) 21(1):517. doi: 10.1186/s12935-021-02214-1