

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Infection Control

journal homepage: www.ajicjournal.org

Brief Report

Serratia marcescens outbreak in a COVID-19 intensive care unit – Are there any factors specific to COVID-19 units that facilitate bacterial cross-contamination between COVID-19 patients?

Joao Costa Mendes MD^{1,2,*}, André Casado MD¹

¹ Hospital da Luz Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

² NOVA Medical School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

Keywords: Critical care Cross-contamination Infection control

SUMMARY

COVID-19 dedicated units were created early in the pandemic. Despite their need, factors specific to these units can possibly increase the risk of bacterial cross-contamination between COVID-19 patients. We report a *Serratia marcescens* outbreak in a COVID-19 intensive care unit, later discussing factors specific to COVID-19 units that may facilitate bacterial cross-contamination in such units, and raising awareness for such an issue.

© 2021 Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

REPORT

Since COVID-19 was first reported, the scientific community has worked hard to battle COVID-19. In the last months, vaccination rates increased and hospital admissions, mainly in developed countries, are decreasing. However, COVID-19 dedicated units are still commonplace and, to our knowledge, published reports on inpatient bacterial cross-contamination between COVID-19 patients are limited. We report a *Serratia marcescens* outbreak which occurred in a 16-bed intensive care unit (ICU) in Portugal during a period of high COVID-19 incidence (February 2021), later discussing the role of some factors, specific to COVID-19 units, that may facilitate bacterial outbreaks in COVID-19 units, and raising awareness for such outbreaks.

This outbreak started on February 2, 2021. Patient A had been admitted to the ICU due to COVID-19 pneumonia 4 days before. On mechanical ventilation for 3 days, further respiratory compromise, increased inflammatory parameters, and worsened septic shock ensued. He was started on piperacillin-tazobactam and tracheobronchial cultures were collected. These were positive for Serratia marcescens that was resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanate and sensitive to piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem, and gentamicin. Four days later, on February 6, 2021, a second patient (patient B) also tested positive for a Serratia marcescens with the same antibiotic susceptibility test. He was on day 2 of meropenem, which was maintained for 14 days. The same happened during the next weeks to 5 more patients: on February 9, 2021, patient C also tested positive for S. marcescens. He lied in the room next to that of patient A. Later, on February 20, 2021, patient D became positive. Patient E S. marcescens was identified on February 27, 2021, patient F on March 3, 2021, and, finally, on March 7, 2021, S. marcescens was identified one last time, in the tracheobronchial secretions of patient G, a patient that had undergone tracheostomy 10 days before. Overall, 7 patients tested positive for S. marcescens during a 33-day period, during which 32 patients were cared for in this ICU, meaning that 21.9% of the patients treated in this ICU during this period became superinfected with S. marcescens. Of these, 6 were diagnosed with ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by Serratia marcescens (3 with associated septic shock), and 1 was diagnosed with Serratia marcescens bacteraemia. All isolates had the same profile of antibiotic susceptibility. Patients' clinical courses and information regarding S. marcescens infection are further detailed in Table 1.

There are several published reports of *Serratia marcescens* hospital outbreaks.¹ However, in COVID-19 dedicated units, this is, to our

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2021.10.005







^{*} Address correspondence to Joao Costa Mendes, MD, Hospital da Luz Lisboa, Portugal, NOVA Medical School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.

E-mail address: joaorcostamendes@gmail.com (J.C. Mendes).

Conflicts of interest: The authors certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Outcome	Death in the ward	Discharged home	Death in the ICU	Discharged home	Discharged home	Discharged to another ICU on Mar 23, 2021	Discharged home
Day of hospital discharge	Jun 6, 2021	Jun 28, 2021	ı	Apr 9, 2021	Apr 7, 2021	ı	Mar 19, 2021
Day of ICU discharge	Apr 26, 2021	Mar 31, 2021	Mar 10, 2021	Apr 1, 2021	Mar 7, 2021	I	Mar 15, 2021
Treatment	Piperacillin- tazobactam	Meropenem	Meropenem	Piperacillin- tazobactam	Piperacillin- tazobactam	Piperacillin- tazobactam	Piperacillin- tazobactam
Clinical course of the patient associated with Serratia marcescens identification	Ventilator-associated pneumonia and septic shock	Ventilator-associated pneumonia and sepsis	Ventilator-associated pneumonia and septic shock	Ventilator-associated pneumonia	Bacteraemia	Ventilator-associated pneumonia and septic shock	Ventilator-associated pneumonia
Type of specimen where the <i>Serratia</i> <i>marcescens</i> was identified	Tracheobronchial secretions	Tracheobronchial secretions	Tracheobronchial secretions	Tracheobronchial secretions	Blood cultures	Tracheobronchial secretions	Tracheobronchial secretions
Date of specimen collection	Feb 2, 2021	Feb 6, 2021	Feb 9, 2021	Feb 20, 2021	Feb 27, 2021	Mar 3, 2021	Mar 7, 2021
Day of ICU admission	Jan 28, 2021	Jan 29, 2021	Jan 26, 2021	Feb 7, 2021	Feb 9, 2021	Feb 19, 2021	Jan 25, 2021
Day of hospital admission	Jan 28, 2021	Jan 29, 2021	Jan 24, 2021	Feb 2, 2021	Feb 2, 2021	Feb 16, 2021	Jan 24, 2021
Day of COVID- 19 diagnosis	Jan 28, 2021	Jan 24, 2021	Jan 24, 2021	Jan 25, 2021	Jan 28, 2021	Feb 16, 2021	Jan 22, 2021
Age	76	84	71	69	75	77	67
Sex	Male	Male	Male	Male	Female	Female	Female
Patient	Υ	В	U	D	ы	ц	U

On the factors leading to such outbreaks, we do believe some factors, specific for COVID-19 units, can play an important role. One of them relates to how personal protective equipment (PPE) is used in COVID-19 units. As recommended, health care professionals (HCPs) should protect themselves at all times.⁴ This is usually achieved by donning and doffing at the beginning and end of the shift, meaning that the HCP will contact different patients with the same PPE, possibly carrying pathogens around the unit and cross-infecting some of them. Changing or disinfecting PPEs in between patients, as well as using a second layer of protective equipment for each patient, such as a second surgical gown, apron and gloves, which are donned before every contact and disposed of after caring for that patient have been advocated. This is what happened in our institution. Patients positive for S. marcescens were isolated and precautions using a second layer of PPE were instituted. However, some PPEs (masks, goggles, face shields) are still not amenable to such practices. This can help explain the spread of S. marcescens in our unit. Moreover, PPE shortages, despite having been more common early in the pandemic, do continue to occur in many countries, preventing the use of a second layer every time a patient is handled.

A second factor we believe may be a promotor of bacterial crosscontamination in COVID-19 units is the fact that all patients are infected with the same pathogen. This precludes caring for cross-contamination of SARS-CoV-2, giving HCPs the false perception that cross-contamination is not an issue in such a ward. This is of course not true. SARS-CoV-2 infected patients are, as any other patients, at risk for infection by other microorganisms during the course of their COVID-19 pneumonia. Actually, pulmonary bacterial co-infections in COVID-19 hospitalized patients have been reported to have an incidence of at least 7% (14% in ICUs), being associated with worse clinical outcomes.⁵

Other factors that may have explained an increased likelihood of bacterial cross-contamination in COVID-19 units in the past, are now, we believe, less likely to have a role. Such is the case of the increased workload imposed on HCPs during the past year, with higher patient-HCP ratios and higher HCP burnout rates, which are associated with increased rates of health care associated infections.^{6,7} Another relates to the unprecedented need to repurpose hospital wards and spaces which are were not used to care for infected patients, which may lack the structure and logistics to properly prevent cross-contamination, and to reallocate HCPs outside their area of expertise, which could have further promoted cross-contamination. Finally, infection prevention and control teams, which usually provide support to units in terms of outbreak containment, probably were not able to provide such support during the pandemic. They planned for hospital reorganization during the pandemic, implemented diverse protocols on SARS-coV-2 and reviewed them on a regular basis as SARS-coV-2 knowledge expanded. These issues may still play an important role during future pandemic waves or if new SARS-CoV-2 vaccine-evading variants emerge.

Therefore, as observed by this *Serratia marcescens* outbreak, bacterial outbreaks in COVID-19 ICUs and wards can happen, negatively impacting on COVID-19 patients' outcomes. Some factors specific to COVID-19 wards can increase cross-contamination in COVID-19 inpatient cohorts. Raising awareness for this topic and implementing proper and further strategies to prevent cross-contamination in COVID-19 units is, therefore, paramount.

1

References

- Mahlen SD. Serratia infections: from military experiments to current practice. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2011;24:755–791.
- Amarsy R, Pean de Ponfilly GR, Benmansour HA, Jacquier H, Cambau EE, Mégarbane B. Serratia marcescens outbreak in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic: A paradoxical risk? *Medecine et maladies infectieuses*. 2020;50:750–751.
- Kewan T, Chawla S, Saleem T, Afzal S, Saand A, Alqaisi S. Superinfection in patients infected with COVID-19: a single-center experience. *Chest.* 2020;158:A350.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Using Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/using-ppe.html. Accessed May 5, 2021.
- Para O, Caruso L, Ronchetti M, Finocchi M, Guidi S, Spinicci M. Superinfection with difficult-to-treat bacteria in COVID-19 patients: a call for compliance with diagnostic and antimicrobial stewardship. *Intern Emerg Med.* 2020:1–3.
- Cimiotti JP, Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Wu ES. Nurse staffing, burnout, and health careassociated infection. *Am J Infect Control*. 2012;40:486–490.
- Hugonnet S, Chevrolet JC, Pittet D. The effect of workload on infection risk in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med. 2007;35:76–81.