Evaluation of Properties of Mineral Trioxide Aggregate with Methyl Cellulose as Liquid

Omid Dianat¹, Mandana Naseri¹, Seyedeh Farnaz Tabatabaei²

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Endodontics, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ² Postgraduate Student of Restorative and Cosmetic Dentistry, Department of Restorative and Cosmetic Dentistry, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Objectives: Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) is extensively used in endodontics. However, MTA is difficult to handle because of its granular consistency, low mechanical properties and initial looseness. The objective of this study was to assess the compressive strength (CS), diametral tensile strength (DTS), and pH of set MTA using methyl cellulose as liquid.

Materials and Methods: White ProRoot MTA was used as the control group; modified MTA cement was prepared by mixing Portland cement, bismuth oxide and calcium sulfate (75%, 20% and 5%, respectively) as the experiment group. Methyl cellulose was used as hydrating liquid and compared with distilled water. The data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA.

Results: The pH values of modified MTA cement set using deionized water and methyl cellulose were slightly, but not significantly, different (P>0.05). The DTS and CS tests for modified MTA cement hydrated with methyl cellulose showed a significant difference at one day and one week (P<0.05).

Conclusions: The results suggest that using methyl cellulose as the hydrating liquid enhances some mechanical properties but does not compromise pH of white ProRoot MTA. **Keywords:** Compressive Strength; Mineral Trioxide Aggregate; Tensile Strength

Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (2017; Vol. 14, No. 1)

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), a powder mixture consisting of hydrophilic particles including Portland cement clinker, bismuth oxide and gypsum, is extensively used as a root canal sealer and for pulp capping, apexification, and root end filling [1,2]. After a hydration reaction, the byproducts of insoluble calcium silicate hydrate and alkaline calcium hydroxide offer MTA cement unique stability and sealing ability, antibacterial properties, biocompatibility, and potential to enhance hard tissue regeneration [3]. However, the handling properties of MTA its use, for example, complicate in apical surgeries with limited access; MTA is difficult to

handle, because of its granular consistency, low strength and initial looseness, specifically as a pulp capping material [4,5]. A biocompatible cement hydration liquid, comprising of 3% methyl cellulose, 1.5% polyethylene glycol and 0.5% sodium fluoride is claimed to extensively improve the mechanical properties of MTA [6]. Polyethylene glycol is an anti-washout, antishrinkage solvent used as a lubricating coating for various surfaces in aqueous and non-aqueous environments [7]. Methyl cellulose is composed of nonionic water-soluble cellulose ether, which has an OH base and is almost like water. The methyl cellulose anti-washout admixture binds water molecules within the cement and increases

^{ef}Corresponding author: S. F. Tabatabaei, Department of Restorative and Cosmetic Dentistry, Islamic Azad University of Medical Sciences School of Dentistry, Tehran, Iran

farnaz_tabatabaee@yahoo.com

Received: 9 September 2016 Accepted: 22 December 2016

INTRODUCTION

the cohesive strength of the material, improving its handling and mechanical properties. Methyl cellulose helps control several important properties of a formulation such as rheology, dispersion, water demand and water retention. It has many practical benefits, including high consistency and workability, high standing strength and high yield [7]. Therefore, the present study was designed to examine the compressive strength (CS), diametral tensile strength (DTS) and pH of MTA mixed with methyl cellulose as liquid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The modified MTA cement was prepared by mixing Portland cement (75%), bismuth oxide (20%), and gypsum (5%) in a bench-top planetary ball mill (Retsch PM100; Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) for 10 minutes [8]. The MTA cement was individually mixed with distilled water and 3% methyl cellulose. The powder/methyl cellulose ratio of 1/1 by weight was tried first. The powder and methyl cellulose were weighed on a glass slab at room temperature. The powder was then divided into four equal portions on the glass slab. Mixing was started by mixing the first portion of the powder methyl cellulose. process with The was continued to gain the desired consistency. The ultimate amount of powder that can be added to cellulose to obtain a homogenous methyl consistency was used. Thus, the ratio of powder to methyl cellulose needed for mixing was determined to be 4:1 by weight. White ProRoot MTA (Dentsply, Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK) mixed with distilled according water to the manufacturer's instructions, was used as the control group.

Compressive strength and DTS:

The CS of the test materials was determined using the ISO 9917-1 method [9]. Each material was mixed and placed in a split stainless steel mold (4.0mm inner diameter and 6.0mm height). No later than 120 seconds after mixing, the Dianat et al

mixture was transferred to an incubator at 37°C and 100% relative humidity. Samples were removed from the molds and checked visually for any voids or chipped edges. All defective samples were discarded. Totally, 120 acceptable samples were prepared of each cement: 10 samples for each test at predetermined time points. All samples were incubated at 37°C in fully saturated humidity for three and seven days. The CS and DTS were then measured by a universal testing machine (Model GB/4302; Instron Corporation, High Wycombe, Bucks, United Kingdom) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/minute. The maximum load needed for fracture of each sample was measured. The CS was calculated in megapascals (MPa) according to the formula: CS 1/4 4P=PD2, where P is the maximum load applied in Newtons (N), and D is the mean diameter of the sample in millimeters. The DTS of cylindrical samples was calculated from the following formula: DTS= 2P/Pbw, where P is the peak load (N), b is the diameter (mm), and w is the length (mm) of the cylinder [8-12].

The pH measurement:

For the pH test, 10 polyethylene tubes measuring 3mm in diameter and 1mm in height were filled with freshly prepared samples of each material, sealed in flasks containing 10mL of distilled water, and stored at 37°C. The pH was measured at the end of mixing. After three hours of immersion, the tubes were carefully removed and placed into new flasks with an equal amount of fresh distilled water, and this procedure was repeated after every predetermined period of 48 and 72 hours and seven days [13-16]. The pH of the solutions was analyzed at each time point using a previously calibrated digital pH meter (SevenEasy; Mettler Toledo, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland).

Statistical analysis:

Repeated measures ANOVA was used to examine the differences in pH. The data from the CS and DTS tests were analyzed by two-way

Cement type	Compressive strength (3h,MPa)	Compressive strength (7D,MPa)	Tensile strength (3h,MPa)	Tensile strength (7D,MPa)
MTA+DW	14.49 ± 1.26	20.57±1.67	1.92 ± 0.21	6.92±0.31
MTA+MC	20.17 ± 2.90	29.98±2.67	2.24 ± 0.18	10.01±0.36
WMTA+DW	14.97 ± 2.08	22.06±1.41	2.06 ± 0.26	7.21±0.52

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of strength for different materials at different time points

WMT A: White mineral trioxide aggregate; DW: Distilled water; MC: Methyl cellulose; H: Hours; MPa: Megapascals; D: Days

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of	pH values for different materials	at different time points
---	-----------------------------------	--------------------------

Cement type	Freshly mixed	3h	48h	72h	7D
MTA+DW	11.07±0.21	11.48 ± 0.25	11.53±0.25	11.59±0.25	11.89±0.27
MTA+MC	10.93±0.20	11.44 ± 0.23	11.48 ± 0.22	11.53±0.23	11.84 ± 0.24
WMTA+DW	11.21±0.24	11.67 ± 0.21	11.51±0.23	12.02±0.19	11.97±0.29

WMT A: White mineral trioxide aggregate; DW: Distilled water; MC: Methyl cellulose; H: Hours; D: Days

ANOVA. In all cases, the results were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Compressive strength and DTS:

Table 1 shows the strength values of the three cement groups. The compressive strength values of White MTA and modified MTA cement mixed with distilled water did not significantly differ after three days (P=0.873) and one week (P=0.239). The DTS of White MTA and modified MTA cement mixed with distilled water did not significantly differ after three days (P=0.889) and one week (P=0.255). The CS and DTS of all admixtures increased significantly during seven days (P<0.001). The strength of modified MTA cement mixed with methyl cellulose was significantly higher than that of modified MTA cement mixed with distilled water and White MTA at all time points (P<0.001).

The pH variation:

The results of pH measurements are presented in Table 2. The pH values of White MTA and modified MTA cement mixed with distilled water did not significantly differ at all time points (P=0.752). The pH values of all admixtures increased significantly during the seven-day period (P<0.001). The pH values of modified MTA cement mixed with methyl cellulose were

slightly, but not significantly, lower than those of modified MTA cement mixed with distilled water and White MTA at all time points (P=0.752).

DISCUSSION

White MTA primarily consists of inorganic particles such as tricalcium silicate, dicalcium silicate, tricalcium aluminate, bismuth oxide, and gypsum. When cement and water are mixed, the hydration reactions are mostly exothermic and are governed by dissolution-precipitation processes. Consequently, the influence of the liquid phase, such as water, on the dissolution of inorganic particles. the nucleation growth processes of calcium silicate hydrate, and the precipitation of calcium hydroxide are dominant factors affecting the hydration rate of MTA. Hydrated cement creates a calcium silicate hydrate-based colloidal gel that solidifies to form an impermeable barrier with high alkalinity [4,17]. The greater mechanical properties of MTA are considered an important feature when this cement is used as pulp capping or as a coronal restorative material where it is subjected to occlusal loads. Typical test parameters to assess mechanical properties of hydrated cement include compressive and tensile strengths. However, when MTA is used as a root-end filling material, where minimal forces are applied, its

reduced strength will not be a major drawback [18]. The test method of the splitting tensile strength, also known as DTS, is commonly used when conventional tensile testing is difficult to perform because of the brittle nature of the test material. The flexural strength of MTA is significantly affected by the setting conditions [19] and condensation pressure [20]. Ji et al, [8] showed that DTS values of the modified MTA cement with distilled water was significantly higher than the values obtained using calcium lactate gluconate liquid after one day. However, at 21 days, distilled water and calcium lactate gluconate liquid systems did not significantly differ. The MTA with 10% CaCl2, 20% CaF, and 15% Na2HPO4 exhibited significantly lower compressive strength compared to the use of distilled water at seven days [21]. Different results were observed in our short-term study; modified MTA cement mixed with methyl cellulose showed higher strength values on days three and seven; because methyl cellulose is claimed to provide high consistency, high standing strength and high yield [7]. However, when mixing MTA powder, substitution of 0.12% chlorhexidine for water significantly increased the compressive strength at one week [22]. When MTA powder is mixed with water, calcium hydroxide and calcium silicate hydrate are formed initially and eventually transform into a poorly crystallized and porous solid gel [23]. The ratio of calcium silicate decreases because of the formation of calcium precipitate. The calcium precipitated produces calcium hydroxide, which causes high alkalinity of MTA after hydration [24]. This suggests that MTA mixed with hydration accelerators has lower pH than MTA mixed with distilled water. The biological properties of MTA (e.g. the ability to induce changes in cellular activity of osteoblasts) have been attributed to its alkalinity [25]. However, the pH of the modified MTA cement mixed with methyl cellulose was not significantly different from that of white MTA

Dianat et al and modified MTA cement mixed with distilled

water at all time points. This result indicates that MTA has a strong potential for releasing hydroxyl ions [24]. Negm et al, [26] evaluated the pH of an experimental sealer based on Portland cement compared with MTA and found that by addition of calcium hydroxide pH values were not significantly affected, which was also observed in the present study. Prasad et al, [21] showed that the pH of MTA mixed with CaCl2 was lower than that of MTA; however, when CaF and Na2HPO4 were added to MTA, pH value obtained was higher than that of MTA. The pH values observed in this study were smaller than those obtained by Parirokh and Torabinejad [1]. This is probably because of the use of tubes in this experiment, which limited contact to the surrounding water. Another factor to be considered is that the material was placed in a new flask with fresh distilled water at each evaluation time point.

CONCLUSION

According to the findings of this study, using methyl cellulose solution as an additive can improve the mechanical properties of hydrated MTA without comprising its pH. The expression of mineralization markers may need to be further investigated to verify the usefulness of this modified mixture in clinical applications.

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

This study was a part of a doctoral thesis by Farnaz Tabatabaei supervised by Dr. Mandana Naseri and Dr. Omid Dianat from Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, School of Dentistry and was financially supported by a grant from Shahid Beheshti University, School of Dentistry. The authors deny any conflicts of interest related to this study.

REFERENCES

1- Parirokh M, Torabinejad M. Mineral trioxide aggregate: a comprehensive literature review--Part I:

chemical, physical, and antibacterial properties. J Endod. 2010 Jan;36(1):16-27.

2- Darvell BW, Wu RC. "MTA"-an Hydraulic Silicate Cement: review update and setting reaction. Dent Mater. 2011 May;27(5):407-22.

3- Torabinejad M, Parirokh M. Mineral trioxide aggregate: a comprehensive literature review--part II: leakage and biocompatibility investigations. J Endod. 2010 Feb;36(2):190-202.

4- Parirokh M, Torabinejad M. Mineral trioxide aggregate: a comprehensive literature review--Part III: Clinical applications, drawbacks, and mechanism of action. J Endod. 2010 Mar;36(3):400-13.

5- Noh YS, Chung SH, Bae KS, Baek SH, Kum KY. Mechanical properties and microstructure analysis of mineral trioxide aggregate mixed with hydrophilic synthetic polymer. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2015 May;103(4):777-82.

6- Ber BS, Hatton JF, Stewart GP. Chemical modification of Pro-Root MTA to improve handling characteristics and decrease setting time. J Endod. 2007 Oct;33(10):1231-4.

7- Nawy EG. Concrete construction engineering handbook. London, UK, CRC Press, 2008:865-9.

8- Ji DY, Wu HD, Hsieh SC, Teng NC, Chen CC, Ke ES. Effects of a novel hydration accelerant on the biological and mechanical properties of white mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod. 2011 Jun;37(6):851-5.

9- ISO-Standards. ISO 9917-1:2007. Dentistry-Waterbased cements-Part 1: powder/liquid acid-base cements. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization; 2007. Available at: http://www.iso.org/iso/home.htm.

10- Natu VP, Dubey N, Loke GC, Tan TS, Ng WH, Yong CW. Bioactivity, physical and chemical properties of MTA mixed with propylene glycol. J Appl Oral Sci. 2015 Jul-Aug;23(4):405-11.

11- Akbari M, Zebarjad SM, Nategh B, Rouhani A. Effect of nano silica on setting time and physical properties of mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod. 2013 Nov;39(11):1448-51.

12- Lee BN, Hwang YC, Jang JH, Chang HS, Hwang IN, Yang SY. Improvement of the properties of mineral trioxide aggregate by mixing with hydration accelerators. J Endod. 2011 Oct;37(10):1433-6.

13- Marciano MA, Guimarães BM, Amoroso-Silva P, Camilleri J, Hungaro Duarte MA. Physical and chemical properties and subcutaneous implantation of mineral trioxide aggregate mixed with propylene glycol. J Endod. 2016 Mar;42(3):474-9.

14- Souza LC, Yadlapati M, Dorn SO, Silva R, Letra A. Analysis of radiopacity, pH and cytotoxicity of a new bioceramic material. J Appl Oral Sci. 2015 Jul-Aug;23(4):383-9.

15- Duarte MA, Alves de Aguiar K, Zeferino MA, Vivan RR, Ordinola-Zapata R, Tanomaru-Filho M. Evaluation of the propylene glycol association on some physical and chemical properties of mineral trioxide aggregate. Int Endod J. 2012 Jun;45(6):565-70.

16- Bortoluzzi EA, Broon NJ, Bramante CM, Felippe WT, Tanomaru Filho M, Esberard RM. The influence of calcium chloride on the setting time, solubility, disintegration, and pH of mineral trioxide aggregate and white Portland cement with a radiopacifier. J Endod. 2009 Apr;35(4):550-4.

17- Formosa LM, Mallia B, Camilleri J. Mineral trioxide aggregate with anti-washout gel-properties and microstructure. Dent Mater. 2013 Mar;29(3):294-306.

18- Holt DM, Watts JD, Beeson TJ, Kirkpatrick TC, Rutledge RE. The anti-microbial effect against Enterococcus faecalis and the compressive strength of two types of mineral trioxide aggregate mixed with sterile water or 2% chlorhexidine liquid. J Endod. 2007 Jul;33(7):844-7.

19- Camilleri J, Formosa L, Damidot D. The setting characteristics of MTA Plus in different environmental conditions. Int Endod J. 2013 Sep;46(9):831-40.

20- Basturk FB, Nekoofar MH, Günday M, Dummer PM. The effect of various mixing and placement techniques on the compressive strength of mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod. 2013 Jan;39(1):111-4.

21- Prasad A, Pushpa S, Arunagiri D, Sawhny A, Misra A, Sujatha R. A comparative evaluation of the effect of various additives on selected physical properties of white mineral trioxide aggregate. J Conserv Dent. 2015 May-Jun;18(3):237-41.

22- Bidar M, Eslami N, Naghavi N, Fasihi Z, Attaran

Mashhadi N. The effect of different concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate on the compressive strength of mineral trioxide aggregate. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2015 Winter;9(1):1-5.

23- Camilleri J, Sorrentino F, Damidot D. Investigation of the hydration and bioactivity of radiopacified tricalcium silicate cement, Biodentine and MTA Angelus. Dent Mater. 2013 May;29(5): 580-93.

24- Camilleri J, Sorrentino F, Damidot D. Characterization of un-hydrated and hydrated

BioAggregate[™] and MTA Angelus[™]. Clin Oral Investig. 2015 Apr;19(3):689-98.

25- Koh ET, Torabinejad M, Pitt Ford TR, Brady K, McDonald F. Mineral trioxide aggregate stimulates a biological response in human osteoblasts. J Biomed Mater Res. 1997 Dec;37(3):432-9.

26- Negm A, Hassanien E, Abu-Seida A, Nagy M. Physical evaluation of a new pulp capping material developed from portland cement. J Clin Exp Dent. 2016 Jul;8(3):e278-83.