Interactions between metabolic, reward and cognitive processes in appetite control: Implications for novel weight management therapies

Suzanne Higgs¹, Maartje S Spetter¹, Jason M Thomas², Pia Rotshtein¹, Michelle Lee³, Manfred Hallschmid^{4–6} and Colin T Dourish⁷



Journal of Psychopharmacology 2017, Vol. 31(11) 1460-1474 © The Author(s) 2017

Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0269881117736917 journals.sagepub.com/home/jop

Abstract

Traditional models of appetite control have emphasised the role of parallel homeostatic and hedonic systems, but more recently the distinction between independent homeostatic and hedonic systems has been abandoned in favour of a framework that emphasises the cross talk between the neurochemical substrates of the two systems. In addition, evidence has emerged more recently, that higher level cognitive functions such as learning, memory and attention play an important role in everyday appetite control and that homeostatic signals also play a role in cognition. Here, we review this evidence and present a comprehensive model of the control of appetite that integrates cognitive, homeostatic and reward mechanisms. We discuss the implications of this model for understanding the factors that may contribute to disordered patterns of eating and suggest opportunities for developing more effective treatment approaches for eating disorders and weight management.

Keywords

Food reward, cognition, metabolic signals, appetite control

Introduction

Greater understanding of the mechanisms underlying appetite control is crucial to address the health problems that are associated with poor dietary choices and overconsumption of food (Wang et al., 2011). Moreover, given the health costs associated with unhealthy eating patterns (Scarborough et al., 2011), it is important to explore new avenues for improving eating behaviour through the development of comprehensive models of appetite control that open the way for thinking about novel interventions and advice on nutrition.

The neural control of eating involves activity in brain circuits that process signals of nutritional state and food reward value. The ingestion of food reduces the incentive value of food, which is reflected in decreased activity in reward-related brain areas (Spetter et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2015). However, eating is also influenced by higher cognitive processes such as attention and memory (Higgs, 2016) and it has recently been suggested that metabolic signals may have indirect effects on food reward processing via alterations in higher cognitive function (Thomas et al., 2014). This review will highlight new evidence that the control of eating involves interactions between cognitive, metabolic and reward mechanisms. We will consider how this new framework can inform our understanding of the causes of overeating and comorbidities between cognitive dysfunction and disordered eating. Finally, we will assess the implications for the development of new approaches to healthy eating and weight management.

Concepts in appetite control

Traditionally, appetite has been investigated by two parallel lines of research focusing on the homeostatic and hedonic systems. Research on the neurobehavioural control of appetite, by homeostatic mechanisms, has focused for many years on the role of nutrient sensing processes coordinated in the brain by the hypothalamus (Waterson and Horvath, 2015). This research has been important in identifying how information about metabolic state (for example, information about whether we are fed or fasted) reaches the brain from the periphery and then undergoes further processing so that eventually motor outputs (eating behaviours) are generated. It is well known that the metabolic signals

 ¹School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
²Department of Psychology, Aston University, Birmingham, UK
³Department of Psychology, Swansea University, Swansea, UK
⁴Institute for Medical Psychology and Behavioural Neurobiology, University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
⁵German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Tübingen, Germany
⁶Institute for Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases, University of

 Institute for Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
P1vital, Wallingford, UK

Corresponding author:

Suzanne Higgs, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. Email: s.higgs.1@bham.ac.uk generated by the gastrointestinal (GI) tract when food is ingested are associated with changes in eating behaviour. Specifically, hormones including cholecystokinin (CCK) and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) are released when food is eaten and this is associated with reductions in intake (Antin et al., 1975; Turton et al., 1996). Conversely, ghrelin, a hormone released from the stomach during fasting, is associated with increased food intake (Nakazato et al., 2001).

Appetite is also known to be responsive to hormones such as the pancreatic hormone insulin and the adipokine leptin that are secreted in proportion to the amount of fat stored in adipocytes (Halaas et al., 1995; Woods et al., 1979). The arcuate nucleus (ARC) of the hypothalamus acts as an integrator of such signals from the periphery. Pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC)/cocaine, amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) and agouti-related protein (AgRP)/neuropeptide Y (NPY) neurones in the ARC have been strongly implicated in the control of food intake (for reviews see Clemmensen et al., 2017; Waterson and Horvath, 2015; Yeo and Heisler, 2012). These hypothalamic neurones express receptors for leptin and ghrelin and are modulated by the neurotransmitter serotonin (5-HT; for review see Garfield and Heisler, 2009). The caudal brainstem is another major integrator of information on nutrient ingestion relayed from the gut (for review see Grill and Hayes, 2012). Neurones in the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) are responsible for processing multiple nutrient status signals from the periphery and relay output to other regions involved in the control of intake including the hypothalamus (Grill and Hayes, 2012).

From a hedonic system perspective, research has focused on the importance of reward processes in motivated behaviours, including eating. This research has elucidated how cues associated with the consumption of tasty foods can promote food seeking and intake (Berridge, 1996). When we eat a food that evokes a pleasurable hedonic response, we will come to associate the characteristics of that food (e.g. the sight and the smell of the food) with the positive consequence ('liking' response). As a result of this learning, the food-associated visual and olfactory cues acquire the ability to become sought after (they become 'wanted') (Berridge, 1996). For example, we might have a strong desire to consume pizza if we see a shop advertising pizza from which a strong smell of pizza is emanating.

The neurobiology of food reward circuitry has been well studied: coordinated activity in a network of opioidergic and cannabinoidergic hedonic hotspots in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc), ventral pallidum and brainstem is thought to mediate 'liking' responses (e.g. Higgs et al., 2003; Higgs and Cooper, 1996; Mahler et al., 2007; Pecina and Berridge, 2005; for a review see Castro and Berridge, 2014). On the other hand, evidence suggests that the mesolimbic dopamine neurotransmitter system is crucial for food 'wanting' (e.g. Pecina et al., 2003; Tindell et al., 2005; Wyvell and Berridge, 2000; for a review see Castro and Berridge, 2014).

More recently, the idea that there are independent homeostatic and hedonic systems has been abandoned in favour of a framework that emphasises the crosstalk between the neurochemical substrates of the two systems (Berthoud et al., 2017). This approach is consistent with incentive motivation theories of behaviour, which argue that metabolic state influences eating behaviour by modulating the hedonic value of food and foodassociated cues (Toates, 1986). It is also consistent with evidence that pleasurable sensations are affected by metabolic state, a process known as alliesthesia (Cabanac, 1971, 1979). Food is more highly liked and desired when hungry and less liked when satiated: the smell and taste of a pizza is usually less alluring when we have just eaten (Berridge et al., 2010).

Metabolic signals modulate food reward circuitry

Extensive evidence has now accumulated that neural systems of food reward interact with homeostatic networks, thus providing a mechanism by which food deprivation or satiation affects food attractiveness. For example, food deprivation increases the incentive value of food, which is reflected in enhanced responses to appetitive stimuli in reward-related brain areas in humans (Cornier et al., 2009; DelParigi et al., 2005; Führer et al., 2008; Gautier et al., 2000; Goldstone et al., 2009; Haase et al., 2009; Killgore et al., 2003; Kringelbach et al., 2003; LaBar et al., 2001; Porubska et al., 2006; Simmons et al., 2005) whereas satiation decreases responses in reward-related circuitry (Fletcher et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2015). These effects are likely to be mediated by a direct action of metabolic signals, such as leptin, insulin, GLP-1 and ghrelin, on the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system (Batterham et al., 2007; Farooqi et al., 2007; Figlewicz et al., 2006; Fulton et al., 2006; Guthoff et al., 2010; Hallschmid et al., 2012; Jerlhag et al., 2012; Malik et al., 2008). It is well known that insulin acting at peripheral sites promotes bodyweight gain by stimulating energy storage. However, specific stimulation of brain insulin receptors decreases activity in mesolimbic dopamine circuits and reduces food reward (Figlewicz, 2003; Mebel et al., 2012), probably because insulin also functions as a negative feedback signal to the brain about levels of body fat (Woods et al., 1979). Hence, insulin may mediate reduced reward after consumption of high-energy meals (Davis et al., 2010). In line with this suggestion, we found that intranasal administration of insulin to healthy humans reduces the intake of palatable food in the post-prandial state (Hallschmid et al., 2012). Leptin administration also decreases activity in the mesolimbic dopamine system of rats (Fulton et al., 2006) and leptin replacement in humans with a congenital absence of leptin reduces heightened reward responses to food pictures when satiated (Farooqi et al., 2007). There have also been recent advances in our understanding of the role of reward-related mechanisms in the effects of GLP-1 signalling on eating behaviours from rodent studies (Alhadeff et al., 2012; Dickson et al., 2012; Dossat et al., 2011). GLP-1 receptor activation in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and NAcc core reduces intake of highly palatable, energy dense food without affecting intake of a standard diet (Alhadeff et al., 2012). These data suggest that GLP-1 signalling in the mesolimbic system may have a selective effect to reduce the rewarding value of palatable food. In support of this suggestion, it has been reported that the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide reduces activity in brain reward circuitry in participants with type 2 diabetes (Farr et al., 2016). Conversely, the orexigenic hormone ghrelin stimulates dopaminergic (DA) activity (Jerlhag et al., 2012) and increases responding for sucrose in rats when injected peripherally and directly into the VTA (Skibicka et al., 2012a,b). Ghrelin has also been found to increase the neural response to food pictures in reward-related circuitry (orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and striatum) in humans (Malik et al., 2008).

Investigations of the role of 5-HT in the control of appetite have focused on both homeostatic and hedonic mechanisms (Blundell, 1984; Dourish, 1995). Neurobiological studies have demonstrated the role of hypothalamic mechanisms in the effects of serotonergic drugs on food intake. The melanocortin system of the ARC has been identified as a key network in the anorectic effects of 5-HT agonists, including the 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist lorcaserin (Heisler et al., 2002, 2006; Sohn et al., 2011), which has recently been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for weight management. Alterations in 5-HT transmission also affect reward-related circuits in the brain to influence food intake. Thus, 5-HT_{2C} receptors expressed in the VTA (Bubar and Cunningham, 2007) modulate activity of DA projections to the NAcc to alter motivation for food and drug reinforcers in rats (Fletcher et al., 2004; Higgins et al., 2013). These preclinical data suggest a specific role for 5-HT_{2C} receptor activation in linking hypothalamic energy-sensing mechanisms to motivational aspects of eating behaviour. Recently we reported that the 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist meta-chlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP) reduced consumption of a palatable energy dense snack eaten after a satiating meal in healthy volunteers. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) we further observed that mCPP caused a marked reduction in activity across rewardrelated brain regions in response to the sight of food pictures. These data suggest a role for 5-HT_{2C} receptor mechanisms in inhibiting food-reward, especially after eating.

In addition to direct links between metabolic signalling and the mesolimbic dopamine system, there are indirect links via the lateral hypothalamus (LH) (Leinninger et al., 2009). It is well established that electrical stimulation of the LH elicits feeding in rats (Hoebel and Teittelbaum, 1962; Valenstein et al., 1968) and that this effect is modulated by metabolic state (Sheng et al., 2014). There is now evidence that these effects are mediated by heterogeneous projections from the LH to the VTA, including neurones expressing orexin (Harris et al., 2005), neurotensin (Leinninger et al., 2009), and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) or glutamate (Nieh et al., 2015, 2016). In addition, recent evidence suggests a role for agouti-related peptide (AGRP)/neuropeptide Y (NPY) neurones in the ARC in integrating internal metabolic signals with external signals on food availability to provide an output that drives downstream reward circuitry and promotes eating in mice (Chen et al., 2015).

The effects of metabolic signals on food reward go some way to explaining why food is usually more attractive when we are hungry and less attractive when we are full. But individuals do not always respond to the presence of food cues by initiating eating, and eating may continue even when someone has already consumed a large amount of food. Eating is a complex behaviour that can be initiated or brought to a close depending on a multitude of influences that include taste and smell as well as contextual factors and prior experiences (Higgs, 2005). Individual differences in the initial response to a food cue, sensitivity to metabolic signals, and cognitions will affect the outcome. As such, eating may be inhibited even in the presence of highly palatable food-relevant stimuli or a depleted metabolic state. To provide a simplified example we can think about a situation in which we are confronted with a food cue, such as the sight, smell or taste of food or a food advert. An appetitive response to this stimulus may be inhibited if someone has a desire to avoid consumption of certain foods bearing in mind long-term consequences for health. This kind of thinking has led to an expanded view of the neural control of appetite that includes brain regions important for learning, memory and attention including the hippocampus, amygdala and pre-frontal cortex (for reviews see Coppin, 2016; Hargrave et al., 2016; Kanoski et al., 2017; Parent, 2016).

Cognitive modulation of food reward

The view that motivation to eat depends on cognitive modulation of reward processes is gaining traction and it has been argued that everyday control of appetite involves cognitive processes such as learning, attention and memory (Higgs, 2016). For example, it has been demonstrated that a focus on the longer-term health outcomes of eating unhealthy foods is associated with inhibition of reward-related brain activity (Hare et al., 2009, 2011a). These cognitive processes are likely to operate across all aspects of appetite control including before a meal begins, during a meal and in the intervals between meals (see Figure 1) and will be reviewed briefly here. One point of note is that suggesting eating involves cognition does not imply that we consciously consider food decisions all the time. Much of the time, eating seems to engage no mental effort but this does not infer that eating is 'mindless' (Herman and Polivy, 2014). There are other complex behaviours, such as driving a car, that we would readily accept involve the coordination of complex cognitive processes including attention, learning and memory but also appear routine and/ or effortless. Thus we can think of eating in the same way: we may be made aware of the underpinning mental processes but they are not constantly in awareness.

Cognitive processes involved in responses to food cues before eating begins

The sight of a tasty food can elicit appetitive behaviours, such as food seeking and a desire to eat, and it will also evoke cognitive expectations about how the food will taste, how satiating it is and whether eating it will be consistent with our longer-term health goals (Brunstrom, 2011; Rangel, 2013). These expectations are factored into choices about whether to eat and/or how much to eat (Rangel and Hare, 2010). They are based on conditioned responses that arise from learned associations about the consequences of eating (Dickinson, 2012) as well as mental simulations of the outcomes of specific choices based on episodic memories (Daw and Shohamy, 2008; Lengyel and Dayan, 2008). The value of individual predicted outcomes is computed in the ventromedial-prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and a network that includes dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) uses the value input from the vmPFC to select an action (Hare et al., 2009, 2011b). This system enables eating behaviour that is goal directed, and adaptable to circumstance, rather than simply food cue driven. Thus, if we have a long-term goal of healthy eating, then an urge to consume a tempting food that is energy dense, but nutritionally deplete, may be resisted. Alternatively, if we have a positive memory of eating a food in a specific restaurant, then this might bias our decision towards choosing that food (Robinson et al., 2012).

However, one's ability to maintain goal-directed behaviour will be affected by several factors including: whether the longer-term



Figure 1. Cognitive processes throughout the day that influence eating behaviour. The outer circle provides an overview of the processes that operate before a meal: the expectation and sight of the food to be consumed, and the interplay between any (health) goals, memory of the taste and pleasure of the food and attention to food cues will determine if individuals will start eating and what kind of food they will choose. The middle circle represents within-meal processes that influence the amount consumed: pleasantness and reward values will decrease while eating and ultimately lead to meal termination. Additionally, attention to the process of eating and cognitive control also will influence the termination of the meal. The inner circle represents the processes operating between meals, for example episodic memory of a meal will influence decisions about when to eat a next meal. dlPFC: dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; OFC: orbitofrontal cortex; vmPFC: ventromedial-prefrontal cortex.

consequences of behaviour are retrieved from memory and are then a focus of attention (Hare et al., 2010; Hofmann et al., 2012; Whitelock et al., 2017); the extent to which we are exposed to stimuli that trigger competing cue-driven urges in our food environment; and whether other competing cognitive demands like watching television interfere with the ability to inhibit these competing responses (Braude and Stevenson, 2014; Ward and Mann, 2000), which may explain why dieting often fails (Herman and Mack, 1975; Herman and Polivy, 2004).

There are also individual differences in the ability to adhere to longer-term goals in the face of immediate rewards. The conflict between the delayed rewards of good health versus the immediate reward of a tasty food is a dilemma modelled in the delay discounting task (McHugh and Wood, 2008). In this task, participants are presented with a choice between a small reward available immediately, or a larger reward available after a delay. The indifference point (IP) is the value at which the participant is indifferent to the reward being received now or after a delay. A low IP value indicates that the participant is not very willing to wait for the reward: in other words they discount the future reward value. Discounting of the future on both money and foodbased tasks has been related to over eating and obesity (Bickel et al., 2014; Jarmolowicz et al., 2014; Price et al., 2016; Weller et al., 2008). A key factor in delay discounting is likely to be the ability or lack of ability to inhibit pre-potent responses, which has also been linked to obesity and overconsumption of palatable foods (Hall, 2012; Hofmann et al., 2009; Nederkoorn et al., 2006). Hence, cognitive processes of inhibitory control, most likely underpinned by activity in the dLPFC (e.g. Ballard and Knutson, 2009), are also involved in the response to food cues (Higgs, 2016).

The desire to eat may be triggered by the sight of food, but also by thoughts of food that come spontaneously to mind, especially if one is hungry (Berry et al., 2007). Whether or not we notice food around us, or bring food easily to mind, is influenced by higher-level cognitive processes, in particular, working memory. If we are thinking about food (holding food information in working memory), this guides our attention towards food-related stimuli in the environment (Higgs et al., 2012; Rutters et al., 2015), ensuring that food cues are likely to have a greater influence on individuals who are thinking about food; for example, individuals who are hungry (Mogg et al., 1998). Attentional bias to food cues has also been linked to increased food intake and hunger (Field et al., 2016). The underlying mechanisms are unclear but one possibility is that paying attention to a stimulus increases the readiness to execute actions associated with that

Thoughts of food may guide attention to food and stimulate appetitive behaviour but we may also experience food cravings and emotional responses if an initial thought is embellished in memory (Kavanagh et al., 2005). For example, the sight of a cookie might elicit specific memories of past eating, as discussed previously, but also recall the smell and taste of cookies and how it would feel if one ate a cookie (Papies, 2013). There is some evidence to suggest that brain areas associated with food taste are activated in response to the viewing of food pictures, suggesting that processing of food cues is grounded in the same brain areas that underpin sensory responses to food itself (Chen et al., 2016). Maintenance of this kind of elaborated food imagery in working memory most likely serves a function to facilitate food seeking in the absence of direct contact with specific cues (Kavanagh et al., 2005). However, a conscious preoccupation with food or vivid, intrusive thoughts about food may serve to bias attention towards food cues even when they have been devalued, for example in a state of satiety. Thus, a failure to inhibit intrusive thoughts about food could result in a reduced ability to dampen responses to food cues when satiated, which may cause overeating in the absence of hunger and contribute to disordered eating patterns (Higgs, 2016; Martin and Davidson, 2014).

Cognitive processes involved in responses to food cues during eating and satiation

In the later phases of a meal, there is a decline in the perceived pleasantness of food that contributes to the cessation of eating (Hetherington, 1996). The reduction in the rewarding properties of food as it is eaten may be specific for that food, as in sensory specific satiety (Rolls et al., 1981), but there is also a general decline in the attractiveness of all foods as mentioned previously, which is known as alliesthesia (Cabanac, 1971, 1979). Habituation of neural responses in the OFC, which codes for a representation of the reward value of the taste of food, is one mechanism that is likely to underlie within-meal reductions in food pleasantness (Critchley and Rolls, 1996; O'Doherty et al., 2000), alongside reduced signalling in the mesolimbic dopamine system. We investigated the neural underpinning of natural satiation in humans using fMRI (Thomas et al., 2015). In line with previous data on sensory specific satiety and alliesthesia, we found that eating to fullness after a natural inter-meal interval was accompanied by decreases in reward-related brain activations in the OFC and the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. A novel finding was that natural satiation increased activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) (Thomas et al., 2015), an area that is associated with attention, memory and cognitive control (Duncan, 2013). Moreover, activity in the vmPFC was negatively correlated with activity in the dlPFC and connectivity between these areas was increased in the satiated state. These data suggest that natural satiation is associated with a distributed pattern of changes in neural activity suggestive of metabolic

influences on both reward-related circuitry and areas involved in higher cognitive functions and decision making. An implication of this finding is that if either habituation or reward valuation processes are disrupted, then satiation will be impaired, as has been observed for eating while distracted (Braude and Stevenson, 2014). The specific cognitive processes involved have yet to be elucidated but may relate to the role the dIPFC plays in modulating food value in response to changes in context (in this case metabolic state) (Rudorf and Hare, 2014). Alternatively, given the importance of the dIPFC for working memory, there may be an important role for working memory modulation of attention to food cues (Curtis and D'Esposito, 2003).

Cognitive processes involved in responses to food cues in intervals between eating episodes

Cognitive processes are also important for the inhibition of food intake that occurs after an eating episode (satiety). There is considerable experimental evidence that memory of a recent eating episode inhibits eating (Higgs, 2016). A striking example of the importance of memory for recent eating in satiety is that amnesic patients who are unable to recall recent eating will eat multiple meals in quick succession (Hebben et al., 1985; Higgs et al., 2008b; Rozin et al., 1998). Manipulation of the memory of a meal in healthy volunteers is also sufficient to affect snacking after that meal. Enhancing memory of recent eating by facilitating recall or augmenting encoding of food memories decreases subsequent food intake (Higgs, 2002; Higgs et al., 2008a; Higgs and Donohoe, 2011; Robinson et al., 2014). On the other hand, if encoding of episodic food memories is disrupted by engagement in a secondary activity, such as watching television or playing a computer game while eating, subsequent snack intake is increased (Higgs, 2016; Higgs and Woodward, 2009; Mittal et al., 2011; Moray et al., 2007; Oldham-Cooper et al., 2011). Moreover, remembered food intake is a better predictor of later hunger than the amount eaten (Brunstrom et al., 2012). The data from humans on the importance of meal memories in satiety is supported by evidence that hippocampal-dependent episodic memory of a recently eaten meal influences the timing of the next meal and the amount consumed at that next meal in rats (Parent, 2016). Rats with selective lesions to the hippocampus have disturbed meal patterns and overeat (Clifton et al., 1998; Davidson et al., 2005; Davidson and Jarrard, 1993) and temporary inactivation of the hippocampus of rats accelerates the onset of the next meal (Henderson et al., 2013). Taken together, these data suggest that satiety is in part cognitively constructed and dependent upon episodic memory (Higgs, 2008; Redden, 2014).

Linking cognitive processes of appetite control with metabolic signalling: the role of hormonal and neurotransmitter mechanisms

Until recently, research on the cognitive control of eating had not been well integrated with research on metabolic control. An emerging literature is documenting the broader effects of metabolic signals on higher-level cognitive processes such as attention and learning and memory. This literature suggests that some effects of metabolic signals on eating may be mediated by their effects on cognition, although research specifically linking cognitive effects of metabolic signals with appetite is in its infancy.

Insulin and cognition

A high density of insulin receptors is expressed in the cerebral cortex, olfactory bulb, hippocampus, cerebellum and hypothalamus (Unger et al., 1991). Intracerebroventricular administration of insulin to rodents and intranasal insulin administration to humans (at doses up to 80 IU) raises brain insulin levels without inducing concomitant changes in blood insulin or glucose levels and improves memory (e.g. Benedict et al., 2004; Park et al., 2000). There is substantial evidence that centrally acting insulin enhances cognitive function (Shemesh et al., 2012). For example, intranasal insulin improves declarative memory and working memory in humans (Benedict et al., 2004, 2008; Hallschmid et al., 2008, 2012; Stockhorst et al., 2004). Neural responses to intranasal insulin and resting state function have been examined using fMRI and the results are consistent with the idea that insulin acts to alter neural activity in brain circuits that are important for higher cognitive function, including the pre-frontal cortex (e.g. Kullmann et al., 2013, 2015). In addition, the results of clinical trials of the effects of intranasal insulin in patients with either mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or Alzheimer's disease suggest improvements in verbal and visuo-spatial working memory in these patients (Claxton et al., 2015; Reger et al., 2008a,b). Furthermore, central nervous system (CNS) insulin resistance has been linked to cognitive impairment (Craft et al., 2013; De Felice, 2013), including reduced performance on tests of episodic and working memory (Talbot et al., 2012) and impaired performance on an episodic memory task that is linked to reduced activity in the core neural network associated with memory recall (Cheke et al., 2017). Insulin resistance is also a risk factor for the development of dementia (Chatterjee et al., 2015). The specific mechanisms underlying the effects of CNS insulin administration and insulin resistance on memory have yet to be fully elucidated, but it is likely that regulation of synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus is involved (Fadel and Reagan, 2016).

In relation to the effects of enhanced brain-insulin signalling on food intake (Guthoff et al., 2010; Hallschmid et al., 2010), it is unclear to what extent its pro-cognitive effects play a role. Data from a study by Hallschmid and colleagues (2012) suggest that insulin enhancement of consolidation of a recent meal memory is not a likely mechanism, but the possibility remains that the effects of insulin in the hippocampus may mediate encoding of meal memories. Whether effects of meal-related insulin secretion on working memory are involved in active inhibitory processes of context dependent reward valuation that may occur towards the end of a meal is currently being explored in our laboratory.

Leptin and cognition

Leptin receptors are located in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, basal ganglia, hypothalamus, brainstem and cerebellum (Elmquist et al., 1998; Hâkansson et al., 1998; Savioz et al., 1997; Shanley et al., 2002) and there is evidence that leptin has effects on cognition (Farr et al., 2015; Morrison, 2009). At the cellular

level, leptin plays a role in the synaptic plasticity of hippocampal neurones as well as long-term potentiation (LTP) (Harvey et al., 2005; Irving and Harvey, 2014). Leptin administration has been reported to improve memory function in rodents (Farr et al., 2006; Oomura et al., 2006), whereas cognitive performance is impaired in genetic models of leptin deficiency (Li et al., 2002; Paz-Filho et al., 2008). As with insulin, leptin resistance is also associated with impaired cognition, especially during aging, and impaired leptin function may contribute to cognitive impairment in MCI in humans (Holden et al., 2009; Witte et al., 2016).

Interestingly, while leptin's effects on cognition have not been directly linked to food intake in humans, leptin replacement has been reported to reduce neuronal activity to food images in the insular, parietal and temporal cortex but increase activation in the prefrontal cortex (Baicy et al., 2007), suggesting a potential role for leptin in inhibitory cognitive processes related to satiation (Thomas et al., 2015). In addition, administration of leptin to the ventral hippocampus of rats suppressed both food intake and memory consolidation for the spatial location of a food reward (Kanoski et al., 2011). These data suggest that the effects of leptin on eating may be mediated in part by its effects on the retrieval of food memories. Further investigation of the relationship of the pro-cognitive effects of leptin to appetite control are warranted.

GLP-1 receptors and cognition

Activation of either peripheral or central GLP-1 receptors (GLP-1Rs) in the hypothalamus and NTS reduces food intake (Hayes et al., 2011; Holst, 2007; Schick et al., 2003). GLP-1Rs are also present in the hippocampus (Hamilton and Hölscher, 2009) and their activation improves learning and memory, including hippocampal -dependent spatial memory in the Morris water maze (During et al., 2003). Further, GLP-1R knockout mice exhibit impairment in object recognition learning (Abbas et al., 2009) and the GLP-1 agonist liraglutide enhances memory in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (Hansen et al., 2015). Liraglutide is currently in clinical trials for Alzheimer's disease (NCT01843075) but there has been little investigation of the effects of GLP-1 ligands on cognition in healthy humans.

A link between the anorectic and cognitive effects of GLP-1 receptor activation is provided by the observation that injection of the GLP-1R agonist exendin-4 into the ventral hippocampus of rats reduces meal size and lever pressing for palatable food (Hsu et al., 2015a). In contrast, GLP-1 receptor activation had no effect on the expression of a conditioned place preference (CPP) for food (Hsu et al., 2015a). A possible explanation offered by the authors is that exendin-4 may only decrease food-related responding when there is food present during the test session (in the CPP paradigm there is no food available during testing). The effects of exendin-4 in the hippocampus differ from those of leptin, since leptin reduced retrieval of food-related memories when delivered into the hippocampus. An interesting point to consider in future research will be the time course of the actions of long-term adiposity-related factors such as leptin versus short-term metabolic signals, i.e. prandial signals like GLP-1, on cognition and food intake. For example, prandial signals might be expected to have a greater influence on cognitive functions that are important for meal termination (satiation) whereas adipose factors might have a more significant role to play in cognitive mechanisms involved in meal initiation.

5-HT and cognition

5-HT plays in a role in modulating cognitive function, although the effects of global manipulations of 5-HT on memory and attention in healthy volunteers are generally small. Nevertheless, reducing 5-HT by acute depletion of the 5-HT precursor tryptophan produces reliable impairment of memory consolidation (Mendelsohn et al., 2009). There is also an extensive preclinical literature on the role of 5-HT receptors in cognition, in particular there has been a focus on 5-HT_{1A} receptors, 5-HT₃ receptors and, more recently, 5-HT₆ receptors (Glikmann-Johnston et al., 2015; Lummis, 2012; Machu, 2011; Ramírez, 2013). However, 5-HT₃ receptor and 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists (for example the 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist, idalopirdine), which showed promising results in preclinical studies and early Phase 2 clinical trials for Alzheimer's disease, subsequently failed in large Phase 3 trials (Lundbeck, 2016; Ramírez, 2013). Few studies have investigated the role of 5-HT receptors in cognition in healthy humans and to date there is no consistent evidence for involvement of specific 5-HT receptor subtypes (for review see Cowen and Sherwood, 2013). However, we have recently reported the novel finding that the 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist mCPP enhances recall of emotional words (Thomas et al., 2014). Given that the effect of mCPP on recall was unlikely to be related to effects on anxiety, further investigation of the specific role of the 5-HT_{2C} receptor in memory function is warranted. An interesting possibility is that mCPP (and by implication the 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist, lorcaserin, which is marketed for obesity) might act to decrease food intake via enhancement of meal memories (Thomas et al., 2014).

There is a large literature that has implicated 5-HT in behavioural inhibition (e.g. Faulkner and Deakin, 2014; Soubrié, 1985). 5-HT is thought to play a specific role in behavioural inhibition that occurs in response to predictions of aversive outcomes (Boureau and Dayan, 2011; Crockett et al., 2009, 2012; Dayan and Huys, 2009) and in the ability to wait in order to obtain future reward, a specific type of impulsive responding (Miyazaki et al., 2014). Recently, it has been proposed that these actions are captured by a framework positing that 5-HT affects cognitive processes involved in action control and value-based decision making (Cools et al., 2011; Meyniel et al., 2016). Specifically, it has been argued that 5-HT overcomes the costs of actions, such as the cost of having to wait to receive a reward, to affect action selection, perhaps by down-regulating the weight the cost has in the decision to produce an effort (Meyniel et al., 2016; Schweighofer et al., 2008). Relating this idea to food choices, 5-HT may overcome the cost associated with the delayed benefits of choosing a 'healthy' food, thus facilitating goal-directed food choices (Vlaev et al., 2017). In the context of food intake, 5-HT could enhance the prefrontal cortical control of food value computations that may occur during satiation (Thomas et al., 2015), although this remains to be tested.

Ghrelin and cognition

Ghrelin is the endogenous ligand of the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR), and is highly expressed in the ARC and in the hippocampus (Bennett et al., 1997; Guan et al., 1997; Zigman et al., 2006). Ghrelin has been reported to enhance spatial learning and memory formation and promote the formation of synapses in the hippocampus in mice (Diano et al., 2006). Activation of GHSRs in the ventral hippocampus increases food intake and enhances feeding in response to external food-associated cues in rats (Kanoski et al., 2013). These data suggest a role for ghrelin signalling in the ventral hippocampus in learning about food cues to facilitate foraging behaviour (Diano et al., 2006; Hsu et al., 2015b). Consistent with this proposal, ghrelin administration to humans has been reported to enhance memory for food compared to non-food pictures in a simple recognition paradigm (Malik et al., 2008) and increase hippocampal activation while viewing food pictures (Goldstone et al., 2014). However, a recent study failed to identify an effect of ghrelin on either spatial memory encoding or consolidation (Kunath et al., 2016) and there appears to be no clear relationship between cognitive function and serum ghrelin levels (Gahete et al., 2011: Spitznagel et al., 2010; Stoyanova, 2014; Theodoropoulou et al., 2012). There is much still to learn about the potential cognitive enhancing effects of ghrelin in humans. A comparison of the effects of ghrelin, insulin and leptin and ligands for 5-HT and GLP-1 receptors in a range of behavioural and fMRI tasks might help differentiate their effects on cognition and further elucidate their role in appetite control.

In summary, activity in multiple metabolic signalling pathways is associated with alterations in cognition. While the effects of metabolic signals on cognitive performance and eating behaviour have traditionally been considered separately, it is increasingly apparent that an integrated approach may be more successful in advancing our understanding of this complex area of research (e.g. Rangel, 2013). In addition, recent results suggest that nutrition-related signals are likely to serve an important role in modulating the cognitive processes that underpin eating behaviours. However, it is important to note that much research to date has been conducted using animal models and further work is required to assess the extent to which these findings translate to humans.

Implications for understanding and treating disordered eating

There is a growing appreciation that obesity is associated with alterations to brain structure and function that are linked with neurocognitive problems, particularly in the domains of learning and memory and decision-making (Horstmann, 2017; Prickett et al., 2015; Stoeckel et al., 2016). There is also evidence that a high-fat/high-sugar diet (the so called 'Western diet') can have detrimental effects on cognitive function (Hsu and Kanoski, 2014). Given what is now known about the impact of metabolic signals on cognition, it is possible that neurocognitive changes associated with obesity may result from metabolic adaptations that occur in response to obesity and the consumption of certain diets (Stoeckel et al., 2016). However, neurocognitive problems may also be a cause of obesity given data on the importance of cognitive processes for appetite control (Higgs, 2016). The vicious cycle model of obesity, metabolic disease and cognitive decline (Davidson et al., 2014) proposes that eating a high-fat/ high-sugar diet may lead to changes in the brain (most likely hippocampal dysfunction) that result in greater responsiveness to food-related cues, which in turn leads to overconsumption and weight gain in a perpetuating cycle (Kanoski and Davidson, 2011). However, there is some evidence that these brain and behavioural changes may be reversible. For example, the results

of a recent meta-analysis suggest that intentional weight loss is associated with improvements in cognitive function in individuals who are overweight and/or obese (Veronese et al., 2017). These data suggest that interventions targeting diet- and/or obesity-induced changes in cognition could be helpful in breaking the vicious cycle.

One approach would be to develop cognitive training programmes that strengthen the ability to inhibit responses to food. A number of such training programmes have been developed and are currently in the early stages of testing (Allom et al., 2016; Stice et al., 2016). There is some evidence that programmes aimed at altering eating behaviour by enhancing inhibitory control can decrease food intake, but the specific cognitive mechanisms underlying these effects have not yet been elucidated (Veling et al., 2017). Other potentially promising programmes have targeted working memory processes (Houben et al., 2016) or used a smartphone application (app) to target food memory recall and 'attentive' processes during eating (Robinson et al., 2013). An interesting approach would be to combine these cognitive interventions with dietary and surgical interventions for obesity to enhance inhibitory control of food intake. Interestingly, bariatric surgery is associated with improvements in cognitive function (Handley et al., 2016). The underlying mechanisms are not well understood but are unlikely to be explained by weight loss alone and may relate to changes in metabolic signalling soon observed soon after surgery (Handley et al., 2016). For example, increased serum leptin and ghrelin concentrations following bariatric surgery have been suggested to contribute to the observed postoperative cognitive improvements (Alosco et al., 2015). Exercise has also been linked with improvement in cognitive performance, specifically inhibitory control, which may indicate the potential for additional benefit of regular exercise on appetite control (Lowe et al., 2016).

Given that weight loss is difficult to achieve, and maintain, by changes to diet and exercise patterns alone, the use of approved pharmacotherapy, along with lifestyle changes, can be useful for chronic weight management (Bray et al., 2016). At present however, pharmacotherapy options for obesity are limited and there have been concerns over the long term efficacy and safety of drugs for weight management (Narayanaswami and Dwoskin, 2017). FDA-approved monotherapy drugs include phentermine (Adipex-P), orlistat (Xenical), lorcaserin (Belviq) and liraglutide (Saxenda). Recent developments in weight-management pharmacotherapies have focussed on drug combinations such as bupropion/naltrexone (Contrave) and phentermine/topiramate (Qsymia) that act on multiple targets within the appetite control system (Narayanaswami and Dwoskin, 2017). However, the weight loss induced by lorcaserin is relatively modest and while Qsymia is more efficacious than lorcaserin as a weight-loss agent it is associated with unpleasant side effects (Heal et al., 2012). Therefore, there is a need for improved drug therapies.

The effects of pharmacotherapies might be enhanced by cognitive interventions, especially if the mechanism of action to reduce food intake is at least in part explained by cognitive modulation, as may be the case for the GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide and the 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist lorcaserin. New drugs could be developed that target the cognitive processes involved in appetite control. Interestingly, lisdexamphetamine (Vyvanse) has been marketed for a number of years for the treatment of cognitive symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and has recently been approved by the FDA for treatment of binge eating disorder. It is unclear how lisdexamphetamine reduces binge eating but one potential mechanism relates to its effects on attentional processes. Future consideration in novel drug therapy for weight management could be given to combining cognitive-enhancing drugs with ligands that have complementary actions on metabolic targets.

Another approach to overcome problems with cognitive control in obesity would be to target pathologies in the brain areas that underlie those functions or target the neural mechanisms underlying cognitive control with non-invasive neuromodulation techniques. For example, transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), or the non-invasive neurotherapeutic tool real-time fMRI (rtfMRI) neurofeedback (for review see Bartholdy et al., 2013; Stoeckel et al., 2014; Val-Laillet et al., 2015) are being explored. The first proof-of-concept results in people who are overweight or obese on self-regulation (rt-fMRI) of the insula and amygdala (Frank et al., 2012; Ihssen et al., 2016) suggest both eatingrelated brain areas, and networks related to top-down control of appetite, (vmPFC-dlPFC connectivity) (Spetter et al., 2017), show promise for this approach. Similar activation patterns were observed when participants were asked to consciously regulate their desire for food by thinking about the longer-term consequences of eating (Hollmann et al., 2012; Yokum and Stice, 2013), however additional behavioural effects are still to be found. Neuromodulation of dlPFC resulted in a suppression of self-reported food craving and appetite scores (Goldman et al., 2011; Uher et al., 2005), and there is evidence that tDCS and rTMS reduce food consumption (Gluck et al., 2015; Jauch-Chara et al., 2014; Lapenta et al., 2014), while theta-burst stimulation of the area increased snack intake and craving (Lowe et al., 2014). Moreover, rTMS of the dlPFC in individuals with bulimia or anorexia reduced disease-associated symptoms such as food craving, feeling fat and feeling anxious (Van den Eynde et al., 2010, 2013). The promising results of these initial studies has generated significant interest (see for review Hall et al., 2017; Lowe et al., 2017), but the behavioural findings are not always consistent and further research is needed to more comprehensively assess the full potential of this approach (Cirillo et al., 2017) and deal with the significant challenges of translating laboratory based findings into the natural environment and the clinic.

Interestingly, there may also be a link from the gut microbiome to cognitive dysfunction (Noble et al., 2017), which suggests that interventions aimed at improving the gut microbiome could have positive effects on cognition that in turn may help to ameliorate cognitive problems associated with obesity and type 2 diabetes. A potential explanatory mechanism is that diet-induced changes in the gut microbiome in part underlie low-grade chronic inflammation associated with obesity (Bleau et al., 2015; Spyridaki et al., 2016): low-grade inflammation is known to adversely affect cognitive function (Miller and Spencer, 2014) and the hippocampus is particularly vulnerable to these effects (Hsu et al., 2015c). Diet-induced alterations in gut microbiota may also impair peripheral insulin sensitivity, which could contribute to cognitive problems (Noble et al., 2017).

Finally, there are implications for the treatment of mental illness because many psychiatric disorders including depression, anxiety, ADHD and schizophrenia are associated with disordered eating and obesity (Bulik et al., 2016; Javaras et al., 2008; Kaisari



Figure 2. Schematic diagram outlining a model of appetite control involving interactions between homeostatic, reward and cognitive processes (indicated by solid arrows) and the modulation of these processes by metabolic signals such as insulin, leptin, glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), 5-HT and ghrelin (indicated by dashed arrows).

et al., 2017b; Simmons et al., 2016). Metabolic adaptations occurring as a result of weight gain are likely to exacerbate the cognitive impairments associated with psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia (Bora et al., 2017). Hence, treatment of the metabolic disorder is likely to improve functional outcomes. In addition, further research is required to clarify the nature of the mechanisms underlying the association between psychiatric conditions and disordered eating. While there is a well-known contribution of medication to food intake patterns in psychiatric conditions (Correll et al., 2015), it is possible that core cognitive features of these conditions also contribute to disordered eating. For example, we recently identified that inattention symptoms of ADHD are associated with both binge-like eating and restrictive eating in ADHD (Kaisari et al., 2017a). It is possible that cognitive symptoms such as attentional problems and cognitive control, which cut across traditional categories of psychiatric disorder may help to explain comorbidities.

We have proposed a research framework to guide studies on disordered eating in psychiatric disorders based on the National Institute of Mental Health Research Domain Criteria Initiative (RDoC). The RDoC encourages research on dimensions of observable behaviour and neurobiology rather than a categorical, symptom-based approach to the study of mental health (Kaisari et al., 2017b). Our proposed framework comprises multi-modal, laboratory-based assessment of cognitive constructs and measures of eating behaviour in participants recruited from the community to span the range of variation in cognitive processes associated with psychiatric conditions. This dimensional approach ensures that potential confounds associated with clinical research (e.g. medication status) can be minimised. Our proposed framework enables testing for causal relationships between cognitive constructs and disordered eating because processes such as attention and cognitive control can be manipulated and effects on laboratory measures of eating assessed. A similar RDoC approach has been adopted to understand increased and decreased eating phenotypes in depression by relating symptom clusters to the neural mechanisms involved in mood-related appetite changes in the disorder (Simmons et al., 2016).

Conclusions

We have reviewed the evidence that signals relating to the ingestion of food arising from the GI tract (metabolic signals) modulate the neural homeostatic and reward processes in the brain that determine how much a food is desired. Food is less attractive when we have eaten for this reason. We have also reviewed recent evidence indicating that cognitive processes such as attention and memory underpin everyday eating behaviours. Finally, we have integrated an emerging literature on cognitive effects of metabolic signals with their effects on eating and argued that metabolic signals are likely to affect eating behaviours at least in part via modulation of higher cognitive functions. Further investigation in this area is required, in particular, to elucidate how metabolic signals influence complex food-related decision-making processes in humans. Such work will be important in fleshing out a comprehensive model of the control of appetite that integrates cognitive mechanisms with homeostatic and reward mechanisms (see Figure 2). There are important implications of this model for understanding the factors that may contribute to disordered patterns of eating. Furthermore, there are opportunities for developing more effective treatment approaches, such as combining cognitive interventions with pharmacotherapies.

Acknowledgements

All authors were involved in discussions of the conceptual framework for the paper, contributed text and edited drafts of the manuscript. S Higgs wrote the final manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: C Dourish is an employee, director and shareholder of P1vital Ltd and a director and shareholder of P1vital Products Ltd. S Higgs is a member of P1vital Ltd's Advisory Panel. No other conflicts are reported by the remaining authors.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) grant number: BB/N008847/1.

References

- Abbas T, Faivre E and Hölscher C (2009) Impairment of synaptic plasticity and memory formation in GLP-1 receptor KO mice: Interaction between type 2 diabetes and Alzheimer's disease. *Behav Brain Res* 205: 265–271.
- Alhadeff AL, Rupprecht LE and Hayes MR (2012) GLP-1 neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract project directly to the ventral tegmental area and nucleus accumbens to control for food intake. *Endocrinol*ogy 153: 647–658.
- Allom V, Mullan B and Hagger M (2016) Does inhibitory control training improve health behaviour? A meta-analysis. *Health Psychol Rev* 10: 168–186.
- Alosco ML, Spitznagel MB, Strain G, et al. (2015) Improved serum leptin and ghrelin following bariatric surgery predict better postoperative cognitive function. J Clin Neurol 11: 48–56.
- Anderson BA (2017) Going for it: The economics of automaticity in perception and action. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 26: 140–145.
- Antin J, Gibbs J, Holt J, et al. (1975) Cholecystokinin elicits the complete behavioral sequence of satiety in rats. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 89: 784–790.
- Baicy K, London ED, Monterosso J, et al. (2007) Leptin replacement alters brain response to food cues in genetically leptin-deficient adults. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 18276–18279.
- Ballard K and Knutson B (2009) Dissociable neural representations of future reward magnitude and delay during temporal discounting. *Neuroimage* 45: 143–150.
- Bartholdy S, Musiat P, Campbell IC, et al. (2013) The potential of neurofeedback in the treatment of eating disorders: A review of the literature. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 21: 456–463.
- Batterham RL, ffytche DH, Rosenthal JM, et al. (2007) PYY modulation of cortical and hypothalamic brain areas predicts feeding behaviour in humans. *Nature* 450: 106–109.
- Benedict C, Hallschmid M, Hatke A, et al. (2004) Intranasal insulin improves memory in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 29: 1326– 1334.
- Benedict C, Kern W, Schultes B, et al. (2008) Differential sensitivity of men and women to anorexigenic and memory-improving effects of intranasal insulin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 93: 1339– 1344.
- Bennett PA, Thomas GB, Howard AD, et al. (1997) Hypothalamic growth hormone secretagogue-receptor (GHS-R) expression is regulated by growth hormone in the rat. *Endocrinology* 138: 4552–4557.
- Berridge KC (1996) Food reward: Brain substrates of wanting and liking. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 20: 1–25.
- Berridge KC, Ho CY, Richard JM, et al. (2010) The tempted brain eats: Pleasure and desire circuits in obesity and eating disorders. *Brain Res* 1350: 43–64.
- Berry L-M, Andrade J and May J (2007) Hunger-related intrusive thoughts reflect increased accessibility of food items. *Cogn Emot* 21: 865–878.

- Berthoud H-R, Münzberg H and Morrison CD (2017) Blaming the brain for obesity: Integration of hedonic and homeostatic mechanisms. *Gastroenterology* 152: 1728–1738.
- Bickel WK, George Wilson A, Franck CT, et al. (2014) Using crowdsourcing to compare temporal, social temporal, and probability discounting among obese and non-obese individuals. *Appetite* 75: 82–89.
- Bleau C, Karelis AD, St-Pierre DH, et al. (2015) Crosstalk between intestinal microbiota, adipose tissue and skeletal muscle as an early event in systemic low-grade inflammation and the development of obesity and diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 31: 545–561.
- Blundell JE (1984) Serotonin and appetite. *Neuropharmacology* 23: 1537–1551.
- Bora E, Akdede BB and Alptekin K (2017) The relationship between cognitive impairment in schizophrenia and metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 47: 1030–1040.
- Boureau Y-L and Dayan P (2011) Opponency revisited: Competition and cooperation between dopamine and serotonin. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36: 74–97.
- Braude L and Stevenson RJ (2014) Watching television while eating increases energy intake. Examining the mechanisms in femalse participants. *Appetite* 76: 9–16.
- Bray GA, Frühbeck G, Ryan DH, et al. (2016) Management of obesity. Lancet 387: 1947–1956.
- Brunstrom JM (2011) The control of meal size in human subjects: A role for expected satiety, expected satiation and premeal planning. *Proc Nutr Soc* 70: 155–161.
- Brunstrom JM, Burn JF, Sell NR, et al. (2012) Episodic memory and appetite regulation in humans. *PLoS One* 7: e50707.
- Bubar MJ and Cunningham KA (2007) Distribution of serotonin 5-HT2C receptors in the ventral tegmental area. *Neuroscience* 146: 286–297.
- Bulik CM, Kleiman SC and Yilmaz Z (2016) Genetic epidemiology of eating disorders. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 29: 383–388.
- Cabanac M (1971) Physiological role of pleasure. Science 173: 1103– 1107.
- Cabanac M (1979) Sensory pleasure. Q Rev Biol 54: 1-29.
- Castro DC and Berridge KC (2014) Advances in the neurobiological bases for food 'liking' versus 'wanting'. *Physiol Behav* 136: 22–30.
- Chatterjee S, Peters SAE, Woodward M, et al. (2015) Type 2 diabetes as a risk factor for dementia in women compared with men: A pooled analysis of 2.3 million people comprising more than 100,000 cases of dementia. *Diabetes Care* 39: dc151588.
- Cheke LG, Bonnici HM, Clayton NS, et al. (2017) Obesity and insulin resistance are associated with reduced activity in core memory regions of the brain. *Neuropsychologia* 96: 137–149.
- Chen J, Papies EK and Barsalou LW (2016) A core eating network and its modulations underlie diverse eating phenomena. *Brain Cogn* 110: 20–42.
- Chen Y, Lin Y-C, Kuo T-W, et al. (2015) Sensory detection of food rapidly modulates arcuate feeding circuits. *Cell* 160: 829–841.
- Cirillo G, Di Pino G, Capone F, et al. (2017) Neurobiological aftereffects of non-invasive brain stimulation. *Brain Stimul* 10: 1–18.
- Claxton A, Baker LD, Hanson A, et al. (2015) Long-acting intranasal insulin detemir improves cognition for adults with mild cognitive impairment or early-stage Alzheimer's disease dementia. J Alzheimer's Dis 44: 897–906.
- Clemmensen C, Müller TD, Woods SC, et al. (2017) Gut-brain cross-talk in metabolic control. *Cell* 168: 758–774.
- Clifton PG, Vickers SP and Somerville EM (1998) Little and often: Ingestive behavior patterns following hippocampal lesions in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 112: 502–511.
- Cools R, Nakamura K and Daw ND (2011) Serotonin and dopamine: Unifying affective, activational, and decision functions. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36: 98–113.
- Coppin G (2016) The anterior medial temporal lobes: Their role in food intake and body weight regulation. *Physiol Behav* 167: 60–70.

- Cornier M-A, Salzberg AK, Endly DC, et al. (2009) The effects of overfeeding on the neuronal response to visual food cues in thin and reduced-obese individuals. *PLoS One* 4: e6310.
- Correll CU, Detraux J, De Lepeleire J, et al. (2015) Effects of antipsychotics, antidepressants and mood stabilizers on risk for physical diseases in people with schizophrenia, depression and bipolar disorder. *World Psychiatry* 14: 119–136.
- Cowen P and Sherwood AC (2013) The role of serotonin in cognitive function: Evidence from recent studies and implications for understanding depression. J Psychopharmacol 27: 575–583.
- Craft S, Cholerton B and Baker LD (2013) Insulin and Alzheimer's disease: Untangling the web. J Alzheimer's Dis 33(Suppl 1): S263–S275.
- Critchley HD and Rolls ET (1996) Hunger and satiety modify the responses of olfactory and visual neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 75: 1673–1686.
- Crockett MJ, Clark L, Apergis-Schoute AM, et al. (2012) Serotonin modulates the effects of pavlovian aversive predictions on response vigor. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37: 2244–2252.
- Crockett MJ, Clark L and Robbins TW (2009) Reconciling the role of serotonin in behavioral inhibition and aversion: Acute tryptophan depletion abolishes punishment-induced inhibition in humans. *J Neurosci* 29: 11993–11999.
- Curtis CE and D'Esposito M (2003) Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* 7: 415–423.
- Davidson T, Kanoski S, Walls E, et al. (2005) Memory inhibition and energy regulation. *Physiol Behav* 86: 731–746.
- Davidson TL and Jarrard LE (1993) A role for hippocampus in the utilization of hunger signals. *Behav Neural Biol* 59: 167–171.
- Davidson TL, Sample CH and Swithers SE (2014) An application of Pavlovian principles to the problems of obesity and cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 108: 172–184.
- Davis JF, Choi DL and Benoit SC (2010) Insulin, leptin and reward. Trends Endocrinol Metab 21: 68–74.
- Daw ND and Shohamy D (2008) The cognitive neuroscience of motivation and learning. Soc Cogn 26: 593–620.
- Dayan P and Huys QJM (2009) Serotonin in affective control. Annu Rev Neurosci 32: 95–126.
- De Felice FG (2013) Alzheimer's disease and insulin resistance: Translating basic science into clinical applications. *J Clin Invest* 123: 531–539.
- DelParigi A, Chen K, Salbe AD, et al. (2005) Sensory experience of food and obesity: A positron emission tomography study of the brain regions affected by tasting a liquid meal after a prolonged fast. *Neuroimage* 24: 436–443.
- Diano S, Farr SA, Benoit SC, et al. (2006) Ghrelin controls hippocampal spine synapse density and memory performance. *Nat Neurosci* 9: 381–388.
- Dickinson A (2012) Associative learning and animal cognition. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 367: 2733–2742.
- Dickson SL, Shirazi RH, Hansson C, et al. (2012) The Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogue, exendin-4, decreases the rewarding value of food: A new role for mesolimbic GLP-1 receptors. *J Neurosci* 32: 4812–4820.
- Dossat AM, Lilly N, Kay K, et al. (2011) Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptors in nucleus accumbens affect food intake. J Neurosc 31: 14453–14457.
- Dourish CT (1995) Multiple serotonin receptors: Opportunities for new treatments for obesity? Obes Res 3(Suppl 4): 449S–462S.
- Duncan J (2013) The structure of cognition: Attentional episodes in mind and brain. *Neuron* 80: 35–50.
- During MJ, Cao L, Zuzga DS, et al. (2003) Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor is involved in learning and neuroprotection. *Nat Med* 9: 1173–1179.
- Elmquist JK, Bjørbaek C, Ahima RS, et al. (1998) Distributions of leptin receptor mRNA isoforms in the rat brain. J Comp Neurol 395: 535– 547.

- Fadel JR and Reagan LP (2016) Stop signs in hippocampal insulin signaling: The role of insulin resistance in structural, functional and behavioral deficits. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 9: 47–54.
- Farooqi IS, Bullmore E, Keogh J, et al. (2007) Leptin regulates striatal regions and human eating behavior. *Science* 317: 1355.
- Farr OM, Sofopoulos M, Tsoukas MA, et al. (2016) GLP-1 receptors exist in the parietal cortex, hypothalamus and medulla of human brains and the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide alters brain activity related to highly desirable food cues in individuals with diabetes: A crossover, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetologia* 59: 954–965.
- Farr OM, Tsoukas MA and Mantzoros CS (2015) Leptin and the brain: Influences on brain development, cognitive functioning and psychiatric disorders. *Metabolism* 64: 114–130.
- Farr SA, Banks WA and Morley JE (2006) Effects of leptin on memory processing. *Peptides* 27: 1420–1425.
- Faulkner P and Deakin JFW (2014) The role of serotonin in reward, punishment and behavioural inhibition in humans: Insights from studies with acute tryptophan depletion. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 46: 365–378.
- Field M, Werthmann J, Franken I, et al. (2016) The role of attentional bias in obesity and addiction. *Health Psychol* 35: 767–780.
- Figlewicz DP (2003) Adiposity signals and food reward: Expanding the CNS roles of insulin and leptin. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 284: R882–R892.
- Figlewicz DP, Bennett JL, Naleid AM, et al. (2006) Intraventricular insulin and leptin decrease sucrose self-administration in rats. *Physiol Behav* 89: 611–616.
- Fletcher PC, Napolitano A, Skeggs A, et al. (2010) Distinct modulatory effects of satiety and sibutramine on brain responses to food images in humans: A double dissociation across hypothalamus, amygdala, and ventral striatum. *J Neurosci* 30: 14346–14355.
- Fletcher PJ, Chintoh AF, Sinyard J, et al. (2004) Injection of the 5-HT2C receptor agonist Ro60-0175 into the ventral tegmental area reduces cocaine-induced locomotor activity and cocaine self-administration. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29: 308–318.
- Frank S, Lee S, Preissl H, et al. (2012) The obese brain athlete: Selfregulation of the anterior insula in adiposity. *PLoS One* 7: e42570.
- Führer D, Zysset S and Stumvoll M (2008) Brain activity in hunger and satiety: An exploratory visually stimulated fMRI study. *Obesity* 16: 945–950.
- Fulton S, Pissios P, Manchon RP, et al. (2006) Leptin regulation of the mesoaccumbens sopamine pathway. *Neuron* 51: 811–822.
- Gahete MD, Córdoba-Chacón J, Kineman RD, et al. (2011) Role of ghrelin system in neuroprotection and cognitive functions: Implications in Alzheimer's disease. *Peptides* 32: 2225–2228.
- Garfield AS and Heisler LK (2009) Pharmacological targeting of the serotonergic system for the treatment of obesity. J Physiol 587: 49–60.
- Gautier JF, Chen K, Salbe AD, et al. (2000) Differential brain responses to satiation in obese and lean men. *Diabetes* 49: 838–846.
- Glikmann-Johnston Y, Saling MM, Reutens DC, et al. (2015) Hippocampal 5-HT1A receptor and spatial learning and memory. *Front Pharmacol* 6: 289.
- Gluck ME, Alonso-Alonso M, Piaggi P, et al. (2015) Neuromodulation targeted to the prefrontal cortex induces changes in energy intake and weight loss in obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 23: 2149–2156.
- Goldman RL, Borckardt JJ, Frohman HA, et al. (2011) Prefrontal cortex transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) temporarily reduces food cravings and increases the self-reported ability to resist food in adults with frequent food craving. *Appetite* 56: 741–746.
- Goldstone AP, Prechtl de Hernandez CG, Beaver JD, et al. (2009) Fasting biases brain reward systems towards high-calorie foods. *Eur J Neurosci* 30: 1625–1635.
- Goldstone AP, Prechtl CG, Scholtz S, et al. (2014) Ghrelin mimics fasting to enhance human hedonic, orbitofrontal cortex, and hippocampal responses to food. *Am J Clin Nutr* 99: 1319–1330.

- Grill HJ and Hayes MR (2012) Hindbrain neurons as an essential hub in the neuroanatomically distributed control of energy balance. *Cell Metab* 16: 296–309.
- Guan XM, Yu H, Palyha OC, et al. (1997) Distribution of mRNA encoding the growth hormone secretagogue receptor in brain and peripheral tissues. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 48: 23–29.
- Guthoff M, Grichisch Y, Canova C, et al. (2010) Insulin modulates foodrelated activity in the central nervous system. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95: 748–755.
- Haase L, Cerf-Ducastel B and Murphy C (2009) Cortical activation in response to pure taste stimuli during the physiological states of hunger and satiety. *Neuroimage* 44: 1008–1021.
- Hâkansson ML, Brown H, Ghilardi N, et al. (1998) Leptin receptor immunoreactivity in chemically defined target neurons of the hypothalamus. *J Neurosci* 18: 559–572.
- Halaas JL, Gajiwala KS, Maffei M, et al. (1995) Weight-reducing effects of the plasma protein encoded by the obese gene. *Science* 269: 543–546.
- Hall PA (2012) Executive control resources and frequency of fatty food consumption: Findings from an age-stratified community sample. *Health Psychol* 31: 235–241.
- Hall PA, Vincent CM and Burhan AM (2017) Non-invasive brain stimulation for food cravings, consumption, and disorders of eating: A review of methods, findings and controversies. *Appetite* pii: S0195-6663(16)30685-7.
- Hallschmid M, Benedict C, Schultes B, et al. (2008) Obese men respond to cognitive but not to catabolic brain insulin signaling. *Int J Obes* (*Lond*) 32: 275–282.
- Hallschmid M, Higgs S, Thienel M, et al. (2012) Postprandial administration of intranasal insulin intensifies satiety and reduces intake of palatable snacks in women. *Diabetes* 61: 782–789.
- Hallschmid M, Jauch-Chara K, Korn O, et al. (2010) Euglycemic infusion of insulin detemir compared with human insulin appears to increase direct current brain potential response and reduces food intake while inducing similar systemic effects. *Diabetes* 59: 1101–1107.
- Hamilton A and Hölscher C (2009) Receptors for the incretin glucagonlike peptide-1 are expressed on neurons in the central nervous system. *Neuroreport* 20: 1161–1166.
- Handley JD, Williams DM, Caplin S, et al. (2016) Changes in cognitive function following bariatric surgery: A systematic review. *Obes Surg* 26: 2530–2537.
- Hansen HH, Fabricius K, Barkholt P, et al. (2015) The GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide improves memory function and increases hippocampal CA1 neuronal numbers in a senescence-accelerated mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimer's Dis 46: 877–888.
- Hare TA, Camerer CF, Knoepfle DT, et al. (2010) Value computations in ventral medial prefrontal cortex during charitable decision making incorporate input from regions involved in social cognition. J Neurosci 30: 583–590.
- Hare TA, Camerer CF and Rangel A (2009) Self-control in decisionmaking involves modulation of the vmPFC valuation system. *Science* 324: 646–648.
- Hare TA, Malmaud J and Rangel A (2011a) Focusing attention on the health aspects of foods changes value signals in vmPFC and improves dietary choice. *J Neurosci* 31: 11077–11087.
- Hare TA, Schultz W, Camerer CF, et al. (2011b) Transformation of stimulus value signals into motor commands during simple choice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 18120–18125.
- Hargrave SL, Davidson TL, Zheng W, et al. (2016) Western diets induce blood-brain barrier leakage and alter spatial strategies in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 130: 123–135.
- Harris GC, Wimmer M and Aston-Jones G (2005) A role for lateral hypothalamic orexin neurons in reward seeking. *Nature* 437: 556–559.
- Harvey J, Shanley LJ, O'Malley D, et al. (2005) Leptin: A potential cognitive enhancer? *Biochem Soc Trans* 33: 1029–1032.

- Hayes MR, Leichner TM, Zhao S, et al. (2011) Intracellular signals mediating the food intake-suppressive effects of hindbrain glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor activation. *Cell Metab* 13: 320–330.
- Heal DJ, Gosden J and Smith SL (2012) What is the prognosis for new centrally-acting anti-obesity drugs? *Neuropharmacology* 63: 132– 146.
- Hebben N, Corkin S, Eichenbaum H, et al. (1985) Diminished ability to interpret and report internal states after bilateral medial temporal resection: Case H.M. *Behav Neurosci* 99: 1031–1039.
- Heisler LK, Cowley MA, Tecott LH, et al. (2002) Activation of central melanocortin pathways by fenfluramine. *Science* 297: 609–611.
- Heisler LK, Jobst EE, Sutton GM, et al. (2006) Serotonin reciprocally regulates melanocortin neurons to modulate food intake. *Neuron* 51: 239–249.
- Henderson YO, Smith GP and Parent MB (2013) Hippocampal neurons inhibit meal onset. *Hippocampus* 23: 100–107.
- Herman CP and Mack D (1975) Restrained and unrestrained eating. J Pers 43: 647–660.
- Herman CP and Polivy J (2004) The self-regulation of eating: Theoretical and practical problems. In: Baumeister RF and Vohs KD (eds) *Handbook of Self-Regulation: Research, Theory, and Applications*. New York, NY: Guilford Press, pp. 492–508.
- Herman CP and Polivy J (2014) Models, monitoring, and the mind: Comments on Wansink and Chandon's 'Slim by Design'. J Consum Psychol 24: 432–437.
- Hetherington MM (1996) Sensory-specific satiety and its importance in meal termination. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 20: 113–117.
- Higgins GA, Silenieks LB, Lau W, et al. (2013) Evaluation of chemically diverse 5-HT2C receptor agonists on behaviours motivated by food and nicotine and on side effect profiles. *Psychopharmacology* 226: 475–490.
- Higgs S (2002) Memory for recent eating and its influence on subsequent food intake. *Appetite* 39: 159–166.
- Higgs S (2005) Memory and its role in appetite regulation. *Physiol Behav* 85: 67–72.
- Higgs S (2008) Cognitive influences on food intake: The effects of manipulating memory for recent eating. *Physiol Behav* 94: 734–739.
- Higgs S (2016) Cognitive processing of food rewards. *Appetite* 104: 10–17.
- Higgs S and Cooper SJ (1996) Hyperphagia induced by direct administration of midazolam into the parabrachial nucleus of the rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* 313: 1–9.
- Higgs S and Donohoe JE (2011) Focusing on food during lunch enhances lunch memory and decreases later snack intake. *Appetite* 57: 202–206.
- Higgs S, Rutters F, Thomas JM, et al. (2012) Top down modulation of attention to food cues via working memory. *Appetite* 59: 71–75.
- Higgs S, Williams CM and Kirkham TC (2003) Cannabinoid influences on palatability: Microstructural analysis of sucrose drinking after Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol, anandamide, 2-arachidonoyl glycerol and SR141716. *Psychopharmacology* 165: 370–377.
- Higgs S, Williamson AC and Attwood AS (2008a) Recall of recent lunch and its effect on subsequent snack intake. *Physiol Behav* 94: 454–462.
- Higgs S, Williamson AC, Rotshtein P, et al. (2008b) Sensory-specific satiety is intact in amnesics who eat multiple meals. *Psychol Sci* 19: 623–628.
- Higgs S and Woodward M (2009) Television watching during lunch increases afternoon snack intake of young women. *Appetite* 52: 39–43.
- Hoebel BG and Teittelbaum P (1962) Hypothalamic control of feeding and self-stimulation. *Science (New York)* 135: 375–377.
- Hofmann W, Friese M and Roefs A (2009) Three ways to resist temptation: The independent contributions of executive attention, inhibitory control, and affect regulation to the impulse control of eating behavior. J Exp Soc Psychol 45: 431–435.

- Hofmann W, Schmeichel BJ and Baddeley AD (2012) Executive functions and self-regulation. *Trends Cogn Sci* 16: 174–180.
- Holden KF, Lindquist K, Tylavsky FA, et al. (2009) Serum leptin level and cognition in the elderly: Findings from the Health ABC Study. *Neurobiol Aging* 30: 1483–1489.
- Hollmann M, Hellrung L, Pleger B, et al. (2012) Neural correlates of the volitional regulation of the desire for food. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 36: 648–655.
- Holst JJ (2007) The physiology of glucagon-like peptide 1. *Physiol Rev* 87: 1409–1439.
- Horstmann A (2017) It wasn't me; it was my brain Obesity-associated characteristics of brain circuits governing decision-making. *Physiol Behav* 176: 125–133.
- Houben K, Dassen FCM and Jansen A (2016) Taking control: Working memory training in overweight individuals increases self-regulation of food intake. *Appetite* 105: 567–574.
- Hsu TM, Hahn JD, Konanur VR, et al. (2015a) Hippocampal GLP-1 receptors influence food intake, meal size, and effort-based responding for food through volume transmission. *Neuropsychopharmacol*ogy 40: 327–337.
- Hsu TM, Hahn JD, Konanur VR, et al. (2015b) Hippocampus ghrelin signaling mediates appetite through lateral hypothalamic orexin pathways. *eLife* 4. pii: e11190. doi: 10.7554/eLife.11190.
- Hsu TM and Kanoski SE (2014) Blood-brain barrier disruption: Mechanistic links between Western diet consumption and dementia. *Front Aging Neurosci* 6: 88.
- Hsu TM, Konanur VR, Taing L, et al. (2015c) Effects of sucrose and high fructose corn syrup consumption on spatial memory function and hippocampal neuroinflammation in adolescent rats. *Hippocampus* 25: 227–239.
- Ihssen N, Sokunbi MO, Lawrence AD, et al. (2016) Neurofeedback of visual food cue reactivity: A potential avenue to alter incentive sensitization and craving. *Brain Imaging Behav* 11: 915–924.
- Irving AJ and Harvey J (2014) Leptin regulation of hippocampal synaptic function in health and disease. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 369: 20130155.
- Jarmolowicz DP, Cherry JBC, Reed DD, et al. (2014) Robust relation between temporal discounting rates and body mass. *Appetite* 78: 63–67.
- Jauch-Chara K, Kistenmacher A, Herzog N, et al. (2014) Repetitive electric brain stimulation reduces food intake in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 100: 1003–1009.
- Javaras KN, Pope HG, Lalonde JK, et al. (2008) Co-occurrence of binge eating disorder with psychiatric and medical disorders. J Clin Psychiatry 69: 266–273.
- Jerlhag E, Janson AC, Waters S, et al. (2012) Concomitant release of ventral tegmental acetylcholine and accumbal dopamine by ghrelin in rats. *PLoS One* 7: e49557.
- Kaisari P, Dourish CT and Higgs S (2017a) The relationship between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and disordered eating. *Appetite* 107: 1–684.
- Kaisari P, Dourish CT and Higgs S (2017b) Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and disordered eating behaviour: A systematic review and a framework for future research. *Clin Psychol Rev* 53: 109–121.
- Kanoski SE and Davidson TL (2011) Western diet consumption and cognitive impairment: Links to hippocampal dysfunction and obesity. *Physiol Behav* 103: 59–68.
- Kanoski SE, Fortin SM, Ricks KM, et al. (2013) Ghrelin signaling in the ventral hippocampus stimulates learned and motivational aspects of feeding via PI3K-Akt signaling. *Biol Psychiatry* 73: 915–923.
- Kanoski SE, Grill HJ, Freymann K, et al. (2017) Hippocampus contributions to food intake control: Mnemonic, neuroanatomical, and endocrine mechanisms. *Biol Psychiatry* 81: 748–756.
- Kanoski SE, Hayes MR, Greenwald HS, et al. (2011) Hippocampal leptin signaling reduces food intake and modulates food-related memory processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36: 1859–1870.

- Kavanagh DJ, Andrade J and May J (2005) Imaginary relish and exquisite torture: The elaborated intrusion theory of desire. *Psychol Rev* 112: 446–467.
- Killgore WDS, Young AD, Femia LA, et al. (2003) Cortical and limbic activation during viewing of high- versus low-calorie foods. *Neuro-image* 19: 1381–1394.
- Krebs RM, Boehler CN and Woldorff MG (2010) The influence of reward associations on conflict processing in the Stroop task. *Cognition* 117: 341–347.
- Kringelbach ML, O'Doherty J, Rolls ET, et al. (2003) Activation of the human orbitofrontal cortex to a liquid food stimulus is correlated with its subjective pleasantness. *Cereb Cortex* 13: 1064–1071.
- Kullmann S, Frank S, Heni M, et al. (2013) Intranasal insulin modulates intrinsic reward and prefrontal circuitry of the human brain in lean women. *Neuroendocrinology* 97: 176–182.
- Kullmann S, Heni M, Fritsche A, et al. (2015) Insulin action in the human brain: Evidence from neuroimaging studies. J Neuroendocrinol 27: 419–423.
- Kunath N, Müller NCJ, Tonon M, et al. (2016) Ghrelin modulates encoding-related brain function without enhancing memory formation in humans. *Neuroimage* 142: 465–473.
- LaBar KS, Gitelman DR, Parrish TB, et al. (2001) Hunger selectively modulates corticolimbic activation to food stimuli in humans. *Behav Neurosci* 115: 493–500.
- Lapenta OM, Sierve K Di, de Macedo EC, et al. (2014) Transcranial direct current stimulation modulates ERP-indexed inhibitory control and reduces food consumption. *Appetite* 83: 42–48.
- Leinninger GM, Jo Y-H, Leshan RL, et al. (2009) Leptin acts via leptin receptor-expressing lateral hypothalamic neurons to modulate the mesolimbic dopamine system and suppress feeding. *Cell Metab* 10: 89–98.
- Lengyel M and Dayan P (2008) Hippocampal contributions to control: The third way. *Adv Neural Inf Process Syst* 20: 889–896.
- Li XL, Aou S, Oomura Y, et al. (2002) Impairment of long-term potentiation and spatial memory in leptin receptor-deficient rodents. *Neuro-science* 113: 607–615.
- Lowe CJ, Hall PA and Staines WR (2014) The effects of continuous theta burst stimulation to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex on executive function, food cravings, and snack food consumption. *Psychosom Med* 76: 503–511.
- Lowe CJ, Kolev D and Hall PA (2016) An exploration of exerciseinduced cognitive enhancement and transfer effects to dietary selfcontrol. *Brain Cogn* 110: 102–111.
- Lowe CJ, Vincent C and Hall PA (2017) Effects of noninvasive brain stimulation on food cravings and consumption. *Psychosom Med* 79: 2–13.
- Lummis SCR (2012) 5-HT(3) receptors. *J Biol Chem* 287: 40239–40245. Lundbeck (2016) Headline conclusions from the first out of three phase
- III studies on idalopirdine in Alzheimer's disease. *Press release*.
- Machu TK (2011) Therapeutics of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists: Current uses and future directions. *Pharmacol Ther* 130: 338–347.
- Mahler SV, Smith KS and Berridge KC (2007) Endocannabinoid hedonic hotspot for sensory pleasure: Anandamide in nucleus accumbens shell enhances 'liking' of a sweet reward. *Neuropsychopharmacol*ogy 32: 2267–2278.
- Malik S, McGlone F, Bedrossian D, et al. (2008) Ghrelin modulates brain activity in areas that control appetitive behavior. *Cell Metab* 7: 400–409.
- Martin AA and Davidson TL (2014) Human cognitive function and the obesogenic environment. *Physiol Behav* 136: 185–193.
- McHugh L and Wood RL (2008) Using a temporal discounting paradigm to measure decision-making and impulsivity following traumatic brain injury: a pilot study. *Brain Injury*, 22: 715–721.
- Mebel D, Wong J, Dong Y, et al. (2012) Insulin in the ventral tegmental area reduces hedonic feeding and suppresses dopamine concentration via increased reuptake. *Eur J Neurosci* 36: 2336–2346.

- Mendelsohn D, Riedel WJ and Sambeth A (2009) Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on memory, attention and executive functions: A systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 33: 926–952.
- Meyniel F, Goodwin GM, Deakin JW, et al. (2016) A specific role for serotonin in overcoming effort cost. *eLife* 5. pii: e17282. doi: 10.7554/ eLife.17282.
- Miller AA and Spencer SJ (2014) Obesity and neuroinflammation: A pathway to cognitive impairment. *Brain Behav Immun* 42: 10–21.
- Mittal D, Stevenson RJ, Oaten MJ, et al. (2011) Snacking while watching TV impairs food recall and promotes food intake on a later TV free test meal. *Appl Cogn Psychol* 25: 871–877.
- Miyazaki KW, Miyazaki K, Tanaka KF, et al. (2014) Optogenetic activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons enhances patience for future rewards. *Curr Biol* 24: 2033–2040.
- Mogg K, Bradley BP, Hyare H, et al. (1998) Selective attention to foodrelated stimuli in hunger: Are attentional biases specific to emotional and psychopathological states, or are they also found in normal drive states? *Behav Res Ther* 36: 227–237.
- Moray J, Fu A, Brill K, et al. (2007) Viewing television while eating impairs the ability to accurately estimate total amount of food consumed. *Bariatr Nurs Surg Patient Care* 2: 71–76.
- Morrison CD (2009) Leptin signaling in brain: A link between nutrition and cognition? *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1792: 401–408.
- Nakazato M, Murakami N, Date Y, et al. (2001) A role for ghrelin in the central regulation of feeding. *Nature* 409: 194–198.
- Narayanaswami V and Dwoskin LP (2017) Obesity: Current and potential pharmacotherapeutics and targets. *Pharmacol Ther* 170: 116–147.
- Nederkoorn C, Smulders FT, Havermans RC, et al. (2006) Impulsivity in obese women. *Appetite*, 47: 253–256.
- Nieh EH, Matthews GA, Allsop SA, et al. (2015) Decoding neural circuits that control compulsive sucrose seeking. *Cell* 160: 528–541.
- Nieh EH, Vander Weele CM, Matthews GA, et al. (2016) Inhibitory input from the lateral hypothalamus to the ventral tegmental area disinhibits dopamine neurons and promotes behavioral activation. *Neuron* 90: 1286–1298.
- Noble EE, Hsu TM and Kanoski SE (2017) Gut to brain dysbiosis: Mechanisms linking Western diet consumption, the microbiome, and cognitive impairment. *Front Behav Neurosci* 11: 9.
- O'Doherty J, Rolls ET, Francis S, et al. (2000) Sensory-specific satietyrelated olfactory activation of the human orbitofrontal cortex. *Neuroreport* 11: 893–897.
- Oldham-Cooper RE, Hardman CA, Nicoll CE, et al. (2011) Playing a computer game during lunch affects fullness, memory for lunch, and later snack intake. *Am J Clin Nutr* 93: 308–313.
- Oomura Y, Hori N, Shiraishi T, et al. (2006) Leptin facilitates learning and memory performance and enhances hippocampal CA1 long-term potentiation and CaMK II phosphorylation in rats. *Peptides* 27: 2738–2749.
- Papies EK (2013) Tempting food words activate eating simulations. Front Psychol 4: 1–12.
- Parent MB (2016) Dorsal hippocampal-dependent episodic memory inhibits eating. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 25: 461–466.
- Park CR, Seeley RJ, Craft S, et al. (2000) Intracerebroventricular insulin enhances memory in a passive-avoidance task. *Physiol Behav* 68: 509–514.
- Paz-Filho GJ, Babikian T, Asarnow R, et al. (2008) Leptin replacement improves cognitive development. PLoS One 3: e3098.
- Pecina S and Berridge KC (2005) Hedonic hot spot in nucleus accumbens shell: Where do mu-opioids cause increased hedonic impact of sweetness? J Neurosci 25: 11777–11786.
- Pecina S, Cagniard B, Berridge KC, et al. (2003) Hyperdopaminergic mutant mice have higher 'wanting' but not 'liking' for sweet rewards. J Neurosci 23: 9395–9402.
- Porubska K, Veit R, Preissl H, et al. (2006) Subjective feeling of appetite modulates brain activity: An fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 32: 1273–1280.
- Price M, Higgs S, Maw J, et al. (2016) A dual-process approach to exploring the role of delay discounting in obesity. *Physiol Behav* 162: 46–51.

- Prickett C, Brennan L and Stolwyk R (2015) Examining the relationship between obesity and cognitive function: A systematic literature review. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 9: 93–113.
- Ramírez MJ (2013) 5-HT6 receptors and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Res Ther* 5: 15.
- Rangel A (2013) Regulation of dietary choice by the decision-making circuitry. Nat Neurosci 16: 1717–1724.
- Rangel A and Hare T (2010) Neural computations associated with goaldirected choice. Curr Opin Neurobiol 20: 262–270.
- Redden JP (2014) Desire over time: The multi-faceted nature of satiation. In: Hofmann W and Nordgren L (eds) *The Psychology of Desire*. New York, NY: Guilford Press, pp. 82–103.
- Reger MA, Watson GS, Green PS, et al. (2008a) Intranasal insulin administration dose-dependently modulates verbal memory and plasma amyloid-beta in memory-impaired older adults. *J Alzheimers Dis* 13: 323–331.
- Reger MA, Watson GS, Green PS, et al. (2008b) Intranasal insulin improves cognition and modulates beta-amyloid in early AD. *Neurology* 70: 440–448.
- Robinson E, Blissett J and Higgs S (2012) Changing memory of food enjoyment to increase food liking, choice and intake. *Br J Nutr* 108: 1505–1510.
- Robinson E, Higgs S, Daley AJ, et al. (2013) Development and feasibility testing of a smart phone based attentive eating intervention. *BMC Public Health* 13: 639.
- Robinson E, Kersbergen I and Higgs S (2014) Eating 'attentively' reduces later energy consumption in overweight and obese females. *Br J Nutr* 112: 657–661.
- Rolls BJ, Rolls ET, Rowe EA, et al. (1981) Sensory specific satiety in man. *Physiol Behav* 27: 137–142.
- Rozin P, Dow S, Moscovitch M, et al. (1998) What causes humans to begin and end a meal? A role for memory for what has been eaten, as evidenced by a study of multiple meal eating in amnesic patients. *Psychol Sci* 9: 392–396.
- Rudorf S and Hare TA (2014) Interactions between dorsolateral and ventromedial prefrontal cortex underlie context-dependent stimulus valuation in goal-directed choice. *J Neurosci* 34: 15988–15996.
- Rutters F, Kumar S, Higgs S, et al. (2015) Electrophysiological evidence for enhanced representation of food stimuli in working memory. *Exp Brain Res* 233: 519–528.
- Savioz A, Charnay Y, Huguenin C, et al. (1997) Expression of leptin receptor mRNA (long form splice variant) in the human cerebellum. *Neuroreport* 8: 3123–3126.
- Scarborough P, Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe KK, et al. (2011) The economic burden of ill health due to diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and obesity in the UK: An update to 2006-07 NHS costs. J Public Health 33: 527–535.
- Schick RR, Zimmermann JP, Walde T vorm, et al. (2003) Glucagon-like peptide 1-(7–36) amide acts at lateral and medial hypothalamic sites to suppress feeding in rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 284: R1427–R1435.
- Schweighofer N, Bertin M, Shishida K, et al. (2008) Low-serotonin levels increase delayed reward discounting in humans. J Neurosci 28: 4528–4532.
- Shanley LJ, O'Malley D, Irving AJ, et al. (2002) Leptin inhibits epileptiform-like activity in rat hippocampal neurones via PI 3-kinasedriven activation of BK channels. J Physiol 545: 933–944.
- Shemesh E, Rudich A, Harman-Boehm I, et al. (2012) Effect of intranasal insulin on cognitive function: A systematic review. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97: 366–376.
- Sheng Z, Santiago AM, Thomas MP, et al. (2014) Metabolic regulation of lateral hypothalamic glucose-inhibited orexin neurons may influence midbrain reward neurocircuitry. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 62: 30–41.
- Simmons WK, Burrows K, Avery JA, et al. (2016) Depression-related increases and decreases in appetite: Dissociable patterns of aberrant

activity in reward and interoceptive neurocircuitry. *Am J Psychiatry* 173: 418–428.

- Simmons WK, Martin A and Barsalou LW (2005) Pictures of appetizing foods activate gustatory cortices for taste and reward. *Cerebral Cortex* 15: 1602–1608.
- Skibicka KP, Hansson C, Egecioglu E, et al. (2012b) Role of ghrelin in food reward: Impact of ghrelin on sucrose self-administration and mesolimbic dopamine and acetylcholine receptor gene expression. *Addict Biol* 17: 95–107.
- Skibicka KP, Shirazi RH, Hansson C, et al. (2012a) Ghrelin interacts with neuropeptide Y Y1 and opioid receptors to increase food reward. *Endocrinology* 153: 1194–1205.
- Sohn J-W, Xu Y, Jones JE, et al. (2011) Serotonin 2C receptor activates a distinct population of arcuate pro-opiomelanocortin neurons via TRPC channels. *Neuron* 71: 488–497.
- Soubrié P (1985) [Serotonergic neurons and behavior]. *J Pharmacol* 17: 107–112.
- Spetter MS, de Graaf C, Viergever MA, et al. (2012) Anterior cingulate taste activation predicts ad libitum intake of sweet and savory drinks in healthy, normal-weight men. J Nutr 142: 795–802.
- Spetter MS, Malekshahi R, Birbaumer N, et al. (2017) Volitional regulation of brain responses to food stimuli in overweight and obese subjects: A real-time fMRI feedback study. *Appetite* 112: 188–195.
- Spitznagel MB, Benitez A, Updegraff J, et al. (2010) Serum ghrelin is inversely associated with cognitive function in a sample of nondemented elderly. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 64: 608–611.
- Spyridaki EC, Avgoustinaki PD and Margioris AN (2016) Obesity, inflammation and cognition. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 9: 169–175.
- Stice E, Lawrence NS, Kemps E, et al. (2016) Training motor responses to food: A novel treatment for obesity targeting implicit processes. *Clini Psychol Rev* 49: 16–27.
- Stockhorst U, de Fries D, Steingrueber H-J, et al. (2004) Insulin and the CNS: Effects on food intake, memory, and endocrine parameters and the role of intranasal insulin administration in humans. *Physiol Behav* 83: 47–54.
- Stoeckel LE, Arvanitakis Z, Gandy S, et al. (2016) Complex mechanisms linking neurocognitive dysfunction to insulin resistance and other metabolic dysfunction. *F1000Res* 5: 353.
- Stoeckel LE, Garrison KA, Ghosh S, et al. (2014) Optimizing real time fMRI neurofeedback for therapeutic discovery and development. *Neuroimage Clin* 5: 245–255.
- Stoyanova II (2014) Ghrelin: A link between ageing, metabolism and neurodegenerative disorders. *Neurobiol Dis* 72: 72–83.
- Talbot K, Wang HY, Kazi H, et al. (2012) Demonstrated brain insulin resistance in Alzheimer's disease patients is associated with IGF-1 resistance, IRS-1 dysregulation, and cognitive decline. J Clin Invest 122: 1316–1338.
- Theodoropoulou A, Metallinos IC, Psyrogiannis A, et al. (2012) Ghrelin and leptin secretion in patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease. J Nutr Health Aging 16: 472–477.
- Thomas JM, Dourish CT, Tomlinson JW, et al. (2014) Effects of the 5-HT2C receptor agonist meta-chlorophenylpiperazine on appetite, food intake and emotional processing in healthy volunteers. *Psychopharmacology* 231: 2449–2459.
- Thomas JM, Higgs S, Dourish CT, et al. (2015) Satiation attenuates BOLD activity in brain regions involved in reward and increases activity in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: An fMRI study in healthy volunteers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 101: 697–704.
- Tindell AJ, Berridge KC, Zhang J, et al. (2005) Ventral pallidal neurons code incentive motivation: Amplification by mesolimbic sensitization and amphetamine. *Eur J Neurosci* 22: 2617–2634.
- Toates FM (1986) *Motivational Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridde University Press.

- Turton MD, O'Shea D, Gunn I, et al. (1996) A role for glucagon-like peptide-1 in the central regulation of feeding. *Nature* 379: 69–72.
- Uher R, Yoganathan D, Mogg A, et al. (2005) Effect of left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on food craving. *Biol Psychiatry* 58: 840–842.
- Unger JW, Livingston JN and Moss AM (1991) Insulin receptors in the central nervous system: Localization, signalling mechanisms and functional aspects. *Prog Neurobiol* 36: 343–362.
- Val-Laillet D, Aarts E, Weber B, et al. (2015) Neuroimaging and neuromodulation approaches to study eating behavior and prevent and treat eating disorders and obesity. *Neuroimage Clin* 8: 1–31.
- Valenstein ES, Cox VC and Kakolewski JW (1968) Modification of motivated behavior elicited by electrical stimulation of the hypothalamus. *Science (New York)* 159: 1119–1121.
- Van den Eynde F, Claudino AM, Mogg A, et al. (2010) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation reduces cue-induced food craving in bulimic disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 67: 793–795.
- Van den Eynde F, Guillaume S, Broadbent H, et al. (2013) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in anorexia nervosa: A pilot study. *Eur Psychiatry* 28: 98–101.
- Veling H, Lawrence NS, Chen Z, et al. (2017) What is trained during food go/no-go training? A review focusing on mechanisms and a research agenda. *Curr Addict Rep* 4: 35–41.
- Veronese N, Facchini S, Stubbs B, et al. (2017) Weight loss is associated with improvements in cognitive function among overweight and obese people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 72: 87–94.
- Vlaev I, Crockett MJ, Clark L, et al. (2017) Serotonin enhances the impact of health information on food choice. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 17: 542–553.
- Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, et al. (2011) Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet* 378: 815–825.
- Ward A and Mann T (2000) Don't mind if I do: Disinhibited eating under cognitive load. J Pers Soc Psychol 78: 75–763.
- Waterson MJ and Horvath TL (2015) Neuronal regulation of energy homeostasis: Beyond the hypothalamus and feeding. *Cell Metab* 22: 962–970.
- Weller RE, Cook EW III, Avsar KB, et al. (2008) Obese women show greater delay discounting than healthy-weight women. *Appetite* 51: 563–569.
- Werthmann J, Jansen A and Roefs A (2016) Make up your mind about food: A healthy mindset attenuates attention for high-calorie food in restrained eaters. *Appetite* 105: 53–59.
- Whitelock V, Nouwen A, van den Akker O, et al. (2017) The role of working memory sub-components in food intake and dieting success. *Appetite*. Epub ahead of print 23 May 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j. appet.2017.05.043.
- Witte AV, Köbe T, Graunke A, et al. (2016) Impact of leptin on memory function and hippocampal structure in mild cognitive impairment. *Hum Brain Mapp* 37: 4539–4549.
- Woods SC, Lotter EC, McKay LD, et al. (1979) Chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of insulin reduces food intake and body weight of baboons. *Nature* 282: 503–505.
- Wyvell CL and Berridge KC (2000) Intra-accumbens amphetamine increases the conditioned incentive salience of sucrose reward: Enhancement of reward 'wanting' without enhanced 'liking' or response reinforcement. J Neurosci 20: 8122–8130.
- Yeo GSH and Heisler LK (2012) Unraveling the brain regulation of appetite: Lessons from genetics. *Nat Neurosci* 15: 1343–1349.
- Yokum S and Stice E (2013) Cognitive regulation of food craving: Effects of three cognitive reappraisal strategies on neural response to palatable foods. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 37: 1565–1570.
- Zigman JM, Jones JE, Lee CE, et al. (2006) Expression of ghrelin receptor mRNA in the rat and the mouses brain. J Comp Neurol 494: 528–548.