## AND/ASPEN and GLIM Malnutrition Diagnostic Tools Identify Similar Malnutrition-Related Risk Factors

Dayana El Chaar, Cosette El Khoury, and Lama Mattar

Lebanese American University

Objectives: Our aim is to determine whether different malnutrition diagnostic tools [European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics/American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (AND/ASPEN) and the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM)] are associated with common malnutrition-related risk factors in a sample of newly admitted hospital patients.

Methods: This study is a prospective observational study. Newly admitted hospital patients were screened for nutritional risk. At-risk patients were then assessed for malnutrition using ESPEN, AND/ASPEN and GLIM criteria. Bivariate analyses were computed for all predictors and tested with the result of the nutritional assessment of each of the diagnostic tools. Similarly, a logistic regression was then conducted to determine the predictors associated with each malnutrition diagnostic criteria.

Results: 578 patients were screened for malnutrition, of which 121(20.93%) were nutritionally at risk and were subjected to nutritional assessment. The regression model suggested that the presence of pressure ulcers (OR 21.252, 95% CI 4.495-100.485), higher Charlson Comorbidiy Index (CCI) score (OR 1.321, 95% CI 1.098-1.591), lower Body Mass Index (BMI) (OR 0.478, 95% CI 0.389-0.588), and older age (OR 1.035, 95% CI 1.005-1.065) were significant predictors of malnutrition diagnosed on ESPEN criteria. While female gender [(OR 2.406, 95% CI 1.446-4.004); (OR 2.300; 95% CI 1.397-3.786)], lower BMI [(OR 0.842, 95% CI 0.792-0.895); (OR 0.835 95% CI 0.785-[(OR 1.323,95% 1.185-1.477); (OR = 1.294,95% CI 1.164-1.438)] and pressure ulcers presence [(OR 15.501, 95% CI 3.650-66.661); (OR 15.816; 95%CI 3.683-67.926)] were significant predictors of malnutrition diagnosed through AND/ASPEN and GLIM criteria respectively. Admission to the orthopedics unit indicated 91% lower risk of malnutrition on both tools respectively [(OR 0.090, 95% CI 0.008-0.968); (OR 0.090, 95% CI 0.008-0.962)].

Conclusions: The presence of pressure ulcers, higher CCI score and lower BMI were the significant predictors that were associated with malnutrition on all tools. AND/ASPEN and GLIM diagnostic tools predicted the same risk factors.

Funding Sources: Lebanese American University.