

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **EBioMedicine**

journal homepage: www.ebiomedicine.com



## Commentary

# Virtual drug screening for prion diseases: A valuable step?



### Yraima Cordeiro

Faculty of Pharmacy, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 June 2016 Accepted 28 June 2016 Available online 30 June 2016

Keywords:
Prion diseases
Therapy
Docking
Virtual screening
Drug
Spongiform encephalopathy
Prion protein

The search for effective drugs against transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) and other protein misfolding diseases is still a major challenge for those pursuing a therapy for such devastating diseases. The relative inefficacy of all compounds used so far in clinical assays may be due to the lack of knowledge about the relevant molecular target (or targets) for prion diseases and/or different prion strains. Limited pharmacokinetic properties (such as the inability to cross the blood brain barrier), along with significant toxicity also imply that from the hundreds of thousands of compounds investigated in vitro for anti-scrapie activity so far, only a few have proven valuable in animal models of TSEs. High-throughput screening of organic compounds for anti-prion activity is time- and money-consuming, as it usually employs as the initial step compound-screening in scrapie-infected cell lines. Effective compounds selected in this step are those that significantly reduce the amount of PrP<sup>Res</sup> (prion protein resistant to proteases) in these cells after lysis and digestion with proteinase K (Kocisko and Caughey, 2006). Other groups propose that an initial in silico step that investigates the drug interaction with the prion protein would improve prediction and selection of most important anti-scrapie compounds based on a PrP binding score (Hosokawa-Muto et al., 2009; Hyeon et al., 2015). In this case, compounds with high binding scores (docking energy) for

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.06.010. *E-mail address*: yraima@pharma.ufrj.br.

the highly conserved PrP globular domain will be selected for the following *in vitro* (cell assays and others) and *in vivo* (treatment of infected murine or hamster models) assays.

The work from Daisuke Ishibashi and coworkers proposes a highthroughput docking procedure to funnel the selection of possible antiscrapie compounds from a huge library of organic compounds (Ishibashi et al., 2016). The group used a structure-based drug discovery algorithm in the DEGIMA supercomputer that allowed rapid selection of prion protein ligands that were further evaluated in vitro and in vivo regarding their suitability as anti-scrapie drugs. The drug-selection criterion used was binding to the prion protein 'hot-spot' region using, as a reference, the binding affinity of GN8 compound, described by Kuwata and coworkers (Kuwata et al., 2007), in a similar approach as that previously described (Hyeon et al., 2015). This work is substantial for basic research and the clinic as it proposes a virtual screening approach that can then be validated using in vitro and in vivo assays with prion infected animals. In this case, there is economy of time and costs, as only compounds revealed by the docking simulation that interact with the PrP pocket, previously shown as the binding-site for other anti-scrapie compounds (Kuwata et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2014), will be synthesized and further evaluated. Validation of compound interaction with PrP was carried out by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assays (Ishibashi et al., 2016). In a similar experimental approach, a platform was recently created to unify the docking simulation, MD simulation and quantum chemistry calculations, named NAGARA (Ma et al., 2016). This platform was applied to the discovery of novel *anti*-prion compounds, using as the initial step docking simulations with the globular domain of murine PrP (PDB: 1AG2).

However, one might question whether effective compounds that do not bind to the C-terminal domain of PrP or that have other molecular targets besides PrP (Poncet-Montange et al., 2011) will be excluded from this virtual screening. In the first case, docking with at least the 90–231 domain of the prion protein will prove useful. Besides, if PrPSc is the target for such compounds, there is an urgent need to address the three-dimensional structure of the most abundant (or repetitive structure) for the PrPSc aggregates (Requena and Wille, 2014). Molecular dynamics simulations indicated that parallel in-register intermolecular  $\beta$ -sheet architecture can be formed by PrPSc (Groveman et al., 2014) and the use of a supercomputer (no longer for high-throughput screening) may allow identification of compounds that bind to PrPSc. That said, this is no easy challenge, and deserves attention from the scientific community, and especially biophysicists and computational

biologists. Taking into account that the interaction of a compound with a specific region of the prion protein globular domain is a prerequisite for effective anti-scrapie activity (what is not always the case, as discussed above) the work published in *EBioMedicine* provides a straightforward screening approach. In particular, it allows selection of compounds with IC<sub>50</sub> values in the micromolar range from a library of more than 200,000 molecules. Although effective for different prion strains in infected-cells lines, the selected compounds did not increase survival of prion-infected mice (Ishibashi et al., 2016). This result reinforces the need for a thorough pharmacokinetic assessment of the most promising molecules. In general, this approach by may prove useful to screen for drugs against other diseases, mainly for those with a defined molecular target and a previously characterized binding region in the target protein.

#### References

- Ferreira, N.C., Marques, I.A., Conceição, W.A., Macedo, B., Machado, C.S., Mascarello, A., Chiaradia-Delatorre, L.D., Yunes, R.A., Nunes, R.J., Hughson, A.G., Raymond, L.D., Pascutti, P.G., Caughey, B., Cordeiro, Y., 2014. Anti-prion activity of a panel of aromatic chemical compounds: in vitro and in silico approaches. PLoS One 9 (1), e84531.
- Groveman, B.R., Dolan, M.A., Taubner, L.M., Kraus, A., Wickner, R.B., Caughey, B., 2014. Parallel in-register intermolecular β-sheet architectures for prion-seeded prion protein (PrP) amyloids. J. Biol. Chem. 289 (35), 24129–24142.

- Hosokawa-Muto, J., Kamatari, Y.O., Nakamura, H.K., Kuwata, K., 2009. Variety of antiprion compounds discovered through an in silico screen based on cellular-form prion protein structure: correlation between antiprion activity and binding affinity. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53 (2), 765–771. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC. 01112-08.
- Hyeon, J.W., Choi, J., Kim, S.Y., Govindaraj, R.G., Jam Hwang, K., Lee, Y.S., An, S.S., Lee, M.K., Joung, J.Y., No, K.T., Lee, J., 2015. Discovery of novel anti-prion compounds using in silico and in vitro approaches. Sci. Rep. 5, 14944.
- Ishibashi, D., Nakagaki, T., Ishikawa, T., Atarashi, R., Watanabe, K., Cruz, F., Hamada, T., Nishida, N., 2016. Structure-based drug discovery for prion disease using a novel binding simulation. EBioMedicine. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.06.010.
- Kocisko, D.A., Caughey, B., 2006. Searching for anti-prion compounds: cell-based high-throughput in vitro assays and animal testing strategies. Methods Enzymol. 412, 223–234
- Kuwata, K., Nishida, N., Matsumoto, T., Kamatari, Y.O., Hosokawa-Muto, J., Kodama, K., Nakamura, H.K., Kimura, K., Kawasaki, M., Takakura, Y., Shirabe, S., Takata, J., Kataoka, Y., Katamine, S., 2007. Hot spots in prion protein for pathogenic conversion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104 (29), 11921–11926.
- Ma, B., Yamaguchi, K., Fukuoka, M., Kuwata, K., 2016. Logical design of anti-prion agents using NAGARA. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 469 (4), 930–935. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.12.106.
- Poncet-Montange, G., St Martin, S.J., Bogatova, O.V., Prusiner, S.B., Shoichet, B.K., Ghaemmaghami, S., 2011. A survey of antiprion compounds reveals the prevalence of non-PrP molecular targets. J. Biol. Chem. 286 (31), 27718–27728.
- Requena, J.R., Wille, H., 2014. The structure of the infectious prion protein: experimental data and molecular models. Prion 8 (1), 60–66.