

Received 8 October 2019  
Accepted 19 November 2019

Edited by C. Rizzoli, Università degli Studi di Parma, Italy

**Keywords:** crystal structure; chromanone derivative; flavanone derivative; lipophilicity index; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

**CCDC references:** 1966749; 1966750

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Crystal structures of (*E*)-3-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-chroman-4-one and (*E*)-3-(3-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one

Kamil Suchojad,<sup>a</sup> Anna Dołęga,<sup>b</sup> Angelika Adamus-Grabicka,<sup>c</sup> Elżbieta Budzisz<sup>c</sup> and Magdalena Małecka<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Łódź, Pomorska 163/165, 90-236 Łódź, Poland,


<sup>b</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Gdańsk University of Technology, G. Narutowicza 11/12., 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland,

and <sup>c</sup>Department of Cosmetic Raw Materials Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Łódź, Muszyńskiego 1, 90-151 Łódź, Poland. \*Correspondence e-mail: magdalena.malecka@chemia.uni.lodz.pl


The synthesis and crystal structures of (*E*)-3-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)chroman-4-one,  $C_{16}H_{12}O_3$ , **I**, and (*E*)-3-(3-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one,  $C_{22}H_{16}O_3$ , **II**, are reported. These compounds are of interest with respect to biological activity. Both structures display intermolecular C—H···O and O—H···O hydrogen bonding, forming layers in the crystal lattice. The crystal structure of compound **I** is consolidated by  $\pi$ — $\pi$  interactions. The lipophilicity ( $\log P$ ) was determined as it is one of the parameters qualifying compounds as potential drugs. The  $\log P$  value for compound **I** is associated with a larger contribution of C···H interaction in the Hirshfeld surface.

## 1. Chemical context

Chromanone (chroman-4-one) and flavanone (2-phenylchroman-4-one) belong to the class of heterocyclic compounds and are composed of a benzene ring fused to a 2,3-dihydro- $\gamma$ -pyranone ring (Emami & Ghanbarimasir, 2015). 3-Arylidenechromanones/flavanones and their derivatives are naturally occurring homoisoflavones, and can be obtained by condensing the corresponding aryl aldehydes with chromanone/flavanone. These compounds were synthesized for the first time by Robinson in the early 1920s by the condensation reaction of chromanone or flavanone with the appropriate aryl aldehyde using a catalyst (alcohol potassium hydroxide) (Perkin *et al.*, 1926). In 1979, Levai and Schag synthesized *E*-3-arylidenechroman-4-one using piperidine as a catalyst (Levai & Schag, 1979). Several years later, in 1993, Pijewska and coworkers (Pijewska *et al.*, 1993) obtained the series of 3-arylideneflavanones derivatives substituted by various groups using flavanones with aromatic aldehydes in the presence of piperidine. Flavonoid compounds belong to one of the largest and most interesting groups of chemical compounds. They are of interest to many scientists because they show biological properties (Nijveldt *et al.*, 2001; Williams *et al.*, 2004). Natural and synthetic flavonoids have a wide range of antioxidant, anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, anti-coagulant, anti-cholesterol or anti-cancer activities (Czaplińska *et al.*, 2012).



OPEN ACCESS




## 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structures of **I** and **II** are shown in Fig. 1. The main chroman skeleton of each molecule consists of a benzene ring fused with a pyran ring. In position 3 of the chroman moiety, a *para*-hydroxybenzylidene (**I**) or a *meta*-hydroxybenzylidene (**II**) substituent is connected to give the *E*-isomer, similar to the previously mentioned structure (Kupcewicz, *et al.*, 2013). Moreover in compound **II**, the chroman moiety is substituted at position 2 by a phenyl ring. The pyran rings adopt an envelope conformation with puckering parameters  $Q_T = 0.371$  (2) Å,  $\varphi_2 = 233.8$  (4)°,  $\theta_2 = 120.0$  (3)° for **I**, and  $Q_T = 0.423$  (3) Å,  $\varphi_2 = 65.9$  (5)°,  $\theta_2 = 58.5$  (4)° for **II**. The dihedral angles between the hydroxybenzylidene rings and the main chroman skeleton are 47.54 (8) and 69.46 (12)°, respectively, for **I** and **II** (Fig. 2).

## 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal packing of **I**, molecules are connected into layers parallel to the *bc* plane via C–H···O and O–H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1, Fig. 3). The stability of the layers is further enhanced by  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking interactions occurring between the benzene rings fused with the pyran rings and the



**Figure 1**

The molecular structures of compounds **I** and **II** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

**Table 1**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for **I**.


$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C11–H11···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.55	3.264 (2)	132
C11–H11···O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.52	3.194 (2)	129
O3–H3···O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84	1.85	2.6852 (19)	172
C2–H2A···O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.99	2.53	3.397 (3)	147
C11–H11···O4	0.95	2.45	2.818 (2)	103

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $x + 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x - 1, y, z$ .

aromatic rings of adjacent hydroxybenzylidene groups (Table 2). In the crystal packing of **II**, molecules are also linked by O–H···O and C–H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 3, Fig. 4) into layers parallel to the *ab* plane.

## 4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.40, last update November 2018; Groom *et al.*, 2016) using the scheme presented in Fig. 5 found 41 chromanone (Ishikawa *et al.*, 2013a,b; Zimmerman *et al.*, 2015; Marx, Suresh *et al.*, 2007; Katrusiak *et al.*, 1987; Brien *et al.*, 2012; Suresh *et al.*, 2007; Boonsri *et al.*, 2005; Biruntha *et al.*, 2018; Talhi *et al.*, 2016; Wu, Liu *et al.*, 2011; Marx *et al.*, 2008; Cheng *et al.*, 2011; Valkonen *et al.*, 2012; Lepitre *et al.*, 2017; Gopaul, Shaikh, Koorbanally *et al.*, 2012; Gopaul, Koorbanally *et al.*, 2012; Marx, Manivannan *et al.*, 2007; Suresh *et al.*, 2007; Marx *et al.*, 2008; Hassaine *et al.*, 2016; Chantrapromma *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2012; Augustine *et al.*, 2008; Gopaul, Shaikh, Ramjugernath *et al.*, 2012; Gopaul & Koorbanally, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2013) and four flavanone structures (Zhong *et al.*, 2013; Kupcewicz *et al.*, 2013; Wu, Zeng *et al.*, 2011; Monserrat *et al.*, 2013). In the flavanone structures, the phenyl substituent at the C2 position is always nearly perpendicular to the chroman moiety, with the



**Figure 2**

Overlay of compound **I** (green) and compound **II** (red).

**Table 2**

Geometrical parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ) for the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions for compound **I**.

$Cg(1)$  and  $Cg(2)$  are the centroids of the C5–C10 and C12–C17 rings, respectively;  $\alpha$  refers to the dihedral angle between planes (I) and (J);  $\beta$  refers to the angle between the  $Cg(I)$ – $Cg(J)$  vector and normal to plane (I);  $\gamma$  refers to the angle between the  $Cg(I)$ – $Cg(J)$  vector and normal to plane (J).

	$Cg(I)\cdots Cg(J)$	$Cg(I)_{\text{Perp}}$	$Cg(J)_{\text{Perp}}$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$
$Cg(1)\cdots Cg(1)^i$	3.8508 (13)	3.5260 (9)	−3.5259 (9)	0.03 (10)	23.7	23.7
$Cg(1)\cdots Cg(1)^{ii}$	3.8512 (13)	3.5260 (9)	−3.5262 (9)	0.03 (10)	23.7	23.7
$Cg(2)\cdots Cg(2)^i$	3.8510 (13)	3.3739 (8)	−3.3738 (8)	0.03 (10)	28.8	28.8
$Cg(2)\cdots Cg(2)^{ii}$	3.8510 (13)	3.3740 (8)	−3.3738 (8)	0.03 (10)	28.8	28.8


Symmetry codes: (i)  $-1 + x, y, z$ ; (ii)  $1 + x, y, z$ .

**Table 3**


Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ) for **II**.

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O3—H3···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.84	1.89	2.728 (3)	172
C17—H17···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.49	3.184 (4)	130
C6—H6···O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.45	3.265 (4)	143
C11—H11···O4	0.95	2.43	2.807 (3)	103


Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $x + 1, y - 1, z$ .

**Figure 5**


Reference moiety for database survey.

**Figure 3**

Partial packing of compound **I** showing the  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  (blue dotted lines) and  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  (cyan dotted lines) hydrogen-bonding network.

**Figure 4**

Partial packing of compound **II** showing the  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  (blue dotted lines) and  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  (cyan dotted lines) hydrogen-bonding network.

**Figure 6**

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surfaces of the title compounds plotted over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  (left) and shape-index (right); first row: compound **I**, second row: compound **II**.

C(phen)—C2—C3—C4 torsion angle in the range 82.44–107.90°. In both chromanone and flavanone structures, the pyran ring adopts a slightly distorted envelope conformation. In the 41 chromanone derivatives, the bond distances and angles within the chroman moiety are in good agreement with those found in compound **I**.

### 5. Experimental and theoretical lipophilicity of compounds **I** and **II**

Lipophilicity is one of the descriptors that is currently used in the design of new drugs and in assessing the activity of medicinal substances (Jóźwiak *et al.*, 2001). Most often, the increase in lipophilicity increases the biological activity of compounds as a result of the affinity of substances with biological membranes and better permeability (Dołowy, 2009). However, a further increase in lipophilicity results in greater affinity for lipids and hinders the transport of compound molecules through the aqueous phase. That is why it is important to choose substances with optimal hydrophobic and hydrophilic properties and partition coefficient  $\log P$  (Dołowy, 2009).


The experimental lipophilicity ( $\log P$ ) of compounds **I** and **II** was determined using the RP-TLC method. The values of  $\log P$  obtained are 2.95 and 3.98, respectively for **I** and **II**, the difference being due to the different, bulky substituent at the C2 position of the pyran ring. The theoretical values of lipophilicity ( $\text{miLog}P$ ) also show the same trend, the value for compound **I** is lower ( $\text{miLog}P = 3.14$ ) than that for compound **II** ( $\text{miLog}P = 4.70$ ). This is in agreement with the values

previously reported for similar arylideno chromanone/flavanone derivatives (Adamus-Grabicka *et al.*, 2018). The theoretical values of lipophilicity were calculated using the online *Molinspiration Cheminformatics* software (<http://www.molinspiration.com>). According to the ‘rule of five’ proposed by Lipinski *et al.* (2001), compounds **I** and **II** may be potential anti-cancer drugs, the most important parameters according to Lipinski being the  $\log P$  value ( $\log P < 5$ ) and molar mass ( $< 500$  Da).

### 6. Hirshfeld surface analysis and lipophilicity index versus C···H contact

As the Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis may provide useful descriptors for QSAR study (Kupcewicz, *et al.*, 2016) and the lipophilicity parameter in biologically active compounds is associated with the contribution of intermolecular interactions to the Hirshfeld surface (Małecka & Budzisz, 2014), we generated the Hirshfeld surfaces (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) using the *CrystalExplorer* program (Turner *et al.*, 2017) for chromone and flavanone derivatives for which the lipophilicity parameters are available, *i.e.* compound **I**, **II**, 3-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-4H-chromen-4-one (**III**; Kupcewicz *et al.*, 2013), (*E*)-3-(4-*N,N*-diethylaminobenzylidene)chroman-4-one (**IV**; Adamus-Grabicka *et al.*, 2018) and (*E*)-3-(4-*N,N*-diethylaminobenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one (**V**; Adamus-Grabicka *et al.*, 2018).

The Hirshfeld surfaces were mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  (Fig. 6). The red, white and blue regions visible on the  $d_{\text{norm}}$  surfaces

**Figure 7**

Fingerprint plots of the title compounds; full Hirshfeld surface (left) and delineated into H···O, H···C, C···C, and H···H contacts, showing the percentage contributions of the contacts to the total Hirshfeld surface area of the molecules. First row: compound **I**; second row: compound **II**; third row: 3-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-4H-chromen-4-one (Kupcewicz *et al.*, 2013); fourth row: (E)-3-(4-N,N-diethylaminobenzylidene)chroman-4-one (Adamus-Grabicka *et al.*, 2018); fifth row: (E)-3-(4-N,N-diethylaminobenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one (Adamus-Grabicka *et al.*, 2018).

indicate contacts with distances shorter, longer and equal to the van der Waals radii. The decomposition of the HS into 2D fingerprint plots for particular contacts is presented in Fig. 7, together with the relative percentage of contributions of different contacts. The dominant interaction in all derivatives is the H···H interaction. The contribution to the Hirshfeld surface is in the range 39.2–55.5% for **III** and **V**. Comparing

the C···C contacts, we can observe a large spread of percentage contribution ranging from 0.3% for **V** to 13.1% for compound **I**. This is also reflected in the presence of  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking interactions observed in compound **I** (Table 2).

As in our previous studies (Malecka *et al.*, 2014; Kupcewicz *et al.*, 2013), we found a relationship between the logP value and the fraction of the Hirshfeld surface covered by different

**Table 4**  
Experimental details.

	<b>I</b>	<b>II</b>
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{12}O_3$	$C_{22}H_{16}O_3$
$M_r$	252.27	328.37
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
Temperature (K)	120	120
$a, b, c$ (Å)	3.8510 (2), 22.2541 (11), 13.7837 (9)	5.3969 (6), 11.6576 (16), 12.944 (2)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 96.766 (5), 90	91.992 (12), 98.282 (10), 97.568 (10)
$V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1173.04 (11)	797.68 (19)
$Z$	4	2
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$	Mo $K\alpha$
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.10	0.09
Crystal size (mm)	0.4 × 0.2 × 0.1	0.8 × 0.2 × 0.05
Data collection		
Diffractometer	STOE IPDS 2T	STOE IPDS 2T
Absorption correction	—	—
No. of measured, independent and observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] reflections	7027, 2413, 1618	6849, 3281, 1804
$R_{int}$	0.050	0.077
(sin $\theta/\lambda$ ) <sub>max</sub> (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.628	0.628
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.048, 0.115, 1.03	0.068, 0.200, 0.94
No. of reflections	2413	3281
No. of parameters	173	228
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{\max}, \Delta\rho_{\min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.19, -0.19	0.23, -0.29

Computer programs: *X-AREA* and *X-RED32* (Stoe & Cie, 2002), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a), *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015b) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).


intermolecular interactions. The increase of logP corresponds in fact to increasing the C··H contribution in the Hirshfeld surface. Furthermore, for compounds **I**–**V**, the contribution of the O··H interaction in the Hirshfeld surface is inversely proportional to the value of logP.

## 7. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis of compounds **I** and **II** is based on the condensation of chromanone or flavanone with an aryl aldehyde in the presence of piperidine (Fig. 8). Compound **I** was prepared according to a slightly modified procedure with respect to that described in the literature (Levai & Schag, 1979). A mechanically stirred mixture of chroman-4-one (0.01 mol), *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.01 mol) and five drops of piperidine was heated at 413 K in an oil bath for four h. The progress of the synthesis was controlled by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using toluene/methanol (9:1 v/v) as eluent. After cooling the reaction mixture was left for 24 h at room temperature. The solidified product was filtered and crystal-

lized from methanol. Compound **I** was obtained as a yellow powder. The isolated solid was further recrystallized by slow evaporation at room temperature of an acetone solution. Yield: 64%, M.p.: 501–502.5 K. MS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* 253.3  $C_{16}H_{12}O_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. IR (KBr): 3126 (O–H), 2809 (C–H<sub>aromat</sub>), 1652 (C=O), 1608, 1578 (C=C), 1164 (C–O–C), 751 (=C–H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.42 (1H, *s*, =CH), 6.86–7.86 (8H, *m*, C–H<sub>aromat</sub>), 7.87 (2H, *d*, *J*<sub>AB</sub> = 18 Hz C2–H), 10.12 (1H, *s*, OH). Analysis calculated for  $C_{16}H_{12}O_3$  ( $M = 252.23$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>) % C: 76.18; % H: 4.81; % O: 19.01. Found % C: 75.3; % H: 5.01; % O: 19.69.

Compound **II** was synthesized according to the procedure described by Pijewska *et al.*, (1993). A mixture of 2-phenylchroman-4-one (0.01 mol), 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.01 mol) and five drops of piperidine was heated under reflux in an oil bath with mechanical stirring. The reaction proceeded at 413 K for 5 h. The progress of the reaction was controlled by TLC (eluent: toluene/methanol, 9:1 *v/v*). After cooling at room temperature, the mixture was dissolved in methanol. After 24 h compound **II** precipitated as a light-cream fine crystalline powder and was purified by crystallization from methanol. Crystal suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution at room temperature. Yield: 52.4%. M.p.: 482–483 K. MS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* 329.2  $C_{22}H_{16}O_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. IR (KBr): 3297 (O–H), 3054 (C–H<sub>aromat</sub>), 2351 (C–H<sub>aliph</sub>), 1663 (C=O), 1608, 1590, 1504 (C=C), 1141 (C–O–C), 757 (=C–H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 6.57 (1H, *s*, C2–H), 5.69 (1H, *s*, =CH), 6.89–7.91 (14H, *m*, CH<sub>aromat</sub>), 8.12 (1H, *s*, OH). Analysis calculated For  $C_{22}H_{16}O_3$  ( $M = 328.19$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>) %C: 80.51;



**Figure 8**

Scheme of the synthesis of compounds **I** and **II**.  $R^1 = H/Ph$ ,  $R^2 = H/OH$ ,  $R^3 = OH/H$ , respectively for compound **I** and **II**.

%H: 4.87; % O: 14.62. Found %C: 79.99; %H: 5.11; % O: 14.90.

## 8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. All hydrogen atoms were fixed geometrically at calculated positions ( $O-H = 0.84 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $C-H = 0.95-0.99 \text{ \AA}$ ) and refined as riding model with  $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(O)$  or  $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(C)$ . A rotating model was used for the hydroxy groups.

## Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Uniwersytet Łódzki, Uniwersytet Medyczny w Łodzi (grant No. SGB\_148\_Suchojad\_Kamil to K. Suchojad; grant No. 502-03/3-066-02/502-34-118 to A. Adamus-Grabicka, E. Budzisz).

## References

- Adamus-Grabicka, A., Markowicz-Piasecka, M., Ponczek, M. B., Kusz, J., Małecka, M., Krajewska, U. & Budzisz, E. (2018). *Molecules*, **23**, 3172–3188.
- Augustine, T., Vithiya, S. M., Ramkumar, V. & Kanakam, C. C. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E64*, o2080.
- Biruntha, K., Reuben Jonathan, D., Mohamooda Sumaya, U., Dravida Thendral, E. R. A. & Usha, G. (2018). *IUCrData*, **3**, x181273.
- Boonsri, S., Chantrapromma, S., Fun, H.-K., Karalai, C., Kanjanaprasop, A. & Anjum, S. (2005). *Acta Cryst. E61*, o3930–o3932.
- Brien, K. A., Bandi, R. K., Behera, A. K., Mishra, B. K., Majumdar, P., Satam, V., Savagian, M., Tzou, S., Lee, M., Zeller, M., Robles, A. J., Mooberry, S., Pati, H. & Lee, M. (2012). *Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem.* **345**, 341–348.
- Chantrapromma, S., Boonsri, S., Fun, H.-K., Anjum, S. & Kanjanaprasop, A. (2006). *Acta Cryst. E62*, o1254–o1256.
- Cheng, X.-M., Huang, Z.-T. & Zheng, Q.-Y. (2011). *Tetrahedron*, **67**, 9093–9098.
- Czaplińska, M., Czepas, J. & Gwoździński, K. (2012). *Post. Bioch.* **58**, 235–242.
- Dolowy, M. (2009). *Farm. Pol.* **65**(10), 689–693.
- Emami, S. & Ghanbarimasir, Z. (2015). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **93**, 539–563.
- Gopaul, K. & Koordanally, N. A. (2012). Private Communication (refcode ????). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Gopaul, K., Koordanally, N. A., Shaikh, M. M., Su, H. & Ramjugernath, D. (2012). *Acta Cryst. E68*, o3062.
- Gopaul, K., Shaikh, M. M., Koordanally, N. A., Ramjugernath, D. & Omondi, B. (2012). *Acta Cryst. E68*, o1972.
- Gopaul, K., Shaikh, M., Ramjugernath, D., Koordanally, N. A. & Omondi, B. (2012). *Acta Cryst. E68*, o1006.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst. B72*, 171–179.
- Hassaine, R., Talhi, O., Taibi, N., Almeida Paz, F., Bensaid, O., Bachari, K. & Silva, A. M. S. (2016). *Synlett*, **27**, 465–470.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). *Theor. Chim. Acta*, **44**, 129–138.
- Ishikawa, Y. & Motohashi, Y. (2013a). *Acta Cryst. E69*, o1225.
- Ishikawa, Y. & Motohashi, Y. (2013b). *Acta Cryst. E69*, o1226.
- Józwiak, K., Szumiło, H. & Soczewiński, E. (2001). *Wiad. Chem.* **55**, 1047–1073.
- Katrusiak, A., Ratajczak-Sitarz, M., Kałuski, Z. & Orlov, V. D. (1987). *Acta Cryst. C43*, 103–105.
- Kupcewicz, B., Balcerowska-Czerniak, G., Małecka, M., Paneth, P., Krajewska, U. & Rozalski, M. (2013). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **23**, 4102–4106.
- Kupcewicz, B., Małecka, M., Zapadka, M., Krajewska, U., Rozalski, M. & Budzisz, E. (2016). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **26**, 3336–3341.
- Lepitre, T., Denhez, C., Moncol, J., Othman, M., Lawson, A. M. & Daïch, A. (2017). *J. Org. Chem.* **82**, 12188–12201.
- Levai, A. & Schag, J. B. (1979). *Pharmazie*, **34**, 748–749.
- Lipinski, C. A., Lombardo, F., Dominy, B. W. & Feeney, P. J. (2001). *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **46**, 3–26.
- Małecka, M. & Budzisz, E. (2014). *CrystEngComm* **16**, 6654–6663.
- Marx, A., Manivannan, V., Suresh, R., Kanagam, C. C. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E63*, o4383.
- Marx, A., Suresh, R., Kanagam, C. C., Manivannan, V. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E63*, o4530.
- Marx, A., Suresh, R., Kanakam, C. C., Manivannan, V. & Vasam, C. S. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E64*, o27.
- Monserrat, J.-P., Tiwari, K. N., Quentin, L., Pigeon, P., Jaouen, G., Vessières, A., Chabot, G. G. & Hillard, E. A. (2013). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **734**, 78–85.
- Nijveldt, R. J., van Nood, E., van Hoorn, D., Boelens, P. G., van Norren, K. & van Leeuwen, P. (2001). *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **74**, 418–425.
- Perkin, W. H., Rây, J. N. & Robinson, R. (1926). *J. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 941–953.
- Pijewska, L., Kamecki, J. & Perka-Karolczak, W. (1993). *Pharmazie*, **48**, 254–257.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). *Acta Cryst. A71*, 3–8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). *Acta Cryst. C71*, 3–8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 19–32.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). *X-AREA* and *X-RED32*. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Suresh, R., Kanagam, C. C., Umarani, P. R., Manivannan, V. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E63*, o4248.
- Talhi, O., Brodziak-Jarosz, L., Panning, J., Orlikova, B., Zwergel, C., Tzanova, T., Philippot, S., Pinto, D. C. G. A., Paz, F. A. A., Gerhäuser, C., Dick, T. P., Jacob, C., Diederich, M., Bagrel, D., Kirsch, G. & Silva, A. M. S. (2016). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 965–975.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17*. The University of Western Australia.
- Valkonen, A., Laihia, K., Kolehmainen, E., Kauppinen, R. & Perjési, P. (2012). *Struct. Chem.* **23**, 209–217.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.
- Williams, R. J., Spencer, J. P. & Rice-Evans, C. (2004). *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **36**, 838–849.
- Wu, Ch., Liu, Y., Zeng, H., Liu, L., Wang, D. & Chen, Y. (2011). *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **9**, 253–256.
- Wu, Ch., Zeng, H., Liu, L., Wang, D. & Chen, Y. (2011). *Tetrahedron*, **67**, 1231–1237.
- Zhang, H.-J., Becker, P., Huang, H., Pirwercjan, R., Pan, F.-F. & Bolm, C. (2012). *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **354**, 2157–2161.
- Zhang, Y., Lv, Z., Zhang, M. & Li, K. (2013). *Tetrahedron*, **69**, 8839–8846.
- Zhong, N.-J., Liu, L., Wang, D. & Chen, Y.-J. (2013). *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 3697–3699.
- Zimmerman, J. R., Johntony, O., Steigerwald, D., Criss, C., Myers, B. J. & Kinder, D. H. (2015). *Org. Lett.* **17**, 3256–3259.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2019). E75, 1907-1913 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019015639]

## Crystal structures of (*E*)-3-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)chroman-4-one and (*E*)-3-(3-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one

**Kamil Suchojad, Anna Dołęga, Angelika Adamus-Grabicka, Elżbieta Budzisz and Magdalena Małecka**

### Computing details

For both structures, data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); data reduction: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002), *X-RED32* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015b); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015b), *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

### (*E*)-3-(4-Hydroxybenzylidene)chroman-4-one (I)

#### Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{12}O_3$   
 $M_r = 252.27$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 3.8510 (2)$  Å  
 $b = 22.2541 (11)$  Å  
 $c = 13.7837 (9)$  Å  
 $\beta = 96.766 (5)$ °  
 $V = 1173.04 (11)$  Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
 $F(000) = 528$

$D_x = 1.428$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>  
Melting point: 220 K  
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å  
Cell parameters from 219 reflections  
 $\theta = 4.1\text{--}28.9$ °  
 $\mu = 0.10$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 120$  K  
Needle, light-yellow  
0.4 × 0.2 × 0.1 mm

#### Data collection

STOE IPDS 2T  
diffractometer  
Radiation source: GeniX Mo, 0.05 x 0.05 mm<sup>2</sup>  
microfocus  
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  
rotation method,  $\omega$  scans  
7027 measured reflections

2413 independent reflections  
1618 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.050$   
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5$ °,  $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.7$ °  
 $h = -4\text{--}4$   
 $k = -27\text{--}27$   
 $l = -16\text{--}17$

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   
Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.115$   
 $S = 1.02$   
2413 reflections  
173 parameters  
0 restraints

Primary atom site location: difference Fourier map  
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites  
H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0546P)^2 + 0.2287P]$$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O3	0.5127 (4)	0.21588 (6)	0.68339 (10)	0.0282 (4)
H3	0.5952	0.1823	0.6701	0.042*
O4	-0.1988 (4)	0.39218 (6)	0.15977 (10)	0.0304 (4)
O1	0.2599 (4)	0.50810 (6)	0.36926 (10)	0.0252 (4)
C9	0.1347 (5)	0.52849 (8)	0.27851 (14)	0.0217 (4)
C17	0.3626 (5)	0.25884 (8)	0.42639 (15)	0.0218 (4)
H17	0.4012	0.2441	0.3639	0.026*
C3	0.0393 (5)	0.40641 (8)	0.32620 (14)	0.0207 (4)
C14	0.2335 (5)	0.29994 (8)	0.60754 (15)	0.0216 (4)
H14	0.1846	0.3135	0.6698	0.026*
C11	0.0949 (5)	0.34735 (8)	0.34238 (15)	0.0219 (4)
H11	0.0614	0.3229	0.2856	0.026*
C10	-0.0381 (5)	0.49118 (8)	0.20685 (15)	0.0217 (4)
C12	0.1982 (5)	0.31486 (8)	0.43307 (14)	0.0200 (4)
C13	0.1298 (5)	0.33398 (8)	0.52539 (15)	0.0219 (4)
H13	0.0104	0.3709	0.5318	0.026*
C8	0.2011 (6)	0.58826 (9)	0.25768 (15)	0.0256 (5)
H8	0.3229	0.6133	0.3061	0.031*
C15	0.4089 (5)	0.24590 (8)	0.59954 (15)	0.0208 (4)
C7	0.0889 (6)	0.61086 (9)	0.16621 (16)	0.0277 (5)
H7	0.1330	0.6518	0.1523	0.033*
C4	-0.0782 (5)	0.42671 (9)	0.22612 (14)	0.0216 (4)
C2	0.0920 (6)	0.45481 (8)	0.40230 (15)	0.0227 (4)
H2A	-0.1381	0.4662	0.4220	0.027*
H2B	0.2363	0.4387	0.4607	0.027*
C6	-0.0882 (6)	0.57486 (9)	0.09380 (16)	0.0283 (5)
H6	-0.1649	0.5909	0.0311	0.034*
C5	-0.1499 (6)	0.51545 (9)	0.11493 (15)	0.0249 (5)
H5	-0.2708	0.4906	0.0661	0.030*
C16	0.4693 (5)	0.22483 (8)	0.50797 (15)	0.0217 (4)
H16	0.5830	0.1874	0.5018	0.026*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O3	0.0407 (9)	0.0236 (7)	0.0200 (8)	0.0065 (7)	0.0022 (7)	0.0031 (6)

O4	0.0459 (10)	0.0235 (7)	0.0198 (8)	-0.0049 (7)	-0.0044 (7)	-0.0004 (6)
O1	0.0305 (8)	0.0209 (7)	0.0231 (8)	-0.0046 (6)	-0.0020 (6)	0.0006 (6)
C9	0.0221 (11)	0.0231 (10)	0.0200 (11)	0.0031 (8)	0.0023 (8)	0.0014 (8)
C17	0.0266 (11)	0.0229 (10)	0.0160 (10)	-0.0013 (8)	0.0025 (8)	-0.0018 (8)
C3	0.0204 (10)	0.0223 (9)	0.0190 (11)	-0.0003 (8)	0.0015 (8)	0.0008 (8)
C14	0.0257 (11)	0.0199 (9)	0.0192 (11)	-0.0036 (8)	0.0030 (8)	-0.0029 (7)
C11	0.0229 (11)	0.0242 (10)	0.0179 (11)	-0.0002 (8)	-0.0003 (8)	-0.0023 (8)
C10	0.0240 (11)	0.0200 (9)	0.0212 (11)	0.0025 (8)	0.0036 (9)	0.0006 (8)
C12	0.0205 (10)	0.0215 (9)	0.0178 (10)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0009 (8)	-0.0008 (8)
C13	0.0218 (10)	0.0192 (10)	0.0245 (11)	-0.0005 (8)	0.0015 (8)	-0.0001 (8)
C8	0.0271 (12)	0.0228 (10)	0.0276 (12)	-0.0012 (8)	0.0052 (9)	-0.0029 (8)
C15	0.0228 (10)	0.0198 (9)	0.0190 (10)	-0.0023 (8)	-0.0010 (8)	0.0035 (8)
C7	0.0345 (13)	0.0200 (10)	0.0303 (13)	0.0021 (9)	0.0111 (10)	0.0028 (8)
C4	0.0235 (11)	0.0234 (10)	0.0169 (11)	0.0013 (8)	-0.0014 (8)	-0.0001 (8)
C2	0.0280 (11)	0.0203 (9)	0.0193 (11)	-0.0016 (8)	0.0000 (9)	0.0005 (8)
C6	0.0326 (12)	0.0275 (11)	0.0256 (12)	0.0066 (9)	0.0070 (9)	0.0055 (9)
C5	0.0285 (12)	0.0250 (10)	0.0211 (11)	0.0024 (8)	0.0024 (9)	-0.0008 (8)
C16	0.0249 (11)	0.0169 (9)	0.0231 (11)	0.0006 (8)	0.0024 (9)	-0.0005 (8)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , °)

O3—C15	1.354 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500
O3—H3	0.8400	C10—C5	1.398 (3)
O4—C4	1.242 (2)	C10—C4	1.471 (3)
O1—C9	1.364 (2)	C12—C13	1.396 (3)
O1—C2	1.450 (2)	C13—H13	0.9500
C9—C8	1.391 (3)	C8—C7	1.379 (3)
C9—C10	1.397 (3)	C8—H8	0.9500
C17—C16	1.378 (3)	C15—C16	1.392 (3)
C17—C12	1.406 (3)	C7—C6	1.394 (3)
C17—H17	0.9500	C7—H7	0.9500
C3—C11	1.346 (3)	C2—H2A	0.9900
C3—C4	1.471 (3)	C2—H2B	0.9900
C3—C2	1.501 (3)	C6—C5	1.381 (3)
C14—C13	1.382 (3)	C6—H6	0.9500
C14—C15	1.390 (3)	C5—H5	0.9500
C14—H14	0.9500	C16—H16	0.9500
C11—C12	1.458 (3)		
C15—O3—H3	109.5	C7—C8—H8	120.3
C9—O1—C2	115.95 (15)	C9—C8—H8	120.3
O1—C9—C8	116.97 (18)	O3—C15—C14	117.16 (18)
O1—C9—C10	122.55 (17)	O3—C15—C16	122.95 (18)
C8—C9—C10	120.40 (18)	C14—C15—C16	119.89 (18)
C16—C17—C12	121.80 (19)	C8—C7—C6	121.30 (19)
C16—C17—H17	119.1	C8—C7—H7	119.4
C12—C17—H17	119.1	C6—C7—H7	119.4
C11—C3—C4	118.72 (18)	O4—C4—C10	120.57 (18)

C11—C3—C2	125.41 (18)	O4—C4—C3	123.19 (18)
C4—C3—C2	115.86 (16)	C10—C4—C3	116.22 (17)
C13—C14—C15	120.37 (19)	O1—C2—C3	113.35 (16)
C13—C14—H14	119.8	O1—C2—H2A	108.9
C15—C14—H14	119.8	C3—C2—H2A	108.9
C3—C11—C12	130.40 (19)	O1—C2—H2B	108.9
C3—C11—H11	114.8	C3—C2—H2B	108.9
C12—C11—H11	114.8	H2A—C2—H2B	107.7
C5—C10—C9	118.78 (18)	C5—C6—C7	118.8 (2)
C5—C10—C4	120.86 (18)	C5—C6—H6	120.6
C9—C10—C4	120.22 (18)	C7—C6—H6	120.6
C13—C12—C17	117.70 (18)	C6—C5—C10	121.3 (2)
C13—C12—C11	124.65 (18)	C6—C5—H5	119.4
C17—C12—C11	117.57 (17)	C10—C5—H5	119.4
C14—C13—C12	120.83 (18)	C17—C16—C15	119.31 (18)
C14—C13—H13	119.6	C17—C16—H16	120.3
C12—C13—H13	119.6	C15—C16—H16	120.3
C7—C8—C9	119.48 (19)		

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
C11—H11···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.55	3.264 (2)	132
C11—H11···O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.52	3.194 (2)	129
O3—H3···O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84	1.85	2.6852 (19)	172
C2—H2A···O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.99	2.53	3.397 (3)	147
C11—H11···O4	0.95	2.45	2.818 (2)	103

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1, -y+1/2, z-1/2$ ; (ii)  $x, -y+1/2, z-1/2$ ; (iii)  $x+1, -y+1/2, z+1/2$ ; (iv)  $x-1, y, z$ .

**(E)-3-(3-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2-phenylchroman-4-one (II)***Crystal data*

$C_{22}H_{16}O_3$	$F(000) = 344$
$M_r = 328.37$	$D_x = 1.367 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	Melting point: 210 K
$a = 5.3969 (6) \text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$b = 11.6576 (16) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 3650 reflections
$c = 12.944 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.5\text{--}29.5^\circ$
$\alpha = 91.992 (12)^\circ$	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 98.282 (10)^\circ$	$T = 120 \text{ K}$
$\gamma = 97.568 (10)^\circ$	Plate, colourless
$V = 797.68 (19) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.8 \times 0.2 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 2$	

*Data collection*

STOE IPDS 2T diffractometer	rotation method, $\omega$ scans 6849 measured reflections
Radiation source: GeniX Mo, 0.05 x 0.05 mm <sup>2</sup> microfocus	3281 independent reflections
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	1804 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.077$

$\theta_{\max} = 26.5^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 3.5^\circ$   
 $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$

$k = -13 \rightarrow 14$   
 $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   
Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.068$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.200$   
 $S = 0.94$   
3281 reflections  
228 parameters  
0 restraints  
Primary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1159P)^2]$   
where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry.** All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

### Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.1107 (4)	0.13471 (17)	0.24184 (16)	0.0423 (6)
O4	0.7889 (4)	0.30522 (17)	0.39197 (16)	0.0432 (6)
O3	-0.1593 (4)	0.6939 (2)	0.44060 (17)	0.0503 (6)
H3	-0.0545	0.6964	0.4956	0.075*
C10	0.5289 (5)	0.1266 (2)	0.3391 (2)	0.0365 (7)
C17	0.1145 (5)	0.5660 (2)	0.3820 (2)	0.0390 (7)
H17	0.1940	0.5611	0.4518	0.047*
C9	0.2888 (5)	0.0736 (3)	0.2913 (2)	0.0386 (7)
C3	0.3849 (5)	0.3163 (3)	0.2945 (2)	0.0378 (7)
C7	0.3920 (6)	-0.1113 (3)	0.3446 (2)	0.0453 (8)
H7	0.3455	-0.1925	0.3474	0.054*
C5	0.6977 (6)	0.0575 (3)	0.3885 (2)	0.0405 (7)
H5	0.8608	0.0925	0.4207	0.049*
C4	0.5884 (5)	0.2528 (3)	0.3452 (2)	0.0370 (7)
C15	-0.1803 (6)	0.6487 (3)	0.2598 (2)	0.0431 (8)
H15	-0.3077	0.6973	0.2454	0.052*
C16	-0.0743 (5)	0.6358 (3)	0.3619 (2)	0.0401 (7)
C11	0.3763 (5)	0.4240 (3)	0.3311 (2)	0.0385 (7)
H11	0.5114	0.4544	0.3843	0.046*
C12	0.1891 (5)	0.5030 (2)	0.3014 (2)	0.0378 (7)
C8	0.2210 (6)	-0.0459 (3)	0.2943 (2)	0.0431 (7)
H8	0.0587	-0.0818	0.2620	0.052*
C13	0.0823 (6)	0.5165 (3)	0.1989 (3)	0.0442 (8)
H13	0.1332	0.4755	0.1427	0.053*
C14	-0.0998 (6)	0.5905 (3)	0.1791 (2)	0.0440 (8)
H14	-0.1694	0.6010	0.1090	0.053*

C2	0.2058 (5)	0.2476 (2)	0.2083 (2)	0.0376 (7)
H2	0.0587	0.2908	0.1899	0.045*
C21	0.3266 (5)	0.2321 (3)	0.1100 (2)	0.0387 (7)
C22	0.2451 (7)	0.1373 (3)	0.0408 (2)	0.0517 (9)
H22	0.1084	0.0819	0.0531	0.062*
C6	0.6322 (6)	-0.0602 (3)	0.3915 (2)	0.0440 (8)
H6	0.7491	-0.1062	0.4251	0.053*
C26	0.5228 (6)	0.3134 (3)	0.0894 (2)	0.0493 (8)
H26	0.5783	0.3797	0.1357	0.059*
C23	0.3612 (8)	0.1222 (3)	-0.0467 (3)	0.0632 (11)
H23	0.3042	0.0566	-0.0938	0.076*
C24	0.5596 (7)	0.2024 (4)	-0.0654 (3)	0.0593 (10)
H24	0.6417	0.1913	-0.1244	0.071*
C25	0.6382 (6)	0.2991 (3)	0.0022 (3)	0.0558 (9)
H25	0.7716	0.3556	-0.0114	0.067*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O1	0.0412 (11)	0.0325 (12)	0.0520 (13)	0.0005 (9)	0.0064 (10)	0.0075 (10)
O4	0.0394 (11)	0.0355 (12)	0.0525 (13)	0.0035 (10)	0.0017 (10)	-0.0001 (10)
O3	0.0553 (13)	0.0463 (14)	0.0510 (13)	0.0193 (11)	0.0022 (10)	0.0025 (11)
C10	0.0432 (16)	0.0317 (17)	0.0372 (15)	0.0082 (13)	0.0115 (13)	0.0044 (12)
C17	0.0413 (16)	0.0267 (16)	0.0469 (17)	0.0024 (13)	0.0014 (13)	0.0043 (13)
C9	0.0412 (16)	0.0344 (17)	0.0416 (16)	0.0037 (13)	0.0122 (13)	0.0036 (13)
C3	0.0397 (15)	0.0375 (17)	0.0372 (16)	0.0058 (13)	0.0083 (13)	0.0043 (13)
C7	0.0550 (19)	0.0309 (17)	0.0522 (19)	0.0020 (15)	0.0195 (15)	0.0020 (14)
C5	0.0438 (16)	0.0377 (18)	0.0419 (16)	0.0075 (14)	0.0108 (13)	0.0049 (13)
C4	0.0406 (16)	0.0363 (17)	0.0343 (15)	0.0048 (14)	0.0077 (13)	-0.0015 (12)
C15	0.0397 (16)	0.0345 (17)	0.0538 (18)	0.0054 (13)	0.0007 (14)	0.0081 (14)
C16	0.0428 (16)	0.0294 (16)	0.0478 (18)	0.0044 (13)	0.0063 (14)	0.0033 (13)
C11	0.0410 (16)	0.0328 (17)	0.0426 (16)	0.0055 (13)	0.0082 (13)	0.0057 (13)
C12	0.0383 (15)	0.0275 (16)	0.0469 (17)	0.0018 (13)	0.0053 (13)	0.0053 (13)
C8	0.0455 (16)	0.0343 (18)	0.0504 (18)	0.0023 (14)	0.0134 (14)	0.0009 (14)
C13	0.0481 (17)	0.0372 (18)	0.0479 (17)	0.0061 (14)	0.0079 (14)	0.0074 (14)
C14	0.0477 (17)	0.0373 (18)	0.0473 (18)	0.0074 (14)	0.0055 (14)	0.0087 (14)
C2	0.0374 (15)	0.0285 (16)	0.0463 (17)	0.0015 (12)	0.0063 (13)	0.0070 (13)
C21	0.0391 (15)	0.0376 (17)	0.0390 (16)	0.0077 (13)	0.0016 (13)	0.0051 (13)
C22	0.064 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.0476 (19)	0.0048 (17)	0.0052 (16)	-0.0032 (15)
C6	0.0511 (18)	0.0351 (18)	0.0492 (18)	0.0124 (14)	0.0117 (15)	0.0086 (14)
C26	0.0465 (18)	0.058 (2)	0.0433 (18)	0.0043 (16)	0.0078 (15)	0.0034 (16)
C23	0.087 (3)	0.057 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.0006 (19)	-0.0052 (17)
C24	0.059 (2)	0.079 (3)	0.0456 (19)	0.032 (2)	0.0082 (17)	0.0074 (19)
C25	0.0512 (19)	0.070 (3)	0.0469 (19)	0.0052 (18)	0.0094 (15)	0.0139 (18)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^{\circ}$ )

O1—C9	1.369 (3)	C15—H15	0.9500
O1—C2	1.453 (3)	C11—C12	1.475 (4)
O4—C4	1.234 (3)	C11—H11	0.9500
O3—C16	1.370 (4)	C12—C13	1.391 (4)
O3—H3	0.8400	C8—H8	0.9500
C10—C5	1.397 (4)	C13—C14	1.393 (4)
C10—C9	1.405 (4)	C13—H13	0.9500
C10—C4	1.460 (4)	C14—H14	0.9500
C17—C12	1.392 (4)	C2—C21	1.526 (4)
C17—C16	1.388 (4)	C2—H2	1.0000
C17—H17	0.9500	C21—C22	1.381 (4)
C9—C8	1.396 (4)	C21—C26	1.388 (4)
C3—C11	1.335 (4)	C22—C23	1.387 (5)
C3—C4	1.492 (4)	C22—H22	0.9500
C3—C2	1.499 (4)	C6—H6	0.9500
C7—C8	1.380 (4)	C26—C25	1.381 (4)
C7—C6	1.396 (4)	C26—H26	0.9500
C7—H7	0.9500	C23—C24	1.381 (5)
C5—C6	1.374 (4)	C23—H23	0.9500
C5—H5	0.9500	C24—C25	1.383 (5)
C15—C14	1.378 (4)	C24—H24	0.9500
C15—C16	1.383 (4)	C25—H25	0.9500
C9—O1—C2	115.9 (2)	C7—C8—H8	120.3
C16—O3—H3	109.5	C9—C8—H8	120.3
C5—C10—C9	118.8 (3)	C12—C13—C14	119.7 (3)
C5—C10—C4	121.1 (3)	C12—C13—H13	120.1
C9—C10—C4	119.8 (2)	C14—C13—H13	120.1
C12—C17—C16	120.9 (3)	C15—C14—C13	121.0 (3)
C12—C17—H17	119.5	C15—C14—H14	119.5
C16—C17—H17	119.5	C13—C14—H14	119.5
O1—C9—C8	117.0 (3)	O1—C2—C3	111.0 (2)
O1—C9—C10	122.8 (3)	O1—C2—C21	109.4 (2)
C8—C9—C10	120.2 (3)	C3—C2—C21	112.3 (2)
C11—C3—C4	118.3 (3)	O1—C2—H2	108.0
C11—C3—C2	127.3 (3)	C3—C2—H2	108.0
C4—C3—C2	114.4 (2)	C21—C2—H2	108.0
C8—C7—C6	121.1 (3)	C22—C21—C26	118.9 (3)
C8—C7—H7	119.5	C22—C21—C2	121.1 (3)
C6—C7—H7	119.5	C26—C21—C2	120.1 (3)
C6—C5—C10	121.2 (3)	C21—C22—C23	120.6 (3)
C6—C5—H5	119.4	C21—C22—H22	119.7
C10—C5—H5	119.4	C23—C22—H22	119.7
O4—C4—C10	123.2 (3)	C5—C6—C7	119.3 (3)
O4—C4—C3	121.2 (3)	C5—C6—H6	120.3
C10—C4—C3	115.6 (3)	C7—C6—H6	120.3

C14—C15—C16	119.6 (3)	C25—C26—C21	120.7 (3)
C14—C15—H15	120.2	C25—C26—H26	119.6
C16—C15—H15	120.2	C21—C26—H26	119.6
O3—C16—C15	118.4 (2)	C24—C23—C22	120.1 (3)
O3—C16—C17	121.8 (3)	C24—C23—H23	120.0
C15—C16—C17	119.8 (3)	C22—C23—H23	120.0
C3—C11—C12	129.9 (3)	C23—C24—C25	119.7 (3)
C3—C11—H11	115.1	C23—C24—H24	120.2
C12—C11—H11	115.1	C25—C24—H24	120.2
C17—C12—C13	118.9 (2)	C26—C25—C24	120.0 (4)
C17—C12—C11	117.1 (3)	C26—C25—H25	120.0
C13—C12—C11	124.1 (3)	C24—C25—H25	120.0
C7—C8—C9	119.4 (3)		

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
O3—H3···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.84	1.89	2.728 (3)	172
C17—H17···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.49	3.184 (4)	130
C6—H6···O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.45	3.265 (4)	143
C11—H11···O4	0.95	2.43	2.807 (3)	103

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $x+1, y-1, z$ .